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Let $T$ be a Calderon-Zygmund transform - a singular integral operator with kernel $K(x-y)$, where $K$ is homogeneous of degree $-n$ with mean value zero on spheres centered at the origin. We assume $K$ is $C^{\infty}$ except at the origin and not identically zero. If $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(R^{n}\right)$ let $M_{f}$ be pointwise multiplication by $f$ and consider the commutator $C_{f}=M_{f} T-T M_{f}$. Explicitly this is

$$
C_{f} \varphi(x)=\int_{R^{n}} K(x-y)(f(x)-f(y)) \varphi(y) d y
$$

It follows from the Calderon-Zygmund theory ([13], Ch. 2) that the (principalvalue) integral converges a.e. if $\varphi$ is a bounded function with compact support. Uchiyama [14] proved that $C_{f}$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^{2}\left(R^{n}\right)$ precisely when $f \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(R^{n}\right)$, sharpening a result by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [3].

We will characterize functions $f$ for which $C_{f}$ belongs to the Schatten class $S^{p}$ in the case $n \geqq 2$ as those in a certain Besov space. We recall the definition of $S^{p}$. If $R$ is any compact operator on Hilbert space then $R^{*} R$ is compact, positive and therefore diagonalizable. Let $\left\{S_{n}(R)\right\}$ be the sequence of square roots of eigenvalues of $R^{*} R$, counted according to multiplicity. For $0<p<\infty$ one says that $R \in S^{p}$ if $\left\{S_{n}(R)\right\} \in l^{p}$. In this paper, the endpoint class $S^{\infty}$ is the class of bounded operators. For the theory of $S^{p}$ classes, see [12]. We will require the following facts.
(1) If $p \geqq 1$, then $R \in S^{p}$ if and only if $\sum\left|\left\langle\operatorname{Re}_{n} \mid f_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{p}<\infty$ for all choices of orthonormal bases $\left\{e_{n}\right\},\left\{f_{n}\right\}$.
(2) If $p \geqq 2$ and $R \in S^{p}$ then $\sum\left\|\mathrm{Re}_{n}\right\|^{p}<\infty$ for all choices of orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$.
(3) If $0<p<\infty, 0<q \leqq \infty$ let $S^{p q}=\left\{R \in B(H) \mid S_{n}(R) \in l^{p q}\right\}$ where $l^{p q}$ is the Lorentz space [1]. We take $S^{\infty \infty}=S^{\infty}=B(H)$ but do not define $S^{\infty q}$ if $q<\infty$.

[^0]The classes $S^{p q}$ may then be interpolated by the real method; in fact $\left[S^{p_{1} q_{1}}, S^{p_{2} q_{2}}\right]_{\theta_{q}}=$ $S^{p q}$ if $p_{1} \neq p_{2}$ and $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{1}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{2}}$ ([1], [12]). Note $S^{p p}=S^{p}$.
(4) For $p \geqq 2$ there is a sufficient condition due to Russo [11] for an integral operator to belong to $S^{p}$. If $G: M \times M \rightarrow C$ for some measure space $(M, \mu)$, let $G^{*}(x, y)=\overline{G(y, x)}$. Let $L^{p}\left(L^{q}\right)$ be the mixed norm space

$$
\left\{G \mid\left(\int|G(x, y)|^{q} d \mu(y)\right)^{p / q} d \mu(x)<\infty\right\}
$$

and define

$$
L^{p}\left(L^{q}\right)^{\text {symm }}=L^{p}\left(L^{q}\right) \cap L^{p}\left(L^{q}\right)^{*}, \text { i.e. } G \in L^{p}\left(L^{q}\right)^{\text {symm }} \text { iff } G, G^{*} \in L^{p}\left(L^{q}\right)
$$

We have then
Theorem A (Russo). If $G \in L^{p}\left(L^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\text {symm }}$ with $2 \leqq p \leqq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$ and if $R \varphi(x)=\int G(x, y) \varphi(y) d \mu(y)$ for $\varphi \in L^{2}$, then $R \in S^{p}$.

For $p=2$ (Hilbert-Schmidt operators) or $p=\infty$ this is classical.
The Besov spaces we use are the homogeneous ones. We give the definition of these spaces and refer to [4], [7], [13] for further discussion. Note that [13] only treats the analogous non-homogeneous spaces.

Definition. Suppose $1 \leqq p, q \leqq \infty$ and $0<\alpha<1$. Let $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Then $f$ belongs to the Besov space $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p q}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{R^{n}} \frac{\|f(x+t)-f(x)\|_{L^{p}\left(R^{n}, d x\right)}^{q}}{|t|^{n+q^{x}}} d t<\infty .
$$

When $q=\infty$ this becomes $\|f(x+t)-f(x)\|_{L^{p}\left(R^{n}, d x\right)}=O\left(|t|^{\alpha}\right)$. One makes analogous definitions for $\alpha \geqq 1$ with the first difference $f(x+t)-f(x)$ replaced by a difference of order $[\alpha]+1$. We will need to interpolate Besov spaces by the real method. This is discussed in [1] and [7].

In case $n=1$, the question of when the commutator operators $C_{f}$ belong to $S^{p}$ has been considered by Howland [5], Peller [8], Coifman-Rochberg [2] and Rochberg [10]. In the one-dimensional case the Hilbert transform is the only Calde-ron-Zygmund operator and the commutators are

$$
C_{f} \varphi(x)=\text { P.V. } \int_{R} \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y} \varphi(y) d y .
$$

Because of the characterization of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in terms of their kernels it is immediate that $C_{f} \in S^{2}$ if and only if $f \in \Lambda_{1 / 2}^{22}$. For others values of $p$ the answer is due to Peller [8] who proved the following.

Theorem B (Peller). If $n=1$ and $1 \leqq p<\infty$ then $C_{f} \in S^{p}$ if and only if $f \in \Lambda_{1 / p}^{p p}$.

Peller actually worked on the circle. Coifman and Rochberg [2] (when $p=1$ ) and Rochberg [10] (for arbitrary $p \geqq 1$ ) gave another proof on the line based on a molecular decomposition of $\Lambda_{1 / p}^{p p}$. Peller [8] and Rochberg [10] also showed that $f \in \Lambda_{1 / p}^{p p}$ implies $C_{f} \in S^{p}$ when $p<1$, but it is not known whether the converse is true.

Rochberg asked whether there is an $n$-dimensional version of Theorem B. The result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. Suppose $n \geqq 2,0<p<\infty$ and $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Then necessary and sufficient conditions for $C_{f} \in S^{p}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \text { constant, if } p \leqq n \\
& f \in \Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}, \quad \text { if } p>n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our methods do not work when $n=1$ and in fact our result differs somewhat from Peller's and Rochberg's. If $n=1$ and $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}, C_{f}$ will belong to all $S^{p}$ classes, $p>0$, while if $n>1, C_{f}$ will belong to $S^{p}$ only when $p>n$. To see why this is so consider the somewhat simpler periodic case and let $f(t)=e^{i k \cdot t}$. Then $C_{f} e^{i j t}=$ $(m(j)-m(k+j)) e^{i(k+j) \cdot t}$ with $m=\hat{K}$ and thus $\left\{S_{j}\left(C_{f}\right)\right\}=\{|m(j)-m(k+j)|\}_{j_{\in} Z^{n}}$. If $n=1, m(j)=c \operatorname{sign}(j)$ and only finitely many $S_{j}\left(C_{f}\right)$ are non-zero, but if $n \geqq 2$, $|m(j)-m(k+j)|$ is usually about $|j|^{-1}$, and $\sum|j|^{-p}=\infty$ for $p \leqq n$.

In Section 1 we prove that $p>n$ and $f \in \Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}$ imply $C_{f} \in S^{p}$. In Section 2 we prove the converse for $p>n$. The case $p \leqq n$ requires an additional argument (based on the preceding example) which we give in Section 3.

## 1.

If $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ are (compatible) Banach spaces, then $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)_{\theta q}$ is the interpolation space obtained by the real method as described in [1]. $L^{q r}$ is the Lorentz space, $L^{p}\left(L^{q r}\right)$ the corresponding mixed norm space. $L^{p}\left(L^{q r}\right)^{\text {symm }}=L^{p}\left(L^{q r}\right) \cap L^{p}\left(L^{q r}\right)^{*}$. The letter $C$ will denote a constant.

Since we are assuming $p>n \supseteqq 2$ it is natural to use Russo's Theorem A to prove sufficiency in Theorem 1. In fact we don't use Theorem A as it stands but rather a variant involving weak type spaces. Russo proved Theorem A by complex interpolation and we use the analogous real interpolation argument.

Lemma 1. If $p>2$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$ then

$$
L^{p}\left(L^{p^{\prime \infty}}\right)^{\mathrm{symm}} \subset\left(L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{symm}}, L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)\right)_{\theta \infty}, \text { where } \theta=2 / p
$$

Proof. Fix $f \in L^{p}\left(L^{p / \infty}\right)^{\text {symm }}$. For $t>0$ let

$$
K(t)=\inf \left(\|b\|_{L^{\infty}(L 1)^{\mathrm{symm}}}+t\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)}: b+g=f\right)
$$

We must show $t^{-2 / p} K(t)$ is bounded as $t$ varies. Let $f_{x}=f(x, \cdot)$ etc., and take $g(x, y)=\operatorname{sign} f(x, y) \cdot \min (|f(x, y)|, \lambda)$ where

$$
\lambda=t^{-2 / p^{\prime}} \max \left(\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{p},\left\|f_{y}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{p}\right), \text { and } b=f-g .
$$

To estimate $b$, fix $x$ and let $E_{x}$ be the distribution function of $\left|f_{x}\right|$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|b_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leqq \int_{s>t-t^{-2 / p^{\prime}}\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{p}} E_{x}(s) d s \\
& \leqq\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{s>t^{-2 / p^{\prime}}}\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{p} s^{-p^{\prime}} d s \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{p^{\prime}-1}\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}} \infty}^{p^{\prime}}\left(t^{-2 / p^{\prime}}\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime} \infty}}^{p}\right)^{1-p^{\prime}}=\frac{t^{2 / p}}{p^{\prime}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

One obviously has the same estimate for $\left\|b_{y}\right\|_{L^{1}}$ so $\|b\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L_{1}\right)}$ symm $\leqq C t^{2 / p}$. As to $g$,
$\iint|g|^{2} d x d y \leqq \iint_{s<t^{-2 / p},\left\|\mid f_{x}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \infty}^{p}} 2 s E_{x}(s) d s d x+\iint_{s<t^{-2 / p^{\prime}}\left\|f_{\boldsymbol{y}}\right\|_{L^{p}, \infty}^{p}} 2 s E_{y}(s) d s d y$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\iint_{s<t-2 / p^{\prime}\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L p^{\prime}+\infty}^{p}} 2 s E_{x}(s) d s d x \leqq 2 \int\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime} \infty}}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{s<t^{-2 / p^{\prime}}\left\|f_{x^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{p}} s^{1-p^{\prime}} d s d x \\
=\frac{2}{2-p^{\prime}} t^{-\frac{2}{p^{\prime}}\left(2-p^{\prime}\right)} \int\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime} \infty}}^{p} d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

By symmetry

$$
\iint|g|^{2} d x d y \leqq \frac{2}{2-p^{\prime}} t-\frac{2}{p^{\prime}}\left(2-p^{\prime}\right)\left(\int\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime} \infty}}^{p} d x+\int\left\|f_{y}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}^{p} d y\right)
$$

so that $\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)} \leqq C t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(L^{p, \infty}\right)}^{p \text { symm }}$, completing the proof of the lemma.
The following version of Russo's theorem now follows immediately by interpolation between $p=2$ and $p=\infty$.

Lemma 2. Suppose $(M, \mu)$ is a measure space, $2<p<\infty, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$, and $G: M \times M \rightarrow C$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(L^{p \prime \infty}\right)^{\text {symm }}$. Then the integral operator on $L^{2}(\mu)$ with kernel $G$ belongs to $S^{p \infty}$.

Proof of sufficiency in Theorem 1. Fix $p>n$ and $f \in \Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}$. By definition of $\Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}, \quad \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{2 n / p}} \in L^{p}\left(L^{p}\right), \quad$ and clearly $\quad|x-y|^{\frac{2 n}{p}-n} \in L^{\infty}\left(L^{q \infty}\right)$ where $\frac{1}{q}=1-\frac{2}{p}$. For any functions $g$ and $h,\|g h\|_{p \prime \infty} \leqq C\|g\|_{p}\|h\|_{q \infty}$. So $\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{|x-y|^{n}} \in L^{p}\left(L^{p, \infty}\right)$. Hence $(f(x)-f(y)) K(x-y) \in L^{p}\left(L^{p^{\infty} \infty}\right)$ and by Lemma 2, $C_{f} \in S^{p \infty}$.

We use another interpolation argument to prove $C_{f} \in S^{p}$. Given $p>n$ choose $p_{1}, p_{2}$ with $n<p_{1}<p<p_{2}<\infty \quad$ and let $\theta$ satisfy $\frac{1-\theta}{p_{1}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p}$. Then $\left(S^{p_{1} \infty}, S^{p_{2} \infty}\right)_{\theta p}=S^{p}$ ([1], [12]) and $\left(\Lambda_{n / p_{1}}^{p_{1} p_{1}}, \Lambda_{n / p_{2}}^{p_{2} p_{2}}\right)_{\theta p}=\Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}$ ([1]) so the map $f \mapsto C_{f}$, which is bounded from $\Lambda_{n j p_{j}}^{p_{j} p_{j}}$ to $S^{p_{j}}(j=1,2)$ is also bounded from $\Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}$ to $S^{p}$.

## 2.

Assume that $C_{f} \in S^{p}$ and $p>n$. We will adapt the proof in [6] and estimate the mean oscillation on all cubes simultaneously. For any $v \in R^{n}$ and $k \in Z$ let $\mathscr{F}_{k}^{v}$ be the dyadic partition of $R^{n}$ into cubes with vertices at $\left\{v+2^{-k} m, m \in Z^{n}\right\}$. Let $f_{k}^{v}(x)=2^{n k} \int_{Q} f(y) d y$, if $x \in Q \in \mathscr{J}_{k}^{v}$. For $Q \in \mathscr{J}_{k}^{v}$, choose $s_{Q}$ among the functions that are $O$ off $Q,+1$ on exactly $2^{n-1}$ of the $2^{n}$ subcubes of $Q$ belonging to $\mathscr{J}_{k+1}^{v}$, and -1 on the others such that $\left|\int_{Q} f(x) s_{Q}(x) d x\right|$ is maximal. Then

$$
2^{n k} \int_{Q}\left|f_{k}^{v}-f_{k+1}^{v}\right|^{p} \leqq C\left(2^{n k} \max \left\{\left|\int f_{s}\right|: s \text { as above }\right\}\right)^{p}=C\left(2^{n k}\left|\int_{Q} f_{S}\right|\right)^{p}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $p$ and $n$, since $2^{n k} \max _{s}\left|\int f s\right|$ and $\left(2^{n k} \int_{Q}\left|f_{k+1}^{v}-f_{k}^{v}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$ are norms on the same $2^{n}-1$-dimensional vector space.

Choose $z, 0<|z|<1$, such that $K(z) \neq 0$. There exists a neighborhood $|x-z|<$ $\delta \sqrt{n}$ where $1 / K(x)$ can be expressed as an absolutely convergent Fourier series $\sum c_{m} e^{i v_{m} \cdot x}$ for some vectors $v_{m}$.

For $Q \in \mathscr{J}_{k}^{v}$, let $t_{Q}(x)=\chi_{Q}\left(x+2^{-k} \delta^{-1} z\right)$, where $\chi_{Q}$ is the characteristic function. Then, since $\int s_{Q}(x) d x=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int f_{s_{Q}}=2^{n k} \iint(f(x)-f(y)) s_{Q}(x) t_{Q}(y) d x d y \\
=2^{n k} \iint(f(x)-f(y)) \frac{\delta^{-n} 2^{-n k} K(x-y)}{K\left(\delta 2^{k}(x-y)\right)} s_{Q}(x) t_{Q}(y) d x d y \\
=C \iint(f(x)-f(y)) K(x-y) \sum_{m} c_{m} e^{i \delta 2^{k} v_{m} \cdot(x-y)} s_{Q}(x) t_{Q}(y) d x d y .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $g_{Q m}(x)=2^{n k / 2} e^{i \delta 2^{k} v_{m} \cdot x} s_{Q}(x)$ and $h_{Q_{m}}(y)=2^{n k / 2} e^{-i \delta 2^{k} v_{m} \cdot y} t_{Q}(y)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
2^{n k} \int f s_{Q}=C \iint(f(x)-f(y)) K(x-y) \sum_{m} c_{m} g_{Q_{m}}(x) h_{Q_{m}}(y) d x d y \\
=C \sum_{m} c_{Q_{m}}\left\langle g_{m} \mid C_{f} h_{Q_{m}}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

For each $m,\left\{g_{Q m}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{Q_{m}}\right\}$ are orthonormal sequences as $Q$ ranges over $\mathscr{J}_{k}^{v}$ The condition $C_{f} \in S^{p}$ and Minkowski's inequality give

$$
\left(\left.\left.\sum Q_{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{k}^{v}\right|^{n k} \int f_{S_{Q}}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqq C \sum_{m}\left|c_{m}\right|\left(\sum Q\left|\left\langle g_{Q_{m}} \mid C_{f} h_{Q m}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqq C .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
2^{n k} \int\left|f_{k+1}^{v}-f_{k}^{v}\right|^{p}=\sum Q_{\varepsilon} f_{k}^{v} 2^{n k} \int_{Q}\left|f_{k+1}^{v}-f_{k}^{v}\right|^{p} \leqq C, \\
\text { i.e. }\left\|f_{k+1}^{v}-f_{k}^{v}\right\|_{p} \leqq C 2^{-n k / p}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $f_{k}^{v} \rightarrow f$ a.e. as $k \rightarrow \infty$, summation of the geometric series yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-f_{k}^{v}\right\|_{p} \leqq C 2^{-n k / p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate only implies that $f \in \Lambda_{n / p}^{p^{\infty}}$. (Cf. the final step below of the proof that $f \in \Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}$.) To improve it we use the $S^{p}$ property once more. Let $N$ be a large number to be chosen later. For any cube $Q \in \mathscr{J}_{k}^{v}$, let $a_{Q}=\left(2^{n k} \int_{Q}\left|f-f_{k}^{v}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$ (the $L^{p}$ mean oscillation) and let $g_{Q}(x)=2^{n k / 2} s_{Q}(x)$ and $h_{Q}(y)=2^{n k / 2} \chi_{Q}\left(y+2^{N-k} z\right.$ ). Also, for $j=0, \ldots, N-1$, let $Q_{j}=2^{j} Q-\left(2^{j}-1\right) 2^{-k} z$ and $Q_{j}^{\prime}=2^{j} Q-\left(2^{N}-2^{j}+1\right) 2^{-k} z$, where $2^{j} Q$ has the same center as $Q$ and side $2^{j-k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int C_{f} g_{Q}(x) h_{Q}(x) d x+K(z) 2^{n(k-N)} \int f(x) s_{Q}(x) d x\right| \\
&=\left|\iint(f(x)-f(y))\left(K(x-y)-K\left(2^{N-k} z\right)\right) g_{Q}(y) h_{Q}(x) d x d y\right| \\
& \leqq C 2^{-(n+1)(N-k)} \iint\left|x-y-2^{N-k} z\right||f(x)-f(y)|\left|g_{Q}(y) h_{Q}(x)\right| d x d y \\
& \leqq C 2^{-k} 2^{(n+1)(k-N)} 2^{n k} \int_{Q} \int_{Q_{0}^{\prime}}|f(x)-f(y)| d x d y \\
& \leqq C 2^{-(n+1) N} \sum_{0}^{N-1}\left(a_{Q_{j}}+a_{Q_{j}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from standard arguments with the mean oscillation. Hence

$$
\left|2^{n k} \int f_{Q}\right| \leqq C 2^{n N}\left|\left\langle C_{f} g_{Q} \mid h_{Q}\right\rangle\right|+C 2^{-N} \sum_{0}^{N-1}\left(a_{Q_{j}}+a_{Q_{j}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Fix $L, M$ and $v$ and let $Q$ range over $\mathscr{F}_{k}^{v}$ for $L \leqq k \leqq M .\left\{g_{Q}\right\}$ is an orthonormal sequence. $\left\{h_{Q}\right\}$ is not, but $\left\|h_{Q}\right\|=1$ and thus

$$
\sum\left|\left\langle C_{f} g_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \mid h_{Q}\right\rangle\right|^{p} \leqq\left\|C_{f} g_{\boldsymbol{Q}}\right\|^{p} \leqq C
$$

(Here we use $p \geqq 2$ ). Taking the $l^{p}$ norms over these $Q$ we obtain by Minkowski's inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k}\left\|f_{k+1}^{v}-f_{k}^{v}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}=\left(\sum_{Q} 2^{n k} \int_{Q}\left|f_{k+1}^{v}-f_{k}^{v}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leqq C\left(\sum_{Q}\left|2^{n k} \int f_{S_{Q}}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
\quad \leqq C_{N}+C 2^{-N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\sum_{k=L}^{M} \sum_{Q_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}_{k}^{v}}\left(a_{Q_{j}}^{p}+a_{Q_{j}^{\prime}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

As $Q$ ranges over $\mathscr{F}_{k}^{v},\left\{Q_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ cover $R^{n} 2^{n j}$ times each (for fixed $j, k$ ), and there exist $v_{1}^{j} \ldots v_{2^{n j+1}}^{j}$ translates of $v$ by fixed vectors) such that $Q_{j}$ and $Q_{j}^{\prime}$ range over $\cup \mathscr{J}_{k-j}^{v i}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k}\left\|f-f_{k}^{v}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqq\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k}\left\|f-f_{k+1}^{v}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k}\left\|f_{k+1}^{v}-f_{k}^{v}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqq\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k}\left\|f-f_{k+1}^{v}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}+C_{N}+C 2^{-N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\sum_{k=L}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n j+1}} \sum_{Q_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{f}_{k-j}^{v_{i}^{j}}} a_{Q}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step is to average over all dyadic partitions. Define

$$
A_{k}=|I|^{-1} \int_{I}\left\|f-f_{k}^{v}\right\|_{p}^{p} d v=|I|^{-1} \int_{I} 2^{-n k}\left(\sum_{Q_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}_{k}^{v}} a_{Q}^{p} d v\right)
$$

for any dyadic cube $I$ of side $\geqq 2^{-k}$. $A_{k}$ is independent of the choice of $I$, since $\mathscr{J}_{k}^{v}=\mathscr{J}_{k}^{w}$ if $v-w \in 2^{-k} Z^{n}$. Choose $I$ large enough and take the $L^{p}$ norms with respect to $|I|^{-1} \chi_{I}(v) d v$ in (2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k} A_{k}\right)^{1 / p} \leqq\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k} A_{k+1}\right)^{1 / p}+C_{N}+C 2^{-N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\sum_{K=L}^{M} 2^{n j+1} 2^{n(k-j)} A_{k-j}\right)^{1 / p} \\
&=\left(\sum_{L+1}^{M+1} 2^{n(k-1)} A_{k}\right)^{1 / p}+C_{N}+C 2^{-N} \sum_{0}^{N-1}\left(2^{n j} \sum_{L-j}^{M-j} 2^{n k} A_{k}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqq 2^{-n / p}\left(\sum_{L+1}^{M} 2^{n k} A_{k}\right)^{1 / p}+\left(2^{n M} A_{M+1}\right)^{1 / p}+C_{N} \\
&+C 2^{-N} \sum_{0}^{N-1} 2^{n j / p}\left(\left(\sum_{L}^{M-j} 2^{n k} A_{k}\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\sum_{L-j}^{L-1} 2^{n k} A_{k}\right)^{1 / p}\right) \\
& \leqq\left(2^{-n / p}+C 2^{-N} 2^{n N / p}\right)\left(\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k} A_{k}\right)^{1 / p}+C_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $2^{n k} A_{k} \leqq C$ by (1). If $N$ is chosen large enough then $2^{-n / p}+C 2^{-N(1-n / p)}<1$. (Here we use $p>n$.) Consequently $\sum_{L}^{M} 2^{n k} A_{k} \leqq C, C$ independent of $L$ and $M-$ i.e., $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{n k} A_{k}<\infty$.

Finally we show that this condition on the mean oscillation of $f$ over cubes implies $f \in \Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}$. A similar characterization of Lipschitz spaces has been given by Ricci and Taibleson [9].

Again, let $I$ be a large dyadic cube. If $|x-y|<2^{-k-1}$, the probability that $x$ and $y$ belong to the same cube in $\mathscr{J}_{k}^{v}$ (for random $v$ ) is at least $2^{-n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{|x-y|<2^{-k-1}}|f(x)-f(y)|^{p} d x d y \leqq 2^{n}|I|^{-1} \int_{I} \sum_{Q \varepsilon \mathscr{f}_{k}^{v}} \int_{Q} \int_{Q}|f(x)-f(y)|^{p} d x d y d v \\
& \leqq C|I|^{-1} \int_{I} \sum_{\mathscr{F}_{k}^{v}} \int_{Q} \int_{Q}\left(\left|f(x)-f_{k}^{v}(x)\right|^{p}+\left|f_{k}^{v}(y)-f(y)\right|^{p}\right) d x d y d v \\
&=C 2^{-n k}|I|^{-1} \int_{I} \int_{R^{n}}\left|f(x)-f_{k}^{v}(x)\right|^{p} d x d v \\
&=C 2^{-n k} A_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{2 n}} d x d y \leqq C \iint \sum_{2^{k}<|x-y|^{-1}} 2^{2 n k}|f(x)-f(y)|^{p} d x d y \\
&=C \sum 2^{2 n k} \iint_{|x-y|<2^{-k}}|f(x)-f(y)|^{p} d x d y \\
& \leqq C \sum 2^{n k} A_{k}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof that $f \in \Lambda_{n / p}^{p p}$.

## 3.

We need to prove that $C_{f} \in S^{p}$ only when $f$ is constant. Suppose $f$ is not constant. Since non-constant polynomials do not belong to BMO there is a point $z_{0} \neq 0$ in the support of the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$. For some constants $k, M<\infty$ we will have $|\langle\varphi \mid \hat{f}\rangle| \leqq M\|\varphi\|_{C^{k}}$ whenever $\varphi$ is a test function supported in $\left\{y\left|\mid y-z_{0}{ }_{0}<1\right\}\right.$. Choose $0<\varepsilon<\min \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\left|z_{0}\right|}{2}\right)$. Let $\psi$ be a test function with $\|\psi\|_{C^{k}}=1, \operatorname{supp} \psi \subset$ $\left\{y\left|\left|y-z_{0}\right|<\varepsilon\right\}\right.$, and $\langle\psi \mid \hat{f}\rangle \doteq B>0$. Let $\delta$ be some number to be chosen later, but small enough so that $|z|<\delta$ implies $z+\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset\left\{\left|y-z_{0}\right|<\varepsilon\right\}$ and $\langle\psi(y+z) \mid \hat{f}(y)\rangle>\frac{B}{2}$.

Let $m=\hat{K}$. Then $m$ is homogeneous of degree zero and nonconstant so the derivative $D_{z_{0}} m$ is not identically zero. Let $V$ be an open cone with vertex at the origin, such that the real part (say) of $D_{z_{0}} m$ is bounded away from zero on $V \cap$ (unit ball). Let $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ be the set of all lattice points whose distance to the complement of $V$ is at least $2\left|z_{0}\right|$. Then $\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right| \geqq 1(j \neq k), \quad\left|m\left(x_{j}\right)-m\left(x_{j}-z_{0}\right)\right|>\frac{A}{\left|x_{j}\right|}$ for some constant $A$, and $\sum_{j}\left|x_{j}\right|^{-n}=\infty$. Define Schwartz functions $\varphi_{j}$ by $\hat{\varphi}_{j}(y)=$ $\left|x_{j}\right|^{-1} \frac{\psi\left(x_{j}-y\right)}{m(y)-m\left(x_{j}\right)}$. These $\varphi_{j}$ are orthogonal since the supports of the $\hat{\varphi}_{j}$ are disjoint, and if $\varepsilon$ is small enough they will satisfy $\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{2} \leqq 1$.

Claim. If $\delta$ is small enough and $\left|x-x_{j}\right|<\delta$ then $\left|\left(C_{f} \varphi_{j}\right)^{\wedge}(x)\right|>\frac{C}{\left|x_{j}\right|}$.
In fact,
(*)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(C_{f} \varphi_{j}\right)^{\wedge}(x)=\left|x_{j}\right|^{-1}\left\langle\left.\frac{m(x-y)-m(x)}{m(x-y)-m\left(x_{j}\right)} \psi\left(x_{j}-x+y\right) \right\rvert\, \hat{f}(y)\right\rangle \\
=\left|x_{j}\right|^{-1}\left\langle\psi\left(y+x_{j}-x\right) \mid \hat{f}(y)\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
+\left|x_{j}\right|^{-1}\left(m(x)-m\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left\langle\left.\frac{\psi\left(y+x_{j}-x\right)}{m\left(x_{j}\right)-m(x-y)} \right\rvert\, \hat{f}(y)\right\rangle .
$$

Now $\psi\left(y+x_{j}-x\right)$ is supported on $\left|y-z_{0}\right|<\varepsilon+\delta$ and on this set, all $y$-derivatives of $\frac{1}{m\left(x_{j}\right)-m(x-y)}$ are bounded by constants times $\left|x_{j}\right|$. So

$$
\left|\left\langle\left.\frac{\psi\left(y+x_{j}-x\right)}{m\left(x_{j}\right)-m(x-y)} \right\rvert\, \hat{f}(y)\right\rangle\right| \leqq M\left\|\frac{\psi\left(y+x_{j}-x\right)}{m\left(x_{j}\right)-m(x-y)}\right\|_{C^{k}} \leqq C M\left|x_{j}\right|
$$

This proves the second term in (*) is bounded by $B / 4\left|x_{j}\right|$ provided $\delta$ is small. The first term is at least $B / 2\left|x_{j}\right|$, which proves the claim.

It follows that $\sum\left\|C_{f} \varphi_{j}\right\|_{2}^{n}=\sum\left\|\left(C_{f} \varphi_{j}\right)^{\wedge}\right\|_{2}^{n} \geqq C \sum\left|x_{j}\right|^{-n}=\infty$, so $C_{f} \notin S^{n}$.
Remarks. The above argument shows that even if $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ the best one can hope is that $C_{f} \in S^{n \infty}$. For $n \geqq 3$, one can show using Lemma 2 that $f \in \Lambda_{1}^{n 1}$ implies $C_{f} \in S^{n \infty}$. We do not know whether this result is true for $n=2$ because Lemma 2 is false for $p=2$.

A colleague of the referee pointed out that a pseudodifferential operator ( $\not \equiv 0$ ) of symbol class $S^{-1}$ is never of Schatten class $S^{n}$, and that the case $p \leqq n$ of Theorem 1 follows from this.
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