

On colimits of injectives in Grothendieck categories

DANIEL SIMSON

Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń, Poland

1. Colimits of injectives

A *Grothendieck category* is an Abelian category \mathcal{A} which has a set of generators and admits colimits that are exact functors when taken over directed sets. Any Grothendieck category has arbitrary products and sufficiently many injective objects (see [1]).

An object D of \mathcal{A} is said to be a *strict cogenerator* if every object of \mathcal{A} admits an embedding in a suitable coproduct of copies of D .

In [6] (see also [2] and [5]) J. E. Roos has proved that *the following conditions are equivalent for a Grothendieck category \mathcal{A}*

- (i) *There exists an injective object I of \mathcal{A} such that every injective in \mathcal{A} is a coproduct of direct summands of I .*
- (ii) *Every coproduct of injectives in \mathcal{A} is still injective.*
- (iii) *\mathcal{A} admits a strict cogenerator.*

The aim of this paper is to prove that in a Grothendieck category \mathcal{A} each of the above equivalent conditions (i)–(iii) implies that a directed colimit of injectives is injective. This answers a question of J. E. Roos [6, p. 202].

Throughout this paper colim is a colimit functor, \coprod and \prod denote coproduct and product respectively.

We start with the following

LEMMA. *Let $\{M_\alpha, h_{\beta\alpha}\}_{\alpha \leq \beta < \gamma}$ be a well-ordered directed system in a Grothendieck category \mathcal{A} such that $M_0 = 0$, $h_{\beta\alpha}$ are monomorphisms and $M_\eta = \text{colim}_{\xi < \eta} M_\xi$ whenever η is a limit ordinal number. If $h_{\xi+1, \xi}: M_\xi \rightarrow M_{\xi+1}$ splits for any $\xi < \gamma$ then*

$$\text{colim}_{\alpha < \gamma} M_\alpha \cong \coprod_{\xi < \gamma} M_{\xi+1}/M_\xi.$$

Proof. Let $B_\alpha = \coprod_{\xi < \alpha} M_{\xi+1}/M_\xi$ and let $s_{\beta\alpha}: B_\alpha \rightarrow B_\beta$, $\alpha \leq \beta < \gamma$, be a natural monomorphism. For any $\alpha < \gamma$ we fix such splitting maps d_α and t_α of $h_{\alpha+1, \alpha}$ and $v_\alpha: M_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ respectively, that the identity map on $M_{\alpha+1}$ is equal to $h_{\alpha+1, \alpha}d_\alpha + t_\alpha v_\alpha$.

Let $f_\beta: B_\beta \rightarrow M_\beta$ be a map defined by commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_\beta & \xrightarrow{f_\beta} & M_\beta \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow h_{\beta, \xi+1} \\ M_{\xi+1}/M_\xi & \xrightarrow{t_\xi} & M_{\xi+1}, \quad \xi < \beta. \end{array}$$

It is easy to verify that $\{f_\beta\}: \{B_\beta, s_{\alpha\beta}\} \rightarrow \{M_\beta, h_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a map of directed systems and that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B_\alpha & \xleftarrow{j_\alpha} & B_{\alpha+1} & \xleftarrow{p_\alpha} & M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_\alpha & & \downarrow f_{\alpha+1} & & \downarrow id & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_\alpha & \xleftarrow{d_\alpha} & M_{\alpha+1} & \xleftarrow{t_\alpha} & M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow h_{\alpha+1} & & & & \end{array}$$

where j_α is a natural projection and p_α is a natural injection. It follows that $f_{\alpha+1}$ is an isomorphism whenever so is f_α . Clearly f_0 is an isomorphism. If η is a limit ordinal and f_ξ are isomorphisms for $\xi < \eta$ then so is

$$f_\eta = \text{colim}_{\xi < \eta} f_\xi: B_\eta \cong \text{colim}_{\xi < \eta} B_\xi \rightarrow M_\eta.$$

Consequently, $\text{colim}_{\alpha < \gamma} f_\alpha$ is an isomorphism and the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 1. *If a Grothendieck category \mathcal{A} admits a strict cogenerator then any directed colimit of injectives in \mathcal{A} is injective.*

Proof. Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \coprod_{i \in I} M_i \xrightarrow{h} \text{colim}_{i \in I} M_i \longrightarrow 0 \tag{*}$$

where $M_i, i \in I$, is a direct system of injective objects in \mathcal{A} and h is the natural colimit morphism. We shall prove by transfinite induction on the cardinality $|I|$ of I that any sequence of the form (*) splits. Clearly it is true if I is finite. Now suppose that I is arbitrary and that our statement holds for any directed set of cardinality less than $|I|$. It follows from [4, Lemma 1.4] that I is a union of an ascending transfinite sequence of directed subsets I_ξ such that $|I_\xi| < |I|$ for any ξ and $I_\eta = \bigcup_{\xi < \eta} I_\xi$ whenever η is a limit ordinal number. Then for any ξ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_\xi & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{i \in I_\xi} M_i & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I_\xi} M_i \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{\xi+1} & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{i \in I_{\xi+1}} M_i & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I_{\xi+1}} M_i \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{**}$$

with splitting rows by the inductive assumption. It follows that $K_\xi \hookrightarrow K_{\xi+1}$ splits for any ξ and therefore $K_{\xi+1}/K_\xi$ is injective. Since the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} K_\xi \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} \coprod_{i \in I_\xi} M_i \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I_\xi} M_i \longrightarrow 0$$

is isomorphic to (*) then by Lemma $K \cong \coprod K_{\xi+1}/K_\xi$. Hence K is injective and our conclusion follows.

COROLLARY. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck category with a strict cogenerator. Then*

$$\operatorname{inj} \dim \operatorname{colim} M_i \leq \sup \operatorname{inj} \dim M_i$$

for any directed system $M_i, i \in I$, in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. It follows from our assumption that \mathcal{A} has an injective cogenerator E . For each object M of \mathcal{A} we set $Q(M) = \prod E_f$ where $E_f = E$ and f runs through all morphisms from M to E . It is clear that the natural morphism $M \rightarrow Q(M)$ is a monomorphism and any morphism $h: M \rightarrow N$ induces a natural morphism $Q(h): Q(M) \rightarrow Q(N)$ such that Q becomes a covariant endofunctor of \mathcal{A} .

We shall prove the corollary by induction on $d = \sup \operatorname{inj} \dim M_i$, since there is nothing to prove provided $d = \infty$. In virtue of Theorem 1 it is sufficient to pass from $d - 1$ to d . For this purpose observe that from a directed system of exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow Q(M_i) \longrightarrow K_i \longrightarrow 0$$

we derive the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} M_i \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} Q(M_i) \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} K_i \longrightarrow 0$$

where the middle object is injective by Theorem 1 and the last one has injective dimension less than d by the inductive assumption. Hence the corollary follows.

2. Pure-injectives and pure-projectives

Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck category. Recall that an object M of \mathcal{A} is *finitely generated* if for each directed family $M_i, i \in I$, of subobjects of M with $M = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ there is an $j \in I$ with $M_j = M$. M is *finitely presented* if it is finitely generated and every epimorphism $N \rightarrow M$, where N is finitely generated, has a finitely generated kernel. \mathcal{A} is said to be a *locally finitely presented* category if it has a family

of finitely presented generators. A short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} is *pure* if every finitely presented object is relatively projective for it.

By [8], pure sequences in a locally finitely presented category form a proper class which is closed under directed colimits. Moreover, in this case there exist enough pure-projective objects.

The following result is an extension of the Theorem 4.2 in [3] from module categories to locally finitely presented ones.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a locally finitely presented category. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *All objects of \mathcal{A} are pure-projective.*
- (b) *Every pure-projective object of \mathcal{A} is pure-injective.*

Moreover, if \mathcal{A} has enough pure-injectives then (a) is equivalent to the following statement

- (c) *Every pure-injective object in \mathcal{A} is pure-projective.*

Proof. The implications (a) \Rightarrow (b) and (a) \Rightarrow (c) are trivial, and (c) \Rightarrow (a) may be proved as the one in [3, Theorem 4.2].

To prove (b) \Rightarrow (a) observe that every sequence of the form (*) is pure. It is trivial if the set I is finite. The general case follows by transfinite induction on the cardinality $|I|$ using the fact that (*) is a directed colimit of sequences of the form (**). Assume (b). By [8, Lemma 4] every object is a directed colimit of finitely presented (so pure-injective) objects. Then it is sufficient to show that every sequence of the form (*), with M_i pure-injective, splits. But this follows from the above remarks and the arguments from the proof of Theorem 1. This completes the proof.

After not hard modifications of arguments from [3, Sec. 5] and [7, Sec. 1] one obtains

THEOREM 3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a locally finitely presented category. If*

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

is a pure exact sequence in \mathcal{A} and P_0, \dots, P_n are pure-projective objects then K is an \aleph_n -directed union of pure-projective subobjects (which are $\aleph_{n-1}G$ -pure subobjects of K in the sense of [3, Sec. 5]).

Note Added in Proof. Theorem 1 and Corollary have been proved by Jan-Erik Roos in the paper »On the structure of abelian categories with generators and exact direct limits» (to appear).

References

1. BUCUR, I., DELEANU, A., *Introduction to the theory of categories and functors*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. London — New York — Sydney.
2. GABRIEL, P., Des catégories abéliennes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*. 90 (1962), 323—448.
3. KIETPIŃSKI, R., SIMSON, D., Pure homological dimensions (to appear).
4. JENSEN, C. U., *Les foncteurs dérivés de \lim et leurs applications en théorie des modules*. Springer Lecture Notes, 254, 1972.
5. ROOS, J. E., Sur la décomposition bornée des objets injectifs dans les catégories de Grothendieck. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A—B*. 266 (1968), 449—452.
6. —»— Locally noetherian categories and generalized strictly linearly compact rings. Applications. *Springer Lectures Notes*, 92 (1969), 197—277.
7. SIMSON, D., On projective resolutions of flat modules. *Colloq. Math.* 29 (1974), 209—218.
8. STENSTRÖM, B., Purity in functor categories. *J. Algebra* 8 (1968), 352—361.

Received April 10, 1973

Dr. Daniel Simson
Institute of Mathematics
Nicolaus Copernicus University
87—100 Toruń, Poland
ul. Grudziądzka 5.