# Removable sets of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition

Nguyen Xuan Uy\*

### 1. Introduction

Let *E* be a compact subset of the complex plane and let  $\Omega$  be the complement of *E* with respect to the extended plane. For  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , we denote by  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}(\Omega)$  the set of bounded analytic functions defined on  $\Omega$  and satisfying a Lipschitz condition of order  $\alpha$ , i.e., if  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}(\Omega)$ , then  $|f(z)-f(w)| \le C_{f}|z-w|^{\alpha}$  for any *z* and *w* in  $\Omega$ . We denote the union of all  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}(\Omega)$  by  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}$ . We say that *E* is removable for  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}$  if the associated  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}(\Omega)$  consists only of the constants.

The problem of characterizing the removable sets of  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}$  has been investigated in several papers, for example [1], [2], [4] and [6]. For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , Dolženko has obtained the following result (see [2]). In order that E be removable for  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}^{a}$ it is necessary and sufficient that the  $(1+\alpha)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure  $\Lambda_{1+\alpha}(E)=0$ .

The limiting case  $\alpha = 1$  is particularly interesting and is treated in this paper. The main techniques we use here involve extremal problems and singular integrals. We obtain the following characterization for removable sets of  $\text{Lip}_1^a$ . A compact set E is removable for  $\text{Lip}_1^a$  if and only if the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure m(E)=0. This is the main result of the present paper. It should be mentioned that the implication  $m(E)=0 \Rightarrow E$  removable for  $\text{Lip}_1^a$  is well known (see e.g. Garnett [4], Chapter III, Section § 2.)

Finally, by using the techniques introduced in Section 3, we obtain an additional result concerning singular integrals. This result is included in Section 5.

<sup>\*</sup> This research was partially supported by SUNY Faculty Research Fellowship.

#### 2. Definitions and notations

Let  $\{\chi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  be an approximate identity, where  $\chi_{\varepsilon}(z) = \chi(z/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon^2$  and  $\chi$  is in the set  $\mathscr{D}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  of infinitely differentiable functions with compact supports. Furthermore, we will assume that  $\chi$  satisfies the following properties.

- (i)  $\chi \ge 0$ , supp  $\chi \subset D(0, 1) = \{z : |z| \le 1\}$ .
- (ii)  $\chi$  is radial, i.e.,  $\chi(re^{i\theta}) = \chi(r)$  for all real  $\theta$ .
- (iii)  $\iint \chi(z) dm(z) = 1.$

If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we define

$$f_{\varepsilon}(z) = \chi_{\varepsilon} * f(z) = \int \int \chi_{\varepsilon}(z-\zeta) f(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

Similarly, for any finite Borel measure  $\mu$ , we set

$$\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \chi_{\varepsilon} * \mu(z) = \int \int \chi_{\varepsilon}(z-\zeta) \, d\mu(\zeta).$$

Now we recall the following standard notations.

 $C_0(\mathbf{R}^2)$  = the set of all continuous functions defined on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which vanish at  $\infty$ .  $M(\mathbf{R}^2)$  = the set of finite Borel measures defined on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

If E is an arbitrary compact set we define

C(E) = the set of all continuous functions defined on E.

M(E) = the set of all finite Borel measures supported on E.

Consider the direct sums  $C(E) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $M(E) \oplus M(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . The norms in these spaces are defined respectively as follows.

$$\|(\varphi, \psi)\| = \max \{ \|\varphi\|_{\infty}, \|\psi\|_{\infty} \}, \quad (\varphi, \psi) \in C(E) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}^2).$$
$$\|(\mu, \nu)\| = \|\mu\| + \|\nu\|, \quad (\mu, \nu) \in M(E) \oplus M(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Then  $C(E) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a Banach space and its dual is  $M(E) \oplus M(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . The terms on the right hand side of the second equality denote the total variations of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

We shall also be involved in a particular type of singular integrals defined as follows. If  $1 \le p < \infty$  and if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then we put

$$Bf(z) = P.V. \int \int \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^2} dm(\zeta).$$

Similarly, for any measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{R}^2)$  we define

$$B\mu(z) = P.V. \int \int \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2}$$

It is well known that these singular integrals exist almost everywhere and, further-

more, there are absolute constants  $A_p > 0$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , such that

(2.1) 
$$\|Bf\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad (1$$

(2.2) 
$$m(\lbrace z \colon |B\mu(z)| > \lambda \rbrace) \leq \frac{A_1 \|\mu\|}{\lambda}, \quad \mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

For proofs of this and further results see [5], [7]. Note that property (2.2) has only been proved for  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -functions. The above extension for measures follows easily by using a standard technique of truncation and convolution.

Finally, we need the following result which is obtained from Green's theorem

(2.3) 
$$B\varphi(z) = \int \int \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}(\zeta) \frac{dm(\zeta)}{\zeta - z}, \quad \varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

#### 3. Extremal problems

Suppose E is an arbitrary compact set with m(E) > 0 and  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We denote by  $\Gamma(E)$  the set of all functions  $h \in L^{\infty}(E)$  such that  $\|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $\|Bh\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  and set

(3.1) 
$$\mathscr{C}_f(E) = \sup_{h \in \Gamma(E)} \left| \iint h(z) f(z) \, dm(z) \right|.$$

If the set *E* has a boundary consisting of a finite number of analytic Jordan curves, we denote by  $\mathscr{D}(E)$  the set of those functions in  $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with support contained in *E*, and define

(3.2) 
$$\mathscr{C}_{f}^{*}(E) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(E) \cap \Gamma(E)} \left| \iint \varphi(z) f(z) \, dm(z) \right|.$$

Now we recall the following simple but useful corollary of the Hahn-Banach theorem. If X is a Banach space and M is a subspace of X, then for any  $L \in X^*$  we have

$$\sup_{x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1} |L(x)| = \inf_{\mathscr{L} \in M^{\perp}} \|L + \mathscr{L}\|,$$

and furthermore, there is always an element of  $M^{\perp}$  for which the infimum is attained. For a proof see e.g. Duren [3], Chapter 7. If we apply this result to  $X=C(E)\oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $M=\{(\varphi, B\varphi): \varphi\in \mathcal{D}(E)\}$  and  $L=(f_E dm, 0)$ , where  $f_E$  is the restriction of f on E, we obtain

(3.3) 
$$\mathscr{C}_{f}^{*}(E) = \min \{ \|f_{E} dm + \mu\| + \|v\| \},\$$

where the minimum is taken over all elements  $(\mu, \nu) \in M(E) \oplus M(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying

the relation

(3.4) 
$$\int \varphi(z) \, d\mu(z) + \int B\varphi(z) \, d\nu(z) = 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathscr{D}(E).$$

We shall call any such element which minimizes (3.3) an extremal element.

**Lemma 3.5.** If the boundary  $\partial E$  is a finite union of analytic Jordan curves, then there exists a function  $h \in \Gamma(E)$  such that

$$\mathscr{C}_f^*(E) = \iint h(z)f(z)\,dm(z).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varphi_n\}$  be a sequence contained in  $\mathscr{D}(E) \cap \Gamma(E)$  with

$$\iint \varphi_n(z) f(z) \, dm(z)$$

converging to  $\mathscr{C}_{f}^{*}(E)$ . Since  $\|\varphi_{n}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  (n=1, 2, ...), we may assume (by passing to a subsequence if necessary) that there exists a function  $h \in L^{\infty}(E)$  such that  $\|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow h$  in the weak-star topology of  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ . Now let us consider the convex hull  $\operatorname{co}(\{\varphi_{n}\})$  and let  $\{\Phi_{n}\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $\operatorname{co}(\{\varphi_{n}\})$  converging to h in  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ . By property (2.1),  $B\Phi_{n}$  converges to Bh in  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ . Since  $\|B\Phi_{n}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  (n=1, 2, ...), this implies that  $\|Bh\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $h \in \Gamma(E)$ . Hence the lemma is proved.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure. Then we have the following properties.

- (a)  $\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)$  converges to  $\frac{d\mu}{dt}(z)$  almost everywhere.
- (b)  $B\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)$  converges to  $B\mu(z)$  almost everywhere.

**Proof.** (a) is well known. Since (b) follows from (2.2) if  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous, we can suppose  $\mu$  is singular. We consider a point z where  $B\mu(z)$  exists and such that

$$|\mu|(D(z,r))/r^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

In the following, for convenience, we shall delete the symbol P. V. before singular integrals.

With the aid of Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$B\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \int \int \left(\int \chi_{\varepsilon}(\zeta-t) \, d\mu(t)\right) \frac{dm(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^2} = \int \left(\int \int \frac{\chi_{\varepsilon}(\zeta-t)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \, dm(\zeta)\right) d\mu(t).$$

We divide this integral into two parts, over  $\{t: |t-z| > \varepsilon\}$  and  $\{t: |t-z| \le \varepsilon\}$ , and denote the corresponding integrals by  $I_1(z)$  and  $I_2(z)$ . We obtain

$$I_1(z) = \int_{|t-z|>\varepsilon} \left( \int \int \frac{\chi_{\varepsilon}(\zeta-t)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \, dm(\zeta) \right) d\mu(t) = \int_{|t-z|>\varepsilon} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t-z)^2} d\mu(t)$$

because  $1/(\zeta - z)^2$  (as a function of  $\zeta$ ) is analytic in a neighborhood of D (t,  $\varepsilon$ ). Hence  $I_1(z) \rightarrow B\mu(z)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Now, since

$$I_{2}(z) = \int_{|t-z| \leq \varepsilon} \left( \int \int \frac{\chi_{\varepsilon}(\zeta - t)}{(\zeta - z)^{2}} dm(\zeta) \right) d\mu(t)$$
$$= \int_{|t-z| \leq \varepsilon} \left( \int \int \frac{\partial \chi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial z} (\zeta - t) \frac{dm(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} \right) d\mu(t)$$

we obtain

$$|I_2(z)| \leq \frac{2\pi M}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{|t-z| \leq \varepsilon} d|\mu|(t) = \frac{2\pi M}{\varepsilon^2} |\mu|(D(z,\varepsilon)),$$

where  $M = ||\partial \chi / \partial z||_{\infty}$ . Hence  $I_2(z) \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and the lemma follows.

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose that  $\partial E$  is a finite union of analytic Jordan curves. If  $(\mu, \nu) \in M(E) \oplus M(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfies relation (3.4), then we have

$$\frac{d\mu}{dt}(z) = -Bv(z)$$
 a.e. on E.

*Proof.* Let  $E_{\varepsilon} = \{z \in E: \text{ dist } (z, \Omega) > \varepsilon\}$  and consider an arbitrary  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(E_{\varepsilon})$ . Then  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{D}(E)$ , and by (3.4) we have

$$\int \varphi_{\varepsilon}(z) \, d\mu(z) + \int B \varphi_{\varepsilon}(z) \, d\nu(z) = 0.$$

Now, with the aid of Fubini's theorem,

$$\int \varphi_{\varepsilon}(z) \, d\mu(z) = \iint \varphi(z) \, \mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \, dm(z)$$

and

$$\int B\varphi_{\varepsilon}(z) \, dv(z) = \iint B\varphi(z)v_{\varepsilon}(z) \, dm(z) = \iint \varphi(z)Bv_{\varepsilon}(z) \, dm(z).$$
$$\iint \varphi(z)\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \, dm(z) + \iint \varphi(z)Bv_{\varepsilon}(z) \, dm(z) = 0$$

So

$$\iint \varphi(z)\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)\,dm(z) + \iint \varphi(z)Bv_{\varepsilon}(z)\,dm(z) = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(E_{\varepsilon})$ . This implies  $\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = -Bv_{\varepsilon}(z)$  on  $E_{\varepsilon}$ . Letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0, by Lemma 3.6, we obtain  $d\mu/dt(z) = -Bv(z)$  a.e. on E.

## 4. Removable sets of Lip<sub>1</sub><sup>a</sup>.

In this section we prove the result mentioned earlier in the Section 1 concerning removable sets of  $Lip_1^a$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let E be an arbitrary compact set of the complex plane. Then E is removable for  $\operatorname{Lip}_1^a$  if and only if m(E)=0.

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose m(E) > 0. If  $\{E_n\}$  (n=1, 2, ...) is a decreasing sequence of compact sets such that each  $\partial E_n$  is a finite union of analytic Jordan curves and  $E = \bigcap E_n$ , then

$$\mathscr{C}_f(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathscr{C}_f^*(E_n)$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \Gamma(E)$  and let *n* be fixed. Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $h_{\varepsilon} \in \Gamma(E_n) \cap \mathcal{D}(E_n)$  for all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . Thus

$$\left|\iint h(z)f(z)\,dm(z)\right| = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left|\iint h_{\varepsilon}(z)f(z)\,dm(z)\right| \leq \mathscr{C}_{f}^{*}(E_{n})$$

for all *n*. Hence  $\mathscr{C}_f(E) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathscr{C}_f^*(E_n)$ .

Now, by Lemma 3.5, for each *n* there exists  $h_n \in \Gamma(E_n)$  such that

$$\mathscr{C}_f^*(E_n) = \iint h_n(z)f(z)\,dm(z).$$

We see, as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, that  $h_n$  converges to a function  $h \in \Gamma(E)$  in the weak-star topology. Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathscr{C}_f^*(E) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \iint h_n(z)f(z)\,dm(z) = \iint h(z)f(z)\,dm(z) \leq \mathscr{C}_f(E)$$

and the lemma follows.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let E be an arbitrary compact set of positive Lebesgue measure and let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . If  $f \not\equiv 0$  on E, then  $\mathscr{C}_f(E) > 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence of compact sets having the properties mentioned in Lemma 4.2. For each *n*, let  $(\mu_n, \nu_n) \in M(E_n) \oplus M(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be an extremal element of (3.3), so that

$$\mathscr{C}_{f}^{*}(E_{n}) = \|f_{E_{n}} + \mu_{n}\| + \|v_{n}\|.$$

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\mathscr{C}_{f}(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ \|f_{E_{n}} + \mu_{n}\| + \|v_{n}\| \}.$$

Let us assume  $\mathscr{C}_{f}(E)=0$ . Then the above equation implies

(iv) 
$$\iint_{E_n} \left| f(z) + \frac{d\mu_n}{dt}(z) \right| dm(z) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$
  
(v)  $\|\mu_n^s\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to 0$ , where  $\mu_n^s$  is the singular part of  $\mu_n$   
(vi)  $\|\nu_n\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$ .

By (iv)  $Bv_{n_k}(z)$  converges to f(z) a.e. on E for some subsequence  $\{n_k\}$ , because  $d\mu_n/dt(z) = -Bv_n(z)$  a.e. on  $E_n$ . Furthermore, because of (vi) and (2.2),  $Bv_{n_k}$  converges to 0 in the mean. This implies that  $f \equiv 0$  on E. Hence  $\mathscr{C}_f(E)$  must be positive if  $f \not\equiv 0$  on E.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. As we have mentioned earlier, the implication  $m(E) = 0 \Rightarrow E$  removable for Lip<sub>1</sub><sup>a</sup> is well known. However, for the convenience of reference we include a proof of this result.

Suppose then m(E)=0 and let  $F \in \operatorname{Lip}_1^a(\Omega)$ . Let  $z \in \Omega$  and choose a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We cover E by a finite number of squares  $R_j$  with center  $z_j$  and side  $r_j$  such that  $z \in \bigcup R_j$ ,  $R_j^0 \cap R_l^0 = \emptyset$  if  $j \neq l$  and  $\sum r_j^2 < \varepsilon$ . By Cauchy's integral formula we have

$$F(z) = -\sum \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial R_j} \frac{F(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta + F(\infty),$$

where  $\partial R_j$  denotes the boundary of  $R_j$  taken in the positive sense. But, if  $I_3(z)$  denotes the first term on the right hand side of this equation, then

$$I_3(z) = -\sum \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial R_j} \frac{F(\zeta) - F(z_j)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

So

Let

$$|I_3(z)| \leq \sum \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial R_j} \frac{|F(\zeta) - f(z_j)|}{|\zeta - z|} d|\zeta| \leq \sum \frac{C_F r_j^2}{d} \leq \frac{C_F \varepsilon}{d} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0,$$

where  $d = \text{dist}(z, \bigcup_j R_j)$ . Hence F is constant.

Now let us assume that m(E)>0. According to Lemma 4.3, there exists  $h\in\Gamma(E)$  satisfying the property

$$\iint h(z)\,dm(z)>0.$$

$$F(z) = \int \int \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, dm(\zeta)$$

We observe that F is nonconstant, because

$$F'(\infty) = \lim_{z \to \infty} zF(z) = -\iint h(\zeta) \, dm(\zeta) < 0.$$

Furthermore, since

$$F_{\varepsilon}(z) = \int \int \frac{h_{\varepsilon}(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, dm(\zeta),$$

we have  $\partial F_{\epsilon}/\partial z = Bh_{\epsilon} = (Bh)_{\epsilon}$  and  $\partial F_{\epsilon}/\partial \bar{z} = -\pi h_{\epsilon}$ . It follows that

$$\left\|\frac{\partial F_{\varepsilon}}{\partial z}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \quad \left\|\frac{\partial F_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \bar{z}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \pi.$$

Thus,  $|F_{\varepsilon}(z) - F_{\varepsilon}(w)| \leq 4(1+\pi)|z-w|$  for all z, w and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0 we obtain the Lipschitz condition  $|F(z) - F(w)| \leq 4(1+\pi)|z-w|$ , hence the theorem is proved.

Nguyen Yuan Uy

#### 5. Estimation of $\mathscr{C}_1(E)$ .

The quantity  $\mathscr{C}_f(E)$  is particularly interesting when  $f \equiv 1$ . We obtain in this case the following estimate.

**Theorem 5.1.** There exists an absolute constant  $K_1 > 0$  such that

(5.2) 
$$\mathscr{C}_1(E) \ge K_1 m(E)$$

for any compact set E with positive Lebesgue measure.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let c be a complex number and let r>0. Then we have the following properties

- (a)  $\mathscr{C}_1(E+c) = \mathscr{C}_1(E)$
- (b)  $\mathscr{C}_1\left(\frac{E}{r}\right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \mathscr{C}_1(E).$

**Proof.** (a) is obvious. To prove (b) we associate to each function  $h \in L^{\infty}(E)$ a function  $k \in L^{\infty}(E/r)$ , where k(z) = h(rz). Then it is easily seen that Bk(z) = Bh(rz). Thus the mapping  $h \rightarrow k$  is an 1—1 correspondence between  $\Gamma(E)$  and  $\Gamma(E/r)$ . Furthermore, by changing variable we have

$$\iint_{E/\mathbf{r}} k(z) \, dm(z) = \frac{1}{r^2} \iint_E h(z) \, dm(z).$$

Therefore,  $\mathscr{C}_1(E) = \mathscr{C}_1(E/r)/r^2$  and (b) is proved.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since the two set functions  $\mathscr{C}_1$  and *m* are both homogeneous of degree 2, it is clearly enough to prove (5.2) for an arbitrary compact E with m(E)=1. Furthermore, according to Lemma 4.2, it suffices to show that  $\mathscr{C}_1^*(E) \ge K_1$  for any compact set *E* with m(E)=1, and with a boundary consisting of a finite number of analytic Jordan curves. Now, if  $(\mu, \nu)$  is an extremal element of (3.3), then

$$\mathscr{C}_{1}^{*}(E) = \|\chi_{E} + \mu\| + \|\nu\| \ge \iint_{E} |1 - B\nu(z)| \, dm(z) + \|\nu\|.$$

Let 
$$F = \{z \in E : |Bv(z)| > \frac{1}{2}\}$$
. By (2.2)  $m(F) \leq 2A_1 ||v||$ . Hence  

$$\iint_E |1 - Bv(z)| dm(z) \geq \iint_{E \setminus F} |1 - Bv(z)| dm(z)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} m(E \setminus F) \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2A_1 ||v||).$$
Therefore, we also be

Therefore we obtain

$$\mathscr{C}_1^*(E) \ge \max\left\{\frac{1}{2} - A_1 \|v\|, \|v\|\right\} \ge \frac{1}{2(1+A_1)}.$$

#### References

- 1. CARLESON, L., On null-sets for continuous analytic functions, Arkiv för Mat. 1 (1950), 311-318.
- DOLŽENKO, E. P., On the removal of singularities of analytic functions, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 18 (1963), no. 4 (112). 135—142; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 97 (1970), 33—41.
- 3. DUREN, P. L., Theory of H<sup>p</sup> spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- 4. GARNETT, J., *Analytic capacity and measure*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 297, Springer-Verlage, Berlin and New York, 1972.
- 5. STEIN, E. M., Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- 6. NGUYEN XUAN UY, Totally disconnected set nonremovable for bounded analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition, *Math. Scand.* 40 (1977), 113–118.
- 7. ZYGMUND, A., Intégrales singulières, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 204, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.

Received September 19, 1977

Nguyen Xuan Uy State Univ. of New York at Albany Department of Mathematics Earth Science Building 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, N. Y. 12 222 USA