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## 1. Introduction

The concept of $K$-functional was introduced and studied by Peetre ([10], [111). If $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are normed linear spaces, both contained in a topological vector space $A$, then the $K$-functional is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(A_{0}, A_{1}, f, t\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{A_{0}}+t\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{A_{1}}: f=f_{0}+f_{1}, f_{0} \in A_{0}, f_{1} \in A_{1}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A_{0}=C_{2 \pi}=$ space of all $2 \pi$-periodic continuous functions with $\|f\|_{C}=$ $\max _{x \in[-\pi, \pi]}|f(x)|$ and $A_{1}=C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}=$ space of all $2 \pi$-periodic functions vanishing at 0 and with derivatives in $C_{2 \pi}$ with $\|f\|_{C^{\prime}}=\max _{x \in[-\pi, \pi]}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|$. Peetre obtained ([12]) an explicit expression for the $K$-functional in this case as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(C_{2 \pi}, C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}, f, t\right)=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{*}(f, 2 t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega^{*}$ is the least concave majorant of the modulus of continuity of $f$. It is wellknown that this majorant is equivalent to (of the same order of magnitude as) the modulus of continuity of the function. (See, for example, [8]). Such an equivalence can also be obtained between the modulus of continuity of $r^{r^{\text {th }}}$ order and the $K$-functional between $C_{2 \pi}$ and the space of all $2 \pi$-periodic $r$-times differentiable functions vanishing at 0 along with the first $(r-1)$ derivatives. ([13], [2]). The relation between the $K$-functionals and the trigonometric approximation is now evident.

For weighted approximation on the whole real line by polynomials, we have obtained in [7], the direct and converse theorems entirely in terms of the $K$-functionals. Earlier, Freud had introduced a first order modulus of continuity in $L^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ and proved that this is equivalent to a suitable $K$-functional ([5]). He considers weights of the form $w_{Q}(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$ where $Q(x)$ is an even, convex, $C^{2}(0, \infty)$ function with

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \text {. Define, for } w_{Q} f \in L^{p}(\mathbf{R}), \\
& \left.\qquad \begin{array}{r}
\omega_{1}\left(L^{p}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)=\sup _{|h| \leq \delta}\left\|w_{Q}(x+h) f(x+h)-w_{Q}(x) f(x)\right\|_{p} \\
+\delta\left\|\min \left(\delta^{-1},\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) w_{Q}(x) f(x)\right\|_{p} \\
\Omega_{1}\left(L^{p}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)
\end{array}\right) \inf _{A \in \mathrm{R}} \omega_{1}\left(L^{p}, w_{Q}, f-A, \delta\right) \\
& K_{1}\left(L^{p}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|w_{Q} f_{1}\right\|_{p}+\delta\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where inf is over all $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ with $f=f_{1}+f_{2}, w_{Q} f_{1} \in L^{p}(\mathbf{R}) f_{2}$ is an integral of a locally integrable functon $f_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime} \in L^{p}(\mathbf{R})$. (We say that $f_{2}$ is differentiable). Freud's theorem then states that under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}<1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ depending on $Q$ and $p$ only such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} \Omega_{1}\left(L^{p}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right) \leqq K_{1}\left(L^{p}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right) \leqq C_{2} \Omega_{1}\left(L^{p}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following paper, we reverse this order of thoughts. We shall evaluate the order of magnitude of the second order $K$-functional which plays the role of Zygmund modulus of smoothness in our paper ([7]). It is then natural to call the resulting expression as the second order modulus of continuity in weighted approximation. During the proof, we shall also show that with a slight modification in the definitions (3) and (4), the same method also gives the result (7) of Freud. All our results are valid for arbitrary rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces on $\mathbf{R}$; thus giving an extension of (7) even for the first order modulus of continuity. A discussion of these spaces as well as the version of Calderón's interpolation theorem which we shall be using is given in ([1]).
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## 2. Main results

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a rearrangement invariant Banach function space on $\mathbf{R}$. (an r.i. space). We denote $\left\|\|_{\mathfrak{x}}\right.$ by $\| \|$. Let $w$ be a weight function and $w f \in \mathfrak{X}$. Define, for $r \geqq 1$, ( $r$ integer)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r}(\mathfrak{X}, w, f, \delta)=\inf \left\{\left\|w f_{1}\right\|+\delta\left\|w f_{2}^{(r)}\right\|\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where inf is over all $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ such that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}, w f_{1} \in \mathfrak{X}, f_{2}$ is $r$-times differentiable i.e. $f_{2}$ is an $r$-times iterated integral of a locally integrable function $f_{2}^{(r)}$ such that $w f_{2}^{(r)} \in \mathfrak{Z} . \quad K_{r}$ is the $r^{\text {th }}$ order $K$-functional.

We consider weight functions of the form $w_{Q}(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$ where $Q$ satisfies:
(*) $^{*} Q$ is even, convex, $C^{2}(0, \infty)$ function with $Q^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\delta}^{\prime}=\min \left\{\delta^{-1},\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define, for $w_{Q} f \in \mathfrak{X}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{1}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)=\sup _{|h| \leqq \delta}\left\|w_{Q}(x+h) f(x+h)-w_{Q}(x) f(x)\right\|+\delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} w_{Q} f\right\|  \tag{10}\\
\Omega_{1}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)=\inf _{a \in \mathbf{R}} \omega_{1}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f-a, \delta\right)  \tag{11}\\
T_{h} f(x)=f(x+h), \quad \Delta_{h} f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x), \quad \Delta_{h}^{r}=\Delta_{h}^{r-1} \Delta_{h}  \tag{12}\\
\omega_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)=\sup _{|h| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{h}^{2}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\delta \sup _{|h| \leqq \delta}\left\|Q_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime} \Delta_{h}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\delta^{2}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime 2} w_{Q} f\right\|  \tag{13}\\
\Omega_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)=\inf _{a . b \in \mathbf{R}} \omega_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f-a-b x, \delta\right) \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

We call $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ the first and second order modulus of continuity respectively.
Theorem 1: Let $Q$ satisfy (*). Suppose any one of the following conditions holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}<1  \tag{6bis}\\
& \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{\prime}(2 x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)}<\infty \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exist positive constants $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ depending only on $\mathfrak{X}$ and $Q$ such that for every $f$ with $w_{Q} f \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3} \Omega_{1}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right) \leqq K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right) \leqq C_{4} \Omega_{1}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right), \quad 0 \leqq \delta \leqq 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2(a): Suppose $Q$ satisfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ). In addition, let
i) $Q^{\prime \prime}$ be continuous at 0
ii) $\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{|u| \leqq 1}\left|\frac{Q^{\prime}(x+u)}{Q^{\prime}(x)}\right|<\infty$
iii) $\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}<\frac{1}{2}$

Then there exist positive constants $C_{5}$ and $C_{6}$ depending only on $\mathfrak{F}$ and $Q$ such that for each $f$ with $w_{\mathcal{Q}} f \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{5} \Omega_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right) \leqq K_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta^{2}\right) \leqq C_{6} \Omega_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right), \quad 0<\delta \leqq 1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Suppose $Q$ satisfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ), (ii) and (iii) above. Then there exists a function $\bar{Q}$ satisfying ( ${ }^{*}$ ), (i), (ii), (iii) above such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{7} \exp (-\bar{Q}(x)) \leqq \exp (-Q(x)) \leqq C_{8} \exp (-\bar{Q}(x)) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $C_{7}$ and $C_{8}$ and for all $x$. We can choose $\bar{Q}(x)=Q(x)$ if $|x| \geqq a$, for some $a>0$ depending upon $Q$.

Remarks: (1) The operator $T_{h}$ defined in (12) is an isometry on $L^{1}(\mathbf{R})$ and on $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$. Thus by the version of Calderón's theorem given in [1], it is also an isometry on $\mathfrak{X}$; i.e. every r.i. space is also translation invariant. So, formulae (10) and (13) are meaningful. It can be shown that under the condition $\left(^{*}\right), x^{n} w_{Q}(x) \in L^{1}(\mathbf{R})$ $\cap L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathfrak{X}$. (See [4] for the first relation and [1] for the second.) Thus, formulae (11) and (14) are meaningful.
(2) It is easy to construct examples where $w_{Q} f \in \mathfrak{X}$ but $w_{Q} T_{h} f \nsubseteq \mathfrak{X}$. Thus, we have to consider $\Delta_{h}\left(w_{Q} f\right)$ and $\Delta_{h}^{2}\left(w_{Q} f\right)$ in (10) and (13) instead of $w_{Q} \Delta_{h} f$ and $w_{Q} \Delta_{h}^{2} f$, which perhaps, would have been more natural.
(3) It is clear that the order of magnitude of the $K$-functionals is unaltered if we replace $w$ by an equivalent weight function. Hence, in view of Theorem 2(b), we can evaluate the order of magnitude of $K_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right)$ even if $Q^{\prime \prime}$ is not continuous at 0 ; simply by considering $\Omega_{2}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{\bar{Q}}, f, \delta\right)$ in such cases.
(4) All conditions on $Q$ are satisfied if $Q(x)=|x|^{\alpha}, \alpha \geqq 2$. If $1<\alpha<2$, then $Q^{\prime \prime}$ is not continuous at 0 , but all other conditions are satisfied. The $K$-functional is then evaluated as we remarked above.

## 3. Preliminary lemmas

In what follows, we assume that $Q$ is even, convex, $C^{2}(0, \infty)$ and $Q^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. By $A \ll B$ we mean that $A \leqq c B$ for some constant $c>0$ depending only on $\mathfrak{X}$ and $Q$.

Lemma 1: (a) Suppose for some $r \geqq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}=\theta<\theta_{1}<\frac{1}{r} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \ll 1$.
(b) If (15) holds then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \ll e^{-Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \ll 1 \quad \text { for all } \quad r \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: (a)

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)}\right)=r Q^{\prime}(x)^{r+1} e^{-Q(x)}\left[\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}-\frac{1}{r}\right]
$$

Hence $Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)}$ is eventually decreasing and then the claim follows for $x \geqq 0$ by boundedness of $Q^{\prime}$ near zero and then for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ by evenness of $Q$.
(b) Let $\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{\prime}(2 x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)}<K$. Choose $M \geqq 1$ such that $x \geqq M$ implies $Q^{\prime}(2 x)<K Q^{\prime}(x)$. We have, for $x \supseteqq 2 M$ and an integer $r$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)+Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \leqq r!e^{Q^{\prime}(x)} e^{-\int_{x / 2}^{x} Q^{\prime}(t) d t} \\
\leqq r!e^{Q^{\prime}(x)-\frac{x}{2} Q^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \leqq r!\exp \left\{\left(1-\frac{x}{2 K}\right) Q^{\prime}(x)\right\} \leqq r!\quad \text { if } \quad x \leqq \max (2 M, 2 K) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $0 \leqq x \leqq \max (2 M, 2 K)$, the claim is clear by boundedness of $Q^{\prime}$ and and continuity of $Q$. The result is now proved since $Q$ is even.

Remark: In view of the fact that $Q^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, an application of Lemma 1 to a number $s$ slightly larger than $r$ yields that

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Lemma 2: Suppose (21) holds, and $Q^{\prime \prime}$ is bounded on compact sets in $[0, \infty)$.

- Then, we have
(a) $Q^{\prime 2} \pm Q^{\prime \prime} \ll 1+Q^{\prime 2}$
(b) There exists $K$ such that $x \geqq K$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+Q^{\prime 2}(x) \ll Q^{\prime 2}(x)-Q^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: (a)
$Q^{\prime 2}(x)+Q^{\prime \prime}(x)=Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\left[1+\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}\right] \leqq\left(1+\theta_{1}\right) Q^{\prime}(x)^{2} \leqq\left(1+\theta_{1}\right)\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)$
if $x \geqq K$ where $K$ is so chosen that $x \geqq K \Rightarrow \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}<\theta_{1}$.
For $x \geqq K$, the claim follows by the boundedness of $Q^{\prime \prime}$ on compact sets.
(b) Note that $Q^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x \geqq K$ imply $Q^{\prime}(x)>1$ and $\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}<\theta_{1}$. For $x \geqq K$, we have

$$
1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2} \leqq 2 Q^{\prime}(x)^{2} \leqq \frac{2}{1-\theta_{1}}\left(Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}-Q^{\prime \prime}(x)\right) .
$$

Corollary 3: If (21) holds, then

$$
\frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \int_{\max (t, K)}^{\infty}\left[1+Q^{\prime 2}(x)\right] e^{-Q(x)} d x \quad \text { is bounded }
$$

where $K$ is prescribed in Lemma 2(b).
Proof. Let $\max (t, K)=\tilde{t}$. By Lemma 2(b),

$$
\int_{i}^{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right) e^{-Q(x)} d x \ll \int_{i}^{\infty}\left[Q^{\prime}(x)-Q^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] e^{-Q(x)} d x=Q^{\prime}(\tilde{t}) e^{-Q(t)}
$$

(By Lemma 1 (a))

$$
\leqq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(1+Q^{\prime}(K)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-Q(K)} & \text { if } & t \leqq K \\
\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-Q(t)} & \text { if } & t \geqq K
\end{array}\right.
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 4: (a) Let $f$ be a differentiable function (i.e. let $f$ be the indefinite integral of a locally integrable function), $f(0)=0$ and $w_{\mathbf{Q}} f^{\prime} \in L^{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q} f\right\|_{1} \ll\left\|w_{Q} f^{\prime}\right\|_{1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $Q$ satisfy (21). Let $f$ be a differentiable function (in the above sense), $f(0)=0$ and $\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q} f^{\prime} \in L^{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f\right\|_{1} \ll\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q} f^{\prime}\right\|_{1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $Q$ satisfies (21) and fis twice differentiable (i.e. fis a twice iterated integral of a locally integrable function), $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0$; and $w_{Q} f^{\prime \prime} \in L^{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f\right\|_{1} \ll\left\|w_{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Let $\psi=w_{Q} f^{\prime}$. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{Q} f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\{|\psi(t)|+|\psi(-t)|\} d t \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, since $f(0)=0$,

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\int_{0}^{x} e^{Q(t)} \psi(t) d t & \text { if } & x \geqq 0 \\
\int_{0}^{-x} e^{Q(t)} \psi(-t) d t & \text { if } & x \leqq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $|\psi(t)|+|\psi(-t)|=g(t)$. We have,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q} f\right\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[1+Q^{\prime 2}(x)\right]^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x)\{|f(x)|+|f(-x)|\} d x \\
\leqq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}(x)\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} e^{Q(x)} g(t) d t d x \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, clearly, since $Q^{\prime}$ is bounded near 0 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{K}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} e^{Q(t)} g(t) d t d x \ll \int_{0}^{K} g(t) d t \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is so large that $Q^{\prime}(x)>l$ if $x \geqq K$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{K}^{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} e^{Q(t)} g(t) d t d x \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{Q(t)} g(t) \int_{\max (t, K)}^{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) d x d t \\
\ll \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{Q(t)} e^{-Q(t)} g(t) d t \quad \text { where } \quad \tilde{t}=\max (t, K) \ll \int_{0}^{\infty} g(t) d t . \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

The result follows from (28), (29), (30), (31).
(b) Let

$$
\psi(t)=\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}(t)\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(t) f^{\prime}(t)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q} f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\{|\psi(t)|+|\psi(-t)|\} d t  \tag{32}\\
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f\right\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[1+Q^{\prime 2}(x)\right] w_{Q}(x)\{|f(x)|+|f(-x)|\} d x \\
\leqq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right) w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} g(t) d t \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
g(t)=|\psi(t)|+|\psi(-t)| .
$$

Now, as before,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{K}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} g(t) d t d x \ll \int_{0}^{K} g(t) d t\right. \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we choose $K$ so large that $Q^{\prime}(x)>1$ and (24) holds for $x \geqq K$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{K}^{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right) w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} g(t) d t d x \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{Q(t)} g(t)}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \int_{\max (t, K)}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right) w_{Q}(x) d x d t \ll \int_{0}^{\infty} g(t) d t \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

by Corollary 3.
The proof is now complete in view (32), (33), (34), (35).
Out next task is to obtain the analogue of the above lemma for $L^{\infty}$.
The following lemma will play a role similar to that played by Corollary 3 in the proof of Lemma 4.

Lemma 5: (a) Let (21) hold. Then
is bounded.

$$
\left[1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right]^{r / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} d t
$$

(b) Let (15) hold. Then the conclusion above is valid for all $r$ ( $r$ integer, $\geqq 1$ ).

Proof. (a) Clearly, it suffices to show the boundedness if $x \geqq K$ for a suitably chosen large $K$. We choose $K$ so that $x \geqq K \Rightarrow \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}}<\theta_{1}<\frac{1}{r}$ and $Q^{\prime}(x)>1$. Now, by Lemma $1(a)$, it suffices to show that

$$
\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{r / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{K}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} d t
$$

is bounded for $x \geqq K$;
hence to show that $Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} w_{Q}(x) \int_{K}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{Q^{\prime}(t)^{r-1}} d t$ is bounded. But

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\int_{K}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{Q^{\prime}(t)^{r-1}} d t=\int_{K}^{x} \frac{Q^{\prime}(t) e^{Q(t)}}{Q^{\prime}(t)^{r}} d t=\frac{e^{Q(x)}}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{r}}-\frac{e^{Q(K)}}{Q^{\prime}(K)^{2}}+\int_{K}^{x} \frac{r e^{Q(t)} Q^{\prime \prime}(t)}{Q^{\prime}(t)^{r+1}} d t \\
\leqq \frac{e^{Q(x)}}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{r}}+\int_{K}^{x} r e^{Q(t)} \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(t)}{Q^{\prime}(t)^{r+1}} d t \leqq \frac{e^{Q(x)}}{Q^{\prime}(x)^{r}}+r \theta_{1} I .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the claim is proved since $r_{1}^{\theta}<1$.
(b) Let $\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{\prime}(2 x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)}<M$. Choose $K$ so large that

$$
x \geqq K \Rightarrow Q^{\prime}(x)<Q^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) M \quad \text { and } \quad Q^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)>1 .
$$

Again it suffices to show that

$$
\left[Q^{\prime}(x)\right]^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} d t
$$

is bounded for $x \geqq K$ (in view of Lemma 1(b)). We have for $x \geqq K$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \int_{x / 2}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left[1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right]^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} d t \leqq \frac{Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)}}{x / 2} e^{Q(t)} Q^{\prime}(t) d t \\
=\frac{Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)}}{Q^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{r}}\left[e^{Q(x)}-e^{Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}\right] \leqq M^{r}\left(1-e^{Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)-Q(x)}\right) \leqq M^{r} \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 1(b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left[1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right]^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} d t \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded.
Further

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \int_{1}^{x / 2} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left[1+Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right]^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} d t \\
\ll Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)} \int_{1}^{x / 2} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{Q^{\prime}(t)^{r-1}} d t \leqq \frac{Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)}}{Q^{\prime}(1)^{r}} \int_{1}^{x / 2} Q^{\prime}(t) e^{Q(t)} d t \\
\ll Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)}\left[e^{Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}-e^{Q(1)}\right] \ll Q^{\prime}(x)^{r} e^{-Q(x)+Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \ll 1 \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

by Lemma 1(b).
The claim is proved by (36), (37), (38),
Lemma 6: (a) Let either (6) or (15) (hypothesis of Theorem 1) hold. Let f be differentiable, $f(0)=0$ and $w_{\mathbf{Q}} f^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) f(x)\right\|_{\infty}^{+} \ll\left\|w_{Q}(x) f^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{\infty}^{-} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let (18) in the hypothesis of Theorem 2 or (15) hold. Let $f$ be differentiable, $f(0)=0$ and $w_{Q} f^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right) w_{Q}(x) f(x)\right\|_{\infty} \ll\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q} f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{-} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $f$ is twice differentiable, $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $w_{Q} f^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f\right\|_{\infty} \ll\left\|w_{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Let $\psi(x)=w_{Q}(x) f^{\prime}(x)$. If $x>0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x)|f(x)| \leqq\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} e^{Q(t)}|\psi(t)| d t \\
\leqq\|\psi\|_{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} e^{Q(t)} d t \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly (42) also holds if $x \leqq 0$. Thus (39) follows from Lemma 5 . (5a if (6) holds, $5 b$ if (15) holds)
(b) Let $\psi(x)=\left(\left(1+Q^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) w_{Q} f^{\prime}(x)$. As before,

$$
\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right) w_{Q}(x)|f(x)| \leqq\|\psi\|_{\infty}\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right) w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{e^{Q(t)}}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}(t)\right)^{1 / 2}} d t
$$

The conclusion then follows from Lemma 5(a) if (18) holds and 5(b) if (15) holds.

Applying Lemmas 4(a) and 6(a) to the operator

$$
T: g \rightarrow\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q}(x) \int_{0}^{x} e^{\varrho(t)} g(t) d t
$$

we see by the version of Calderon's theorem given in [1] that under the hypothesis of Lemma $6(a)$, (39) holds in an arbitrary r.i. space $\mathfrak{X}$ for differentiable functions $f$ with $f(0)=0$ and $w_{Q} f^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{X}$. Similarly, if (18) is satisfied, and $f$ is a twice differentiable function with $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $w_{Q} f^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{X}$ we have inequality (41) even in the norm of $\mathfrak{X}$.

For small enough $\delta$, we can solve the equation $Q^{\prime}(x)=\delta^{-1}$. We call the greatest such solution $x_{\delta}$.

Lemma 7: (a) Let (21) hold. Then $\delta Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+r \delta\right)$ and consequently

$$
\delta\left[1+\left(Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+r \delta\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

is bounded as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.
(b) If (15) holds, then the above conclusion holds for all $r$.

Proof. (a)

$$
\delta-\frac{1}{Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+r \delta\right)}=\frac{1}{Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}\right)}-\frac{1}{Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+r \delta\right)}=\int_{x_{\delta}}^{x_{\delta}+r \delta} \frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(t)}{Q^{\prime}(t)^{2}} d t<r \theta_{1} \delta
$$

if $\delta$ is small enough.
Hence $\delta Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+r \delta\right) \leqq \frac{1}{1-r \theta_{1}}<+\infty$.
(b) If $\delta$ is so small that $x_{\delta}+r \delta \leqq 2 x_{\delta}$ and $\frac{Q^{\prime}\left(2 x_{\delta}\right)}{Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}\right)} \leqq M$ (say), we have

$$
\delta Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+r \delta\right)=\frac{Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+r \delta\right)}{Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}\right)} \leqq \frac{Q^{\prime}\left(2 x_{\delta}\right)}{Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}\right)} \leqq M .
$$

Let us now summarize the results obtained so far.

## Proposition 8:

(a) Lemma 7.
(b) Lemma 2(a): Under the assumptions (i) and (iii) of Theorem 1,

$$
Q^{\prime \prime}(x) \pm Q^{\prime 2}(x) \ll 1+Q^{\prime 2}(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

(c) Let the hypothesis of Theorem 1 hold. Let $f$ be differentiable, $f(0)=0$ and $w_{\mathbf{Q}} f^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{\mathcal{Q}} f\right\|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \ll\left\|w_{\boldsymbol{Q}} f^{\prime}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

（d）Let（18）（hypothesis（iii）of Theorem 2）hold．Let f be twice differentiable， $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $w_{Q} f^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{X}$ ．Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(Q^{\prime \prime}+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f\right\|_{\mathfrak{x}} \ll\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f\right\|_{⿱ 丷 天} \ll\left\|w_{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{¥} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 9：Let for each $t \in[a, b], g(\cdot, t) \in \mathfrak{X}$ ．Let $g(x, t)$ be jointly measurable． Then $\|g(\cdot, t)\|_{x}$ is measurable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{a}^{b} g(x, t) d t\right\|_{x} \leqq \int_{a}^{b}\|g(\cdot, t)\|_{x} d t \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof．The measurability assertion is found in［9］．Let $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ be the associate space of $\mathfrak{X}$ ．Since $\mathfrak{X}$ has the Fatou property，

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\int_{a}^{b} g(x, t) d t\right\|_{X}=\sup _{\|h\|_{X^{\prime}=1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}}|h(x)| \int_{a}^{b}|g(x, t)| d t d x \quad \text { ([14]) } \\
=\sup _{\|h\|_{X^{\prime}=1}} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left|h(x)\left\|g(x, t) \mid d x d t \leqq \sup _{\|h\|_{X^{\prime}=1}} \int_{a}^{b}\right\| g(\cdot, t)\left\|_{\mathfrak{X}} d t=\int_{a}^{b}\right\| g(\cdot, t) \|_{\mathfrak{X}} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4．Lower estimates

Observe that，by triangle inequality，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{r}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f_{1}+f_{2}, \delta\right) \leqq \omega_{r}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f_{1}, \delta\right)+\omega_{r}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f_{2}, \delta\right) \quad r=1,2 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further，since $\delta Q_{\delta}^{\prime} \leqq 1$ ，we have for $w_{Q} f \in \mathfrak{X}$ ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{r}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{Q}, f, \delta\right) \ll\left\|w_{Q} f\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here onwards， $\mathfrak{X}$ and $Q$ are fixed．Their mention will be suppressed；for example，$\omega_{r}\left(\mathfrak{X}, w_{\mathcal{Q}}, f, \delta\right) \equiv \omega_{r}(f, \delta),\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \equiv\|\cdot\|$ ．

## Lower estimate in Theorem 1

Let $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ be an arbitrary decomposition with $w_{Q} f_{1} \in \mathfrak{X}, f_{2}$ differentiable， $w_{\mathbf{Q}} f_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{X}$ ．Let

$$
f_{2}^{*}(x)=f_{2}(x)-f_{2}(0) ; \quad f^{*}=f_{1}+f_{2}^{*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1}(f, \delta) \leqq \omega_{1}\left(f^{*}, \delta\right) \leqq \omega_{1}\left(f_{1}, \delta\right)+\omega_{1}\left(f_{2}^{*}, \delta\right) \ll\left\|w_{\varrho} f_{1}\right\|+\omega_{1}\left(f_{2}^{*}, \delta\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right\| \leqq \delta\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right\| \ll \delta\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{* \prime}\right\|=\delta\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime}\right\| \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Proposition 8（c）．

Let $|h| \leqq \delta$. Using Lemma 9, translation invariance of $\|\cdot\|$ and then Proposition 8(c), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Delta_{h}\left(w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right)\right\|=\left\|\int_{0}^{h}\left(w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(x+u) d u\right\|=\left\|\int_{0}^{h}\left[-Q^{\prime} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}+w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime}\right](x+u) d u\right\| \\
& \text { The estimate } \quad \leqq|h|\left\{\left\|Q^{\prime} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime}\right\|\right\} \ll \delta\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime}\right\| \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1}(f, \delta) \ll K_{1}(f, \delta) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows from (48), (49), (50) if we observe that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ was an arbitrary decomposition.

## Lower estimate in Theorem $2 a$

Let $f=f_{1}+f_{2}, w_{\mathbf{Q}} f_{1} \in \mathfrak{X}, f_{2}$ be twice differentiable, $w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{X}$. Let $f_{2}^{*}(x)=$ $f_{2}(x)-f_{2}(0)-f_{2}^{\prime}(0) x$ and $f^{*}=f_{1}+f_{2}^{*}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{2}(f, \delta) \leqq \omega_{2}\left(f^{*}, \delta\right) \leqq \omega_{2}\left(f_{1}, \delta\right)+\omega_{2}\left(f_{2}^{*}, \delta\right) \ll\left\|w_{Q} f_{1}\right\|+\omega_{2}\left(f_{2}^{*}, \delta\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 9 , translation invariance of $\|\cdot\|$, convexity of $Q$ and Proposition 8(c) and 8(d) we get, for $|h| \leqq \delta$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\Delta_{h}^{2}\left(w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right)\right\|=\left\|\int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{h}\left(w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(x+u_{1}+u_{2}\right) d u, d u_{2}\right\| \\
=\left\|\int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{h}\left[\left(Q^{\prime 2}-Q^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}-2 Q^{\prime} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}+w_{Q} f_{2}^{* \prime \prime}\right]\left(x+u_{1}+u_{2}\right) d u_{1} d u_{2}\right\| \\
\ll|h|^{2}\left\{\left\|\left(Q^{\prime \prime}+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right\|+\left\|Q^{\prime} w_{Q} f_{2}^{* \prime}\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right\} \ll \delta^{2}\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\| . \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Proposition $\delta(\mathrm{d})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{2}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime 2} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right\| \leqq \delta^{2}\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right\| \ll \delta^{2}\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\| \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, using assumption (ii) (inequality (17)) translation invariance and Proposition $8(\mathrm{~d})$, 8 (c) we get for $|h| \leqq \delta \leqq 1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} \Delta_{h}\left(w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right)\right\| \leqq \delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x) \int_{0}^{h}\left(w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(x+u) d u\right\| \\
=\delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x) \int_{0}^{h}\left(-Q^{\prime} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}+w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(x+u) d u\right\| \\
\leqq \delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x) \int_{0}^{|h|} \mid\left(Q^{\prime} w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\left|+\left|w_{Q} f_{2}^{* \prime}\right|\right)(x+u) d u \|\right.\right. \\
\ll \delta\left\|\int_{0}^{|h|}\left[\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)\left|w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right|+\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|w_{Q} f_{2}^{* \prime}\right|\right](x+u) d u\right\| \\
\leqq \delta^{2}\left\{\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} f_{2}^{*}\right\|+\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w_{Q} f_{2}^{* \prime}\right\|\right\} \ll \delta^{2}\left\|w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\| . \tag{55}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ was an arbitrary decomposition; so that (52) (53), (54) and (55) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{2}(f, \delta) \ll K_{2}\left(f, \delta^{2}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Upper estimate

Let $x_{\delta}^{\prime}$ be the greatest positive solution of the equation $1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\delta^{-2}$. Note $x_{\delta}^{\prime} \leqq x_{\delta}$ where $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}\right)=\delta^{-1}$. Put

$$
\psi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
w_{\Omega}(x) f(x) & \text { if }|x| \leqq x_{\delta}^{\prime}  \tag{57}\\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
w_{Q}(x) f(x)-\psi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { if } & |x| \leqq x_{\delta}^{\prime} \\
w_{Q}(x) f(x) & \text { if } & |x| \geqq x_{\delta}^{\prime} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

But if $\left.|x|>x_{\delta}^{\prime},\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \geqq \delta^{-1}$. Thus, $Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x)=\delta^{-1}$. Hence

$$
w_{Q}(x) f(x)-\psi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { if }|x| \leqq x_{\delta}^{\prime} \\
\delta^{r} Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x)^{r} w_{Q}(x) f(x) & \text { if }|x|>x_{\delta}^{\prime}  \tag{58}\\
r & \geqq 1 \text { any integer. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \leqq \delta^{r}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime r} w_{Q} f\right\|, \quad r \leqq 1 . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{1}(x)=\delta^{-1} w_{Q}^{-1}(x) \int_{0}^{\delta} \psi(x+t) d t  \tag{60}\\
\varphi_{2}(x)=\delta^{-2} w_{Q}^{-1}(x) \int_{0}^{\delta} \int_{0}^{\delta}\left[2 \psi\left(x+\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}\right)-\psi\left(x+t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right] d t_{1} d t_{2} . \tag{61}
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly, using Lemma 9 and (59), for $r=1,2$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|w_{Q} f-w_{Q} \varphi_{r}\right\| \leqq\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} \varphi_{r}-\psi\right\| \leqq \delta^{r}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime \prime} w_{Q} f\right\|+\sup _{|h| \mid \leq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{h}^{r} \psi\right\| \\
\leqq \delta^{r}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{r r} w_{Q} f\right\|+\sup _{|h| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{h}^{r}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+2^{r}\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \\
\ll \sup _{|| | \leqq \delta} \| \Delta_{h}^{r}\left(w_{Q} f\left\|+\delta^{r}\right\| Q_{\delta}^{\prime r} w_{Q} f \| \leqq \omega_{r}(f, \delta) \quad r=1,2\right. \tag{62}
\end{gather*}
$$

Upper estimate in Theorem 1

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\delta \varphi_{Q}^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|Q^{\prime}(x) w_{Q}^{-1}(x) \int_{0}^{\delta} \psi(x+t) d t+w_{Q}^{-1}(x) \Delta_{\delta} \psi(x)\right| \\
\leqq\left|Q^{\prime}(x) w_{Q}^{-1}(x) \int_{0}^{\delta} \Delta_{t} \psi(x) d t\right|+\left|Q^{\prime}(x) w_{Q}^{-1}(x) \psi(x)\right|+w_{Q}^{-1}(x)\left|\Delta_{\delta} \psi(x)\right| \tag{63}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left\|w_{Q} \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\right\| \leqq\left\|Q^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\delta} \Delta_{t} \psi d t\right\|+\delta\left\|Q^{\prime} \psi\right\|+\left\|\Delta_{\delta} \psi\right\| . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall estimate each term on the right hand side of (64) separately. Note that $\Delta_{t} \psi=0$ if $|x| \geqq x_{\delta}^{\prime}+\delta$. Otherwise

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right| & \leqq\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}^{\prime}+\delta\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}+\delta\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \ll \delta^{-1} \quad(\text { By lemma } 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Lemma 8, (59),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|Q^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\delta} \Delta_{t} \psi d t\right\| \ll \sup _{|t| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t} \psi\right\| \ll \sup _{|h| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{h}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \\
\ll \sup _{|h| \leqq \delta} \| A_{h}\left(w_{Q} f\|+\delta\| Q_{\delta}^{\prime} w_{Q} f \| \leqq \omega_{1}(f, \delta) .\right. \tag{65}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also if $|x|>x_{\delta}^{\prime}$ then $\psi=0$. Otherwise

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqq\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(x_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq \delta^{-1}
$$

So, $Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x)=\left(1+Q^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geqq\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|$.
Hence

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\delta\left\|Q^{\prime} \psi\right\| \leqq \delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} \psi\right\| \leqq \delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} w_{Q} f\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \ll \delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} w_{Q} f\right\| \leqq \omega_{1}(f, \delta) \\
\left\|\Delta_{\delta} \psi\right\| \leqq \sup _{|h| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{h}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+2\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \ll \sup _{|h| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{h}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} w_{Q} f\right\| \leqq \omega_{1}(f, \delta) . \tag{67}
\end{array}
$$

Inequalities (64), (65), (66), (67) imply

$$
\delta\left\|w_{Q} \psi_{1}^{\prime}\right\| \ll \omega_{1}(f, \delta)
$$

Hence from (62)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(f, \delta) \leqq\left\|w_{Q}\left(f-\varphi_{1}\right)\right\|+\delta\left\|w_{Q} \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\right\| \ll \omega_{1}(f, \delta) . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe now that $K_{1}(f, \delta)=K_{1}(f-a, \delta)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{R}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Upper estimates in Theorem $2 a$
We have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{Q} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime}=w_{Q}\left(w_{Q}^{-1} w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}+2 Q^{\prime}\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}+\left(Q^{\prime 2}+Q^{\prime \prime}\right) w_{Q} \varphi_{2} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{2}$ is defined in (61).
We shall estimate $\left\|w_{Q} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\|$ by estimating the norm of each of the terms on the right hand side separately, Using Proposition 8(b):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta^{2}\left\|\left(Q^{\prime \prime}+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right\| \ll \delta^{2}\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right\| \\
\equiv\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) \int_{0}^{\delta} \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\Delta_{t_{1}+t_{2}}^{2}}{2} \psi(x) d t_{1} d t_{2}\right\|+\delta^{2}\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) \psi\right\| \tag{70}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $|x| \geqq x_{\delta}^{\prime}+2 \delta$, integrand in the first term is zero. Otherwise, $1+Q^{\prime 2}(x) \leqq$ $Q^{\prime 2}\left(x_{\delta}^{\prime}+2 \delta\right) \ll \delta^{-2}$ (by Lemma 7(a)). So,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) \int_{0}^{\delta} \int_{0}^{\delta} \Delta_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}^{2} \psi(x) d t_{1} d t_{2}\right\| \\
& \ll \delta^{-2}\left\|\int_{0}^{\delta} \int_{0}^{\delta} \Delta_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}^{2} \psi(x) d t_{1} d t_{2}\right\| \ll \sup _{|t| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t}^{2} \psi\right\| \quad \text { (Lemma 9) } \\
& \leqq \sup _{|t| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t}^{2}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+4\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \\
& \ll \sup _{|t| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t}^{2}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\delta^{2}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime 2} w_{Q} f\right\| \quad((59) \text { with } r=2) \quad \leqq \omega_{2}(f, \delta) . \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

If $|x|>x_{\delta}^{\prime}, \psi=0$. Otherwise $Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x)=\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}$. So,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{2}\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right) \psi\right\| & \leqq \delta^{2}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime 2} \psi\right\| \leqq \delta^{2}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime 2} w_{Q} f\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \\
& \ll \delta^{2}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime 2} w_{Q} f\right\| \leqq \omega_{2}(f, \delta) \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence from (70) and (71),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta^{2}\left\|\left(Q^{\prime \prime}+Q^{\prime 2}\right) w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right\| \ll \omega_{2}(f, \delta)  \tag{73}\\
\delta^{2}\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{\delta}\left[4 \Delta_{\frac{\delta}{2}} \psi\left(x+\frac{t}{2}\right)-\Delta_{\delta} \psi(x+t)\right] d t \\
=\int_{0}^{\delta}\left[\Delta_{\frac{t}{2}}^{2} \psi-\Delta_{\frac{\delta+t}{2}}^{2} \psi+2 \Delta_{\frac{\delta+t}{2}} \psi-2 \Delta_{\frac{t}{2}} \psi\right](x) d t
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe, again, that $\delta^{2}\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}(x)$ is zero if $|x|>x_{\delta}^{\prime}+2 \delta$ and otherwise, by Lemma 7, $\left[1+Q^{\prime 2}(x)\right]^{1 / 2} \ll \delta^{-1}$. Thus, $\left[1+Q^{\prime 2}(x)\right]^{1 / 2} \ll Q_{\delta}^{\prime}(x)$ if $|x| \leqq x_{\delta}^{\prime}+2 \delta$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta^{2}\left\|\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime} Q^{\prime}\right\| \leqq \delta^{2}\left\|\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\| \ll\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\delta}\left[\frac{\Delta_{t+\delta}^{2}}{} \psi-\Delta_{\frac{t}{2}} \psi\right] d t\right\| \\
+\left\|\left(1+Q^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\delta}\left[\Delta_{\frac{t}{2}}^{2} \psi-\Delta_{\frac{\delta+t}{2}}^{2} \psi\right] d t\right\|
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 9, and our observation above, we now get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta^{2}\left\|\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime} Q^{\prime}\right\| \ll \sup _{|t| \leqq \delta} \delta\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} \Delta_{t} \psi\right\|+\sup _{|t| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t}^{2} \psi\right\| \\
\ll \delta \sup _{|t| \leqq \delta}\left\|Q_{\delta}^{\prime} \Delta_{t}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\sup _{|t| \leqq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t}^{2}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \ll \omega_{2}(f, \delta) . \tag{74}
\end{gather*}
$$

(Using (59) to estimate the last term)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{2}\left\|\left(w_{Q} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\left\|8 \Delta_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{2} \psi-\Delta_{\delta}^{2} \psi\right\| \ll \sup _{|t| \equiv \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t}^{2} \psi\right\| \\
& \ll \sup _{|t| \leq \delta}\left\|\Delta_{t}^{2}\left(w_{Q} f\right)\right\|+\left\|w_{Q} f-\psi\right\| \ll \omega_{2}(f, \delta) . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

(Using (59) with $r=2$ ). From (73), (74), (75) and (69) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{2}\left\|w_{Q} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\| \ll \omega_{2}(f, \delta) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, (76) and (62) with $r=2$ imply that

$$
K_{2}(f, \delta) \ll \omega_{2}(f, \delta)
$$

To complete the proof, observe that for all $a, b \in \mathbf{R}, K_{2}(f, \delta)=K_{\mathbf{2}}(f-a-b x, \delta)$, so that the above inequality proves Theorem $2 a$.

There are many ways in which the function $\bar{Q}$ in Theorem $2 b$ can be constructed. We give one construction. Observe that since $Q^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $a>0$ such that $Q^{\prime \prime}(a)>0$ ( $Q$ is convex). We distinguish three cases; in each case, $\bar{Q}(x)=Q(x)$ if $x \geqq a$ and $\bar{Q}(x)=\bar{Q}(|x|)$ if $x \leqq 0$. We define $\bar{Q}$ on $[0, a]$ as follows:

Case I: $a Q^{\prime \prime}(a) \leqq Q^{\prime}(a)$

$$
\bar{Q}(x)=Q(a)+Q^{\prime}(a)(x-a)+\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)}{2}(x-a)^{2}+\frac{1}{4 a^{3}}\left(Q^{\prime}(a)-a Q^{\prime \prime}(a)\right)(x-a)^{4}
$$

Case II: $Q^{\prime}(a)<a Q^{\prime \prime}(a)<2 Q^{\prime}(a)$
Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=Q^{\prime}(a)-\frac{1}{2} a Q^{\prime \prime}(a), \quad c=\sqrt{\frac{2 A Q^{\prime \prime}(a)}{a}}, \quad d=\sqrt{\frac{2 A a}{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)}} \\
k=Q(a)-a Q^{\prime}(a)+\frac{a^{2}}{3} Q^{\prime \prime}(a) .
\end{gathered} \quad \text { Put } \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\bar{Q}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{c x^{2}}{2}+\frac{A d}{3}+k & \text { if } \\
A x+\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)}{6 a} x^{3}+k & \text { if } \\
& d \leqq x \leqq a .\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

Case III: $2 Q^{\prime}(a) \leqq a Q^{\prime \prime}(a)$

$$
\bar{Q}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q(a)-\frac{2}{3} \frac{Q^{\prime}(a)^{2}}{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)}, \quad 0 \leqq x \leqq \frac{a Q^{\prime \prime}(a)-2 Q^{\prime}(a)}{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)} \\
\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)^{2}}{4 Q^{\prime}(a)}\left[x-\frac{a Q^{\prime \prime}(a)-2 Q^{\prime}(a)}{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)}\right]^{2} \\
+Q(a)-\frac{2}{3} \frac{Q^{\prime}(a)^{2}}{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)} \text { if } \frac{a Q^{\prime \prime}(a)-2 Q^{\prime}(a)}{Q^{\prime \prime}(a)} \leqq x \leqq a .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The remaining assertions are now easy to verify. (For the verification of (20), observe that $|Q(x)-\bar{Q}(x)| \leqq M$ for some $M>0$ and all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ because of continuity of $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$.)

Remark: A careful examination of the proof shows that both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are valid if we define the $K$-functionals by taking inf over all $f_{1}, f_{2}$ such that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{2}$ has compact support and is once (resp. twice) differentiable, $w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.w_{Q} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}, w_{Q} f_{1} \in \mathfrak{X}$.

## References

1. Bennett, C.: Banach function spaces and interpolation methods, I (The abstract theory); J. of Funct. Anal., 17 (1974), 409-440.
2. Butzer, P. L., Berens, H., Semigroups of Operators and Approximation; Springer Verlag, 1967.
3. Calderón, A. P., Spaces between $L^{1}$ and $L^{\infty}$ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz; Studia Math., 26 (1966), 273-299.
4. Freud, G., On polynomial approximation with respect to general weights; Springer Lecture notes in Mathematics, 399 (1974), 149-179.
5. Freud, G., Weighted polynomial approximation and $K$-functionals; Theory of Approximation and Applications, A. G. Law and B. N. Sahney Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1976, 9-23
6. Freud, G., Markov-Bernstein inequalities in $L^{p}(-\infty, \infty)$; Approximation Theory II, (G. G. Lorentz, C. K. Chui, L. L. Shumaker Eds.), Academeic Press, N. Y., 1976, 369-377.
7. Freud, G., Mhaskar, H. N.: Weighted polynomial approximation in rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces on the whole real line; To appear in Indian J. Math.
8. Lorentz, G. G., Approximation of Functions; Holt, Rinehart, Winston Publ., N. Y., 1966.
9. Luxemburg, W. A. J., On the measurability of a function which occurs in a paper by A. C. Zaanen; Indag. Math., 20 (1958), 259-265.
10. Peetre, J.: Nouvelles propriétiés d'espaces d'interpolation; C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 256 (1963), 1424-1426.
11. Peetre, J., A theory of interpolation of normed spaces; Lecture Notes (Brasilia 1963), Notas de Mathematica, No. 39, 1968.
12. Peetre, J., Exact interpolation theorems for Lipschitz continuous functions; Ricerche Matematica, 18 (1969), 239-259.
13. Peetre, J., On the connection between the theory of interpolation spaces and approximation theory; Proc. Conf. Const. Theory of Functions, (G. Alexits, S. B. Stechkin Eds.), Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 351-363.
14. Zafnen, A. C., Integration; North Holland Publ., Amsterdam and John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1967.

Received September 2, 1981
Author's Address
Professor H. N. Mhaskar
Department of Mathematics
California State University
Los Angeles, California
90032 USA

