

# A Maximum Principle With Applications To Subharmonic Functions in $n$ -space

RONALD GARIEPY and JOHN L. LEWIS

## 1. Introduction

Denote points in  $n$  dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , by  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $r = |x|$  and  $x_1 = r \cos \theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . A real valued function  $f$  defined on a subset  $E$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is said to be symmetric (with respect to the  $x_1$  axis) if  $f(x) = f(y)$  whenever  $x, y \in E$  and  $x$  and  $y$  have the same  $r, \theta$  coordinates.

For  $r > 0$  let  $B(r) = \{x: |x| < r\}$ ,  $S(r) = \{x: |x| = r\}$  and  $S = S(1)$ . For  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$  let  $C(\alpha) = S \cap \{x: \theta < \alpha\}$ . Given a set  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $\bar{E}$ ,  $\partial E$ , denote the closure and boundary of  $E$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $E \subset S(r)$  let  $\tilde{\partial}E$  denote the boundary of  $E$  relative to  $S(r)$ . Let  $H^m$  denote  $m$  dimensional Hausdorff measure in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

If  $f$  is defined on a set  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  let  $\theta(r)$  be defined by

$$H^{n-1}(C(\theta(r))) = H^{n-1}(p(S(r) \cap E))$$

where  $p$  denotes the radial projection of  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$  onto  $S$ . For  $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta(r)$  let

$$\hat{f}(r, \theta) = \sup \int_F f(ry) dH^{n-1}y$$

where the supremum is taken over all measurable sets  $F \subset p(S(r) \cap E)$  with  $H^{n-1}(F) = H^{n-1}(C(\theta))$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded region in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of the form

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{r_1 < r < r_2} C(\theta(r))$$

where  $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \infty$  and  $0 < \theta(r) \leq \pi$  for  $r_1 < r < r_2$ . Let  $h$  be a symmetric, bounded, harmonic function in  $\Omega$  such that, for  $r_1 < r < r_2$ ,  $h(r, \theta)$  is a non increasing function of  $\theta$  for  $0 < \theta < \theta(r)$ . Then

$$\hat{h}(r, \theta) = \int_{C(\theta)} h(ry) dH^{n-1}y \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Let  $u$  be a subharmonic function ( $\equiv -\infty$ ) in  $B(R) \supset \Omega, R > r_2$ . In § 3 we will prove

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\hat{h}$  has a continuous extension to  $\bar{\Omega} - \{0\}$  and  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{h} + c$  on  $\partial\Omega - \{0\}$  where  $c \geq 0$ , then  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{h} + c$  everywhere in  $\Omega$ .*

We note that Baernstein [2, Theorem A'] has obtained a similar theorem in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

We will give two applications of Theorem 1. The first is to an extremal problem for potentials. Given a real number  $\gamma, 1 \leq \gamma < \infty$ , let  $H(\gamma)$  denote the class of potentials

$$p(x) = \int_S |x - y|^{2-n} d\mu(y), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

where  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $S$  and

$$p(x) \leq \gamma \text{ whenever } x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Choose  $\alpha$  so that the Newtonian capacity of  $S - C(\alpha)$  is  $\gamma^{-1}$  and let  $P \in H(\gamma)$  denote the corresponding equilibrium potential. In § 4 we prove

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\Phi$  is a nondecreasing convex function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , then*

$$\int_S \Phi(p(ry)) dH^{n-1}y \leq \int_S \Phi(P(ry)) dH^{n-1}y$$

whenever  $r > 0$  and  $p \in H(\gamma)$ .

Thus, if  $\lambda \geq 1, \Phi(u) = u^\lambda$  for  $u \geq 0$ , and  $\Phi(u) = 0$  for  $u < 0$ , we have

$$\int_S (p(ry))^\lambda dH^{n-1}y \leq \int_S (P(ry))^\lambda dH^{n-1}y$$

whenever  $r > 0$  and  $p \in H(\gamma)$ . It follows that

$$\max \{p(x): x \in S(r)\} \leq \max \{P(x): x \in S(r)\}$$

whenever  $r > 0$  and  $p \in H(\gamma)$ .

We note that the above inequality has been obtained by Davis and Lewis [6].

If  $u$  is a subharmonic function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $M(r, u) = \max \{u(x): x \in S(r)\}$  whenever  $r > 0$  and  $M(0, u) = u(0)$ . As a second application of Theorem 1 we prove in § 5.

**THEOREM 3.** *Given  $0 \leq \mu < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ , there exists  $\varrho = \varrho(\mu, \beta, n) > 0$  such that if  $u$  is any subharmonic function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with*

$$H^{n-1}(\{x: u(x) > \mu M(|x|, u)\} \cap S(r)) \leq \beta H^{n-1}(S(r))$$

whenever  $r > 0$ , then either  $u \leq 0$  everywhere in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  or  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\epsilon} M(r, u)$  exists and is positive (possibly  $+\infty$ ).

For  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $\mu = 0$ , Dahlberg [4], Hüber [14], and Talpur [15] have all shown the existence of  $\varrho^* = \varrho^*(\beta, n) > 0$  for which the conclusion above holds. In § 6 we will show the  $\varrho$  we obtain is best possible for  $0 \leq \mu < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ .

Baernstein [1] has obtained a similar result in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

To prove Theorem 3 for  $0 < \mu < 1$  we use Theorem 1 to reduce the problem to one considered by Dahlberg [5] and Essen and Lewis [7]. For  $\mu = 0$  we use Theorem 1 and arguments similar to those of Heins [12, p. 114, ex. 11].

### 2. Spherical symmetrization

Given a closed set  $F \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , define the spherical symmetrization  $F^*$  of  $F$  as follows: If  $F \cap S(r) = \phi$ , then  $F^* \cap S(r) = \phi$ . Otherwise  $H^{n-1}(F^* \cap S(r)) = H^{n-1}(F \cap S(r))$  and  $F^* \cap S(r)$  is either the point  $(r, 0, \dots, 0)$  or the closed cap on  $S(r)$  centered at  $(r, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $u$  be subharmonic in  $B(R)$ ,  $R > 0$ . Given  $t, -\infty \leq t < \infty$ , let  $F(t) = \{x: u(x) \geq t\}$  and note that  $F(t)$  is closed. Define an associated function  $u^*$  by letting

$$u^*(x) = \sup \{t: x \in F^*(t)\} \text{ whenever } x \in B(R).$$

It is easily seen that  $u^*$  is symmetric and  $\{x: u^*(x) \geq t\} = F^*(t)$ . It follows that  $u^*$  is upper semicontinuous,  $u$  and  $u^*$  are equimeasurable, and

$$\hat{u}(r, \theta) = \int_{C(\theta)} u^*(ry) dH^{n-1}y \tag{2.1}$$

whenever  $0 < r < R, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . We note for later reference that Gehring [10, lemma 4] has shown that  $u^*$  is Lipschitz in  $B(R)$  whenever  $u$  is.

Consider now the restriction of  $u$  and  $u^*$  (also denoted by  $u$  and  $u^*$ ) to  $S(r)$  for fixed  $r, 0 < r < R$ . Assume that  $u$  and  $u^*$  are Lipschitz functions on  $S(r)$ . Define a Borel measure  $u_{\#}H^{n-1}$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  by letting

$$u_{\#}H^{n-1}(E) = H^{n-1}(u^{-1}(E))$$

whenever  $E$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Define  $u_{\#}^*H^{n-1}$  analogously.

Let  $\tilde{\nabla}$  denote the gradient relative to the sphere  $S(r)$ , and let  $G$  be the subset of  $S(r)$  where  $\tilde{\nabla}u^*$  exists. Define a function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  by letting

$$g(t) = 0 \text{ if } (u^*)^{-1}(t) \cap G = \phi$$

and

$$g(t) = |\tilde{\nabla} u^*(x)| \text{ for any } x \in (u^*)^{-1}(t) \cap G, \text{ otherwise.}$$

Since  $u^*$  is symmetric,  $g$  is well defined. Note that  $g \circ u^*(x) = |\tilde{\nabla} u^*(x)|$  for  $H^{n-1}$  almost every  $x \in S(r)$ . Thus by [8, 2.4.18 (1)].

$$\int_{A^*(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u^*|^2 dH^{n-1} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g^2 du_{\#}^* H^{n-1},$$

where  $A^*(t_1, t_2) = \{x: t_1 < u^*(x) < t_2\}$ .

Since  $u_{\#} H^{n-1} = u_{\#}^* H^{n-1}$  we see by [8, 2.4.18 (2)] that  $g \circ u$  is  $H^{n-1}$  measurable and

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} g^2 du_{\#} H^{n-1} = \int_{A(t_1, t_2)} (g \circ u)^2 dH^{n-1}$$

where  $A(t_1, t_2) = \{x: t_1 < u(x) < t_2\}$ . Hence

$$\int_{A(t_1, t_2)} (g \circ u)^2 dH^{n-1} = \int_{A^*(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u^*|^2 dH^{n-1}.$$

Using the coarea formula [8, 3.2.22 (3)] and the spherical isoperimetric inequality for sets of finite perimeter (see [8, 3.2.43 and 4.5.9 (31)] for a similar inequality in the Euclidean case), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A^*(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u^*|^2 dH^{n-1} &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \int_{(u^*)^{-1}(t)} g \circ u^* dH^{n-2} \right) dt \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \int_{u^{-1}(t)} g \circ u dH^{n-2} \right) dt = \int_{A(t_1, t_2)} (g \circ u) |\tilde{\nabla} u| dH^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From Holder's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A(t_1, t_2)} (g \circ u) |\tilde{\nabla} u| dH^{n-1} &\leq \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{A(t_1, t_2)} (g \circ u)^2 dH^{n-1} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_{A(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u|^2 dH^{n-1} \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[ \int_{A^*(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u^*|^2 dH^{n-1} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_{A(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u|^2 dH^{n-1} \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{A^*(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u^*|^2 dH^{n-1} \leq \int_{A(t_1, t_2)} |\tilde{\nabla} u|^2 dH^{n-1}.$$

Applying the coarea formula again we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \int_{(u^*)^{-1}(t)} |\tilde{\nabla} u^*| dH^{n-2} \right) dt \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \int_{u^{-1}(t)} |\tilde{\nabla} u| dH^{n-2} \right) dt$$

whenever  $t_1 < t_2$ . Hence for almost every  $t$  (with respect to one dimensional Lebesgue measure)

$$\int_{(u^*)^{-1}(t)} |\tilde{\nabla} u^*| dH^{n-2} \leq \int_{u^{-1}(t)} |\tilde{\nabla} u| dH^{n-2} \tag{2.2}$$

The coarea formula also implies that

$$H^{n-2}[u^{-1}(t) - \tilde{\partial}\{x: u(x) > t\}] = 0$$

for almost every  $t$ . Thus, for almost every  $t$ , we can replace  $u^{-1}(t)$  by  $\tilde{\partial}\{x: u(x) > t\}$  in (2.2).

The argument above was suggested by [10, (27)].

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is an  $x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $\hat{u}(x_0) > h(x_0) + c$ . Let  $w(x) = h(x) + \eta|x|^{2-n} + \eta x_1$ , where  $\eta > 0$  is so small that  $\hat{u}(x_0) - \hat{w}(x_0) = c_1 > c$ . Clearly  $w$  is symmetric, harmonic in  $\Omega$ , and  $\partial w / \partial \theta < 0$  at each point of  $\Omega$  off the  $x_1$  axis. Also,  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{w} + c$ , on  $\partial\Omega - \{0\}$ .

There exists a decreasing sequence  $\{u_j\}$  of subharmonic functions in  $B(1/2(r_2 + R))$  with continuous second partial derivatives that converges pointwise to  $u$  in  $B(1/2(r_2 + R))$ . Since  $u_j^*$  is Lipschitz in  $\bar{B}(r_2)$ , it follows from (2.1) that  $\hat{u}_j$  is continuous in  $\bar{B}(r_2) - \{0\}$ . Since

$$0 \leq \hat{u}_j(r, \theta) - \hat{u}(r, \theta) \leq \hat{u}_j(r, \pi) - \hat{u}(r, \pi),$$

and  $\hat{u}_j(r, \pi), \hat{u}(r, \pi)$  are continuous functions of  $r$  on  $[\sigma, 1/2(r_2 + R)]$  for  $0 < \sigma < 1/2(r_2 + R)$ , it follows from Dini's Theorem that  $\{\hat{u}_j\}$  converges uniformly to  $\hat{u}$  in the closure of  $B(r_2) - B(\sigma)$  whenever  $0 < \sigma < r_2$ . Thus  $\hat{u}$  is continuous on  $\bar{B}(r_2) - \{0\}$ . Choose  $\sigma > 0$  so small that  $\hat{u} - \hat{w} < c_1$  on the closure of  $B(\sigma) \cap \Omega$ . Then there exist  $m$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\hat{u}_m(x) + \varepsilon H^{n-1}(S)|x|^2 - \hat{w}(x) < c_1$$

whenever  $x \in \partial[\Omega - B(\sigma)]$ .

Let  $v(x) = u_m(x) + \varepsilon|x|^2$  for  $x \in \Omega - B(\sigma)$  and note that

$$\hat{v}(r, \theta) - \hat{w}(r, \theta) = \int_{C(\theta)} v^*(ry) dH^{n-1}y - \int_{C(\theta)} w(ry) dH^{n-1}y$$

has a relative maximum at a point in  $\Omega - \bar{B}(\sigma)$  with coordinates  $(r_0, \theta_0), 0 < \theta_0 < \pi$ . Note also that

$$\Delta v \geq 2n\varepsilon. \tag{3.1}$$

Since  $v^*$  and  $w$  are continuous in  $\Omega$ , it follows that  $v^*(r_0, \theta_0) = w(r_0, \theta_0)$  and for  $\theta - \theta_0 > 0$  and sufficiently small,

$$\int_{C(\theta) - C(\theta_0)} v^*(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y \leq \int_{C(\theta) - C(\theta_0)} w(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y.$$

Since  $v^*$  is Lipschitz, and  $v^*(r_0, \theta)$  and  $w(r_0, \theta)$  are nonincreasing and decreasing functions of  $\theta$  respectively, it follows that

$$|\tilde{\nabla} v^*(r_0, \theta)| \geq |\tilde{\nabla} w(r_0, \theta)| > 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $\theta$  in a set  $F$  with the property: Given any  $\tau > 0$ , the one dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $F \cap [\theta_0, \theta_0 + \tau]$  is positive.

For  $\theta - \theta_0$  small and positive, let  $E(\theta) \subset S$  be such that

- (i)  $S \cap \{y: v(r_0 y) > v^*(r_0, \theta)\} \subset E(\theta) \subset S \cap \{y: v(r_0 y) \geq v^*(r_0, \theta)\}$ ,
- (ii)  $H^{n-1}(E(\theta)) = H^{n-1}(C(\theta))$ ,

$$(iii) \hat{v}(r_0, \theta) = \int_{E(\theta)} v(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y = \int_{C(\theta)} v^*(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y.$$

Note that all three sets in (i) have the same  $H^{n-1}$  measure whenever  $\theta \in F$ , and that  $E(\theta_0) \subset E(\theta)$  whenever  $\theta_0 < \theta \in F$ .

Let

$$\psi(r) = \int_{E(\theta_0)} v(r y) dH^{n-1} y - \int_{C(\theta_0)} w(r y) dH^{n-1} y$$

and observe that

$$\psi(r) \leq \hat{v}(r, \theta_0) - \hat{w}(r, \theta_0) \leq \psi(r_0),$$

for  $r$  sufficiently close to  $r_0$ . Thus  $\psi$  has a relative maximum at  $r_0$  and

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right)_{r=r_0} \leq 0.$$

Consequently given any  $\gamma > 0$ , we have

$$\int_{E(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) (r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y \leq \int_{C(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right) (r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y + \gamma \tag{3.3}$$

whenever  $\theta - \theta_0 > 0$  and sufficiently small.

For  $\lambda > 0$  let

$$L(\theta, \lambda) = \{s y: r_0 \leq s \leq r_0 + \lambda, y \in E(\theta)\}$$

and  $L(\theta) = L(\theta, 0)$ . Since  $\{v^*(r_0, \theta): \theta \in F \cap [\theta_0, \theta_0 + \tau]\}$  has positive one dimensional measure, whenever  $\tau > 0$  there is an  $F' \subset F$  containing  $\theta$  arbitrarily near  $\theta_0$  and such that (2.2) holds with  $v = u$ ,  $t = v^*(r_0, \theta)$ , and  $\tilde{\partial} L(\theta)$  replacing  $u^{-1}(t)$  whenever  $\theta \in F'$ . By [8, 3.2.22 (2)] we can assume that  $\tilde{\partial} L(\theta)$  is

$(H^{n-2}, n - 2)$  rectifiable whenever  $\theta \in F'$  and hence that  $\partial L(\theta, \lambda)$  is  $(H^{n-1}, n - 1)$  rectifiable whenever  $\theta \in F'$ .

Now, from (3.1),

$$2n\varepsilon\lambda^{-1}H^n(L(\theta, \lambda)) \leq \lambda^{-1} \int_{L(\theta, \lambda)} \Delta v dH^n.$$

Using the Gauss-Green theorem [8, 4.5.6 (5)] and letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  we obtain for  $\theta \in F'$ ,

$$2n\varepsilon H^{n-1}(L(\theta)) \leq r_0^{1-n} \int_{L(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} dH^{n-1} - \int_{\tilde{\partial}L(\theta)} |\tilde{\nabla} v| dH^{n-2}.$$

Since  $w$  is harmonic a similar argument gives

$$r_0^{1-n} \int_{C_1(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} dH^{n-1} = \int_{\tilde{\partial}C_1(\theta)} |\tilde{\nabla} w| dH^{n-2}$$

where  $C_1(\theta) = \{r_0 y : y \in C(\theta)\}$ .

Using (3.2), (2.2), (3.3), and the above inequalities we obtain for  $\theta \in F'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\partial}C_1(\theta)} |\tilde{\nabla} w| dH^{n-2} &\leq \int_{\tilde{\partial}C_1(\theta)} |\tilde{\nabla} v^*| dH^{n-2} \leq \int_{\partial L(\theta)} |\tilde{\nabla} v| dH^{n-2} \\ &\leq r_0^{1-n} \int_{L(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} dH^{n-1} - 2n\varepsilon H^{n-1}(L(\theta)) \\ &\leq r_0^{1-n} \int_{C_1(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_0} dH^{n-1} - 2n\varepsilon H^{n-1}(L(\theta)) + \gamma \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\partial}C_1(\theta)} |\tilde{\nabla} w| dH^{n-2} - 2n\varepsilon H^{n-1}(L(\theta)) + \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $2n\varepsilon H^{n-1}(L(\theta)) \leq \gamma$  whenever  $\theta \in F'$  and hence  $2n\varepsilon r_0^{n-1} H^{n-1}(C(\theta_0)) \leq \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma$  is arbitrary and  $\theta_0 > 0$ , we have reached a contradiction. Hence Theorem 1 is true.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $\gamma, H(\gamma)$ , and  $P \in H(\gamma)$  be as in § 1. If  $\gamma = 1$ , then the conclusion of Theorem 2 is obvious since  $P$  is the only member of  $H(1)$ . Thus we assume that  $1 < \gamma < \infty$ . Then  $0 < \alpha < \pi$  and  $h = -P$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , harmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n - [S - C(\alpha)]$ , and  $h = -\gamma$  on  $S - C(\alpha)$ . It is readily seen that  $h$  is symmetric and that  $h(r, \theta)$  is a nonincreasing function of  $\theta$  for  $0 < \theta < \pi$  and fixed  $r > 0$ . From the proof of Theorem 1 we see that  $\hat{h}$  is continuous in  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ .

Now suppose  $p \in H(\gamma)$  and  $u = -p$ . Clearly  $u$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose  $R$  large enough that  $\hat{u} < \hat{h} + \varepsilon$  on  $S(R)$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset B(R)$  denote the bounded symmetric region in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $B(R) - \Omega$  consists of the union of  $S - C(x)$  and the line segment from the origin to  $(-R, 0, \dots, 0)$ . One verifies that  $\hat{u}(r, \pi) = \hat{h}(r, \pi)$  for  $0 < r < \infty$ . Since  $u^* \geq h = -\gamma$  on  $S - C(x)$ , it follows that  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{h}$  on  $S - C(x)$ . Thus  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{h} + \varepsilon$  on  $\partial\Omega - \{0\}$ . By Theorem 1,  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{h} + \varepsilon$  in  $\Omega$ . It follows that  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{h}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ . Note that

$$\hat{u}(r, \theta) = (-\hat{p})(r, \theta) = \hat{p}(r, \pi - \theta) - \hat{p}(r, \pi)$$

with a similar relation holding between  $\hat{h}$  and  $\hat{P}$ . Thus since  $\hat{p}(r, \pi) = \hat{P}(r, \pi)$  for  $0 < r < \infty$ , we have  $\hat{p} \leq \hat{P}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ . It is known [11, p. 170, 249–250] that this inequality implies the conclusion of Theorem 2.

### 5. Proof of Theorem 3

It suffices to assume that  $u \geq 0$  (otherwise consider  $\max\{u, 0\}$ ) and that  $u \not\equiv 0$ . Let  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < \pi$ , be such that  $H^{n-1}(C(\alpha)) = \beta H^{n-1}(S)$  and let

$$p(x) = \max\{\mu M(|x|, u), u^*(x)\}$$

whenever  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ . We observe from the hypotheses of Theorem 3 that  $p(r, \theta) = \mu M(r, u)$  if  $\theta > \alpha$ . For  $0 < \sigma < \pi$  let

$$K(\sigma) = \{ty: 0 < t < \infty, y \in C(\sigma)\}$$

and let  $K(\sigma, R) = B(R) \cap K(\sigma)$ . Assume henceforth that  $M(R, u) > 0$ . Note that for  $\sigma > \alpha$ ,  $p$  is upper semicontinuous on  $\partial K(\sigma, R) - \{0\}$ , and continuous except on a polar set. Thus there is a unique bounded harmonic function  $h_\sigma$  in  $K(\sigma, R)$  such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow y} h_\sigma(x) \leq p(y) \quad \text{whenever } y \in \partial K(\sigma, R) - \{0\},$$

and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow y} h_\sigma(x) = p(y)$  except on a polar set in  $\partial K(\sigma, R)$  [13, Lemma 8.20]. Since  $\mu M(|x|, u)$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $M(0, u) = u(0)$ ), it follows that  $\mu M(|x|, u) \leq h_\sigma(x)$  in  $K(\sigma, R)$ . From the boundary values of  $h_\sigma$  we see that  $h_\sigma$  is symmetric in  $K(\sigma, R)$ .

Let

$$q_\sigma(r, \theta) = \sup\{h_\sigma(r, \theta_1): \theta \leq \theta_1 < \sigma\} \quad \text{in } K(\sigma, R).$$

Then  $q_\sigma$  is symmetric and has the same boundary values as  $h_\sigma$ . Using the fact that  $q_\sigma(r, \theta) = h_\sigma(r, \theta_1)$  for some  $\theta_1, \theta \leq \theta_1 < \sigma$ , it is easily checked that  $q_\sigma$  is upper semicontinuous and satisfies a local sub mean-value property in  $K(\sigma, R)$ .



Thus  $q_\sigma$  is subharmonic in  $K(\sigma, R)$  and since it is obvious that  $h_\sigma \leq q_\sigma$ , it follows that  $h_\sigma = q_\sigma$  in  $K(\sigma, R)$ . Hence  $h_\sigma(r, \theta)$  is nonincreasing for  $0 < \theta < \sigma$  and fixed  $r, 0 < r < R$ . The proof of this fact is due to Matts Essén (oral communication).

Fix  $\sigma > \alpha$  and let  $v(x) = h_\sigma(x) + \varepsilon|x|^{2-n}$  for  $x \in K(\sigma, R)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Observe that  $v$  has a continuous extension to  $\overline{K(\sigma, R)} - \{0\}$  and that  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{v}$  on  $S(R) \cap \overline{K(\sigma)}$ . Thus, if

$$\sup \{ \hat{u}(y) - \hat{v}(y) : y \in \partial K(\sigma, R) - \{0\} \} = c > 0,$$

then  $\hat{u}(r, \sigma) - v(r, \sigma) = c$  for some  $r$  with  $0 < r < R$ . However since  $u^*(r, \theta) \leq \mu M(r, u) < v(r, \theta)$  whenever  $\alpha < \theta < \sigma$ , it follows that

$$\hat{u}(r, \alpha) - \hat{v}(r, \alpha) > \hat{u}(r, \sigma) - \hat{v}(r, \sigma) = c > 0,$$

which contradicts Theorem 1. Hence  $c \leq 0$ . Applying Theorem 1 and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have  $\hat{u} \leq \hat{h}_\sigma$  in  $K(\sigma, R)$  whenever  $\sigma > \alpha$ .

Let  $h_\sigma(x) = \mu M(|x|, u)$  for  $x \in B(R) - K(\sigma, R)$ . Then  $h_\sigma$  is subharmonic in  $B(R)$  and if  $\alpha < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ , then  $h_{\sigma_1} \leq h_{\sigma_2}$  in  $B(R)$ . Thus  $h = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \alpha^+} h_\sigma$  is subharmonic in  $B(R)$  and harmonic in  $K(\alpha, R)$ . Clearly  $h(x) = \mu M(|x|, u)$  in  $B(R) - \overline{K(\alpha, R)}$ . Since  $B(R) - \overline{K(\alpha, R)}$  is not thin at any  $x \in \partial K(\alpha) \cap B(R)$  [13, Corollary 10.5], it follows that  $h(x) = \mu M(|x|, u)$  on  $\partial K(\alpha) \cap B(R)$ . Since  $\hat{u} \leq h_\sigma$  in  $K(\alpha, R)$  whenever  $\sigma > \alpha$ , we have  $\hat{u} \leq h$  in  $K(\alpha, R)$ .

Let

$$h = P_R + Q_R \tag{5.1}$$

where  $P_R$  and  $Q_R$  are bounded harmonic functions in  $K(\alpha, R)$  with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} P_R(x) = \mu M(|y|, u) \text{ whenever } y \in \partial K(\alpha) \cap B(R),$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} P_R(x) = 0 \text{ whenever } y \in K(\alpha) \cap S(R),$$

and  $Q_R = h - P_R$ . Note that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} Q_R(x) = 0 \text{ for } y \in \partial K(\alpha) \cap B(R),$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} Q_R(x) = p(y) \text{ for } y \in K(\alpha) \cap S(R),$$

off of a polar set.

Let  $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots$  be the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi + \gamma\phi &= 0 \text{ on } C(\alpha), \\ \phi &= 0 \text{ on } \tilde{\partial}C(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta$  is the Beltrami operator defined in terms of the Laplacian  $\Delta$  by

$$\Delta = r^{1-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + r^{-2} \delta.$$

Let  $\{\phi_k\}$  denote corresponding symmetric eigenfunctions with continuous second partial derivatives in  $C(\alpha)$  and

$$\int_{C(\alpha)} \phi_k^2 dH^{n-1} = 1 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $\varrho_k$  be the positive root of the equation  $\varrho_k(\varrho_k + n - 2) = \gamma_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then as in [9] we have

$$Q_R(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (r/R)^{\varrho_k} \phi_k(1, \theta) \text{ in } K(\alpha, R), \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$a_k = \int_{C(\alpha)} P(Ry) \phi_k(y) dH^{n-1}y.$$

Using the estimates in [7, § 8] or [4, Lemma 2.5], the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r/R)^{\varrho_k - \varrho_1} |\phi_k(1, \theta)|$$

can be seen to converge uniformly in  $K(\alpha, sR)$  whenever  $0 < s < 1$ . Note also that

$$|a_k| \leq M(R, u) H^{n-1}(C(\alpha))^{1/2}.$$

*The case  $\mu = 0$ .* In case  $\mu = 0$  we have  $P_R = 0$ ,  $Q_R = h$ ,  $p = u^*$ , and hence

$$a_k = \int_{C(\alpha)} u^*(Ry) \phi_k(y) dH^{n-1}y. \tag{5.3}$$

It is known [3, VI § 6] that  $\phi_1$  is either positive or negative in  $K(\alpha, R)$ . Assume  $\phi_1 \geq 0$ . Since  $\phi_1$  is symmetric and  $\delta\phi_1 = -\gamma_1\phi_1$ , it is readily seen that  $d\phi_1/d\theta \leq 0$  in  $C(\alpha)$ . Using this and the fact that  $\hat{u} \leq h$  in  $K(\alpha, R)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(r) &= \int_{C(\alpha)} u^*(ry) \phi_1(y) dH^{n-1}y = - \int_0^\alpha \hat{u}(r, \theta) \frac{d\phi_1}{d\theta}(1, \theta) d\theta \\ &\leq - \int_0^\alpha \hat{h}(r, \theta) \frac{d\phi_1}{d\theta}(1, \theta) d\theta = \int_{C(\alpha)} h(ry) \phi_1(y) dH^{n-1}y. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.2) and (5.3)

$$\int_{C(\alpha)} h(ry) \phi_1(y) dH^{n-1}y = a_1 (r/R)^{\varrho_1} = (r/R)^{\varrho_1} \int_{C(\alpha)} u^*(Ry) \phi_1(y) dH^{n-1}y,$$

and hence

$$r^{-\varrho_1}m(r) \leq R^{-\varrho_1}m(R) \text{ for } 0 < r < R.$$

Consequently  $b = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varrho_1}m(r)$  exists. We assume that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} (r^{-\varrho_1}M(r, u)) < \infty.$$

Otherwise the proof is complete in case  $\mu = 0$  with  $\varrho = \varrho_1$ . Since

$$m(r) \leq M(r, u)H^{n-1}(C(x))^{1/2}$$

we have  $b < \infty$ .

Now from (5.2) we deduce that for  $0 < r < R/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-\varrho_1}\hat{u}(r, \theta) &\leq r^{-\varrho_1}\hat{h}(r, \theta) \\ &= R^{-\varrho_1}a_1 \int_{C(\theta)} \phi_1 dH^{n-1} + R^{-\varrho_1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (r/R)^{\varrho_k - \varrho_1} \int_{C(\theta)} \phi_k dH^{n-1} \\ &\leq R^{-\varrho_1}m(R) \int_{C(\theta)} \phi_1 dH^{n-1} + R^{-\varrho_1}M(R, u)g(r/R) \end{aligned}$$

where  $g$  is continuous on  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $g(0) = 0$ . Since  $\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-\varrho_1}M(R, u) < \infty$ , it follows that

$$r^{-\varrho_1}\hat{u}(r, \theta) \leq b \int_{C(\theta)} \phi_1 dH^{n-1} \text{ in } K(x).$$

This inequality and the subharmonicity of  $u$  imply that

$$r^{-\varrho_1}M(r, u) \leq b\phi_1(1, 0) \text{ for } r > 0,$$

and hence that  $b > 0$ .

Suppose that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varrho_1}M(r, u) < b\phi_1(1, 0).$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{r_j\}$  with  $r_j \uparrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$r_j^{-\varrho_1}M(r_j, u) < b\phi_1(1, \theta)$$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 < \theta < \varepsilon$ . Thus,

$$r_j^{-\varrho_1}\hat{u}(r_j, \theta) < b \int_{C(\theta)} \phi_1 dH^{n-1}$$

for  $0 < \theta < \varepsilon$  and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_j^{-\varrho_1}m(r_j) &= - \int_0^\infty r_j^{-\varrho_1}\hat{u}(r_j, \theta) \frac{d\phi_1}{d\theta}(1, \theta) d\theta \\ &< - b \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{C(\theta)} \phi_1 dH^{n-1} \right) \frac{d\phi_1}{d\theta}(1, \theta) d\theta = b. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $j \uparrow \infty$  we obtain a contradiction. Hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\varrho_1} M(r, u) = b\phi_1(1, 0) > 0$$

and the proof is complete in case  $\mu = 0$  with  $\varrho = \varrho_1$ .

The case  $0 < \mu < 1$ . For  $0 < \lambda < 1$  the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi + \lambda\varrho_1(\lambda\varrho_1 + n - 2)\psi &= 0 \quad \text{on } C(\alpha) \\ \psi &= 1 \quad \text{on } \tilde{\partial}C(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

has a unique symmetric solution. Choose  $\lambda$  so that the corresponding  $\psi$  has the value  $\mu^{-1}$  at  $r = 1, \theta = 0$ .

Since  $\hat{u} \leq \tilde{h}$  in  $K(x, R)$  it follows that  $M(r, u) \leq h(r, 0)$  for  $0 < r < R$  and hence that

$$h(y) = \mu M(|y|, u) \leq \mu h(|y|, 0)$$

for  $y \in \partial K(x) \cap B(R)$ . Thus, using the arguments of [7, (3.1)],

$$r^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(r, u) \leq r^{-\lambda\varrho_1} h(r, 0) \leq \mu^{-1} R^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(r, u) \tag{5.4}$$

for  $0 < r < R$ . It follows that

$$0 < \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(r, u) \leq \mu^{-1} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(r, u).$$

Assume that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(r, u) < \infty$ . Otherwise the proof is complete in case  $0 < \mu < 1$  with  $\varrho = \lambda\varrho_1$ .

For  $P_R$  as in (5.1) we note that  $P_{R_1} \leq P_{R_2}$  in  $K(x, R_1)$  whenever  $R_1 \leq R_2$ . Also, from (5.4), we have

$$M(r, P_R) \leq h(r, 0) \leq \mu^{-1} (r/R)^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(R, u)$$

for  $0 < r < R$ . Since  $\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(R, u) < \infty$ , it follows that  $V = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} P_R$  is harmonic in  $K(x)$  and

$$M(r, V) \leq \mu^{-1} r^{\lambda\varrho_1} \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-\lambda\varrho_1} M(R, u). \tag{5.5}$$

From (5.4) and the definition of  $Q_R$  we have

$$P_{R_2} - P_{R_1} \leq \mu^{-2} (R_1/R_2)^{\lambda\varrho_1} \frac{M(R_2 u)}{M(R_1, u)} Q_{R_1}$$

in  $K(x, R_1)$  whenever  $R_1 < R_2$ . Letting  $R_2 \rightarrow \infty$  it follows that

$$0 \leq V - P_{R_1} \leq (\text{constant}) Q_{R_1} \quad \text{in } K(x, R_1).$$

Thus

$$V(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} V(x) = \mu M(|y|, u) \quad \text{on } \partial K(x). \tag{5.6}$$

From (5.2) we have

$$Q_R(r, \theta) \leq A(r/R)^{\varrho_1} M(R, u) \text{ for } 0 < r < \frac{R}{2}$$

where  $A$  is a positive constant independent of  $R$ . Since

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-\lambda \varrho_1} M(R, u) < \infty,$$

it follows that  $Q_R \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $K(\alpha)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Using (5.4), (5.1) and letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  we deduce that  $M(r, u) \leq V(r, 0)$  for  $r > 0$ .

This last inequality, (5.5), and (5.6) imply that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\lambda \varrho_1} M(r, u)$  exists [7, (4.6)] and hence the proof is complete in case  $0 < \mu < 1$  with  $\varrho = \lambda \varrho_1$ .

### 6. Remark

With  $\varrho_1$  and  $\phi_1$  as in the proof of the case  $\mu = 0$ , let

$$u(r, \theta) = r^{\varrho_1} \phi_1(1, \theta) \text{ in } K(\alpha)$$

and

$$u(r, \theta) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n - K(\alpha)$$

Then  $u$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3. Hence  $\varrho = \varrho_1$  is the best possible exponent in case  $\mu = 0$ .

In case  $0 < \mu < 1$ , let  $\lambda$  and  $\psi$  correspond to  $\mu$  as in the proof of Theorem 3. It is known [7, (1.5)] that  $\psi \geq 1$  in  $C(\alpha)$ . Let

$$u(r, \theta) = r^{\lambda \varrho_1} \psi(1, \theta) \text{ in } K(\alpha)$$

and

$$u(r, \theta) = r^{\lambda \varrho_1} \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n - K(\alpha).$$

Then  $u$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3. Thus the exponent  $\varrho = \lambda \varrho_1$  is best possible when  $0 < \mu < 1$ .

### References

1. BAERNSTEIN II, A., A generalization of the  $\cos \pi \varrho$  theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
2. —»— Integral means of schlicht functions, *Acta Math.* (to appear).
3. COURANT, R. & HILBERT, D., *Methods of mathematical physics*, I. Wiley-Interscience, 1966.
4. DAHLBERG, B., Mean values of subharmonic functions, *Arkiv f. Mat.* 10 (1972), 293—309.
5. —»— Growth properties of subharmonic functions, thesis, *University of Göteborg*, 1971.
6. DAVIS, B. & LEWIS, J. L., An extremal property of some capacity measures in  $E^1$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 39 (1973) 520—524.

7. ESSÉN, M. & LEWIS, J. L., The generalized Ahlfors-Heins theorem in certain  $d$ -dimensional cones, *Math. Scand.* (to appear).
8. FEDERER, H., *Geometric measure theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
9. LELONG-FERRAND, J., Extension du théoreme de Phragmen-Lindelöf-Heins aux Fonctions sousesharmoniques dans un cône ou dans un cylindre, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 229 (1949), 411—413.
10. GEHRING, F., Symmetrization of rings in space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 101 (1961), 499—519.
11. HARDY, G., LITTLEWOOD, J., and POLYA, G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1964.
12. HEINS, M., *Selected topics in the classical theory of functions of a complex variable*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1962.
13. HELMS, L., *Introduction to potential theory*, Wiley-Interscience, 1969.
14. HÜBER, A., Ein raumliches analogon des Wiman-Heinsschen Satzes, *Studies in mathematical analysis and related topics*, *Stanford University Press*, 1962, 152—155.
15. TALPUR, M., On the sets where a subharmonic function is large, thesis, *Imperial College, London*, 1967.

Received March 13, 1974

Ronald Gariepy and John Lewis  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, Kentucky 40506