# On temperate fundamental solutions supported by a convex cone 

Arne Enquist

Let $P(D)$ be a partial differential operator in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with constant coefficients and $\Gamma$ a closed convex cone in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Thus we assume that $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $s, t \geqq 0$ implies that $s x+t y \in \Gamma$. The problem discussed here is to decide when $P(D)$ has a temperate fundamental solution with support in $\Gamma$.

An arbitrary differential operator with constant coefficients has a temperate fundamental solution. This was first proved by Hörmander [5] and Lojasiewicz [6]. Later Atiyah [1] has given a shorter proof using results of Hironaka [3].

In [7] and [8] Melrose has given necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a temperate fundamental solution with support in a half space. In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions in the case of an arbitrary closed convex cone $\Gamma$. The existence of a temperate fundamental solution does not immediately imply the existence of a temperate solution to the equation $P(D) U=F$, where $F$ is temperate. Therefore, we prefer to discuss this more general problem directly.

The intersection $\Gamma \cap(-\Gamma)=W$ is a linear subspace and $x \in \Gamma$ implies $x+y \in \Gamma$ for every $y \in W$. This shows that $\Gamma$ is the inverse image in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ of the image $V$ of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n} / W$ under the quotient map. It is clear that $V$ is a proper cone. It follows from Theorem 2.11 in [2] that there is no restriction in assuming that $\Gamma$ (and $V$ ) has interior points. Thus we shall assume this later on. We shall use the notations $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} W$, $n^{\prime \prime}=n-n^{\prime}$ and coordinates $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $W$ is defined by $x^{\prime \prime}=0$. We will also need the following norms on $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u\|_{s}=\left(\int\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad u \in \mathscr{P}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), \\
\|u\|_{s}^{\Gamma_{-}}= \\
\inf \left\{\|\varphi\|_{s} ; \mathscr{S} \ni \varphi=u \text { on } \Gamma_{-}=-\Gamma\right\}, \quad u \in \mathscr{P}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 1. The following conditions on $\Gamma=\mathbf{R}^{n^{n}} \times V$ and the differential operator $P(D)$ are equivalent.
(i) $P(D)$ has a temperate fundamental solution with support in $\Gamma$.
(ii) $P(D) U=F$ has a solution $U \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with supp $U \subset \Gamma$ for every $F \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp} F \subset \Gamma$.
(iii) For every $\xi^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}$ either $P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq 0$ if $\operatorname{Im} \zeta^{\prime \prime} \in$-int $V^{*}$, where $V^{*}=\left\{\eta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right.$; $\left\langle\eta^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \equiv 0$ for all $\left.x^{\prime \prime} \in V\right\}$, or $P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ for all $\zeta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{C}^{n^{\prime \prime}}$.

Proof. It is trivial that (i) follows from (ii). We will now use Theorem 3 below to prove that (iii) implies (ii). Since $F \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp} F \subset \Gamma$, there are constants $C$ and $s$ such that $|\check{F}(u)|=|\check{F}(\varphi)| \leqq C\|\varphi\|_{s}$ for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and all $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), \varphi=u$ on $\Gamma_{-}$. This implies that $|\check{F}(u)| \equiv C\|u\|_{s}^{I_{-}}$for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. From Theorem 3 we obtain that there are constants $C_{0}$ and $s_{0}$ such that

$$
|\check{F}(u)| \leqq C_{0}\|P(D) u\|_{s_{0}}^{\Gamma_{-}}, \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Thus it follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that the linear form

$$
P(D) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \ni P(D) u \mapsto \check{F}(u)
$$

can be extended to a continuous linear form $\check{U}$ on $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with supp $\check{U} \subset \Gamma_{-}=-\Gamma$. Thus $U \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), P(D) U=F$ and $\operatorname{supp} U \subset \Gamma$.

Now, assume that (iii) is false. Thus there are $\xi_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}$ and $\zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{C}^{n^{n}}$ such that $P\left(\xi_{0}^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0, \operatorname{Im} \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in-$ int $V^{*}$ but $P\left(\xi_{0}^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq 0$ for some $\zeta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{C}^{n^{\prime \prime}}$. Take $N^{\prime \prime}$ such that $P\left(\xi_{0}^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+t N^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq 0$ for some $t \in \mathbf{C}$ and write $q\left(\xi^{\prime}, t\right)=P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+t N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as a product of irreducible factors $q\left(\xi^{\prime}, t\right)=\Pi q_{i}\left(\xi^{\prime}, t\right)$. Let $b\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ be the coefficient of the term of highest degree with respect to $t$ of the polynomial $q$. Denote by $\Delta\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ the product of $b$ and the discriminants of the factors $q_{i}$ considered as polynomials of $t$. Since the zeros of a polynomial depend continuously on the coefficients and $q\left(\xi_{0}^{\prime}, 0\right)=0$ we can choose a closed ball $B \subset \mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}$ with positive radius and centre near $\xi_{0}^{\prime}$ and a function $B \ni \xi^{\prime} \mapsto t\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{C}$, such that $q\left(\xi^{\prime}, t\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+t\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) N^{\prime \prime}\right) \in-$ int $V^{*}$ if $\xi^{\prime} \in B$. Moreover, we can assume that $\Delta\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ in $B$ so that $t\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ can be chosen analytic in $B$. Thus we have an analytic function $B \ni \xi^{\prime} \mapsto \zeta^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\left(\zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+t\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) N^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n^{\prime \prime}}$ such that $P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \zeta^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \in$-int $V^{*}$ for all $\xi^{\prime} \in B$. Let $w \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B)$, $0 \neq w \geqq 0$ and let $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ be 1 in a neighbourhood of $V_{-}=-V, \varphi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ if $d\left(x^{\prime \prime}, V_{-}\right) \geqq 1$ and assume that $\varphi$ has bounded derivatives. Set

$$
v(x)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \int_{B} e^{i\left\langle x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle+i\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle} w\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime}
$$

There are constants $C$ and $\delta>0$ such that $\left|\left(D^{\alpha} \varphi\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) e^{i\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, \zeta^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle}\right| \leqq C_{\alpha} e^{-\delta\left|x^{\prime \prime}\right|}$ if $\xi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp} w$. From this we obtain by means of partial integration that $D^{\beta} x^{\gamma} v(x)$ is bounded so that $v \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Further, $P(D) v=0$ on $\Gamma_{-}=\mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}} \times V_{-}$. If $E \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is a fundamental solution of $P(D)$ with supp $E \subset \Gamma$, then $v(0)=\breve{E}(P(D) v)=0$. However, $v(0)=\int w\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime} \neq 0$ and this contradiction proves that (i) implies (iii). \#

We will now state a theorem which shows that condition (iii) implies a condition "stronger" than (i).

Theorem 2. Let $P$ be a polynomial satisfying condition (iii) of Theorem 1. Then there are constants $C$ and $s^{\prime}$ and temperate distributions $E=E(t, P)$ such that $P(D) E=\delta_{t}$ and $|\check{E}(u)| \equiv C\|u\|_{s^{-}}^{T^{-}}$for all $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and all $t \in \Gamma_{-}$.

For the proof of this theorem we need some preliminaries, so we postpone it. Instead we will prove now that Theorem 2 implies the required estimate.

Theorem 3. Let $P$ be a polynomial satisfying condition (iii) of Theorem 1. Then for every $s$ there are constants $C$ and $s_{0}$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{s}^{T_{-}} \leqq C\|P(D) u\|_{s_{0}}^{T_{-}} \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) .
$$

Proof. First we observe that for some $s_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\psi\|_{s} \leqq C_{1}\|\hat{\psi}\|_{s}=C_{1}\left(\int\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s}\left|D^{\alpha} \hat{\psi}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq \\
\leqq C_{2} \sup \left(\left(1+\left|x^{2}\right|\right)^{s_{1} / 2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s_{1}}\left|D^{\alpha} \psi(x)\right|\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that

$$
\|u\|_{s}^{\Gamma}-\leqq C \inf \left\{\sup \left(\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s_{1} / 2} \sum_{j \alpha j^{3} s_{1}}\left|D^{\alpha} \psi(x)\right|\right) ; \mathscr{S} \ni \psi=u \text { on } \Gamma_{-}\right\}
$$

However, since $\Gamma_{-}$is regular in the sence of Whitney (see e.g., [5]) we obtain from this that there is an integer $s_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{s}^{\Gamma_{-}} \leqq C \sup _{r_{-}}\left(\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s_{2} / 2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s_{2}}\left|D^{\alpha} u(x)\right|\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta$ is a multi-index and $P(\xi) \neq 0$ then $D_{\xi}^{\beta} \hat{u}(\xi)=D_{\xi}^{\beta}(P(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) / P(\xi))=$ $=\left(L\left(\xi, D_{\xi}\right) P(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)\right) /(P(\xi))^{|\beta|+1}$, which shows that we have an identity of the form $(P(D))^{|\beta|+1} x^{\beta} u(x)=L(D, x) P(D) u(x)$, where $L(D, x)$ is a differential operator with polynomial coefficients. We observe that $P^{|\beta|+1}$ also satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem 1. Let $t \in \Gamma_{-}$and let $E=E\left(t, P^{|\beta|+1}\right)$ be the distribution we obtain from Theorem 2 applied to $P^{\mid \beta 1+1}$. Then $t^{\beta} u(t)=\check{E}\left((P(D))^{|\beta|+1} x^{\beta} u\right)=\check{E}(L(D, x) P(D) u)$, which implies that

$$
\sup _{r_{-}}\left|t^{\beta} u(t)\right| \leqq C_{1}\|L(D, x) P(D) u\|_{s^{\prime}}^{\Gamma_{-}} \leqq C_{2}\|P(D) u\|_{s^{s^{-}}}^{\Gamma^{-}}
$$

If we apply this to $D^{\alpha} u$ for all $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $|\alpha| \leqq s_{2}$ and $|\beta| \leqq s_{2}$ then we obtain that there are constants $C_{0}$ and $s_{0}$ such that

$$
\sup _{r_{-}}\left(\left(1+|t|^{2}\right)^{s_{2} / 2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s_{2}}\left|D^{\alpha} u(t)\right|\right) \leqq C_{0}\|P(D) u\|_{s_{0}}^{\Gamma_{-}}
$$

which proves the theorem by means of (1).

For the hard part of the proof of Theorem 2 we need the following theorem due to Hironaka.

Theorem 4. Let $F$ be a real analytic function $(\not \equiv 0)$, defined in a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then there exists an open set $U \ni 0$, a real analytic manifold $\tilde{U}$ and a proper analytic map $\varphi: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow U$ such that
(i) $\varphi: \tilde{U} \backslash \tilde{A} \rightarrow U \backslash A$ is an isomorphism, where $A=F^{-1}(0)$ and $\tilde{A}=\varphi^{-1}(A)=$ $=(F \circ \varphi)^{-1}(0)$,
(ii) for each $P \in \tilde{U}$ there are local analytic coordinates $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ centred at $P$ so that, locally near $P$, we have

$$
F \circ \varphi=\varepsilon(y) \prod_{1}^{n} y_{i}^{k_{i}},
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is an invertible analytic function and $k_{i} \geqq 0$.
Proof. See Atiyah [1]. \#

We will now use Theorem 4 to prove the following lemma. The proof is a slight modification of the proof Melrose gave in [7].

Lemma 5. If $Q$ is a polynomial in $k$ variables and $A=\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{k} ; Q(\xi)=0\right\}$, then there is a constant $C$ and an integer s such that, if $\psi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k}\right)$ and $\psi / Q$ is bounded on $\mathbf{R}^{k} \backslash A$ then

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{R}^{k} \backslash A}|\psi(\xi) / Q(\xi)| \leqq C\|\psi\|_{s}
$$

Proof. If $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset B=\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{k} ;|\xi| \leqq 2\right\}$ and $q(\xi)=\prod_{1}^{k} \eta_{i}^{k_{i}}$ then it is trivial that $\sup |\psi(\xi) / q(\xi)| \leqq C \sup \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s}\left|D^{\alpha} \psi(\xi)\right|$ for some constants $C$ and $s$. Now, let $U$ be a small neighbourhood of a point in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, so that Theorem 4 can be applied with $F=Q$ and assume that supp $\psi \subset U$. If $\varphi$ is the map we obtain from Theorem 4 then $\psi \circ \varphi$ has compact support. Thus we obtain from condition (ii) of Theorem 4 that we can choose a finite partition of unity on $\tilde{U}, 1=\sum \chi_{i}$, so that for suitable coordinates $Q \circ \varphi=\varepsilon(\eta) \Pi_{1}^{k} \eta_{j}^{k}$ in supp $\chi_{i}$. Then the simple case above implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\xi}|\psi(\xi) / Q(\xi)|=\sup |\psi \circ \varphi / Q \circ \varphi| \leqq \sum \sup _{\eta}\left|\chi_{i}(\eta)(\psi \circ \varphi)(\eta) / Q \circ \varphi(\eta)\right| \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{0} \sup _{i, \eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s}\left|D_{\eta}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{i}(\psi \circ \varphi)\right)(\eta)\right| \leqq C_{1} \sup _{\xi} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s}\left|D^{\alpha} \psi(\xi)\right| \leqq C\|\psi\|_{s_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last estimate follows from the Sobolev inequality. From this we obtain the lemma for all $\psi$ with supp $\psi \subset B$ by means of a finite partition of unity. Now, let $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B)$ be 1 in a neighbourhood of $\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{k} ;|\xi| \leqq 1\right\}$. If $\psi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k}\right)$ we set $\psi_{1}=\chi \psi$ and $\psi_{2}=\psi-\psi_{1}$. Then there are constants $C_{1}$ and $s_{1}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{R}^{k} \backslash A}\left|\psi_{1}(\xi) / Q(\xi)\right| \leqq C_{0}\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{s_{1}} \leqq C_{1}\|\psi\|_{s_{1}}
$$

Further, set $\varphi(\eta)=\psi_{2}\left(\eta /|\eta|^{2}\right)|\eta|^{2 m}$ and $q(\eta)=Q\left(\eta /|\eta|^{2}\right)|\eta|^{2 m}$, where $m=\operatorname{deg} Q$. Then $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B), q$ is a polynomial in $\eta$ and $\varphi / q$ is bounded. Thus, there are constants $C_{2}$ and $s_{2}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{R}^{k} \backslash A}\left|\psi_{2}(\xi) / Q(\xi)\right|=\sup _{\mathbf{R}^{k} \backslash q^{-1}(0)}|\varphi(\eta) / q(\eta)| \leqq C_{2}^{\prime}\|\varphi\|_{s_{2}} \leqq C_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{s_{2}+k} \leqq C_{2}\|\psi\|_{s_{2}+k}
$$

This proves the lemma with $C=C_{1}+C_{2}$ and $s=\max \left(s_{1}, s_{2}+k\right)$.
\#
Let $P$ be a polynomial satisfying condition (iii) of Theorem 1 and let $\zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{C}^{n^{*}}$ with $\operatorname{Im} \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in-\operatorname{int} V^{*}$. Set $s=s^{\prime}+2 n^{\prime}$ where $s^{\prime}$ is the integer we obtain from Lemma 5 with $Q\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. If we complete $\mathscr{P}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{s}$, then we obtain a Hilbert space $\mathscr{S}_{(s)}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $\Pi$ denote the orthogonal projection of $\mathscr{S}_{(s)}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)$ on the subspace that is the closure of those $\psi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)$ for which $\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{n^{\prime}} \psi\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) / Q\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ is bounded.

Take $0<\varepsilon<1, t \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and define $E_{\varepsilon}=E_{\varepsilon}(t, P)$ by

$$
\breve{E}_{\varepsilon}(u)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle t, \xi\rangle}(\Pi \hat{u})(\xi) / P(\xi-i \varepsilon N) d \xi, \quad u \in \mathscr{P}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

where $N=\left(0, N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $N^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{int} V^{*}$. From Lemma 4.1.1 in Hörmander [4] we obtain that

$$
\begin{gathered}
|P(\xi-i \varepsilon N)| \leqq \widetilde{P}(\xi-i \varepsilon N) \leqq C_{1}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{m} \tilde{P}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqq \\
\leqq C_{2}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{m}\left|P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leqq C_{2}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{m} \tilde{P}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqq \\
\leqq C_{3}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{2 m} \widetilde{P}(\xi-i \varepsilon N) \leqq C_{4} \varepsilon^{-m}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{2 m}|P(\xi-i \varepsilon N)|,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\sim$ (see page 35 in Hörmander [4]) is taken with respect to the $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ variables, $m=\operatorname{deg}_{\xi^{\prime \prime}} P(\xi)$ and the constants are independent of $\xi^{\prime}$. Thus, there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{-m} \leqq\left|P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) / P(\xi-i \varepsilon N)\right| \leqq C\left(\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) / \varepsilon\right)^{m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Further, we obtain from Lemma 5 that there is a constant $C_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{\zeta^{\prime}}\left|\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{n^{\prime}}(\Pi \hat{u})(\xi) / P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leqq C_{0}\left\|(\Pi \hat{u})\left(\cdot, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{s} \leqq \\
\leqq C_{0}\left\|\hat{u}\left(\cdot, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{s}=C_{0}\left(\int\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{s} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq s, \alpha^{\prime \prime}=0}\left|D^{\alpha} \hat{u}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the norm is taken with respect to the $\xi^{\prime}$ variables only. This implies that there are constants $C$ and $s_{1}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\xi}\left|\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{n^{\prime \prime}+m / 2}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{n^{\prime}}(\Pi \hat{u})(\xi) / P\left(\xi^{\prime}, \zeta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leqq C\|u\|_{s_{1}}
$$

Thus $E_{\varepsilon}$ is well-defined and $\left|\breve{E}_{\varepsilon}(u)\right| \leqq C \varepsilon^{-m}\|u\|_{s_{1}}$. It also follows from (2) and the definition of $E_{\varepsilon}$ that $\breve{E}_{\varepsilon}(P(D-i \varepsilon N) u)=u(t)$ if $u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. We finally want to prove
that $\operatorname{supp} E_{\varepsilon} \subset \Gamma-\{t\}$. Let $\theta=\left(0, \theta^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $\theta^{\prime \prime} \in$ int $V^{*}$. Take $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with supp $v \subset\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} ;\langle x, \theta\rangle>0\right\}+\{t\}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(v)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle t, \xi\rangle}(\Pi \hat{v})(\xi) / P(\xi-i \varepsilon N) d \xi= \\
=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\left\langle t^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle}\left(\int e^{i\left\langle t^{*}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}(\Pi \hat{v})(\xi) / P(\xi-i \varepsilon N) d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

However, since $P\left(\xi^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}-i \varepsilon N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is hyperbolic with respect to $V$ for almost every $\xi^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{n^{\prime}}$ we obtain by changing the integration contour that the inner integral is 0 a.e. (Cf. The proof of Theorem 5.6.1 in Hörmander [4].) Thus $\check{E}_{\varepsilon}(v)=0$, which proves that $\operatorname{supp} E_{\varepsilon} \subset \Gamma-\{t\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Set $E=E(t, P)=e^{\langle x+t, \varepsilon N\rangle} E_{\varepsilon}$, where $E_{\varepsilon}$ is the distribution defined above. Then

$$
\check{E}(u)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle t, \xi-i \epsilon N\rangle}(\Pi \hat{u})(\xi-i \varepsilon N) / P(\xi-i \varepsilon N) d \xi, \quad u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

and since $(\Pi \hat{u})(\xi)$ is analytic with respect to $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ we obtain that $E$ is independent of $\varepsilon>0$. It is also clear that supp $E \subset \Gamma-\{t\}$ and $\breve{E}(P(D) u)=u(t)$ if $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $0 \leqq \lambda \in C_{0}^{\infty}((-2,2))$ with $\lambda(y)=1$ for $|y| \leqq 1$ and set

$$
\chi_{j}(x)=\lambda(\langle x, N\rangle+j-1) / \sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda(\langle x, N\rangle+k-1)
$$

Then

$$
\check{E}(u)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \check{E}\left(\chi_{j} u\right)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{\langle t, N / j\rangle} \check{E}_{1 / j}\left(e^{-\langle x, N / j\rangle} \chi_{j} u\right) \quad \text { if } \quad u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

However,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|e^{\langle t, N / j\rangle} \check{E}_{1 / j}\left(e^{-\langle x, N / j\rangle} \chi_{j} u\right)\right| \leqq C e^{\langle t, N / j\rangle} j^{m}\left\|e^{-\langle x, N / j\rangle} \chi_{j} u\right\|_{s_{1}} \leqq \\
\leqq C_{1}\left(e^{\langle t, N\rangle}+1\right) j^{m}\left\|\chi_{j} u\right\|_{s_{1}} \leqq C_{2}\left(1+e^{\langle t, N\rangle}\right) j^{-2}\|u\|_{s^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $s^{\prime}=s_{1}+m+2$. This proves that
so that

$$
|\check{E}(u)| \leqq C\left(1+e^{\langle t, N\rangle}\right)\|u\|_{s^{\prime}}, \quad u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

$$
|\check{E}(u)| \leqq 2 C\|u\|_{s^{\prime}} \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in \mathscr{P}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { and all } t \in \Gamma_{-} .
$$

Thus if $t \in \Gamma_{-}$and $\mathscr{P}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \ni \psi=u$ on $\Gamma_{-}$, then

$$
|\check{E}(u)|=|\check{E}(\psi)| \leqq 2 C\|\psi\|_{s^{\prime}}
$$

which implies that

$$
|\check{E}(u)| \leqq 2 C\|u\|_{s^{-}}^{T^{-}}, \quad u \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), \quad t \in \Gamma_{-} .
$$

This proves Theorem 2.
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