

## A theorem of the Phragmén–Lindelöf type for second-order elliptic operators

By LARS LITHNER

### I. Introduction and notations

Let  $R^n$  be the real  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space with coordinates  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ .  $C$  denotes the set of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions on  $R^n$  with compact supports and  $L^2(\Omega)$  is the Hilbert space of all complex-valued square integrable functions on the set  $\Omega$ .

In  $R^1$  let  $D$  be the domain  $\{x | x \geq a\}$  where  $a$  is arbitrary and let  $L$  be the differential operator

$$-\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + \lambda, \lambda > 0.$$

The solutions of  $Lu = 0$  are

$$u(x) = C_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda}x} + C_2 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}x},$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary constants. From this we conclude that if a solution is bounded in the domain  $D$  or if it belongs to  $L^2(D)$  then it decreases like  $e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}x}$  when  $x$  tends to infinity and the same holds for its derivative. In particular,  $ue^{\mu x}$  and  $(du/dx)e^{\mu x}$  belong to  $L^2(D)$  if  $\mu < \sqrt{\lambda}$ .

In this paper we shall extend this result to second-order elliptic differential operators in  $R^n$ .

$$L = - \sum_{i, k=1}^n a_{ik}(x) D_{ik} + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(x) D_k + a(x),$$

where  $D_{ik} = \partial^2 / \partial x_i \partial x_k$ ,  $D_k = \partial / \partial x_k$  and  $a_{ik}(x) = a_{ki}(x)$  (for simplicity we confine ourselves to the real domain).

Giving the result of the general case at the end of the paper we start with the operator  $L = -\Delta + a(x)$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator in  $R^n$  and where  $a$  is positive and continuous or, more generally, locally bounded and Borel measurable. Then we can prove that if  $u$  is a solution of  $Lu = 0$  outside some compact set  $K$  and if  $u$  belongs to  $L^2(R^n - K)$  then, in the same sense as above,  $u$  and its first derivatives decrease exponentially like  $e^{-\varphi(x)}$  when  $|x|$  tends to infinity.  $\varphi(x)$  is the geodetic distance from the origin to the point  $x$  in the metric  $ds^2 = a(x)(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2)$ .

**2. The special case**

Let  $D$  be the domain  $\{x \mid |x| \geq R\}$ , where  $R$  is a positive number and let  $B$  be the boundary of  $D$ .  $L$  is the operator  $-\Delta + a$  where the function  $a$  is strictly positive in  $R^n$ . Let  $\varphi(x)$  be the geodetic distance from the origin to the point  $x$  in the metric  $ds^2 = a(x)(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2)$  that is,  $\varphi(x)$  is the greatest lower bound of

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{a(y)} \sqrt{dy_1^2 + dy_2^2 + \dots + dy_n^2} \right|, \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n),$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a piecewise continuously differentiable curve starting at the origin and ending at  $x$ . Putting further conditions on a  $\varphi$  will be continuously differentiable.

**Lemma 1.**  $|\text{grad } \varphi(x)| \leq \sqrt{a(x)}$ .

*Proof.* It is evident from the definition of  $\varphi$  that

$$|\varphi(x + \Delta x) - \varphi(x)| \leq \left| \int_{\Gamma_0} \sqrt{a(y)} \sqrt{dy_1^2 + dy_2^2 + \dots + dy_n^2} \right|,$$

where  $\Gamma_0$  is the straight line segment joining  $x$  and  $x + \Delta x$ . This gives the inequality.

**Lemma 2.** (Carleman [1].) *If  $u$  belongs to  $L^2(D)$  and is a solution of  $Lu = 0$  then  $\sqrt{a}u$  and  $|\text{grad } u|$  belong to  $L^2(D)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be a positive function in  $C$ . Then we have

$$0 = \int_D u(x) \psi(x) Lu(x) dx = \int_D a(x) \psi(x) u^2(x) dx - \int_D \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ii}(x) u(x) \psi(x) dx,$$

where 
$$u_{ik} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k}.$$

By partial integration we get

$$0 = \int_B M(u) ds + \int_D \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2(x) \psi(x) dx + \int_D \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x) \psi_i(x) u(x) dx + \int_D a(x) \psi(x) u^2(x) dx, \tag{1}$$

where  $M(u)$  contains  $u$  and first derivatives of  $u$  and where  $ds$  denotes the surface element. In the third integral we can integrate by part once more and get

$$\int_D \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x) \psi_i(x) u(x) dx = \int_B M'(u) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_D \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{ii}(x) u^2(x) dx, \tag{2}$$

where  $M'$  is an analogue of  $M$ .

From (1) and (2) we get the following estimates

$$\int_D \psi(x) \text{grad}^2 u(x) dx + \int_D a(x) \psi(x) u^2(x) dx \leq \left| \int_B (M(u) + M'(u)) ds \right| + \frac{1}{2} \int_D \sum_1^n |\psi_{ii}(x)| u^2(x) dx.$$

Letting  $\psi$  tend to 1 in such a way that  $\psi_{ii}$  is bounded we conclude that the lemma is true.

We are now going to prove Theorem 1 below. As before, let  $u \in L^2(D)$  be a solution of  $Lu = 0$  and let  $v$  be a function with locally square integrable first derivatives such that  $\sqrt{a}v$  and  $|\text{grad } v|$  belong to  $L^2(D)$ . An integration by parts gives

$$0 = \int_D v(x) Lu(x) dx = \int_B M(u, v) ds + \int_D \text{grad } u(x) \cdot \text{grad } v(x) dx + \int_D a(x) u(x) v(x) dx, \quad (3)$$

where  $M(u, v)$  contains  $u$ ,  $\text{grad } u$  and  $v$ . In fact, this identity is true if we replace  $v$  by  $\psi v$ , where  $\psi \in C$ . Letting  $\psi$  tend to 1 in such a way that  $|\text{grad } \psi|$  tends to zero, we get the general case.

Now let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and put

$$f_N(x) = \min(e^{2(1-\varepsilon)\varphi(x)}, N),$$

where  $N$  is a positive number and where  $\varphi$  is the function in Lemma 1. It follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that  $\sqrt{a}f_N u$  and  $|\text{grad}(f_N u)|$  belong to  $L^2(D)$ . Thus we can substitute  $f_N u$  for  $v$  in the formula (3) and get

$$0 = \int_B M(u, f_N u) ds + \int_D f_N(x) \text{grad}^2 u(x) dx + \int_D u(x) \text{grad } u(x) \cdot \text{grad } f_N(x) dx + \int_D a(x) f_N(x) u^2(x) dx. \quad (4)$$

From Lemma 1 it follows

$$|\text{grad } f_N(x)| \leq 2(1 - \varepsilon) |\text{grad } \varphi(x)| f_N(x) \leq 2(1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{a(x)} f_N(x). \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5) we get

$$\int_D f_N(x) \text{grad}^2 u(x) dx + \int_D a(x) f_N(x) u^2(x) dx \leq \left| \int_B M(u, f_N \cdot u) ds \right| + 2(1 - \varepsilon) \int_D f_N(x) \sqrt{a(x)} |u(x)| |\text{grad } u(x)| dx.$$

L. LITHNER, *A theorem of the Phragmén-Lindelöf type*

Using Schwarz's inequality we get

$$\varepsilon \left( \int_D f_N(x) \operatorname{grad}^2 u(x) dx + \int_D f_N(x) a(x) u^2(x) dx \right) \leq \left| \int_B M(u, f_N \cdot u) ds \right|.$$

For large  $N$  the right member is a constant and thus, letting  $N$  tend to infinity, we have proved the following

**Theorem 1.** *If  $u$  belongs to  $L^2(D)$  and is a solution of  $Lu=0$ , then for every positive number  $\varepsilon$*

$$\sqrt{a(x)}u(x)e^{(1-\varepsilon)\varphi(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{grad} u(x)|e^{(1-\varepsilon)\varphi(x)}$$

*belong to  $L^2(D)$ .*

### 3. The general case

Consider the operator

$$L = - \sum_{i, k=1}^n a_{ik}(x) D_{ik} + \sum_1^n b_k(x) D_k + a(x),$$

$a_{ik}(x) = a_{ki}(x)$ . We suppose that  $a$ , besides the conditions in Theorem 1, satisfies  $a(x) \geq d > 0$  outside some compact set.

The operator  $L$  is supposed to be uniformly elliptic in  $D$ , that is

$$\sum_{i, k=1}^n a_{ik}(x) \xi_i \xi_k \geq \alpha \sum_1^n \xi_i^2 \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } D,$$

where  $\alpha$  is a positive number. Further we suppose that  $\partial a_{ik}(x)/\partial x_k$  and  $b_k(x)$ ,  $i, k=1, 2, \dots, n$ , tend to zero when  $|x|$  tends to infinity.

With the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove

**Theorem 2.** *If  $u$  belongs to  $L^2(D)$  and is a solution of  $Lu=0$ , then for every positive  $\varepsilon$*

$$ue^{(1-\varepsilon)\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad |\operatorname{grad} u|e^{(1-\varepsilon)\varphi} \quad \text{belong to } L^2(D),$$

*where  $\varphi(x)$  is the geodetic distance from the origin to  $x$  in the metric*

$$ds^2 = a(x) \sum_{i, k=1}^n a'_{ik}(x) dx_i dx_k$$

*( $a'_{ik}(x)$ ) is the matrix which is inverse to  $(a_{ik}(x))$ .*

To show that our theorems in a certain sense are the best possible we give the following

*Example.* Let  $b$  and  $c$  be two positive numbers. The function

$$u(x, y) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{b} x^2 + \sqrt{c} y^2)}$$

satisfies an equation  $-\Delta u + a(x, y)u = 0$ , where  $a(x, y)/bx^2 + cy^2 \rightarrow 1$  when  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  tends to infinity. If  $\varphi(x, y)$  denotes the geodetic distance from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(x, y)$  in the metric  $ds^2 = (bx^2 + cy^2)(dx^2 + dy^2)$  it is easy to see that  $\varphi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{bx^2 + cy^2})$ .

## REFERENCE

- I. CARLEMAN, T. Sur la théorie mathématique de l'équation de Schroedinger. Arkiv mat., astr. och fysik 24, (1934).

Tryckt den 10 april 1964

Uppsala 1964. Almqvist & Wiksells Boktryckeri AB