Monotonicity properties of interpolation spaces II

Michael Cwikel

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue our investigation begun in [3] of problems of characterizing all the interpolation spaces with respect to a given Banach couple. More specifically we show that a rather large class of Banach couples $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ are Calderón pairs, that is, A is an interpolation space with respect to \overline{A} if and only it has the property that $a \in A$ and $K(t, b; \overline{A}) \leq K(t, a; \overline{A})$ for all $t \geq 0$ implies that $b \in A$. We refer to [3] and also [17] and [1] pp. 83, 128, for detailed definitions and for a discussion and bibliography of earlier results of this type, and take this opportunity to correct our inadvertent omission in [3] of the contributions of Mitjagin [10] and Cotlar (unpublished).

We shall continue to use the notation and terminology of [3] together with that of [1]. In some cases we have made minor and unambiguous modifications of terminology from [3] in favour of the usage in [1].

We shall also use the following notions (cf. [4]).

Definition. Let $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and $\overline{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be two Banach couples and let A and B be intermediate spaces with respect to \overline{A} and \overline{B} respectively. Then A and B are relative interpolation spaces with respect to \overline{A} and \overline{B} if every linear operator $T \in \mathscr{L}(A_0, B_0) \cap \mathscr{L}(A_1, B_1)$ also maps A into B. A and B are relative K spaces if $a \in A, b \in \Sigma(\overline{B}) = B_0 + B_1$ and $K(t, b; \overline{B}) \leq K(t, a; \overline{A})$ for all t > 0 implies that $b \in B$. \overline{A} and \overline{B} are relative Calderón pairs if all relative interpolation spaces A and B are also relative K spaces, that is, all possible interpolation results can be described in terms of K functional inequalities.

Note that in all the above definitions one must take care to write the two couples or two spaces in the correct order. For example (see [4]) (L^1, L_w^1) , $(L^{\infty}, L_w^{\infty})$ are relative Calderón pairs but $(L^{\infty}, L_w^{\infty})$ and (L^1, L_w^1) are not.

Analogously to the case when $\overline{A} = \overline{B}$, to show that \overline{A} and \overline{B} are relative Calderón pairs it clearly suffices to show that for any $a \in \Sigma(\overline{A}), b \in \Sigma(\overline{B})$ with $K(t, b; \overline{B}) \leq C$

 $K(t, a, \overline{A})$ for all t>0 there exists an operator $T\in \mathscr{L}(A_0, B_0) \cap \mathscr{L}(A_1, B_1)$ with Ta=b.

The plan of the paper is as follows:

In Section 2 we show that for any Banach couple $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and any numbers θ_0 , θ_1 in (0, 1) and p_0 , p_1 in $[1, \infty]$ the couple of real interpolation spaces $\overline{A}_{\bar{\theta},\bar{p}} = (\overline{A}_{\theta_0,p_0}, \overline{A}_{\theta_1,p_1})$ is a Calderón pair. In fact by a result which is proved in Section 4 we have the same result for the couple $(\overline{A}_{\theta,p}, \overline{A}_{\theta,\infty})$ for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and all $p \in (0, \infty]$. Furthermore the same methods enable us to show that $(\overline{A}_{\theta_0,p_0}, \overline{A}_{\theta_1,p_1})$ and $(\overline{B}_{\alpha_0,p_0}, \overline{B}_{\alpha_1,p_1})$ are relative Calderón pairs, where $\overline{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ is another arbitrary Banach couple, for any choice of $\theta_0, \theta_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$ and $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$. The basic result of Section 2 together with a very brief indication of its proof was announced in [1] and also in [17]. In a more recent note [6] V. I. Dmitriev and V. I. Ovčinnikov gave a more elaborate outline of the proof and some more abstract generalisations of the result.

In Section 3 we answer a question posed by A. A. Sedaev by showing that the couple $(\Lambda^{p}(\varphi), L^{\infty})$ is Calderón. Here $\Lambda^{p}(\varphi)$ is the space normed by $||f||_{\Lambda^{p}(\varphi)} = (\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{*}(t)^{p} \varphi(t) dt)^{1/p}$ where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\varphi(t)$ is any nonincreasing locally integrable function. In fact we show that $(\Lambda^{p}(\varphi_{1}), L^{\infty})$ and $(\Lambda^{p}(\varphi_{2}), L^{\infty})$ are relative Calderón pairs for any functions $\varphi_{1}(t)$ and $\varphi_{2}(t)$ each having the same properties as $\varphi(t)$.

In Section 4 we consider spaces which may fail to be Banach and show that (l^p, l^{∞}) is Calderón for 0 . As already mentioned this enables an extension of the results of Section 2.

In Section 5 we ask some questions related to the preceding results and also pose a problem for the couple $(L^p, W^{1,p})$ consisting of an L^p space and Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{T}^n . For $p \neq 2$ this is not a Calderón pair but we are able to obtain necessary conditions on all its interpolation spaces along the lines of results given in [3] Section 3.

We mention that a forthcoming paper [4] will give a detailed discussion of K-monotone spaces or K-spaces and also some results concerning relative Calderón pairs.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge some helpful discussions with Gunnar Sparr.

2. $(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,p_0}, \bar{A}_{\theta_1,p_1})$ is a Calderón pair

For $0 and <math>0 \le \theta \le 1$, let l_{θ}^{p} denote the complete (quasi) normed space of scalar valued sequences $(c_{n})_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ with $||(c_{n})||_{l_{\theta}^{p}} = (\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |2^{-n\theta}c_{n}|^{p})^{1/p} < \infty$. Analogously l_{θ}^{∞} is defined by $||(c_{n})||_{l_{\theta}^{\infty}} = \sup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |2^{-n\theta}c_{n}|$. Let $\overline{A} = (A_{0}, A_{1})$ be an arbitrary Banach couple. The space $\overline{A}_{\theta,p}$ may be defined to consist of those elements $a \in \sum (\overline{A})$ for which $||a||_{\overline{A}_{\theta,p}} = ||(K(2^{n}, a; \overline{A}))||_{l_{\theta}^{p}}$ is finite, for $0 < \theta < 1$, 0 . For $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < \theta_0, \theta_1 < 1$ Sparr's theorem [16, 17, 3] implies that $(l_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, l_{\theta_1}^{p_1}) = l_{\bar{\theta}}^{\bar{p}}$ is a Calderón pair. The proof which we shall give that $(\bar{A}_{\theta_0, p_0}, \bar{A}_{\theta_1, p_1}) = \bar{A}_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}$ is a Calderón pair amounts to showing that the above two couples are almost "bl-pseudoretracts" of each other (as defined in [11] p. 22).

Lemma 1. Given any $a \in \Sigma(\overline{A})$ there exists a linear map S from $\Sigma(\overline{A})$ into $l_0^{\infty} + l_1^{\infty}$ such that $Sa = (K(2^n, a; \overline{A}))_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and for all $b \in \overline{A}_{\theta,p}$ $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 , <math>Sb \in l_{\theta}^{\theta}$ with $\|Sb\|_{l_{\theta}^{p}} \le \|b\|_{\overline{A}_{\theta,p}}$.

Proof. For each $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., K(2^n, \cdot; \overline{A})$ is a norm on $\Sigma(\overline{A})$. There exist linear functionals l_n , $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ on $\Sigma(\overline{A})$ such that $|l_n(b)| \leq K(2^n, b; \overline{A})$ for all $b \in \Sigma(\overline{A})$ and in particular $l_n(a) = K(2^n, a; \overline{A})$. Let $Sb = (l_n(b))_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, then S clearly has all the required properties.

Lemma 2. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in (0, 1), p_0, p_1 \in (0, \infty]$. Given any $a \in \Sigma(\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, \overline{p}}) = \overline{A}_{\theta_0, p_0} + \overline{A}_{\theta_1, p_1}$, there exists a linear operator T mapping $\Sigma(l_{\overline{\theta}}^{\overline{p}})$ into $\Sigma(\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, \overline{p}})$ such that T maps $l_{\theta_i}^{p_i}$ boundedly into $\overline{A}_{\theta_i, p_i}, j = 0, 1$ and $T((K(2^n, a; \overline{A})) = a.$

Proof (cf. the "fundamental lemma" [1] p. 45). For each $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ choose $g_n \in A_0$, $h_n \in A_1$ such that $g_n + h_n = a$ and $||g_n||_{A_0} + 2^n ||h_n||_{A_1} \leq (1+\varepsilon) K(2^n, a; \overline{A})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $a \in \Sigma(\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, \overline{p}})$ one can readily deduce that for $a_n = g_n - g_{n+1} = h_{n+1} - h_n$, $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} ||a_n||_{\Sigma(\overline{A})} < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n = a$. For each sequence $(b_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in \Sigma(I_{\overline{\theta}}^{\overline{p}})$ let $T((b_n)) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b_n a_n}{K(2^n, a; \overline{A})}$.

From slight variants of estimates which we shall obtain below it will be clear that the above sum converges absolutely with respect to the norm of $\Sigma(\overline{A})$, and so $T((b_n))$ is a well defined element of $\Sigma(\overline{A})$. Clearly $T((K(2^n, a; \overline{A}))) = a$. It remains to show that T maps $l_{\theta_j}^{p_j}$ boundedly into $\overline{A}_{\theta_j, p_j}$ (cf. [13] Thm. 5.7 p. 243 and [14] Thm. 10, p. 49). Suppose $(b_n) \in l_{\theta_j}^{p_j}$. Then

$$\begin{split} K(2^{m}, T((b_{n})); \bar{A}) &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_{n}| K(2^{m}, a_{n}; \bar{A})/K(2^{n}, a; \bar{A}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_{n}| \min(\|a_{n}\|_{A_{0}}, 2^{m}\|a_{n}\|_{A_{1}})/K(2^{n}, a; \bar{A}) \\ &< 3(1+\varepsilon) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_{n}| \min(K(2^{n}, a; \bar{A}), 2^{m-n}K(2^{n}, a; \bar{A}))/K(2^{n}, a; \bar{A}) \\ &= 3(1+\varepsilon) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_{n}| \min(1, 2^{m-n}). \end{split}$$

If $p_j \ge 1$

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left| T((b_n)) \right\|_{\widetilde{A}_{\theta_j, p_j}} &= \left\| 2^{-n\theta_j} K(2^n, T((b_n)); \widetilde{A}) \right\|_{l^{p_j}} \\ &\leq 3(1+\varepsilon) \left\| (b_n) \right\|_{l^{p_j}_{\theta_i}} \left\| \min\left(2^{-n\theta_j}, 2^{n(1-\theta_j)} \right) \right\|_{l^1}. \end{split}$$

For $p_i < 1$

$$[2^{-m\theta_j}K(2^m, T((b_n)); \vec{A})]^{p_j} \le 3^{p_j}(1+\varepsilon)^{p_j} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{-n\theta_j}|b_n|)^{p_j} \min(2^{-p_j\theta_j(m-n)}, 2^{p_j(1-\theta_j)(m-n)})$$

So

$$\left\|\left|T\left((b_n)\right)\right\|\right|_{\bar{A}_{\theta_j}, p_j} \leq 3(1+\varepsilon) \left\|(b_n)\right\|_{l^{p_j}_{\theta_j}} \left\|\min\left(2^{-\theta_j n}, 2^{(1-\theta_j)n}\right)\right\|_{l^{p_j}}$$

Thus in all cases T maps $l_{\theta_j}^{p_j}$ into \bar{A}_{θ_j, p_j} with norm bounded by a number which can be chosen as close as we please to

$$C_{\theta_j, p_j} = 3\left(\frac{1}{(1-2^{-\theta_j p_j^*})} + \frac{1}{(1-2^{-(1-\theta_j)p_j^*}} - 1\right)^{1/p_j^*}$$

where $p_j^* = \min(1, p_j)$.

Remark 1. There are obvious analogues of the above two lemmata with l_{θ}^{p} replaced by $L^{p}((0, \infty), t^{-\theta p} dt/t)$, but the analogue of the second lemma only holds for $p_{j} \ge 1$.

Corollary 1. From Lemmata 1 and 2 it follows immediately that for each $a \in \Sigma(\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta},\bar{p}})$ and each t > 0,

$$K(t, (K(2^n, a; \overline{A}))_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}; l_{\theta}^p) \leq K(t, a; \overline{A}_{\theta, \overline{p}})$$
$$\leq \max_{i=0,1} (C_{\theta_j, p_j}) K(t, (K(2^n, a; \overline{A}))_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}; l_{\theta}^{\overline{p}}).$$

Remark 2. The above corollary provides a formula for $K(t, a; \overline{A}_{0,\overline{p}})$ to within equivalence, cf. Holmstedt [7]. Note that the formula here also applies when $\theta_0 = \theta_1$. One could seek a more explicit expression using the K functional for a pair of weighted L^p spaces. (See [1] exercise 2, p. 124, and [3] p. 234; the "transformation" used in [3] was in fact introduced long ago by Stein and Weiss.) By such a procedure, for $p_0 = p_1, \ \theta_0 \neq \theta_1$ one readily recovers Holmstedt's formula. However, for $p_0 \neq p_1$ we obtain an expression, which appears to be rather more unwieldy than Holmstedt's, in terms of the non increasing rearrangement of the sequence $2^{\alpha n} K(2^n, a; \overline{A})$ or the function $t^{\alpha} K(t, a; \overline{A})$ with respect to a suitably weighted measure n^{β} on the integers or $t^{\beta-1} dt$ on $(0, \infty)$. Here $\alpha = (p_0 \theta_0 - p_1 \theta_1)/(p_1 - p_0)$ and

$$\beta = p_0 p_1 (\theta_1 - \theta_0) / (p_1 - p_0).$$

Theorem 1. Let θ_0 , $\theta_1 \in (0, 1)$ and p_0 , $p_1 \in (0, \infty]$ be chosen such that $l_{\theta}^{\overline{p}} = (l_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, l_{\theta_1}^{p_1})$ is a Calderón pair, then for any Banach couple $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, the couple $\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, \overline{p}} = (\overline{A}_{\theta_0, p_0}, \overline{A}_{\theta_1, p_1})$ is a Calderón pair.

Proof. It suffices to show that if $g, f \in \Sigma(\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta},\overline{p}})$ with $K(t,g; \overline{A}_{\overline{\theta},\overline{p}}) \equiv K(t,f; \overline{A}_{\overline{\theta},\overline{p}})$ for all t > 0 then there exists an operator $U \in \mathscr{L}(\overline{A}_{\theta_0,p_0}) \cap \mathscr{L}(\overline{A}_{\theta_1,p_1})$ satisfying Uf = g. Now by Corollary 1 and the hypothesis on f and g there exists an operator $V \in \mathscr{L}(l_{\theta_0}^{p_0}) \cap \mathscr{L}(l_{\theta_1}^{p_1})$ which maps the sequence $K(2^n, f; \overline{A})$ to the sequence $K(2^n, g; \overline{A})$.

By Lemma 1 there exists an operator $S \in \mathscr{L}(\bar{A}_{\theta_0, p_0}, l_{\theta_0}^{p_0}) \cap \mathscr{L}(\bar{A}_{\theta_1, p_1}, l_{\theta_1}^{p_1})$ such that $Sf = (K(2^n, f; \bar{A}))_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and by Lemma 2 there exists an operator $T \in \mathscr{L}(l_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, \bar{A}_{\theta_0, p_0}) \cap \mathscr{L}(l_{\theta_1}^{p_1}, A_{\theta_1, p_1})$ such that $T(K(2^n, g; \bar{A})) = g$. The required operator U is given by U = TVS.

Remark 3. By Sparr's theorem [16, 17, 3] the hypotheses of the above theorem hold for all $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in (0, 1)$. We shall show in Section 4 that $(l_{\theta}^p, l_{\theta}^\infty)$ is a Calderón pair for all $p \in (0, \infty]$ $\theta \in (0, 1)$ thus enlarging the range of parameters for which the theorem is valid. We note that Sparr [16, 17] also obtained results for L^p spaces with exponents p < 1, but in the case of nonatomic measure spaces for which an analogue of Lemma 2 does not hold.

If $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and $\overline{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ are two different Banach couples then an obvious adaptation of Theorem 1 shows that $\overline{A}_{\bar{\theta},\bar{p}}$ and $\overline{B}_{\bar{\theta},\bar{p}}$ are relative Calderón pairs. In fact by almost identical reasoning the corresponding result holds for the two couples $\overline{A}_{\bar{\theta},\bar{p}} = (\overline{A}_{\theta_0,p_0}, \overline{A}_{\theta_1,p_1})$ and $\overline{B}_{\bar{\alpha},\bar{p}} = (\overline{B}_{\alpha_0,p_0}, \overline{B}_{\alpha_1,p_1})$ where the parameters $\theta_0, \theta_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1$ may take any values in (0, 1) and p_0, p_1 are in $[1, \infty]$.

3. The couple $(\Lambda^p(\varphi), L^{\infty})$

In this section we give another example of the use of "pseudoretract" techniques which arose in the proof of Theorem 1. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space. For each μ -measurable function f(x) on X we denote the non increasing rearrangement of f(x) by $f^*(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$. Let $\varphi(t)$ be a locally Lebesgue integrable decreasing function on $(0, \infty)$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we define the Lorentz space $\Lambda^p(\varphi)$ on (X, Σ, μ) to consist of all (equivalence classes of) measurable functions f(x) for which the norm $\|f\|_{A^p(\varphi)} = (\int_0^\infty f^*(t)^p \varphi(t) dt)^{1/p}$ is finite.

An example of a Banach couple which is not an "exact" Calderón pair was constructed by Sedaev and Semenov using a suitable three dimensional version of the couple $(\Lambda^1(\varphi), L^{\infty})$, (see [15] or [1], p. 127). We shall show here however that in general $(\Lambda^1(\varphi), L^{\infty})$ is a Calderón pair. Specifically if f and g are in $\Lambda^1(\varphi) + L^{\infty}$ with $K(t, g; \Lambda^1(\varphi), L^{\infty}) \leq K(t, f; \Lambda^1(\varphi), L^{\infty})$ for all $t \geq 0$ then there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}_4(\Lambda^1(\varphi)) \cap \mathcal{L}_1(L^{\infty})$ such that Tf = g. This answers a question posed by A. A. Sedaev. In fact we obtain the following more general result which immediately implies that for any functions φ_1 and φ_2 satisfying the above conditions, $(\Lambda^p(\varphi_1), L^{\infty})$ and $(\Lambda^p(\varphi_2), L^{\infty})$ are relative Calderón pairs (cf. also [5]).

Theorem 2. Let (X_1, Σ_1, μ_1) and (X_2, Σ_2, μ_2) be measure spaces and let $\varphi_1(t)$, $\varphi_2(t)$ be non increasing locally integrable functions on $(0, \infty)$. Let $\Lambda^p(\varphi_k)$ denote the Lorentz space of functions on (X_k, Σ_k, μ_k) corresponding to $\varphi_k(t)$, k=1, 2 where $p \in [1, \infty)$. Let $\overline{A} = (\Lambda^p(\varphi_1), L^{\infty}(d\mu_1))$ and $\overline{B} = (\Lambda^p(\varphi_2), L^{\infty}(d\mu_2))$. If $f \in \Sigma(\overline{A})$ and $g \in \Sigma(\overline{B})$ and $K(t, g; \overline{B}) \leq K(t, f; \overline{A})$ for all t > 0, then there exists an operator

$$T \in \mathscr{L}_{\mathbf{4}^{1/p} \alpha_n} \left(\Lambda^p(\varphi_1), \ \Lambda^p(\varphi_2) \right) \cap \mathscr{L}_{\alpha_n} \left(L^{\infty}(d\mu_1), \ L^{\infty}(d\mu_2) \right)$$

such that Tf=g. The constant $\alpha_p \leq 2$ depends only on p and $\alpha_1=1$.

Proof. In the light of results of [2] (for the non σ -finite case see also [3]) we can assume without loss of generality that $(X_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) = (X_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2) = (0, \infty)$ equipped with Lebesgue measure, and also that f and g are non negative non increasing and right continuous, so that $f(t)=f^*(t), g(t)=g^*(t)$. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we shall construct T as the composition of three operators T=UVW as indicated in the following diagram:

$$\left(\Lambda^{p}(\varphi_{1}), L^{\infty}\right) \xrightarrow{W} \left(L^{p}(\varphi_{1} dx), L^{\infty}\right) \xrightarrow{V} \left(L^{p}(\varphi_{2} dx), L^{\infty}\right) \xrightarrow{U} \left(\Lambda^{p}(\varphi_{2}), L^{\infty}\right).$$

Here $L^p(\varphi_k dx)$ denotes the L^p space on $(0, \infty)$ normed by

$$||h||_{L^{p}(\varphi_{k}dx)} = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |h(x)|^{p} \varphi_{k}(x) dx\right)^{1/p} \text{ for } k = 1, 2.$$

(i) Construction and properties of the operator W: We simply take the identity operator Wh(x) = h(x). In view of the inequality $\int_0^\infty h(x)^p \varphi_1(x) dx \leq \int_0^\infty h^*(x)^p \varphi_1(x) dx$ (cf. e.g. [8], p. 257) $W \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Lambda^p(\varphi_1), L^p(\varphi_1 dx)) \cap \mathcal{L}_1(L^\infty, L^\infty)$.

(ii) Construction and properties of U: If $\int_0^{\infty} \varphi_2(t) dt = \infty$ we define positive numbers b_n , $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ for which $\int_0^{b_n} \varphi_2(t) dt = 2^n$. If $\int_0^{\infty} \varphi_2(t) dt = I < \infty$ define b_n only for negative *n*, such that $\int_0^{b_n} \varphi_2(t) dt = 2^n I$; thus we may consistently take $b_0 = \infty$ in this case. We now define an auxiliary operator U_1 by

$$U_1 h = \sum_n \left(\int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} h(t) \varphi_2(t) dt \right) \left(\int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} \varphi_2(t) dt \right)^{-1} \chi_{[b_n, b_{n+1})}$$

for each $h \in L^p(\varphi_2 dt) + L^{\infty}$. The summation over *n* is from $-\infty$ to ∞ if $I = \infty$ and from $-\infty$ to -1 if $I < \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} |U_1h(x)|^p &\leq \sum_n \left(\int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} |h(t)| \varphi_2(t) \, dt \right)^p \left(\int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} \varphi_2(t) \, dt \right)^{-p} \chi_{[0, b_{n+1})}(x) \\ &\leq \sum_n \left(\int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} |h(t)|^p \varphi_2(t) \, dt \right) \left(\int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} \varphi_2(t) \, dt \right)^{-1} \chi_{[0, b_{n+1})}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since this last sum is a non increasing function of x we see that

$$\begin{split} \|U_1h\|_{A^p(\varphi_2)}^p &\leq \sum_n \int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} |h(t)|^p \varphi_2(t) \, dt \int_0^{b_{n+1}} \varphi_2(x) \, dx \Big(\int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} \varphi_2(t) \, dt \Big)^{-1} \\ &\leq 4 \sum_n \int_{b_{n-1}}^{b_n} |h(t)|^p \varphi_2(t) \, dt = 4 \|h\|_{L^p(\varphi_2 dx)}^p. \end{split}$$

Since g is non increasing we obviously have $U_1g(x) \ge g(x)$ for all x. Thus if we

define U by
$$Uh(x) = \frac{g(x)U_1h(x)}{U_1g(x)}$$
 then $Ug=g$ and
 $U \in \mathscr{L}_{4^{1/p}}(L^p(\varphi_2 dx), \Lambda^p(\varphi_2)) \cap \mathscr{L}_1(L^{\infty}, L^{\infty}).$

(iii) Construction and properties of V: Using arguments almost identical to those in the proof of Theorem 5.2.1 [1] p. 109, we can readily show that for each t>0

$$\left(\int_{0}^{u_{2}(t)} g^{*}(x)^{p} \varphi_{2}(x) dx\right)^{1/p} \leq K(t, g; \Lambda^{p}(\varphi_{2}), L^{\infty})$$

and

$$K(t, f; \Lambda^p(\varphi_1), L^{\infty}) \leq \alpha_p \left(\int_0^{u_1(t)} f^*(x)^p \varphi_1(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p}$$

where the functions $u_k(t)$ k=1, 2 are defined by the conditions

$$\int_0^{u_k(t)} \varphi_k(x) \, dx = t^p \quad \text{for all} \quad t < \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi_k(x) \, dx\right)^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad u_k(t) = \infty$$

otherwise, and the constant α_p satisfies $1 \leq \alpha_p \leq 2$ with $\alpha_1 = 1$.

We may consider f and g as functions on a measure space (Y, S, v) where Y consists of two disjoint copies of $(0, \infty)$. $Y = \mathbf{R}_{+,1} \cup \mathbf{R}_{+,2}$ with $dv = \varphi_k(x)dx$ on $\mathbf{R}_{+,k}$, and f and g are supported on $\mathbf{R}_{+,1}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{+,2}$ respectively. Letting F(t) and G(t) denote the non increasing rearrangements of f and g respectively with respect to the measure v we see that for each t > 0:

$$\int_0^{t^p} G(s)^p \, ds = \int_0^{u_2(t)} g(x)^p \varphi_2(x) \, dx \leq \alpha_p^p \int_0^{u_1(t)} f(x)^p \varphi_1(x) \, dx = \alpha_p^p \int_0^{t^p} F(s)^p \, ds$$

and thus by the results of [9] there exists an operator $V \in \mathscr{L}_{\alpha_p}(L^p(dv)) \cap \mathscr{L}_{\alpha_p}(L^{\infty}(dv))$ with Vf = g. In fact we can have

$$V \in \mathscr{L}_{\alpha_p}(L^p(\varphi_1 dx), L^p(\varphi_2 dx)) \cap \mathscr{L}_{\alpha_p}(L^{\infty}(\varphi_1 dx), L^{\infty}(\varphi_2 dx)).$$

From the properties of U, V and W it is now evident that

$$T = UVW \in \mathscr{L}_{4^{1/p} \alpha_p}(\Lambda^p(\varphi_1), \Lambda^p(\varphi_2)) \cap \mathscr{L}_{\alpha_p}(L^{\infty}, L^{\infty}) \quad \text{and} \quad Tf = g,$$

completing the proof of the theorem.

4.
$$(l_{\theta}^{p}, l_{\theta}^{\infty})$$
 for 0

As already mentioned in Section 2, $(l_{\theta}^{p}, l_{\theta}^{\infty})$ is a Calderón pair for all p, 0 $and all <math>\theta \in (0, 1)$, in fact for all real θ . To establish this it suffices of course to consider the case $\theta = 0$ and to prove the following theorem which is of course well known for $p \ge 1$ ([2, 9, 10]).

Theorem 3. Let $0 and let <math>f, g \in l^p + l^\infty$ with

$$\int_{0}^{t} g^{*}(s)^{p} ds \leq \int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(s)^{p} ds$$
 (1)

for all positive t. Then there exists an operator $Q \in \mathscr{L}_{g^{1/p}}(l^p) \cap \mathscr{L}_{2^{1/p}}(l^\infty)$ such that Qf = g.

Proof. We can of course suppose that both $f=(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $g=(g_n)_n^{\infty}$ are non negative sequences. Let $f^*=(f_n^*)_1^{\infty}$ and $g^*=(g_n^*)_1^{\infty}$ be the non increasing rearrangement sequences of f and g, that is, for each $n \ge 1$, $f_n^*=f^*(t)$, $t\in[n-1, n)$ and similarly for g_n^* . We shall show that (1) implies the existence of an operator $V\in \mathscr{L}(l^p) \cap \mathscr{L}(l^{\infty})$ with $Vf^*=g^*$. Let us first establish the existence of operators S and T in $\mathscr{L}_1(l^p) \cap \mathscr{L}_1(l^{\infty})$ such that $Sf=f^*$ and $Tg^*=g$. The desired operator will then be given by Q=TVS.

Let $\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n^*$. Let $J = \{n | f_n > \alpha\}$ and $J^* = \{n | f_n^* > \alpha\}$. J and J^* are either both infinite or both finite with the same cardinality. On $J^* f_n^*$ assumes any given value $\beta > \alpha$ at most finitely many times. The sets $\{n | f_n = \beta\}$ and $\{n | f_n^* = \beta\}$ have the same finite cardinality and so there exists a one to one map π of J^* onto J such that $f_{\pi(n)} = f_n^*$ for all $n \in J^*$. There exists an infinite subsequence $(m(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the positive integers such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_{m(n)} = \alpha$. Let ω be a Banach limit, that is $\omega \in (l^{\infty})^*$ with norm 1 and $\omega((h_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_n$ for all convergent sequences $(h_n)_1^{\infty}$.

We can now define the operator S which maps any given sequence $(h_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ to the sequence

$$(Sh)_k = h_{\pi(k)}$$
 for $k \in J^*$
= $\omega((h_{m(n)}))$ for $k \notin J^*$.

It is clear that S is in $\mathcal{L}(l^p)$ and $\mathcal{L}(l^\infty)$ with bound 1 and $Sf=f^*$. The operator T is constructed in an almost identical fashion. We may take m(n)=n in this case. For each sequence $(h_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ we define

$$(Th)_k = h_{\pi^{-1}(k)} \quad \text{for} \quad k \in J$$
$$= \omega((h_n)) g_k / \alpha \quad \text{for} \quad k \notin J,$$

where here α , J, J^{*} and π are defined exactly as above but for the sequence $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ instead of for $(f_n)_1^{\infty}$.

We now turn to the construction of the operator V which maps $f^* = (f_n^*)$ to $g^* = (g_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. At this point we may drop the asterisks and consider non increasing sequences $f = (f_n)_1^{\infty}$, $g = (g_n)_1^{\infty}$, with non increasing rearrangement functions $f^*(t) = f(t)$ and $g^*(t) = g(t)$ satisfying (1). Let Γ be the finite or infinite sequence of consecutive positive integers *n* for which $g_n > 0$. The inequalities (1) imply the existence of a strictly increasing sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \Gamma}$ of positive numbers such that:

$$a_n \leq n$$
 and $\int_0^{a_n} f(s)^p ds = \int_0^n g(s)^p ds$ (2)

for each $n \in \Gamma$. Let $a_0 = 0$ and for each $n \in \Gamma$ let k(n) be the integer for which $2^{k(n)} \le a_n - a_{n-1} < 2^{k(n)+1}$. Then

$$g_n^p = \int_{n-1}^n g(s)^p \, ds = \int_{a_{n-1}}^{a_n} f(s)^p \, ds \le \int_{a_{n-1}}^{a_{n-1}+2^{k(n)+1}} f(s)^p \, ds \le 2 \int_{a_{n-1}}^{a_{n-1}+2^{k(n)}} f(s)^p \, ds$$

Partition Γ into $X = \{n \in \Gamma | 2^{k(n)} \le 1/2\}$, $\Gamma_0 = \{n \in \Gamma | 2^{k(n)} = 1\}$ and $Y = \{n \in \Gamma | 2^{k(n)} \ge 2\}$. We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma A. There exists a one to one map ξ of Y into X such that $\xi(n) < n$ for each $n \in Y$.

Proof. Let y(1), y(2), y(3), ... be a list in increasing order of the elements of Y and let x(1), x(2), x(3), ... be a list in increasing order of the elements of X. The map ξ will be given by $\xi(y(n))=x(n)$ for n=1, 2, ... card Y. We need only show that x(n) < y(n) for all such n. Fix n and let m be the greatest integer such that x(m) < y(n). In other words, of the y(n) integers 1, 2, ..., y(n), n integers are in Y, m are in X and y(n)-n-m are in Γ_0 . Clearly $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\lambda} 2^{k(\nu)} \leq a_{\lambda} \leq \lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and so

$$y(n) \ge \sum_{\nu=1}^{y(n)} 2^{k(\nu)} = \sum_{\nu \in Y, \nu \le y(n)} 2^{k(\nu)} + \sum_{\nu \in \Gamma_0, \nu \le y(n)} 2^{k(\nu)} + \sum_{\nu \in X, \nu \le y(n)} 2^{k(\nu)}$$
$$\ge 2n + y(n) - n - m.$$

It follows that $n \le m$ and so $x(n) \le x(m) < y(n)$. This completes the proof of Lemma A, and we proceed with the proof of Theorem 3.

The next step is to construct an operator V_0 which maps f to $g\chi_{X \cup \Gamma_0}$. Let $z(1), z(2), z(3), \ldots$ be a list in increasing order of the elements of $X \cup \Gamma_0$ and let I_1, I_2, \ldots be intervals defined by $I_1 = [0, 2^{k(z(1))})$ and $I_n = [\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} 2^{k(z(\nu))}, \sum_{\nu=1}^n 2^{k(z(\nu))})$ for n > 1. Each interval I_n meets at most two of the intervals $J_m = [m, m+1)$. Let j(n) be the smallest integer m such that $J_m \cap I_n$ is non empty. For any fixed m, $\bigcup_{j(n)=m} I_n$ is an interval of length less than 2.

Let V_1 be a linear operator mapping $l^p + l^{\infty}$ into the space of step functions on $[0, \infty)$ which are constant on each interval I_n . For each $h = (h_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $V_1 h$ will be the function which equals $h_{j(n)}$ on I_n . Let (M, μ) be the measure space generated by the sets I_n acting as atoms with $\mu(I_n) = |I_n| = 2^{k(z(n))}$. Then V_1 maps l^{∞} into $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ with norm 1. V_1 also maps l^p boundedly into $L^p(\mu)$ with bound $2^{1/p}$ since

$$\int_{M} |V_{1}h|^{p} d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_{n}|^{p} \mu \Big(\bigcup_{j(m)=n} I_{m}\Big) \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_{n}|^{p}.$$

We observed earlier that

$$g_n^p \leq 2 \int_{a_{n-1}}^{a_{n-1}+2^{k(n)}} f(s)^p \, ds \quad \text{for each} \quad n \in \Gamma.$$
(3)

Now if $n=z(m)\in X\cup \Gamma_0$ we also have

$$\int_{a_{n-1}}^{a_{n-1}+2^{k(n)}} f(s)^p \, ds \leq \int_{I_m} f(s)^p \, ds \leq \int_{I_m} (V_1 f)^p \, ds. \tag{4}$$

These inequalities follow immediately from the facts that f(s) is non increasing, that $|I_m| = 2^{k(n)}$, and that the left endpoint of I_m is

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} 2^{k(z(\nu))} \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{z(m-1)} 2^{k(\nu)} \leq a_{z(m-1)} \leq a_{z(m)-1} = a_{n-1}.$$

Let V_2 be a linear operator from $L^p(\mu) + L^{\infty}(\mu)$ into $l^p + l^{\infty}$ such that for any step function $h = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h_m \chi_{I_m} \in L^p(\mu) + L^{\infty}(\mu)$ the sequence $V_2 h = \{(V_2 h)_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is given by

$$(V_2h)_n = 0$$
 whenever $n \in Y$ or $n \notin \Gamma$.
 $(V_2h)_n = h_m |I_m|^{1/p}$ whenever $n = z(m) \in X \cup \Gamma_0$

 V_2 clearly has bound 1 as an element of both $\mathscr{L}(L^p(\mu), l^p)$ and $\mathscr{L}(L^{\infty}(\mu), l^{\infty})$. The operator $V_2 V_1 \in \mathscr{L}_{2^{1/p}}(l^p) \cap \mathscr{L}_1(l^\infty)$ and, by (3) and (4), $((V_2 V_1 f)_n)^p = \int_{I_\infty} (V_1 f)^p ds \ge 0$ $(1/2)g_n^p$ (where n=z(m)) for each $n \in X \cup \Gamma_0$. Let V_0 be given by $V_2^m V_1$ followed by the operator which multiplies the nth element of the sequence by $g_n/(V_2V_1f)_n$, $n \in X \cup \Gamma_0$. Then $V_0 \in \mathscr{L}_{4^{1/p}}(l^p) \cap \mathscr{L}_{2^{1/p}}(l^\infty)$, and $(V_0 f)_n = g_n$ for all $n \in X \cup \Gamma_0$ and $(V_0 f)_n = 0$ for $n \notin X \cup \Gamma_0$. Finally we may construct V with the help of the mapping ξ .

For each $h \in l^p + l^\infty$ let $Vh = \{(Vh)_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be given by

$$(Vh)_n = (V_0 h)_n \quad \text{for} \quad n \in X \cup \Gamma_0$$
$$= (g_n/g_{\xi(n)})(V_0 h)_{\xi(n)} \quad \text{for} \quad n \in Y$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \notin \Gamma.$$

Clearly Vf=g and $V \in \mathscr{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{1/p}}(l^p) \cap \mathscr{L}_{2^{1/p}}(l^{\infty})$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

5. Further comments and questions

It seems likely that one can establish that quite a number of other interpolation couples are Calderón, perhaps using techniques related to those of the preceeding sections. In attempting to work towards the solution of Peetre's problem [12] of determining general conditions to characterize Calderón couples the following questions seem natural, if rather difficult.

(1) Is every pair of rearrangement invariant spaces a Calderón couple?

(2) Does there exist a mutually closed couple (see [3], p. 218) $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ which is not Calderón but nevertheless all of the complex interpolation spaces \bar{A}_{tel} are K-monotone? We note the existence of couples such as $(L^1(\mathbf{R}), C(\mathbf{R}))$ ([3] p. 217) which are not mutually closed but do have the latter two properties.

(3) In every case where it has been possible to show that a given couple \overline{A} is Calderón the proof has been related to Sparr's result for pairs of weighted L^p spaces or a special case of that result. Is the Calderón property very much an " L^p " phenomenon or can one find examples of couples \overline{A} which bear little or no relation to L^p spaces and yet are Calderón pairs? Initially for example one might consider couples of Orlicz spaces.

In the remainder of this section we discuss a sequel to the investigations begun in Section 3 of [3]. There we discovered that for various non-Calderón couples \overline{A} all interpolations spaces A have a property weaker than K-monotonicity; if $f \in A$ and $g \in \Sigma(\overline{A})$ with $K(t, g; \overline{A}) \leq w(t) K(t, f; \overline{A})$ for all t where the function w(t)has certain properties then $g \in A$. We wish to consider such results for the important couple $\overline{W} = (L^p, W^{1,p})$ where $W^{1,p}$ denotes the usual Sobolev space of functions which together with their first derivatives are in L^p , the underlying space being \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{T}^n , (as in [1], Chapter 6) with $1 . This is not a Calderón pair when <math>p \neq 2$ ([3] p. 218) but one can show that it has the following weaker property (cf. [3] Section 3, Theorems 1 and 2).

Theorem 4. Let w(t) be a positive measurable function such that for some positive number $\varepsilon \int_0^1 [\min(\varepsilon, w(t))]^{p_*} dt/t < \infty$, where $p_* = \min(p, 2)$. Let A be an interpolation space for \overline{W} . Then if $f \in A$ and $g \in \Sigma(\overline{W})$ such that $K(t, g; \overline{W}) \leq w(t) K(t, f; \overline{W})$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ then $g \in A$.

Proof. As a first simplification we may deduce that in fact $K(t, g; \overline{W}) \leq w_1(t)K(t, f; \overline{W})$ where $\int_0^1 w_1(t)^{p_*} dt/t < \infty$ using an argument identical to that in the proof of Theorem 1 of [3] p. 221. Then, using "averages" $w_m = \int_{2^{m-1}}^{2^m} w_1(t) dt/t$ we deduce further that $K(2^m, g; \overline{W}) \leq \text{const. } w_m K(2^m, f; \overline{W})$ for every non-positive integer m, with $\sum_{m \leq 0} w_m^{p_*} < \infty$. Using this condition we shall construct a bounded linear operator $T: \overline{W} \to \overline{W}$ such that Tf = g.

As a second simplification we observe that it suffices to carry out the analogous construction when \overline{W} is replaced by the couple $\overline{L} = (L^p(l_0^2), L^p(l_1^2))$, where $L^p(l_\alpha^2)$ denotes the space of sequence valued functions $u(x) = \{u_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ on \mathbb{R}^n with norm $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |2^{k\alpha}u_k(x)|^2)^{p/2} dx)^{1/p}$. From this one may deduce the result for \overline{W} using the operators \mathscr{P} and \mathscr{I} defined in [1], p. 150, and the fact that \overline{W} is a retract of \overline{L} ([1] Theorem 6.4.3 p. 151). For any $u = \{u_k(x)\} \in \Sigma(\overline{L}) = L^p(l_0^2)$ it is a routine matter to show that for each t > 0:

$$\frac{1}{2}K(t, u; \bar{L}) \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\min(1, t2^k) u_k(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq K(t, u; \bar{L}).$$

Now suppose $f = \{f_k(x)\}$ and $g = \{g_k(x)\}$ are in $\Sigma(\overline{L})$ and $K(t, g; \overline{L}) \le w(t)K(t, f; \overline{L})$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ where w(t) is as above and so for some sequence w_m ,

 $\sum_{m\leq 0} w_m^{p_*} < \infty$, we have for each $m\leq 0$,

$$\begin{split} \|g_{-m}(x)\|_{L^{p}} &\leq \left| \left| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\min(1, 2^{m+k})g_{k}(x)|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right| \right|_{L^{p}} \\ &\leq w_{m} \left| \left| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\min(1, 2^{m+k})f_{k}(x)|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right| \right|_{L^{p}} \end{split}$$

We now proceed rather as in the proof of Lemma 1 of [3], p. 219. For each negative integer $m L^p(l_0^2)$ can be renormed by $||u||_m = \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\min(1, 2^{m+k})u_k(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}$. Thus there exists a continuous linear functional l_m on $L^p(l_0^2)$ with

$$l_m(f) = ||f||_m$$
 and $|l_m(u)| \le ||u||_m$

for all $u = \{u_k(x)\}$ in $L^p(l_0^2)$.

We now define the operator $T: \overline{L} \to \overline{L}$ by

$$Tu(x) = \{l_{-k}(u) g_k(x) / ||f||_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}.$$

It is clear that Tf=g and it remains only to verify that T is bounded on $L^p(l_0^2)$ and $L^p(l_1^2)$. In fact if $\alpha=0$ or 1

$$2^{-m\alpha} \|u\|_m \leq \|u\|_{L^p(l^2_{\alpha})}$$
 for any $u = \{u_k(x)\} \in L^p(l^2_{\alpha})$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} &\leq \left| \left| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |2^{k\alpha}| \|u\|_{-k} g_{k}(x) / \|f\|_{-k} |^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right| \right|_{L^{p}} \\ &\leq \left| \left| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |g_{k}(x) / \|f\|_{-k} |^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right| \|L^{p} \|u\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| |g_{k}(x) / \|f\|_{-k} |^{2} \right|^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} \leq \left(\sum_{m \leq 0}^{\infty} w_{m}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} \end{aligned}$$

provided $p \ge 2$.

For p < 2 we have similarly

$$\begin{split} \|Tu\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} &\leq \left| \left| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |g_{k}(x)/\|f\|_{-k}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right| \right|_{L^{p}} \|u\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |g_{k}(x)/\|f\|_{-k}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{p}} \|u\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\|g_{k}\|_{L^{p}}/\|f\|_{-k} \right)^{p} \right)^{1/p} \|u\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{m \leq 0} w^{p}_{m} \right)^{1/p} \|u\|_{L^{p}(l^{2}_{\alpha})}. \end{split}$$

This shows that T is bounded on $L^p(l^2_{\alpha})$ with norm not exceeding $(\sum_{m \leq 0} w_m^{p_*})^{1/p_*}$ and completes the proof.

It is well known that for each $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and 1 ,

$$\overline{W}_{\theta,\min{(2,p)}} \subseteq \overline{W}_{[\theta]} \subseteq \overline{W}_{\theta,\max{(2,p)}}$$

(cf. [1], p. 152, Theorem 6.4.4), and, using Hölder's inequality and these two continuous inclusions, we can readily see that in the case where $A = \overline{W}_{[\theta]}$, Theorem 4 holds with the condition on w(t) weakened to $\int_0^1 [\min(\varepsilon, w(t))]^r dt/t < \infty$, where $r = p_{**} = 2p/|p-2|$. Indeed in this case p_{**} is the best possible exponent. Suppose that $2 and <math>1/p < \alpha < 1$; then for any $r > p_{**}$ there exist functions f(x)and k(x) on the torus T such that $f \in \overline{W}_{[\alpha]}(T)$ but $k \notin \overline{W}_{[\alpha]}(T)$ even though $I = \int_0^1 [K(t, k; \overline{W})/K(t, f; \overline{W})]^r dt/t$ is finite. In fact these are precisely the functions which we used to show that \overline{W} is not Calderón (see [3] p. 218 and [18] pp. 472-474). As already noted in [3] p. 218 $||k(x+h)-k(x)||_{L^p} \leq \text{const.} |h|^{\alpha} \log^{-1/2} (1/|h|)$ and $||f(x+h)-f(x)||_{L^p} \geq \text{const.} |h|^{\alpha} \log^{-1/p-\varepsilon} (1/|h|)$, for all $h, x \in [0, 2\pi] \cong T$. It follows that

$$K(t, k; \overline{W})/K(t, f; \overline{W}) \leq \text{const. } \log^{1/p - 1/2 + \varepsilon}(1/t).$$

If $(1/p-1/2+\varepsilon)r < -1$ it is easy to deduce that the integral *I* is finite, (we have only to estimate the integrand for small values of *t*) and the last inequality can be fulfilled by choosing ε to satisfy $0 < \varepsilon < 1/p_{**} - 1/r$. (The construction of *f* and *k* works for all such ε .)

On the basis of these remarks we are naturally led to ask whether in Theorem 4 one can weaken the hypothesis on w(t) by replacing p_* by p_{**} , and thus obtain in some sense a best possible weak K-monotonicity result for the couple \overline{W} .

References

- 1. BERGH, J., LÖFSTRÖM, J., Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, Springer, 1976.
- 2. CALDERÓN, A. P., Spaces between L^1 and L^{∞} and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz, Studia Math., **26** (1966), 273–299.
- 3. CWIKEL, M., Monotonicity properties of interpolation spaces, Ark. Mat., 14 (1976), 213-236.
- 4. CWIKEL, M., PEETRE, J., Abstract K and J spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl., (to appear).
- DIMITRIEV, V. I., On the Calderón—Mitjagin interpolation theorem, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 215 (1974), 518—521 (=Soviet Math. Dokl. 15 (1974), 528—532).
- 6. DIMITRIEV, V. I., OVČINNIKOV, V. I., On interpolation in real method spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **246** (1979), 794—797, (*-Soviet Math. Dokl.* **20** (1979), 538—542).
- 7. HOLMSTEDT, T., Interpolation of quasi-normed spaces. Math. Scand., 26 (1970), 177-199.
- 8. HUNT, R. A., On L(p, q) spaces, Enseignement Math., 12 (1966), 249-276.
- 9. LORENTZ, G. G., SHIMOGAKI, T., Interpolation theorems for the pairs of spaces (L^p, L^{∞}) and (L^1, L^q) , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 159 (1971), 207–222.
- 10. MITJAGIN, B. S., An interpolation theorem for modular spaces, Mat. Sbornik, 66 (1965), 472---482. (Russian)
- 11. PEETRE, J., Banach couples I. Technical Report, Lund 1971.
- 12. PEETRE, J., Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 22 (1975), 124.
- 13. PEETRE, J., SPARR, G., Interpolation of normed Abelian groups. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 92 (1972), 217-262.

Michael Cwikel: Monotonicity properties of interpolation spaces II

- 14. SAGHER, Y., Interpolation of r-Banach spaces. Studia Math., 41 (1972), 45-70.
- 15. SEDAEV, A. A., SEMENOV, E. M., On the possibility of describing interpolation spaces in terms of Peetre's K-method Optimizaciya, 4 (21) (1971), 98-114. (Russian)
- 16. SPARR, G., Interpolation des espaces L_w^p , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 278 (1974), 491-492.
- 17. SPARR, G., Interpolation of weighted L^p spaces. Studia Math. 62 (1978), 229-271.
- TAIBLESON, M. H., On the theory of Lipschitz spaces of distributions on Euclidean n-space, I. Principal properties, J. Math. Mech., 13 (1964), 407-479.

Received June, 1979

Department of Mathematics Technion — I. I. T. Haifa — Israel