

L^p estimates for strongly singular convolution operators in \mathbf{R}^n

Per Sjölin

Let the operator T_K be defined by $T_K f = K * f$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where K is a distribution with compact support in \mathbf{R}^n , locally integrable outside the origin and satisfying the conditions

$$A(\alpha) \quad |\hat{K}(\xi)| \cong B(1 + |\xi|)^{-n\alpha/2}, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

and

$$B(\theta) \quad \int_{|x| > 2|y|^{1-\theta}} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \cong B, \quad |y| < b.$$

Here \hat{K} is the Fourier transform of K , B and b denote positive constants and $0 \cong \alpha \cong \theta < 1$.

The conditions $A(\theta)$ and $B(\theta)$ are satisfied by the well-known Calderón—Zygmund kernels and in the case $\alpha = \theta = 0$ it is known that T_K can be extended to a bounded linear operator on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

In the case $\alpha > 0$ an example of a kernel satisfying the above conditions can be obtained in the following way. Let L be defined by setting

$$\hat{L}(\xi) = \psi(\xi) e^{i|\xi|^a} |\xi|^{-n\alpha/2}, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $a = (n\alpha(1-\theta) + 2\theta)/(n(1-\theta) + 2)$ and ψ is a C^∞ function which vanishes near the origin and is equal to one for $|\xi|$ large. Then $L = K + M$, where K satisfies the above conditions and M is an L^1 function with $\hat{M}(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-N})$, $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, for all N . In fact it was proved by S. Wainger [7] that $K(x)$ is essentially equal to $c_1 |x|^{-n-\lambda} e^{ic_2|x|^{a'}}$ close to the origin, where $\lambda = n(a-\alpha)/2(1-a)$ and $1/a + 1/a' = 1$. Hence $|\text{grad } K(x)| \cong C|x|^{-n-\lambda-1+a'}$, from which it follows that $B(\theta)$ is satisfied. The L^p theory for operators obtained by convolution with kernels of this type has been studied by I. I. Hirschmann [4] and Wainger [7].

C. Fefferman [2] proved that if $0 < \alpha = \theta < 1$ and K satisfies the above conditions then T_K is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Recently Fefferman and E. M. Stein [3] have obtained the following results.

Theorem A. *If $0 < \alpha = \theta < 1$ and K satisfies the above assumptions, then \tilde{K} is a Fourier multiplier for $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

Theorem B. *Suppose m is a Fourier multiplier for $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let δ be a positive number and assume $|m(\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-\delta}$. Then $|\xi|^\gamma m(\xi)$ is a Fourier multiplier for $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if $1 < p < \infty$, $|1/p - 1/2| \leq 1/2 - \gamma/2\delta$ and $\gamma \geq 0$.*

Theorems A and B can be applied to the multipliers \tilde{L} defined above.

J.-E. Björk [1] has determined the values of p for which T_K is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ in the case when K is a distribution with compact support, which satisfies only condition $A(\alpha)$. Björk has also asked if it is possible to obtain sharp results on the L^p boundedness of T_K in the case $\alpha < \theta$. We shall here use Theorems A and B to prove that the answer to this question is affirmative.

Theorem 1. *If $0 < \alpha < \theta < 1$ and K satisfies the above assumptions, then T_K can be extended to a bounded linear operator on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if*

$$|1/p - 1/2| \leq (n\alpha(1-\theta) + 2\alpha)/(2n\alpha(1-\theta) + 4\theta).$$

It follows from the counterexamples of Wainger [7] for the kernel L defined above that the condition on p in Theorem 1 cannot be relaxed.

Proof of Theorem 1. Choose $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\int \varphi(x) dx = 1$, $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{x; |x| < 1\}$ and $\varphi(x) \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Set $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \varphi(x/\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

We shall first prove that $K * \varphi_\varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, satisfies conditions $A(\alpha)$ and $B(\theta)$ with constants B and b independent of ε (cf. the argument in [2], pp. 23–24). $A(\alpha)$ is obviously satisfied. To prove that $B(\theta)$ is satisfied, first assume $\varepsilon < |y|^{1-\theta}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| > 2|y|^{1-\theta}} |K * \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - K * \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx \leq \\ & \leq \int \varphi_\varepsilon(t) \left(\int_{|x| > 2|y|^{1-\theta}} |K(x-y-t) - K(x-t)| dx \right) dt \leq \\ & \leq \int \varphi_\varepsilon(t) \left(\int_{|x| > |y|^{1-\theta}} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \right) dt \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

if $|y|$ is sufficiently small, where the last inequality follows from writing

$$K(x-y) - K(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m (K(x-iy/m) - K(x-(i-1)y/m)), \quad (1)$$

using the triangle inequality and applying the condition $B(\theta)$ for K .

If $\varepsilon \cong |y|^{1-\theta}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x|>3\varepsilon} |K * \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - K * \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx \cong \\ \cong & \int \varphi_\varepsilon(t) \left(\int_{|x|>3\varepsilon} |K(x-y-t) - K(x-t)| dx \right) dt \cong \int_{|x|>2\varepsilon} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \cong C \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \cong 3\varepsilon} |K * \varphi_\varepsilon(x-y) - K * \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx & \cong 2 \int_{|x| \cong 4\varepsilon} |K * \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx \cong \\ & \cong C \varepsilon^{n/2} \|K * \varphi_\varepsilon\|_2 \cong C \varepsilon^{n/2} \|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_2 = C. \end{aligned}$$

In proving the theorem we may therefore assume that $K \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, as long as our estimates depend only on the constants in $A(\alpha)$ and $B(\theta)$.

We set $a = n\alpha(1-\theta) + 2\theta/\lambda$ and $\beta = n(\theta-\alpha)/\lambda$, where $\lambda = n(1-\theta) + 2$, so that

$$\beta = na/2 - n\alpha/2. \tag{2}$$

Also let G_β denote the Bessel kernel defined by $\hat{G}_\beta(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\beta/2}$. Then there exist finite measures μ and ν on \mathbf{R}^n so that

$$\hat{K}(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\beta/2} (G_\beta * K)^\wedge(\xi) = |\xi|^\beta \hat{\mu}(\xi) (G_\beta * K)^\wedge(\xi) + \hat{\nu}(\xi) (G_\beta * K)^\wedge(\xi)$$

(see [6], p. 133). We shall prove that convolution with $G_\beta * K$ defines a bounded operator on $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. It then follows from Theorem B that T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if $|1/p - 1/2| \cong 1/2 - \beta/na$, which gives our theorem.

First choose $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ so that $\varphi \cong 0$, $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{x; 1/2 < |x| < 2\}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \varphi(2^k x) = 1$, $0 < |x| < 1$. Also set $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi(2^k x)$ and $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \varphi_k(x)$. We shall use the decomposition

$$G_\beta * K = (\psi G_\beta) * K + ((1-\psi)G_\beta) * K. \tag{3}$$

$(1-\psi)G_\beta$ is smooth and rapidly decreasing at infinity and hence the last term in (3) is an L^1 function. It follows from $A(\alpha)$ and (2) that $(\psi G_\beta) * K$ satisfies $A(a)$ and to use Theorem A it suffices to prove that $(\psi G_\beta) * K$ satisfies $B(a)$. We set $G_{\beta,k} = \varphi_k G_\beta$ so that $(\psi G_\beta) * K = \sum_{k=0}^\infty G_{\beta,k} * K$.

We also fix y , $|y| < \min(b, 1/2)$, and set

$$I_k = \int_{|x|>2|y|^{1-a}} |G_{\beta,k} * K(x-y) - G_{\beta,k} * K(x)| dx.$$

We shall estimate each I_k separately and consider three cases.

Case 1. $2^{-k} < |y|/2$.

A change of the order of integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_k & \cong \int G_{\beta,k}(t) \left(\int_{|x|>2|y|^{1-a}} |K(x-y-t) - K(x-t)| dx \right) dt \cong \\ & \cong \int G_{\beta,k}(t) dt \int_{|x|>|y|^{1-a}} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \cong \\ & \cong C 2^{-k\beta} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{|x|>|y|^{1-a}} |K(x-iy/m) - K(x-(i-1)y/m)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing m as the least positive integer such that $2|y/m|^{1-\theta} < |y|^{1-a} - |y|$ we see that each term in the above sum is majorized by

$$\int_{|x| > 2|y/m|^{1-\theta}} |K(x-y/m) - K(x)| dx$$

and hence $I_k \leq C 2^{-k\beta} m$. From the choice of m it follows that $m \leq C|y|^{1-(1-a)/(1-\theta)}$, which yields $I_k \leq C 2^{-k\beta} |y|^{1-(1-a)/(1-\theta)}$. Hence

$$\sum_{2^{-k} < |y|/2} I_k \leq C|y|^{\beta+1-(1-a)/(1-\theta)}.$$

From the choice of a and β it follows that $\beta+1-(1-a)/(1-\theta)=0$ and the above sum is majorized by a constant.

$$\text{Case 2. } |y|/2 \leq 2^{-k} < |y|^{(1-\theta)/(1-\alpha)}. \quad (4)$$

Setting

$$\delta_k = 5|y|^{2(1-\theta)/\lambda} 2^{-kn(1-\alpha)(1-\theta)/\lambda}$$

we obtain the inequalities

$$\delta_k > 5 \cdot 2^{-k} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\delta_k < 5|y|^{1-\theta} \quad (6)$$

as a consequence of (4). We split the integral defining I_k in two parts J_1 and J_2 , the first obtained by integrating over the set $\{x; |x| \leq \delta_k\}$ and the second by integrating over $\{x; |x| > \delta_k\}$. Arguing as above we then have

$$J_2 \leq \int G_{\beta,k}(t) dt \int_{|x| > \delta_k - 2^{-k+1}} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \leq C 2^{-k\beta} m,$$

where m is now defined to be the least positive integer such that

$$2|y/m|^{1-\theta} < \delta_k - 2^{-k+2}.$$

It follows that $m \leq C|y|\delta_k^{-1/(1-\theta)}$ and hence, using the definition of δ_k , we conclude that

$$J_2 \leq C|y|^{n(1-\theta)/\lambda} 2^{kn(1-\theta)/\lambda}. \quad (7)$$

To estimate J_1 we first use the Schwarz inequality and get

$$J_1 \leq 2 \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta_k} |G_{\beta,k} * K(x)| dx \leq C \delta_k^{n/2} \|G_{\beta,k} * K\|_2 = C \delta_k^{n/2} \|\hat{G}_{\beta,k} \hat{K}\|_2. \quad (8)$$

We have $\hat{\phi}_k(\xi) = 2^{-kn} \hat{\phi}(2^{-k}\xi)$ and it follows that

$$|\hat{\phi}_k(\xi)| \leq C 2^{-kn}, \quad |\xi| \leq 2^k,$$

and

$$|\hat{\phi}_k(\xi)| \leq C 2^{k(N-n)} |\xi|^{-N}, \quad |\xi| > 2^k,$$

where N denotes a large positive integer. For $|\xi| \leq 2^k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\phi}_k * \hat{G}_\beta(\xi)| &\leq \left| \int_{|\xi-t| \leq 3 \cdot 2^k} \hat{\phi}_k(\xi-t) \hat{G}_\beta(t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{|\xi-t| > 3 \cdot 2^k} \hat{\phi}_k(\xi-t) \hat{G}_\beta(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq C 2^{-kn} \int_{|t| \leq 2^{k+2}} \hat{G}_\beta(t) dt + C \int_{|t| > 2^{k+1}} |t|^{-\beta-N} dt 2^{k(N-n)} \leq C 2^{-k\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition $A(\alpha)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|\xi| \cong 2^k} |\hat{G}_{\beta, k}(\xi) \hat{K}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \cong C 2^{-k\beta} \left(\int_{|\xi| \cong 2^k} |\hat{K}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \cong \\ & \cong C 2^{-k\beta} \left(\int_{|\xi| \cong 2^k} |\xi|^{-n\alpha} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \cong C 2^{-k(\beta + n\alpha/2 - n/2)} = C 2^{-kn(a-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|\xi| \cong 2^k} |\hat{G}_{\beta, k}(\xi) \hat{K}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \cong C 2^{-kn\alpha/2} \left(\int_{|\xi| \cong 2^k} |\hat{G}_{\beta, k}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \cong \\ & \cong C 2^{-k(n\alpha/2 + \beta - n/2)} = C 2^{-kn(a-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\hat{G}_{\beta, k} \hat{K}\|_2 \cong C 2^{-kn(a-1)/2},$$

and inserting this estimate in (8) we obtain

$$J_1 \cong C |y|^{n(1-\theta)/\lambda} 2^{kn(1-\theta)/\lambda}. \tag{9}$$

From the estimates (7) and (9) it follows that $\sum I_k \cong C$, where the summation is taken over all k satisfying (4).

Case 3. $|y|^{(1-\theta)/(1-\alpha)} \cong 2^{-k}. \tag{10}$

In this case we choose $\delta_k = 4 \cdot 2^{-k(1-\alpha)}$ and split I_k in two parts J_1 and J_2 as above. We have $\delta_k/2 \cong 2|y|^{1-\theta}$ and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 & \cong \int G_{\beta, k}(t) dt \int_{|x| > \delta_k - 2^{-k+1}} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \cong \\ & \cong C 2^{-k\beta} \int_{|x| > \delta_k/2} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \cong C 2^{-k\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as above we also have

$$J_1 \cong C \delta_k^{n/2} 2^{-kn(a-1)/2},$$

and using the definitions of a and δ_k we get $J_1 \cong C 2^{-k\beta}$. Hence $I_k \cong C 2^{-k\beta}$ and $\sum I_k \cong C$, where the summation is taken over all k satisfying (10).

We have proved that $(\psi G_\beta) * K$ satisfies $B(a)$ and this completes the proof of the theorem.

We finally remark that the method in [5], pp. 162—163, can be used to prove that the above theorem has the following consequence.

Corollary. *If $0 < \alpha < \theta < 1$ then T_K can be extended to a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all K satisfying the above assumptions if and only if $p \cong q$ and*

$$\alpha/2 \cong 1/p - 1/q + a \max(1/2 - 1/p, 1/q - 1/2, 0),$$

where $a = (n\alpha(1-\theta) + 2\theta)/(n(1-\theta) + 2)$.

References

1. BJÖRK, J.-E., L^p estimates for convolution operators defined by compactly supported distributions in \mathbb{R}^n . *Math. Scand.* **34** (1974), 129—136.
2. FEFFERMAN, C., Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators. *Acta Math.* **124** (1970), 9—36.
3. FEFFERMAN, C., & STEIN, E. M., H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137—193.
4. HIRSCHMANN, I. I., On multiplier transformations. *Duke Math. J.* **26** (1959), 221—242.
5. HÖRMANDER, L., Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations. *Proc. Symposia Pure Math.* **10** (1967), 138—183.
6. STEIN, E. M., *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton 1970.
7. WAINGER, S., Special trigonometric series in k dimensions. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1965).

Received February 10, 1975

Per Sjölin
Department of Mathematics
University of Stockholm
Box 6701
S-113 85 Stockholm
Sweden