

Local surjectivity in C^∞ for a class of pseudo-differential operators

Paul Godin*

Let X be a C^∞ paracompact n -dimensional manifold and P a properly supported pseudo-differential operator on X , having a principal symbol p homogeneous of degree m . P is said to be locally solvable at $x_0 \in X$ if there is a neighbourhood V of x_0 such that for all $f \in C^\infty(X)$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ satisfying $Pu = f$ in V . P is said to be of principal type if for all $(x, \xi) \in T^*X \setminus 0$, one has $\partial p / \partial \xi \neq 0$. For operators of principal type, Beals and Fefferman [1] proved that the so-called condition (\mathcal{P}) is sufficient for local solvability. On the other hand, Egorov [1] proved local solvability for a class of pseudo-differential operators of principal type which need not satisfy condition (\mathcal{P}) . Egorov's result is the following. Let U be an open set of X and suppose that each point of $p^{-1}(0)$ above U has an open conic neighbourhood Γ in $T^*X \setminus 0$ in which one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

(a) There exists in Γ a C^∞ function μ , homogeneous of degree $(m-1)$, such that $i^{-1}\{\bar{p}, p\} \equiv 2 \operatorname{Re}(\mu p)$ in Γ (here $\{\bar{p}, p\}$ is the Poisson bracket of \bar{p} and p and its expression in local coordinates is

$$\sum_1^n \left(\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \right).$$

(b) For some $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $(\partial/\partial \xi) \operatorname{Re}(zp) \neq 0$ in Γ and $\operatorname{Im}(zp) \equiv 0$ in Γ .

(c) For some $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $(\partial/\partial \xi) \operatorname{Re}(zp) \neq 0$ in Γ and there exists a conic submanifold Σ of Γ , of codimension 1, such that each null bicharacteristic strip of $\operatorname{Re}(zp)$, emanating from a point of Γ , intersects Σ exactly at one point and transversally. Furthermore one assumes that for each null bicharacteristic strip γ of $\operatorname{Re}(zp)$ in Γ , one has $\operatorname{Im}(zp) \equiv 0$ on γ^- and $\operatorname{Im}(zp) \equiv 0$ on γ^+ . Here γ^- and γ^+ are defined as follows: Let q_γ be the intersection point of $\gamma \cap \Gamma$ and Σ ; if s is the parameter of $\gamma \cap \Gamma$ occurring in the Hamilton—Jacobi equations $dx/ds =$

* Supported partly by a grant from the F. N. R. S. (Belgium) and partly by a grant from the Swedish Institute.

$= (\partial/\partial \xi) \operatorname{Re}(zp)$, $d\xi/ds = -(\partial/\partial x) \operatorname{Re}(zp)$, one defines γ^- (resp. γ^+) as the part of $\gamma \cap \Gamma$ described for $s < 0$ (resp. $s > 0$) if ϱ_γ corresponds to $s = 0$.

Under those conditions, Egorov proved that for each point $x_0 \in U$ and each $s \in \mathbf{R}$, there exists a neighbourhood $W_s(x_0)$ of x_0 such that for each $f \in H_s(X)$, one can find $u \in H_{s+m-1}(X)$ satisfying $Pu = f$ in $W_s(x_0)$.

Unfortunately, W_s could depend on s and consequently Egorov's result does not answer the question of local surjectivity of P in C^∞ , i.e. the question is if for each $x_0 \in U$ one can find a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ of x_0 in U such that for all $f \in C^\infty(X)$ there exists $u \in C^\infty(X)$ satisfying $Pu = f$ in V . We will prove that the answer to this question is positive, if we make some hypotheses on the null bicharacteristic strips. More precisely we will prove:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that P is a properly supported pseudo-differential operator with homogeneous principal symbol p of degree m on a C^∞ manifold X . Let U be an open relatively compact subset of X , and suppose that for each m_0 in $T^*X \setminus 0$ above \bar{U} such that $p(m_0) = 0$, one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(a) *There exists a conic neighbourhood Γ of m_0 in $T^*X \setminus 0$ and a C^∞ function μ in Γ , homogeneous of degree $(m-1)$, such that $(1/i)\{\bar{p}, p\} \leq 2 \operatorname{Re}(\mu p)$ in Γ .*

(b') *For some $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$, the Hamiltonian field $H_{\operatorname{Re}(zp)}$ (given by*

$$\sum \left(\frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(zp)}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(zp)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right)$$

in local coordinates) is not parallel to the cone axis at m_0 , the null bicharacteristic strip γ_{m_0} of $\operatorname{Re}(zp)$ through m_0 does not stay forever above \bar{U} and if m_0^\mp are the boundary points of the connected component of $\gamma_{m_0} \cap T^\bar{U}$ containing m_0 , one has $\operatorname{Im}(zp) \leq 0$ in a neighbourhood of $[m_0, m_0^+]$ (we assume here that the positive direction goes from m_0^- to m_0^+ on the oriented curve γ_{m_0}).*

(c') *m_0 has a conic neighbourhood Γ in $T^*X \setminus 0$ in which there exists a conic submanifold Σ codimension 1, passing through m_0 , with the following property: there exists $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that for all $\tilde{m}_0 \in \Sigma \cap p^{-1}(0)$, $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}$ does not stay forever above \bar{U} , intersects Σ only at \tilde{m}_0 and transversally, and such that for all $\tilde{m}_0 \in \Sigma \cap p^{-1}(0)$, $\operatorname{Im}(zp) \leq 0$ in neighbourhood of $]\tilde{m}_0, \tilde{m}_0^+]$ and $\operatorname{Im}(zp) \geq 0$ in a neighbourhood of $[\tilde{m}_0^-, \tilde{m}_0[$. Here $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}$ is the bicharacteristic strip of $\operatorname{Re}(zp)$ through \tilde{m}_0 , and \tilde{m}_0^\mp the boundary points of the connected component of $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0} \cap T^*\bar{U}$ containing \tilde{m}_0 (such that the positive direction goes from \tilde{m}_0^- to \tilde{m}_0^+ on the oriented curve $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}$).*

Then if U is sufficiently small and $f \in C^\infty(X)$, there exists $u \in C^\infty(X)$ such that $Pu = f$ in a neighbourhood of \bar{U} . (In fact the same result holds if we replace the complex number z occurring in (b') or (c') by some elliptic symbol, homogeneous of degree 0).

Remark. For operators satisfying (a) everywhere in $T^*\bar{U}$, the result of Theorem 1 has been proved by Hörmander [2]. In [3] (Theorem 3.5.1) he has also proved

propagation of singularities result for pseudo-differential operators P satisfying $\text{Im } p \equiv 0$ in a conic neighbourhood of an arc of bicharacteristic strip of $\text{Re } p$.

The main part of the proof of Theorem 1 will be the following result of propagation of singularities.

Theorem 2. *Let P be a properly supported pseudo-differential operator with principal symbol p homogeneous of degree m . Suppose that $m_0 \in T^*X \setminus 0$, $p(m_0) = 0$ and that m_0 has a conic neighbourhood Γ in $T^*X \setminus 0$ in which there exists a conic submanifold Σ of codimension 1, passing through m_0 and transversal to $H_{\text{Re } p}$ at m_0 . We assume that Γ is so small that for each point \tilde{m}_0 in Σ , the bicharacteristic strip $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^-$ of $\text{Re } p$ through \tilde{m}_0 intersects Σ exactly once and transversally. If s is the parameter of $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^- \cap \Gamma$ occurring in the Hamilton—Jacobi equations*

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = \frac{\partial \text{Re } p}{\partial \xi}, \quad \frac{d\xi}{ds} = -\frac{\partial \text{Re } p}{\partial x},$$

define $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^-$ (resp. $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^+$) as the part of $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^- \cap \Gamma$ described for $s < 0$ (resp. $s > 0$) if \tilde{m}_0 corresponds to $s = 0$. Assume that for each $\tilde{m}_0 \in \Sigma$, $\text{Im } p \equiv 0$ in a neighbourhood of $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^-(\tilde{m}_0)$ and $\text{Im } p \equiv 0$ in a neighbourhood of $\gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^+$. Let now $m_1 \in \gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^-$, $m_2 \in \gamma_{\tilde{m}_0}^+$. Then if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, $Pu \in H_s$ on $[m_1, m_2]$ and $u \in H_{s+m-1}$ at m_1 and m_2 , one has $u \in H_{s+m-1}$ on $[m_1, m_2]$.

The proof of Theorem 2 will be a modification of the proof of Theorem 3.5.1 of Hörmander [3], which tells us already that $u \in H_{s+m-1}$ on $[m_1, m_2] \setminus \{m_0\}$.

By multiplying P by a real elliptic symbol and using Fourier integral operators associated to a suitable canonical transformation as in Duistermaat—Hörmander [1], we may as well assume that P has order 1, and that its principal symbol is

$$p(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \tau + iq(x, t, \xi, \tau) \tag{*}$$

in a conic neighbourhood of $m_0 = (x_0, t_0, \xi_0, \tau_0)$. Here we are working in local coordinates (x, t) of X , $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and (ξ, τ) are the dual variables of (x, t) ; and q is a real-valued function.

In the proof of Theorem 2, it will be convenient to use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let P satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and be of the form (*). Then there exists a real function ϱ , homogeneous of degree 0, and a conic neighbourhood V of m_0 , such that in V we have*

(1) $\varrho^{-1}(0) = \Sigma$,

(2) $H_{\text{Re } p} \varrho > 0$,

(3) $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho}{\partial x_j \partial \xi_j} + \frac{\partial^2 \varrho}{\partial t \partial \tau} = 0$ on Σ ,

(4) $q = qr$ for some function r which is $\equiv 0$ and homogeneous of degree 1 everywhere.

Proof of Lemma 1. The existence of a function satisfying (1), (2), (4) follows from Taylor's formula. Let \tilde{q} satisfy (1), (2), (4). Then if f is homogeneous of degree 0, and >0 at m_0 , $f\tilde{q}$ will satisfy (1), (2), (4) and for (3) to be valid it is sufficient that f satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + f \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{q}}{\partial \xi_j \partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{q}}{\partial \tau \partial t} \right) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Since \tilde{q} satisfies (2), the vector field $(\tilde{q}'_\xi, \tilde{q}'_\tau, \tilde{q}'_x, \tilde{q}'_t)$ is not parallel to the cone axis at m_0 and we can find a solution of (5) which is homogeneous of degree 0, and >0 at m_0 . Q.E.D.

Denote by ϱ a function as in Lemma 1 and define $\Gamma_\varepsilon = \{m \in \Gamma; |\varrho(m)| \leq \varepsilon\}$, where Γ is the set occurring in the statement of Theorem 2, and which we suppose to be so small that ϱ satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3), (4) of Lemma 1 in some neighbourhood of it. Let $M \subset S^{s-1}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ be a set of real symbols with support in Γ_ε , bounded in the S^s -topology. The main part of the proof of Theorem 2 will be the following result, in which we assume that $\Gamma \subset T^*\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$.

Lemma 2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $u \in H_{s-1/2}$ in Γ and $Pu \in H_s$ in Γ . If $c \in M$, define C as the pseudo-differential operator with symbol c and $\mathcal{L}_\eta(c)$ as the pseudo-differential operator with symbol*

$$\frac{1}{2} \varrho \frac{\partial c^2}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} - 6\eta \right) c^2.$$

Then for each $\eta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, independent of s , such that $\text{Re}(\mathcal{L}_\eta(c)u, u) \cong K_1(\eta) \|CPu\|_0^2 + K_2(\eta)$. Here $K_1(\eta)$ and $K_2(\eta)$ are uniform constants when c runs over M .

Proof of Lemma 2. By K we will denote various positive constants, which may depend on u , but which are valid uniformly when c runs over M . We write $P = A + iB$, with $A = 2^{-1}(P + P^*)$ and $B = (2i)^{-1}(P - P^*)$, where P^* is the adjoint of P with respect to the scalar product $(f, g) = \int f\bar{g}$. We shall denote by b the principal symbol of B , and by S a properly supported pseudo-differential operator with symbol equal to ϱ (except perhaps when $|\xi| + |\tau|$ is very small). Taking the imaginary part of both members of the identity

$$(SCPu, Cu) = (ASCu, Cu) + i(BSCu, Cu) + ([SC, A]u, Cu) + i([SC, B]u, Cu), \quad \text{we get}$$

$$\text{Im}(SCPu, Cu) = (1) + (2) + (3) + (4), \quad \text{where}$$

$$(1) = \frac{(A(S - S^*)Cu, Cu)}{2i} + \frac{(Cu, [S, A]Cu)}{2i}$$

$$(2) = \operatorname{Re}(BSCu, Cu)$$

$$(3) = \operatorname{Im}([SC, A]u, Cu)$$

$$(4) = \operatorname{Re}([SC, B]u, Cu).$$

About the term (1).

If Γ is sufficiently small, then in view of Lemma 1, $(2i)^{-1}(S - S^*)$ has symbol $\sim \varrho\lambda_{-1} + l_{-2}$ in a neighbourhood of Γ (the subscripts indicate the orders of the symbols). Furthermore in a neighbourhood of Γ , $[S, A]$ has symbol $\sim -i^{-1}\partial\varrho/\partial t + l_{-1}$. So (1) $\cong \operatorname{Re}(ASA_{-1}Cu, Cu) - \operatorname{Re}(Cu, 2^{-1}S_tCu) - K$, where A_{-1} and S_t are pseudo-differential operators with principal symbols λ_{-1} and $\partial\varrho/\partial t$ respectively.

But we can find properly supported pseudo-differential operators S_j with principal symbols ϱ_j homogeneous of degree 0, $j=1, 2$ such that $S = S_1 + S_2$, $|Q_1| \cong 2\varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{WF}(S_2) \cap \Gamma_\varepsilon = \emptyset$. Furthermore, if $H(x, t, D_x, D_t)$ is a properly supported pseudo-differential operator with principal symbol h homogeneous of degree 0, such that $h=0$ except when (x, t) belongs to some compact set, we have:

For each compact set $T \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, there exists a constant C_T such that $\|H\varphi\|_0^2 \cong \max |h(x, t, \xi, \tau)|^2 \|\varphi\|_0^2 + C_T \|\varphi\|_{-1/2}^2$ when $\varphi \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(T)$. Combining those 2 facts, we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|SD_t A_{-1}Cu\|_0^2 &\cong 2\|S_1 D_t A_{-1}Cu\|_0^2 + 2\|S_2 D_t A_{-1}Cu\|_0^2 \\ &\cong 2(4\varepsilon^2 \|D_t A_{-1}Cu\|_0^2 + K\|D_t A_{-1}Cu\|_{-1/2}^2 + K) \\ &\cong 8\varepsilon^2 K\|Cu\|_0^2 + K\|Cu\|_{-1/2}^2 + K. \end{aligned}$$

So for each $\omega > 0$ we have:

$$|(SD_t A_{-1}Cu, Cu)| \cong \frac{1}{\omega} \|SD_t A_{-1}Cu\|_0^2 + \omega \|Cu\|_0^2 \cong \frac{8\varepsilon^2 K}{\omega} \|Cu\|_0^2 + \frac{K}{\omega} + \omega \|Cu\|_0^2.$$

Now if we take $\omega = \varepsilon \cong (8K + 1)^{-1}\eta$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}(SD_t A_{-1}Cu, Cu) \cong -\eta \|Cu\|_0^2 - K(\eta),$$

which implies

$$(1) \cong -\eta \|Cu\|_0^2 - \operatorname{Re}(Cu, \frac{1}{2}S_tCu) - K(\eta). \tag{1'}$$

About the term (2).

If Γ is small enough, B has symbol $\sim \varrho r + l_0$ in a neighbourhood of Γ , where l_0 has order 0. Denoting by R a properly supported pseudo-differential operator with principal symbol r , we find that there exists a properly supported first order pseudo-differential operator L with principal symbol vanishing in a neighbourhood of Γ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (BSCu, Cu) &= (SRSCu, Cu) + (LSCu, Cu) \\ &= ((S - S^*)RSCu, Cu) + (RSCu, SCu) + (LSCu, Cu). \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(((S - S^*)R + L)SCu, Cu)| &= |(SCu, ((S - S^*)R + L)^*Cu)| \cong \\ &\cong |(S_1Cu, ((S - S^*)R + L)^*Cu)| + K, \end{aligned}$$

where S_1 is the same as in (1). Now the same argument as in (1) gives:

$$\operatorname{Re}((S - S^*)RSCu, Cu) + \operatorname{Re}(LSCu, Cu) \cong -\eta \|Cu\|_0^2 - K(\eta),$$

if ε is small enough.

Furthermore the sharp Gårding's inequality gives that

$$\operatorname{Re}(RSCu, SCu) \cong -K \|SCu\|_0^2 \cong -4\varepsilon^2 K \|Cu\|_0^2 - K.$$

So if ε is small enough we have

$$(2) \cong -\eta \|Cu\|_0^2 - K(\eta). \tag{2'}$$

About the term (3).

$$\operatorname{Im}([SC, A]u, Cu) = \operatorname{Im}(C^*[SC, A]u, u) = (Wu, u)$$

if we define

$$W = \frac{C^*[SC, A] - [SC, A]^*C}{2i}.$$

The principal symbol of W is $\operatorname{Im}(ci^{-1}\{\varrho c, a\}) = -c\{\varrho c, a\} = c(\partial/\partial t)(\varrho c)$. (Here we have denoted by a the function $(x, t, \xi, \tau) \rightarrow \tau$.) When c runs over M , the full symbol of W is $c(\partial/\partial t)(\varrho c) +$ an error bounded in S^{2s-1} . So

$$\operatorname{Im}([SC, A]u, Cu) \cong \operatorname{Re}(Zu, u) - K \tag{3'}$$

where Z has principal symbol $2^{-1}(\varrho \partial/\partial t + 2 \partial\varrho/\partial t)c^2$.

About the term (4).

$\operatorname{Re}([SC, B]u, Cu) = \operatorname{Re}(C^*[SC, B]u, u)$. $C^*[SC, B]$ has the purely imaginary principal symbol $i^{-1}c\{\varrho c, b\}$ and its full symbol differs from $i^{-1}c\{\varrho c, b\}$ by an error which is bounded in S^{2s-1} , when c runs over M . So

$$\operatorname{Re}([SC, B]u, Cu) \cong -K. \tag{4'}$$

Collecting (1'), (2'), (3'), (4') we get

$$\operatorname{Im}(SCPu, Cu) \cong -2\eta \|Cu\|_0^2 - \operatorname{Re}(Cu, 2^{-1}S_1Cu) + \operatorname{Re}(Zu, u) - K(\eta).$$

But on the other hand we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(SCPu, Cu) \cong |(SCPu, Cu)| \cong \frac{1}{\omega} \|SCPu\|_0^2 + \omega \|Cu\|_0^2 \cong \frac{M}{\omega} \|CPu\|_0^2 + \omega \|Cu\|_0^2$$

for all $\omega > 0$.

So if we take $\omega = \eta$, we finally get:

$$\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{L}_\eta(c)u, u) \cong K_1(\eta) \|CPu\|_0^2 + K_2(\eta),$$

and this completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume that $u \in H_{s-1/2}$ and $Pu \in H_s$ in Γ , and that $u \in \mathcal{E}'$. Using Lemma 2 we will now construct a pseudo-differential operator Θ of order s , elliptic at m_0 , such that $\Theta u \in L_2$. Set $k_\eta = 2^{-1}(\partial \varrho / \partial t - 6\eta)$. If η is small enough, k_η is > 0 at m_0 . Choose a symbol δ homogeneous of degree s for $|\xi| + |\tau| > 2^{-1}(|\xi_0| + |\tau_0|)$, with support in a very small conic neighbourhood of m_0 , such that $(2^{-1}\varrho \partial \delta^2 / \partial t + k_\eta \delta^2)(m_0) > 0$ and $(2^{-1}\varrho \partial \delta^2 / \partial t + k_\eta \delta^2) \geq 0$ on Σ . (We denote $\xi(m_0)$ and $\tau(m_0)$ by ξ_0 and τ_0 respectively, where (x, t, ξ, τ) are local coordinates near m_0 .) All this is possible thanks to the transversality at m_0 of Σ with respect to $\partial / \partial t$. Then choose real symbols θ, g with small support in Γ , homogeneous of degree s for $|\xi| + |\tau| > 2^{-1}(|\xi_0| + |\tau_0|)$, such that θ is elliptic at m_0 and $\theta^2 \leq 2^{-1}\varrho \partial \delta^2 / \partial t + k_\eta \delta^2 + g^2$.

If we now define, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\sigma_\alpha(x, t, \xi, \tau) = (1 + \alpha^2(|\xi|^2 + \tau^2))^{-1/2}$ and if Θ_α and G_α denote pseudo-differential operators with symbols equal to $\sigma_\alpha \theta, \sigma_\alpha g$ respectively, an application of the sharp Gårding's inequality to $\mathcal{L}_\eta(\delta \sigma_\alpha) - \Theta_\alpha^2 + G_\alpha^2$ combined with use of Lemma 2 gives

$$\|\Theta_\alpha u\|_{(0)} \leq K \text{ where } K \text{ is independent of } \alpha.$$

So if $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, we get $\Theta u \in L_2$, where Θ has symbol θ . This proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. We denote by P^* the L_2 -adjoint of P with respect to some smooth positive density.

If $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\bar{U})$ and $P^*u \in H_s(X)$, one has $u \in H_{s+m-1}$ in the region corresponding to condition (b'), by Theorem 3.5.1 of Hörmander [3], and also in the region corresponding to condition (c'), by Theorem 2. So $WF_{s+m-1}(u)$ is contained in the region corresponding to condition (a). But now the proof of Proposition 2.2 in Duistermaat [1] shows that $u \in H_{s+m-1}(X)$ because if \bar{U} is small enough, we can as in Chapter VIII of Hörmander [1], find a function φ satisfying the hypotheses of this Proposition 2.2. The conclusion of Theorem 1 now follows by standard results on surjections in Fréchet spaces (cf. e.g. Duistermaat—Hörmander [1], Theorem 6.3.1).

Remarks. (1) In some cases it is possible to prove Theorem 2 by constructing a parametrix, for example if P has the symbol

$$\tau + it|x''|^2 \xi_{n-1}, \quad |x''|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^2, \quad k \leq n-2, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{n-1} > 0,$$

one can construct near each point where $t=0$, a microlocal left parametrix with wave front set contained in the union of the diagonal of $T^*X \setminus 0$, and of the bicharacteristic relation for τ above $x''=0$, and prove propagation for P in the same way as it is done for D_n in Theorem 6.1.1 of Duistermaat—Hörmander [1].

(2) It is easy to construct non-elliptic boundary value problems which are described on the boundary by operators satisfying the hypotheses of Theorems 1 or 2, in view of the reduction theory developed in Hörmander [2].

References

- BEALS, R., FEFFERMAN, CH. [1], On local solvability of linear partial differential equations. *Annals of Math.* **97** (1973), pp. 482—498.
- DUISTERMAAT, J.-J. [1], On Carleman estimates for pseudo-differential operators. *Inventiones Math.* **17** (1972), pp. 31—43.
- DUISTERMAAT, J.-J., HÖRMANDER, L. [1], Fourier integral operators II. *Acta Math.* **128** (1972), pp. 183—269.
- EGOROV, YU. V. [1], On sufficient conditions for local solvability of pseudo-differential equations of principal type. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obs.* **31** (1974), pp. 59—83. (Russian)
- HÖRMANDER, L. [1], *Linear partial differential operators*, Springer, 1963.
- HÖRMANDER, L. [2], Pseudo-differential operators and non-elliptic boundary problems. *Annals of Math.* **83** (1966), pp. 129—209.
- HÖRMANDER, L. [3], On the existence and the regularity of solutions of linear pseudo-differential equations. *L'Ens. Math.* **17** (1971), pp. 99—163.

Received October 6, 1975

Paul Godin
Département de Mathématiques
Université Libre de Bruxelles
Avenue F. D. Roosevelt 50
B-1050 BRUXELLES, Belgium