# Relatively maximal function algebras generated by polynomials on compact sets in the complex plane 

By Jan-Erik Björk

## Introduction

Wermer's maximality theorem states that if $J$ is a Jordan curve in the complex plane the function algebra $P(J)$ generated by polynomials on $J$ is a maximal closed subalgebra of $C(J)$, the algebra of complex-valued continuous functions on $J$. Wermer's theorem can also be stated in the following form: If $g \in C(J)$ is such that the polynomials and $g$ generate a proper function algebra of $C(J)$ then $g$ has an analytic extension to the interior of $J$. In this paper we try to extend Wermer's maximality theorem in the following way: Let $J$ be a Jordan curve in the complex plane. We denote by $H(J)$ the compact set bounded by $J$. Let $F$ be a closed subset of $H(J)$ containing $J$. Suppose $g \in C(F)$ is such that $g$ and the polynomials generate a proper function algebra of $C(F)$. Now we wish to find out if $g$ has an analytic extension from $J$ into the interior of $H(J)$, i.e. if there exists a function $G \in C(H(J))$ such that $G=g$ on $J$ and $G$ is analytic in the interior of $H(J)$. Of course we need some conditions on $F$ to obtain such results. We say that $F$ satisfies (C) if the following holds:
I. $R(F)=C(F)$, where $R(F)$ is the function algebra on $F$ generated by rational functions with poles outside $F$.
2. $H(J)-F$ is connected and $\overline{(F-J)} \cap J \neq J$.

We show in Theorem 1 that if $F$ satisfies $(C)$ and $g \in C(F)$ is such that $g$ and the polynomials generate a proper function algebra of $C(F)$ then there exists an analytic function $G$ in $H(J)-F$ such that $\lim G(z)=g(x)$ as $z \in H(J)-F$ tend to $x \in J$. In the final part of this paper we apply theorems 1 and $\varrho$ to solve an approximation problem on the unit interval. Let $f \in C(I)$, where $I$ is the unit interval. Assume $f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)$ while $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for all other pairs of distinct points $x, y \in I$. If $g \in C(I)$ is such that $g\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \neq g\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)$ we wish to find out if the function algebra on $I$ generated by $f, g$ and the constant functions is $C(I)$. This problem has been discussed in several papers, see for example [1, 2 and 4]. The best result is contained in [2] where it is shown that we get $C(I)$ if $f$ and $g$ are continuously differentiable. A famous example in [3] indicates that some smoothness on $f$ and $g$ is necessary. The example consists of a Jordan arc $K$ in $C^{3}$ such that K is not polynomially convex. This Jordan arc is used to construct a proper function algebra of $C(I)$. Let us now put $J=\{f(x) \mid x \in I\}$. We see that $J$ has one of the following three forms:

The case when $J$ has the form (3) is easy, we get $C(I)$ with no extra assumptions on $f$ and $g$. Also case (2) can be easily reduced to case (1) so we only consider that case. To prove that we now get $C(I)$ we need some conditions on $J$. Obviously $J$ satisfies the condition $(C)$ if $R(J)=C(J)$. We do not know if this alone is sufficient
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to guarantee that we get $C(I)$. In order to prove that we get $C(I)$ we shall need some smoothness of the two Jordan arcs $a$ and $b$ in Fig. 1. It is for example sufficient to have $a$ and $b$ continuously differentiable. Notice that we need no extra condition on $g$. We shall later introduce a condition on $a$ and $b$ which guarantees that we get $C(I)$. This condition is related to difficult problems on analytic extensions using reflection principles. ${ }^{1}$

Before we state the following results we make the following useful remark: Let $F$ be a compact set satisfying ( $C$ ). Now we can use a conformal map of $H(J)$ onto the unit disc. Hence we may assume that $J$ is the unit circle $T$. We point out that all extra conditions we make are invariant under this conformal map. So when we now say that a compact set satisfies $(C)$ it is understood that the Jordan curve $J$ is $T$.

Theorem 1. Let $K$ be a compact set of the unit disc $D$ containing $T$ and satisfying $(C)$. Let $g \in C(K)$ be such that $g$ and the polynomials, generate a proper function algebra $B$ of $C(K)$. If now $m$ is a non zero measure on $K$ annihilating $B$ then

$$
G(z)=\int \frac{g(x) d m(x)}{x-z} / \int \frac{d m(x)}{x-z}
$$

is a bounded analytic function in $D-K$ with

$$
\sup \{|G(z)| \mid z \in D-K\} \leqslant \sup \{\mid g(x) \| x \in K\}
$$

Also $\lim G(z)=g\left(e^{i a}\right)$ exists uniformly as $z \in D-K$ tend to $e^{i a} \in T$.
Before we prove Theorem 1 we wish to state Theorem 2. Let $G(z)$ be as in Theorem 1, hence $G(z)$ is an analytic function in $D-K$. If $z_{0} \in K$ is such that there exists a Jordan arc $J \subset D$ with $J \cap K=\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and $\lim G(z)=a$ exists as $z \in J$ tend to $z_{0}$, then we say that $G$ has the asymptotic value $a$ at $z_{0}$. If $z_{0} \in K$ is such that $\lim G(z)=a$ exists as $z \in D-K$ tend to $z_{0}$, then we say that $G$ has the unrestricted limit value $a$ at $z_{0}$.

Theorem 2. If $G$ has an asymptotic value at some point $z_{0} \in K$ which is different from $g\left(z_{0}\right)$ then there exists an open neighborhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ such that the restriction of $B$ to $K-V$ generates a proper function algebra of $C(K-V)$. There exists a smallest closed subset $F$ of $K$ such that the restriction of $B$ to $F$ generates a proper function algebra of $C(F)$. The function $G$ is analytic in $D-F$ and if $G$ has an asymptotic value at some point $z_{0} \in F$ then $G$ has an unrestricted limit value at $z_{0}$ which equals $g\left(z_{0}\right)$.

Since the proofs are not very short we shall first give some preliminary results. Let $M_{B}$ be the maximal ideal space of $B$. As usual we identify $K$ with a closed sub-

[^0]set of $M_{B}$ and then $K$ contains the Shilov boundary of $B$. If $x \in M_{B}$ there exists a point $\pi(x) \in D$ such that $P(x)=P(\pi(x))$ for every polynomial $P$. We say that $x$ lies above $\pi(x)$ and that $\pi(x)$ lies below $x$. If $V$ is a subset of $D$ we put $\pi^{-1}(V)=\left\{x \in M_{B} \mid\right.$ $\pi(x) \in V\}$. The set $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is called the fiber of $V$ in $M_{B}$. The correspondence between points $z \in D$ and the fibers $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is continuous in the following way: Let $W$ be an open neighborhood of $\pi^{-1}(z)$ in $M_{B}$, then there exists an open neighborhood $V$ of $z$ in $D$ such that $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is contained in $W$. Since $R(K)=C(K)$ and $D-K$ is connected we see that if $z_{0} \in D-K$ then the element $P=z-z_{0}$ in $B$ cannot be invertible. Hence there exists a point $x \in M_{B}$ such that $P(x)=z_{0}$ and it follows that $x$ lies above $z_{0}$. We have now proved that the fibers $\pi^{-1}(z)$ are not empty when $z \in D-K$. We shall later prove that the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is reduced to a single point when $z \in D-K$. If $z \in K$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z)$ contains a trivial point, namely $z$ itself. If $z \in K$ and if $\pi^{-1}(z)$ only consists of this trivial point we say that $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is a trivial fiber. If $z \in T$ is is easily seen that $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is a trivial fiber. For suppose that $x \in \pi^{-1}(z)$. Now we can find a positive measure $v$ on $K$ such that $g(x)=\int g d v$ for all $g \in B$. In particular $P(z)=\int P d v$ for every polynomial. It follows that $v$ is the unit point mass at $z$ and hence $g(x)=g(z)$ for all $g \in B$ which proves that $x=z$. Assume now that we have proved that $\pi^{-1}(z)$ consists of one point $x(z)$ when $z \in D-K$. The function $G(z)=g(x(z))$ is then well defined in $D-K$. We shall later prove that
$$
G(z)=\int \frac{g(x) d m(x)}{x-z} / \int \frac{d m(x)}{x-z}
$$
if $m$ is an arbitrary non zero measure on $K$ annihilating $B$. It follows that $G$ is analytic in $D-K$. Since $K$ contains the Shilov boundary of $B$ in $M_{B}$ we have $\mid g(x(z) \mid \leqslant$ $\sup \{|g(x)| \mid x \in K\}=|g|_{K}$ when $z \in D-K$. If $z \in D-K$ and $\lim z=e^{i a} \in T$ we see that $\lim x(z)=e^{i a}$ holds in $M_{B}$ too, because $\pi^{-1}\left(e^{i a}\right)$ is a trivial fiber. Hence $\lim G(z)=\lim$ $g(x(z))=g\left(e^{i a}\right)$ as $z \in D-K$ tend to $e^{i a}$. If $z \in K-T$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z)$ may be non trivial and then we get troubles. An important result which we shall prove is the following: If $G$ has an asymptotic value at $z_{0} \in K-T$ which is different from $g\left(z_{0}\right)$ then $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ contains exactly two points. Let $x_{1}$ be the non trivial point in $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$. We shall later prove that $\lim G(z)=g\left(x_{1}\right)$ as $z \in D-K$ tend to $z_{0}$, hence $G$ has an unrestricted limit value at $z_{0}$. We can use this to prove that the point $z_{0} \in M_{B}$ has an open neighborhood $W$ in $M_{B}$ such that $\pi(W)$ is contained in $K$. Let then $V$ be an open neighborhood of $z_{0}$ in $D$ such that $\pi(W)$ is contained in $K \cap V$. We can use this fact to prove that the restriction of $B$ to $F=K-V$ generates a proper function algebra of $C(F)$. If $G$ has an asymptotic value at $z_{0} \in K$ which equals $g\left(z_{0}\right)$ we can prove that $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is trivial. It follows that if $z \in D-K$ tend to $z_{0}$ in $D$ then $x(z)$ tend to $z_{0}$ in $M_{B}$. Hence $\lim G(z)=\lim g(x(z))=g\left(z_{0}\right)$, i.e. $G$ has an unrestricted limit value at $z_{0}$. We shall freely use results about function algebras. We refer to [5] and [6] for a discussion about these. Here we state some results which are used in the following proofs. Let $A$ be a function algebra with the maximal ideal space $M_{A}$ and the Shilov boundary $S_{A}$. The set $D_{A}=M_{A}-S_{A}$ is called the interior of $M_{A}$. The Local Maximum Principle is here used in the following form: Let $W$ be a subset of $D_{A}$ and let $b W$ be the topological boundary of $W$ in $M_{A}$, then $|f(x)| \leqslant \sup \{|f(y)| y \in b W\}$ for every $x \in W$. In particular there exists a positive measure $m_{x}$ carried on $b D_{A}$ for each point $x \in D_{A}$ such that $f(x)=\int f d m_{x}$ for all $f \in A$. It follows from this that if $D_{A}$ is not empty then the restriction of $A$ to $b D_{A}$ generates a proper function algebra of $C\left(b D_{A}\right)$. A closed subset $F$ of $M_{A}$ is $A$-convex if for every point $x \in M_{A}-F$ there exists $f \in A$
such that $f(x)>\sup \{|f(y)| y \in F\}$. If $F$ is an $A$-convex subset of $M_{A}$ the function algebra $A_{F}$ on $F$ generated by restricting $A$ to $F$ has $F$ as its maximal ideal space.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $m$ be a non zero measure on $K$ annihilating $B$. Let us put $W(z)=\int g(x) d m(x) / x-z$ and $R(z)=\int d m(x) / x-z$. Obviously $W$ and $R$ are analytic functions in $D-K$. Because $m$ annihilates $B$ we get $\int \bar{z} g(x) d m(x) / 1-\bar{z} x=0$ for $z \in D-K$ and hence $W(z)=\int\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) g(x) d m(x) /(x-z)(1-\bar{z} x)$ when $z \in D-K$. Let us put $K_{1}=(\bar{K}-T)$. By assumption there exists a closed arc $L=\left\{e^{i t} \mid a \leqslant t \leqslant b\right\}$ such that $K_{1} \cap L$ is empty. Hence there exists $r_{0}<1$ such that if $r \geqslant r_{0}$ and $a \leqslant t \leqslant b$ then $r e^{i t} \notin K_{1}$. From now on we always assume that $r \geqslant r_{0}$. We also put $K-T=S$.

Lemma 1. $\varlimsup \int_{a}^{b}\left|W\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right| d t<\infty$ as $r$ tends to 1.
Proof. We have $\int_{a}^{b}\left|W\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right| d t \leqslant \int_{a}^{b} d t \int_{S}|g(x)||d m(x)|\left(1-r^{2}\right) /\left|x-r e^{i t}\right|\left|1-r e^{-i t} x\right|$ $+\int_{r}|g(x)||d m(x)| \int_{a}^{b}\left(1-r^{2}\right) \mid /\left(x-r e^{i t} \mid 2 d t=A(r)+B(r)\right.$. Obviously $\lim A(r)=0$ as $r$ tends to I because $K_{1} \cap L$ is empty, also $B(r) \leqslant 2 \pi \int_{T}|g(x)||d m(x)|$ holds.

Using Lemma 1 we can now choose two different rays $\left\{r e^{i c}\right\}$ and $\left\{r e^{i d}\right\}$ where $a<c<d<b$ such that $\lim W\left(r e^{i c}\right), \lim W\left(r e^{i d}\right), \lim R\left(e^{i c}\right)$ and $\lim R\left(e^{i d}\right)$ all exist finitely as $r$ tends to 1 . We shall need the following elementary result:

Lemma 2. Let $J$ be a Jordan curve in $D$ such that $J \cap T=\left\{e^{i t} \mid c \leqslant t \leqslant d\right\}=J_{1}$. Also $J$ approaches $T$ along the two rays $\left\{r e^{i c}\right\}$ and $\left\{r e^{i d}\right\}$, i.e. $J$ contains the two sets $\left\{r r^{i c} \mid\right.$ $\left.r_{1} \leqslant r<1\right\}$ and $\left\{r e^{i d} \mid r_{1} \leqslant r<1\right\}$ for some $r_{1}<1$. Let $z$ be a point in the interior of J. Let $v$ be the unique positive measure on $J$ such that $P(z)=\int P d v$ for every polynomial $P$. Then $d v\left(e^{i x}\right)=h\left(e^{i x}\right) d x$ when $c<x<d$. Here $d x$ is the Haar measure on $T$ and $h$ is bounded on (c,d).

Lemma 3. With $J$ and $v$ as in Lemma 2 we have $\lim C(r)=\lim \int_{c}^{d} \mid R\left(r e^{i t}\right) g\left(e^{i t}\right)-$ $W\left(r e^{i t}\right) \mid d v\left(e^{i t}\right)=0$ as $r$ tends to 1.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(r) & \leqslant \int_{c}^{d}\left|d v\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| \int_{S_{1}}\left|g\left(r e^{i t}\right)-g(x)\right|\left(1-r^{2}\right)|d m(x)| /\left|x-r e^{i t}\right|\left|1-x r e^{-i t}\right| \\
& +\int_{T}|d m(x)| \int_{c}^{d}\left|g\left(r e^{i t}\right)-g(x)\right|\left(1-r^{2}\right) h\left(e^{i t}\right) /\left.\left|x-r e^{i t}\right|\right|^{2} d t=A(r)+B(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Lemma 1 we see that $A(r)$ tends to zero as $r$ tends to 1 and $B(r)$ tends to zero because $h\left(e^{i t}\right)$ is bounded on ( $\left.c, d\right)$ and $g$ is a continuos function.

Let $M_{B}$ be the maximal ideal space of B . Let $z \in D-K$ and choose $x(z) \in \pi^{-1}(z)$ in $M_{B}$. Now we have:

Lemma 4. $R(z) g(x(z))=W(z)$.
Proof. Choose a Jordan curve $J$ as in Lemma 2 which contains $z$ in its interior. This is possible since $D-K$ is connected. If $r<1$ is sufficiently close to 1 the functions $W_{r}(z)=W(r z)$ and $R_{r}(z)=R(r z)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of the closed set $H(J)$ bounded by J. Hence Runge's theorem shows that we can approximate $W_{r}$ and $R_{r}$ uniformly by polynomials on $H(J)$. Let us put $\hat{J}=\pi^{-1}(H(J))$. If $x \in \hat{J}$ then $\pi(x) \in H(J)$. We define $\hat{W}_{r}(x)=W_{r}(\pi(x))$ and $\hat{R}_{r}(x)=R_{r}(\pi(x))$ on $\hat{J}$. If $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ are
polynomials such that $\lim \left|P_{n}-W_{r}\right|_{H(J)}=0$ then we see that $\lim \left|P_{n}-\hat{W}_{r}\right| \hat{s}=0$. Hence we can approximate $\hat{W}_{r}$ and $\hat{R}_{r}$ uniformly on $\hat{J}$ by functions from $B$. Assume now that the lemma is false. Then we can find $d>0$ such that $\lim \mid R(r z) g(x(z))-$ $W(r z) \mid \geqslant d$. Let $M \geqslant \sup \left\{\left|W_{r}\right|_{-r}+\left|R_{r}\right|_{J-t} \mid r_{0} \leqslant r<1\right\}$. We can find $M$ here because $\lim W\left(r e^{i c}\right), \ldots$ exist finitely. Choose now a polynomial $Q$ such that $Q(z)=1$ while $|Q|_{J-r}<d / 2\left(|g|_{I}+1\right) M$. Let us consider $\pi^{-1}(J)$. Obviously $\pi^{-1}(J)$ contains the topologieal boundary of $\mathcal{J}$ in $M_{B}$. Because $\mathcal{J}-\pi^{-1}(J)$ lies off the Shilov boundary the Local Maximum Principle shows that $|f(x(z))| \leqslant \sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid x \in \pi^{-1}(J)\right\}$ for all $f \in B$. Hence we can also find a positive measure $\lambda$ on $\pi^{-1}(J)$ such that $f(x(z))=\int f d \lambda$ for all $f \in B$. In particular $P(z)=\int P d \lambda$ for every polynomial $P$ and since $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is trivial when $z \in T$ it follows that the restriction of $\lambda$ to $\pi^{-1}(J) \cap T$ is identical to the measure $v$ considered in Lemma 2. It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$
\lim \int_{\pi^{-1}(J) \cap T}|Q|\left|\hat{R}_{r} g-\hat{W}_{r}\right| d \lambda=0
$$

as $r$ tends to 1 . We also have

$$
\int_{\pi^{-1}(J)-T}|Q|\left|\hat{R}_{r} g-\hat{W}_{r}\right| d \lambda<d / 2
$$

Now we obtain a contradiction since

$$
\left|Q\left(\hat{R}_{r} g-\hat{W}_{r}\right)(x(z))\right| \geqslant d
$$

Lemma 4 shows that if $z \in D-K$ is such that $R(z) \neq 0$ then $g(x(z))=W(z) / R(z)$ for all $x(z) \in \pi^{-1}(z)$. It follows that $\pi^{-1}(z)$ consists of one point denoted by $x(z)$. Since $g(x(z))$ is bounded when $z \in D-K$ it follows that the meromorphic function $G(z)=$ $W(z) / R(z)$ is analytic in $D-K$. Now it is also easy to prove that even if $z \in D-K$ is such that $R(z)=0$ then $\pi^{-1}(z)$ consists of one point $x(z)$ and $g(x(z))=G(z)$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Before we prove Theorem 2 we need the following lemma.
Lemma 5. Let $F$ be a compact subset of $(D-J) \cup\{0\}$ where $J$ is a Jordan arc in $D$ having 0 and 1 as endpoints. If now $v$ is a positive measure on $F$ such that $P(0)=$ $\int P d v$ for every polynomial, th:n $v$ is the unit point mass at 0 .
Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $G$ has an asymptotic value at some point $z_{0} \in K$, then we shall prove that $G$ has an unrestricted l:mit value at $z_{0}$. We may assume that $z_{0} \in K-T$ since if $z_{0} \in T$ we have already proved that $\lim G(z)=\lim g(x(z))=g\left(z_{0}\right)$ as $z \in D-K$ tends to $z_{0}$. By assumption there exists a Jordan arc $J$ such that $J \cap K=$ $\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and $\lim G(z)$ exists as $z \in J-\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ tends to $z_{0}$. Let us first assume that the asymptotic value is different from $g\left(z_{0}\right)$. Because $g(x(z))=Q(z)$ when $\mathrm{z} \in D-K$ we see that $\lim x(z)=x_{1}$ exists in $M_{B}$ as $z \in J-\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ tends to $z_{0}$ in $D$. Let $z_{0}$ be the trivial point in $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Now $x_{1} \neq z_{0}$ because $g\left(x_{1}\right)$ is assumed to be different from $g\left(z_{0}\right)$ here. Suppose now that $x_{2} \in \pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is such that $x_{2} \neq x_{1}$ and $z_{0}$. Let us put $F=(Z-J) \cup\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ if $Z$ is a closed dise around $z_{0}$ in $D$ such that $J$ intersects the boundary of $Z$. Now we choose $Z$ so small that $\left|g\left(x_{2}\right)-g(x(z))\right| \geqslant d>0$ when $z \in(Z \cap J)-\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. Now we choose a closed neighborhood $W$ of $x_{2}$ in $M_{B}$ such that $W$ lies off the Shilov boundary and $W$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}(F)$. Let $b W$ be the topological boundary of $W$ in $M_{B}$. It follows that $f\left(x_{2}\right)=\int f d v$ for all $f \in B$, where $v$ is a positive measure on $b W$. In particular $P\left(z_{0}\right)=\int P d v$ for every polynomial. Because $b W$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ Lemma 5
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shows that the support of $v$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$. It follows that $x_{2}$ cannot be a peak point of the function algebra $B\left(z_{0}\right)$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ generated by the restriction of $B$ to $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Hence the Shilov boundary of $B\left(z_{0}\right)$ only contains $x_{1}$ and $z_{0}$. It follows that $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ only consists of $x_{1}$ and $z_{0}$. We now investigate the neighborhoods of $x_{1}$ in $M_{B}$. Let $W$ be a closed $B$-convex neighborhood of $x_{1}$ such that $W$ lies off the Shilov boundary and $z_{0} \notin W$. Suppose now that there exist $y_{n} \in D-K$ such that $y_{n}$ tend to $z_{0}$ in $D$ while $W \cap \pi^{-1}\left(y_{n}\right)$ are empty. For every $n$ we consider the function $f_{n}=$ $\left(z-y_{n}\right)$ on $W$. We see that $f_{n} \in B_{W}$, where $B_{W}$ is the function algebra on $W$ generated by restricting $B$ to $W$. Because $W$ is $B$-convex we know that $W$ is the maximal ideal space of $B_{W}$. Now $f_{n}$ is different from zero on $W$ and hence there exists $g_{n} \in B$ such that $\lim _{n}\left|g_{n} f_{n}-1\right|_{W}=0$. Let $b W$ be the topological boundary of $W$ in $M_{B}$. Let $S=\pi^{-1}(b W)$, obviously $S$ is a closed subset of $D$ and since $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ only consists of $x_{1}$ and $z_{0}$ we see that $z_{0} \oplus S$. Because $y_{n}$ tends to $z_{0}$ in $D$ we may assume that $\left|f_{n}(x)\right|$ $\geqslant \inf \left\{\left|z-y_{n}\right| \mid z \in S\right\} \geqslant d>0$ when $x \in b W$. We may also assume that $\left|g_{n} f_{n}-1\right|_{w}<1$ for all $n$. It follows that $\left|g_{n}\right|_{o w}<2 / d$ and hence also $\left|g_{n}\right|_{w}<2 / d$ for every $n$. Now we get a contradiction since $\lim _{n} f_{n}\left(x_{1}\right) g_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ follows while $\lim _{n}\left|f_{n}\left(x_{1}\right) g_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)-1\right|=0$ also holds. This shows that there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ in $D$ such that $\pi^{-1}$ $(V-K)$ is contained in $W$. Since $\pi^{-1}(z)$ contains only one point when $z \in D-K$ it follows that the trivial point $z_{0}$ of $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ has a neighborhood $U$ in $M_{B}$ such that $\pi(U)$ is contained in $K$. If now $y_{n} \in D-K$ tend to $z_{0}$ in $D$ it follows that $x\left(y_{n}\right) \in \pi^{-1}$ $\left(y_{n}\right)$ must converge to $x_{1}$ in $M_{B}$. Hence $\lim G\left(y_{n}\right)=\lim g\left(x\left(y_{n}\right)\right)=g\left(x_{1}\right)$ which proves that $G$ has an unrestricted limit value at $z_{0}$. We must finally consider the case when $G$ has an asymptotic value at $z_{0}$ which equals $g\left(z_{0}\right)$. This case is simpler than the previous and we can prove that $\pi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is trivial. It follows as above that $G$ has an unrestricted limit value at $z_{0}$ in this case too. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 2. Let $S_{B}$ be the Shilov boundary of $B$. Let us put $W_{1}=\{x \in K-T \mid G$ has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of $x$ and $G(x) \neq g(x)\}$. Clearly $W_{1}$ is a relatively open subset of $K$. If $z_{0} \in W_{1}$ we can choose a neighborhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ in $D$ such that $U \cap K$ is contained in $W_{1}$. Now the previous results show that $\pi(U \cap K)=U \cap K$ and since $R(K)=C(K)$ it follows easily that $U \cap K$ lies in the interior of $S_{B}$. Then the local maximum principle implies that the restriction of $B$ to the set $K_{1}=K-W_{1}$ generates a proper function algebra $B_{1}$ of $C\left(K_{1}\right)$. From now on we work with $B_{1}$ instead of $B$. We can define $G$ with respect to $B_{1}$ and clearly $G$ is the same function as that defined with respect to $B$, i.e. we can represent $G$ with a non zero measure on $K_{1}$ which annihilates $B_{1}$. If we now define $W_{1}=W_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)$ with respect to $B_{1}$, i.e. we put $W_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)=\left\{x \in K_{1}-T \mid G\right.$ has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of $x$ and $G(x) \neq g(x)\}$, then $W_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)$ is empty. So now we assume that $B$ and $K$ are such that $W_{1}$ is empty. Let us now put $W_{2}=\{x \in K-T \mid G$ has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of $x\}$. Clearly $x \in W_{2}$ implies that $G(x)=g(x)$ (since $W_{1}$ is empty) and it follows easily that $W_{2} \cap S_{B}$ is empty. It follows that the restriction of $B$ to the set $K_{2}=K-W_{2}$ generates a proper function algebra of $C\left(K_{2}\right)$. Since we can represent $G$ with any non-zero measure on $K$ annihilating $B$ we see that $K_{2}$ is the smallest subset of $K$ such that the restriction of $B$ to $K_{2}$ generates a proper function algebra of $C\left(K_{2}\right)$.

We shall now discuss how Theorem 1 can be applied to the approximation problem on the unit interval.

Definition. A Jordan arc $J$ in the complex plane satisfies the reflection principle if the following holds: If $z_{0} \in J$ there exists an open dise $Z$ around $z_{0}$ such that if $G$ is
any bounded analytic function in $Z-J$ with the property that $G$ has an unrestricted limit value at a point $z \in J \cap Z$ when $G$ has an asymptotic value at $z$, then it follows that $G$ has an analytic continuation to $Z$.

Definition. A Jordan are is almost smooth if $J$ satisfies the reflection principle and if $R(J)=C(J)$, i.e. the rational functions with poles outside $J$ generate $C(J)$.

We remark here that every smooth Jordan are is almost smooth. We do not know if the condition $R(J)=C(J)$ implies that $J$ is almost smooth. Let us now consider a function $f \in C(I)$ such that $J=\{f(x) \mid x \in I\}$ is of the form in Fig. 1. Let us assume that the two Jordan arcs $a$ and $b$ in (1) are almost smooth. Now we can prove that if $g \in C(I)$ is such that $g\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \neq g\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)$ then the function algebra generated by $t, g$ and the constant functions is $C(I)$. We may assume that $f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=0$ while $g\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=1$ and $g\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=-1$. Let us put $f_{1}=f_{3} f_{2}=g^{2}$ and $f_{3}=f g$. On $J$ we define $\hat{f}_{j}(z)=f_{j}(x)$ where $x \in I$ is such that $f(x)=z$. Obviously $\hat{f}_{j}$ are well defined on $J$. Suppose that the function algebra on $J$ generated by $\hat{f}_{1}, \hat{f}_{2}, \hat{f}_{3}$ and the constant functions is different from $C(J)$. Now our previous results show that $\hat{f}_{2}$ and $\hat{f}_{3}$ have analytic extensions from $\partial J$ into the interior of $J$. Here $\partial J$ is the outer boundary of $J$ (see Fig. 1). Call these extensions $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$. On $\partial J$ we have the relation $z^{2} H_{2}=H_{3}$ and it follows that $H_{3} / z$ is a bounded analytic function in the interior of $J$. Now we can approach 0 along $\partial_{0} J$ in two different ways. We get $\lim H_{3} / z=g\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$ from one way and $\lim H_{3} / z$ $g\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)$ from the other way. Now Montel's theorem (see [7], p. 170) gives a contradiction. It follows that $\hat{f}_{1}, \hat{f}_{2}, \hat{f}_{3}$ and the constant functions generate $C(J)$ and then it is clear that $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ and the constant functions generate $C(I)$ too.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In a forthcoming paper by H. S. Shapiro and Shields it is shown that we get $C(I)$ without any extra conditions on $f$ and $g$. We also remark here that Mergelyan's Theorem shows that $R(J)=C(J)$ is always verified. In a forthcoming paper "Analyticity in the maximal ideal space of a function algebra" by the present author essentiell improvements have been obtained which indicate that $f$ and $g$ generate $C(I)$ in the case where $J$ is only assumed to be a curve with fi nitely many self-intersections.

