

# Homeomorphisms of the line which preserve BMO

Peter W. Jones

Suppose  $u: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is an increasing homeomorphism. For a function  $f$  we then define  $(Uf)(x) = f(u^{-1}(x))$ . The purpose of this paper is to classify those  $u$  for which the operator  $U$  is bounded on  $BMO$ , the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation. Our theorem answers a question of Coifman and Meyer, and was announced in [2]. Though some time has passed since then, the result still seems to be of some interest as it has been used in several papers. The corresponding problem for  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , was solved by Reimann [5], who showed that  $U$  is bounded on  $BMO$  if and only if  $u$  is quasiconformal. The translation of this statement to  $\mathbf{R}$ , i.e. that  $u$  is quasiregular, is false.

In order to understand our result, we must first recall the definition of the Muckenhoupt class  $A_\infty$ . A positive Baire measure  $\mu$  is said to be in  $A_\infty$  if there are constants  $C, \delta > 0$  such that whenever  $I$  is an interval and  $E \subset I$ ,

$$\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(I)} \leq C \left( \frac{|E|}{|I|} \right)^\delta,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes Lebesgue measure. Thus, every  $\mu \in A_\infty$  satisfies  $d\mu(x) = \omega(x) dx$ . For such a positive weight function  $\omega$ , we put  $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega dx$ . We will need to use the fact that whenever  $u$  is an increasing homeomorphism, the measure  $u' \in A_\infty$  if and only if the same is true for  $u^{-1}$ . See [1] for a proof.

**Theorem.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- a)  $U\varphi \in BMO$  whenever  $\varphi \in BMO$  is lower semicontinuous.
- b)  $U$  is a bounded mapping from  $BMO$  to  $BMO$ .
- c)  $U$  is a bijection from  $BMO$  to  $BMO$ .
- d)  $u' \in A_\infty$ .

The proof of the theorem relies upon two useful facts. The first is the theorem of John and Nirenberg [4]. Let  $I$  denote a generic (bounded) interval, and let

$\|\cdot\|_*$  denote the *BMO* norm. Then

$$\sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} |\{x \in I: |\varphi(x) - \varphi_I| > \lambda\}| \leq c_1 \exp \left\{ \frac{-c_2 \lambda}{\|\varphi\|_*} \right\},$$

where  $\varphi_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \varphi dx$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  on  $I$ . The second tool is due to Coifman and Rochberg [3]. Let  $M$  denote the Hardy—Littlewood maximal operator. Suppose  $\mu$  is a Baire measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then if there exists a point  $x$  such that  $M\mu(x) < \infty$ , the function  $\log(M\mu) \in BMO$  and  $\|\log(M\mu)\|_* \leq c_3$ .

With the John—Nirenberg theorem in hand, it is an easy exercise to show that condition (d) of the theorem implies condition (c). We must therefore only show that (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (d).

To show that (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b), let  $u' = \mu$  and suppose there is a set  $E$  such that  $\mu(E) > 0$ , while  $|E| = 0$ . Let  $f \in L^1$  be such that  $\{x: f(x) > n\}$  is an open set containing  $E$ , for all integers  $n$ . Then  $\varphi = \log(Mf)$  is in *BMO* and  $\varphi \equiv +\infty$  on  $E$ . Since any function of the form  $M\mu$  is lower semicontinuous, so is  $\varphi$ . This is a contradiction because  $U\varphi \notin L^1_{loc}$ , let alone *BMO*. Therefore  $d\mu = \omega(x) dx$  where  $\omega \geq 0$  is in  $L^1_{loc}$ , and  $Uf$  is Lebesgue measurable whenever  $f$  is.

By the results of [3], every  $\varphi \in BMO$  is of the form  $\varphi(x) = \alpha \log(M\mu_1)(x) + \beta \log(M\mu_2)(x) + b(x)$ , where  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are Baire measures and  $b \in L^\infty$ . Then since  $Ub$  is bounded and Lebesgue measurable,  $U\varphi$  is Lebesgue measurable and in *BMO*. The closed graph theorem now shows that  $U$  is a bounded operator on *BMO*. Thus, (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).

Now suppose that (b) holds with, say,  $\|U\| = K$ , and suppose that (d) fails. Let  $u'(x) = \omega(x)$ , and fix  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ . Then there is an interval  $I$  and a (measurable) set  $E \subset I$  with  $0 < |E| < \varepsilon|I|$  and  $\omega(E)/\omega(I) \geq (|E|/|I|)^\delta$ . By a translation and a change of scale, we may assume that  $I = u(I) = [0, 1]$ . We may also assume by symmetry that  $E \subset [0, 1/2]$ . Let  $\alpha > 1$  be such that  $u(\alpha) = 2$ , and let  $\varphi = \log(M\chi_E)$ . Then  $\varphi \equiv 0$  a.e. on  $E$ ,  $\varphi(x) \leq \log(2|E|)$  on  $[1, \alpha]$  and  $\|\varphi\|_* \leq c_3$ . Since  $\|U\varphi\|_* \leq c_3K$  and  $U\varphi \leq \log(2|E|)$  on  $[1, 2]$ , the John—Nirenberg theorem shows  $(U\varphi)_{[0, 2]} \leq c_4K + \log|E|$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is small enough,  $c_4K + \log|E| < 0$  and we thus have

$$|F| \equiv |\{x \in [0, 2]: |U\varphi(x) - (U\varphi)_{[0, 2]}| > -c_4K - \log|E|\}| \geq |U(E)| \geq |E|^\delta.$$

But by the John—Nirenberg theorem,

$$|F| \leq 2c_1 \exp \left\{ \frac{c_2}{c_4K} (c_4K + \log|E|) \right\} = c_3|E|^{c_6}.$$

If  $\delta < c_6$ , we then obtain  $(c_5)^{-1} \leq \varepsilon^{c_6 - \delta}$ , which fails as soon as  $\varepsilon$  is small enough.

**References**

1. COIFMAN, R. and FEFFERMAN, C., Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, *Studia Math.*, **51** (1974), 241—250.
2. COIFMAN, R. and MEYER, Y., Une généralisation du théorème de Calderón sur l'intégrale de Cauchy, *Fourier Analysis*, Proceedings of the seminar held at El Escorial, June 1979. Asociación Matemática Española, Madrid, 1980, 87—116.
3. COIFMAN, R. and ROCHBERG, R., Another characterization of *BMO*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79** (1980), 249—254.
4. JOHN, F. and NIRENBERG, L., On functions of bounded mean oscillation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **14** (1961), 415—426.
5. REIMANN, H. M., Functions of bounded mean oscillation and quasiconformal mappings, *Comment Math. Helv.*, **49** (1974), 260—276.

*Received September 1, 1982*

Peter W. Jones  
Institut Mittag—Leffler  
S-18262 Djursholm  
Sweden