# An example of a strongly convex metric space

### B. KRAKUS

## 1. Introduction

It is known (see [2, Sections 7 and 8]) that if (X, d) is a convex metric space<sup>1</sup>) and every point of X is locally passing, then every two points of X are joined by a geodesic. If every two points of X are joined by exactly one geodesic and every point is locally passing, then every point is passing and every geodesic is a straight line. Hence (X, d) is a strongly convex space. On the other hand the following theorem is a consequence of the results in [3].

**THEOREM 1.** If (X, d) is a strongly convex finitely-dimensional space and every point of X is locally passing, then every point of X is passing (cf. [2, Problems and Theorems]).

Our aim is to give an example of a strongly convex 2-dimensional space  $(Y, \varphi)$  such that every point of Y is passing, but Y has a geodesic which is not a straight line (cf. [2, Problems and Theorems]).

### 2. Definitions and notations

Let (X, d) be a metric space with a metric d. If  $x, y, z \in X$  then we say that z lies between x and y (writing xzy) provided that

$$d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y).$$

A *midpoint* of the pair x, y is a point m such that

$$d(x, m) = d(m, y) = \frac{1}{2}d(x, y).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) In this paper by "space" we understand "finitely-compact space". For terminology and notation see Section 2 in this paper and [1], [2], [4].

Evidently every midpoint of the pair x, y lies between x and y. A space (X, d) is said to be *convex* if each pair of points of X has at least one midpoint, *strongly convex* if each pair of points has exactly one midpoint.

It is well known that in a complete (in particular finitely-compact) convex space (X, d) every two distinct points x, y are joined by a segment with end-points x, y, i.e. by a subset of X containing x and y and isometric to a real interval of length d(x, y). For complete spaces, strong convexity is equivalent to the condition that each pair of distinct points x, y determines exactly one segment with end-points x, y.

A subspace  $(X_1, d_1)$  of a metric space (X, d) is said to be a convex subspace if  $X_1$  contains all points of X which lie between two points of  $X_1$ .

A point z of a metric space (X, d) is said to be a passing point in X if for every point  $x \in X$  there exists a point  $y \in X \setminus \{z\}$  such that xzy. We say that z is a locally passing point if there exists a neighbourhood U of z such that z is a passing point in U.

A subset G of a metric space X is said to be a geodesic (straight line) if G is locally isometric (isometric) to the space of real numbers.

#### 3. Sums of strongly convex spaces

Let  $(X_1, d_1)$  and  $(X_2, d_2)$  be two metric spaces such that  $X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and if  $x, y \in X_1 \cap X_2$ , then  $d_1(x, y) = d_2(x, y)$ . We define (see [1, Section 6] and [4, Section 10]) the function  $d_T$  as follows:

$$d_{T}(x, y) = \begin{cases} d_{1}(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \in X_{1} \\ d_{2}(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \in X_{2} \\ \min_{z \in X_{1} \cap X_{2}} [d_{1}(x, z) + d_{2}(z, y)] & \text{if } x \in X_{1}, y \in X_{2} \end{cases}$$
(1)

This definition is correct, because the minimum exists, the spaces  $X_1, X_2$  being finitely-compact. It is clear that the function  $d_T$  is a metric for the sum  $X_1 \cup X_2$ .

Let Y, Z be the subsets of a convex space (X, d). We consider the following three properties:

(a) If  $x, y \in Y$ ,  $z \in Z$  and all these points are distinct, then

$$2d(z,m) < d(x,z) + d(y,z)$$

for every midpoint m of the pair x, y.

( $\beta$ ) If  $x, y \in Y$ ,  $z \in Z$ , then

$$2d(z,m) \leq d(x,z) + d(y,z)$$

for every midpoint m of the pair x, y.

( $\gamma$ ) If  $x, y \in Y$ ,  $p, q \in Z$  and m, m' are the midpoints of x, y and p, q respectively, then

$$2d(m, m') \leq d(x, p) + d(y, q).$$

We shall write:  $(Y, Z) \in (\alpha)/(\beta)$ ,  $(\gamma)/(in the space)(X, d)$  or  $(Y, Z) \in (\alpha)/(\beta)$ ,  $(\gamma)/(if the latter does not lead to misunderstanding.$ 

It is easy to see, that

3.1.  $(\gamma)$  implies  $(\beta)$ .

3.2. Let  $(X_1, d_1)$  be a convex subspace of the convex space (X, d) and let Y, Z be subsets of  $X_1$ . Then  $(Y, Z) \in (\alpha)/(\beta), (\gamma)/$  in the space  $(X_1, d_1)$  if and only if  $(Y, Z) \in (\alpha)/(\beta), (\gamma)/$  in the space (X, d).

Since the metric-function is continuous, we have 3.3.  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \in (\beta)/(\gamma)/$  if and only if  $(Y, Z) \in (\beta)/(\gamma)/$ . 3.4. If (X, d) is a convex space and  $(X, X) \in (\alpha)$ , then (X, d) is strongly convex.

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that there exist two midpoints p, q of the pair x, y of the points of X. Let z be a midpoint of the pair p, q. Then from the definition of the property ( $\alpha$ ) we obtain

 $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(y, z) < \frac{1}{2}[d(x, p) + d(x, q)] + \frac{1}{2}[d(y, p) + d(y, q)] = d(x, y)$ 

which is impossible.

3.5. Let  $(Y, d_i)$  be a convex subspace of a convex space  $(X_i, d_i)$  (i = 1, 2) such that  $Y = X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $d_1(x, y) = d_2(x, y)$  for every two points  $x, y \in Y$ . Let Z be a subset of Y. If  $(Z, Y) \in (\gamma)$  in  $(X_1, d_1)$  and  $(Y, X_2) \in (\beta)$  in  $(X_2, d_2)$ , then  $(Z, X_2) \in (\beta)$  in  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$ .

Proof. Since  $(Y, d_i)$  is a convex subspace of a convex space  $(X_i, d_i)$ , we infer that  $(Y, d_i)$  and  $(X_i, d_i)$  are convex subspaces of  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  for i = 1, 2. It follows that  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  is a convex space. Let  $x, y \in Z$  and  $z \in X_2$ . Then there exist two points  $p, q \in Y$  such that xpz and yqz. Let m, m' be the midpoints of x, y and p, q, respectively. Applying 3.2 we infer that  $(Z, Y) \in (\gamma)$ in  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  and  $(Y, X_2) \in (\beta)$  in  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$ . This implies that

$$egin{aligned} & 2d_{T}(m,z) \leq 2d_{T}(m,m') + 2d_{T}(m',z) \leq d_{T}(x,p) + d_{T}(y,q) + d_{T}(p,z) + d_{T}(q,z) = \ & = d_{T}(x,z) + d_{T}(y,z) \end{aligned}$$

hence  $(Z, X_2) \in (\beta)$  in the space  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$ .

Now we shall prove the following:

3.6. Let  $(Y, d_i)$  be a convex subspace of a strongly convex space  $(X_i, d_i)$  (i = 1, 2) such that  $Y = X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $d_1(x, y) = d_2(x, y)$  for every two points  $x, y \in Y$ .

If  $(Y, X_1 \setminus Y) \in (\alpha)$  in the space  $(X_1, d_1)$  and  $(Y, X_2) \in (\beta)$  in the space  $(X_2, d_2)$ , then the space  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  is strongly convex.

**Proof.** Since  $(Y, d_i)$  is a convex subspace of a strongly convex space  $(X_i, d_i)$ , we infer that  $(Y, d_i)$  and  $(X_i, d_i)$  are strongly convex subspaces of  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$ for i = 1, 2. It follows that  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  is a convex space. Moreover,  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  is a finitely-compact space. Thus for every two distinct points  $x, y \in X_1 \cup X_2$  there exists at least one segment with end-points x, y.

Now let us suppose that there exist two distinct segments  $L_1, L_2$  with endpoints x, y. Since  $(X_i, d_i)$  is a strongly convex subspace of  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  we may assume that  $x \in X_1 \setminus Y$  and  $y \in X_2 \setminus Y$ . Then there exist two distinct points  $a \in Y \cap (L_1 \setminus L_2)$  and  $b \in Y \cap (L_2 \setminus L_1)$ . Since  $(Y, d_i)$  is a strongly convex subspace of  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$ , we infer that there exists exactly one midpoint m of the pair a, b and  $m \in Y$ . Applying 3.2 we infer that  $(Y, X_1 \setminus Y) \in (\alpha)$  in  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$  and  $(Y, X_2) \in (\beta)$  in  $(X_1 \cup X_2, d_T)$ . This implies that

$$egin{aligned} & 2d_{ extsf{T}}(x,\,y) = d_{ extsf{T}}(x,\,a) + d_{ extsf{T}}(a,\,y) + d_{ extsf{T}}(x,\,b) + d_{ extsf{T}}(b,\,y) > \ & > 2d_{ extsf{T}}(x,\,m) + d_{ extsf{T}}(a,\,y) + d_{ extsf{T}}(b,\,y) \geq 2d_{ extsf{T}}(x,\,m) + 2d_{ extsf{T}}(m,\,y) \geq 2d_{ extsf{T}}(x,\,y) \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

#### 4. Cone over a strongly convex space

Let X be a compact space and  $\mathbf{R}^+ = \{t \in \mathbf{R}; t \ge 0\}$ . The space obtained from the cartesian products  $X \times \mathbf{R}^+$  by identifying the set  $X \times \{0\}$  to one point will be called a *cone* over X. The point corresponding to the set  $X \times \{0\}$  in the identification space will be called a *vertex*.

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space with diameter < 2 and let  $X_1$  be a cone over X with a vertex v. We define a function  $d_1$  by the following equations:

$$d_1((x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2)) = \min(t_1, t_2)d(x_1, x_2) + |t_1 - t_2|$$
(2)

$$d_1((x, t), v) = d_1(v, (x, t)) = t$$
(3)

$$d_1(v,v) = 0 \tag{4}$$

The proof of the following two propositions runs as in [4, § 11].

4.1. The function  $d_1$  is a metric for  $X_1$ .

4.2. Let (X, d) be a strongly convex space and  $p_1 = (x_1, t_1)$ ,  $p_2 = (x_2, t_2)$ ,  $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$  be two distinct points of  $X_1$ . Then

(i) The space  $(X_1, d_1)$  is strongly convex.

(ii) The segment with end-points  $p_1, p_2$  is the sum of the sets

$$\{p \in X_1; \ p = (x, t_1), d(x_1, x) + d(x, x_2) = d(x_1, x_2)\}$$

$$\{p \in X_1; \ p = (x_2, t), \ t_1 \leq t \leq t_2\}.$$

(iii) The set

$$\{p \in X_1; \ p = (x_1, t), \ 0 < t \le t_1\} \cup \{v\}$$

is the segment with end-points  $p_1, v$ .

Let  $y, z \in X$  and let

$$Y = \{ p \in X_1; \ \ p = (y,t), \ \ t > 0 \} \, {\sf U} \, \{ v \}$$

 $\{v\}$ 

$$Z = \{ p \in X_1; \ p = (z, t), \ t > 0 \} \, \mathsf{U}$$

and

and

be two subsets of the space  $(X_1, d_1)$ .

We shall prove the following:

4. 
$$(Y, Z) \in (\gamma)$$
 in the space  $(X_1, d_1)$ .

*Proof.* By 3.3. it is sufficient to prove that  $(Y \setminus \{v\}, Z \setminus \{v\}) \in (\gamma)$ . Let  $p_1, p_2 \in Y \setminus \{v\}, q_1, q_2 \in Z \setminus \{v\}$  and  $p_i = (y, t_i), q_i = (z, s_i)$  for i = 1, 2. It follows from 4.2 (ii) that  $m = (y, \frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2))$  and  $m' = (z, \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2))$  are the midpoints of  $p_1, p_2$  and  $q_1, q_2$ , respectively. Applying (2) and the formula

$$2\min(a, b) = a + b - |a - b|$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &d_1(p_1, q_1) + d_1(p_2, q_2) = [\min(t_1, s_1) + \min(t_2, s_2)]d(y, z) + |t_1 - s_1| + |t_2 - s_2| = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[t_1 + t_2 + s_1 + s_2 - |t_1 - s_1| - |t_2 - s_2|]d(y, z) + |t_1 - s_1| + |t_2 - s_2| = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[2\min(t_1 + t_2, s_1 + s_2) + |t_1 + t_2 - s_1 - s_2| - |t_1 - s_1| - |t_2 - s_2|]d(y, z) + \\ &+ |t_1 - s_1| + |t_2 - s_2| = \\ &= \{2\min[\frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2), \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2)] + \frac{1}{2}|t_1 + t_2 - s_1 - s_2|\}d(y, z) + \\ &+ (|t_1 - s_1| + |t_2 - s_2|)[1 - \frac{1}{2}d(y, z)] \end{split}$$

Since X is a space with diameter < 2, we infer that  $1 - \frac{1}{2}d(y, z) > 0$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{split} &d_1(p_1, q_1) + d_1(p_2, q_2) \geq \\ &\geq \{2 \min\left[\frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2), \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2)\right] + \frac{1}{2}|t_1 + t_2 - s_1 - s_2|\} d(y, z) + \\ &+ |t_1 + t_2 - s_1 - s_2|[1 - \frac{1}{2}d(y, z)] = \\ &= 2 \min\left[\frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2), \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2)\right] d(y, z) + 2|\frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2) - \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2)| = 2 d_1(m, m'). \end{split}$$

B. KRAKUS

# 5. The spaces $(Y_1, \phi_1), (Y_2, \phi_2)$

Let 
$$X = \{(x, y) \in R^2; x, y \ge 0, x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$$
 and  $a, b \in X$ . Setting  
 $d(a, b) = \arccos ab^{-1}$  (5)

we obtain a metric d for X. It is plain that (X, d) is a compact strongly convex space with diameter < 2. Let  $(X_1, d_1)$  be a cone over the space (X, d) with a vertex v. Setting

$$f((a, t)) = ta \text{ for } a \in X \text{ and } t \ge 0,$$
(6)

we get a function  $f: X_1 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . It is easy to see that f is continuous and 1-1. Moreover, we have

$$Y_{1} = f(X_{1}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}; x, y \ge 0\}.$$
(7)

Now let us put

$$\varphi_1(q_1, q_2) = d_1(f^{-1}(q_1), f^{-1}(q_2)) \tag{8}$$

for every two points  $q_1, q_2 \in Y_1$ . Evidently  $\varphi_1$  constitutes a metric for  $Y_1$ . Applying the notation just introduced we shall prove the following

5.1. The space  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$  is strongly convex. Moreover,

(i) If  $q_1, q_2$  are two distinct points of  $Y_1$  such that  $0 < ||q_1|| \le ||q_2||$ , then the segment with end-points  $q_1, q_2$  is the union of the sets

$$\begin{split} A &= \left\{ q \in Y_1; \|q\| = \|q_1\|, d\left(\frac{q_1}{\|q_1\|}, \frac{q}{\|q\|}\right) + d\left(\frac{q}{\|q\|}, \frac{q_2}{\|q_2\|}\right) = d\left(\frac{q_1}{\|q_1\|}, \frac{q_2}{\|q_2\|}\right) \right\} \\ B &= \{ q \in Y_1; q = sq_2, \|q_1\| \le s \|q_2\| \le \|q_2\| \}. \end{split}$$

and

(ii) If  $q_i \in Y_1 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $L_i = \{q \in Y_1; q = sq_i, s \ge 0\}$  (i = 1, 2), then  $(L_1, L_2) \in (\gamma)$  in the space  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$ .

*Proof.* Since (X, d) is a compact strongly convex space with diameter < 2, we infer by 4.2 (i) that  $(X_1, d_1)$  is a strongly convex space. It follows from (8) that  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$  is a strongly convex space.

In order to prove (i) let us observe that (6) implies that

$$f^{-1}(q) = \begin{cases} (q/||q||, ||q||) & \text{for } q \neq (0, 0) \\ v & \text{for } q = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$
(9)

Thus

$$f^{-1}(A) = \{ p \in X_1; \ p = (q/||q||, ||q_1||), \ q \in A \}$$

1) If  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ ,  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  are points of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $ab = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$ ,  $ta = (ta_1, ta_2)$  and  $||a|| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}$ .

and

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{ p \in X_1; \ p = (q_2/||q_2||, s||q_2||), ||q_1|| \le s||q_2|| \le ||q_2|| \}.$$

It follows from 4.2 (ii) that the set  $T = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$  is a segment with endpoints  $p_1 = f^{-1}(q_1), p_2 = f^{-1}(q_2)$ . Then (8) implies that the set  $f(T) = A \cup B$  is a segment with end-points  $q_1, q_2$ .

Passing to (ii), let us observe that (9) implies that

$$f^{-1}(L_i) = \{ p \in X_1; \ p = (q_i/||q_i||, s||q_i||), \ s > 0 \} \cup \{ v \}.$$

According to 4.3, we have  $(f^{-1}(L_1), f^{-1}(L_2)) \in (\gamma)$  in  $(X_1, d_1)$ . Then (8) implies that  $(L_1, L_2) \in (\gamma)$  in  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$ .

*Remark.* Let  $q_1, q_2$  be the points of  $Y_1$ . According to the definition of the metric  $d_1$  (see 4) and (5), (9), (8), we have

$$\varphi_{1}(q_{1}, q_{2}) = \min(||q_{1}||, ||q_{2}||) \arccos\left(\frac{q_{1}}{||q_{1}||} \cdot \frac{q_{2}}{||q_{2}||}\right) + \left|||q_{1}|| - ||q_{2}||\right| \text{ for } q_{1} \neq (0, 0) \neq q_{2}$$

$$q_{1}(q_{1}, (0, 0)) = ||q_{1}||.$$
(11)

Let  $Y_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; 0 \le x, y \le 0\}$  and we put in  $Y_2$  the ordinary euclidean metric  $\varphi_2$ . It is easy to see, that  $\varphi_1(x, y) = \varphi_2(x, y)$  for every two points  $x, y \in Y = Y_1 \cap Y_2$ . We put in  $Y_1 \cup Y_2$  the metric  $\varphi_T$ , i.e.

$$\varphi_T(x, y) = \begin{cases} \varphi_1(x, y) & \text{for } x, y \in Y_1 \\ \varphi_2(x, y) & \text{for } x, y \in Y_2 \\ \min_{z \in Y} [\varphi_1(x, z) + \varphi_2(z, y)] & \text{for } x \in Y_1, y \in Y_2 \end{cases}$$

We shall prove the following:

5.2. The space  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$  is strongly convex.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that the hypotheses in 3.6 hold. Indeed, by the definition of  $(Y_2, \varphi_2)$  and 5.1 the spaces  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(Y_2, \varphi_2)$  are strongly convex. Evidently,  $(Y_2, Y_2) \in (\alpha)$  in the space  $(Y_2, \varphi_2)$ , hence  $(Y, Y_2 \setminus Y) \in (\alpha)$  in the space  $(Y_2, \varphi_2)$ . According to 5.1 (ii) and 3.1 we have  $(Y, Y_1) \in (\beta)$  in the space  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$ .

Let  $\rho$  denote the ordinary euclidean metric. Applying (10) and (11) we obtain the following

5.3.  $\varrho(p,q) \leq \varphi_T(p,q)$  for every two points  $p, q \in Y_1 \cup Y_2$ .

Now we shall prove the following:

#### B. KRAKUS

5.4. Let  $L = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x = 0\}$ . For every point  $z \in Y_1 \cup Y_2$  and every point  $m \in L$  there exists a neighbourhood U of m in L such that  $(U, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in the space  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x = 0, y < 0\}$ ,  $B = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x = 0, y > 0\}$ . Let us show that for every point  $z \in Y_1 \cup Y_2$  we have  $(A, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  and  $(B, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ . We distinguish between two cases:

Case 1:  $z \in Y_1$ . By 5.1 (ii), 3.2 and 3.1 we obtain that  $(B, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ . It follows from 3.5 that  $(A, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ , because  $(A, Y_1 \cap Y_2) \in (\gamma)$  in  $(Y_2, \varphi_2)$  and by 5.2 (ii),  $(Y_1 \cap Y_2, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$ . Case 2:  $z \in Y_2$ . Evidently  $(A, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_2, \varphi_2)$  hence from 3.2 we infer

that  $(A, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ . By 5.1 (ii),  $(Y_1 \cap Y_2, B) \in (\gamma)$  in  $(Y_1, \varphi_1)$ and applying 3.5 we obtain that  $(B, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ .

Hence for every point  $z \in Y_1 \cup Y_2$  and every point  $m \in L \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  there exists a neighbourhood U of m in L such that  $(U, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ .

Now let  $p, q \in L, m = (0, 0) = m(p, q), z \in Y_1 \cup Y_2$  and all these points are distinct. Applying 5.3 and (11) we have

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{T}}(p,z) + \varphi_{\mathbf{T}}(q,z) - 2\varphi_{\mathbf{T}}(z,m) \geq \varrho(p,z) + \varrho(q,z) - 2\varrho(z,m) > 0.$$

Since the metric-function  $\rho$  is continuous, there exists a neighbourhood V of m = (0, 0) in  $Y_1 \cup Y_2$  such that the inequality

$$\varphi_{T}(x, z) + \varphi_{T}(y, z) > 2\varphi_{T}(z, m(x, y))$$

holds for every two distinct points  $x, y \in V$ . Let  $U = V \cap L$ . Then  $(U, \{z\}) \in (\beta)$  in the space  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$ .

### 6. Construction of the space $(Y, \varphi)$

Let  $Y_3 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x \leq 0\}$  and let  $\varphi_3$  be the ordinary euclidean metric in  $Y_3$ . Then for every two points  $x, y \in L = Y_3 \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2)$  we have  $\varphi_3(x, y) = \varphi_T(x, y)$ . Let  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_3$  and we define the metric  $\varphi$  as follows:

$$\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \varphi_T(x, y) & \text{for } x, y \in Y_1 \cup Y_2 \\ \varphi_3(x, y) & \text{for } x, y \in Y_3 \\ \min \left[ \varphi_T(x, z) + \varphi_3(z, y) \right] & \text{for } x \in Y_1 \cup Y_2, y \in Y_3 \end{cases}$$
(12)

It is not difficult to verify that the space  $(Y, \varphi)$  is topologically a plane  $E^2$ . We shall prove that

6.1. The space  $(Y, \varphi)$  is strongly convex.

*Proof.* Evidently the space  $(Y_3, \varphi_3)$  is strongly convex, hence by (12) and 5.2 it is sufficient to show that for every two points  $p \in (Y_1 \cup Y_2) \setminus L, q \in Y_3 \setminus L$  there exists exactly one segment with end-points p, q.

It is easy to see that  $(Y, \varphi)$  is a complete convex space. Thus there exists at least one segment with end-points p, q. Suppose on the contrary that p, q are joined by at least two segments in Y with end-points p, q. Let F be the set of all points x of the set  $Y_3 \ L$  such that there exist at least two segments in Ywith end-points x, p. We shall show that the set F is closed in  $Y_3$ . Let  $x_n \in F$ and  $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$ . Then there exist  $y_n, z_n \in L$  such that  $y_n \neq z_n, x_n y_n p$  and  $x_n z_n p$   $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ . Since  $(Y_3, L) \in (\alpha)$  in  $(Y, \varphi)$ , we infer by 5.4 that  $\inf_n \varphi(y_n, z_n) > 0$ . Without loss of generality suppose that  $y = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n$ ,  $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n$ . Then  $y \neq z$  and xyp, xzp, because  $x_n y_n p$  implies that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n$ is between  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$  and p. It follows that  $x \in F$ , hence F is closed in  $Y_3$ .

Since F is a closed subset of the complete space  $(Y_3, \varphi_3)$  and  $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, \varphi_T)$  is strongly convex, there exists  $q \in F$  such that

$$r = \varphi(p, q) = \inf_{x \in F} \varphi(p, x) \tag{13}$$

and

$$r > \inf_{x \in L} \varphi(p, x). \tag{14}$$

Let us observe that for every point  $x \in Y_3 \setminus (F \cup L)$  there exists exactly one point  $f(x) \in L$  such that xf(x)p. It is not difficult to see that the function  $f: Y_3 \setminus (F \cup L) \to L$  defined in this way is continuous.

Since  $q \in F$ , there exist  $a, b \in L$  such that  $a \neq b$  and qap, qbp. Let  $x_n, y_n$  be points such that  $x_n \neq q \neq y_n$ ,  $qx_na, qy_nb$  (n = 1, 2, ...) and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q$ . Since  $\varphi(x_n, q) < r$  and  $\varphi(y_n, q) < r, x_n, y_n \in Y_3 \setminus (F \cup L)$ . It follows that  $f(x_n) = a$  and  $f(y_n) = b$ . Since the set  $D_n = \{z \in Y; x_n z y_n\}$  is connected, there exists  $z_n \in D_n$  such that  $f(z_n) = m$ , where m is a midpoint of the pair a, b. Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = q$ , we infer that qmp. It follows that the set  $C = \{x \in L; qxp\}$  is convex and dim C = 1. Choose  $a_n, b_n \in C$  such that  $0 < \varphi(a_n, b_n) < 1/n$  and let  $m_n$  be a midpoint of the pair  $a_n, b_n$  for n = 1, 2, ... It follows from 5.4 that an index N exists such that

$$\varphi(a_n, p) + \varphi(b_n, p) \ge 2\varphi(m_n, p)$$
 for  $n > N$ .

Since  $(Y_3, L) \in (\alpha)$  in  $(Y, \varphi)$ , we obtain

$$egin{aligned} &2arphi(p,q)=arphi(p,a_n)+arphi(a_n,q)+arphi(p,b_n)+arphi(b_n,q)>\ &>2arphi(m_n,q)+arphi(p,a_n)+arphi(p,b_n)\geq\ &\ge2arphi(m_n,q)+2arphi(p,m_n)\geq2arphi(p,q) \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

6.2. Every point of the space  $(Y, \varphi)$  is passing in Y.

*Proof.* Supposing the contrary, we have two distinct points  $p, q \in Y$  such that pqx implies x = q. Since  $(Y, \varphi)$  is strongly convex, for every  $x \in Y$  and  $0 \le t \le 1$ , there exists exactly one point  $h(x, t) \in Y$  such that

$$\varphi(x, h(x, t)) = t\varphi(p, x)$$

and

$$\varphi(p, h(x, t)) = (1 - t)\varphi(p, x).$$

It is not difficult to verify that the function  $h: (Y \setminus \{q\}) \times [0,1] \to Y$  is continuous and  $h(x,t) \neq q$  for every  $x \in Y \setminus \{q\}$  and  $t \in [0,1]$ . But h(x,0) = xand h(x,1) = p for every point  $x \in Y \setminus \{q\}$  hence  $Y \setminus \{q\}$  is contractible into itself, which is impossible, because  $(Y, \varphi)$  is topologically a plane  $E^2$ .

6.3.  $(Y, \varphi)$  has a geodesic which is not a straight line.

*Proof.* Consider the sets

$$egin{aligned} A &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2; \;\; x, y \geq 0, \;\; \|(x, y)\| = a\}, \ B &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2; \;\; x = 0, \;\; y \geq a\}, \ C &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2; \;\; x \geq a, \;\; y = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where a > 0. It follows from (7), (5) and 5.1 (i) that the sets  $A \cup B$  and  $A \cup C$  are isometric to the real closed half-line. By the same argument we infer that the set A is a segment in the space  $(Y, \varphi)$ . This implies that the set  $G = A \cup B \cup C$  is a geodesic in Y.

On the other hand let  $q_1 = (2a, 0), q_2 = (0, 2a)$ . It follows from 5.1 (i) that the set

$$D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2; x, y \ge 0, \|(x, y)\| = 2a\}$$

is a segment with end-points  $q_1, q_2$ . Since  $D \cap G = \{q_1, q_2\}$ , we infer from 6.1 that G is not isometric to the real line. Thus G is the desired geodesic.

Applying 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 we obtain the following result

THEOREM 2. There exists a strongly convex 2-dimensional space  $(Y, \varphi)$  such that every point of Y is passing, but Y has a geodesic which is not a straight line.

82

# References

- 1. BORSUK, K., On a metrization of polytopes, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 325-341.
- 2. BUSEMANN, H., The geometry of geodesics, New York 1955.
- 3. KRAKUS, B., Some properties of convex metric spaces, Fund. Math. 73 (1972), 193-198.
- 4. LELEK, A., & NITKA, W., On convex metric spaces I, Fund. Math. 49 (1961), 183-204.

Received December 18, 1972

B. KrakusDepartment of MathematicsRoyal Institute of Technology8-100 44 Stockholm Sweden