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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall discuss the following problem. Suppose $u$ is subharmonic in a domain $D \subset R^{n}, n \geqq 3$. Let $E \subset \partial D$ be a closed set and suppose that $\lim \sup _{P \rightarrow Q} u(P) \leqq 0$ for all $Q \in \partial D-E$. In what way must the growth of $u$ near $\partial D$ be related to the size of $E$ in order that it should follow that $u \leqq 0$ ? In the case when $E$ consists of a single point this is answered by the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorems (for a treatment of these, see [6]). In the case when $u$ is bounded from above it follows from [4] that if $D$ is a Lipschitz domain and $E$ is of vanishing ( $n-1$ )-dimensional Hausdorff measure then $u \leq 0$. The case when $n=2$ can by the conformal mapping technique be reduced to a study of the situation in the unit disc, for which more can be said, see [5]. Therefore we assume from now on that $n \geqq 3$.

We recall that a bounded domain $D \subset R^{n}$ is called a Lipschitz domain if to each point $Q \in \partial D$ there is a coordinate system $(\xi, \eta), \xi \in R^{n-1}, \eta \in R$, a Lipschitz function $\varphi$ in $R^{n-1}$ (i.e. $\sup _{x \neq y}|x-y|^{-1}|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|<\infty$ ) and a neighbourhood $V$ of $Q$ such that $D \cap V=\{(\xi, \eta): \varphi(\xi)<\eta\} \cap V$. If $E \subset R^{n}$ we denote by $\omega(\cdot, E)$ the harmonic measure of the set $E \cap \partial D$ with respect to $D$. For the properties of $\omega$ see [8, Chapter 8]. If $Q \in D$ we put

$$
\Lambda(\varrho)=\sup \left\{\omega(Q, B(P, \varrho)): P \in R^{n}\right\} .
$$

(Sometimes we will write $\Lambda(\varrho, Q, D)$ ). Notice that if $K \subset D$ is a compact set then it follows from Harnack's inequality that there is a number $C_{K}<\infty$ such that $\sup \left\{\Lambda\left(\varrho, Q_{1}\right) / \Lambda\left(\varrho, Q_{2}\right): Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in K\right\} \leqq C_{K}$ for all $\varrho>0$. In $\S 4$ we give estimates of $\Lambda$. Let $d(P)$ denote the distance from $P$ to $\partial D$. If $u$ is a function in $D$ we define

$$
M(\varrho)=\sup \left\{u^{+}(P): d(P)>\varrho\right\},
$$

where $u^{+}=\max (u, 0)$.

Theorem. Let $D$ be a Lipschitz domain in $R^{n}, n \geqq 3$, and let $F \subset \partial D$ be a closed set of vanishing $\alpha$-dimensional Haudorff measure, where $0<\alpha<n-1$. Let $u$ be subharmonic in $D$ and suppose $\lim \sup _{P \rightarrow Q} u(P) \leqq 0$ for all $Q \in \partial D-F$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(\varrho) M(\varrho)=O\left(\varrho^{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \varrho \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u \leqq 0$.
We remark that for sufficiently regular domains (see §4) we have the estimate $c_{1} \varrho^{n-1} \leqq \Lambda(\varrho) \leqq c_{2} \varrho^{n-1}$ where $c_{1}>0$. Hence in this case condition (1.1) equivalent to the condition $M(\varrho)=O\left(\varrho^{\alpha+1-n}\right)$ as $\varrho \rightarrow 0$.

In this case the theorem is sharp as the following proposition shows.
Proposition. Let $B$ be the unit ball in $R^{n}, n \geqq 2$. If $0<\alpha<n-1$ and $E \subset \partial B$ is a closed set of positive $\alpha$-dimensional Hausdorff measure then there is a harmonic function $u$ in $B$ such that $u(0)=1, \lim _{P \rightarrow Q} u(P)=0$ for all $Q \in \partial B-E$ and

$$
M(\varrho)=O\left(\varrho^{\alpha+1-n}\right)
$$

## 2. Technical preliminaries

We start with the following observation. There is a number $C=C(n)$ such that each ball in $R^{n}$ of radius $2 \varrho$ can be covered by $C(n)$ balls of radius $\varrho$. From the definition of $\Lambda$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(2 \varrho, Q) \leqq C A(\varrho, Q) \quad \text { for all } \quad Q \in D \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need the following elementary estimate for harmonic measure.
Lemma 1. Let $D$ be a Lipschitz domain in $R^{n}, n \geqq 3$. Then there is a number $C=C(D)>0$ such that if $P \in \partial D, \varrho>0$ and $Q \in B(P, \varrho) \cap D$ we have $\omega(Q, B(P, 2 \varrho)) \geqq C$.

Proof. Since $D$ is a Lipschitz domain there are numbers $R$ and $\alpha, R>0,0<\alpha<$ $<\pi / 2$ such that to each point $P \in \partial D$ there exists a cone $K_{P}$ with vertex at $P$, congruent to $K=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}: x_{1} \geqq(\cos \alpha)|x|\right\}$ with the property that $K_{P} \cap$ $\cap \overline{B(P, R)} \subset R^{n}-D$. For $0<\varrho<\frac{1}{2} R$, let $D(P, \varrho)=B(P, 2 \varrho)-K_{P}$. If $\omega^{\prime}$ denotes the harmonic measure of $\partial D(P, \varrho) \cap B(P, 2 \varrho)$ with respect to $D(P, \varrho)$ then the maximum principle implies that $\omega^{\prime}(Q) \leqq \omega(Q, B(P, 2 \varrho))$ for all $Q \in B(P, \varrho) \cap D$. A change of scale shows that $\inf \left\{\omega^{\prime}(Q): Q \in B(P, \varrho) \cap D(P, \varrho)\right\}$ is independent of $P$ and $\varrho$ and hence the lemma follows.

We shall need an estimate for the Green function of $D$.

Lemma 2. Let $D$ be as in Lemma $I$ and let $G$ be the Green function of $D$. If $P^{\prime} \in D$ there is a number $C=C\left(P^{\prime}, D\right)$ such that if $0<\varrho<\frac{1}{3} d\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ then

$$
\varrho^{n-2} \sup \left\{G\left(P, P^{\prime}\right): d(P) \leqq \varrho\right\} \leqq C A\left(\varrho, P^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Put $B^{\prime}(P)=B\left(P, \frac{1}{2} d(P)\right)$ for $P \in D$. Since $G(P, Q) \leqq|P-Q|^{2-n}$ it follows that $d(P)^{n-2} \sup \left\{G(P, Q): Q \in \partial B^{\prime}(P)\right\} \leqq 2^{n-2}$. Pick a point $P^{*} \in \partial D$ such that $d(P)=\left|P-P^{*}\right|$. Since $B\left(P^{*}, 2 d(P)\right) \supset \overline{B^{\prime}(P)}$, there is by Lemma 1 a number $C_{1}=C_{\mathbf{1}}(D)>0$ such that $\omega\left(Q, B\left(P^{*}, 4 d(P)\right)\right) \geqq C_{\mathbf{1}}$ for $Q \in \overline{B^{\prime}(P)}$. The maximum principle now implies $C_{1} d(P)^{n-2} G(P, Q) \leqq 2^{n-2} \omega\left(Q, B\left(P^{*}, 4 d(P)\right)\right.$ ) for all $Q \in D-\overline{B^{\prime}(P)}$ and the lemma follows.

We will need estimates for the harmonic measure of certain sets, which we shall now describe. For $m>0$ let $L(m)$ be the set of all functions $\varphi: R^{n-1} \rightarrow R$ such that $\varphi(0)=0$ and $|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leqq m|x-y|$. For $a>0, r>0$ let $\Sigma=\Sigma(\varphi, r, a)=$ $\{(x, y): \varphi(x)<y<\varphi(x)+a(|x|-r), \quad r<|x|<2 r\} . \quad$ Let $\quad \Gamma=\Gamma(\varphi, r, a)=\partial \Sigma \cap$ $\cap\{(x, y):|x|=2 r\}$.

Lemma 3. If $m>0$ and $a>0$ are given, then there are numbers $C=C(a, m)$ and $\lambda=\lambda(a)$ with the following properties. If $\varphi \in L(m), r>0$ and $r<\varrho<2 r$ then

$$
\sup \{\omega(P): P=(x, y) \in \Sigma(\varphi, r, a) \text { and }|x|=\varrho\} \leqq C\left(\varrho r^{-1}-1\right)^{\lambda}
$$

where $\omega$ is the harmonic measure of $\Gamma(\varphi, r, a)$ with respect to $\Sigma(\varphi, r, a)$. In addition $\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \lambda(a)=\infty$.

Proof. Since the assertion is invariant under changes of scale, it is sufficient to prove it for the case $r=1$. We extend $\omega$ to all of $R^{n}$ by putting $\omega=0$ outside $\Sigma$. Let $S$ be the unit sphere in $R^{n-2}$. We now define

$$
m(s)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{S} \omega^{2}(s \theta, y) d \theta d y
$$

We claim there is a function $\lambda^{\prime}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(s) \leqq A(s-1)^{\lambda^{\prime}(a)}, \quad 1<s<2 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the area of $\Gamma$. We will show (2.2) by using the Carleman method, see [6]. We first make the assumption that $\varphi$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $\{x:|x|<3\}$. From [1] follows that $\omega \mid \Sigma$ has a smooth extension across $\partial \Sigma-\bar{\Gamma}$. Hence we can differentiate $m$ and we find by the Green formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{\prime}(s) & =2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{s}[(\partial / \partial s) \omega(s \theta, y)] \omega(s \theta, y) d \theta d y= \\
& =2 \int_{1}^{s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{s}|\nabla \omega(t \theta, y)|^{2} d \theta d y d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\nabla \omega$ denotes the gradient of $\omega$. Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
m^{\prime \prime}(s)=2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{S}|\nabla \omega(s \theta, y)|^{2} d \theta d y \\
\geqq 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{s}[(\partial / \partial y) \omega(s \theta, y)]^{2} d \theta d y+ \\
+2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{S}[(\partial / \partial s) \omega(s \theta, y)]^{2} d \theta d y=B_{1}(s)+B_{2}(s)
\end{gathered}
$$

From Hölder's inequality we obtain $\left(m^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} \leqq 2 m(s) B_{2}(s)$. Since the function $y \rightarrow \omega(s \theta, y), \quad 1<s<2, \quad \theta \in S$, equals zero outside an interval of length $a(s-1)$, it follows from Wirtinger's inequality [7, Chapter 7] that $B_{1}(s) \geqq 2 \pi^{2}(s-1)^{-2} a^{-2} m(s)$. Using these estimates we find $2 m^{\prime \prime}(s) / m(s) \geqq 4 \pi^{2} a^{-2}(s-1)^{-2}+\left(m^{\prime}(s) / m(s)\right)^{2}$, which implies

$$
m^{\prime \prime}(s) \geqq 2 \pi a^{-1}(s-1)^{-1} m^{\prime}(s), \quad 1<s<2 .
$$

We notice $\lim _{s \rightarrow 1} m(s)=0$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 2} m(s)=A$. Hence $m(s) \leqq A(s-1)^{\lambda^{\prime}(a)}$, where $\lambda^{\prime}(a)=1+2 \pi a^{-1}$ and inequality (2.2) is proved for the case when $\varphi$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $\{|x|<3\}$.

If $\varphi \in L(m)$ and not assumed $C^{\infty}$ we can pick functions $\varphi_{i} \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{n-1}\right)$ such that $\sup \left\{\left|\nabla \varphi_{i}(x)\right|: x \in R^{n-1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots\right\}<\infty, \quad \varphi_{i}(0)=0$, and $\varphi_{i}$ converges to $\varphi$ uniformly on compact sets. If $A_{i}$ is the area of $\Gamma\left(\varphi_{i}, 1, a\right)$ and $\omega_{i}$ denotes the harmonic measure of $\Gamma\left(\varphi_{i}, 1, a\right)$ with respect to $\Sigma\left(\varphi_{i}, 1, a\right)$ then $A_{i} \rightarrow A$ and $\omega_{i}(P) \rightarrow \omega(P)$ for each $P \in \Sigma(\varphi, 1, a)$. Hence (2.2) follows.

Let $M(s)=\max \{\omega(x, y):(x, y) \in \Sigma$ and $|x|=s\}$ where $1<s<2$. We notice that we find a number $c^{\prime}, 0<c^{\prime}<1 / 2$, only depending on $m$ and such that if $\xi \in R^{n-1}$ and $1<|\xi|<3 / 2$ then $B_{\xi}^{\prime} \subset \Sigma(\varphi, 1, a)$, where $B_{\xi}^{\prime}$ is the ball with center in $P_{\xi}=$ $=(\xi, 1 / 2 a(|\xi|-1))$ and radius $c^{\prime}(|\xi|-1)$. We next choose a number $c, 0<c<c^{\prime}$ such that $D_{\xi}=\{(x, y):|x-\xi|<c(|\xi|-1), \varphi(x)<y<\varphi(x)+a(|x|-1)\}$ is star-shaped with respect to $P_{\xi}$. This number can be taken to depend only on $a$ and $m$. Hence it follows from [9, Lemma 2] that there is a number $C$, only depending on $a$ and $m$ such that if $u$ is a non-negative harmonic function in $D_{\xi}$, with vanishing boundary values on $\partial D_{\xi} \cap\{(x, y):|x-\xi|<c(|\xi|-1)\}$ then $\sup \{u(\xi, t): \varphi(\xi)<t<\varphi(\xi)+$ $+a(|\xi|-1)\} \leqq C u\left(P_{\xi}\right)$.

Letting $1<s<3 / 2$, let us now choose $\xi \in R^{n-1},|\xi|=s$, such that $M(s)=$ $\omega(\xi, \eta)$ for some $\eta, \varphi(\xi)<\eta>\varphi(\xi)+a(s-1)$. From the reasoning above it follows that $m(s) \leqq C \omega\left(P_{\xi}\right)$, where $C$ can be taken to depend only on $a$ and $m$. Let $B_{\xi}$ be the ball with center $P_{\xi}$ and radius $c(|\xi|-1)$. Then $\bar{B}_{\zeta} \subset D_{\xi} \subset \Sigma$.

Since $\omega^{2}$ is subharmonic in $\Sigma$, it follows there is a constant $C=C(a, m)$ such
that

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{2}(s) \leqq C(s-1)^{-n} \int_{B_{\xi}} \omega^{2}(P) d P \\
\leqq C(s-1)^{-1} \int_{|t-s| \leqq c(s-1)} t^{n-2} m(t) d t \leqq C(s-1)^{-n+\lambda^{\prime}(a)+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the lemma is proved.

## 3. The main result

We can now prove our main result.
Proof of the theorem. Let $u$ and $D$ be as in the theorem. We start with the following observation. Since $D$ is a Lipschitz domain we can find a finite number of open sets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{N}$ such that $\partial D \subset \cup V_{i}$ and to each $i$ there is an coordinate system $(\xi, \eta) \quad \xi \in R^{n-1}, \eta \in R$, a Lipschitz function $\varphi_{i}$ in $R^{n-1}$ such that $D \cap V_{i}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{(\xi, \eta): \varphi_{i}(\xi)<\eta\right\} \cap V_{i}^{\prime}$ where $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is an open set such that $V_{i}^{\prime} \supset \bar{V}_{i}$. For $Q \in \partial D$ we let $I(Q)$ denote the largest index $j$ for which $Q \in V_{j}$. If $I(Q)=i$ we define for $a>0, r>0$ the open set $N(Q, r, a)$ in the following way. Let $Q=\left(\xi_{0}, \varphi_{i}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right)$. We now put $M(Q, r, a)=\left\{(\xi, \eta):\left|\xi-\xi_{0}\right| \leqq 2 r, \quad \varphi_{i}(\xi)+a\left(\left|\xi-\xi_{0}\right|-r\right)^{+}<\eta<\varphi(\xi)+a r\right\}$. Under our assumptions there is a number $r_{0}$ such that $M(Q, r, a) \subset D$ for all $r, 0<$ $r<r_{0}=r_{0}(a, D)$. For $0<r<r_{0}$ we define $N(Q, r, a)=D-\overline{M(Q, r, a)}$. For an integer $m \geqq 2$ we also define $E(m)=E(m, Q, r, a)$ as the set $\left\{(\xi, \eta): 2^{-m-1} r \leqq\right.$ $\left|\xi-\xi_{0}\right|-r<2^{-m} r, \varphi(\xi)+a\left(\left|\xi-\xi_{0}\right|-r\right)$. Finally, let $\omega_{m}$ denote the harmonic measure of $E(m)$ with respect to $N(Q, r, a)$. We claim that if $P_{0} \in D$ then there are numbers $C=C\left(a, D, P_{0}\right), r_{1}=r_{1}\left(a, D, P_{0}\right)$ and a function $\sigma: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{m}\left(P_{0}\right) \leqq C 2^{-m \sigma(a)} \Lambda\left(r, P_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad 0<r<r_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \sigma(a)=\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3.1) we note there is no loss of generality in assuming $\xi_{0}=0$ and $\varphi_{i}(0)=0$. We put for $r<|\xi|<2 r, Q_{\xi}=\left(\varphi_{i}(\xi), a(|\xi|-r)\right)$. An inspection now shows there are numbers $c=c(a, D)$ and $r_{2}=r_{2}(a, D)$ such that if $0<r<r_{2}$ and if $Q_{\xi} \in E(m, Q, r, a), r \geqq 2$, then $B\left(Q_{\xi}, 2 c r 2^{-m}\right) \subset N(Q, r, 2 a)$. Letting $G^{\prime}$ denote the Green function of $N(Q, r, 2 a)$ we now see there is a number $C=C(a, D)$ such that if $\left|P-Q_{\xi}\right| \leqq c r 2^{-m}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C r^{n-2} 2^{-m(n-2)} G^{\prime}\left(P, Q_{\xi}\right) \geqq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h$ denotes the harmonic measure of $B\left(Q_{\xi}, c 2^{-m} r\right) \cap \partial N(Q, r, a)$ with respect to $N(Q, r, a)$ it follows from (3.2) and the maximum principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(P_{0}\right) \leqq C r^{n-2} 2^{-m(n-2)} G^{\prime}\left(P_{0}, Q_{\xi}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 3 follows $G^{\prime}\left(P_{0}, Q_{\xi}\right) \leqq C 2^{-m \lambda(a)} m(r)$ where $\lambda(a) \rightarrow \infty$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ and $m(r)=\sup \left\{G^{\prime}\left(P_{0}, Q\right): Q \in \Gamma\left(\varphi_{i}, 2 r, a\right)\right\}$. Since $G^{\prime} \leqq G$, where $G$ is the Green func-
tion of $D$, it follows from Lemma 2 that $r^{n-2} m(r) \leqq C A\left(r, P_{0}\right)$ for $r$ sufficiently small. We note there is a constant $C$, such that to all $m \geqq 2$ we can find points $\xi_{i}, 2^{-m} r \leqq$ $\left|\xi_{i}\right|<2^{1-m} r, \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq C 2^{m(n-2)} \quad$ such that $E(m, Q, r, a) \subset \cup B\left(Q_{\xi_{i}}, c 2^{-m} r\right)$. This yields (3.1).

We can now complete the proof of the Theorem. Our assumptions mean that to all $\varepsilon>0$ we can find points $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{M}$ in $F$ and numbers $\varepsilon_{i}, 0<\varepsilon_{i}<\varepsilon$ such that $F \subset \bigcup_{1}^{M} B\left(Q_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \varepsilon_{i}^{\chi} \leqq \varepsilon \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $D^{\prime}=\bigcap_{1}^{M} N\left(Q_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, a\right)$ and let $P_{0} \in D$, where we will choose $a$ later. If $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small then $P_{\mathbf{0}} \in D^{\prime}$. It is now convenient to split $\partial D^{\prime}$ into different parts. Let for $m \geqq 2, \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq M, \quad A_{m, i}=\partial D^{\prime} \cap E\left(m, Q_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, a\right), \quad A_{1, i}=\partial D^{\prime} \cap$ $\cap M\left(Q_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, a\right)-\left(\bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} A_{m, i}\right)$. We put $\mu_{m, i}=\sup \left\{u^{+}(P): P \in \dot{A}_{m, i}\right\}$ and let $h_{m, i}$ denote the harmonic measure of $A_{m, i}$ with respect to $N\left(Q_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, a\right)$. Since $u$ is bounded from above in $D^{\prime}$ the maximum principle gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{+}\left(P_{0}\right) \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu_{m, i} h_{m, i}\left(P_{0}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see there is a number $c=\beta=\beta(a, D)$ such that the distance between $A_{m, i}$ and $\partial D$ is greater that $\beta 2^{-m} \varepsilon_{i}$. From Lemma 2 and (3.1) follows the existence of a constant $C=C\left(a, D, P_{0}\right)$ such that $h_{m, i}\left(P_{0}\right) \leqq C 2^{-m \sigma(a)} A\left(\varepsilon_{i}, P_{0}\right)$, Using (2.1) we find $h_{m, i}\left(P_{0}\right) \leqq c^{m+1} 2^{-m \sigma(a)} \Lambda\left(\beta 2^{-m} \varepsilon_{i}, P_{0}\right)$. From this and our assumption on $u$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{+}\left(P_{0}\right) \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c^{m+1} 2^{-m \sigma(a)} M\left(\beta 2^{-m} \varepsilon_{i}\right) \Lambda\left(\beta 2^{-m} \varepsilon_{i}, P_{0}\right) \\
\leqq C \sum_{i=1}^{M} \varepsilon_{i}^{\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c^{m} 2^{-m \sigma(a)-m \alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

We now pick $a$ so small that the last sum converges. With this choice of $a$ it follows from (3.4) that $u^{+}\left(P_{0}\right) \leqq C \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. Since $P_{0}$ was arbitrary in $D$ it follows that $u \leqq 0$ and the theorem is proved.

We shall now prove Proposition 1.
Proof of Proposition 1. Let $B$ be the unit ball of $R^{n}$ and let $P(\cdot, y)$ be the Poisson kernel for $B$ with pole at $y \in \partial B$. If $x \in B-\{0\}$ let $x^{*}=\frac{x}{|x|}$. From the explicit representation of $P$, see [8, Chapter 1] if follows that

$$
P(x, y) \leqq C d(x) /\left(\left|y-x^{*}\right|+d(x)\right)^{n}
$$

If $E \subset \partial B$ is a closed set of positive $\alpha$-dimensional Hausdorff measure, $0<\alpha<n-1$, if follows from [3, p. 7] that there is a probability measure $\mu$ with support in $E$ such that $\mu(B(x, r)) \leqq C r^{\alpha}$ for all $x \in R^{n}$ and $r>0$. Let $v(x)=\int P(x, y) d \mu(y)$. Then
$v$ is non-negative and harmonic in $B$, and $\lim _{P \rightarrow Q} v(P)=0$ for all $Q \in \partial B-E$. If $x \neq 0$ then

$$
u(x) \leqq C d(x) \int\left(\left|y-x^{*}\right|+d(x)\right)^{-n} d \mu(y)
$$

Putting $g(t)=\mu\left(B\left(x^{*}, r\right)\right)$, an integration by parts shows

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x)=C d(x) \int_{0}^{\infty}(t+d(x))^{-n-1} g(t) d t \leqq C d(x) \int_{0}^{\infty}(t+d(x))^{-n-1} t^{\alpha} d t \\
=C(n, \alpha) d(x)^{\alpha-n+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the proposition is proved.

## 4. Concluding remarks

In this section we shall discuss estimates of $\Lambda(\varrho)$. To begin with we notice that if $D$ is a Lipschitz domain, then to each $P \in D$ there is a constant $c=c(D, P)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(\varrho, P) \supseteqq c \varrho^{n-1}, \quad 0<\varrho<1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For otherwise $\lim \inf _{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \varrho^{1-n} \Lambda(\varrho, P)=0$. Letting $\sigma$ denote the surface measure of $\partial D$ it is easity seen that there is a $c>0$ such that if $Q \in \partial D$ and $0<r<1$ then $\sigma(B(Q, r)) \geqq c r^{n-1}$. Hence we would have $\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega(P, B(Q, r))}{\sigma(B(Q, r))}=0$ for all $Q \in \partial D$. Arguing as in [10, Theorem 14.5] this would mean $\omega=0$. This contradiction establishes (4.1).

Let $0<\theta<\pi / 2$ and put $K_{\theta}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): x_{1} \geqq|x| \cos \theta\right\}$. We say that a Lipschitz domain is $\theta$-regular if for all points $Q \in \partial D$ there is a cone $\Gamma_{Q}$ congruent to $K_{\theta}$ and with vertex at $Q$ such that $\Gamma_{Q} \subset R^{n}-D$. Let $\lambda_{\theta}(r)=\omega\left(e, B(0, r), R^{n}-K_{\theta}\right)$, where $e=(-1,0, \ldots, 0)$. From Lemma 2 and the maximum principle it now follows that $\omega(P, B(Q, r), D) \leqq C \omega\left(P, B(Q, 2 r), R^{n}-K_{\theta}\right\}$ for all $Q \in \partial D$ and all $P \in D$. Harnack's inequality now shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(\varrho, P, D) \leqq C \lambda_{\theta}(\varrho) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ can be taken to depend only on $P, D$ and $\theta$. Estimates for $\lambda_{\theta}$ can be read off from the estimates for Green functions for cones in [2]. We omit the details but it follows there is to each $\theta, 0<\theta<\pi / 2$ a number $h(\theta)<n-1$ such that

$$
\lambda_{\theta}(\varrho)=O\left(\varrho^{h(\theta)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \varrho \rightarrow 0
$$

and $h(\theta) \rightarrow n-1$ as $\theta \rightarrow \pi / 2$.

If there is a number $R>0$ such that to each point $Q \in \partial D$ there is a closed ball $B_{Q}$ with the property that $B_{Q} \subset R^{n}-D$ and $B_{Q} \cap \partial D \supset\{Q\}$ we find, using the arguments leading to (4.2)

$$
\Lambda(\varrho, P, D) \leqq C \lambda(\varrho)
$$

where $\lambda(\varrho)=\omega\left(e, B(0, r), B^{\prime}\right), e=(-1,0, \ldots, 0), B^{\prime}=\{P:|P+e|<1\}$. Since $\lambda(\varrho) \leqq$ $C \varrho^{n-1}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(\varrho, P, D) \leqq C \varrho^{n-1} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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