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Any $n$th order linear differential equation with a discrete set of singular points on an arbitrary Riemann surface $M$ has a monodromy group [10] $G$ constructed as follows: A set $y_{v}(z)(v=1, \ldots, n)$ of $n$ linearly independent local solutions to the equation in a neighborhood of an ordinary point is analytically continued along a canonical set of cross cuts (i.e., closed loops) for the homotopy group [9] of $M^{\prime}=M-$ \{sing. of diff. eq.\}. The solutions $y_{v, A}$ obtained by analytically continuing each $y_{v}$ along a cross cut $\Lambda$ are linear combinations of the $y_{v}$ and determine a matrix $A \in G L(n, \mathbf{C}) . G$ is generated by $A(\Lambda)$ where $\Lambda$ ranges over all cross cuts mentioned. There is a natural homomorphism $\chi: \pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow G$. When $n=2, A(\Lambda) \in G L(2, C)$ for all $\Lambda \in \pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and $G$ is faithfully represented by the group $G^{*}$ defined as the image of the composition of natural maps $G \subset_{+}^{i} G L(2, \mathrm{C}) \rightarrow$ Möb. $G^{*}$. is isomorphic to $G$ and can be regarded as the monodromy group when $n=2$. Monodromy groups have been studied extensively by Poincaré, Fuchs, Plemelj, Gunning, Deligne, Hejhal and others.

In this paper, we begin a classification of monodromy groups of the particular differential equation known as Hill's equation. The general Hill's equation [8] in $\mathbf{C}$ is a second order, linear, homogeneous differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+P(z) y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with periodic coefficient $P(z), z \in \mathbf{C}$. We consider only those equations for which $P(z)$ is a singly periodic, meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$ with real periods $2 \pi n$ (for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) and with $m$ double poles in every period strip for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$. Such equations can be viewed as equations on the complex cylinder $M=\mathbf{C} /(z \rightarrow z+2 \pi n$ for all $n \in Z$ ) with $m$ regular singular points.

[^0]By substitution of suitable multi-valued functions $z=g(w)$ into the Euler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\alpha}{z} y^{\prime}+\frac{\beta}{z^{2}} y=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the extended complex plane $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ (see [1] for the properties of (2)), we obtain lifted equations which can be transformed to give Hill's equations restricted as specified in the previous paragraph. The generators for the monodromy group $G^{*}$ of each resulting equation are found either by analytically continuing a ratio of its independent solutions along a generating set of loops for $\pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ or by analytically continuing a ratio of independent solutions to (2) along the images under $g(w)$ in $\mathbf{C}$ of a generating set of loops for $\pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ (see [5,6] for a more detailed description of these techniques). Either method allows us to develop the results in Theorems 2 and 3. The abstract groups $C_{l},\left(\mathbf{X}_{i=1}^{n} C_{\infty}\right) \times C_{l}, l \in \mathbf{Z}^{+} \cup\{+\infty\}, n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$as well as $Z_{2}-$ extensions of these groups are realized as monodromy groups of the lifted Hill's equations.

On the other hand, Theorem 4 and its corollary are developed by analytic continuation on $M^{\prime}$ of a ratio of solutions to a certain family of equations of type (1) depending on a complex parameter without lifting any Euler equation on $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. The monodromy groups realized are two generator groups having certain commutator relators as well as relators arising from prime ideals in $\mathbf{Z}\left[\xi, \xi^{-1}\right]$ depending on the values of the parameter mentioned.

We now proceed to describe in detail our findings.
Theorem 1. The substitutions $z=t^{\lambda}(w), \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ with $t(w)$ of form

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(w)=e^{c w} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \sin ^{s_{i}}\left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{2}\right), \quad c \in \mathbf{C} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(w)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \tan ^{s_{i}}\left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{4}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s_{i} \in \mathbf{C}^{*}, \quad m>0, a_{j} \neq a_{k}+2 n \pi$ for all $j, k=1, \ldots, m, j \neq k$, and for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ into any Euler equation (2) (with difference of indicial roots $r=r_{1}-r_{2}$ ) on $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ produce lifted equations which can be transformed respectively into Hill's equations (with a period $2 \pi$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(w)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1-(\lambda r)^{2}}{2}\left(c+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{s_{j}}{2} \cot \left(\frac{w-a_{j}}{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\theta_{2} t(w)\right] y(w)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(w)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1-(\lambda r)^{2}}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{s_{j}}{2} \csc \left(\frac{w-a_{j}}{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\theta_{2} t(w)\right] y(w)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{2}$ is the Schwarzian derivative operator [3]. Equations (5) and (6) can be treated as equations on the complex cylinder $\mathbf{C} /(z \rightarrow z+2 \pi n$ for all $n \in Z)$ and determine monodromy groups on this Riemann surface.

Proof. The Euler equation (2) with difference of indicial roots $r=\sqrt{(\alpha-1)^{2}-4 \beta}$ can be lifted by the map $z=t^{\lambda}(w)$ to $\mathbf{C}$ by a two step process as follows: Let $z=f \circ t(w)$ with $f(t)=t^{\lambda}$. First, lift (2) by $z=f(t)$ to a new Euler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{t} y^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\beta^{\prime}}{t^{2}} y(t)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha^{\prime}=\lambda \alpha-\lambda+1$ and $\beta^{\prime}=\beta \lambda^{2}$ and with difference of indicial roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=\sqrt{\left(\alpha^{\prime}-1\right)^{2}-4 \beta^{\prime}}=\lambda r . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, lift (7) to $\mathbf{C}$ by the substitution $t=t(w)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}(w)+P(w) Y^{\prime}(w)+Q(w) Y(w)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(w)=\left(\frac{-t^{\prime \prime}}{t^{\prime}}\right)+\alpha^{\prime}\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)$ and $Q(w)=\beta^{\prime}\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}$.
If $t(w)$ assumes form (3) or (4), observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}=c+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{s_{j}}{2} \cot \left(\frac{w-a_{j}}{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{s_{j}}{2} \csc \left(\frac{w-a_{j}}{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively and that all singularities of $\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}$ in (10) and (11) are simple poles. Furthermore, $\frac{t^{\prime \prime}}{t^{\prime}}=\left(\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}\right) /\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)$. Consequently, $\frac{t^{\prime \prime}}{t^{\prime}}$ for (10) and (11) as well as $P(w)$ in (9) are meromorphic on $\mathbf{C}$ with simple poles as singularities. Therefore, the transformation [4]

$$
Y(w)=e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int^{w} P(s) d s} y(w)
$$

exists and can be used to transform (9) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(w)+J(w) y(w)=0, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(w) & =Q(w)-\frac{1}{2} P^{\prime}(w)-\frac{1}{4} P^{2}(w) \\
& =\beta^{\prime}\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{-t^{\prime \prime}}{t^{\prime}}+\alpha^{\prime} \frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{-t^{\prime \prime}}{t^{\prime}}+\alpha^{\prime} \frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\beta^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}+\frac{\theta_{2} t(w)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Elementary calculations using (8) produce

$$
\frac{1-(\lambda r)^{2}}{4}=\beta^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4}
$$

so that

$$
J(w)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1-(\lambda r)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}+\theta_{2} t(w)\right]
$$

Equations (9) and (12) have the same ratio of linearly independent solutions. Eq. (12) is (5) or (6) for $t(w)$ of form (3) or (4) respectively.

If $t(w)$ assumes form (10) or (11), observe that $\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}(w+2 \pi)=\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}(w)$ or $\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}(w+2 \pi)=$ $\frac{-t^{\prime}}{t}(w)$ respectively. Furthermore, observe that

$$
\theta_{2} t(w)=\frac{\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}}-\frac{\frac{3}{2}\left[\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2}}{\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}
$$

Hence, $\theta_{2} t(w+2 \pi)=\theta_{2} t(w)$ for $t(w)$ of form (3) or (4). Also, $\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}(w+2 \pi)=\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{t}\right)^{2}(w)$. Thus, $J(w+2 \pi)=J(w)$ in (12). It follows that (5) and (6) are Hill's equations with a period $2 \pi$.

From the periodicity of the coefficients of (5) and (6), we can conclude that these equations are defined on the complex cylinder $C /(z \rightarrow z+2 \pi n$ for all $n \in Z)$ and have monodromy groups there.

Remark 1. The proof of Theorem 1 implies that if the substitutions $z=t^{2}(w)$ and $z=t(w), t(w)$ fixed of form (3) or (4), are made respectively into any two Euler equations with respectie differences of indicial roots $r$ and $r^{\prime} \approx r \lambda$, then the same transformed Hill's equation results.

We can now prove

Theorem 2. Each equation of form (5) has monodromy group $G^{*}$ of one of the following types;

$$
C_{l}, \quad\left(X_{i=1}^{n} C_{\infty}\right) \times C_{l}, \quad l \in Z^{+} \cup\{+\infty\}, \quad n \in Z^{+}
$$

All of these groups (for all specified l and $n$ ) are realized as $r \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and $t(w)$ of form (3) both vary.

Proof. Remark 1 implies that there exists an equation (2) which lifts by map (3) to an equation which transforms into (5). Therefore, $\lambda=1$ can be assumed with no loss of generality. Let $u(z)$ be some ratio of linearly independent solutions to (2) and $h(w)=u \circ t(w)$ the corresponding ratio of linearly independent solutions to (5). Equation (5) has singularities at $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ and $b_{p}(p=1, \ldots, n)$, the additional poles of $\theta_{2} t(w)$, as well as at all translates $a_{i}+2 \pi n, b_{p}+2 \pi n, n \in Z$ where no translate of any $b_{p}$ is a translate of any $a_{i}$. It can be assumed, without loss of generality, that all $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ and $b_{p}(p=1, \ldots, n)$ lie in $D=\{z \mid c<\operatorname{Re} z<c+2 \pi\}$ for some $c \in$ Re. Since, by Theorem 1, equation (5) can be viewed as an equation on the cylinder $C /(z \rightarrow z+2 \pi n$ for all $n \in Z)$, the group $G^{*}$ is generated by the elements $T_{a_{i}}$ and $T_{b_{j}}$ corresponding to simple loops $\Lambda_{a_{i}}$ and $\Lambda_{b_{j}}$ in $D$ about the points $a_{i}$ and $b_{p}$ in $D$ as well as the element $T_{\pi}$ corresponding to an arc $A_{2 \pi}$ from some fixed base point $w$ in $D$ to $w+2 \pi$. Here, all loops and arcs avoid singularities of (5).

Equation (2) has a ratio of linearly independent solutions given by

$$
u(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
z^{r} & \text { if } & r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\
\ln z & \text { if } & r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

so that

$$
h(w)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{c r w} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \sin ^{r s_{i}}\left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{2}\right) & \text { if } & r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\
c w+\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} \ln \sin \left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{2}\right) & \text { if } & r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $h(w)$ is locally single-valued in a neighborhood of $b_{p}$ for all $p, T_{b_{p}}=$ id. Furthermore, the generators $T_{a_{i}}$ corresponding to the simple loops $\Lambda_{a_{i}}$ are given by

$$
T_{a_{i}}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{2 \pi i r s_{i}} & \text { if } & r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\
2 \pi i s_{i}+z & \text { if } & r=0
\end{array}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m\right.
$$

The generator $T_{\pi}$ is obtained by determining the continuation $h(w+2 \pi)$ along the $\operatorname{arc} \Lambda_{2 \pi}$. We obtain

$$
h(w+2 \pi)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{c r 2 \pi} \prod_{i=1}^{m} e^{\left(2 k_{i}+1\right) \pi i r s_{i}} h(w) & \text { if } & r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\
{\left[c 2 \pi+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(2 k_{i}+1\right) \pi i s_{i}\right]+h(w)} & \text { if } & r=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m) \in Z$ depend on the homotopy class $\left[\Lambda_{2 \pi}\right]$ in $\mathbf{C}-\left\{a_{i}+2 \pi n\right.$, $n \in Z\}$. Therefore,

$$
T_{\pi}(z)= \begin{cases}e^{c r 2 \pi} I_{i=1}^{m} e^{\left(2 k_{i}+1\right) \pi i s_{i} z} & \text { if } \quad r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\ {\left[c 2 \pi+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(2 k_{i}+1\right) \pi i s_{i}\right]+z} & \text { if } \quad r=0\end{cases}
$$

$G^{*}$ is generated by $T_{a_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, m), T_{\pi}$ and is a group of affine mappings consisting entirely of multiplications if $r \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ or of translations if $r=0$. Hence, $G^{*}$ is Abelian and a direct product of at most $m+1$ cyclic groups [11].

We now show that $G^{*}$ has at most one generator of finite order. If $r=0$, then clearly $G^{*}$ has no generators or nontrivial elements of finite order. If $r \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$, then assume that

$$
D_{1}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \frac{I}{J}} z, \quad D_{2}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \frac{K}{L}} z, \quad I, J, K, L \in Z^{*}
$$

are generators of $G^{*}$ having finite order. Define

$$
C(z)=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{J L} g c d(I L, J K)}
$$

Number theory shows that the subgroups of $G^{*}$ generated by $D_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $C$ are the same. We conclude that $G^{*}$ has at most one generator of finite order. Therefore, $G^{*}$ is one of the types claimed.

All of these types are realized as follows: Although $G^{*}$ is generated by at most $m+1$ generators, it might have a minimal generating set with fewer elements. We will show, for fixed $m$ and $r$ in equation (5), that there are choices of $s_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ and $c$ for which a corresponding minimal generating set contains precisely $m+1$ ( $m \geqq 1$ ) elements of infinite order. Similar arguments are used to prove the existence of monodromy groups with one generator of finite order and with fewer than two generators of infinite order. Consequently, all groups listed will result as $m$ and $r$ are varied.

Suppose that, for fixed $m, r$ and arbitrary $s_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m), c$ and $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$, there exists a minimal generating set having fewer than $m+1$ elements. This assumption leads to at least one relation of the form

$$
T_{\pi}^{n_{0} \circ} \Pi_{i=1}^{m} T_{a_{i}}^{n_{i}}(z)=z \quad \text { for some }\left(n_{0}, n_{1} ; \ldots, n_{m}\right) \in Z^{m+1}-\{\langle 0,0, \ldots, 0\rangle\}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
e^{2 \pi i\left(-i c r n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{2 k_{i}+1}{2} r s_{i} n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} r s_{i} n_{i}\right)}=1 & \text { if } \\
-i c n_{0}+\mathbf{C}^{*} \\
i=1 & \frac{2 k_{i}+1}{2} s_{i} n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} n_{i}=0
\end{array} \text { if } r=0\right.
$$

so that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
-i c r n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{2 k_{i}+1}{2} r s_{i} n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} r s_{i} n_{i}=N \in Z & \text { if } & r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\
-i c n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{2 k_{i}+1}{2} s_{i} n_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} n_{i}=0 & \text { if } & r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{cases}\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V} \cdot\left(-i c r, r s_{1}, \ldots, r s_{m}\right)=N & \text { if } \\ \vec{V} \cdot \mathbf{C}^{*} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V} \cdot\left(-i c, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)=0 & \text { if } \quad r=0\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}=\left(n_{0}, n_{1}+\left(\frac{2 k_{1}+1}{2}\right) n_{0}, \ldots, n_{m}+\left(\frac{2 k_{m}+1}{2}\right) n_{0}\right) \in L^{*}=\frac{1}{2} Z^{m+1}-\{\langle 0, \ldots, 0\rangle\}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left(-i c, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right) \in \begin{cases}\bigcup_{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V} \in L^{*}} H\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}, \frac{N}{r}\right) & \text { if } \\ \bigcup_{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V} \in \mathbf{L}^{*}} H(\vec{V}, 0) & \text { if } \\ r=0\end{cases}
$$

where $H(\vec{V}, \tau)$ is the hyperplane in $\mathbf{C}^{m+1}$ with equation $\vec{V} \cdot\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m+1}\right)=\tau$. Since such countable unions of hyperplanes must be nowhere dense [12] in $\mathbf{C}^{m+1}$, there exist uncountably many choices of ( $-i c, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$ ) in $\mathbf{C}^{m+1}$ which do not lie in the above countable unions thereby preventing the assumed existence of any relation among the generators $T_{\pi}, T_{a_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, m)$. Hence, $\mathrm{X}_{i=1}^{m+1} C_{\infty}$ for all $m \in Z^{+}$ appears as claimed.

The above construction allows the selection of ( $-i c, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m-1}$ ), m>1, with corresponding monodromy group $\mathrm{X}_{i=1}^{m} C_{\infty}$ for a class of equations having $m-1$ singularities. Let $r \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ and choose $s_{m}$ so that $r s_{m}=1 / l, l \in Z^{+}$. Then, ( $-i c, s_{1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, s_{m-1}, s_{m}$ ) corresponds to classes of equations having $m$ singularities and monodromy groups $C_{l} \times X_{i=1}^{m} C_{\infty}, m>1$.

Letting $r \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ and $t^{\prime}(w)=\sin ^{2 / l}\left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{2}\right), l \in Z^{+}$, produces an equation with one singularity and monodromy group $C_{l}$. Letting $r \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ and $t^{r}(w)=e^{w} \sin ^{2 / l}\left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{2}\right)$, $l \in Z^{+}$, produces an equation with one singularity and monodromy group $C_{l} \times C_{\infty}$. Finally, letting $l=1$ produces the group $C_{\infty}$.

Theorem 3. Each equation of form (6) has monodromy group $G^{*}$ of one of the following types;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle A, B_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n) ; \quad A^{2}=1, B_{j} B_{k}=B_{k} B_{j} \text { for all } j, k=1, \ldots, n,\right. \\
\left.A B_{i}=B_{i}^{-1} A \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, n\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A, B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n) ; A^{2}=1, B_{n}^{l}=1, B_{j} B_{k}\right. & =B_{k} B_{j} \\
\text { for all } j, k=1, \ldots, n, A B_{i}=B_{i}^{-1} A \quad \text { for all } i & =1, \ldots, n\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

All of these groups (for all $l, n \in Z^{+}$) are realized as $r \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and $t(w)$ of form (4) both vary.

Remark 2. If $n=1$ in Theorem 3, then $G^{*}=D_{\infty}$ or $D_{l}$ respectively.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2, there exist generators

$$
T_{a_{i}}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{2 \pi i s_{i} z} & \text { if } & r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\
2 \pi i s_{i}+z & \text { if } & r=0
\end{array} \quad i=1, \ldots, m\right.
$$

corresponding to analytic continuation of

$$
h(w)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\Pi_{i=1}^{m} \tan ^{r s_{i}}\left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{4}\right) & \text { if } & r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} \ln \tan \left(\frac{w-a_{i}}{4}\right) & \text { if } & r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

along simple loops $\Lambda_{a_{i}}$ about the singularities $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ of (6). Here, $\lambda=1$ has been assumed without loss of generality. Furthermore, the proof of Theorem 2 establishes that the subgroup of $G^{*}$ generated by $T_{a_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ is either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n \leqq m) ; B_{j} B_{k}=B_{k} B_{j}, \quad j, k=1, \ldots, n\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n \leqq m) ; B_{j} B_{k}=B_{k} B_{j}, j, k=1, \ldots, n, B_{n}^{l}=1, l \in Z^{+}\right\rangle \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ is a word in the $T_{a_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, m)$. Also, each of the generators $T_{b_{p}}$ (defined as in the proof of Theorem 2) is the identity. The continuation of $h(w)$ along an arc $\Lambda_{2 \pi}$ (avoiding $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ ) from some base point $w$ to $w+2 \pi$ determines the remaining generator

$$
A(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m i i\left(2 k_{i}+1\right) r s_{i}}}}{z} & \text { if } r \in \mathbf{C}^{*} \\ -z+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \pi i\left(2 k_{i}+1\right) s_{i} & \text { if } r=0\end{cases}
$$

where $k_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m) \in Z$ depend on the homotopy class $\left[\Lambda_{2 \pi}\right]$ in $C-\left\{a_{i}+2 \pi n\right.$; $(i=1, \ldots, m), n \in Z\}$. $A B_{i}=B_{i}^{-1} A$ for each $B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ since each $B_{i}$ is either a multiplicative (when $r \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ ) or additive (when $r=0$ ) affine transformation. Clearly, $A^{2}=1$. It follows from the above relations that every word in the generators $A$ and $B_{i}$ ( $i=1, \ldots, m$ ) can be reduced [7] to $M$ or $M A$ where $M$ (possibly the identity) is a word in $B_{i} . M A \neq I$ since $M A$ is an elliptic element of order 2 while $M=I$ only if $M$
is derivable from the relations in (13) and (14). Thus, $G^{*}$ is as claimed. Finally, an analogous hyperplane construction proves that all groups listed must occur for appropriate choices of $r \lambda$ and $t(w)$ of form (4).

Theorem 4. The Hill's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(w)+\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4} \tan ^{2}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)-\beta \tan \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right] y(w)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

has monodromy group $G^{*}$ given by
(A) $\left\langle T_{0}, T_{\pi} ; X_{1} X_{2}=X_{2} X_{1}\right.$ where $X_{i}$ range over $T_{\pi}^{N} T_{0} T_{\pi}^{-N}$ for all $\left.N \in Z\right\rangle$ iff $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}$ is a transcendental number,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle T_{0}, T ; X_{1} X_{2}=X_{2} X_{1} \text { where } X_{i} \text { range over } T_{\pi}^{N} T_{0} T_{\pi}^{-N}\right.  \tag{B}\\
\text { for all } \left.N \in Z, R_{\lambda}\left(T_{\pi}^{N} T_{0} T_{\pi}^{-N}, N \in Z\right)=\mathrm{id} .\right\rangle
\end{gather*}
$$

iff $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}$ is an algebraic number but not a root of unity,
(C) $\left\langle T_{0}, T_{\pi} ; T_{\pi}^{K}=\mathrm{id}\right.$. for fixed $K \in Z^{+}-\{1\}$,

$$
X_{1} X_{2}=X_{2} X_{1} \text { where } X_{i} \text { range over } T_{\pi}^{N} T_{0} T_{\pi}^{-N}
$$

$$
\text { for all } \left.N=0,1, \ldots, K-1, R_{\lambda}\left(T_{\pi}^{N} T_{0} T_{\pi}^{-N}, N \in Z\right)=\mathrm{id} .\right\rangle
$$

iff $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}$ is a primitive Kth root of unity but not 1 ,
(D) $C_{\infty}$ iff $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}=1$.

Proof. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t^{\prime \prime}(w)}{t^{\prime}(w)}=\frac{1}{2} \tan \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)+\beta, \quad \beta \in \mathbf{C} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any solution $t(w)$ to (16) is a ratio of linearly independent solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(w)+\frac{1}{2} \theta_{2} t(w) y(w)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{2} t(w) & =\left(\frac{1}{2} \tan \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)+\beta\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} \tan \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)+\beta\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{8} \tan ^{2}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)-\frac{\beta}{2} \tan \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\beta^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(16) admits a solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(w)=\int_{0}^{w} \sec \left(\frac{s}{2}\right) e^{\beta s} d s \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

multi-valued on $\mathbf{C} /(z \rightarrow z+2 \pi n$ for all $n \in Z)$. The singularities of $\sec \left(\frac{s}{2}\right) e^{\beta s}$ are $w_{l}=(2 l+1) \pi$ for all $l \in Z$ with corresponding residues $2(-1)^{l+1} e^{\beta(2 l+1) \pi}$. Therefore, analytic continuation of (18) along simple loops $\Lambda_{l}$ encircling $w_{l}, l \in Z$, determines monodromy generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{l}(z)=z-4 \pi e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) \pi} e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi l} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, analytic continuation of (18) along an arc $\Lambda_{\pi}$ on $\mathbf{C}$ corresponding to a simple non-contractible loop on $\mathbf{C} /(z \rightarrow z+2 \pi n$ for all $n \in Z)$ gives

$$
t(w+2 \pi)=e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi} t(w)+K_{\beta}
$$

$K_{\beta} \in \mathbf{C}$, with corresponding monodromy generator

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\pi}(z)=e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi} z+K_{\beta} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $K_{\beta}=\int_{\Lambda_{\pi}} \sec \left(\frac{s}{2}\right) e^{\beta s} d s$ depends on the homotopy class [ $\Lambda_{\pi}$ ] with respect to the singularities $w_{l}$ and is therefore known modulo the translations $T_{l}$ for all $l \in Z$.

If $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}=1$, then contour integration over an appropriate choice of contour $\Lambda_{\pi}$ gives $K_{\beta}=0, T_{\pi}=$ id. and $T_{l}(z)=z \pm 4 \pi$ for all $l \in Z$. Hence, $G^{*}=C_{\infty}$. Conversely, if $G^{*}=C_{\infty}$, then $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}=1$. Otherwise, $G^{*}$ would have a minimal generating set consisting of two generators. Thus, Case (D) has been proved.

A calculation establishes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{l+N}(z)=T_{\pi}^{N} \circ T_{l} \circ T_{\pi}^{-N}(z) \text { for all } l, N \in Z \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $T_{0}, T_{\pi}$ form a generating set for $G^{*}$. Furthermore, $X_{1} X_{2}=X_{2} X_{1}$ for any choices of translations $X_{j}(j=1,2)$ in $\left\{T_{\pi}^{N} \circ T_{0} \circ T_{\pi}^{-N}\right.$ for all $\left.N \in Z\right\}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\lambda}\left(T_{0}, T_{\pi}\right)=T_{\pi}^{l_{1} \circ} T_{0}^{j_{1} \circ \ldots \circ T_{\pi}^{l_{n}} T_{0}^{j_{n}}=\mathrm{id}}  \tag{22}\\
& \text { where } \begin{cases}j_{i} & (i=1, \ldots, n-1) \in Z^{*} \\
l_{i} & (i=2, \ldots, n) \in Z^{*} \\
l_{1}, j_{n} \in Z\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

be an arbitrary relation in $G^{*}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\lambda}\left(T_{0}, T_{\pi}\right)=\left(T_{\pi}^{\left.l_{1} \circ T_{0} \circ T_{\pi}^{-l_{1}}\right)^{j_{1}} \circ\left(T_{\pi}^{l_{1}+l_{2}} \circ T_{0} \circ T_{\pi}^{-\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)}\right)^{j_{2}} \circ \ldots}\right.  \tag{23}\\
\ldots \circ\left(T_{\pi}^{\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i} \circ T_{0} \circ T_{\pi}^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}\right)^{j_{n}} \circ T_{\pi}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{t}}=\mathrm{id}} .\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

The relation in (23) shows that the multiplier $\left(e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}$ of the Möbius transformation $R_{\lambda}\left(T_{0}, T_{\pi}\right)$ in (23) is 1 . Consequently, $T_{\pi}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}=\mathrm{id}$. and $R_{\lambda}\left(T_{0}, T_{\pi}\right)$ is a word involving only the conjugates $T_{\pi}^{N} T_{0} T_{\pi}^{-N}, N \in Z$. Let $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi} \neq 1$ so that $T_{\pi} \neq \mathrm{id}$. Thus, every relation $T_{\pi}^{k}=\mathrm{id}$. can be obtained by free reduction (implying that $k=0$ ) iff $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}$ is not a root of unity. Hence, Cases (A) and (B) have been distinguished from Case (C).

Let $e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi} \neq 1$. If $R_{\lambda}$ is any relation in (22) which cannot be derived from the previously discussed relations $X_{1} X_{2}=X_{2} X_{1}$, then $R_{\lambda}$ can be written, using these relations, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\lambda}\left(T_{0}, T_{\pi}\right)=\left(T_{\pi}^{\left.n_{1} \circ T_{0} \circ T_{\pi}^{-n_{1}}\right)^{j_{1}} \circ\left(T_{\pi}^{n_{2}} \circ T_{0} \circ T_{\pi}^{-n_{2}}\right)^{j_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ\left(T_{\pi}^{n_{k}} \circ T_{0} \circ T_{\pi}^{-n_{k}}\right)^{j_{k}}=\mathrm{id}}\right.  \tag{24}\\
& \quad \text { where } \begin{cases}n_{1}>n_{2}>\ldots>n_{k}, & n_{i} \in Z \\
j_{i} \in Z^{*}, & i=1, \ldots, k\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

and conversely. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\lambda}\left(T_{0}, T_{\pi}\right)(z)=z-4 \pi e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) \pi} \tau^{n_{k}\left[j_{1} \tau^{n_{1}-n_{k}}+j_{2} \tau^{n_{2}-n_{k}}+\ldots+j_{k}\right]=z}  \tag{25}\\
\tau=e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi} \neq 0,1
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, $P(\xi)=j_{1} \xi^{n_{1}-n_{k}}+j_{2} \xi^{n_{2}-n_{k}}+\ldots+j_{k}$ is a non-constant polynomial with integer coefficients having $\tau$ as a zero. Thus, $\tau$ is algebraic. Conversely, if $\tau$ is algebraic, then the reversal of the steps in the above argument constructs a non-trivial relator $\boldsymbol{R}_{\lambda}$. Thus, Case (A) has been distinguished from Cases (B) and (C). This distinction together with the already known distinction of Cases (A) and (B) from Case (C) completes the proof.

Remark 3. Observe that the map $\gamma_{G^{*}}: R_{\lambda}\left(T_{0}, T_{\pi}\right) \rightarrow \xi^{n_{k}} P(\xi)$ is an epimorphism from the group $\left\langle R_{\lambda}\right\rangle$ to the prime ideal (in the ring $Z\left[\xi, \xi^{-1}\right]$ ) consisting of all polynomials in $\xi$ and $\xi^{-1}$ having $\tau=e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}$ as a root. Here, $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\gamma_{G^{*}}\right)$ contains all of the relators $X_{1} X_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{2}^{-1}$.

Corollary. The monodromy group $G^{*}$ of equation (15) is Kleinian (in fact, elementary) iff $\tau=e^{\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2}\right) 2 \pi}$ satisfies $\tau^{\nu}=1$ for $v=1,2,3,4,6$.

Proof. If $\tau \neq 1$, then $G^{*}$ is generated by

$$
T_{0}(z)=z-4 \pi \tau^{1 / 2}, \quad T_{\pi}(z)=\tau z+K_{\beta}
$$

The group $\bar{G}$ generated by

$$
\bar{T}_{0}(z)=z+1, \quad \bar{T}_{\pi}(z)=\tau z
$$

is conjugate to $G^{*}$ in Möb. Hence, $G^{*}$ is Kleinian iff $\bar{G}$ is Kleinian.
Now, the proof splits naturally into four cases.

Case 1. If $|\tau| \neq 1$, then the transformations

$$
\bar{T}_{\pi}^{n} \circ \bar{T}_{0} \circ \bar{T}_{\pi}^{-n}=z+\tau^{n}
$$

include translations with $\left|\tau^{n}\right|<\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ and suitable choices of $n \in Z$. Hence, $\bar{G}$ and $G^{*}$ are not Kleinian.

Case 2. If $|\tau|=1$ and $\tau$ is not a root of unity, then $\bar{T}_{\pi}$ is an elliptic element of infinite order. Hence, $\bar{G}$ and $G^{*}$ are not Kleinian.

Case 3. If $|\tau|=1$ and $\tau$ is a root of unity but not 1 , then it is seen, using pp . 210-214 of [2], that $\bar{G}$ and $G^{*}$ are Kleinian iff $\tau^{\nu}=1$ for $v=2,3,4,6$. For these values of $v, G^{*}$ is an elementary Kleinian group.

Case 4. If $\tau=1$, then the proof of Case (D) in Theorem 4 shows that $G^{*}$ is generated by $T(z)=z+4 \pi$. Thus, $G^{*}$ is an elementary Kleinian group.
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