# Space analogues of some theorems for subharmonic and meromorphic functions

Ronald Gariepy and John L. Lewis

# 1. Introduction

Denote points in *n* dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , by  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ . Let r = |x| and  $x_1 = r \cos \theta$ ,  $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ . For r > 0 let  $B(r) = \{x : |x| < r\}$ ,  $S(r) = \{x : |x| = r\}$ , and S = S(1). For  $0 \le \alpha \le \pi$ , let  $C(\alpha) = S \cap \{x : \theta < \alpha\}$ . If *E* is a set contained in S(r), let  $\partial E$  denote the boundary of *E* relative to S(r). Let  $H^m$  denote *m* dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**If** f is defined on a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\theta(r)$  for  $0 < r < \infty$  be defined by

$$H^{n-1}(C(\theta(r))) = H^{n-1}(p(S(r) \cap E))$$

where p denotes the radial projection of  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$  onto S. For  $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta(r)$ , let

$$\hat{f}(r,\theta) = \sup \int_{F} f(ry) dH^{n-1}y,$$

where the supremum is taken over all measurable sets  $F \subset p(S(r) \cap E)$  with

$$H^{n-1}(F) = H^{n-1}(C(\theta)).$$
  
Given a set  $E \subset [0, \infty)$ , let  
 $\overline{\log \operatorname{dens}} E = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \left( \int_{E \cap (1, r)} \frac{dt}{t} \Big/ \log r \right)$   
 $\underline{\log \operatorname{dens}} E = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \left( \int_{E \cap (1, r)} \frac{dt}{t} \Big/ \log r \right).$ 

Let u be equal  $H^n$  almost every where on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to the difference of two subharmonic functions. By the Riesz representation theorem there is associated with this difference a unique signed Borel measure v whose total variation on compact sets is finite. Let  $v = v^+ - v^-$  denote the Jordan decomposition of v. To simplify matters, we will assume that  $v^+(B(1))=0$  or equivalently that u is equal  $H^n$  almost everywhere in B(1) to a subharmonic function.

From [1, Thm. 2] we see there exist functions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with associated measures  $-v^-$  and  $-v^+$  respectively, such that  $u_2(0)=0$  and  $u=u_1-u_2$ ,  $H^n$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For convenience in making the following definitions, we assume that  $u=u_1-u_2$  except on the polar set where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are both  $-\infty$ . Otherwise, one may replace u by  $u_1-u_2$  in the definitions.

If f and g are real valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$(f \lor g)(x) = \max \{f(x), g(x)\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

For  $0 < r < \infty$  let

$$n(r) = \sup \left\{ \hat{u}(r,\theta) \colon 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \right\} = \int_{S} (u \vee 0) (ry) dH^{n-1}y,$$

and

$$T(r) = m(r) + \hat{u}_2(r, \pi) = \int_S (u_1 \vee u_2) (ry) dH^{n-1}y$$

We note that  $\hat{u}_2(r, \pi) \ge u_2(0) = 0$  for r > 0 since  $u_2$  is subharmonic. Hence,

$$0 \leq m(r) \leq T(r) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < r < \infty,$$

and consequently since  $u_1 \vee u_2$  is subharmonic, either  $m(r) \equiv 0$  or T(r) is positive for  $r \geq r_0$  ( $r_0$  large). In this paper we consider only u for which the second possibility occurs.

In analogy with the case for meromorphic functions we define the deficiency  $\delta$ , order  $\rho$ , and lower order  $\mu$  of u by

$$\delta = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{m(r)}{T(r)},$$
$$\varrho = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r},$$
$$\mu = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r}.$$

Observe that  $0 \le \mu \le \varrho \le \infty$ , and  $0 \le \delta \le 1$ . We remark that if  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $h_2$  is harmonic in B(1),  $h_2(0)=0$ , and  $u=h_1-h_2$ , except on a polar set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r)}{m(r)+\hat{h}_2(r,\pi)}\leq\delta.$$

Consider for  $0 < \gamma < \infty$  the ultra-spherical differential equation

(1.1) 
$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\left[(\sin\theta)^{n-2}\frac{df}{d\theta}\right] = -\gamma(\gamma+n-2)(\sin\theta)^{n-2}f(\theta), \quad 0 < \theta < \pi.$$

It is well known and easy to show that (1.1) has two linearly independent solutions  $\psi_{\gamma}, \phi_{\gamma}$ , satisfying

(1.2a) 
$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \psi_{\gamma}(\theta) = \psi_{\gamma}(0) = 1,$$

(1.2b) 
$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} (\sin \theta)^{n-2} \frac{d\varphi_{\gamma}}{d\theta} = -1.$$

It follows from (1.1), (1.2a), and (1.2b) that

(1.2c) 
$$\psi_{\gamma}(\theta) \frac{d\varphi_{\gamma}}{d\theta}(\theta) - \varphi_{\gamma}(\theta) \frac{d\psi_{\gamma}}{d\theta}(\theta) = -(\sin\theta)^{2-n}, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi.$$

It is also easily shown that

(1.3a) Each  $\psi_{\gamma}$  has at least one zero in  $(0, \pi)$  and if  $\alpha = \alpha(\gamma)$  denotes the first zero of  $\psi_{\gamma}$ , then  $\psi_{\gamma}$  is decreasing on  $[0, \alpha]$ .

(1.3b) If 
$$0 < \tau < \gamma$$
, then  $\psi_{\gamma} < \psi_{\tau}$  on  $(0, \alpha(\gamma))$ ,

(1.3c)  $\lim_{\tau \to \gamma} \psi_{\tau} = \psi_{\gamma}$  uniformly on conpact subsets of  $[0, \pi)$ .

It follows from (1.3a) that given  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ ,  $0 \le \delta \le 1$ , there is a unique  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(\delta, \gamma)$  with  $0 \le \theta_0 \le \alpha(\gamma)$  and  $\psi_{\gamma}(\theta_0) = 1 - \delta$ . In §4 we will prove

**Theorem 1.** Let u be as above with deficiency  $\delta$ , order  $\varrho$ , and lower order  $\mu$ . Given  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \infty$ , let  $E(\gamma)$  denote the set of all r > 0 such that

$$H^{n-1}(\{y: u(ry) > 0\} \cap S) \geq H^{n-1}[C(\theta_0(\delta, \gamma))].$$

Then,

$$\overline{\log \operatorname{dens}} E(\gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\mu}{\gamma}$$

and

$$\underline{\log \operatorname{dens}} E(\gamma) \geq 1 - \frac{\varrho}{\gamma}$$

Theorem 1 implies that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} H^{n-1}(\{y:u(ry)>0\}\cap S) \ge H^{n-1}[C(\theta_0(\delta,\gamma))]$$

whenever  $\gamma > \mu$ . From (1.3c) it follows that

(1.4) 
$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} H^{n-1}\big(\{y:u(ry)>0\}\cap S\big) \geq H^{n-1}\big[C\big(\theta_0(\delta,\mu)\big)\big]$$

for  $0 < \mu < \infty$ . In §5 we show that (1.4) is sharp. The inequality (1.4) is analogous to a spread conjecture made by Edrei and proved by Baernstein [2] in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Considering  $\psi_{\gamma}$  as a function defined on S, we let

$$A(\gamma) = \int_{C(\alpha(\gamma))} \psi_{\gamma} dH^{n-1}.$$

Suppose now that u is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.  $u_2 \equiv 0$ , and let

$$M(r) = \max \{ u(x) : x \in S(r) \}, \quad r > 0.$$

In §6 we prove

**Theorem 2.** If u is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with order  $\varrho$ , lower order  $\mu$ , and  $\gamma$  is given,  $0 < \gamma < \infty$ , let

$$E_1(\gamma) = \{r : T(r) \ge A(\gamma)M(r)\}$$

Then

$$\overline{\log \operatorname{dens}} E_1(\gamma) \geq 1 - \frac{\mu}{\gamma}.$$

and

$$\underline{\log \operatorname{dens}} E_1(\gamma) \geq 1 - \frac{\varrho}{\gamma}.$$

We note that Theorem 2 has been obtained by Essén and Shea [7], using a different method. Theorem 2 implies that if  $\gamma > \mu$ , then

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r)}{M(r)}\geq A(\gamma).$$

Letting  $\gamma \rightarrow \mu$ , we have by (1.3c) that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r)}{M(r)} \ge A(\mu)$$

when  $0 < \mu < \infty$ . This result has been obtained and shown to be sharp by Dahlberg [5]. In §7 we prove

**Theorem 3.** If u is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with lower order  $\mu$ , order  $\varrho$ , and  $0 < \gamma < \infty$ , let  $E_2(\theta, \gamma)$  denote the set of r > 0 for which

$$H^{n-1}(\{y: u(ry) \ge \psi_{y}(\theta) M(r)\} \cap S) \ge H^{n-1}(C(\theta)),$$

when  $0 < \theta \leq \alpha(\gamma)$ . Then

$$\overline{\log \text{ dens }} E_2(\theta, \gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\mu}{\gamma},$$
$$\underline{\log \text{ dens }} E_2(\theta, \gamma) \ge 1 - \frac{\varrho}{\gamma}$$

for  $0 < \theta \leq \alpha(\gamma)$ .

We note that Theorem 3 can be obtained in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by using a method of Baernstein (see [6, Ch. 8]).

In the proof of Theorems 1—3, we first use a method of the authors [8] to obtain a differential inequality (see (2.6)). Using this inequality, and methods of Essén [6], and Essén and Shea [7], we obtain an integral inequality (see \$3). Finally, using this integral inequality and a method of Barry [3, 4] we obtain Theorems 1—3.

### 2. Spherical Symmetrization

Given a closed set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  define the spherical symmetrization  $F^*$  of F as follows: If  $F \cap S(r) = \varphi$ , then  $F^* \cap S(r) = \varphi$ . Otherwise,

$$H^{n-1}(F^* \cap S(r)) = H^{n-1}(F \cap S(r))$$

and  $F^* \cap S(r)$  is either the point (r, 0, ..., 0) or the closed cap on S(r) centered at (r, 0, ..., 0). Let  $u=u_1-u_2$  where  $u_1, u_2$ , are subharmonic in B(R), R>0, with continous second partials. Given  $t, -\infty < t < \infty$ , let  $F(t) = \{x: u(x) \ge t\}$  and note that F(t) is closed. Define an associated function  $u^*$  by letting

$$u^*(x) = \sup \{t \colon x \in F^*(t)\}$$
 whenever  $x \in B(R)$ .

It is easily seen that  $u^*$  is symmetric with respect to the  $x_1$  axis, and  $\{x: u^*(x) \ge t\} = F^*(t)$ . It follows that u and  $u^*$  are equimeasurable and

(2.1) 
$$\hat{u}(r,\theta) = \int_{C(\theta)} u^*(ry) dH^{n-1}y$$

whenever  $r \in (0, R)$ ,  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ . Also for fixed  $r, r \in (0, R)$ ,  $u^*(r, \theta)$  is a nonincreasing function of  $\theta$  on  $[0, \pi]$ . We note that Gehring [9] has shown that  $u^*$  is Lipschitz in  $B(R_1)$  whenever  $R_1 < R$ .

Let f be a function defined on (0, R). Define  $f_{\#}$  on  $(R^{2-n}, \infty)$  by  $f_{\#}(s) = f(r)$ when  $s = r^{2-n}$  and  $r \in (0, R)$ . Let

$$Lf(r) = (n-2)^2 r^{4-2n} \liminf_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{f_{\#}(r^{2-n}+h) + f_{\#}(r^{2-n}-h) - 2f_{\#}(r^{2-n})}{h^2} \right]$$

for  $r \in (0, R)$ . Note that if f has a second derivative on (0, R), then

$$Lf(r) = r^{3-n} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{n-1} \frac{df}{dr} \right], \quad r \in (0, R).$$

Let

$$P(r, \theta) = \hat{u}(r, \theta) + \hat{u}_2(r, \pi)$$

for  $r \in (0, R)$  and  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ . Given  $r_0 \in (0, R)$  we shall show that

(2.2a) 
$$LP(r_0, \theta) \ge 0 \text{ for } 0 \le \theta \le \pi,$$

and

(2.2b) 
$$LP(r_0, \theta) \ge -c(\sin \theta)^{n-2} \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial \theta}(r_0, \theta),$$

for almost every  $\theta$  with respect to one dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $[0, \pi]$ . Here c is the surface area of the n-2 dimensional unit sphere, and for each fixed  $\theta$ ,  $LP(r, \theta) = Lf(r)$ , where  $f(r) = P(r, \theta)$ . To prove (2.2a) let  $G(\theta) \subset S$  be such that

(i) 
$$S \cap \{y : u(r_0 y) > u^*(r_0, \theta)\} \subset G(\theta) \subset S \cap \{y : u(r_0 y) \ge u^*(r_0, \theta)\},\$$

(ii) 
$$H^{n-1}(G(\theta)) = H^{n-1}(C(\theta)),$$

(iii) 
$$\hat{u}(r_0, \theta) = \int_{G(\theta)} u(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y = \int_{C(\theta)} u^*(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y,$$

for  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ . Let

$$q(r, \theta) = \int_{G(\theta)} u(ry) dH^{n-1}y + \hat{u}_2(r, \pi)$$

for  $r \in (0, R)$  and  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ . Clearly,  $q(r, \theta) \leq P(r, \theta)$ , with equality holding at  $(r_0, \theta)$ . Hence for fixed  $\theta$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad LP(r_0,\theta) \ge Lq(r_0,\theta) = L\left[\int_{G(\theta)} u_1(r_0y) dH^{n-1}y + \int_{S-G(\theta)} u_2(r_0y) dH^{n-1}y\right] = \\ = \int_{G(\theta)} \left(r^{3-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} u_1\right) (r_0y) dH^{n-1}y + \\ + \int_{S-G(\theta)} \left(r^{3-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} u_2\right) (r_0y) dH^{n-1}y.$$

Let  $\Delta$  denote the Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  be the spherical part of  $\Delta$  defined by

$$\Delta = r^{1-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + r^{-2} \widetilde{\Delta}.$$

Observe that for  $H^{n-1}$  almost every  $x \in G(\theta) \cap \{y : u(r_0 y) = u^*(r_0, \theta)\}$ , we have

$$0 = \tilde{\Delta u}(r_0 x) = \tilde{\Delta u}_1(r_0 x) - \tilde{\Delta u}_2(r_0 x).$$

Using this fact, the subharmonicity of  $u_1, u_2$ , and (2.3), we obtain

(2.4) 
$$LP(r_{0},\theta) \geq -\int_{S \cap \{y: u(r_{0},y) > u^{*}(r_{0},\theta)\}} \widetilde{\Delta}u_{1}(r_{0}y) dH^{n-1}y - \int_{S \cap \{y: u(r_{0},y) \leq u^{*}(r_{0},\theta)\}} \widetilde{\Delta}u_{2}(r_{0}y) dH^{n-1}y.$$

Now as in [8, §3], we may apply Green's formula for almost every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  to obtain

and  
$$-\int_{S \cap \{y: u(r_0 y) > t\}} \widetilde{\Delta} u_1(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y = r_0^{3-n} \int_{S(r_0) \cap u^{-1}(t)} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} dH^{n-2}$$
$$-\int_{S \cap \{y: u(r_0 y) \le t\}} \widetilde{\Delta} u_2(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y = -r_0^{3-n} \int_{S(r_0) \cap u^{-1}(t)} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial n} dH^{n-2}$$

96

where  $\partial/\partial n$  is the normal derivative taken into  $S(r_0) \cap \{x: u(x) > t\}$ . Hence for almost every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad -\int_{S \cap \{y: u(r_0 y) > t\}} \widetilde{\Delta}u_1(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y - \int_{S \cap \{y: u(r_0 y) \le t\}} \widetilde{\Delta}u_2(r_0 y) dH^{n-1} y = = r_0^{3-n} \int_{S(r_0) \cap u^{-1}(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (u_1 - u_2) dH^{n-2} = r_0^{3-n} \int_{S(r_0) \cap u^{-1}(t)} |\tilde{\nabla}u| dH^{n-2},$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  denotes the spherical gradient of u on  $S(r_0)$ . Letting  $t \rightarrow u^*(r_0, \theta)$  from the right through a properly chosen sequence and using (2.4), (2.5), we see that (2.2a) is true.

Let J be the set of  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  where

$$\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial \theta}(r_0,\theta)=-r_0|\tilde{\nabla}u^*(r_0,\theta)|<0.$$

Since  $LP(r_0, \theta) \ge 0$ , we see that (2.2b) is valid for almost every  $\theta \in [0, \pi] - J$ . Let  $K = \{u^*(r_0, \theta) : \theta \in J\}$ . Then in [8, (2.2)] it was shown for almost every  $t = u^*(r_0, \theta) \in K$  that

$$\int_{u^{-1}(t)\cap S(r_0)} |\tilde{\nabla}u| \, dH^{n-2} \ge \int_{\partial C_1(\theta)} |\tilde{\nabla}u^*| \, dH^{n-2}$$

where  $C_1(\theta) = \{r_0 y: y \in C(\theta)\}$ . Note that if  $J_1 \subset J$  has positive one dimensional Lebesgue measure, then  $\{u^*(r_0, \theta): \theta \in J_1\}$  has positive Lebesgue measure. Thus it follows from (2.4), (2.5), and the above inequality that (2.2b) is true.

Let

$$T(r, \theta) = \widehat{(u \vee 0)}(r, \theta) + \widehat{u}_2(r, \pi)$$

for  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  and  $r \in (0, R)$ . For given  $r_0 \in (0, R)$ , let  $\theta_1, 0 \le \theta_1 \le \pi$ , be such that

$$H^{n-1}(C(\theta_1)) = H^{n-1}(\{y : u(r_0 y) > 0\} \cap S).$$

Note that  $P(r, \theta) \leq T(r, \theta)$  for  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  and  $r \in (0, R)$ , with equality holding when  $r = r_0, \theta \in [0, \theta_1]$ . Hence, if  $\theta \in [0, \theta_1]$ , then

$$LT(r_0, \theta) \geq LP(r_0, \theta).$$

If  $\theta \in (\theta_1, \pi]$ , then  $T(r_0, \theta) = P(r_0, \theta_1)$  and

$$LT(r_0, \theta) \ge LP(r_0, \theta_1) \ge 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (u \lor 0)^* (r_0, \theta).$$

From these inequalities and (2.2) we obtain

(2.6a) 
$$LT(r, \theta) \ge 0 \text{ for } \theta \in [0, \pi], r \in (0, R),$$

(2.6b) 
$$LT(r,\theta) \ge -c(\sin\theta)^{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(u\vee\theta)^*(r,0)$$

for almost every  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  when  $r \in (0, R)$ .

Ronald Gariepy and John L. Lewis

#### 3. Differential and integral inequalities

Let u be as in §2 and observe that

$$T(r, \theta) = \int_{C(\theta)} (u^* \vee 0) (ry) dH^{n-1}y + \hat{u}_2(r, \pi)$$

is continuous in  $B(R) - \{0\}$ , since  $u^*$  is Lipschitz in  $B(R_1)$  whenever  $R_1 < R$ , and  $u_2$  is subharmonic. This observation and (2.6a) imply for fixed  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  see ([10, Ch. 10, §7]) that  $T_{\pm}(s, \theta)$  is a convex function of s on  $(R^{2-n}, \infty)$ . Hence for each h > 0,

(3.1) 
$$T_{\#}(s+h,\theta) + T_{\#}(s-h,\theta) - 2T_{\#}(s,\theta) \ge 0$$

when  $s \in (R^{2-n} + h, \infty)$ .

Given  $\tau \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in (0, \alpha(\tau))$ , let g be a solution of (1.1) with  $\tau$  replacing  $\gamma$  and suppose that

(3.2a) 
$$g'(\theta) = \frac{dg}{d\theta} \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0, \beta),$$

(3.2b)  $\sigma(r) = -\int_0^\beta T(r,\theta)g'(\theta)d\theta$ , is a bounded continuous function on (0, R),

(3.2c) 
$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} (\sin \theta)^{n-2} g'(\theta)$$
 and  $\lim_{\theta \to 0} T(r, \theta) g(\theta)$  exist finitely for  $r \in (0, R)$ .

From (3.1), (3.2), the Fatou lemma, and (2.6b) we obtain

$$L\sigma(r) \geq -\int_0^\beta LT(r,\,\theta)g'(\theta)\,d\theta \geq c\int_0^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\,(u\vee 0)^*(r,\,\theta)\,(\sin\theta)^{n-2}g'(\theta)\,d\theta.$$

Since for fixed r,  $(u \lor 0)^*(r, \theta)$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, \pi]$ , we may integrate the right hand integral twice by parts. Using (3.2c) and (1.1), we obtain

$$0 \leq c \int_{0}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (u \vee 0)^{*} (r, \theta) (\sin \theta)^{n-2} g'(\theta) d\theta =$$
  
=  $c (u \vee 0)^{*} (r, \theta) (\sin \theta)^{n-2} g'(\theta) + \tau (\tau + n - 2) T(r, \theta) g(\theta)|_{0}^{\beta} +$   
 $+ \tau (\tau + n - 2) \sigma(r) = -h(r) + \tau (\tau + n - 2) \sigma(r)$ 

for  $r \in (0, R)$ . Hence,

$$L\sigma(r) \ge -h(r) + \tau(\tau + n - 2)\sigma(r) \ge 0$$

when  $r \in (0, R)$ . From (3.3) and (3.2b) we deduce that  $\sigma_{\#}$  is convex on  $(R^{2-n}, \infty)$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is a convex function of  $r^{2-n}$  on (0, R). It follows that the left and right hand derivatives of  $\sigma$  exist at each  $r \in (0, R)$  (denoted by  $\sigma'(r), \sigma'_{+}(r)$ ), and  $r^{n-1}\sigma'_{-}(r)$  is a nondecreasing fuction on (0, R). Moreover,

$$L\sigma(r) = r^{3-n} \frac{d}{dr} [r^{n-1}\sigma'_{-}(r)]$$

except possibly on a set of Lebesgue measure zero in (0, R). Since we have (3.2b), we also see that  $\sigma$  is nondecreasing on (0, R). Hence, the left and right hand derivatives of  $\sigma$  are nonnegative.

We now argue as in [7]. Fix  $R_1 \in (0, R)$  and let

$$\Phi(r) = \int_{r}^{R_{1}} \frac{h(t)}{t^{1+\tau}} dt, \quad r \in (0, R_{1}].$$

From (3.3) we obtain

$$\Phi(r) \ge \tau(\tau+n-2) \int_{r}^{R_{1}} \frac{\sigma(t)}{t^{1+\tau}} dt - \int_{r}^{R_{1}} \frac{\frac{d}{dt} [t^{n-1}\sigma'_{-}(t)]}{t^{n+\tau-2}} dt.$$

Integrating the second integral twice by parts, we obtain

(3.4) 
$$\Phi(r) \ge -t^{1-\tau} \sigma'_{-}(t) - (\tau + n - 2) t^{-\tau} \sigma(t)|_{r}^{R_{1}}$$

Next we use a method of Barry [3, 4]. Let

$$\Psi(r) = r^{\tau}[\Phi(r) + R_1^{1-\tau}\sigma'_{-}(R_1) + (\tau + n - 2)R_1^{-\tau}\sigma(R_1)]$$

for  $r \in (0, R_1]$ . From (3.4) we have

(3.5) 
$$\Psi(r) \geq r\sigma'_{-}(r) + (\tau + n - 2)\sigma(r), \quad r \in (0, R_1].$$

Assume that

(3.6a) h is continuous on  $(0, R_1]$ ,

(3.6b) 
$$\sigma \lor 0 \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } (0, R_1).$$

Then since  $\sigma$  is nondecreasing on  $(0, R_1)$ , there exists  $r_1, 0 < r_1 < R_1$ , such that  $\sigma$  is positive on  $[r_1, R_1]$ . From (3.5) and (3.6a) it follows that  $\Psi$  is positive with a continuous derivate on  $[r_1, R_1]$ . Using (3.5) and (3.3) we obtain

$$r\Psi'(r) = \tau\Psi(r) - h(r) \ge \tau r\sigma'_{-}(r) + \tau(\tau + n - 2)\sigma(r) - h(r) \ge \tau r\sigma'_{-}(r) \ge 0$$

when  $r \in [r_1, R_1)$ .

Let

$$\Gamma = \{r : h(r) \leq 0\}.$$

Observe from the above inequality that

 $r\Psi'(r) \ge \tau\Psi(r)$  for  $r\in\Gamma\cap[r_1,R_1]$ .

Thus

$$\tau \int_{\Gamma \cap [r_1, R_1]} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \int_{\Gamma \cap [r_1, R_1]} \frac{\Psi'(r)}{\Psi(r)} dr \leq \int_{r_1}^{R_1} \frac{\Psi'(r)}{\Psi(r)} dr = \log \left[ \frac{\Psi(R_1)}{\Psi(r_1)} \right]$$

Using (3.5) it follows that

(3.7) 
$$\tau \int_{\Gamma \cap [r_1, R_1]} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \log \left( R_1 \sigma'_- (R_1) + (\tau + n - 2) \sigma(R_1) \right) - \log \left( r_1 \sigma'_- (r_1) + (\tau + n - 2) \sigma(r_1) \right).$$

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $u=u_1-u_2$ ,  $H^n$  almost everywhere, be as in Theorem 1 with order  $\varrho$ , lower order  $\mu$ , and deficiency  $\delta$ . From Fubini's Theorem we see that it sufficies to prove Theorem 1 for  $u_1-u_2$ . Hence we assume that  $u=u_1-u_2$  off of a polar set. Define  $T(r, \theta), r \in (0, \infty), \theta \in (0, \pi)$ , relative to u as in §2. Observe that  $T \ge 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ , since  $u_2(0)=0$  and  $u_2$  is subharmonic. Also,  $T(r)=T(r, \pi)$  is nondecreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , and by assumption T(r)>0 for sufficiently large r, say  $r \ge r_0$ .

Let  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \infty$ , and  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(\delta, \gamma)$  be as in Theorem 1. We assume that  $\mu < \gamma$  and  $0 < \delta \le 1$ , since otherwise the first part of Theorem 1 is trivially true. Let  $\tau$  satisfy,  $\mu < \tau < \gamma$ . Note that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{\hat{u}_2(r,\pi)}{T(r)}=1-\delta=\psi_{\gamma}(\theta_0)<\psi_{\tau}(\theta_0),$$

thanks to (1.3b). Hence for sufficiently large r, say  $r \ge r_0$ , we have

(4.1) 
$$\hat{u}_{2}(r,\pi) < \psi_{\tau}(\theta_{0}) T(r) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \psi_{\gamma}(\theta_{0}) - \psi_{\tau}(\theta_{0}) \right] T(r) \leq$$
$$\leq \psi_{\tau}(\theta_{0}) T(r) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \psi_{\gamma}(\theta_{0}) - \psi_{\tau}(\theta_{0}) \right] T(r_{0}).$$

There exist nonincreasing sequences  $\{v_j\}$ ,  $\{w_j\}$  of subharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with continuous second partial derivatives and pointwise limits  $u_1, u_2$ , respectively. Let  $p_j = (v_j - w_j) \vee 0$  and put

$$T_j(r,\theta) = \hat{p}_j(r,\theta) + \hat{w}_j(r,\pi), \quad r \in (0,\infty), \quad \theta \in [0,\pi].$$

As in §3 we see that  $T_j$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$  and for fixed  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  that  $T_j[r, \theta]$  is convex as a function of  $r^{2-n}$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . Since

(4.2) 
$$0 \leq T_j(r,\theta) - T(r,\theta) \leq \hat{v}_j(r,\pi) - \hat{u}_1(r,\pi) + \hat{w}_j(r,\pi) - \hat{u}_2(r,\pi),$$

100

it follows from the subharmonicity of the above functions, and Dini's Theorem that  $T_i$  converges to T uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ .

With  $g=\psi_{\tau}$ ,  $\theta_0=\theta_0(\delta, \gamma)$ , define  $\sigma_j$  and  $h_j$  relative to  $p_j$  as in §3 with  $\beta=\theta_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the corresponding quantity for u. From (1.3a) and (1.3b) we see that  $g=\psi_{\tau}$  satisfies (3.2a). Also (1.1) and (1.2a), imply that  $\lim_{\theta\to 0} g'(\theta)=0$ . Using this fact, and the fact that  $T_j$  is continous in  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ , we find (3.2b) and (3.2c) are true with  $T_j$ ,  $\sigma_j$ , replacing T,  $\sigma$ , and R>0 arbitrary. Moreover (3.6) is true with  $h_j$ ,  $\sigma_j$ , replacing h,  $\sigma$ , provided  $R_1 \ge r_0$ , as we see from (4.2).

Since  $T_j$  converges uniformly to T on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ , it follows that  $\sigma_j$  converges uniformly to  $\sigma$  on compact subsets of  $(0, \infty)$ . Hence  $\sigma$  is non-decreasing, convex as a function of  $r^{2-n}$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , and at each  $r \in (0, \infty)$  where  $\sigma'_-(r) = \sigma'_+(r)$ , we have  $\lim_{j \to \infty} \sigma'_{j-}(r) = \sigma'_-(r)$  (see [11, p. 46, Lemma 1]). Also  $\sigma(r_0) > 0$  since  $T(r_0) > 0$ .

We note that

(4.3) 
$$h_{j}(r) = -cp_{j}^{*}(r, \theta_{0}) (\sin \theta_{0})^{n-2} \psi_{\tau}'(\theta_{0}) + \tau(\tau + n - 2) [\hat{w}_{j}(r, \pi) - T_{j}(r, \theta_{0}) \psi_{\tau}(\theta_{0})], \quad r \in (0, \infty).$$

Let  $K_j = \{r: h_j(r) \leq 0\}$  and let K be the set of r > 0 where

$$H^{n-1}(\{y: (u \vee 0) (ry) > 0\} \cap S) < H^{n-1}(C(\theta_0)).$$

Let  $r_1$ ,  $R_1$ , be fixed points where the left and right hand derivatives of  $\sigma$  are equal, and  $r_0 < r_1 < R_1$ . If  $r \in K \cap [r_1, R_1]$ , then  $\lim_{j \to \infty} p_j^*(r, \theta_0) = 0$ , since  $p_j$  converges pointwise to  $u \vee 0$  off of a polar set. Since for  $r \in K \cap [r_1, R_1]$ , we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}T_j(r,\,\theta_0)=T(r,\,\theta_0)=T(r),$$

it follows from (4.1), (4.3), that  $r \in K_j \cap [r_1, R_1]$  for sufficiently large *j*. Hence by the Fatou lemma,

$$\int_{K\cap[r_1, R_1]}\frac{dr}{r} \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{K_j\cap[r_1, R_1]}\frac{dr}{r}.$$

We now replace  $\Gamma$ ,  $\sigma$ , in (3.7) by  $K_j$ ,  $\sigma_j$ . Letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.7) and using the above inequality, it follows that

(4.4) 
$$\tau \int_{K \cap [r_1, R_1]} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \log \left( R_1 \sigma'_- (R_1) + (\tau + n - 2) \sigma(R_1) \right) - \log \left( r_1 \sigma'_- (r_1) + (\tau + n - 2) \sigma(r_1) \right).$$

Next since  $r^{n-1}\sigma'(r)$  is nondecreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\sigma(2R_1) \ge \sigma(2R_1) - \sigma(R_1) = \int_{R_1}^{2R_1} \sigma'_{-}(r) \, dr \ge 2^{1-n} R_1 \sigma'_{-}(R_1).$$

From this inequality and (4.4), we obtain

$$\tau \frac{\int_{K \cap [r_1, R_1]} \frac{dr}{r}}{\log R_1} \leq \frac{\log \left[ (2^{n-1} + \tau + n - 2) \sigma(2R_1) \right]}{\log R_1} - \frac{\log \left[ r_1 \sigma'_-(r_1) + (\tau + n - 2) \sigma(r_1) \right]}{\log R_1}.$$

Letting  $2R_1 \rightarrow \infty$ , through a properly chosen sequence and observing that  $\sigma(2R_1) \leq \leq T(2R_1)$ , we get

$$\tau \log \operatorname{dens} K \leq \mu$$

Hence,

(4.5) 
$$\underline{\log \operatorname{dens}}\left[(0,\infty)-K\right] \geq 1-\frac{\mu}{\tau}.$$

Letting  $\tau \rightarrow \gamma$ , we obtain the first part of Theorem 1. The proof of the second part of Theorem 1 is similar. We omit the details.

# 5. Some examples

We now show that (1.4) with  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  is sharp. Let  $\psi_{\mu}, \varphi_{\mu}$ , be solutions to (1.1) and satisfy (1.2) with  $\mu = \gamma$ . Let

$$u(r,\theta) = r^{\mu}[\psi_{\mu}(\theta_{0})\varphi_{\mu}(\theta) - \varphi_{\mu}(\theta_{0})\psi_{\mu}(\theta)]$$

when  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0 = \theta_0(\delta, \mu)$ , and

 $u(r, \theta) = 0$ 

for  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\theta \in (\theta_0, \pi)$ . Using (1.2c) we find that  $\varphi_{\mu}/\psi_{\mu}$  is decreasing on  $(0, \theta_0)$ and consequently  $u(r, \theta) > 0$  whenever  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\theta \in (0, \theta_0)$ . Using (1.2), one can verify that  $u = u_1 - u_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ , where  $u_1, u_2$  are subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and satisfy (i) The measure associated with  $u_1$  is concentrated on  $\{y: y_1 = r \cos \theta_0, 0 < r < \infty\}$ ,

(ii) The measure associated with  $u_2$  is concentrated on the positive  $x_1$  axis,

(iii)  $u_2(0)=0$  and  $u_2=-\infty$  on the positive  $x_1$  axis.

From (1.2) and Green's second identity, it follows that

$$r^{n-1}\frac{d\hat{u}_2}{dr}(r,\pi) = -c\lim_{\theta\to 0} (\sin\theta)^{n-2} \int_0^r \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(s,\theta) s^{n-3} ds = c\psi_{\mu}(\theta_0) (\mu+n-2)^{-1} r^{\mu+n-2}.$$

Thus,

$$\mu(\mu + n - 2)\hat{u}_2(r, \pi) = c\psi_{\mu}(\theta_0)r^{\mu},$$

103

where c is as in (2.2b). From (1.1) and (1.2) we see that  $\mu(\mu + n - 2)m(r) = cr^{\mu} \left[ \left( \varphi_{\mu}(\theta) \psi'_{\mu}(\theta_{0}) - \psi_{\mu}(\theta_{0}) \varphi'_{\mu}(\theta) \right) (\sin \theta)^{n-2} \right]_{0}^{\theta_{0}} = c \left( 1 - \psi_{\mu}(\theta_{0}) \right) r^{\mu}.$ Hence u has lower order  $\mu$  and

$$\frac{\hat{u}_2(r,\pi)}{T(r)}=\psi_\mu(\theta_0)=1-\delta.$$

By suitably redefining u in B(1), we obtain a function which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and for which equality holds in (1.4). Hence (1.4) is sharp.

6. Proof of Theorem 2

Given  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  let  $\psi_{\tau}$  and  $\varphi_{\tau}$  denote solutions of (1.1) as in §1 with  $\gamma = \tau$ . By (1.2a) we have

(6.1) 
$$(\sin \alpha(\tau))^{n-2} \psi'_{\tau}(\alpha(\tau)) =$$
$$= -\tau(\tau+n-2) \int_0^{\alpha(\tau)} \psi_{\tau}(\theta) (\sin \theta)^{n-2} d\theta = -c^{-1}\tau(\tau+n-2)A(\tau)$$

where A is as in §1. Let

$$g(\theta) = \varphi_{\tau}'(\alpha(\tau))\psi_{\tau}(\theta) - \psi_{\tau}'(\alpha(\tau))\varphi_{\tau}(\theta) \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \in (0, \pi),$$

and note that g is a solution to (1.1) with  $\gamma = \tau$ . We claim that

(6.2a)  $\lim_{\theta \to 0} (\sin \theta)^{n-2} g(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} (\sin \theta)^{n-2} g'(\theta) = \psi'_{\tau} (\alpha(\tau)),$ 

(6.2b) 
$$g' < 0$$
 on  $(0, \alpha(\tau))$  and  $g'(\alpha(\tau)) = 0$ ,

(6.2c) 
$$g(\alpha(\tau)) = -(\sin \alpha(\tau))^{2-n}.$$

Statement (6.2a) follows from (1.1) and (1.2). Using (1.3a) and (1.2c) we see that  $\psi'_{\tau} < 0$  on  $(0, \alpha(\tau)]$  and that  $\varphi'_{\tau}/\psi'_{\tau}$  is decreasing on  $(0, \alpha(\tau)]$ . Thus (6.2b) follows. Letting  $\theta = \alpha(\tau)$  in (1.2c) we obtain (6.2c).

Now let u be as in Theorem 2 with order  $\varrho$  and lower  $\mu$ . Then u is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e.  $u_2 \equiv 0$ ) and T(r) > 0 for  $r \geq r_0$ . Assume that  $u \geq 0$  since otherse we can consider  $u \vee 0$ . Let  $v_j$  be as in §4, where now  $w_j \equiv 0$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha(\tau)$  and define  $T_j$ ,  $\sigma_j$ and T,  $\sigma$  relative to  $v_j$  and u as in §3.

Observe that, for  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\theta \in [0, \alpha(\tau)]$ ,

$$0 \leq T_i(r,\theta) \leq cM(r,v_i) \int_0^{\theta} (\sin\zeta)^{n-2} d\zeta \leq k (\sin\theta)^{n-1} M(r,v_i)$$

where k is a positive constant. From this observation and (6.2) we see that (3.2) is valid with  $T_i$ ,  $\sigma_j$  replacing T,  $\sigma$ . Let  $h_j$  correspond to  $v_j$  as in §3 and note that

by (6.1) and (6.2) we have

$$\left(\sin\alpha(\tau)\right)^{n-2}h_j(r) = \tau(\tau+n-2)\left[T_j(r,\alpha(\tau)) - A(\tau)M(r,v_j)\right]$$

Hence  $h_j$  is continuous and as in §4 we see that  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_j$  are nondecreasing convex functions of  $r^{2-n}$  on  $(0, \infty)$  which are positive for  $r \ge r_0$ .

Let

$$K_j = \{r : h_j(r) \leq 0\},$$
  
$$K = \{r : T(r, \alpha(\tau)) < A(\tau) M(r, u)\},$$

and let  $r_1 < R_1$  be such that  $\sigma(r_1) > 0$ ,  $\sigma'_-(r_1) = \sigma'_+(r_1)$  and  $\sigma'_-(R_1) = \sigma'_+(R_1)$ . Arguing as in §4 we obtain (4.4) and then (4.5). Hence

$$\overline{\log \operatorname{dens}} \{r : T(r) \ge A(\tau) M(r, u)\} \ge$$

$$\overline{\log \operatorname{dens}} \{r : T(r, \alpha(\tau)) \ge A(\tau) M(r, u)\} \ge 1 - \frac{\mu}{\tau}$$

and the first part of theorem 2 is valid with  $\gamma = \tau$ . The proof of the second part is similar. We omit the details.

# 7. Proof of Theorem 3

Given  $\tau \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\theta_1 \in (0, \alpha(\tau)]$ , let

$$g(\theta) = -\varphi_{\tau}(\theta_1)\psi_{\tau}(\theta) + \psi_{\tau}(\theta_1)\varphi_{\tau}(\theta) \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \in (0, \pi)$$

and note that g is a solution to (1.1). We assert that

(7.1a) 
$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} (\sin \theta)^{n-2} g(\theta) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\theta \to 0} (\sin \theta)^{n-2} g'(\theta) = -\psi_{\tau}(\theta_1),$$

(7.1b) 
$$g' < 0$$
 on  $(0, \theta_1)$  and  $g(\theta_1) = 0$ ,

(7.1c) 
$$g'(\theta_1) = -(\sin \theta_1)^{2-n}$$
.

Statements (7.1a) and (7.1c) follow immediately from (1.1) and (1.2). Using (1.3a) and (1.2c) we see that  $\psi'_{\tau} < 0$  and that  $\varphi'_{\tau}/\psi'_{\tau}$  is desreasing on  $(0, \theta_1]$ . Thus, using (1.2c),

$$g'(\theta) = -\psi'_{\tau}(\theta)\psi_{\tau}(\theta_{1})\left(\frac{\varphi_{\tau}(\theta_{1})}{\psi_{\tau}(\theta_{1})} - \frac{\varphi'_{\tau}(\theta)}{\psi'_{\tau}(\theta_{1})}\right) \leq \\ \leq -\psi'_{\tau}(\theta)\psi_{\tau}(\theta_{1})\left(\frac{\varphi_{\tau}(\theta_{1})}{\psi_{\tau}(\theta_{1})} - \frac{\varphi'_{\tau}(\theta_{1})}{\psi'_{\tau}(\theta_{1})}\right) = -\frac{\psi'_{\tau}(\theta)}{\psi'_{\tau}(\theta_{1})}(\sin\theta_{1})^{2-n} < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \in (0, \theta_{1}].$$

Thus (7.1b) is valid.

104

Let  $\{v_j\}$  be as in §4 and let  $h_j$  correspond to  $v_j$  as in §3 with  $\beta = \theta_1$ . Let K denote the set of r>0 such that

$$H^{n-1}(\{y : u(ry) \ge \psi_{\tau}(\theta_1) M(r, u)\} \cap S) < H^{n-1}(C(\theta_1))$$

and let

$$K_j = \{r : h_j(r) \leq 0\}.$$

From (7.1) we find that

$$K_j = \{r : v_j^*(r, \theta_1) \leq \psi_\tau(\theta_1) M(r, v_j)\}.$$

Since  $\{v_j\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence with pointwise limit u, it follows for  $r_1 < R_1$ , as in §4, that

$$\int_{K\cap(r_1,R_1)}\frac{dr}{r} \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{K_j\cap(r_1,R_1)}\frac{dr}{r}$$

Arguing as in §4 we obtain

$$\overline{\log \operatorname{dens}}\left[(0,\infty)-K\right] \ge 1-\frac{\mu}{\tau}$$

which is the first half of the conclusion of Theorem 3 with  $\theta = \theta_1$  and  $\gamma = \tau$ . The proof of the second half is similar. We omit the details.

#### References

- ARSOVE, M. Functions representable as differences of subharmonic functions, *Trans Amer. Math.* Soc. 75 (1953), 327–365.
- 2. BAERNSTEIN, II. A. Proof of Edrei's spread conjecture, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 26 (1973), 418-434.
- 3. BARRY, P. On a theorem of Besicovitch, Quart. J. Math., Oxford (2) 14 (1963), 293-302.
- 4. BARRY, P. On a theorem of Kjellberg, Quart. J. Math., Oxford (2) 15 (1964), 179-191.
- 5. DAHLBERG, B. Mean values of subharmonic functions, Ark. Mat. 10 (1972), 293-309.
- 6. Essén, M. Lectures on the  $\cos \pi \lambda$  theorem, Lecture notes University of Kentucky, 1973.
- ESSÉN, M. and SHEA, D. Applications of Denjoy integral inequalities to growth problems for subharmonic and meromorphic functions, research announcement, *Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes* 12 (1974), 59-68.
- 8. GARIEPY, R. and LEWIS, J. A maximum principle with applications to subharmonic functions in *n* space, Ark. Mat. 12 (1974), 253-266.
- 9. GEHRING, F. Symmetrization of rings in space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 499-519.
- 10. SAKS, S. and ZYGMUND, A. Analytic functions, 2nd ed., Hafner co., 1965.
- 11. TSUN, M. Potential theory in modern function theory, Maruzen co., 1959.

Received July 2, 1974

Ronald Gariepy and John Lewis University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky 40596, USA