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If $\mathfrak{H}$ is a von Neumann algebra, or indeed any Banach algebra, $\mathscr{X}^{2}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ is the quotient of the space of continuous bilinear maps $S: \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$
\delta S(a, b, c) \equiv a S(b, c)-S(a b, c)+S(a, b c)-S(a, b) c=0 \quad(a, b, c \in \mathfrak{Q})
$$

by the subspace of those maps of the form

$$
S(a, b)=a R(b)-R(a b)+R(a) b=(\delta R)(a, b)
$$

for some continuous linear map $R: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$. The background to the present paper is the three papers [6], [7] and [5], in which it is shown that $\mathcal{X}^{2}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{Y})=0$ for type I von Neumann algebras and for hyperfinite von Neumann algebras. In this paper we construct some non hyperfinite $\Pi_{1}$ factors which have this property. Besides the three papers above we shall also use ideas from [4].

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a group of permutations of a set $X, x_{0} \in X$ and $H=\{g$ : $\left.: g \in G, g x_{0}=x_{0}\right\}$. Suppose $H$ is amenable and $G$ is 3 -fold transitive on $X$. Then $x^{1}\left(G, \ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1\right)=0$.
$\ell^{\infty}(X)$ is the space of bounded functions on $X$ and if $f \in \ell^{\infty}(X), g \in G$ we define $g f$ by $(g f)(x)=f\left(g^{-1} x\right)(x \in X)$. C 1 is the set of constant functions in $\ell^{\infty}(X)$ and is closed under multiplication by elements of $G$ so that if $F \in \ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1, g F$ is well defined. Saying $\mathscr{X}^{1}\left(G, \ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1\right)=0$ means that whenever $\Phi$ is a map $G \rightarrow \imath^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(g)\| & \leq K \quad g \in G \\
\Phi\left(g g^{\prime}\right) & =\Phi(g)+g \Phi\left(g^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \quad g, g^{\prime} \in G,
$$

that is, if $\Phi$ is a bounded crossed homomorphism, then there is $F \in \imath^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} \mathbf{1}$ with

$$
\Phi(g)=g F-F \quad g \in G,
$$

that is $\Phi$ is a principal crossed homomorphism. Saying that $G$ is 3 -fold transitive means that if $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\},\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ are two sets of 3 distinct points of $X$ then there is $g \in G$ with $g x_{i}=x_{i}^{\prime} \quad i=1,2,3$. Amenability of groups is discussed in [3, §17].

Proof. If $X$ is finite the result is a consequence of [4, Theorem 3.4]. Accordingly we assume $X$ has at least 3 points. Let $\Phi$ be a bounded crossed homomorphism as above. As $H$ is amenable and as $\ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C 1}$ is the dual of $\ell_{0}=\{a$; $\left.a \in \iota^{1}(X),(a, 1)=0\right\}$ and $F \mapsto g F$ is the adjoint of the map $a \mapsto a g$ where $a g(x)=a(g x)$ on $\ell_{0}$, there is $F$ in $\ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1$ with $\Phi(h)=h F-F$ for all $h$ in $H$ [4, Theorem 2.5]. Replacing $\Phi$ by $\Psi: g \mapsto \Phi(g)-g F+F$ we see that $\Psi$ is a bounded crossed homomorphism and $\Psi$ is principal if and only if $\Phi$ is. $\Psi$ is zero on $H$ so $\Psi(g h)=\Psi(g), g \in G, h \in H$. Thus $\Psi$ is constant on the left cosets of $H$, which are in one to one correspondence with the points of $X$, and so can be considered as a function $\Psi^{\prime \prime}$ on $X$ which is zero at $x_{0}$. If $\ell^{0}=\{f$ : $\left.f \in \ell^{\prime \infty}(X), f\left(x_{0}\right)=0\right\}$ then the quotient map $q$ onto $\ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1$ is one to one on $\imath^{0}$ and if we define

$$
(g \circ f)(x)=f\left(g^{-1} x\right)-f\left(g^{-1} x_{0}\right) f \in \ell, \quad g \in G, \quad x \in X
$$

then $g \circ f \in \epsilon_{\iota}{ }^{0}$ and $q(g \circ f)=g q(f)$. Thus we can assume that $\Psi^{\prime}$ takes values in $2^{0}$ rather than $\ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1$ and we have

$$
\Psi^{\prime}\left(g g^{\prime}\right)=\Psi^{\prime}(g)+g \circ \Psi^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $\Theta(x, y)=\left(\Psi^{\prime}(x)\right)(y)(x, y \in X)$. Then $\Theta$ is a bounded complex valued function on $X \times X$ which is zero if either variable is $x_{0}$. The crossed homomorphism property for $\Psi$ shows

$$
\Theta\left(x, g^{-1} y\right)-\Theta\left(x, g^{-1} x_{0}\right)-\Theta(g x, y)+\Theta\left(g x_{0}, y\right)=0 \quad g \in G, x, y \in X
$$

If $x, y \in X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ then there is $g \in G$ with $g x_{0}=x_{0}, g x=y$ and the above equation yields $\Theta(x, x)=\Theta(y, y)$. If $g x_{0}=x_{0}, z=g^{-1} y$ we get $\Theta(x, z)=\Theta(g x, g z)$ so that, because $G$ is 3 -fold transitive on $X, \Theta$ is constant off the diagonal of $\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right) \times\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$. If $\alpha$ is the value of $\Theta$ on the diagonal and $\beta$ the value off the diagonal then writing $g^{-1} y=z$ and choosing $g$ with $g x=x_{0}$ we have

$$
\Theta(x, z)-\Theta(x, x)+\Theta\left(g x_{0}, g z\right)=0
$$

so that if $x, x_{0}, z$ are distinct then $\alpha-\beta+\alpha=0$. Defining $\varphi(x)=-\beta$ if $x \neq x_{0}$, $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ we easily check that $\Theta\left(g x_{0}, y\right)=\varphi\left(g^{-1} y\right)-\varphi\left(g^{-1} x_{0}\right)-\varphi(y)$ for all $g \in G, y \in X . \varphi \in \varepsilon^{0}$ and this equation can be rewritten $\Psi^{\prime}\left(g x_{0}\right)=g \circ \varphi-\varphi$ from which we see $\Psi(g)=g q(\varphi)-q(\varphi)$.

Theorem 2. Let $(Z, \nu)$ be a locally compact, $\sigma$-compact measure space and $G$ a group of homeomorphisms of $Z$ such that $\nu \circ g$ is absolutely continuous with respect
to $v$ for all $g$ in $G$ ．Let $K$ be an amenable normal subgroup of $G$ and $H$ an amenable subgroup containing $K$ ．We suppose that
（i）$a \cap a U_{g}=\{0\}$ if $g \neq e$
（ii）$K$ is ergodic on $Z$
（iii）$G$ is 3－fold transitive on the left coset space $G / H$
where the notation is that of $[1, \mathrm{p} .134]$ ．Let ， 3 be the von Neumann algebra con－ structed from $Z, v, G\left[1\right.$, p．133－135］．Then $\mathcal{C}^{2}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})=0$ ．

Proof．We shall use the notation of［1，Ch．1，§9］，in particular that of Ex．1 p． 137. Let $\mathfrak{N}_{0}$ be the norm closed＊subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{L})$ generated by the operators $\{\Phi(T) ; T \in \mathbb{Z}\},\left\{\tilde{U}_{k} ; k \in K\right\}, \mathfrak{A}$ the weak closure of $\mathfrak{A}_{0}, \mathfrak{B}_{0}$ the norm closed algebra generated by $\left\{\Phi^{\prime}(T) ; T \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{U}_{h}^{\prime} ; h \in H\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ the weak closure of $\mathfrak{B}_{0}$ ．It is easy to see that the subgroup $\mathscr{U}$ of the unitary group of $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ generated by the $\left\{\Phi(U) ; U^{-1}=U^{*} \in A\right\}$ and $\left\{\widetilde{U}_{k} ; k \in K\right\}$ is an extension of the abelian group $\left\{\Phi(U): U^{-1}=U^{*} \in a\right\}$ by a group isomorphic with $K$ and so $\mathcal{U}$ is amenable［3，Theorems 17.5 and 17．14］．Thus $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ is strongly amenable［4，Pro－ position 7．8］．Similarly $\mathfrak{B}_{0}$ is strongly amenable．If $M$ is a translation invariant mean on $\mathscr{U}$ then defining

$$
(P X \xi, \eta)=\underset{U \in u}{M}\left(U^{*} X U \xi, \eta\right) \quad \xi, \eta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, \quad X \in \mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}),
$$

where the right hand side indicates the value of $M$ at the function $U \mapsto\left(U^{*} X U \xi, \eta\right)$ ， we define a projection $P: \mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{0}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ with $P(X B)=P(X) B, P(B X)=$ $=B P(X)$ for $B \in \mathfrak{H}^{\prime}, X \in \mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}})$ ．There is a similar projection $Q$ onto $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ ．

By［5，Lemma 5．4］to show $\mathscr{H}^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mathscr{B}^{2}\right)=0$ it is enough to show that if $S$ ： $9 \times$ 脱 $\rightarrow$ is separately ultraweakly continuous，$\delta S=0$ and $S(a, b)=0$ if either $a$ or $b$ lies in $9 Y_{0}$（and so too if $a$ or $b$ lies in $\mathfrak{Y}$ ）then $S=\delta R_{0}$ for some norm continuous map $R_{0}$ ：影 $\rightarrow$ 讷．Using［7，Theorem 2．4］we see that there is a norm continuous map $R: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{y}})$ with $S=\delta R$ and by［5，Lemma 5．5］ with $\quad m=\mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}})$ we can take $R$ to be ultraweakly continuous．As $R(a b)=$ $=a R(b)+R(a) b$ for all $a$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ using the definition of amenable algebra［4，§5］ we see that there is $x \in \mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}})$ with $R(a)=a x-x a$ for all $a$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{0}$ and so， by ultraweak continuity，for all $a$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ ．Replacing $R$ by $a \mapsto R(a)-a x+x a$ if necessary we can assume $R$ is zero on $\mathfrak{A}$ ．Replacing $R$ by $Q R$ if necessary we can assume in addition that $R$ maps $T$ into $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ ．We have $0=S(a, b)=$


The set of generators of $\mathfrak{N}_{0}$ is mapped onto itself under the automorphism $X \mapsto \tilde{U}_{g}^{*} X \tilde{U}_{g}$ of $\mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}})$ so $\tilde{U}_{g}^{*} \mathfrak{M} \tilde{U}_{g}=\mathfrak{M}$ for all $g$ in $G$ ．Hence $R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right) \tilde{U}_{g}^{*} A \tilde{U}_{g}=$
$=R\left(A \tilde{U}_{g}\right)=A R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}, g \in G$, so that $R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right) \tilde{U}_{g}^{*} \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\prime}$. Also $R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right) U_{g}^{*}$ $\in \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ because $R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right)$ and $\tilde{U}_{g}$ are.
$\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathfrak{S}$ so any element $L$ of $\mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{W}})$ can be represented as a $G \times G$ matrix with entries from $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{F})$. We shall investigate the special form this matrix takes when $L \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$. As $L \Phi(T)=\Phi(T) L$ we have $L_{s, u} T=$ $=T L_{s, u}$ for all $T$ in $\mathcal{Q}, s, u$ in $G$. As $\mathcal{Q}$ is maximal abelian this shows $L_{s, u} \in \boldsymbol{Q}$. A similar calculation starting from $L \Phi^{\prime}(T)=\Phi^{\prime}(T) L$ shows $L_{s, u} \in U_{s} Q U_{u}^{*}=$ $=C \mathcal{U} U_{s u^{-1}}$ so $L_{s, u} \in \mathscr{Q} \cap \not U_{s u^{-1}}=\{0\}$ if $s \neq u$. Thus $L_{s, u}=\delta_{s, u} Y_{s}$ where for each $s$ in $G, Y_{s} \in \mathcal{C}$. The equation $L \tilde{U}_{k}=\tilde{U}_{k} L$ shows $Y_{k u}=U_{k} Y_{u} U_{k}^{*}$ for $k \in K, u \in G$. The equation $L \tilde{U}_{h}^{\prime}=\tilde{U}_{h}^{\prime} L$ shows $Y_{u h}=Y_{u}$ for all $u \in G$, $h \in H$. Thus $Y_{u}=U_{k}^{*} Y_{k u} U_{k}=U_{k}^{*} Y_{u u^{-1 k u}} U_{k}=U_{k}^{*} Y_{u} U_{k}, u \in G, k \in K$. As $K$ is ergodic on $Z$ this implies $Y_{u}=y_{u} I_{\mathfrak{w}}$ for some $y_{u} \in \mathbf{C}$. Thus if $L \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ then

$$
L_{s, t}=\delta_{s, t} y_{t} I_{\mathfrak{y}}
$$

for some complex valued function $y$ on $G$ which is constant on the left cosets of $H$. Clearly $y$ is bounded. Writing $J L$ for $y$ we see that $J$ is a linear isometry of $\mathfrak{U}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ onto $\ell^{\infty}(X)$ where $X$ is the space of left cosets of $H$ in $G$. Moreover $\tilde{U}_{g} L \tilde{U}_{g}^{*} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ and $J\left(\tilde{U}_{g} L \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}\right)=g J L$ where the product of $g \in G$, $J L \in \ell^{\infty}(X)$ is as defined in Lemma 1. Another calculation shows that $J\left({ }^{\circ} \cap \mathfrak{H} \cap{ }^{\prime}\right)=$ $=\mathrm{C} 1$.

Put $\quad \Phi_{0}(g)=J\left(R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right) \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}\right)$. The equation $S\left(\tilde{U}_{g}, \tilde{U}_{g^{\prime}}\right)=\delta R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}, \tilde{U}_{g^{\prime}}\right) \quad$ where $S\left(\tilde{U}_{g}, \tilde{U}_{g^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{U}_{g^{\prime}}^{*} \tilde{U}_{g}^{*} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $R\left(\tilde{U}_{g^{\prime \prime}}\right) U_{g^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \in \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ for all $g^{\prime \prime} \in G$ shows that $\delta R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}, \tilde{U}_{g^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{U}_{g^{\prime}}^{*} \tilde{U}_{g}^{*} \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ from which we see $g \Phi_{0}\left(g^{\prime}\right)-\Phi\left(g g^{\prime}\right)+\Phi(g) \in \mathbf{C} 1$. Thus $q \Phi_{0}$ is a bounded crossed homomorphism from $G$ into $\ell^{\infty}(X) / \mathbf{C} 1$. Let $z \in \ell^{\infty}(X)$ with $q^{\Phi_{0}}(g)=q q(z)-q(z) \quad$ (using the Lemma) and let $L_{0} \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$ with $J L_{0}=z$. We have

$$
J\left(R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right) \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}-\tilde{U}_{g} L_{0} \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}+L_{0}\right) \in \mathbf{C} 1
$$

so that $R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right) \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}-\tilde{U}_{g} L_{0} \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}+L_{0} \in \mathbf{C} I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset 93$. Thus defining $R_{0}(B)=R(B)-$ $-\left(B L_{0}-L_{0} B\right)$ for all $B$ in $B$ we see that $R_{0}$ is an ultraweakly continuous map from ${ }^{T}$ into $\mathfrak{B}^{\prime}$.

Because $L_{0} \in \mathfrak{U}^{\prime} \quad$ and $\quad R(A B)=A R(B), R(B A)=R(B) A \quad$ and $\quad R(A)=0$ if $A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathscr{Z}, R_{0}$ has the same properties. In addition if $g \in G$ then $R_{0}\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right)=$ $=\left(R\left(\tilde{U}_{g}\right) \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}-\tilde{U}_{g} L_{0} \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}+L_{0}\right) \tilde{U}_{g} \in \mathscr{B}$. Thus if $T \in a, g \in G$ then $R_{0}\left(\Phi(T) \tilde{U}_{g}\right)=$ $=\Phi(T) R_{0}\left(\widetilde{U}_{g}\right) \in M$. As $R_{0}$ is ultraweakly continuous and the ultraweakly closed linear span of the $\Phi(T) \tilde{U}_{g}$ is $\mathscr{S}$ we see that $R_{0}(\mathscr{B}) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$. For all $B_{1}, B_{2}$ in $\mathscr{B}$ we have
$S\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)=B_{1} R\left(B_{2}\right)-R\left(B_{1} B_{2}\right)+R\left(B_{1}\right) B_{2}=B_{1} R_{0}\left(B_{2}\right)-R_{0}\left(B_{1} B_{2}\right)+R_{0}\left(B_{1}\right) B_{2}$.

Thus to provide our example we have only to show that the hypotheses can be satisfied in some situation in which $\quad$ is a type $I_{1}$ factor without property $P$ [8, Definition 1]. To facilitate this we simplify condition * [1, p. 135].

Lemma 3. Let $Z$ be a locally compact $\sigma$-compact metrizable space, $v$ a positive Radon measure on $Z, s$ a homeomorphism of $Z$. Then the following condition * is satisfied if and only if $\nu(\{z: z \in Z, s z=z\})=0$.
$\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ For each measurable set $Z^{\prime}$ in $Z$ with $v\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ there is a measurable subset $Z^{\prime \prime}$ of $Z^{\prime}$ with $v\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq 0$ and $Z^{\prime \prime} \cap s Z^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$.

Proof. If $F=\{z: z \in Z, z=s z\}$ has $v(F)=0, Z^{\prime} \subseteq Z, v\left(Z^{\prime}\right)>0$ and $d$ is a metric on $Z$ compatable with the topology then

$$
Z_{n}=\left\{z: z \in Z^{\prime}, \quad d(z, s z)>n^{-1}\right\}
$$

defines a monotonic increasing sequence of measurable subsets of $Z$ with union $Z \backslash F$ where $v(Z \backslash F)=v(Z)>0$. Thus for some $n, v\left(Z_{n}\right)>0$. Taking a compact subset $K$ of $Z_{n}$ with $\nu(K)>0$ and a ball $B$ centre $z_{0}$ of radius $(2 n)^{-1}$ with $v(B \cap K)>0$ we put $Z^{\prime \prime}=B \cap K$. Then if $z \in Z^{\prime \prime}$ we have $d\left(z_{0}, s z\right) \geq$ $\geq d(z, s z)-d\left(z_{0}, z\right)>(2 n)^{-1}$ showing $s z \notin Z^{\prime \prime}$. The converse is obvious.

Example 4. In Theorem 2 let $Z=\mathbf{Z}_{2}^{\mathbf{Q}^{2}}$, that is the product of a countable number of copies of the group of integers mod 2, the factors being indexed by pairs of rational numbers, with the usual product topology and let $v$ be Haar measure on $Z$ with $v(Z)=1$. Thus $Z$ is a compact metrizable group. Let $Z_{0}=$ $\left\{z: z \in Z, z_{p}=0\right.$ for all but a finite number of $\left.p \in \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right\}$ and let $K$ be the set of all mappings of $Z$ onto itself of the form $z \mapsto z+z_{0}$. $K$ is then an abelian group of homeomorphisms preserving $\nu$ and, in particular, is amenable [3, Theorem 17.5]. If $F \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ has $F(k z)=F(z)$ for almost all $z$ in $Z$ for each $k \in K$ then we have $\int f\left(z-z_{0}\right) F(z) d v(z)=\int f(z) F(z) d v(z)\left(f \in C(Z), z_{0} \in Z_{0}\right)$. As $y \mapsto \int f(z-y) F(z) d \nu(z)$ is continuous and $Z_{0}$ is dense in $Z$ this shows $F v$ is an invariant integral on $C(Z)$ and hence $F$ is a constant. Thus $K$ is ergodic on $Z$.

For any one to one map $\alpha$ of $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ onto itself $\alpha^{\prime}: z \mapsto\left\{z_{\alpha(p)} ; p \in \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right\}$ is an automorphism of the topological group $Z$ and so is a homeomorphism preserving $\boldsymbol{v}$. $G$ is the group of homeomorphisms of $Z$ generated by $K$ and the $\alpha^{\prime}$ with $\alpha \in$ $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q})$ where the matrix group acts on $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ in the usual way. Every element of $G$ can be written $k \alpha^{\prime}, k \in K, \alpha \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q})$ in exactly one way. If $\alpha \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q})$, and $\alpha$ is not the identity then $\alpha$ has an infinite number of non fixed points in its action on $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ so we can find an infinite subset $E$ of $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ with $E \cap \alpha(E)=\emptyset$. If $k \in K$ is the homeomorphism $z \mapsto y+z$ then the equation $k \alpha^{\prime} z=z$ is equivalent to the system $z_{p}=z_{\alpha(p)}+y_{p}\left(p \in \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right)$ so that if $E_{0}$ is a subset of $E$ containing exactly $n$ elements the set of fixed points of $k \alpha^{\prime}$ is a subset of

$$
\left\{z: z \in Z, z_{p}=z_{\alpha(p)}+y_{p}, p \in E_{0}\right\}
$$

where this latter set has $v$ measure $2^{-n}$. Thus the set of fixed points of $k \alpha^{\prime}$ has measure zero. If $k \in K$ then $k$ has no fixed points unless $k$ is the identity. Thus by Lemma 3 we see that $G$ satisfies condition *. $G$ is ergodic on $Z$ because $K$ is. Thus [1, p. 135] condition (i) of Theorem 3 holds.

Let $H$ be the subgroup of $G$ containing $K$ and those homeomorphisms $\alpha^{\prime}$ where $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}=\left\{\alpha: \alpha \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q}), \alpha_{21}=0\right\}$ and $H_{1}$ the group generated by $K$ and the $\alpha^{\prime}$ with

$$
\alpha \in \Gamma_{2}=\left\{\alpha: \alpha \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q}), \quad \alpha_{11}=1, \alpha_{21}=0\right\} .
$$

$\Gamma_{2}$ is normal in $\Gamma_{1}$ and $H_{1}$ and $H$ are the inverse images of $\Gamma_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ under the isomorphism $\quad \chi: k \alpha^{\prime} \mapsto \alpha$ of $G / K$ onto $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q})$ so that $K$ is normal in $H_{1}, H_{1}$ is normal in $H$ and $K, H_{1} / K$ and $H / H_{1}$ are abelian. Thus [3, Theorems 17.5 and 17.14] $H$ is amenable. In the usual way $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q})$ acts on the rational projective line and $I_{1}$ is the subgroup leaving $(0,1)$ fixed. Under $x$ the action of $G$ on $G / H$ is mapped onto this action and it is well known that the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Q})$ on the projective line is 3 -fold transitive. Thus condition (iii) of Theorem 2 is satisfied. By [1, p. 135] $T 3$ is a $\Pi_{1}$ factor.

To complete our example we copy the argument in [8, Lemma 7] to show that Th does not have property $P$. As $G$ contains a group isomorphic with $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ which in turn contains a free group on two generators ( $[2, \mathrm{p} .26]$ ), $G$ is not amenable [3, Theorem 17.16]. However ${ }^{\prime 2}$ is spatially isomorphic with M' $^{\prime}$ [1, p. 137, Ex. I] so that if has property $P$ so has ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ' and in this case there is a state $\tau$ on $\mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{y}})$ with $\tau\left(U^{*} A U\right)=\tau(A)$ whenever $A \in \mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}})$ and $U$ is unitary in $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ [8, Corollary 6]. If $F \in \ell^{\infty}(G)$ and $A_{F}$ is the element of $\mathscr{L}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}})$ defined by $\left(A_{F}\right)_{s, t}=F(s) \mathrm{I}_{\mathfrak{j}}$ if $s=t,\left(A_{F}\right)_{s, t}=0$ otherwise, then denote $\tau\left(A_{F}\right)$ by $M(F)$. $M$ is then a state on $\ell^{\infty}(G)$ and $M(g F)=\tau\left(A_{g F}\right)=\tau\left(\tilde{U}_{g}^{\prime} A_{F} \tilde{U}_{g}^{*}\right)=\tau\left(A_{F}\right)=M(F)$ so that $M$ is an invariant mean for $\ell^{\infty}(G)$.
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