

On the instability of capacity

Claes Fernström

§ 1. Introduction

Let E be a Borel set in the space \mathbf{R}^d . It is well-known that the Lebesgue measure m is unstable in the sense that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} m(B(x, \delta))^{-1} m(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} m(B(x, \delta))^{-1} m(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = 0$$

almost everywhere on \mathbf{R}^d , where $B(x, \delta)$ is the open ball of radius δ with center at x . Vitushkin discovered that the continuous analytic capacity α has a similar property, namely $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-2} \alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = 0$ or $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-1} \alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = 1$ with the exception of a set of zero area, where E is an arbitrary subset of the complex plane (see [8]). In [6] Lysenko and Pisarevskii investigated the classical Newtonian capacity, here denoted by $C_{1,2}$, in this direction. They proved that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-3} C_{1,2}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = 0$ or $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} C_{1,2}(B(x, \delta))^{-1} C_{1,2}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = 1$ almost everywhere on \mathbf{R}^3 , if E is a Borel set. See also in this connection Gonchar [3] and [4]. L. I. Hedberg discovered in [5] that many capacities C are unstable in a certain sense. He proved that for all Borel sets E the following two relations are equivalent:

- (a) $C(E \cap \Omega) = C(\Omega)$ for all open sets Ω ,
- (b) $\overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-d} C(E \cap B(x, \delta)) > 0$ almost everywhere on \mathbf{R}^d .

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the theorem of Lysenko and Pisarevskii to \mathbf{R}^d and to more general capacities $C_{\alpha,q}$ (see Section 2 for a definition of $C_{\alpha,q}$). Our result can be found in Section 4, Theorem 4.1. In Section 4 we also prove that there is a similar gap, if we replace "almost everywhere" by " $C_{\alpha,q}$ —a.e.". See Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3. In Section 3, Theorem 3.2 we show that

$$C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))^{-1} C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{when } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

if E is a Borel set and if x is a density point for E with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

The subject of this paper was suggested by Lars Inge Hedberg to whom the author is deeply grateful for generously given advice.

§ 2. Preliminaries

The underlying space in this paper is the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^d . Let p and q be real numbers such that $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all positive Borel measures μ such that $\mu(\mathbf{R}^d) < \infty$ and let

$$L^q(\mathbf{R}^d) = \left\{ f; \|f\|_q^q = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |f(x)|^q dm(x) < \infty \right\},$$

where m denotes the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure. The set of all non-negative functions $f \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is denoted by L^q_+ .

For $f \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\alpha > 0$ we define a potential

$$U_\alpha^f(x) = \int |x - y|^{\alpha-d} f(y) dm(y),$$

and for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ we similarly define

$$U_\alpha^\mu(x) = \int |x - y|^{\alpha-d} d\mu(y).$$

Definition 2.1. Let E be an arbitrary set and let $\alpha > 0$. Then $C_{\alpha,q}(E) = \inf \|f\|_q^q$, where the infimum is taken over all $f \in L^q_+$ such that $U_\alpha^f(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in E$.

The classical Riesz capacities are obtained by setting $q = 2$.

Let $B(x, \delta)$ denote the open ball of radius δ with center at x . Various constants are denoted by A . The complementary set of a set E is denoted by E^c .

It follows from Definition 2.1 that

$$(2.1) \quad C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta)) = A\delta^{d-\alpha q},$$

where A is independent of δ and x . It is easy to see that $A > 0$ if and only if $\alpha q < d$.

We always assume in the rest of this paper that the capacities are not identically equal to zero.

The following theorem will be used several times. For a proof see Meyers [7, p. 273].

Theorem 2.2. Let E be a Borel set. Then

$$C_{\alpha,q}(E)^{1/q} = \sup v(\mathbf{R}^d),$$

where the supremum is taken over all $v \in \mathcal{M}$, such that v is concentrated on E and $\|U_\alpha^v\|_p \leq 1$.

A property, which holds for all points on $E \setminus E_1$ with $C_{\alpha,q}(E_1) = 0$ ($m(E_1) = 0$), is said to hold $C_{\alpha,q}$ -a.e. on E (a.e. on E).

§ 3. Density points

Definition 3.1. Let E be a Borel set. Then x is a density point for E if

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{m(B(x, \delta))} = 1.$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let E be a Borel set and let x be a density point for E . Then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(x, \delta))} = 1.$$

The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. Let E be a Borel set. Then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(x, \delta))} = 1 \text{ a.e. on } E.$$

Remark 3.4. Let $K(r)$, $r > 0$ be a non-negative, decreasing, continuous function such that $K(r) \rightarrow \infty$ when $r \rightarrow 0$ and $K(r) \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. For $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $x \neq 0$, we define $K(x) = K(|x|)$, and we assume

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{|x| < 1} K(x) dm(x) < \infty.$$

We call such a function a kernel.

Let K be a kernel such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\delta > 0$ and γ , $1 > \gamma > 0$, such that $K((1 - \gamma)x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)K(x)$ for all x , $|x| \leq \delta$. Then Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 remain true if we replace $|x|^{\alpha-d}$ by $K(x)$. For a proof see [2].

Remark 3.5. Let $H_{d-\beta}$ denote the classical Hausdorff measure with respect to the function $t^{d-\beta}$. For every β , $0 < \beta < d$, there exists a compact set E with $H_{d-\beta}(E) = \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, 2}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, 2}(B(x, \delta))} = 0 \text{ for all } x.$$

The proof can be found in [2].

Proof of Theorem 3.2 Suppose that 0 is a density point for E . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $0 < \gamma < 1$. Theorem 2.2 gives that we can choose $\mu_\delta \in \mathcal{M}$, $\delta > 0$, such that μ_δ is concentrated on $B(0, (1 - \gamma)\delta)$, $\mu_\delta(\mathbf{R}^d) = 1$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \|U_{\mu_\delta}^\varepsilon\|_p \leq \left\{ \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(0, (1 - \gamma)\delta))} \right\}^{1/q}.$$

Choose non-negative, continuous functions φ_δ such that $\varphi_\delta(x)=0$ for all

$$x, |x| \cong \frac{1}{2} \gamma \delta,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_\delta(x) \cong A \gamma^{-d} \delta^{-d} \quad \text{for all } x,$$

where A is independent of δ , and

$$(3.4) \quad \int \varphi_\delta(x) dm(x) = 1.$$

Put $d\gamma_\delta(x) = (\int \varphi_\delta(x-y) d\mu_\delta(y)) dm(x)$. Then $v_\delta \in \mathcal{M}$, $v_\delta(\mathbf{R}^d) = 1$ and v_δ is concentrated on $B(0, \delta)$. Now (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} v_\delta(\mathbb{I}E \cap B(0, \delta)) &= \int_{\mathbb{I}E \cap B(0, \delta)} \left(\int \varphi_\delta(x-y) d\mu_\delta(y) \right) dm(x) \\ &\cong Am(\mathbb{I}E \cap B(0, \delta)) \gamma^{-d} \delta^{-d}. \end{aligned}$$

If we use that 0 is a density point for E , we obtain

$$v_\delta(\mathbb{I}E \cap B(0, \delta)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Since v_δ is concentrated on $B(0, \delta)$ and $v_\delta(\mathbf{R}^d) = 1$, we get

$$(3.5) \quad v_\delta(E \cap B(0, \delta)) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{when } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

From the definition of v_δ we see that

$$\|U_{\alpha^s}^v\|_p = \left\| \int |x-y|^{\alpha-d} dv_\delta(y) \right\|_p = \left\| \iint |x-y|^{\alpha-d} \varphi_\delta(y-z) d\mu_\delta(z) dm(y) \right\|_p.$$

If we put $y-z=t$, we find that

$$\|U_{\alpha^s}^v\|_p = \left\| \iint |x-z-t|^{\alpha-d} \varphi_\delta(t) d\mu_\delta(z) dm(t) \right\|_p = \left\| \int U_{\alpha^s}^u(x-t) \varphi_\delta(t) dm(t) \right\|_p.$$

Minkowski's inequality and (3.4) now give

$$(3.6) \quad \|U_{\alpha^s}^v\|_p \cong \|U_{\alpha^s}^u\|_p.$$

From (3.2), (3.5), (3.6) and Theorem 2.2 we get that $C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(0, \delta)) > 0$. Choose $f_\delta \in L^q_+$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad U_{\alpha^s}^f(x) \cong 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in E \cap B(0, \delta),$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \|f_\delta\|_q \cong \left\{ (1+\varepsilon) C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(0, \delta)) \right\}^{1/q}.$$

Now (3.7) gives

$$(3.9) \quad \int U_{\alpha^s}^f(x) dv_\delta(x) \cong v_\delta(E \cap B(0, \delta)).$$

The Hölder inequality, (3.6), (3.2) and (3.8) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int U_{\alpha^s}^f(x) dv_\delta(x) &= \int U_{\alpha^s}^v(x) f_\delta(x) dm(x) \cong \|U_{\alpha^s}^v\|_p \|f_\delta\|_q \cong \|U_{\alpha^s}^u\|_p \|f_\delta\|_q \\ &\cong \left\{ \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2 C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(0, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(0, (1-\gamma)\delta))} \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (3.9)

$$\left\{ (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(0, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(0, (1 - \gamma)\delta))} \right\}^{1/q} \cong v_\delta(E \cap B(0, \delta)).$$

Using (2.1) we find that

$$\left\{ (1 + \varepsilon)^2 (1 - \gamma)^{\alpha q - d} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(0, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(0, \delta))} \right\}^{1/q} \cong v_\delta(E \cap B(0, \delta)).$$

The theorem now follows from (3.5) and from the fact that $\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0$, and $\gamma, 0 < \gamma < 1$, may be chosen arbitrarily small.

§ 4. The instability of capacity

In this section we prove the following three theorems.

Theorem 4.1. *Let E be a Borel set. Then a.e. on \mathbf{R}^d one of the following relations holds:*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(x, \delta))} = 1$$

or

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^d} = 0.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ and let E be a Borel set. Suppose that $h(\delta)$ is an increasing function such that*

$$\int_0^1 h(\delta)^{p-1} \delta^{-1} d\delta < \infty.$$

Then $C_{\beta, q}$ -a.e. on \mathbf{R}^d one of the following relations holds:

$$\overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{h(\delta) C_{\alpha, q}(B(x, \delta))} = \infty$$

or

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d - \beta q}} = 0.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ and let E be a Borel set. Suppose that $q > 2 - \beta/d$. Then $C_{\beta, q}$ -a.e. on \mathbf{R}^d one of the following relations holds:*

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha, q}(B(x, \delta))} \right\}^{p-1} \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \infty$$

or

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d - \beta q}} = 0.$$

Remark 4.4. Let K be a kernel with the same properties as in Remark 3.4. Then Theorem 4.1 remains true if we replace $|x|^{\alpha-d}$ by $K(x)$. See [2].

Remark 4.5. Let K be a kernel. Suppose that there is a constant A such that $K(x) \leq AK(2x)$ for all $x, |x| \leq 1$. If we furthermore assume that $K(r)r^{d-\beta}$ is an increasing function for all $r, 0 < r \leq r_0$, we may replace $|x|^{\alpha-d}$ by $K(x)$ in Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3. See [2].

In order to prove the theorems, we need some lemmas. The first lemma, which can be found in Bagby and Ziemer [1], is essential for the following.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then*

$$(i) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^d} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y) - f(x)|^q dm(y) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^{d-\alpha q}} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y)|^q dm(y) = 0 \quad C_{\alpha, q}\text{-a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Before proving the next lemma we need some notation. Let E be an arbitrary set. Then we define

$$E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^\beta) = \left\{ x; \overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} > 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\beta > 0$ and let E be an arbitrary set. Then $E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^\beta)$ is a Borel set.*

Proof. Put

$$E_n = \left\{ x; \overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} > \frac{1}{n} \right\} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let $x \in E_n$. Choose $\delta_i(x), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, such that $\delta_i(x) \leq 2^{-i}$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta_i(x)))}{\delta_i(x)^\beta} > \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Put

$$A_n^{(i)} = \bigcup_{x \in E_n} B(x, \delta_i(x)), \quad B_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n.$$

Then B is a Borel set. It is enough to show that $E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^\beta) = B$. We have the following chain of implications:

$$z \in E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^\beta) \Rightarrow z \in E_n \text{ for some } n \Rightarrow z \in A_n^{(i)} \text{ for some } n \text{ and all } i \Rightarrow z \in B_n \text{ for some } n \Rightarrow z \in B.$$

Thus

$$(4.2) \quad E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^\beta) \subset B.$$

On the other hand $z \in B$ gives that $z \in A_m^{(i)}$ for some m and all i . For every i there exists $x_i \in E_m$ such that $z \in B(x_i, \delta_i(x_i))$. If we now use that $C_{\alpha, q}$ is an increasing set function and (4.1), we get

$$\frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(z, 2\delta_i(x_i)))}{\{2\delta_i(x_i)\}^\beta} \cong \frac{1}{2^\beta} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x_i, \delta_i(x_i)))}{\{\delta_i(x_i)\}^\beta} > \frac{1}{2^\beta m}.$$

If we use that $\delta_i(x_i) \leq 2^{-i}$, we obtain

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(z, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} > 0.$$

Thus

$$B \subset E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^\beta),$$

and (4.2) gives $B = E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^\beta)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let E be a Borel set and let $f \in L^q_+$. Suppose that $U_\alpha^f(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in E$. Then*

(i) $U_\alpha^f(x) \geq 1$ a.e. on $E \cup E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^d)$,

and

(ii) $U_\alpha^f(x) \geq 1$ $C_{\beta, q}$ -a.e. on $E \cup E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^{d-\beta q})$.

Proof. The proof follows an idea used by L. I. Hedberg in [5]. We prove (ii). The proof of (i) is similar. The proof of (i) can also be found in the proof of Theorem 9 in [5].

Let $x_0 \in E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^{d-\beta q})$. It is no restriction to assume that $U_\alpha^f(x_0) < \infty$. Applying Lemma 4.6 we may also assume that

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \int_{B(x_0, \delta)} |f(x)|^q dm(x) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.2. gives that we can choose $v_\delta \in \mathcal{M}$ such that v_δ is concentrated on $E \cap B(x_0, \delta)$, $v_\delta(\mathbf{R}^d) = 1$ and

$$(4.4) \quad \|U_\alpha^{v_\delta}\|_p \cong \left\{ \frac{2}{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x_0, \delta))} \right\}^{1/q}.$$

If we use that $U_\alpha^f(x) \geq 1$ on E , we find

$$1 \cong \int U_\alpha^f(x) dv_\delta(x) = \int U_\alpha^{v_\delta}(x) f(x) dm(x).$$

Thus it is enough to prove that

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left| \int U_\alpha^{v_\delta}(x) f(x) dm(x) - U_\alpha^f(x_0) \right| = 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $U_\alpha^f(x_0) < \infty$, it is possible to choose $\varrho > 0$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{B(x_0, \varrho)} |x - x_0|^{\alpha-d} f(x) dm(x) < \varepsilon.$$

Let δ , $0 < \delta < \varrho/2$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\int U_{\alpha}^{\nu_{\delta}}(x)f(x)dm(x) - U_{\alpha}^f(x_0)| &\leq \int |U_{\alpha}^{\nu_{\delta}}(x) - |x-x_0|^{\alpha-d}|f(x)dm(x) \\ &\leq \int_{B(x_0, \varrho)} |x-x_0|^{\alpha-d}f(x)dm(x) + \int_{B(x_0, 2\delta)} U_{\alpha}^{\nu_{\delta}}(x)f(x)dm(x) + \\ &\quad + \int_{2\delta \leq |x-x_0| \leq \varrho} U_{\alpha}^{\nu_{\delta}}(x)f(x)dm(x) + \\ &\quad + \int_{\varrho \leq |x-x_0|} |U_{\alpha}^{\nu_{\delta}}(x) - |x-x_0|^{\alpha-d}|f(x)dm(x) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6) we get

$$(4.7) \quad I_1 < \varepsilon.$$

The Hölder inequality and (4.4) give

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \|U_{\alpha}^{\nu_{\delta}}\|_p \left\{ \int_{B(x_0, 2\delta)} |f(x)|^q dm(x) \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{2\delta^{d-\beta q}}{C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x_0, \delta))} \cdot \frac{1}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \int_{B(x_0, 2\delta)} |f(x)|^q dm(x) \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.3) and the fact that $x_0 \in E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^{d-\beta q})$ give

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} I_2 = 0.$$

If we use that ν_{δ} is concentrated on $B(x_0, \delta)$, $\nu_{\delta}(\mathbf{R}^d) = 1$ and (4.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int d\nu_{\delta}(y) \int_{2\delta \leq |x-x_0| \leq \varrho} |x-y|^{\alpha-d} f(x) dm(x) \\ &\leq \int d\nu_{\delta}(y) \int_{B(x_0, \varrho)} |x-x_0|/2|^{\alpha-d} f(x) dm(x) \\ &= 2^{d-\alpha} \int_{B(x_0, \varrho)} |x-x_0|^{\alpha-d} f(x) dm(x) < 2^{d-\alpha} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.9) \quad I_3 < 2^{d-\alpha} \varepsilon.$$

If $|x-x_0| \geq \varrho$, it is easy to prove that $U_{\alpha}^{\nu_{\delta}}(x)$ tends uniformly to $|x-x_0|^{\alpha-d}$ when δ tends to zero. Thus

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} I_4 = 0.$$

Now (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) give (4.5). This finishes the proof.

Lemma 4.9. *Let E be a Borel set.*

(i) *There exists for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and for all $\delta > 0$ a Borel set $O_{x, \delta}$ such that $m(O_{x, \delta}) = 0$ and*

$$C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = C_{\alpha, q}((E \cup (E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^d) \setminus O_{x, \delta})) \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

(ii) *There exists for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and for all $\delta > 0$ a set $O_{x, \delta}$ such that $C_{\beta, q}(O_{x, \delta}) = 0$ and*

$$C_{\alpha, q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = C_{\alpha, q}((E \cup (E(C_{\alpha, q}; \delta^{d-\beta q}) \setminus O_{x, \delta})) \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

Proof. We prove (i). The proof of (ii) is the same. Put $E(C_{\alpha,q}; \delta^d) = E_0$. Let $\delta > 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ be fixed. Choose $f_n \in L^q_+$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad U_{\alpha}^{f_n}(z) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in E \cap B(x, \delta),$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \|f_n\|_q^q \leq C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) + 1/n \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let $y \in E_0 \cap B(x, \delta)$. Then $B(y, \varepsilon) \subset B(x, \delta)$ for small ε . Now using $y \in E_0$ we find

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha,q}((E \cap B(x, \delta)) \cap B(y, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^d} = \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(y, \varepsilon))}{\varepsilon^d} > 0.$$

Thus

$$(4.13) \quad E_0 \cap B(x, \delta) \subset (E \cap B(x, \delta))(C_{\alpha,q}; \delta^d) = (E \cap B(x, \delta))_0.$$

Lemma 4.8 (i) and (4.11) give that there are Borel sets O_n such that $m(O_n) = 0$ and

$$U_{\alpha}^{f_n}(z) \geq 1 \quad \text{on } (E \cap B(x, \delta)) \cup ((E \cap B(x, \delta))_0 \setminus O_n) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Now (4.13) gives

$$(E \cap B(x, \delta)) \cup ((E \cap B(x, \delta))_0 \setminus O_n) \supset (E \cup (E_0 \setminus O_n)) \cap B(x, \delta).$$

Put $O = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$. Then $m(O) = 0$ and

$$U_{\alpha}^{f_n}(z) \geq 1 \quad \text{on } (E \cup (E_0 \setminus O)) \cap B(x, \delta) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Using the definition of $C_{\alpha,q}$ and (4.12) we get

$$C_{\alpha,q}((E \cup (E_0 \setminus O)) \cap B(x, \delta)) \leq C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

But $(E \cup (E_0 \setminus O)) \cap B(x, \delta) \supset E \cap B(x, \delta)$. Thus

$$C_{\alpha,q}((E \cup (E_0 \setminus O)) \cap B(x, \delta)) = C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Lemma 4.7 gives that $E(C_{\alpha,q}; \delta^d) = E_0$ is a Borel set. Let x be a density point for $E \cup E_0$. It is enough to prove that

$$\varliminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))} \geq 1.$$

Let $\delta_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$. Lemma 4.9 (i) gives that there are Borel sets $O_i, m(O_i) = 0$, such that

$$(4.14) \quad C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta_i)) = C_{\alpha,q}((E \cup (E_0 \setminus O_i)) \cap B(x, \delta_i)).$$

Put $O = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} O_i$. Then $m(O) = 0$. Since x is a density point for $E \cup E_0$, x is a density point for $E \cup (E_0 \setminus O)$. Now (4.14) and Theorem 3.2 give

$$\varliminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta_i))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta_i))} \geq \varliminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{\alpha,q}((E \cup (E_0 \setminus O)) \cap B(x, \delta_i))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta_i))} \geq 1.$$

Since the sequence δ_i was chosen arbitrarily, the theorem follows.

Lemma 4.10. *Let E be an arbitrary set. Suppose that $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$. Then*

$$\frac{C_{\beta,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \leq A \frac{C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))},$$

where A is independent of x and δ .

Proof: Let x be fixed. It is easy to see that there is a constant N independent of x and δ such that

$$(4.15) \quad \left\{ \int_{|y| \leq N\delta} |y|^{(\alpha-d)p} dm(y) \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ 2C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta)) \right\}^{1/q} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$, be arbitrary. Now choose $f_\delta \in L^q_+$ such that

$$(4.16) \quad U_\alpha^{f_\delta}(z) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in E \cap B(x, \delta)$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad \|f_\delta\|_q^q \leq C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) + \varepsilon C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta)).$$

If we use the Hölder inequality, (4.17) and (4.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \leq N\delta} |y|^{\alpha-d} f_\delta(z-y) dm(y) &\leq \left\{ \int_{|y| \leq N\delta} |y|^{(\alpha-d)p} dm(y) \right\}^{1/p} \|f_\delta\|_q \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{|y| \leq N\delta} |y|^{(\alpha-d)p} dm(y) \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ 2C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta)) \right\}^{1/q} < \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.16) gives

$$\int_{|y| \leq N\delta} |y|^{\alpha-d} 2f_\delta(z-y) dm(y) \geq 1 \quad \text{if } z \in E \cap B(x, \delta).$$

Thus

$$\int_{|y| \leq N\delta} |y|^{\alpha-d} |y|^{d-\beta} |y|^{\beta-d} 2f_\delta(z-y) dm(y) \geq 1 \quad \text{if } z \in E \cap B(x, \delta).$$

If we use that $r^{\alpha-d} r^{d-\beta}$ is an increasing function for $r > 0$, we find

$$\int |y|^{\beta-d} (N\delta)^{\alpha-\beta} 2f_\delta(z-y) dm(y) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in E \cap B(x, \delta).$$

The definition of $C_{\beta,q}$ and (4.17) give

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\beta,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) &\leq 2^q N^{q(\alpha-\beta)} \delta^{\alpha q - \beta q} \|f_\delta\|_q^q \\ &\leq 2^q N^{q(\alpha-\beta)} \delta^{\alpha q - d} \delta^{d-\beta q} (C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) + \varepsilon C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))). \end{aligned}$$

Since ε may be chosen arbitrarily small, we find

$$\frac{C_{\beta,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \leq 2^q N^{q(\alpha-\beta)} \frac{C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\alpha q}},$$

which gives the lemma.

Lemma 4.11. *Let E be a Borel set. Suppose that g is an increasing function such that*

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{g(\delta)}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \right\}^{p-1} \frac{d\delta}{\delta} < \infty.$$

Then $C_{\beta,q}$ -a.e. on E

$$\overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\beta,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{g(\delta)} = \infty.$$

Proof. See L. I. Hedberg [5], Theorem 8.

Lemma 4.12. *Let E be a Borel set. Suppose that $q > 2 - \beta/d$. Then $C_{\beta,q}$ -a.e. on E*

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{C_{\beta,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \right\}^{p-1} \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \infty.$$

Proof. See L. I. Hedberg [5], Theorem 4 and Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Put $E(C_{\alpha,q}; \delta^{d-\beta q}) = E_0$. It is enough to prove that $C_{\beta,q}$ -a.e. on $E \cup E_0$.

$$(4.18) \quad \overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{h(\delta) C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))} = \infty.$$

Let $x \in E \cup E_0$ be fixed. The function $g(\delta) = h(\delta) \delta^{d-\beta q}$ fulfils the assumptions in Lemma 4.11. Lemma 4.7. gives that $E \cup E_0$ is a Borel set. Lemma 4.11 now shows that we may assume that

$$(4.19) \quad \overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{\beta,q}((E \cup E_0) \cap B(x, \delta))}{h(\delta) \delta^{d-\beta q}} = \infty.$$

Lemma 4.9. (ii) gives the existence of a set O_δ such that $C_{\beta,q}(O_\delta) = 0$ and

$$(4.20) \quad C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = C_{\alpha,q}((E \cup (E_0 \setminus O_\delta)) \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

Applying that $C_{\beta,q}(O_\delta) = 0$, Lemma 4.10 and (4.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{\beta,q}((E \cup E_0) \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} &= \frac{C_{\beta,q}((E \cup (E_0 \setminus O_\delta)) \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \\ &\cong \frac{AC_{\alpha,q}((E \cup (E_0 \setminus O_\delta)) \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))} = \frac{AC_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{C_{\beta,q}((E \cup E_0) \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \cong \frac{AC_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))}.$$

Now (4.19) immediately gives (4.18).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Put $E(C_{\alpha,q}; \delta^{d-\beta q}) = E_0$. It is enough to prove that $C_{\beta,q}$ -a.e. on $E \cup E_0$

$$(4.21) \quad \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{C_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))} \right\}^{p-1} \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \infty.$$

Let $x \in E \cup E_0$ be fixed. Lemma 4.7 gives that $E \cup E_0$ is a Borel set. Applying Lemma 4.12 we may assume that

$$(4.22) \quad \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{C_{\alpha,q}((E \cup E_0) \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \right\}^{p-1} \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \infty.$$

In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we have for all δ

$$\frac{C_{\beta,q}((E \cup E_0) \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^{d-\beta q}} \cong \frac{AC_{\alpha,q}(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{C_{\alpha,q}(B(x, \delta))}.$$

Now (4.22) gives (4.21).

References

1. BAGBY, T. & ZIEMER, W. P., Pointwise differentiability and absolute continuity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **191** 129—148 (1974).
2. FERNSTRÖM, C., *On the instability of capacity*. Uppsala Univ. Dept. of Math. Report No. 1976:4 (1976).
3. GONČAR, A. A., On the approximation of continuous functions by harmonic functions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **154** 503—506 (1964). (*Soviet Math. Dokl.* **5**, 105-109 (1964).)
4. GONČAR, A. A., On the property of instability of harmonic capacity. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **165** 479—481 (1965). (*Soviet Math. Dokl.* **6**, 1458—1460 (1965).)
5. HEDBERG, L. I., Non-linear potentials and approximation in the mean by analytic functions. *Math. Z.* **129** 299—319 (1972).
6. LYSENKO, JU. A. & PISAREVSKIĬ, B. M., Instability of harmonic capacity and approximations of continuous functions by harmonic functions. *Mat. Sb.* **76** (118), 52—71 (1968). (*Math. USSR—Sb.* **5** 53—72 (1968).)
7. MEYERS, N. G., A theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes. *Math. Scand.* **26** 255—292 (1970).
8. VITUŠKIN, A. G., The analytic capacity of sets in problems of approximation theory. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **22** 141—199 (1967). (*Russian Math. Surveys* **22** 139—200 (1967).)

Received August 30, 1976

C. Fernström
 Institut Mittag-Leffler
 Aurav. 17
 S-182 62 Djursholm
 Sweden