## On the sum of two integral squares in certain quadratic fields

By Trygve Nagell

## § 1. Introduction

1. Let $\alpha$ be an integer $\neq 0$ in the algebraic field $\Omega$. If $\alpha$ is representable as the sum of two integral squares in $\Omega$, we say, for the sake of brevity, that $\alpha$ is an A-number in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. We say that

$$
\alpha=\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}
$$

where $\xi$ and $\eta$ are integers in $\Omega$, is a primitive representation if the ideal $(\xi, \eta)$ is the unit ideal, and otherwise an imprimitive representation.

In a previous paper [1] I have determined the A-numbers in the quadratic fields $K(\sqrt{D})$, where $D=-1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 7, \pm 11, \pm 19, \pm 43, \pm 67$ and $\pm 163$. In the present paper we shall continue the investigations and treat the cases $D= \pm 5$ and $D= \pm 13$. The following developments are in general based on the results obtained in [1].

It is well known that the number of ideal classes is $=1$ in the fields $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{5})$, $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{13})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{37})$ and $=2$ in the fields $K(\sqrt{-5}), \mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-13})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-37})$; see [2].

From a general theorem due to Dirichlet [3] we get
Lemma 1. The number of ideal classes in the Dirichlet field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{D}, \sqrt{-} \bar{D})$ of the fourth degree is $=1$, when $D=5,13$ and 37 .
2. We also need the following lemmata:

Lemma 2. Let $D$ be a square-free rational integer which is $\equiv \mathbf{2}$ or $\equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. If $x$ and $y$ are rational integers, and if $x+y \sqrt{D}$ is an $A$-number in the field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{D})$, then $y$ is even.

Lemma 3. If $\alpha$ is an integer in the Dirichlet field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{D}, \sqrt{-D})$ with squarefree $D$, the number $2 \alpha$ belongs to the ring $\mathbf{R}(1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{D}, \sqrt{-D})$.

For the proofs see [1], p. 8-9. In [1] we also established the following results:
Lemma 4. Let $\alpha$ and $\pi$ be $A$-numbers in the field $\Omega$. If ( $\pi$ ) is a prime ideal divisor of ( $\alpha$ ). the quotient $\alpha / \pi$ is also an A-number in $\Omega$. This result also holds if $\pi$ is a unit (Theorem 4 in [1]).
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Lemma 5. Let $\alpha, \pi, \pi_{1}$ and $\eta$ be integers $\neq 0$ in the field $\Omega$ with the following properties. The number $\alpha /\left(\pi \pi_{1}\right)$ is an integer; the principal ideals $(\pi)$ and $\left(\pi_{1}\right)$ are prime ideal divisors of $(\alpha) ; \pi$ and $\eta$ are relatively prime. The integers $\alpha, \pi \pi_{1}, \pi \eta$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{1}} \eta$ are $A$-numbers in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, such that
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi \eta=f^{2}+g^{2} \\
\pi_{1} \eta=f_{1}^{2}+g_{1}^{2} \\
\pi \pi_{1}=\left(\frac{f f_{1}+g g_{1}}{\eta}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{f g_{1}-g f_{1}}{\eta}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f, g, f_{1}, g_{1},\left(f f_{1}+g g_{1}\right) / \eta$ and $\left(f g_{1}-g f_{1}\right) / \eta$ are integers in $\Omega$. Then the quotient $\alpha /\left(\pi \pi_{1}\right)$ is also an $A$-number in $\Omega$.

This result also holds when one of the numbers $\pi$ and $\pi_{1}$ is a unit or when both of them are units (Theorem 5 in [1]).

## § 2. The imaginary field $K(\sqrt{-q})$ where $q$ is either $=5$ or $=13$

3. Units and divisors of the rational primes 2 and $q$. The number -1 is an A-number in these fields since
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
-1=2^{2}+(\sqrt{-5})^{2} \\
-1=18^{2}+(5 \sqrt{-13})^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus the numbers $\alpha$ and $-\alpha$ are simultaneously A-numbers or not.
It follows from Lemma 2 that the prime $\sqrt{-q}$ is not an A-number. Clearly, no irrational power of $\sqrt{-q}$ can be an A-number. The number -1 is a quad. ratic residue modulo $\sqrt{-q}$. The number $u+v \sqrt{-q}$, where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers, is never an A-number when $v$ is odd.

In virtue of the relations
and

$$
2 \sqrt{-5}=2^{2}+(1+\sqrt{-5})^{2}
$$

$$
2 \sqrt{-13}=\left(4+2 \sqrt{-13}^{2}+(7-\sqrt{-13})^{2}\right.
$$

we may state: the number $2 \sqrt{-q}$ is always an $A$-number. We have

$$
(2)=\mathfrak{q}^{2}=\left(1^{2}+1^{2}\right)
$$

where the prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ is not principal. The number -1 is a quadratic residue modulo $q$.
4. The rational primes for which $-q$ is a quadratic non-residue. Let $p$ be an odd rational prime such that, in $\mathbf{K}(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=+1 \text { and }\left(\frac{\stackrel{-}{p}}{p}\right)=-1
$$

Then $(p)$ is a prime ideal in the field and since

$$
p=u^{2}+v^{2}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers, $p$ is an A-prime.
Suppose next that $p$ is an odd rational prime such that, in $K(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1 \text { and }\left(\frac{-q}{p}\right)=-1
$$

Then $(p)$ is a prime ideal in $K(\sqrt{-q})$. Since $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=+1$, and since the field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{q})$ is simple, the equation

$$
4 p=x^{2}-q y^{2}
$$

is solvable in rational integers $x$ and $y$. If $x$ and $y$ are both even, we get

$$
p=x_{1}^{2}+\left(\sqrt{-q} y_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

where $x_{1}=\frac{1}{2} x$ and $y_{1}=\frac{1}{2} y_{1}$. Hence $p$ is an A-prime.
If $x$ and $y$ are both odd, we get, in the case $q=5$,

$$
\frac{1}{2}(x+\sqrt{5} y) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} \pm 1)=\frac{1}{4}(5 y \pm x)+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{5}(x \pm y)
$$

Here it is possible to choose the sign such that the numbers

$$
u=\frac{1}{4}(5 y \pm x) \text { and } v=\frac{1}{4}(y \pm y)
$$

are both integers.
In the case $q=13$ we get, if $x$ and $y$ are both odd,

$$
\frac{1}{2}(x+\sqrt{13} y) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{13} \pm 3)=\frac{1}{4}(13 y \pm 3 x)+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{13}(x \pm 3 y)
$$

Just as in the proceeding case, we may choose the sign such that the numbers

$$
u=\frac{1}{4}(13 y \pm 3 x) \text { and } v=\frac{1}{4}(x \pm 3 y)
$$

are both integers. Thus we have in both cases

$$
-p=u^{2}+(v \sqrt{-q})^{2}
$$

Hence $p$ is an A-prime. Thus the number -1 is a quadratic residue modulo $p$ in the field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-q})$.
5. The rational primes $p \equiv-1$ (mod 4) for $w h i c h-q$ is a quadratic residue. Let $p$ be an odd prime such that, in $\mathbf{K}(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1 \text { and }\left(\frac{-q}{p}\right)=+1 .
$$

Then we have

$$
(p)=\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{\prime},
$$

where $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are different prime ideals in the field $K(\sqrt{-q})$. In this field we further have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(N p-1)}=-1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ can never be principal. In fact, if we had $\mathfrak{p}=(x+y \sqrt{-q})$, with rational integers $x$ and $y$, we should have

$$
p=x^{2}+q y^{2} .
$$

But this equation clearly implies $p \equiv+1(\bmod 4)$.
Lemma 6. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be integers in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-q})$, not both equal to zero Further, let $p$ be a prime ideal in the field satisfying relation (1). If the sum $c^{2}+\beta^{2}$ is divisible by the power $\mu^{m}$, we must have

$$
\alpha \equiv \beta \equiv 0\left(\bmod \psi^{\nu}\right),
$$

where $\nu=\left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)\right]$.
Proof. We prove it by induction. In virtue of (1) the lemma is true for $m=1$. Hence we may suppose $m \geqslant 2$. Suppose it is true for all exponents $\leqslant m$. Let $\xi$ and $\eta$ be integers in the field such that $\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}$ is divisible by $\mathfrak{p}^{m+1}$. In virtue of (1) the numbers $\xi$ and $\eta$ are divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$. When $\mathfrak{q}$ is the prime ideal which divides 2 , we put

$$
\mathfrak{q}(\xi)=\mathfrak{p}(\alpha) \text { and } \mathfrak{q}(\eta)=\mathfrak{p}(\beta),
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers in the field. Then we get

$$
\mathfrak{q}^{2}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)=2\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)=\mathfrak{p}^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\xi^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$ is divisible by $\psi^{m-1}$, and, by hypothesis, we have

$$
\alpha \equiv \beta \equiv 0\left(\bmod b^{2}\right),
$$

where $\lambda=\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]$. From this relation follows

$$
\xi \equiv \eta \equiv 0\left(\bmod \mathfrak{p}^{\lambda+1}\right) .
$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 7. Let $p$ be a prime ideal satisfying relation (1). Then $\mathfrak{p}^{2}$ is a principal ideal $=(u+v \sqrt{-q}), u$ and $v$ rational integers, where $u$ is even and $v$ odd.

Proof. Suppose that $N p=p$. Then we have

$$
p^{2}=u^{2}+q v^{2} .
$$

If $v$ were even, we should have

$$
p \pm u=2 u_{1}^{2}, \quad p \mp u=2 q v_{1}^{2},
$$

where $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ are rational integers. Hence

$$
p=u_{1}^{2}+q v_{1}^{2},
$$

which is impossible, since $p \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$. Thus $u$ is even and $v$ odd.
Lemma 8. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ be different prime ideals such that

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{p_{1}}\right)=-1 .
$$

Then $\mathrm{Hp}_{1}$ is a principal ideal $=(\alpha)$, where the integer $\alpha$ is not an A-number. The square $p^{2} p_{1}^{2}$ is a principal ideal $=(\omega)$, where the integer $\omega$ is an A-number.

Proof. If we had $\alpha=\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}$, according to Lemma 6, the integers $\xi$ and $\eta$ should be divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$, which is impossible since $p \neq \mu_{1}$. Putting $\alpha=u+v \sqrt{-q}$, $u$ and $v$ rational integers, we get

$$
\left(p \mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)^{2}=(\omega)=\left(u+v l^{\prime}-q\right)^{2}+0^{2} .
$$

This proves the lemma.
As a consequence of Lemmata $7-8$ we may state: Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}$ be $m$ prime ideals (different or not) such that $\left(\frac{-1}{p_{i}}\right)=-1$, and put

$$
\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1} \mathfrak{p}_{2} \ldots \mathfrak{p}_{m}\right)^{2}=(\omega),
$$

where $\omega$ is an integer. Then $\omega$ is an A-number if and only if $m$ is even.
Lemma 9. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal satisfying (1) and let $\mathfrak{p}^{2}=(\omega)$, then $2 \omega$ is an A-number.

Proof. If (2) $=\mathfrak{q}^{2}$ we have $\mathfrak{q p}=(u+v \sqrt{-q})$, where $u$ and $v$ are odd rational integers. Hence

$$
2 \omega=(u+v \sqrt{-q})^{2}+0^{2} .
$$

Lemma 10. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal satisfying (1) and let $\mathfrak{p}^{2}=(\omega)$, then $\sqrt{-q} \omega$ is an $A$-number.
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Proof. From the preceding proof we get

$$
\sqrt{-q} \omega=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-q}(u+v \sqrt{-q})^{2}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are odd rational integers. For $q=5$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-5} \omega & =\frac{1}{4}[u+v \sqrt{-5}]^{2} \cdot\left[2^{2}+(1+\sqrt{-5})^{2}\right] \\
& =[u+v \sqrt{-5}]^{2}+\left[\frac{1}{2}(u-5 v)+\frac{1}{2}(u+v) \sqrt{-5}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $q=13$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-13} \omega & =\frac{1}{4}[u+v \sqrt{-13}]^{2} \cdot\left[(4+2 \sqrt{-13})^{2}+(7-\sqrt{-13})^{2}\right] \\
& =[2 u-13 v+(u+2 v) \sqrt{-13}]^{2}+\left[\frac{1}{2}(7 u+13 v)+\frac{1}{2}(7 v-u) \sqrt{-13}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the numbers $\frac{1}{2}(u-5 v), \frac{1}{2}(u+v), \frac{1}{2}(7 u+13 v)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(7 v-u)$ are integers, the lemma is proved.
6. The rational primes $p \equiv+1(\bmod 4)$ for which $-q$ is a quadratic residue. Consider finally the cases

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=+1 \text { and }\left(\frac{-q}{p}\right)=+1
$$

where $p$ is an odd rational prime. Here we have

$$
(p)=\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{\prime},
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ are different prime ideals in the field. We shall show that these ideals are always principal.

In fact, suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ were not principal. We have (2) $=\mathfrak{q}^{2}$, where $\mathfrak{q}$ is not principal. Then the product $\mathfrak{q p}$ is principal, since the number of ideal classes is $=2$. Hence the equation

$$
N(q \mathfrak{p})=2 p=a^{2}+q b^{2}
$$

would be solvable in rational odd integers $a$ and $b$. But this is impossible since $a^{2}+q b^{2} \equiv 1+q \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$ and $2 p \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}$ is a principal ideal, and we have

$$
p=u^{2}+q v^{2}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers. Then the numbers

$$
\omega=u+v \sqrt{-q} \text { and } \omega^{\prime}=u-v \sqrt{-q}
$$

are conjugate prime factors of $p$ in $K(\sqrt{-q})$. Since by Lemma 1 the field
$\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-q}, \sqrt{q})$ is simple, we have

$$
\omega=\pi_{1} \pi_{2}
$$

where $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are primes in that field. According to Lemma 3 we may suppose that
and

$$
\pi_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{-q})+i \frac{1}{2}(b+d V \overline{V-q})
$$

$\pi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{-q})-i \frac{1}{2}(b+d V-q)$,
where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are rational integers. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{4}(a+c \sqrt{-q})^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(b+d \sqrt{-q})^{2}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which involves the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 u=a^{2}+b^{2}-q c^{2}-q d^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
2 v=a c+b d
$$

It follows from the latter of these relations that, if $a$ is even, either $b$ or $d$ must be even. Suppose that $a$ and $b$ are even and $c$ and $d$ odd. Then we obtain from (3) modulo 4 :

$$
0 \equiv-q-q \equiv 2(\bmod 4)
$$

which is impossible. Supposing that $a$ and $b$ are odd and $c$ and $d$ even, we get from (3):

$$
0 \equiv 1+1(\bmod 4)
$$

which is also impossible. Hence, the remaining possibilities are: (i) all the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are even; (ii) all the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are odd; (iii) $a$ and $d$ are even and $b$ and $c$ are odd. It is, of course, unnecessary to treat the case with $b$ and $c$ even and $a$ and $d$ odd.

If all the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are even, $\omega$ is clearly an A-number since the numbers

$$
\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{-q}) \text { and } \frac{1}{2}(b+d \sqrt{-q})
$$

are integers. If the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all odd, we get from (3)

$$
4 u \equiv 1+1-q-q \equiv 0(\bmod 8) .
$$

Henee $u$ is even. But according to Lemma 2, $u$ is odd when $\omega$ is an A-number.
Suppose finally that $a$ and $d$ are even and $b$ and $c$ are odd. Then we get from (3)
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$$
4 u \equiv a^{2}+1-q-q d^{2}(\bmod 8)
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(u+1) \equiv a^{2}+d^{2}(\bmod 8) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $u$ is even, it follows from this relation that one of the numbers $a / 2$ and $d / 2$ is even and the other one odd. In this case $\omega$ is not an A-number.

When $u$ is odd, it follows from (4) that the numbers $a / 2$ and $d / 2$ are either both odd or both even. We shall show that, in this case, $\omega$ is an A-number. If $q=5$ we multiply the integer

$$
\pi_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{-5})+i \frac{1}{2}(b+d \sqrt{-5})
$$

by the unit $E=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} \pm 1)$. The product is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{4}(a \mp d) \sqrt{5}+\frac{1}{4}(5 c \pm b) i+\frac{1}{4}(b \pm c) \sqrt{5}+\frac{1}{4}( \pm a-5 d)
$$

Here the numbers

$$
\frac{1}{4}(a \mp d) \text { and } \frac{1}{4}( \pm a-5 d)
$$

are always integers since $a / 2$ and $d / 2$ are of the same parity. Further, by an appropriate choice of the sign in the unit $E$, we may obtain that the number $b \pm c$ be divisible by 4. Then the number $5 c \pm b$ is also divisible by 4 . Hence the product $\pi_{1} E$ belongs to the ring $R(1, i, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{-5})$, and thus it is permitted to suppose that, in $\pi_{1}$, the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all even. Then we have

$$
\omega=\left(a_{1}+c_{1} \sqrt{-5}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}+d_{1} \sqrt{-5}\right)^{2}
$$

where $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}$ and $d_{1}$ are rational integers. Hence $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are A-numbers. Consider next the case $q=13$. Multiplying the integer

$$
\pi_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{-13})+i \frac{1}{2}(b+d \sqrt{-13})
$$

by the unit $E=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{13} \pm 3)$ we get the product

$$
\frac{1}{4}(a \mp 3 d) \sqrt{13}+\frac{1}{4}( \pm 3 b+13 c) i+\frac{1}{4}( \pm 3 c+b) \sqrt{-13}+\frac{1}{4}( \pm 3 a-13 d)
$$

Here the numbers

$$
\frac{1}{4}(a \mp 3 d) \text { and } \frac{1}{4}( \pm 3 a-13 d)
$$

are always integers since $a / 2$ and $d / 2$ are of the same parity. Further, by an appropriate choice of the sign in the unit $E$, we may obtain that the number $\pm 3 c+b$ be divisible by 4 . Then the number $\pm 3 b+13 c$ is also divisible by 4 . Hence the product $\pi_{1} E$ belongs to the ring $\mathbf{R}(1, i, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{-13})$, and thus it is permitted to suppose that, in $\pi_{1}$, the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all even. Then we hive

$$
\omega=\left(a_{1}+c_{1} \sqrt{-13}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1}+d_{1} \sqrt{-13}\right)^{2}
$$

where $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}$ and $d_{1}$ are rational integers. Hence $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are A-numbers.
7. Definition of C-primes. Further lemmata. Let $\omega$ be a prime in $\mathrm{K}(\sqrt{-q})$ of the form $\omega=u+v \sqrt{-q}$ where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers. According to the preceding section, $\omega$ is an A-number in the field, if $u$ is odd and $v$ even. If $u$ is even and $v$ odd, $\omega$ is never an A-number and in this case we call $\omega$ a C-prime.

If $\omega$ is a $C$-prime is follows from relation (2) in Section 6 that $4 \omega$ is an A-number. But we can furthermore prove the following lemma.

Lemma 11. If $\omega$ is a C-prime, the number $2 \omega$ is an $A$-number.
Proof. We put $\omega=u+v \sqrt{-q}$, where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers; $u$ is even and $v$ odd. Then we have

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \beta^{2}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-q})$. Multiplying by 2 we get

$$
2 \omega=\left(\frac{a+c \sqrt{-q}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{b+d \sqrt{-q}}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are rational integers. Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
8 u=a^{2}+b^{2}-q c^{2}-q d^{2}  \tag{5}\\
4 v=a c+b d \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all even, the number $2 \omega$ is an A-number. Suppose next that $a$ and $b$ are even and $c$ and $d$ odd. Then we get from (5) $a^{2}+b^{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$ which is impossible. Consider next the case when $a$ and $d$ are even and $b$ and $c$ odd. Then it follows from (5)

$$
(a / 2)^{2}-5(d / 2)^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)
$$

Hence one of the numbers $a / 2$ and $d / 2$ is odd and the other one is even. But this is impossible because of the relation (6).

Finally we consider the remaining case when $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all odd. When $q=5$ we multiply $2 \omega$ by the number $-I=\frac{1}{4}\left(1^{2}+(\sqrt{-5})\right)^{2}$. The product $-2 \omega$ is equal to (in virtue of Lemma 1 in [1])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{16}[a+c \sqrt{-5} \pm(b \sqrt{-5}-5 d)]^{2}+\frac{1}{16}[a \sqrt{-5}-5 c \mp(b+d \sqrt{-5})]^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{16}[(a \mp 5 d)+(c \pm b) \sqrt{-5}]^{2}+\frac{1}{16}[(-5 c \mp b)+(a \mp d) \sqrt{-5}]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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By choosing the sign in an appropriate way the number $\frac{1}{4}(a \mp d)$ will be an integer and so will $\frac{1}{4}(a \mp 5 d)$. Then it follows from relation (6) that

$$
a c+b d \equiv a c \pm a b \equiv 0(\bmod 4) .
$$

Hence

$$
c \pm b \equiv 0(\bmod 4)
$$

and thus the numbers

$$
\frac{1}{4}(c \pm b) \text { and } \frac{1}{4}(-5 c \mp b)
$$

are both integers. Consequently $-2 \omega$ is an A-number. This proves Lemma 11 when $q=5$.

When $q=13$, we multiply $2 \omega$ by the number $-1=\frac{1}{4}\left(3^{2}+(\sqrt{-13})^{2}\right)$. The product will be

$$
\frac{1}{16}[(3 a \mp 13 d)+(3 c \pm b) \sqrt{-13}]^{2}+\frac{1}{16}[(-13 c \mp 3 b)+(a \mp 3 d) \sqrt{-13}]^{2} .
$$

Here we may choose the sign in a way such that the numbers

$$
3 a \mp 13 d, 3 c \pm b,-13 c \mp 3 b, a \mp 3 d
$$

are all divisible by 4. Hence $-2 \omega$ is an A-number, and the proof of Lemma 11 is complete.

We next prove
Lemma 12. The product of two C-primes is an $A$-number.
Proof. Let $\omega$ and $\omega_{1}$ be two $C$-primes

$$
\omega=u+r \sqrt{-q}, \quad \omega_{1}=u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{-q},
$$

where $u, v, u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ are rational integers, $u$ and $u_{1}$ even, $v$ and $v_{1}$ odd. We put

$$
\omega \omega_{1}=U+V \sqrt{-q},
$$

where $U$ and $V$ are rational integers; $U$ is clearly odd and $V$ even. According to Lemma 11, we have

$$
4 \omega \omega_{1}=(a+c \sqrt{-q})^{2}+(b+d \sqrt{-q})^{2}
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are rational integers. We get

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 U=a^{2}+b^{2}-q c^{2}-q d^{2}  \tag{7}\\
2 V=a c+b d . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all odd, we get from (7)

$$
4 U \equiv 1+1-q-q \equiv 0(\bmod 8)
$$

which is impossible since $U$ is odd. If all the numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are even, Lemma 12 is proved.

Suppose next that $a$ and $b$ are even and $c$ and $d$ odd. Then we get from (7)

$$
2 q+4 \equiv a^{2}+b^{2} \equiv 6(\bmod 8)
$$

which is clearly impossible.
Consider finally the case that $a$ and $d$ are even and $b$ and $c$ are odd. Then it follows from (7) that

$$
a^{2} \equiv q d^{2}(\bmod 8)
$$

Hence we conclude that $a \equiv d(\bmod 4)$.
When $q=5$, we multiply the number $4 \omega \omega_{1}$ by $-4=1^{2}+(\sqrt{-5})^{2}$. The product is equal to

$$
-16 \omega \omega_{1}=[(a \mp 5 d)+(c \pm b) \sqrt{-5}]^{2}+[(-5 c \mp b)+(a \mp d) \sqrt{-5}]^{2}
$$

Here we may choose the sign such that the numbers

$$
c \pm b \quad \text { and } \quad-5 c \mp b
$$

will both be divisible by 4 . Since the numbers

$$
a \mp 5 d \quad \text { and } \quad a \mp d
$$

are also divisible by 4 , we see that the number $-\omega \omega_{1}$ is an $A$-number.
When $q=13$, we multiply the number $4 \omega \omega_{1}$ by $-4=3^{2}+(\sqrt{-13})^{2}$, and the proof of Lemma 12 proceeds in an analogous manner.

Lemma 13. If $\omega$ is a C-prime, the number $\sqrt{-q} \omega$ is an A-number.
Proof. According to Lemma 11, the number $2 \omega$ is an $A$-number. Hence

$$
2 \omega=2 u+2 v \sqrt{-q}=(a+c \sqrt{-q})^{2}+(b+d \sqrt{-q})^{2}
$$

where $u, v, a, b, c$ and $d$ are rational integers; $u$ is even, $v$ odd. Then we get

$$
2 u=a^{2}+b^{2}-q c^{2}-q d^{2}, v=a c+b d .
$$

Hence we may suppose that $a c$ is even. This implies that $b$ and $d$ are odd and that $a$ and $c$ are both even. Suppose first $q=5$. Using the identity

$$
2 \sqrt{-5}=2^{2}+(1+\sqrt{-5})^{2}
$$

we get

$$
2 \omega \cdot 2 \sqrt{-5}=[2 a+b-5 d+\sqrt{-5}(d+b+2 c)]^{2}+[-a+5 c-2 b+\sqrt{-5}(-a-c-2 d)]^{2} .
$$
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Here the numbers $2 a+b-5 d, d+b+2 c ; a-5 c-2 b$ and $a+c-2 d$ are all even. Hence $\omega \sqrt{-5}$ is an $A$-number.

Suppose next $q=13$. Using the identity

$$
2 \sqrt{-13}=(4+2 \sqrt{-13})^{2}+(7-\sqrt{-13})^{2}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \omega \cdot 2 \sqrt{-13}=[4 a-26 c+7 b-13 d+\sqrt{-13}(4 c+2 a+7 d-b)]^{2} \\
&+ {[7 a+13 c-4 b+26 d+\sqrt{-13}(7 c-a-4 d-2 b)]^{2} }
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the preceding case we see then that $\omega \sqrt{-13}$ is an $A$-number.
Lemma 14. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal satisfying (1) and $\mathfrak{p}^{2}=(\gamma)$, and let $\omega$ be a $C$-prime. Then the product $\omega \gamma$ is an A-number.

Proot. We have

$$
2 \omega=(a+c \sqrt{-q})^{2}+(b+d \sqrt{-q})^{2}
$$

where, according to the proof of Lemma 13, we may suppose that $a$ and $c$ are even and that $b$ and $d$ are odd. According to Lemma 9, we have

$$
2 \gamma=\left(a_{1}+c_{1} \sqrt{-q}\right)^{2}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ clearly are odd. Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \omega \gamma & =\left[a a_{1}-q c c_{1}+\sqrt{-q}\left(a c_{1}+a_{1} c\right)\right]^{2} \\
& +\left[a_{1} b-q c_{1} d+\sqrt{-q}\left(a_{1} d+b c_{1}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the numbers $a a_{1}-q c c_{1}, a c_{1}+a_{1} c, a_{1} b-q c_{1} d$ and $a_{1} d+b c_{1}$ are all even, the lemma is proved.
8. Summary and proof of the main result. As a consequence of the discussions in Sections 3-6, we may state the following results.

Theorem 1. All the prime ideals in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-q})$ are principal except the prime ideal divisors of 2 and of the odd rational primes $p$ satisfying the relations, in $\mathbf{K}(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{-q}{p}\right)=+1
$$

Theorem 2. The prime $\omega$ in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-q})$ is an $A$-number only in the following cases:
(i) $\omega= \pm p$ where $p$ is an odd rational prime such that, in $\mathbf{K}(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-q}{p}\right)=-1
$$

(ii) $\omega$ is of the form $u+v \sqrt{-q}$, where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers, $u$ odd, $v$ even, such that $u^{2}+q v^{2}$ is a rational prime.

The prime $\omega$ in the field is a C-prime only when $\omega=u+v \sqrt{-q}$, where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers, $u$ even, $v$ odd, such that $u^{2}+q v^{2}$ is a rational prime.

We further need the result:
Lemma 15. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the prime ideal which divides 2, and let $\xi$ be an A-number which is divisible by $\mathfrak{q}^{m}$ and not by $\mathfrak{q}^{m+1}$. Then $m$ is even.

Proof. Suppose that $\xi=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers. If $m$ were odd, it? is evident that $\xi$ should be divisible by the power $\mathfrak{p}^{y}$ of a non-principal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p \neq q}$ with an odd exponent $\nu$. But, according to Theorem 1 and Lemma 6, the exponent $\nu$ must be even.

We are now in position to establish our main result.
Theorem 3. The integer $\alpha$ in the field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-q})$ is an A-number if and only if

$$
\alpha=\beta \gamma \delta(\sqrt{-5})^{\pi} \cdot 2^{k},
$$

where $\beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ are integers in the field with the following properties: $\beta$ is either $= \pm 1$ or $=a$ product of A-primes, different or not; $\gamma$ is either $= \pm 1$ or $=a$ product of $v$ C-primes, different or not; $\delta$ is either $= \pm 1$ or $=a$ product of $m$ numbers $\omega_{i}$, different or not, defined by the equations $\left(\omega_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{2}, \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ being a nonprincipal prime ideal not dividing 2.

The numbers $\nu, m, n$ and $k$ are rational integers $\geqslant 0$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v \text { even } \geqslant 0, m \text { even } \geqslant 0, n \text { eve } n \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 0 ; \\
& v \text { even } \geqslant 0, m \text { even } \geqslant 0, n \text { odd, } k \geqslant 1 ; \\
& v \text { even } \geqslant 0, m \text { odd, } n \text { even } \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 1 ; \\
& v \text { even } \geqslant 0, m \text { odd, } n \text { odd, } k \geqslant 0 ; \\
& v \text { odd, } m \text { even } \geqslant 0, n \text { odd, } k \geqslant 0 ; \\
& \nu \text { odd, } m \text { even } \geqslant 0, n \text { even } \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 1, \\
& v \text { odd, } m \text { odd, } n \text { even } \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 0 ; \\
& v \text { odd, } m \text { odd, } n \text { odd, } k \geqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is evident that the conditions in this theorem are sufficient. If $\alpha$ is an $A$-number we may, in virtue of Lemma 4, neglect the $A$-prime divisors. In virtue of Lemmata 5 and 12 we may suppose that $v$ is either $=0$ or $=1$. Suppose that $\alpha$ is divisible by $\mathfrak{p}^{h}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a non-principal prime ideal not dividing 2. Then, according to Lemma 6, it is sufficient to suppose $h=2$. For the rest of the proof we only have to apply Lemmata 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15 and to observe the following fact. Let $u, v, u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ be rational integers, $u_{1}$ and $v$ odd. Then the product of the two numbers $2 u+v \sqrt{-q}$ and $u_{1}+2 v_{1} \sqrt{-q}$ is of the form $2 u_{2}+v_{2} \sqrt{-q}$. where $v_{2}$ is odd, and thus it cannot be an $A$-number. Then it is easily seen that the eight cases indicated in the theorem are the only possible ones.
9. On the primitivity of the representations as a sum of two integral squares. Finally we shall determine the $A$-numbers in the quadratic fields $K(\sqrt{-5})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-13})$ which have at least one primitive representation. By Theorems 29-31 in [1] it suffices to examine the numbers which are products of prime ideal factors of 2. In the actual case we have only to examine the powers of 2. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{h}=(a+c \sqrt{-q})^{2}+(b+d \sqrt{-q})^{2}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are rational integers. For $h=1$ and $h=2$ we have the primitive representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 & =1^{2}+1^{2} \\
2^{2} & =3^{2}+(\sqrt{-5})^{2} \\
2^{2} & =11^{2}+3(\sqrt{-13})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall show that there are no primitive representations for $h \geqslant 3$. If the representation (9) is primitive it is clear that the numbers $a, b, c, d$ cannot be all odd. From (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{h}=a^{2}+b^{2}-q\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a c=-b d . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) it follows that two of the numbers $a, b, c, d$ are odd and two of them are even. If $d=0$ we must have either $a=0$ or $c=0$. When $a=0$ we get from (10)

$$
2^{h}=b^{2}-q c^{2}
$$

where $b$ and $c$ are odd. But this is impossible when $h \geqslant 3$. When $c=0$ we get from (10)

$$
2^{n}=a^{2}+b^{2}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are odd. Since $h \geqslant 3$ this equation is impossible too. Hence we may suppose $c d \neq 0$. By elimination of $b$ we obtain from (10) and (11)

$$
2^{h} d^{2}=\left(a^{2}-q d^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right)
$$

Put $c=g_{1} c_{1}, d=g_{1} d_{1}$, where $\left(c_{1}, d_{1}\right)=1$. Then we get

$$
2^{h} d_{1}^{2}=\left(a^{2}-q g_{1}^{2} d_{1}^{2}\right)\left(c_{1}^{2}+d_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

It follows from this equation that $a$ is divisible by $d_{1}$. Putting $a=d_{1} f_{1}$ we obtain

$$
2^{h}=\left(f_{1}^{2}-q g_{1}^{2}\right)\left(c_{1}^{2}+d_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

Since $\left(c_{1}, d\right)=1$ and since $c_{1}^{*}+d_{1}^{2}$ is a power of 2 , we must hity $c_{1}^{2}=d=1$. Hence

$$
2^{n-1}=f_{1}^{2}-q \dot{q}_{1}^{2} .
$$

Since $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8), h-1$ is even and $=2 n+2$ with $n \geqslant 0$. Then $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$ are divisible by $2^{n}$. Hence the representation (9) must have the form

$$
2^{h}=2^{2 n+3}=\left(f_{1}+g_{1} \sqrt{\prime-q}\right)^{2}+\left(f_{1}-g_{1} \sqrt{-q}\right)^{2}
$$

But this representation is always imprimitive, since $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$ are of the same parity.

## § 3. The real field $K(\sqrt{q})$ where $q$ is either $=5$ or $=13$

10. Units and divisors of the rational primes 2 and $q$. Every $A$-number in this field must be positive and have a positive norm. The fundamental unit $\varepsilon$ in $K(\sqrt{q})$ is $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}+1)$ or $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{13}+3)$ according as $q=5$ or 13 . Since $N(\varepsilon)=-1$ in this field, $\varepsilon$ is never an $A$-number. The $n$th power of $\varepsilon$ is an $A$-number if and only if $n$ is even. The number 2 is a prime in the field and, of course, an $A$-number.

Since the prime $\sqrt{q}$ has the negative norm $-q$ it cannot be an $A$-number. The number -1 is a quadratic residue modulo $\sqrt{q}$. From the relations

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}+1) \sqrt{5}=1^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{5}+1)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{13}+3) \sqrt{13}=1^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{13}+1)^{2}
$$

it follows that the product $\varepsilon \sqrt{q}$ is always an $A$-number. Then it is evident that the number

$$
\varepsilon^{m}(\sqrt{q})^{n}
$$

where $m$ and $n$ are rational integers. $n \geqslant 0$, is an $A$-number if and only if $m+n$ is even.
11. The rational primes for which $q$ is a quadratic non-residue. Let $p$ be an odd rational prime such that, in $K(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=+1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=-1
$$

Then $p$ is a prime in the field and since

$$
p=u^{2}+v^{2}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers, $p$ is an $A$-prime.
Suppose next that $p$ is an odd rational prime such that, in $K(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=-1
$$

Then $p$ is a prime in $K(\sqrt{q})$. Since $\left(\frac{-q}{p}\right)=+1$ we have in $K(\sqrt{-q})$

$$
(p)=\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}
$$
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where $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ are different prime ideals. We showed in Section 5 that these prime ideals are not principal when $q=5$ or $=13$. If $q$ is the prime ideal divisor of 2 in $K(\sqrt{-q})$, the product $p q$ is a principal ideal. Hence

$$
2 p=x^{2}+q y^{2}
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are rational odd integers. Since this relation may be written

$$
p=\frac{1}{4}(x+y \sqrt{q})^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(x-y \sqrt{q})^{2}
$$

the number $p$ is an $A$-prime in $K\left(l^{\prime} q\right)$. Hence in this field the number -1 is a quadratic residue modulo $p$.
12. The rational primes for which $q$ is a quadratic residue. Let $p$ an odd rational prime such that, in $K(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=+1
$$

In this case we have

$$
p=\omega \omega^{\prime}
$$

where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are different primes. Since

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{\omega}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(|N \omega|-1)}=-1
$$

the prime $\omega$ is not an $A$-number.
Finally, we consider an odd rational prime $p$ such that, in $K(1)$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=+1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=+1
$$

Since the field is simple, and since the norm of the fundamental unit $\varepsilon$ is $=-1$, we have always

$$
4 p=u^{2}-q v^{2}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers. If $u$ and $v$ are even, $p$ may be written in the form

$$
p=(u / 2)^{2}-q(v / 2)^{2}
$$

Suppose next that $u$ and $v$ are both odd. The number $\varepsilon^{2}$ is of the form $\frac{1}{2}(a+b \sqrt{q})$, where $a$ and $b$ are odd integers; when $q=5$, we have $a=3, b=1$; when $q=13$, we have $a=11, b=3$. Consider the product

$$
\frac{1}{2}(a \pm b \sqrt{q}) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(u+v \sqrt{q})=\frac{1}{4}(a u \pm q b v)+\frac{1}{4}(a v \pm b u) \sqrt{q}
$$

Here we may choose the sign such that the number $a u \pm q b v$ be divisible by 4 . Then the number $a v \pm b u$ is also divisible by 4 , since $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Hence, we conclude: the prime $p$ may always be written in the form

$$
p=u^{2}-q v^{2}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers. Then the numbers

$$
\omega=u+v \sqrt{q} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega^{\prime}=u-v \sqrt{q}
$$

are conjugate prime factors of $p$ in the field. If we suppose $u>0$, the numbers $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive. Since by Lemma 1 the field $K(/ / \bar{q}, \sqrt{-1})$ is simple, we have

$$
\omega=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \eta
$$

where $\eta$ is a unit and $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are primes in that field. According to Lemma 3, we may suppose that
and

$$
\pi_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{q})+\frac{1}{2} i(b+d \sqrt{q})
$$

$\pi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{q})-\frac{1}{2} i(b+d \sqrt{q})$,
$a, b, c$ and $d$ being rational integers. The unit $\eta$ belongs to the field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{q})$, since the product $\pi_{1} \pi_{2}$ belongs to this field. Since $\omega$ is positive, $\eta$ is so. The norm of $\omega$ is positive and the norm of $\pi_{1} \pi_{2}$ is also positive. Hence the norm of $\eta$ is positive. Thus we have

$$
\eta=\varepsilon^{2 m} .
$$

Putting

$$
\psi_{1}=\pi_{1} \varepsilon^{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{2}=\pi_{2} \varepsilon^{m}
$$

we get

$$
\omega=\psi_{1} \psi_{2},
$$

where $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are primes in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{-q})$ such that $\psi_{1}$ is transformed into $\psi_{2}$ when $i$ is substituted by $-i$ and vice versa. Consequently we may suppose that $\eta=1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{4}(a+c \sqrt{q})^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(b+d \sqrt{q})^{2}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which involves the relations
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 u=a^{2}+b^{2}+q\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 v=a c+b d \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the integers $a, b, c$ and $d$ are all odd or all even, it is evident that $\omega$ is an $A$-number. If the number $\frac{1}{2}(a+c \sqrt{q})$ is an integer, it follows from (12) that the number $\frac{1}{2}(b+a \sqrt{q})$ is also an integer: hence $\omega$ is an $A$-number. Then it remains to consider the following cases: (i) $a$ is even, $c$ is odd; (ii) a is odd, $c$ is even. In both cases $b d$ is even in virtue of (14); thus one of the numbers $b$ and $d$ is even and the other one is odd. In the first case we get from (13) modulo 4:

$$
b^{2}+1+d^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)
$$

But this congruence is clearly impossible. In the second case we get from (13) the same congruence modulo 4. Hence $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are always $A$-numbers.
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13. Summary and proof of the main result. As a consequence of the discussions in Sections 10-12 we may state the following result.

Theorem 4. The prime $\omega$ in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{q})$ is an A-number only in the following cases: (i) $\omega=2 \varepsilon^{2 m}$; (ii) $\omega=\sqrt{q} \cdot \varepsilon^{2 m+1}$; (iii) $\omega=p \varepsilon^{2 m}$, where $p$ is an odd rational prime such that $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=-1$; (iv) $\omega$ is of the form $\frac{1}{2}(u+v \sqrt{q})$, where $u$ and $v$ are rational integers such that $\frac{1}{4}\left(u^{2}-q v^{2}\right)$ is a rational prime $\equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.

We are now in position to establish our main result.
Theorem 5. The integer $\alpha$ in the field $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{q})$ is an A-number if and only if

$$
\alpha=\beta \gamma^{2}(\sqrt{q})^{m} \cdot \varepsilon^{n}
$$

where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are integers in the field with the following properties: $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are prime to $\sqrt{q} ; \beta$ is either $=1$ or $=$ a product of A-primes, different or not; $\gamma$ is either a unit or $=$ a product of primes $\pi$ such that in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{q})$

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{\pi}\right)=-1
$$

$m$ and $n$ are rational integers, $m \geqslant 0$, such that $m+n$ is even. $\varepsilon$ is the fundamental unit, chosen $>1$.

Proof. It is evident that the conditions are sufficient. Suppose that $\alpha$ is an $A$-number and that

$$
\alpha=\xi \eta(\sqrt{q})^{m}
$$

where $\xi$ and $\eta$ are integers in the field with the following properties: they are prime to $\sqrt{q} ; \xi$ is either $=1$ or $=$ product of primes $\pi$ such that, in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{q})$,

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{\pi}\right)=-1
$$

$m$ is a rational integer $\geqslant 0$. Then we must have $\eta=\varrho \gamma^{2}$, where $\gamma$ is an integer in the field and $\varrho$ a unit; thus the number $\alpha / \gamma^{2}$ is an $A$-number. Now applying Lemma 4 a certain number of times to the prime factors $\pi$ of $\xi$, we find that the number

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\gamma^{2} \xi}=\varrho(\sqrt{q})^{m}
$$

must be an $A$-number. Finally, applying a result in Section 10 we achieve the proof.

Note. The fields $K(\sqrt{ \pm 37})$ have in the main the same properties as the fields $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{ \pm 5})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{ \pm 13})$. There is, however, an essential difference: The fundamental unit has the form $6+\sqrt{37}$. Thus the equations $x^{2}-37 y^{2}= \pm 4$ have no solutions in odd (rational) integers. This fact necessitates a modification of the
methods used in this paper. We shall treat the fields $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{ \pm 37})$ in a following paper.
14. Numerical examples. The number $3+2 \sqrt{-5}$ is an $A$-prime in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-5})$ since

$$
3+21-5=(3+\sqrt{-5})^{2}+(2-\sqrt{-5})^{2}
$$

and since

$$
N(3 \div 2 \sqrt{-5})=29
$$

The number $3+2 / \overline{-13}$ is an $A$-prime in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-13})$ since

$$
3 \div 2 \sqrt{-13}=(11+5 \sqrt{-13})^{2}+(18-3 \sqrt{-13})^{2}
$$

and since

$$
N(3+2 \sqrt{-13})=61
$$

The number $6+\sqrt{-5}$ is a $C$-prime in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{-5})$ since

$$
N(6+\sqrt{-5})=41 \equiv 1(\bmod 4)
$$

The number $3+\sqrt{-13}$ is a $C$-prime in $\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[l]{-13})$ since

$$
N(2+\sqrt{-13})=17 \equiv 1(\bmod 4)
$$

We have

$$
(2+\sqrt{-5})=\mathfrak{p}^{2}
$$

where $p$ is a prime ideal dividing 3 in $K(\sqrt{-5})$. We have

$$
(6+\sqrt{\prime}-13)=\mathfrak{p}^{2}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal dividing 7 in $K(\sqrt{-13})$. The number 7 is an $A$-prime in $\mathbf{K}(\sqrt{5})$ since

$$
7=\frac{1}{4}(3+\sqrt{5})^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(3-1 / \overline{5})^{2}
$$

The number 7 is an $A$-prime in $\mathrm{K}(\sqrt{13})$ since

$$
7=\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{13})^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{13})^{2}
$$

The number $7+2 \sqrt{5}$ is an $A$-prime in $\mathrm{K}(\sqrt{5})$ since

$$
7+2 \sqrt{5}=1^{2}+(1+\sqrt{5})^{2}
$$

and since

$$
N(7+2 \sqrt{\prime})=29
$$

The number $15+2 \sqrt{13}$ is an $A$-prime in $\mathrm{K}(\sqrt{13})$ since
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$$
15+2 \sqrt{13}=1^{2}+(1+\sqrt{13})^{2}
$$

and since

$$
N(15+2 \sqrt{13})=173
$$

is a prime.
15. Addition to paper [1]. The proof of the last part of Theorem 17 in [1], p. 54 , is not in order and may be replaced by the following correct proof:

Let $\omega$ be an $A$-number with the representation

$$
\omega=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}
$$

$\alpha$ and $\beta$ being integers in $\Omega$. Suppose that equation (30) has an infinity of solutions $x=\xi_{n}$ and $y=\eta_{n}$ given by (18) and (29). Put for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$,

$$
\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n} i=\left(\xi_{n}+\eta_{n} i\right)(\alpha+\beta i)
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{n}=\alpha \xi_{n}-\beta \eta_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{n}=\alpha \eta_{n}+\beta \xi_{n}
$$

Then we have

$$
\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n} i=\left(\xi_{n}-\eta_{n} i\right)(\alpha-\beta i)
$$

and

$$
\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n} i\right)\left(\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n} i\right)=\left(\xi_{n}^{2}+\eta_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\omega=\alpha_{n}^{2}+\beta_{n}^{2}
$$

It is easy to see that, in this way, we get an infinity of representations of $\omega$. In fact, supposing

$$
\alpha_{m}=\alpha_{n}, \quad \beta_{m}=\beta_{n}
$$

we get

$$
\xi_{n}+\eta_{n} i=\xi_{m}+\eta_{m} i .
$$

But, in the proof of Theorem 15 we showed that this relation is possible only for $m=n$.
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