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## § 1. Introduction

Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of several complex variables of finite order $\varrho$ and normal type (in what follows, we shall always let the variable $z$ represent an $n$-tuple $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ and $\|z\|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the Euclidean norm).

Following the classical case of functions of one variable [7], we introduce the functions $h(z)=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln |f(r z)|}{r^{Q}}$ and $h^{*}(z)=\varlimsup_{z^{\prime} \rightarrow z} h\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, and we call $h^{*}(z)$ the radial indicator (of growth) function [4, 5, 6].

Both $h(z)$ and $h^{*}(z)$ are positively homogeneous of order $\varrho$; that is for $t>0$, $h(t z)=t^{\circ} h(z)$ and $h^{*}(t z)=t^{\circ} h^{*}(z)$. Lelong has further shown that $h(z)=h^{*}(z)$ except on a set of $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ Lebesgue measure zero, and since both are positively homogeneous of order $\varrho, h(z)=h^{*}(z)$ for almost all $z \in S^{2 n-1}$, the unit sphere in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. The function $h^{*}(z)$ is plurisubharmonic and is independent of the point in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ chosen as origin (thus, if $f(z) \neq 0$, it will always be possible to assume, without loss of generality, that $f(0) \neq 0$ ).

There are certain properties of the classical indicator function of one variable which have no counterpart for $n$ variables ( $n \geqq 2$ ). The classical indicator function is continuous [7, p. 54], but Lelong [6] has shown that this is no longer necessarily true for $n \geqq 2$. His method was to construct (for all $\varrho$ ) a non-continuous plurisubharmonic function complex homogeneous of order $\varrho$.

For functions of one variable, the growth of the function $f(z)$ is determined by the density and distribution of the zeros [7]. In particular, the regularity of the distribution of the zeros determines the regularity of the function $f(z)$ and the regularity of the indicator function. Our criteria for regularity of growth will be the following: Let $E$ be a measurable set of positive numbers and $E_{r}=E \cap[0, r)$. If $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{meas}\left(E_{r}\right)}{r}=0, E$ is said to be a set of zero relative measure (an $E^{0}$-set).

Definition. A function $f(z)$ of finite order $\varrho$ and normal type will be said to be of completely regular growth along a ray (rz), $r \in[0, \infty)$, if $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln |f(r z)|}{r^{\circ}}=h^{*}(z)$ where $r$ takes on all values in the complement of some $E^{0}$-set; $f(z)$ will be said to be of completely regular growth for a (measurable) set $D$ if $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth for almost all $z \in D$ (i.e. except perhaps on a set of Lebesgue measure zero). The $E^{0}$-set will in general depend upon $z$.

Paragraphs 2 and 3 extend several results known to be true for the classical indicator function to the case of several variables. In § 4, it is shown that if in some region there are not too many zeros of the function, then the indicator function satisfies a Lipschitz condition in the projection on the unit sphere, and hence by homogeneity is continuous in the cone containing the region with vertex at the origin.

## § 2. Global properties of the distribution of zeros

To investigate the behavior of the function, we shall need some inequalities relating the functions $h_{f, r}=\frac{\ln |f(r z)|}{r^{o}}$ and $h^{*}(z)$ on compact sets.

Lemma 2.1. If $f(z)$ is of order $\varrho$ and finite type, there is a constant $T_{0}$ such that $\left|h^{*}(z)\right| \leqq T_{0} \|\left. z\right|^{2}$.

Proof. If $B$ is the type of $f(z)$, then $h^{*}(z) \leqq B\|z\|^{\circ}$. Furthermore, $h^{*}(z) \geqq h(z)$, so there exists a $T>1$ such that in every complex line in which $f(z) \neq 0$, $h(z) \geqq-T B\|z\|^{\circ}$ (see [7, p. 21]). But then, by the upper semicontinuity of $h^{*}(z)$, $\left|h^{*}(z)\right| \leqq\left. T B|z|\right|^{e}$.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.2. Let $k_{t}(z)(t \in[0, \infty)$ ) be a family of plurisubharmonic functions uniformly bounded above on each compact set and let $k(z)=\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} k_{t}(z)$. Then for every compact set $K$ and every continuous $l(z) \geqq k(z)$, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $T_{\varepsilon}$ such that $t \geqq T_{s}$ implies $k_{t}(z) \leqq l(z)+\varepsilon$ for all $z \in K$.

Proof. The proof can be found in Hörmander [1], p. 283.
There exists a real valued positive $C^{\infty}$ function $\alpha(z)$ with compact support such that $\alpha(z)$ depends only on $\|z\|$ and $\int \alpha(z) d V=1$. Let $\alpha_{\delta}(z)=\frac{1}{\delta^{2 n}} \alpha(z / \delta)(\delta \leqq 1)$ and $h_{\delta}^{*}(z)=\int \alpha_{\delta}\left(z^{\prime}-z\right) h^{*}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d V^{\prime}$. Then $h_{\delta}^{*}(z)$ is $C^{\infty}$. It follows from the mean value property of the plurisubharmonic function $h^{*}(z)$ that $h_{\delta}^{*}(z) \geqq h^{*}(z)$, and it follows from the upper semicontinuity of $h^{*}(z)$ that $\lim h_{\delta}^{*}(z)=h^{*}(z)$ (pointwise convergence).

Lemma 2.3. For every compact set $K$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$, given $\varepsilon>0,1 \geqq \delta>0$, there exists an $R_{\delta, \varepsilon}$ such that

$$
h_{f, r}(z)=\frac{\ln |f(r z)|}{r^{o}} \leqq h_{\delta}^{*}(z)+\varepsilon \text { for } r \geqq R_{\delta, \varepsilon} \text { and } z \in K
$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Lemma 2.2.
We now establish some of the global properties of the zero set of an entire function of finite order and normal type. To do this, we shall need a measure of the zero set. We follow here the development of Lelong [3]. Let

$$
d_{z}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} d z_{j} \text { and } d_{\bar{z}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} d \bar{z}_{j} .
$$

We introduce the current of integration $\tau=\frac{i}{\pi} d_{z} d_{\bar{z}} \ln |f(z)|$. Let $\beta=\frac{i}{2} d_{z} d_{\bar{z}}\|z\|^{2}$ and $\quad \alpha_{a}=\frac{i}{2} d_{z} d_{z} \ln \|z-a\|^{2} \quad(z \neq a)$.

The powers $\beta^{p} / p$ ! are elements of volume of complex dimension $p$, and the powers $\alpha_{a}^{p} / p$ ! are elements of volume of complex dimension $p$ in the complex projective space $C P^{n-1}$ of complex lines emanating from $a$. Assuming $f(a) \neq 0$, we pose the measures

$$
\sigma=\tau \wedge \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \text { and } \nu_{a}=\frac{1}{\pi^{n-1}} \tau \wedge \alpha_{a}^{n-1}
$$

The common support of $\sigma$ and $\nu$ is just the zero set of $f(z)$. We introduce the functions $\sigma_{a}(r)=\int_{\|z-a\| \leq r} \sigma$ and $v_{a}(r)=\int_{\|\tilde{\sim}, a\| \leq r} v_{a}$. Both are positive increasing functions of $r$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{a}(r)=\frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \frac{\sigma_{a}(r)}{r^{2 n-2}} . \tag{2.00}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$, then the Laplacian is

$$
\Delta=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j}^{2}}\right)=4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{j}} .
$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\tau \wedge \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}(\Delta \ln |f(z)|) \frac{\beta^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.01}
\end{equation*}
$$

The volume of the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ is $\frac{\pi^{n}}{n!}$ and the area of the unit sphere is $\frac{2 \pi^{n}}{(n-1)!}$. Let $\omega_{2 n-1}^{(a)}$ be the measure of area of the unit sphere centered at $a$.

Lemma 2.4. (Jensen formula) If $f(a) \neq 0$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{v_{a}(t) d t}{t}=\frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\sigma_{a}(t) d t}{t^{2 n-1}}=\frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} \ln |f(a+r w)| \omega_{2 n-1}^{(a)}-\ln |f(a)|
$$

Proof. The classical Jensen formula is valid in every complex line. The lemma then follows from integrating over all complex lines.
Q.E.D.

Since we shall be primarily concerned with the case $a=0$, we shall write $\nu(r)$ to mean $v_{0}(r), \sigma(r)$ to mean $\sigma_{0}(r)$, and $\omega_{2 n-1}$ to mean $\omega_{2 n-1}^{(0)}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z)$ be a function of finite order $\varrho$ and normal type such that $f(0) \neq 0$. Let $N(r)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{v(t) d t}{t}$. Then $\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{r^{v}} \leqq \frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h^{*}(w) \omega_{2 n-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
\frac{N(r)}{r^{\varrho}}=\frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} \frac{\ln |f(r z)|}{r^{\varrho}} \omega_{2 n-1}-\frac{\ln |f(0)|}{r^{\varrho}}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, given $\varepsilon>0, \delta>0$, for $r$ sufficiently large $\frac{\ln |f(r z)|}{r^{\varrho}} \leqq$ $h_{\delta}^{*}(z)+\varepsilon$ on the unit sphere. Combining and letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{r^{Q}} \leqq \frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h_{\delta}^{*}(w) \omega_{2 n-1}$.

By Lemma 2.1, we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, from which it follows that

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{r^{Q}} \leqq \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h_{\delta}^{*}(w) \omega_{2 n-1}=\frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h^{*}(w) \omega_{2 n-1}
$$

Corollary. $\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r)}{r^{e}} \leqq \frac{e \varrho(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h^{*}(w) \omega_{2 n-1}$.
Proof. $\frac{\nu(r)}{r^{\varrho}} \leqq \frac{\varrho}{r^{o}} \int_{r}^{r^{1} / \varrho} \frac{\nu(t) d t}{t} \leqq \varrho \frac{N\left(e^{1 / e} r\right)}{r^{\varrho}}=e^{\varrho N\left(e^{1 / \varrho} r\right)}\left(e^{1 / \varrho} r\right)^{\varrho} \quad$ from $\quad$ which one has $\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r)}{r^{\varrho}} \leqq e \varrho \varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{r^{\varrho}}$

Remark. It follows from the corollary that if $f(z)$ is an entire function of finite order $\varrho$ and finite type $B$ that

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r)}{r^{\varrho}}=\frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(r)}{r^{\varrho+2 n-2}} \leqq e \varrho B
$$

Lemma 2.5. If $\phi(r)$ is a locally bounded function and

$$
\bar{\Delta}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(r)}{r^{Q}}, \underline{\Delta}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(r)}{r^{e}}, \text { we have for } \alpha>0,
$$

(i) $\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{1}{r^{\rho}} \int_{\alpha}^{r} \frac{\phi(t) d t}{t}\right\} \leqq \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\varrho}$
(ii) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{1}{r^{e}} \int_{\alpha}^{r} \frac{\phi(t) d t}{t}\right\} \geqq \frac{\Delta}{\varrho}$.

Proof. See Levin [7, p. 34].
Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order @ and normal type. If $f(z) \neq 0$ and if $f(0) \neq 0$, then

$$
\varliminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r)}{r^{o}} \leqq \frac{\varrho(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h^{*}(w) \omega_{2 n-1}
$$

and equality holds if $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.5, $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu(r)}{r^{2}} \leqq \varrho \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r)}{r^{Q}}$. If $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth, it is of completely regular growth along almost all rays and so is of completely regular growth along almost every ray in almost every complex line passing through the origin. Let $(u w)(\|w\|=1)$ be a complex line in which $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth along almost every ray. Since it is of completely regular growth on a dense set of rays, it is of completely regular growth in the sense of one variable [7, pp. 141-142] and so by the theorem for one variable, [7, p. 173], setting $n_{w}(r)=\{$ number of zeros of $f(u w)$ of modulus less than $r\}$, we have

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{w}(r)}{r^{\varrho}}=\frac{\varrho}{r^{\circ}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h\left(e^{i \theta} w\right) d \theta
$$

This holds for almost all complex lines. Integrating over all complex lines and applying Fatou's lemma (since all the integrands are positive)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\varrho(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h^{*}(w) \omega_{2 n-1} \geqq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu(r)}{r^{o}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|z\| \leq r} \frac{1}{r^{o}} \frac{\tau \wedge \alpha_{0}^{n-1}}{\pi^{n-1}} \\
\geqq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C P^{n-1}} \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \frac{\alpha_{0}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{n_{w}(r)}{r^{Q}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geqq \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_{C P^{n-1}} \frac{x_{0}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{w}(r)}{r^{\varrho}} \\
& \geqq \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_{C P^{n-1}} \frac{x_{0}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\varrho}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h\left(e^{i \theta} w\right) d \theta \\
& \geqq \frac{\varrho(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|w\|=1} h(w) \omega_{2 n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $h^{*}(z)=h(z)$ almost everywhere on the unit sphere, so equality must hold everywhere in the above equations.
Q.E.D.

## § 3. Functions of completely regular growth in a region

We now introduce a notation which will be useful in what follows. Let $D$ be any subset of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. We denote by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{r}=\{t z ; \quad z \in D, \quad t \in[0, r]\} \\
D_{\infty}=\{t z ; \quad z \in D, \quad t \in[0, \infty)\}
\end{array}
$$

We show that if $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth in a region in which the indicator function is harmonic, then the density of zeros in that region is quite small.

Lemma 3.1. Let $n \geqq 2$ and let $\left(r w_{0}\right)$ be a ray of completely regular growth $\left(\left\|w_{0}\right\|=1\right)$. Let $D=\left\{w ;\|w\|=1, \quad\left\|w-w_{0}\right\|<\eta / 2\right\}$ and define $\quad \sigma_{D}(r)=\int_{D_{r}} \sigma$.
Then there is a constant $k(\eta)$ such that

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{D}(r)}{r^{g+2 n-2}}=k(\eta)\left[\frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|\boldsymbol{a}\|=1} h^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right) \omega_{2 n-1}-h^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)\right]
$$

Proof. Since $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth along the ray ( $r w_{0}$ ), for any fixed $s_{0}, f\left(s w_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for almost all $s \geqq s_{0}$. For such $s \geqq s_{0}$, we form the sphere of radius $\eta s$ centered at $\left(s w_{0}\right)$. Then by Lemma 2.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|a\|=1} \ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}+\eta s a\right)\right| \omega_{2 n-1}-\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \geq \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{\eta s} \frac{\sigma_{s v_{0}}(t) d t}{t^{2 n-1}} \geq \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \sigma_{s w_{0}}(3 s \eta / 4) \int_{\frac{3 s \eta}{4}}^{t^{2 n-1}} \frac{d t}{t^{2 n}} \\
& \geq k_{1}(\eta) \frac{\sigma_{s w_{0}}(3 s \eta / 4)}{s^{2 n-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now divide by $s$, integrate from $s_{0}$ to $r$, and interchange the order of integration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|a\|=1} \omega_{2 n-1} \int_{s_{0}}^{r} \frac{\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}+\eta s a\right)\right| d s}{s}-\int_{s_{0}}^{r} \frac{\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}\right)\right| d s}{s} \\
& \geq k_{1}(\eta) \int_{s_{0}}^{r} \frac{\sigma_{s w_{0}}(3 s \eta / 4) d s}{s^{2 n-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We first examine the right hand side of this inequality. Let $r=s_{0}(1+\eta / 4)^{m}+c$ for some integer $m$ such that $\frac{r}{s_{0}(1+\eta / 4)^{m}}<(1+\eta / 4)$. Let

$$
D_{q}=D_{s_{0}(1+\eta / 4)^{q}} \backslash D_{s_{0}(1+\eta / 4)^{q-1}} \quad(q=1, \ldots, m)
$$

If $s_{0}(1+\eta / 4)^{q-1} \leq s \leq s_{0}(1+\eta / 4)^{q}, \quad$ then $\quad D_{q} \subset B\left(s w_{0} ; 3 s \eta / 4\right)$ (the ball of radius $3 s \eta / 4$ centered at $s w_{0}$ ), since $z^{\prime} \in D_{q}$ means that $z^{\prime}=\frac{s z}{\|z\|}$ satisfies $\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\|=\left\|\frac{\| z}{\|z\|}-z\right\|=\mid s-\|z\|<\eta s / 4$, and $\left\|z^{\prime}-s w_{0}\right\|<\eta s / 2$ so $\left\|z-s w_{0}\right\|<3 s \eta / 4$.

Hence, if $a_{q}=s_{0}(\mathbf{l}+\eta / 4)^{q},\left(a_{q}-a_{q-1}\right) \int_{D_{q}} \sigma \leq \int_{a_{q-1}}^{a_{q}} \sigma_{s w_{q}}(3 s \eta / 4) d s$.
Dividing by $a_{q}^{2 n-1}$ and noting that $a_{q-1} \leq s \leq a_{q}=a_{q-1}(1+\eta / 4)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left(1-a_{q-1} / a_{q}\right)}{a_{q}^{23-2}} \int_{a_{q-1}}^{a_{q}} d \sigma_{D}(s) \leq \frac{1}{a_{q}^{2 n-1}} \int_{a_{q-1}}^{a_{q}} \sigma_{s w_{0}}(3 s \eta / 4) d s \leq \int_{a_{q-1}}^{a_{q}} \frac{\sigma_{s u_{0}}(3 s \eta / 4) d s}{s^{2 n-1}} \\
\frac{\eta / 4}{(1+\eta / 4)^{2 n-1}} \int_{a_{q-1}}^{a_{q}} \frac{d \sigma_{D}(s)}{s^{2 n-2}} \leq \int_{a_{q-1}}^{a_{q}} \frac{\sigma_{s w_{0}}(3 s \eta / 4) d s}{s^{2 n-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Summing over all $q$, we have

$$
k_{2}(\eta) \int_{s_{0}}^{r /(1+\eta / 4)} \frac{d \sigma_{D}(s)}{s^{2 n-2}} \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|a\|=1} \omega_{2 n-1} \int_{s_{0}}^{r} \frac{\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}+\eta s a\right)\right| d s}{s}-\int_{s}^{r} \frac{\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}\right)\right| d s}{s}
$$

Integrating the left hand side of this last inequality by parts, we have

$$
\left.\int_{s_{0}}^{r /(1+\eta / 4)} \frac{d \sigma_{D}(s)}{s^{2 n-2}}=\frac{\sigma_{D}(s)}{s^{2 n-2}}\right]_{s_{0}}^{r(1+\eta / 4)}+(2 n-2) \int_{s_{0}}^{r /(1+\eta / 4)} \frac{\sigma_{D}(s) d s}{s^{2 n-1}} \geq \frac{\sigma_{D}(s)}{s^{2 n-2}} \int_{s_{0}}^{r /(1+\eta / 4)}
$$

We now examine the right hand side of the inequality. For all $\varepsilon>0$ and $\delta, 1>\delta>0$, and for $s$ sufficiently large, $\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}+\eta s a\right)\right| \leq\left(h_{\delta}^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right)+\varepsilon\right) \cdot s^{a}$ by lemma 2.3, which implies that asymptotically

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\|a\|=1} \omega_{2 n-1} \int_{s_{0}}^{r} \frac{\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}+\eta s a\right)\right| d s}{s}<o\left(r^{o}\right)+\int_{\|a\|=1}\left(h_{\delta}^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right)+\varepsilon\right) \omega_{2 n-1} \int_{s_{0}}^{r} s^{\varrho-1} d s \\
\leq o\left(r^{Q}\right)+\frac{r^{o}}{\varrho} \int_{\|a\|=1}\left(h_{\delta}^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right)+\varepsilon\right) \omega_{2 n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\left(r w_{0}\right)$ is a ray of completely regular growth, by [7, p. 144], for $r$ sufficiently large, $\frac{1}{r^{o}} \int_{s_{0}}^{r} \frac{\ln \left|f\left(s w_{0}\right)\right| d s}{s} \geq \frac{1}{\varrho} h^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)-\varepsilon$. Hence, for $r$ sufficiently large, $\left(\frac{\sigma_{D}(r /(1+\eta / 4))}{r^{\varrho+2 n-2}}-\frac{\sigma_{D}\left(s_{0}\right)}{r^{\circ} s_{0}^{2 n-2}}\right) \leq k_{3}(\eta)\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|a\|=1} h_{\delta}^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right) \omega_{2 n-1}-h^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)+k \varepsilon$ for some constant $k$. Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{D}(r)}{r^{\varrho+2 n-2}} \leq k_{4}(\eta)\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|a\|=1} h_{\delta}^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right) \omega_{2 n-1}-h^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)
$$

for all $\delta$. Applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, since $h^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} h_{\delta}^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right)$,

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{D}(r)}{r^{e+2 n-2}} \leqq k_{4}(\eta)\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi^{n}} \int_{\|\boldsymbol{a}\|=1} h^{*}\left(w_{0}+\eta a\right) \omega_{2 n-1}-h^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $f(z)$ be of finite order $\varrho$ and normal type. Let $D$ be an open subset of the unit sphere such that $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth in $D$. If in addition $h^{*}(z)$ is pluriharmonic in $D_{\infty}$, then for any set $K$ relatively compact in D, $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{K}(r)}{r^{\varrho+2 n-2}}=0$.

Proof. For each $w \in K$, there is an $\eta_{w}$ such that the set $D_{w}=\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1\right.$, $\left.\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|<8 \eta_{w}\right\}$ is contained in $D$. Since $K$ is compact, it is covered by a finite number of the sets $D_{w}^{\prime}=\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1,\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|<\eta_{w}\right\}$. We shall index these sets $D_{w_{i}}^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, N$. Since the set of rays on which $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth is dense in $D$, there is a $w_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left\|w_{i}^{\prime \prime}-w_{i}^{\prime}\right\|<\eta_{w_{i}}$ and $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth along the ray $\left(r w_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then $D_{w_{i}}^{\prime} \subset D_{w_{i}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1\right.$, $\left.\left\|w^{\prime}-w_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|<2 \eta_{w_{i}}\right\}$ and $\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1,\left\|w^{\prime}-w_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|<4 \eta_{w_{i}}\right\} \subset D$.

By Lemma 3.1, we have

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{D_{w_{i}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}(r)}{r^{Q+2 n-2}}=0 . \\
& \frac{\sigma_{K}(r)}{r^{g+2 n-2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\sigma_{D_{w_{i}^{\prime \prime}}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)}{r^{g+2 n-2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

In the next section we shall prove a converse to this theorem.
Remark 1. If $h^{*}(z)$ is pluriharmonic in a region $D$, it follows from the homogeneity of $h^{*}(z)$ that it is pluriharmonic in the region $D_{\infty}$.

Remark 2. It is actually sufficient to assume $h^{*}(z)$ only harmonic in $D$ (see Lemma 4.10).

## § 4. Regions of low density of zeros

As was remarked after Theorem 2.1, for an entire function of finite order and normal type, the quantities $\nu(r) / r^{o}$ and $\sigma(r) / r^{o+2 n-2}$ are bounded. In this chapter, we shall investigate regions in which the density of zeros as determined by the measure $\sigma$ is small - that is, regions $D$ for which $\sigma_{D}(r)=o\left(r^{o+2 n-2}\right)$. We base our work on the paper of Lelong [3] in which he works out an $n$-dimensional analogue of the classical Hadamard theorem. We shall always assume that $f(0) \neq 0$.
$\neq 0$.
We form the canonical potential by taking $h_{n}(a, z)=\frac{1}{\|z-a\|^{2 n-2}}(n \geqq 2)$ and expanding it in a neighborhood of the origin $z=0$ in a series of homogeneous polynomials $(a \neq 0)$

$$
h_{n}(a, z)=\frac{1}{\|a\|^{2 n-2}}+P_{1}(a, z)+P_{2}(a, z)+\ldots P_{i}(a, z)+\ldots
$$

The polynomials $P_{i}(a, z)$ are harmonic in $z$. We define

$$
e_{n}(a, z, q)=-h_{n}(a, z)+\frac{1}{\|a\|^{2 n-2}}+P_{1}(a, z)+\ldots+P_{q}(a, z)
$$

Then $e_{n}(a, z, q)$ as well as all its partial derivatives up to order $q$ are zero in a neighborhood of the origin. Letting $k_{n}=(n-2)!/ 2 \pi^{n-1}$, we form the integrals $I_{q}(z)=$ $k_{n} \int \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)$, where $q$ is the smallest integer such that $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \nu(t)}{t^{q+1}}$ converges (which is equivalent to the condition that $q$ be the smallest integer such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(t)}{t^{q+1}}=0$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{v(t) d t}{t^{q+2}}<\infty$ hold simultaneously).

If $f(z)$ is of finite order $\varrho$, then we can write $f(z)=Q(z) \exp (P(z))$, where $I_{q}(z)=\ln |Q(z)|, \quad q \leqq \varrho$, and $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $q^{\prime} \leqq \varrho$. If $f(z)$ is of normal type, then $Q(z)$ is also of order (at most) $\varrho$ and normal type (with respect to order $\varrho$ ).

Lemma 4.1. Let $h^{*}(z)$ be the indicator function of $f(z)$ and let $k^{*}(z)$ be that of $Q(z)$ (with respect to order $\varrho$ ). Then (i) $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth along a ray ( $r z$ ) if and only if $Q(z)$ is of completely regular growth along (rz); (ii) $h^{*}(z)$ is continuous at a point $z_{0}$ if and only if $k^{*}(z)$ is continuous at $z_{0}$; (iii) $h^{*}(z)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition $\left|h^{*}(w)-h^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq K\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|^{s}(s \leqq 1)$ on a subset of the unit sphere if and only if $k^{*}(z)$ does; (iv) if $q \leqq \varrho-1$, then $k^{*}(z) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Let $q^{\prime}$ be the degree of $P(z)$. We decompose $P(z)$ into homogeneous polynomials: $P(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{q^{\prime}} p_{i}(z)$. Let $l(z)=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \frac{P(r z)}{r^{\varrho}}$. If $q^{\prime}<\varrho$, then $l(z)=0$ and the limit exists along all rays. If $q^{\prime}=\varrho, l(z)=\operatorname{Re} p_{q^{\prime}}(z)$, and again the limit exists along all rays. Hence

$$
h(z)=k(z)+l(z) \text { and so } h^{*}(z)=k^{*}(z)+l(z)
$$

from which (i) and (ii) follow.
Let $j=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right)$ be a multi-index with $|j|=j_{1}+\ldots+j_{n}$. We expand $p_{q^{\prime}}$ in its Taylor series expansion around $w,\|w\|=1, \quad p_{q^{\prime}}=\sum_{j=0}^{q^{\prime}} a_{j}(w)(z-w)^{j}$. In particular, if $w^{\prime}$ is such that $\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1$, since $a_{j}(w)$ is bounded on the unit sphere and $\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\| \leqq 2$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re} p_{q^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{Re} p_{q^{\prime}}(w)\right| \leqq\left|p_{q^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)-p_{q^{\prime}}(w)\right|=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{q^{\prime}} a_{j}(w)\left(w^{\prime}-w\right)^{j}\right| \leqq T| | w^{\prime}-w \|
$$

for some appropriate constant $T$, from which (iii) follows.
It is shown in [3] that $q=\varrho-1$ implies that $Q(z)$ is of minimal type with respect to $\varrho$, so $k(z) \equiv k^{*}(z) \equiv 0$ in this case. If $q<\varrho-1$, then $Q(z)$ is of order less than $\varrho$, so again $k(z) \equiv k^{*}(z) \equiv 0$, from which (iv) follows. Q.E.D.

Thus, in considering questions of continuity, Lipschitz conditions on subsets of the unit sphere, or regions of completely regular growth for $h^{*}(z)$, it is enough to consider the function $Q(z)$, or alternately $I_{q}(z)=\ln |Q(z)|$.

For entire functions of one variable, the Cartan estimate [7, p. 19] is used to estimate the function off the zero set. We develop here an $n$-dimensional counterpart ( $n \geqq 2$ ) which will serve the same purpose.

Lemma 4.2. Let $D$ be an open set contained in the ball of radius $r$ about the origin and let $A=\int_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\sigma}$. Then given $W, 1>W>0$, there exists a set $Q$ of measure less than $W r^{2 n}$ such that for $z \notin Q, \int_{D} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|z-a\|^{2 n-2}} \leqq \frac{C A}{W r^{2 n-2}}$.

Proof. We may assume that $\|z\| \leq 2 r$. Let $\sigma^{\prime}=\left.\sigma\right|_{D}$, the measure $\sigma$ restricted to $D$, and $\sigma_{z}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\|x-a\| \leq t} \sigma^{\prime}$.

We pose $\tilde{\sigma}^{\prime}(z)=\sup _{B \ni z} \frac{\sigma^{\prime}(B)}{\lambda(B)}, B$ a ball containing $z$ and $\lambda$ Lebesgue measure. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(z)=\int_{D} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|z-a\|^{2 n-2}}=\int_{0}^{3 r} \frac{d \sigma_{z}^{\prime}(t)}{t^{2 n-2}}=\left.\frac{\sigma_{z}^{\prime}(t)}{t^{2 n-2}}\right|_{0} ^{3 r}+(2 n-2) \int_{0}^{3 r} \frac{\sigma_{z}^{\prime}(t)}{t^{2 n-2}} d t \leqq \tilde{\sigma}(z) r^{2} K_{n} \\
& \text { so }\left\{\left\{z ; F(z)>\frac{C A}{W r^{2 n-2}}\right\} \subset\left\{z ; K_{n} \tilde{\sigma}_{D}(z)>\frac{C A}{W r^{2 n}}\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Hardy maximal theorem (cf. [2], p. 67)

$$
\lambda\left\{z ; \tilde{\sigma}^{\prime}(z)>s\right\} \leq \frac{4^{2 n}}{s} \nu(1)=\frac{4^{2 n}}{s} A
$$

so $\lambda\left\{z ; F(z)>\frac{C A}{W r^{2 n-2}}\right\} \leq \frac{4^{2 n} K_{n}}{C} W r^{2 n}$. It remains to choose $C=4^{2 n} K_{n}$. Q.E.D.
We shall be dealing with functions which are harmonic in a given region, and we shall need an estimate of their growth locally. The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 4.3. If $g(z)$ is a harmonic function for $\|z\| \leq R$ and $A_{g}(r)=\max _{\|z\|=r} g(z)$, then there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
A_{g}(r) \leq\left[A_{g}(R)-g(0)\right] K \frac{r / R}{[1-r / R]^{2 n}}+|g(0)| \text { for } r<R
$$

Proof. We first assume $R=1$. We begin with the Poisson integral representation

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{\Omega_{2 n-1}} \int_{\|w\|=1} g(w) \frac{1-\|z\|^{2}}{\|w-z\|^{2 n}} \omega_{2 n-1}
$$

where $\Omega_{2 n-1}$ is the surface area of the unit sphere $\|w\|=1$. Subtracting $0=\frac{1}{\Omega_{2 n-1}} \int_{\|w\|=1} g(w) \omega_{2 n-1}-g(0) \quad$ we have

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{\Omega_{2 n-1}} \int_{\|w\|=1} g(w)\left[\frac{1-\|z\|^{2}-\|w-z\|^{2 n}}{\|w-z\|^{2 n}}\right] \omega_{2 n-1}+g(0)
$$

If we set $g(z)=1$,

$$
0=\frac{1}{\Omega_{2 n-1}} \int_{\|w\|=1}\left[\frac{1-\|z\|^{2}-\|w-z\|^{2 n}}{\| w-\left.z\right|^{2 n}}\right] \omega_{2 n-1}
$$

From which

$$
-g(z)=\frac{1}{\Omega_{2 n-1}} \int_{\|w\|=1}\left[A_{g}(1)-g(w)\right]\left[\frac{1-\|z\|^{2}-\|w-z\|^{2 n}}{\|w-z\|^{2 n}}\right] \omega_{2 n-1}-g(0)
$$

Taking the absolute value of both sides, we have

$$
|g(z)| \leq\left[A_{g}(1)-g(0)\right]\left|\frac{(1+r)^{2 n}-1+r^{2}}{(1-r)^{2 n}}\right|+|g(0)|
$$

If $R \neq 1$, we merely divide $z$ by $R$ to reduce it to the above case.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.4. Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $s>0$ such that if $\varrho<q+1$ and $D$ is a measurable set with $a \in D$ implying $\|a\| \geq \operatorname{sr}(\|z\|=r)$, then $\left|\int_{D} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)\right| \leq \varepsilon r^{e}$
for $r$ sufficiently large.

Proof. In [3] it is shown that there exist constants $\tau$ and $C_{1}(n, q)$ such that for $\|a\| \geq \frac{\|z\|}{\tau}$,

$$
\left|e_{n}(a, z, q)\right| \leq \frac{C_{1}\|z\|^{q+1}}{\|a\|^{2 n-1+q}}
$$

Thus, if $s \geq 1 / \tau$,

$$
\left|\int_{D} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)\right| \leq C_{1} r^{q+1} \int_{\|a\| \geq s r} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\left\|a_{\|}\right\|^{2 n-1+q}}
$$

Integrating the right hand side by parts, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\boldsymbol{D}} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)\right| \leq \frac{-C_{1} r^{q+1} \sigma(s r)}{(s r)^{2 n+q-1}}+C_{2} r^{q+1} \int_{s r}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(t) d t}{t^{2 n+q}} \leq C_{2} r^{q+1} \int_{s r}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(t) d t}{t^{2 n+q}}
$$

since $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(r)}{r^{2 n-1+q}}=0$. Since $f(z)$ is of order at most $\varrho$ and normal type, for $t$ sufficiently large, $\sigma(t) \leqq C_{3} e^{+2 n-2}$ and so

$$
\left|\int_{D} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)\right| \leqq C_{2} C_{3} r^{q+1} \int_{s r}^{\infty} t^{e^{-q-2}} d t \leqq C_{4} r^{q+1}(s r)^{o-q-1}=C_{4} r^{a} s^{g-q-1}
$$

Finally we choose $s$ so large that the inequality is satisfied.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.5. Given $s \geqq 0$, there is a constant $C_{0}(n, q, s)$ such that if $D_{0}=D \cap\{a ;\|a\| \leqq s r\} \quad(\|z\|=r)$, then

$$
\left|\int_{D_{0}} \sigma(a)\left[P_{1}(a, z)+\ldots+P_{q}(a, z)\right]\right| \leqq C_{0}\left|\int_{D_{0}} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|a\| \|^{2 n-2+q}}\right| r^{q} .
$$

Proof. It is shown in [3] that there are positive constants $b_{n, m}$ such that

$$
\left|P_{1}(a, z)+\ldots+P_{q}(a, z)\right| \leqq \frac{\|z\|^{q} s^{q}}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}}\left[1+b_{n, 1} s^{-1}+\ldots+b_{n, q} s^{-q}\right]
$$

from which the lemma follows.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\left\|w_{0}\right\|=1$. Then given $\varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$, there exists an $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\text { measure }_{\omega_{2 n-1}}\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1,\left\|w^{\prime}-w_{0}\right\|<\delta, \quad k^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \geqq k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)-\varepsilon\right\}>\eta
$$

Proof. Since $k^{*}(z)$ is upper semicontinuous, there is a $\delta_{0}>0$ such that $k^{*}(z) \leqq k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)+\varepsilon / 4^{2 n+2}$ for $\left\|z-w_{0}\right\|<\delta_{0}$. Then there is a $\delta_{1} \leqq \min \left(\delta_{0}, \delta\right)$ such that the polydise $\Delta\left(w_{0} ; \delta_{1}\right) \subset\left\{z ;\left\|z-w_{0}\right\|<\delta_{0}\right\}$.

By plurisubharmonicity,

$$
k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right) \leqq \frac{1}{\pi^{n} \delta_{1}^{2 n}} \int_{\Delta\left(w_{0} ; \delta_{1}\right)} k^{*}(z) d V \leqq k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{4^{2 n+2}}
$$

and so

$$
\text { measure }_{\mathbf{C}^{n}}\left\{z ; z \in \Delta\left(w_{0} ; \delta_{1}\right), k^{*}(z) \leqq k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)-\varepsilon / 4\right\} \leqq \frac{\pi^{n} \delta_{1}^{2 n}}{4^{2 n+1}}
$$

If we now consider the polydise $A^{\prime}=\Delta\left(w_{0} ; \delta_{1} / 4\right)$, we have

$$
\text { measure }_{\mathbf{C}^{n}}\left\{z ; z \in \Delta^{\prime}, k^{*}(z) \geqq k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)-\varepsilon / 4\right\} \geqq \frac{3 \pi^{n} \delta_{1}^{2 n}}{4^{2 n}}
$$

Since $A^{\prime} \subset B\left(w_{0} ; \sqrt{n} \delta_{1} / 2\right)$ and since the diameter is the longest line segment in $B\left(w_{0} ; \sqrt{n} \delta_{1} / 2\right)$,
measure $_{\omega_{2 n-1}}\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1, \quad w^{\prime} \in \Delta^{\prime} \quad\right.$ and $\quad t w^{\prime} \in \Delta^{\prime}$ for some $t, k^{*}\left(t w^{\prime}\right) \geqq$ $\left.k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)-\varepsilon / 4\right\}$

$$
\geqq \frac{3 \pi^{n} \delta_{1}^{2 n}}{4^{2 n}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{n} \delta_{1}}=\frac{\pi^{n} \delta_{1}^{2 n-1}}{2 \sqrt{n} 4^{2 n-1}}
$$

Let $B$ be the type of the function $Q(z)(B<\infty)$. Then for $\|w\|=1,\left|k^{*}(w)\right| \leqq T_{0}$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus

$$
\left.\left|k^{*}(z)-k^{*}\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}\right)\right|=\left|k^{*}\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}\right)\left(1-\|z\|^{\alpha}\right)\right| \leqq T_{0}|1-\| z|^{e^{\alpha}} \right\rvert\, .
$$

If we restrict $\delta_{1}$ so that $|1-\| z|^{\varrho} \mid<\varepsilon / 2 T_{0}$ for $\left\|z-w_{0}\right\|<\delta_{1}$, then $\mid k^{*}(z)-$ $k^{*}(z /| | z \|) \mid<\varepsilon / 2$ and

$$
\text { measure }_{\omega_{2 n-1}} \quad\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1, \quad k^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \geqq k^{*}\left(w_{0}\right)-\varepsilon\right\} \geqq \frac{3 \pi^{n} \delta_{1}^{2 n-1}}{2 \sqrt{n} 4^{2 n-1}} \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

Lemma 4.7. Let $B$ be a set of measure less than $W r^{2 n}$ and let $\zeta>0$. For $\|w\|=1$, let $E_{w}=\{t ; t w \in B\}$ and $E=\left\{w ;\right.$ measure $\left.E_{w} \geqq \zeta r\right\}$. Then measure $_{\omega_{2 n-1}}(E)<\frac{T^{\prime} W}{\zeta^{2 n}}$ for some constant $T^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\chi$ be the characteristic function of $B$ and $T_{0} r^{2 n-1}$ the area of the sphere of radius $r$. Then

$$
T_{0} \int_{\zeta r / 2}^{\infty} \chi l^{2 n-1} d t \leq T_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi t^{2 n-1} d t \leq W r^{2 n}
$$

For any $w$ such that measure $\left(E_{w}\right) \geq \zeta r$, we have measure $\{t: t \geqq \zeta r / 2, \quad t w \in B\} \geq \zeta r / 2$, so if $\eta=$ measure $_{\omega_{\omega_{2 n-1}}}(E)$

$$
T_{0}\left[\frac{\zeta}{2}\right]^{2 n-1} r^{2 n-1} \frac{\eta \zeta r}{2}<W r^{2 n} \text { or } \eta<\frac{2^{2 n} W}{T_{0} \zeta^{2 n}}
$$

Lemma 4.8. Let $D$ be an open subset of the unit sphere $S^{2 n-1}$ and $D^{\prime}=S^{2 n-1} \backslash D$. Let $J(z)=k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)$. Then $J(z)$ is harmonic in $D_{\infty}$.

Proof. Since any point in $D_{\infty}$ lies outside the support of the measure $\sigma(a)$ restricted to $D_{\infty}^{\prime}$, we can differentiate under the integral sign. But - $1 /\|z-a\|^{2 n-2}$ is harmonic for $z \neq a$.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order $\varrho$ and normal type such that $\varrho$ is not an integer and $f(0) \neq 0$. Let $D$ be an open subset of the unit sphere. If for every relatively compact subset $K$ of $D, \sigma_{K}(r)=\int_{K_{r}} \sigma=o\left(r^{o+2 n-2}\right)$, then $h^{*}(z)$ is continuous in $D_{\infty}$ and satisfies a Lipschitz condition $\left|h^{*}(w)-h^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq T(K)\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$ in $K$.

Proof. By homogeneity, it is enough to prove $h^{*}(z)$ continuous in $D$. By Lemma 4.1, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $Q(z)$ and $k^{*}(z)$. If $q \leq \varrho-1$, $k^{*}(z) \equiv 0$ and the theorem is immediate, so we assume $\varrho<q+1$.

The proof of the theorem, which is quite long, will be divided into several parts.
(i) Since $K$ is relatively compact, there is an open subset $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ such that $K$ is relatively compact in $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$ is relatively compact in $D$. Let $D^{\prime \prime}=S^{2 n-1} \backslash D^{\prime}$. We begin by defining the function

$$
J(z)=k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{*}} \sigma(\alpha) e_{n}(a, z, q)
$$

which is well defined by virtue of Lemma 4.4. If $\|z\|=r$, it also follows from


$$
\left|\int_{D^{{ }_{s}^{s r}}} \sigma(a)\left[P_{1}(a, z)+\ldots+P_{q}(a, z)\right]\right| \leqq C_{0}(n, q, s) r^{q} \int_{D^{\Delta} s r} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}} .
$$

It follows from the remark after Theorem 2.1 that $\sigma_{D^{n}}(r)=\int_{D^{\prime \prime} r} \sigma \leqq \sigma(r) \leqq C r^{\alpha+2 n-2}$
for some constant $C$.
Integrating by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{q} \int_{D^{*} s r} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}} & =\left[\int_{0}^{\sigma^{*}} \frac{d \sigma_{D^{\prime}(t)}^{t^{2 n-2+q}}}{}\right] r^{q} \\
& \left.=\frac{\sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+q}}\right]_{0}^{s r} r^{q}+(2 n-2+q) r^{q} \int_{0}^{s r} \frac{\sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t) d t}{t^{2 n-1+q}} \\
& \leqq C s^{a-q} r^{Q}+(2 n-2+q) r^{q} C \int_{0}^{s r} t^{Q-q-1} d t \\
& \leqq C_{1}(n, q, s) r^{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

so for $r$ large enough, $J(z) \leqq C_{2} r^{o}$ for some constant $C_{2}$. We now define $j(z)=$ $\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} J(r z) / r^{o}$ and $j^{*}(z)=\varlimsup_{z^{\prime} \rightarrow z} j\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, which are bounded above on the unit sphere and positively homogeneous of order $\varrho$.
(ii) We have the identity $\ln |Q(z)|=k_{n} \int \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)$, so $\ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right|=$ $k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) e_{n}\left(\alpha, r w^{\prime}, q\right)+J\left(r w^{\prime}\right)$ for $\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1$. Again applying Lemma 4.4, for $r$ and $s^{\prime}$ sufficiently large, $\left|k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{\prime} \backslash D_{s^{\prime} r}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) e_{n}^{\prime}\left(a, r w^{\prime}, q\right)\right| \leqq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} r^{\rho}$; and by Lemma 4.5,

$$
\left|\int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}^{\prime}} \sigma(a)\left[P_{1}\left(a, r w^{\prime}\right)+\ldots+P_{q}\left(a, r w^{\prime}\right)\right]\right| \leqq C_{0}(n, q, s) r^{q} \int_{D_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime} r} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}} .
$$

Integrating by parts and making use of the hypothesis that for large enough $t, \sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)<\mu t^{\varrho+2 n-2}$ for arbitrarily small $\mu$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{q} \int_{D^{\prime} s^{\prime} r} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}} & =\int_{0}^{s^{\prime} r} \frac{d \sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+q}} \\
& \left.=\frac{\sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+q}}\right]_{0}^{s^{\prime} r} r^{q}+(2 n-2+q) r^{q} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime} r} \frac{\sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t) d t}{t^{2 n-1+q}} \\
& \leqq \frac{\varepsilon r^{\varrho}}{4 C_{0}}+\mu(2 n-2+q) r^{q} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime} r} t^{o-q-1} d t \\
& \leqq \frac{r^{\varrho} \varepsilon}{2 C_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $r$ sufficiently large so that $\mu$ is sufficiently small. Thus

$$
\ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}} \sigma(\alpha)\left[\frac{-1}{\left\|a-r w^{\prime}\right\|^{2 n-2}}\right]+\frac{3 \varepsilon r^{Q}}{4}+J\left(r w^{\prime}\right)
$$

and so $k\left(w^{\prime}\right) \leqq j\left(w^{\prime}\right)$. But then $k^{*}(z) \leqq j^{*}(z)$ since both are homogeneous of order $\varrho$. We also observe that by Lemma 2.1, this also implies that $j(z)$ is bounded below on the unit sphere. We note that from the above estimates, we also have

$$
\ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right|+k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\left\|a-r w^{\prime}\right\|^{2 n-2}}+\frac{3 \varepsilon r^{Q}}{4} \geqq J\left(r w^{\prime}\right), \quad\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1 .
$$

(iii) Let $\alpha=d\left(K, D^{\prime \prime}\right)>0$ and let $w \in K$. Let $w^{\prime}$ be such that $\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|<\alpha / 4$. By Lemma 4.8, $J(z)$ is harmonic in $D_{\infty}^{\prime}$.

By (i), there is a constant $C_{2}$ such that $J(z) \leq C_{2} r^{\circ}$ for $\|z\|=r, r$ sufficiently large.

Let $\phi(\xi)=J(\xi+r w)$ for $\quad\|\xi\| \leq \alpha r / 2$. Then $\phi(\xi) \leq C_{2}(1+\alpha / 2)^{\circ} r^{e} \quad$ and $J\left(r w^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(r\left[w^{\prime}-w\right]\right), J(r w)=\phi(0)$. Applying Lemma 4.3 to the harmonic function $\phi(\xi)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(r w^{\prime}\right)-J(r w) & =\phi\left(r\left[w^{\prime}-w\right]\right)-\phi(0) \leq A_{\varphi-\varphi(0)}\left(r\left[w^{\prime}-w\right]\right) \leq \\
& \leq A_{\varphi-\varnothing(0)}\left(\frac{\alpha r}{2}\right) \frac{2 K}{\alpha} \frac{\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n}} \\
& \leq K(\alpha) r^{r^{\prime}}\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

On dividing by $r^{o}$ and letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ through a sequence of values of which $J\left(r w^{\prime}\right) / r^{o} \rightarrow j\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, we have that $j\left(w^{\prime}\right)-j(w) \leq T_{0}\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|$ for some constant $T_{0}$. On reversing the roles of $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ in the above reasoning, we get that $j(w)-j\left(w^{\prime}\right) \leq T_{0}\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$ so $\left|j\left(w^{\prime}\right)-j(w)\right| \leqq T_{0}\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|$. Since $J(z)$ is harmonic in $D^{\prime}$, by Fatou's lemma, $j^{*}(z)$ is subharmonic there, and hence $j(z)=$ $j^{*}(z)$ almost everywhere in $D^{\prime}$ [5]. But then by continuity, $j(z)=j^{*}(z)$ everywhere in $D^{\prime}$, so $j^{*}(z)$ is continuous in $D^{\prime}$. If $\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\| \geq \alpha / 4$, surely there is a constant $T_{1}$ such that $\left|j^{*}(w)-j^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq T_{1}\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$ since $j^{*}(z)$ is bounded on the unit sphere. Thus, there is a $T(K)$ such that $\left|j^{*}(w)-j^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq T(K)| | w-w^{\prime}| |$ for $w \in K$.
(iv) Returning to the function $\phi(\xi)$, we let $\xi=\lambda r w, 0 \leq \lambda \leq \alpha / 4$. Then $J([1+\lambda] w r)-J(w r) \leq \frac{K(\alpha) C_{2}(1+\alpha / 2)^{\rho} r^{\varrho} \lambda}{\alpha}=T_{0} r^{\rho} \lambda$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given, and let $r_{n}$ be a sequence such that $r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $j^{*}(w)-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leqq \frac{J\left(r_{n} w\right)}{r_{n}^{Q}}$. Then $J\left(w r_{n}\right)-J\left(\frac{w r_{n}}{1+\lambda}\right) \leqq \frac{T_{0} r_{n}^{\varrho} \lambda}{(1+\lambda)^{\varrho}}$ so $j^{*}(w)-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}-T_{0} \lambda \leqq \frac{J\left(w r_{n} / 1+\lambda\right)}{r_{n}^{e}}$ for $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq \alpha / 4$. For $\alpha^{\prime} \leqq \alpha$ so small that $T_{0} \lambda<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad$ for $\quad \lambda \leqq \alpha^{\prime} / 4$ and $j^{*}(w)-\frac{3 \varepsilon}{4} \leqq$ $\left(1+\alpha^{\prime} / 4\right)^{\rho}\left[j^{*}(w)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]$ for $\lambda<\alpha^{\prime} / 4$, we see that there exists a sequence $r_{n}^{\prime}=$ $\frac{r_{n}}{\left(1+\alpha^{\prime} / 4\right)}$ such that $j^{*}(w)-\frac{3 \varepsilon}{4} \leqq \frac{J(w r)}{r^{o}}$ holds for all $r$ such that $r_{n}^{\prime} \leqq r \leqq$ $r_{n}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{4}\right)$. Since $j^{*}(w)$ is bounded on the unit sphere, the choice of $\alpha^{\prime}$ is independent of $w$. Since $J(w r)-J\left(r w^{\prime}\right) \leqq T_{0} r^{\circ}\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$ for $\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|<\alpha^{\prime} / 4$ and since $\left|j^{*}(w)-j^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq T(K)\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$, there is a $\beta>0$ (independent of $w$ ) such that $j^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)-\varepsilon \leqq \frac{J\left(w^{\prime} r\right)}{r^{\varrho}}$ holds on a sequence $r_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ for all $r$ such that $r_{n}^{\prime} \leqq r \leqq\left(1+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{4}\right) r_{n}^{\prime}$ for all $w^{\prime}$ such that $\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|<\beta$.
(v) Let $w \in K$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. We begin with the inequality established in (ii)

$$
\ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right|+k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\left\|a-r w^{\prime}\right\|^{2 n-2}}+\frac{3 \varepsilon r^{Q}}{4} \geqq J\left(w^{\prime} r\right),\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1
$$

Let $\delta=\min \left(\beta, \frac{\varepsilon}{T(K)}\right)$. By Lemma 4.6, there is an $\eta_{\delta}>0$ such that there exists a set $E, E=\left\{w^{\prime} ;\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1,\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|<\delta, \quad\left|k^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)-k^{*}(w)\right|<\varepsilon\right\}$ with measure $_{\omega_{2 n-1}}(E)>\eta_{\delta}$.

Since $\sigma_{D^{\prime}}(r)=o\left(r^{\varrho+2 n-2}\right)$, by Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.7, there exists an $R_{0}$ such that for $r \geq R_{0}, \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\left\|a-r w^{\prime}\right\|^{2 n-2}} \leq r^{o} \varepsilon$ for $w^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$ with measure $\omega_{\omega_{2 n-1}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)<$ $\eta_{\delta} / 2$ except perhaps for a set of $r$ of measure less than $\alpha^{\prime} r / 8$. Since we have convergence almost everywhere there exists an $R_{0}^{\prime}$ such that for $r \geq R_{0}^{\prime}, k^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon$ $\geq \frac{\ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right|}{r^{Q}}$ except on a set of $\omega_{2 n-1}$ measure less than $\eta_{\delta} / 4$. Then, for $r_{n} \geq$ $\max \left(R_{0}, R_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, there exists a $w_{0}^{\prime} \in E$ and an $r, r_{n} \leqq r \leqq\left(1+\alpha^{\prime} / 4\right) r_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
k^{*}(w) & +4 \varepsilon \geq k^{*}\left(w_{0}^{\prime}\right)+3 \varepsilon \geq \frac{\ln \left|Q\left(r w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|}{r^{o}}+2 \varepsilon \\
& \geq \frac{\ln \left|Q\left(r w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|}{r^{o}}+\frac{1}{r^{o}} k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}^{\prime}} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\| a-\left.r w_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2 n-2}}+\frac{3 \varepsilon}{4} \\
& \geq \frac{J\left(r w_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{r^{Q}} \geq j^{*}\left(w_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\varepsilon \geq j^{*}(w)-2 \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, $k^{*}(w) \geq j^{*}(w)$ in $D^{\prime}$. Since both are homogeneous of order $\varrho, k^{*}(z) \geq j^{*}(z)$ in $D_{\infty}^{\prime}$, and by (i) they are equal in $D_{\infty}^{\prime}$, from which the desired result follows.
Q.E.D.

As in the case of one variable, the case $\varrho=q$ must be treated separately. It was established in [3] that for $\varrho=q, f(z)$ is of normal type if and only if the integrals $\int_{\text {of }} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z)$ are bounded in absolute value on all compacts independent

Lemma 4.9. Let $\|w\|=\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1$. Then there exists a constant $T^{\prime}$ such that $\left|P_{q}(a, w)-P_{q}\left(a, w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq \frac{T^{\prime}| | w-w^{\prime} \|}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}}$.

Proof. The polynomial $P_{q}(a, w)$ is homogeneous of order $q$ in $w$. Let $k$ be a multi-index of order $2 n$, and let $w=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ be a $2 n$-tuple. Then $P_{q}(a, w)=\sum_{|k|=q} c_{k}(a) w^{k}$, and there are constants $T_{k}$ such that $\left|c_{k}(a)\right| \leq \frac{T_{k}}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}}$; $T_{k}$ is independent of $a$. By performing a rotation (if necessary) we may assume that $w=(1,0, \ldots, 0,0)$. Let $a^{\prime}$ be the rotated $a$, and let $k_{0}=(q, 0, \ldots, 0,0)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|P_{q}(a, w)-P_{q}\left(a, w^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|c_{k_{0}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)-c_{k_{0}}\left(a^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime k_{0}}-\sum_{\substack{\mid k_{j}=\bar{k}_{q} \\
k \neq k_{0}}} c_{k}\left(a^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime k}\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|c_{k_{0}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\mathbf{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left|x_{1}^{\prime q-1}+\ldots+\mathbf{1}\right|+\sum_{\substack{|k|=q \\
k \neq k_{0}}}\left|c_{k}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|w^{\prime k}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}}\left[q T_{k_{0}}+\sum_{\substack{\mid k_{j}=q \\
k \neq k_{0}}} T_{k}\right]\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\quad\left|1-x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$ and $\quad\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$, and since $\left|y_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$ for all $i, \quad\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$ for $i \neq 1$.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order $\varrho$ and normal type such that $\varrho$ is an integer and $f(0) \neq 0$. Let $D$ be an open subset of the unit sphere. If for every relatively compact subset $K$ of $D, \sigma_{K}(r)=\int_{K_{T}} \sigma$ satisfies $A(K)=\int_{0} \frac{d \sigma_{K}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+q}}<\infty$, then $h^{*}(z)$ is continuous in $D_{\infty}$ and satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$
\left|h^{*}(w)-h^{*}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq T(K)\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\| \text { in } K
$$

Proof. By homogeneity, its is enough to prove $h^{*}(z)$ continuous in D. By Lemma 4.1, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $Q(z)$ and $k^{*}(z)$. If $q \leq \underline{o}-1$, $k^{*}(z) \equiv 0$, and the theorem is immediate, so we assume $\varrho=q$. Since $Q(z)$ is also of normal type, we have $\int_{\|a\| \leq s} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z)$ bounded in absolute value on every compact set independent of $s$. Since $P_{q}(a, z)$ is homogeneous of order $q$, there is a constant $C_{q}$ such that $\left|\int_{\|a\| \leq s} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z)\right| \leq C_{q}\|z\|^{q}$. Furthermore, there is a constant $T_{q}$ such that $\left|P_{q}(a, z)\right| \leq \frac{T_{q}\|z\|^{\mid q}}{\|a\|^{2 n-2+q}} \quad[3, \mathrm{p} .376]$.
(i) Since $K$ is relatively compact, there is a compact subset $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ such that $K$ is relatively compact in $D^{\prime}$. We set $D^{\prime \prime}=S^{2 n-1} \backslash D^{\prime}$ and introduce the functions

$$
J_{s}(z)=k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)+k_{n} \int_{D_{s}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z, q)=J_{s}^{(1)}(z)+J_{s}^{(2)}(z) .
$$

This is well defined by virtue of Lemma 4.4. Also, by Lemma 4.4, for $s^{\prime}$ sufficiently large and for $\|z\|=r$ so large that $s^{\prime} r \geq s$, we have $\left|k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} \backslash D^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime} r} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q)\right| \leq$
$r^{q} ;$ and by Lemma 4.5,

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime \prime} r}} \sigma(a) e_{n}(a, z, q-1) \leq\left|k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}} \sigma(a)\left[P_{1}(a, z)+\ldots+P_{q-1}(a, z)\right]\right| \\
\leq C_{0}(n, q-1, s) r^{q-1} \int_{D_{s^{\prime}} s^{\prime} r} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|a\|^{2 n-3+q}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Making use of the majoration $\sigma_{D^{n}}(t) \leq \sigma(t) \leq C t^{q+2 n-2}$ and integrating by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{q-1} \int_{D^{\prime}} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\|a\|^{\prime} r} \frac{2 n-3+q}{}=r^{q-1} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime} \tau} \frac{d \sigma_{D^{u}}(t)}{t^{2 n-3+q}} \\
& \left.=\frac{\sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-3+q}}\right]_{0}^{s^{\prime} r} r^{q-1}+(2 n-3+q) r^{q-1} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime} r} \frac{\sigma_{D^{m}}(t) d t}{t^{2 n-2+q}} \\
& \leq C s^{\prime} r^{q}+(2 n-3+q) C s^{\prime} r^{q}=C^{\prime} r^{q} \\
& \text { and } \\
& \left|k_{n} \int_{\left.D^{\prime \prime} s_{r}\right\rangle D^{\prime \prime}} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z)+k_{n} \int_{\|a\| \leq s} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z)\right| \\
& =\left|k^{n} \int_{\|a\| \leq r s^{\prime}} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z)-k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r}>D_{s}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) P_{q}(a, z)\right| \leq\left[C_{q}+A\left(D^{\prime}\right) T_{q}\right] r^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $r$ sufficiently large, there is a positive constant $A_{0}$ independent of $s$ such that $J_{s}(z) \leq A_{0}\|z\|^{q}$. We introduce the functions

$$
j_{s}(z)=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J_{s}(r z)}{r^{q}} \leq A_{0}\|z\|^{q}, \quad j(z)=\varlimsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} j_{s}(z), \quad j^{*}(z)=\varlimsup_{z^{\prime} \rightarrow z} j\left(z^{\prime}\right) \leq A_{0}\|z\|_{q} .
$$

All of these are positively homogeneous of order $q$.
(ii) $\quad \ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right|-J_{s}\left(r w^{\prime}\right)=k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{\prime} \backslash D^{D_{s}^{\prime}}} \sigma(a) e_{n}\left(a, r w^{\prime}, q\right)+k_{n} \int_{D_{s}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) e_{n}\left(a, r w^{\prime}, q-1\right)$
for $\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. By Lemma 4.4, there is an $s^{\prime \prime}$ such that for $r$ sufficiently large, $\left|k_{n} \int_{D_{\infty}^{\prime} \backslash} \sigma(\alpha) e_{n}\left(\alpha, r w^{\prime}, q\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon r^{q}}{3}$. We choose $s_{0}$ so large that, posing $C_{0}=C_{0}\left(n, q, s^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of Lemma 4.5, $\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d \sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+q}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3 C_{0}}$. For $s \geq s_{0}$ and $r$ so
large that $s^{\prime \prime} r \geq s$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{n} \int_{D_{s^{\prime} r r} \backslash D_{s}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) e_{n}\left(a, r w^{\prime}, q\right) \leq\left|k_{n} \int_{D^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}>D^{\prime}} \sigma(a)\left[P_{1}\left(a, r w^{\prime}\right)+\ldots+P_{q}\left(a, r w^{\prime}\right)\right]\right| \\
\leq C_{0}\left(n, q, s^{\prime \prime}\right) r^{q} \int_{s}^{s^{\prime \prime} r} \frac{d \sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+q}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon r^{q}}{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

by Lemma 4.5. Also, by Lemma 4.5, for $r$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{n} \int_{D_{s}^{\prime}} \sigma(a) e_{n}\left(a, r w^{\prime}, q-1\right) \leq \mid k_{n} \int_{D_{s}^{\prime}} \sigma(a)\left[P_{1}\left(a, r w^{\prime}\right)+\ldots+P_{q-1}\left(a, r w^{\prime}\right)| |\right. \\
\leq C_{0}(n, q-1,1) r^{q-1} \int_{0} \frac{d \sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-3+q}}<\frac{\varepsilon r^{q}}{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\frac{\ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right|}{r^{q}} \leq \frac{J_{s}\left(r w^{\prime}\right)}{r^{q}}+\varepsilon$ for $s \geq s_{0}$ and $r$ sufficiently large; hence, for $s \geq s_{0}, j_{s}(z)+\varepsilon \geq k(z)$ so $j^{*}(z) \geq k^{*}(z)$, and $j_{s}(z)$ and $j^{*}(z)$ are bounded below on the unit sphere for $s \geq s_{0}$ since $k(z)$ is.
(iii) Let $\alpha=d\left(K, D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and assume $\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|<\alpha / 4$. By Lemma 4.9, $\left.\left|\frac{J_{s}^{(2)}(r w)}{r^{q}}-\frac{J_{s}^{(2)}\left(r w^{\prime}\right)}{r^{q}}\right| \leq A\left(D^{\prime}\right) T^{\prime} \right\rvert\, w-w^{\prime} \|$, and since $P_{q}(a, z)$ is homogeneous of order $q$, the limit exists along all rays. By Lemma 4.8, $J_{s}^{(1)}(z)$ is harmonic in $D_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and by (i), for $s \geq s_{0}$ and $r$ large enough, there is a constant $A_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $\frac{J_{s}^{(1)}\left(r w^{\prime}\right)}{r^{q}} \leq A_{0}^{\prime}$ uniformly in $s$. By applying the same reasoning as in (iii) of Theorem 4.1, we conclude that there is a constant $T_{0}$ independent of $s$ and $w$ such that $\left|j_{s}(w)-j_{s}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq T_{0}\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|$. It then follows that $j(z)$ and $j^{*}(z)$ satisfy the same inequality.
(iv) Since $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J_{s}^{(2)}(r w)}{r^{q}}$ exists along all rays, by virtue of Lemma 4.9, we can repeat the reasoning in section (iv) of Theorem 4.1; we see that for all $w \in K$, there exist sequences $r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and constants $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ (independent of $w$ and $s$ ) such that

$$
j_{s}\left(w^{\prime}\right)-\varepsilon \leq \frac{J_{s}\left(r w^{\prime}\right)}{r^{q}} \leq j_{s}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon \text { for }\left\|w^{\prime}-w\right\|<\beta
$$

and all $r$ such that $r_{n} \leq r \leq r_{n}\left(1+\alpha^{\prime} / 4\right)$.
(v) The inequality $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \sigma_{D^{\prime}}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+q}}<\infty$ implies $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{D^{\prime}}(r)}{r^{2 n^{2}+q}}=0$ [3, p. 373]. Given $\varepsilon>0$, by choosing $s_{0}$ sufficiently large, we have for $s \geq s_{0}$ and $r$ sufficiently large

$$
\ln \left|Q\left(r w^{\prime}\right)\right|+\varepsilon r^{q}+\int_{D_{s^{\prime}},} \frac{\sigma(a)}{\left\|a-r w^{\prime}\right\|^{2 n-2}} \geq J_{s}\left(r w^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|=1$ by (ii). By repeating the reasoning in (v) of Theorem 4.1, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{*}(w)+4 \varepsilon \geq j_{s}(w)-2 \varepsilon \text { for } w \in K \tag{4.00}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $k^{*}(w)+6 \varepsilon \geq \varlimsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} j_{s}(w)$, and since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, $k^{*}(w) \geq j^{*}(w)$ since $k^{*}(w)$ is upper semicontinuous. Since both are homogeneous of order $q, k^{*}(z)=j^{*}(z)$ in $K$, from which the theorem follows.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.10. If $g(z)$ is a real valued function harmonic and plurisubharmonic in an open set $E$, then $g(z)$ is pluriharmonic in $E$.

Proof. If $g(z)$ is harmonic in $E$, it is $C^{\infty}$, hence continuous. Let $z_{0} \in E$. Then for any sphere $S_{z_{0}}^{2 n-1}$ contered at $z_{0}$, the average over $S_{z_{0}}^{2 n-1}$ is $g\left(z_{0}\right)$. If in some complex line through $z_{0}, g\left(z_{0}\right)$ were strictly less than its average over the disc centered at $z_{0}$, by continuity it would be less over a whole neighborhood of complex lines, and hence $g\left(z_{0}\right)$ would be strictly less than its average over $S_{z_{0}}^{2 n-1}$, which would be a contradiction. Thus equality holds in every complex line, and $g(z)$ is pluriharmonic.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order $\varrho$ and normal type such that $f(0) \neq 0$. Let $D$ be an open subset of the unit sphere such that for any relatively compact subset $K$ of $D$
(i) $\sigma_{K}(r)=o\left(r^{\varrho+2 n-2}\right)$ for $\varrho$ not an integer
(ii) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \sigma_{K}(t)}{t^{2 n-2+\varrho}}<\infty$ for $\varrho$ an integer.

Then if $f(z)$ is of completely regular growth in $D, h^{*}(z)$ is pluriharmonic in $D_{\infty}$.
Proof. We write $f(z)=Q(z) \exp (P(z))$, and we decompose $P(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{q} p_{i}(z)$ into homogeneous polynomials. Let $l(z)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} P(r z)}{r^{\varrho}}$. Then $h^{*}(z)=k^{*}(z)+l(z)$. If $\varrho<q, l(z)=0$, and if $\varrho=q, l(z)=$ Re $p_{q}(z)$; hence, it is sufficient to consider $k^{*}(z)$. If $q \leq \varrho-1, k^{*}(z) \equiv 0$ and we are through, so we may assume that $\varrho<q+1$.

Let $K$ be a compact subset of $D_{\infty}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, for almost all $z$, there exists an $E^{0}$-set $E_{z}$ such that for $r \notin E_{z}$ and $r$ sufficiently large

$$
-2 \varepsilon+k^{*}(z) \leq-\varepsilon+\frac{Q(r z)}{r^{Q}} \leq \frac{J(r z)}{r^{\varrho}} \leq j^{*}(z)+\varepsilon=k^{*}(z)+\varepsilon
$$

But then by (iv) of Theorem 4.1

$$
-3 \varepsilon+k^{*}(z) \leq \frac{J(r z)}{r^{e}} \leq k^{*}(z)+\varepsilon
$$

for all $r$ sufficiently large (depending on $z$ ).

By (iii) of Theorem 4.1 and the continuity of $k^{*}(z)$

$$
-4 \varepsilon+k^{*}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{J\left(r z^{\prime}\right)}{r^{\varrho}} \leq k^{*}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+2 \varepsilon \text { for }\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\|<\delta_{z} .
$$

Since $\frac{J(r z)}{r^{q}}$ is harmonic in $D_{\infty}, k^{*}(z)$ in $D_{\infty}$ is the uniform limit on compact sets of harmonic functions and hence is harmonic in $D_{\infty}$. By Lemma 4.11, it is pluriharmonic.

Part (ii) is proved the same way using the equivalent parts of Theorem 4.2. Q.E.D.
Remark. It is clear that the Lelong construction [3] is valid for all subharmonic functions of finite order $\varrho$ (the polynomial $P(x)$ will just be harmonic in this case). Since we have only made use of the properties of harmonic and subharmonic functions, the results of § 4 are also applicable to the case of subharmonic functions with the obvious modifications on conditions on $\Delta u$, the measure. One must then replace the word pluriharmonic by harmonic, of course.
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