

The inverse Abel transform for $SU(p, q)$ *

Christopher Meaney

Introduction

Suppose that G/K is a noncompact Riemannian symmetric space and that G has an Iwasawa decomposition $G=KAN$. The Abel transform F_f of a bi- K -invariant function f on G is the Weyl group invariant function on the Lie algebra of A defined by

$$F_f(H) = e^{e(H)} \int_N f(\exp(H)n) dn, \quad H \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Gangolli has shown that $f \mapsto F_f$ is an isomorphism ${}^K C_c^\infty(G) \cong \mathfrak{M} C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$. In this paper we describe the inverse of the Abel transform in the case when $G = SU(p, q)$. The main ingredients are as follows.

Firstly, it is known that the Abel transform relates the spherical transform on G and the Euclidean space Fourier transform on A in the following manner:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^K C_c^\infty(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{spherical transform}} & \mathfrak{M} C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a}^*) \\ \text{Abel transform} \searrow & & \nearrow \text{Fourier transform} \\ & & {}^W C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a}) \end{array}$$

Hence, a function $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(G)$ is equal to the inverse spherical transform of $\mathcal{F}_a(F_f)$. In the case of $SU(p, q)$ there is an explicit formula for the spherical functions, due to Berezin and Karpelevich, and so one can write out an explicit formula for the inverse spherical transform. This involves a product of inverse Jacobi transforms, one for each of the $\dim(A)$ variables describing coordinates in \mathfrak{a}^* , applied to the Fourier transform of a $\prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) F_f$. Here ∂_i means partial differentiation with respect to the i^{th} coordinate on \mathfrak{a} . The final step uses a result of Koornwinder,

* Dedicated to the memory of Irving Glicksberg.

which states that an inverse Jacobi transform is a composition of fractional integral operators following the one-dimensional Fourier transform applied to even functions. Our formula states that if $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(G)^K$ then f is a fixed function multiplied with a product of compositions of fractional integral operators (in each of $\dim(A)$ variables) applied to

$$\prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) F_f.$$

We obtain a similar inversion formula for the Radon transform (in the sense of Helgason) acting on $S(U(p) \times U(q))$ -invariant functions on $M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$. As an application of this latter formula we demonstrate a local regularity property for $S(U(p) \times U(q))$ -invariant Fourier transforms on $M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$, when $q \cong p > 1$ or $q > p \cong 1$, and exhibit some sets of nonsynthesis for the algebra of Fourier transforms on $M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$.

I. Preliminaries

Let G denote a connected noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite centre and with a fixed Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. Furthermore, denote by \mathfrak{a} the Lie algebra of A and $\mathbf{H}: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ the Iwasawa projection. Fix a Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}_+ in \mathfrak{a} and let R^+ be the corresponding set of positive restricted roots. The multiplicity of $\alpha \in R^+$ is written $m(\alpha)$ and we set $\varrho = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} m(\alpha)\alpha$. The Weyl group is denoted by w . The Lie algebra of G has the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ and we equip \mathfrak{p} with the inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)$ coming from the Killing form. In particular, functionals $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ are viewed as elements of \mathfrak{p}^* which take the value of zero on the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{p} . For each $\alpha \in R^+$ fix $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ so that $(H_\alpha | H) = \alpha(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. The vector field determined by H_α is denoted by ∂_α . The Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}^* is normalized so that the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} f(X) e^{-i\lambda(X)} dX, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}^*), \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*,$$

has as its inverse

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1} g(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} g(\lambda) e^{i\lambda(X)} d\lambda, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}^*), \quad X \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Then normalize the Haar measures on A, N, K and G in the usual manner, see [16], section 8.1.3.

For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ there is the zonal spherical function

$$(1) \quad \varphi_\lambda(x) = \int_K e^{(i\lambda - \varrho)(\mathbf{H}(xk))} dk, \quad \forall x \in G,$$

and the generalized Bessel function

$$(2) \quad \Psi_\lambda(X) = \int_K e^{i\lambda(\text{Ad}(k)X)} dk, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

The properties of these functions are described in [3, 7 and 11].

The spherical transform is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_G f(x) \varphi_\lambda(x^{-1}) dx, \quad \forall f \in {}^K C_c(G)^K,$$

and the Abel or horospherical transform is

$$F_f(H) = e^{\alpha(H)} \int_N f(\exp(H) \cdot n) dn, \quad \forall f \in {}^K C_c(G)^K, \quad H \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

It is known that $f \rightarrow F_f$ defines an isomorphism between ${}^K C_c^\infty(G)^K$ and ${}^{\mathfrak{m}} C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$, and that

$$(3) \quad \hat{f}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_\alpha(F_f)(\lambda), \quad \forall f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(G)^K, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

See [7] for details. For each $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ let ν_H be the probability measure on \mathfrak{a} defined by

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}} f d\nu_H = \int_K f(\mathbf{H}(\exp(H) \cdot k)) dk, \quad \forall f \in C_c(\mathfrak{a}).$$

It follows from a theorem of Kostant that the support of ν_H is the closed convex hull of $\mathfrak{w} \cdot H$. In addition, [6], if H is regular in \mathfrak{a} then ν_H is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Equation (1) shows that

$$(4) \quad \varphi_\lambda(\exp(H)) = \mathcal{F}_\alpha(e^{-\alpha} \nu_H)(-\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

Let \mathbf{C} denote the function on \mathfrak{a}^* which yields the inverse spherical transform [7],

$$(5) \quad f(x) = |\mathfrak{w}|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \hat{f}(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) |\mathbf{C}(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda.$$

The function $\beta(\lambda) = |\mathbf{C}(\lambda)|^{-2}$ is smooth and of polynomial growth on \mathfrak{a}^* , so that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1} \beta$ is a well-defined tempered distribution on \mathfrak{a} , see [5], section 3.8.

Combining equations (3), (4) and (5) we see that if $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(G)^K$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\exp(H)) &= |\mathfrak{w}|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(F_f)(\lambda) \mathcal{F}_\alpha(e^{-\alpha} \nu_H)(-\lambda) \beta(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= |\mathfrak{w}|^{-1} F_f * (e^{-\alpha} \nu_H) * (\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1} \beta)(0). \end{aligned}$$

The convolution is well-defined since $(e^{-\alpha} \nu_H)$ has compact support. Note that $\beta(-\lambda) = \beta(\lambda)$.

6. Lemma. *The inverse of the Abel transform is given by*

$$f(\exp(H)) = |\mathfrak{w}|^{-1} \langle F_f, (e^{-\alpha} \nu_H) * (\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1} \beta) \rangle$$

for all $F_f \in {}^{\mathfrak{m}} C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 3.5 in [10] summarizes this inversion when G has only one conjugacy class of Cartan subgroups. See also [1] for the case of $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$ and [9] for some calculations of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1}\beta$. In the next section we will explicate Lemma 6 for $G = SU(p, q)$ and $K = S(U(p) \times U(q))$.

It is possible to make a similar remark concerning the Radon transform on \mathfrak{p} , as defined on p. 306 of [11]. First, let G_0 denote the Cartan motion group $K \times \mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{q} the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{p} . Translates of \mathfrak{q} by elements of G_0 are called planes in \mathfrak{p} . If $f \in C_c(\mathfrak{p})$ and ξ is a plane in \mathfrak{p} then the value of the Radon transform of f at ξ is

$$\mathcal{R}f(\xi) := \int_{\xi} f(Y) dY,$$

where dY is Lebesgue measure on ξ . From [11] we know that if $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ then

$$(7) \quad \check{f}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathfrak{p}} f(X) \Psi_{-\lambda}(X) dX = \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\mathcal{R}f(\cdot + \mathfrak{q}))(\lambda).$$

This is analogous to (3). Here $H \rightarrow \mathcal{R}f(H + \mathfrak{q})$ is an element of ${}^m C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$. It is well-known that the inverse spherical transform for (G_0, K) is given by

$$(8) \quad f(H) = |w|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \check{f}(\lambda) \Psi_\lambda(H) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^+} |\lambda(H_\alpha)|^{m(\alpha)} d\lambda,$$

for all $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.

For each $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ let η_H be the probability measure on \mathfrak{a} with support $\overline{\text{co}}(w \cdot H)$ and Fourier transform $\Psi_{-\lambda}(H)$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{B}(\lambda) = \left| \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^+} \lambda(H_\alpha)^{m(\alpha)} \right|$.

9. Lemma. *If $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ then*

$$f(H) = \langle \mathcal{R}f(\cdot + \mathfrak{q}), \eta_H * \mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1} B \rangle.$$

In the rank 1 case the K -invariant functions on \mathfrak{p} are just radial functions and this formula becomes a special case of results in 1.4 of [8]. As with the Abel transform, we will explicate Lemma 9 when $K = S(U(p) \times U(q))$ and $\mathfrak{p} = M_{p,q}(\mathbf{C})$, the space of $p \times q$ complex matrices.

II. The case $G = SU(p, q)$

In this section we fix $q \geq p \geq 1$ and let $G = SU(p, q)$. We use the Iwasawa decomposition described in [12], so that $K = S(U(p) \times U(q))$, $k = q - p \geq 0$,

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\{ H_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{p \times p} & \mathbf{t} & 0_{p \times k} \\ \mathbf{t} & \dots & \dots \\ 0_{k \times p} & 0_{q \times q} & \dots \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{t} = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_p), t_1, \dots, t_p \in \mathbf{R} \right\},$$

$a_t = \exp(H_t)$, and

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

Fix the Weyl chamber $\alpha_+ = \{H_t : t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_p > 0\}$ and identify α^* with \mathbb{R}^p via

$$\lambda(H_t) = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j t_j.$$

The Weyl group w is the semidirect product of \mathfrak{S}_p , acting as permutations $t \rightarrow (t_{s(1)}, \dots, t_{s(p)})$, and $\{\pm 1\}^p$ acting via $t \rightarrow (\varepsilon_1 t_1, \dots, \varepsilon_p t_p)$ with $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$. Each function $f \in {}^w C_c^\infty(\alpha)$ is even as a function of each particular coordinate and invariant under all permutations of coordinates. The positive restricted roots, in the notation of [12], p. 71, are $\alpha_j, 2\alpha_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq p$) and $\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$).

We abbreviate $\partial_j = \partial_{x_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let (see (2.2) in [13])

$$\Delta_{k,0}(t) = |(e^t - e^{-t})^{2k+1} (e^t + e^{-t})|.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}^p$ set

$$\sigma(H_t) = \prod_{j=1}^p \Delta_{k,0}(t_j)$$

and

$$\omega(a_t) = 2^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i < j} (\cosh(2t_i) - \cosh(2t_j)).$$

Note that if $(s, \varepsilon) \in w$ then

$$(10) \quad \omega(a_{(s, \varepsilon)t}) = \text{sign}(s) \omega(a_t).$$

The integrand in equation (8) involves $\prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \lambda(H_\alpha)^{m(\alpha)}$, which in this case is equal to

$$(11) \quad \text{const} \left(\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2)^2 \right) \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^{2k+1}.$$

In order to describe the zonal spherical functions and generalized Bessel functions we must recall some special functions. For each $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$ the Jacobi function of index $(k, 0)$ is equal to

$$\varphi_\lambda^{(k,0)}(t) = {}_2F_1((k+1+iA)/2, (k+1-iA)/2; k+1; -(\sinh t)^2).$$

Furthermore, set

$$C_{k,0}(A) = \frac{2^{k+1} \Gamma((iA)/2) \Gamma((1+iA)/2)}{(\Gamma((k+1+iA)/2))^2},$$

as in (2.6) of [13]. It is known [15] that if $A \neq 0$ and $t > 0$ then

$$(12) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{N\lambda}^{(k,0)}(t/N) = \text{const.} (At)^{-k} J_k(At),$$

where J_k is the classical Bessel function and the constant depends only on k . Let $\mathcal{J}_k(s) = s^{-k} J_k(s)$.

The formulae of Berezin and Karpelivich [2] state that if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is such that (11) is not zero then

$$(13) \quad \varphi_\lambda(a_t) = \text{const. det}(\varphi_{\lambda_i}^{(k,0)}(t_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} / \{\omega(a_t) \cdot \prod_{l < m} (\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_m^2)\}$$

and

$$(14) \quad \Psi_\lambda(H_t) = \text{const. det}(\mathcal{J}_k(\lambda_i t_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} / \prod_{l < m} \{(t_l^2 - t_m^2)(\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_m^2)\}$$

for all $H_t \in \mathfrak{a}_+$. Equation (13) is proved in [12] and equation (14) follows from (12), (13), and [4].

Now suppose that $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(G)^K$ and that λ is a regular element of \mathfrak{a}^* . Then, the spherical transform of f is given by

$$(15) \quad \prod_{l < m} (\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_m^2) \hat{f}(\lambda) = \text{const.} \int_{\mathfrak{a}} f(a_t) \omega(a_t) \text{det}(\varphi_{\lambda_i}^{(k,0)}(t_j)) \prod_{j=1}^p \Delta_{k,0}(t_j) dt_1 \dots dt_p.$$

The right-hand side of (15) is the sum over all permutations $s \in \mathfrak{S}_p$ of integrals

$$(16) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{a}} f(a_t) \omega(a_t) \text{sign}(s) \prod_{j=1}^p \{\varphi_{\lambda_j}^{(k,0)}(t_{s(j)}) \Delta_{k,0}(t_{s(j)})\} dt_1 \dots dt_p \\ = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} f(a_t) \omega(a_t) \prod_{j=1}^p \{\varphi_{\lambda_j}^{(k,0)}(t_j) \Delta_{k,0}(t_j)\} dt_1 \dots dt_p,$$

the left-hand side of (15) is equal to

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) F_f)(\lambda).$$

In (16) the integrand is invariant under the action of $\{\pm 1\}^p$ and anti-invariant under the action of \mathfrak{S}_p . Let us set

$$C_+ = \{H_t \in \mathfrak{a} : t_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, p\}.$$

Then the integral (16) is 2^p times the integral

$$(18) \quad \int_{C_+} f(a_t) \omega(a_t) \prod_{j=1}^p \{\varphi_{\lambda_j}^{(k,0)}(t_j) \Delta_{k,0}(t_j)\} dt_1 \dots dt_p.$$

To proceed from here we need the results of Koornwinder [13] on the Jacobi transform. For positive real numbers μ and σ and g a compactly supported smooth function on C_+ set

$$(\mathbf{W}_\mu^\sigma(g))(H_t) = \Gamma(\mu)^{-p} \int_{t_p}^\infty \dots \int_{t_1}^\infty g(H_s) \times \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^p \{(\cosh(\sigma s_j) - \cosh(\sigma t_j))^{\mu-1} \sigma \sinh(\sigma s_j)\} ds_1 \dots ds_p.$$

Furthermore, for σ as above, $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $\mu > -n$ let

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{W}_\mu^\sigma(g))(H_t) &= (-1)^{np} \Gamma(\mu+n)^{-p} \int_{t_p}^\infty \dots \int_{t_1}^\infty \left(\prod_{k=1}^p \frac{\partial^n}{\partial (\cosh \sigma s_k)^n} g(H_s) \right) \times \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{l=1}^p \{(\cosh(\sigma s_l) - \cosh(\sigma t_l))^{\mu+n-1} \sigma \sinh(\sigma s_l)\} ds_1 \dots ds_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

These are p -fold tensor products of the operators \mathcal{W}_μ^σ in [13]. Combining (18) with (3.7) and (3.12) of [13] we see that if $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(G)^K$ and $\lambda \in \alpha^*$ then

$$(19) \quad \hat{f}(\lambda) = \text{const.} \int_{C_+} \mathbf{W}_k^1 \circ \mathbf{W}_{1/2}^2((f \circ \exp) \cdot (\omega \circ \exp))(H_t) \prod_{j=1}^p \cos(\lambda_j t_j) dt_1 \dots dt_p.$$

Rewriting equation (17), taking into account its invariance under $\{\pm 1\}^p$, $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ is also equal to

$$(20) \quad \text{const.} \int_{C_+} \left(\prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) F_f \right)(H_t) \prod_{j=1}^p \cos(\lambda_j t_j) dt_1 \dots dt_p.$$

We can invert the cosine transform one variable at a time and similarly the transforms \mathbf{W}_μ^σ .

21. Theorem. For G, K , and α_+ as above and $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(G)^K$, the Abel transform F_f satisfies

$$\prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) F_f(H_t) = \text{const.} \mathbf{W}_k^1 \circ \mathbf{W}_{1/2}^2((f \circ \exp) \cdot (\omega \circ \exp))(H_t)$$

for all $H_t \in \alpha_+$. Furthermore, the inverse Abel transform is

$$f(a_t) = \text{const.} \omega(a_t)^{-1} \mathbf{W}_{-1/2}^2 \circ \mathbf{W}_{-k}^1 \left(\prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) F_f \right)(H_t)$$

for all $H_t \in \alpha_+$.

This last formula can be thought of as a higher rank version of section V.2.4 in [8]. We now turn our attention to Radon transform in Lemma 9. We know that if $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ and λ is a regular element of α^* then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{i < m} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_m^2) \cdot \hat{f}(\lambda) &= \text{const.} \int_{\alpha} f(H_t) \prod_{i < j} (t_i^2 - t_j^2) \det(\mathcal{F}_k(\lambda_i t_j)) \times \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^p |t_i|^{2k+1} dt_1 \dots dt_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$\theta(\mathbf{t}) = \prod_{i < j} (t_i^2 - t_j^2).$$

Arguing as for equation (16) above, we rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{F}_\alpha \left(\prod_{i < m} (\partial_m^2 - \partial_i^2) \mathcal{R}f(\cdot + \mathfrak{q}) \right)(\lambda) \\
 &= \text{const.} \int_{C_+} f(H_t) \theta(\mathbf{t}) \prod_{j=1}^p \{ \mathcal{F}_k(\lambda_j t_j) |t_j|^{2k+1} \} dt_1 \dots dt_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.5) of [13] this becomes

$$\text{const.} \int_{C_+} \prod_{j=1}^p \cos(\lambda_j s_j) \int_{s_p}^\infty \dots \int_{s_1}^\infty f(H_t)\theta(t) \times \prod_{i=1}^p ((t_i^2 - s_i^2)^{k-(1/2)} t_i) \times \\ \times dt_1 \dots dt_p ds_1 \dots ds_p.$$

22. Theorem. For G, K, \mathfrak{a}_+ and \mathfrak{p} as above and for every $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ the Radon transform $\mathcal{R}f$ satisfies

$$\prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) \mathcal{R}f(H_t + \mathfrak{q}) \\ = \text{const.} \int_{t_p}^\infty \dots \int_{t_1}^\infty f(H_s)\theta(s) \prod_{i=1}^p ((s_i^2 - t_i^2)^{k-(1/2)} s_i) ds_1 \dots ds_p;$$

for all $H_t \in \mathfrak{a}_+$

Fix f as in the statement of the theorem. We know that $t \rightarrow f(H_t)$ is a smooth function of (t_1, \dots, t_p) and so we can view the integral in the theorem as a p -fold tensor product of Weyl fractional integrals. The inversion formulae for these are well known, see [13].

23. Corollary. For G, K, \mathfrak{a}_+ and \mathfrak{p} as above and $f \in {}^K C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$,

$$f(H_t)\theta(t) = \text{const.} \int_{t_p}^\infty \dots \int_{t_1}^\infty \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^p (x_i^{-1} \partial_i)^{k+1} \cdot \prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) \mathcal{R}f(H_x + \mathfrak{q}) \right\} \times \\ \times \prod_{m=1}^p \{x_m (x_m^2 - t_m^2)^{-1/2}\} dx_1 \dots dx_p;$$

for all $H_t \in \mathfrak{a}_+$.

3. Local regularity for K -invariant Fourier transforms

Maintain the notation of section 2 and identify \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}^* using the Killing form. Let $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{p}$ denote the Fourier transform acting on $L^1(\mathfrak{p})$, normalized by the same requirements as in section 1. We are interested in the properties of $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{p}({}^K L^1(\mathfrak{p}))$, the subalgebra of K -invariant elements of the Fourier algebra of \mathfrak{p} . For each $g \in L^1(\mathfrak{p})$ set $\|\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{p} g\|_{A(\mathfrak{p})} = \|g\|_1$. In particular, if $f \in C_c(\mathfrak{p})$ is K -invariant and an element of $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{p} L^1(\mathfrak{p})$ then

$$\|f\|_{A(\mathfrak{p})} = |\mathfrak{w}|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{R}f(\cdot + \mathfrak{q}))(\lambda)| \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} |\lambda(H_\alpha)|^{m(\alpha)} d\lambda.$$

24. Lemma. Let $f \in C_c(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{p}({}^K L^1(\mathfrak{p}))$. Then the distributional derivative $(\prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \partial_\alpha^{m(\alpha)}) \mathcal{R}f(\cdot + \mathfrak{q})$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(L^1(\mathfrak{a}^*))$ and

$$\sup_{H \in \mathfrak{a}} \left| \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \partial_\alpha^{m(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}f(H + \mathfrak{q}) \right| \leq \text{const.} \|f\|_{A(\mathfrak{p})}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{R}f(\cdot + \mathfrak{q})$ is an element of ${}^w C_c(\mathfrak{a})$ whenever $f \in {}^K C_c(\mathfrak{p})$. Now fix a compact K -invariant subset $E \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $\varphi \in {}^K C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $\varphi(X) = 1$ for all $X \in E$. For

every $f \in \mathcal{F}_p(KL^1(\mathfrak{p}))$ we can apply Lemma 24 to the function $\varphi.f$. Furthermore, arguing as on p. 54 of [14], we see that if n is an integer valued function on R^+ with

$$0 \leq n(\alpha) \leq m(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in R^+$$

then there is a constant $c > 0$, depending only on \mathfrak{w}, E , and φ such that

$$(25) \quad \sup_{H \in \mathfrak{a}} \left| \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \partial_\alpha^{n(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}(\varphi.f)(H + \mathfrak{q}) \right| \leq c \cdot \|f\|_{A(\varphi)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_p(KL^1(\mathfrak{p}))$.

We can feed these estimates into the equation in Corollary 23, and observe that

$$-(d/dy)\mathcal{W}_\mu(g)(y) = \mathcal{W}_{\mu-1}(g)(y) = \mathcal{W}_\mu(-g')(y),$$

in the notation of (3.9) of [13].

26. Theorem. *Let K, \mathfrak{p} , and \mathfrak{a}_+ be as above and suppose that $q \geq p > 1$ or $q > p \geq 1$. For all $f \in \mathcal{F}_p(KL^1(\mathfrak{p}))$ the distributional derivative*

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^p \partial_i^k \prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) \right) \cdot f(H_i)$$

is a continuous function on \mathfrak{a}_+ . Furthermore, for each \mathfrak{w} -invariant compact subset E , contained properly in the set of regular elements of \mathfrak{a} , there is a constant $c_E > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{H_i \in E} \left| \prod_{i=1}^p \partial_i^k \prod_{i < j} (\partial_j^2 - \partial_i^2) f(H_i) \right| \leq C_E \cdot \|f\|_{A(\varphi)}.$$

27. Corollary. *For $q \geq p > 1$ or $q > p \geq 1$ consider the action of $K = S(U(p) \times U(q))$ on $M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$ given by $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \cdot X = AXB^*$. Then every regular K -orbit is not a set of synthesis for the Fourier algebra of $M_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$.*

This is proved in the same manner as Theorem 4.3 in [14]. See the references cited in [14] for details concerning spectral synthesis for $\mathcal{FL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Acknowledgements. While working on this material I have been supported by the University of Adelaide.

Bibliography

1. AOMOTO, K., Sur les transformations d'horisphère et les équations intégrales qui s'y rattachent, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **14** (1976), 1—23.
2. BEREZIN, F. A. and KARPELEVICH, F. I., Zonal'nye sfericheskie funkicii i operatory laplasya na nekotoryh simmetricheskikh prostranstvah, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **118** (1958), 9—12.
3. CLERC, J.-L., Orbites dans le plan tangent d'un espace symétrique, mesures orbitales et leurs transformées de Fourier, *Topics in Modern Harmonic Analysis, Proc. of a Seminar held in Torino and Milano, May-June, 1982*, pp. 259—299, Roma, 1984.

4. DOOLEY, A. H. and RICE, J. W., On contractions of semisimple Lie groups, preprint.
5. DUISTERMAAT, J. J., KOLK, J. A. C. and VARADARAJAN, V. S., Spectra of compact locally symmetric manifolds of negative curvature, *Inventiones Math.*, **52** (1979), 27—93.
6. FLENSTED-JENSEN, M. and RAGOZIN, D. L., Spherical functions and Fourier transforms of L^1 -functions, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **6** (1973), 457—458.
7. GANGOLLI, R., On the Plancherel formula and the Paley—Wiener theorem for spherical functions on semi-simple Lie groups, *Ann. of Math.*, **93** (1971), 150—165.
8. GEL'FAND, I. M., GRAEV, M. I. and VILENKIN, N. YA., *Generalized functions. Volume 5. Integral geometry and representation theory*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
9. GINDIKIN, S. G. and KARPELEVICH, F. I., One problem of integral geometry. *Selecta Math. Soviet.*, **1** (1981), 169—184.
10. HELGASON, S., A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations, *Advances in Math.*, **5** (1970), 1—154.
11. HELGASON, S., A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations. III. Tangent space analysis, *Advances in Math.*, **36** (1980), 297—323.
12. HOOGENBOOM, B., Spherical functions and invariant differential operators on complex Grassmann manifolds, *Ark. Mat.*, **20** (1982), 69—85.
13. KOORNWINDER, T., A new proof of a Paley—Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform, *Ark. Mat.*, **13** (1975), 145—159.
14. MEANEY, C., On the failure of spectral synthesis for compact semisimple Lie groups, *J. Functional Analysis*, **48** (1982), 43—45.
15. STANTON, R. J. and TOMAS, P. A., Expansions for spherical functions on noncompact symmetric spaces, *Acta Math.* **140** (1978), 251—276.
16. WARNER, G., *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups, I & II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1972.

Received November 16, 1984

Department of Mathematics
 The University of Texas
 Austin, TX 78712
 U.S.A.
 (after Jan. 1, 1986)
 Department of Mathematics, R.S.P.S.
 A.N.U.
 Canberra ACS 2601
 Australia

Note added in proof. In a recent paper “The Fourier transform of Harish—Chandra’s c -function and inversion of the Abel transform”, R. J. Beerends describes $\mathcal{F}_a(\beta)$ for arbitrary noncompact G/K .