

# The two-sided complex moment problem

T. M. Bisgaard

Hamburger's theorem asserts that a function  $\varphi: \mathbf{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is the moment sequence of a measure on the real line if and only if  $\varphi$  is positive definite in the sense that the kernel  $(n, m) \rightarrow \varphi(n+m)$  is positive semidefinite. (See [1], Theorem 6.2.2). The corresponding two-sided problem, consisting in characterizing those functions  $\varphi: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which are the two-sided moment sequences of measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$  in the sense of

$$\varphi(n) = \int x^n d\mu(x), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z},$$

has a similar solution: Such functions  $\varphi$  are precisely those which are positive definite in the sense that the kernel  $(n, m) \rightarrow \varphi(n+m)$  is positive semidefinite. This was shown in [4]; see [1], Theorem 6.4.1, for a simple proof.

The complex moment problem, a natural analogue of the moment problem solved by Hamburger, requires the characterization of those functions  $\varphi: \mathbf{N}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  which are complex moment sequences of measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{C}$  in the sense that

$$\varphi(n, m) = \int z^n \bar{z}^m d\mu(z), \quad (n, m) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2.$$

In this case the pertinent concept of positive definiteness arises by considering the semigroup  $(\mathbf{N}_0^2, +)$  with the involution  $(*)$  given by  $(n, m)^* = (m, n)$  and agreeing to call a function  $\varphi: \mathbf{N}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  positive definite if the kernel  $(s, t) \rightarrow \varphi(s+t^*)$  on  $\mathbf{N}_0^2 \times \mathbf{N}_0^2$  is positive semidefinite. While every complex moment sequence is positive definite, there exist positive definite functions on  $\mathbf{N}_0^2$  which are not complex moment sequences ([1], Theorem 6.3.5).

Observe that [1], Theorem 6.1.10 implies the following somewhat roundabout solution of the complex moment problem: A function  $\varphi: \mathbf{N}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a complex moment sequence if and only if  $\sum_{n,m} c_{n,m} \varphi(n, m) \equiv 0$  for each  $(c_{n,m}) \in \mathbf{C}^{(\mathbf{N}_0^2)}$  such that  $\sum_{n,m} c_{n,m} z^n \bar{z}^m \equiv 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Like Hamburger's moment problem, the complex moment problem has a two-sided companion, the problem of characterizing two-sided complex moment se-

quences, that is, functions  $\varphi: \mathbf{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  representable in the form

$$\varphi(n, m) = \int z^n \bar{z}^m d\mu(z), \quad (n, m) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$$

with  $\mu$  a measure on  $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Consider the group  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  with the involution  $(n, m)^* = (m, n)$  and define a function  $\varphi: \mathbf{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  to be positive definite in case the kernel  $(s, t) \rightarrow \varphi(s+t^*)$  on  $\mathbf{Z}^2 \times \mathbf{Z}^2$  is positive semidefinite. We shall show a necessary and sufficient condition for a complex function on  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  to be a two-sided complex moment sequence is that  $\varphi$  be positive definite. This is somewhat remarkable in view of the failure of the corresponding condition in the one-sided complex moment problem.

From [1] we recall a few definitions. A (abelian) *\*-semigroup*  $(S, +, *)$  consists of an abelian semigroup  $(S, +)$  with zero 0 and an *involution*, that is, an involutory automorphism, in  $S$ , written as  $s \rightarrow s^*$ . A function  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is *positive definite* if for any  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  and any  $s_1, \dots, s_N \in S$  the  $N \times N$  matrix  $(\varphi(s_j + s_k^*))$ ,  $j, k = 1, \dots, N$ , is positive semidefinite. A *character* (called 'semicharacter' in [1]) is a function  $\varrho: S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfying  $\varrho(0) = 1$ ,  $\varrho(s^*) = \overline{\varrho(s)}$ ,  $\varrho(s+t) = \varrho(s)\varrho(t)$  for all  $s, t \in S$ . The set of characters on  $S$ , denoted by  $S^*$ , is considered with the topology of pointwise convergence. If  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on  $S^*$  such that  $\varrho \rightarrow \varrho(s): S^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable for each  $s \in S$ , the function  $\hat{\mu}: S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(s) = \int \varrho(s) d\mu(\varrho), \quad s \in S \quad (1)$$

is called a *moment function* and the measure  $\mu$  is said to *represent*  $\hat{\mu}$ . The moment function  $\hat{\mu}$  is *determinate* if no Radon measure on  $S^*$  other than  $\mu$  represents  $\hat{\mu}$ . A *perfect semigroup* is a \*-semigroup  $S$  such that every positive definite function on  $S$  is a determinate moment function.

*Examples.* (1) If  $S$  is an abelian group equipped with the involution  $s^* = -s$ , the characters on  $S$  are the usual group characters; by the discrete version of the Bochner—Weil theorem  $S$  is a perfect semigroup. In particular, the group  $\mathbf{Z}$  with the involution  $n^* = -n$  is perfect (Herglotz' Theorem, also known as Herglotz' Lemma).

(2) Let  $S$  be either of the semigroups  $(\mathbf{N}_0, +)$  or  $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$ , equipped with the identical involution  $n^* = n$ . By the solution of Hamburger's moment problem or its two-sided version, every positive definite function on  $S$  is a moment function. Yet  $S$  is not perfect since there exist indeterminate moment functions, such as  $n \rightarrow \exp(n^2)$  ([1], Example 6.4.6).

For a study of perfect semigroups we refer to [1], § 6.5.

If  $S$  and  $T$  are \*-semigroups, we may consider the Cartesian product semigroup  $S \times T$  with the involution given by  $(s, t)^* = (s^*, t^*)$ . By [1], Theorem 6.5.4, the product of two perfect semigroups is again perfect. It is natural to wonder whether

the class of  $*$ -semigroups with the property that every positive definite function is a moment function is likewise stable under the formation of Cartesian products (of two factors).

A simple counterexample is provided by the semigroup  $(\mathbb{N}_0, +)$ : Although every positive definite function on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is a moment function (Hamburger's theorem), the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$  admits a positive definite function which is not a moment function ([1], Theorem 6.3.4).

If, however, we require one factor to be a perfect semigroup and if we impose one additional constraint (dictated by proof technique rather than by examples showing its necessity), we obtain a positive result:

**Proposition 1.** *If  $S$  is a perfect semigroup and if  $T$  is a finitely generated  $*$ -semigroup such that every positive definite function on  $T$  is a moment function then every positive definite function on  $S \times T$  is a moment function.*

*Proof.* The greater part of the proof consists in copying portions of the proof of [1], Theorem 6.5.4, to which we therefore find it convenient to refer.

Let  $\varphi: S \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be positive definite. The proof of [1], Theorem 6.5.4 up to and including line 7 from below on p. 205, except for the words 'uniquely determined' in the last line, holds verbatim in our situation. Hence, for each  $t \in T$  there is a unique complex Radon measure  $\mu_t$  on  $S^*$  such that

$$\varphi(s, t) = \int \varrho(s) d\mu_t(\varrho), \quad s \in S;$$

and for each Borel set  $A$  in  $S^*$  there is a Radon measure  $\sigma_A$  on  $T^*$  (denoted by  $\tau_A$  in [1]) such that

$$\mu_t(A) = \int \zeta(t) d\sigma_A(\zeta), \quad t \in T.$$

In contrast to the situation of [1], Theorem 6.5.4 (where  $T$  is assumed to be perfect), our mapping  $A \rightarrow \sigma_A$  cannot be expected to be even finitely additive. To remedy this, consider the set  $P$  of finite Borel partitions of  $S^*$ . The natural ordering on  $P$  is that of refinement, i.e.  $\pi \cong \pi'$  if and only if each element of  $\pi$  is the union of those elements of  $\pi'$  which it contains. With each Borel set  $A$  in  $S^*$  we associate an element  $\pi_A$  of  $P$  by  $\pi_A = \{A, S^* \setminus A\}$  if  $A \notin \{\emptyset, S^*\}$ ,  $\pi_\emptyset = \pi_{S^*} = \{S^*\}$ . For any  $\pi \in P$  with  $\pi \cong \pi_A$  define a Radon measure  $\sigma_{\pi, A}$  on  $T^*$  by

$$\sigma_{\pi, A} = \sum_{D \in \pi: D \subset A} \sigma_D.$$

Since the elements of  $\pi$  contained in  $A$  form a Borel partition of  $A$ ,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\pi, A}(t) = \sum_{D \in \pi: D \subset A} \hat{\sigma}_D(t) = \sum_{D \in \pi: D \subset A} \mu_t(D) = \mu_t(A)$$

for each  $t \in T$ .

Select a universal subnet  $(\pi_i)$  of the identical net on  $P$ . For each Borel set  $A$  in  $S^*$  the net  $(\sigma_{\pi_i, A})$ , indexed by those  $i$  for which  $\pi_i \cong \pi_A$ , is a universal net in

the set of representing measures of the positive definite function  $t \rightarrow \mu_t(A)$  on  $T$ . The latter set is weakly compact,  $T$  being finitely generated ([1], Proposition 6.1.7). Hence,

$$\sigma_{\pi_i, A} \rightarrow \tau_A$$

weakly for some Radon measure  $\tau_A$  on  $T^*$  with  $\hat{\tau}_A(t) = \mu_t(A)$ ,  $t \in T$ . We claim the mapping  $A \rightarrow \tau_A$  has the following three properties:

- (i)  $\tau_\emptyset = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\tau_A = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau_{A_n}$  whenever  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint Borel sets in  $S^*$  with union  $A$ ;
- (iii)  $\tau_A = \sup \{ \tau_C | C \text{ compact, } C \subset A \}$  for each Borel set  $A$  in  $S^*$ .

First note that if  $A_1, A_2$  are disjoint Borel sets in  $S^*$  then

$$\sigma_{\pi, A_1 \cup A_2} = \sigma_{\pi, A_1} + \sigma_{\pi, A_2}$$

whenever  $\pi \in P$  is such that  $\pi \geq \pi_{A_1}$  and  $\pi \geq \pi_{A_2}$ . It follows that  $\tau_{A_1 \cup A_2} = \tau_{A_1} + \tau_{A_2}$ . Thus the mapping  $A \rightarrow \tau_A$  is finitely additive and, in particular, increasing.

Condition (i) needs no proof. To prove (ii), observe that the set function  $\eta: \mathcal{B}(T^*) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  ( $\mathcal{B}(T^*)$  denoting the Borel  $\sigma$ -field in  $T^*$ ) given by

$$\eta(B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{A_n}(B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(T^*)$$

is dominated by  $\tau_A$ . By [1], 2.1.28, it follows that  $\eta$  is a Radon measure. Since

$$\eta(T^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{A_n}(T^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_n) = \mu_0(A) = \tau_A(T^*),$$

we conclude that  $\eta = \tau_A$ .

To prove (iii), define  $\vartheta: \mathcal{B}(T^*) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by

$$\vartheta(B) = \sup \{ \tau_C(B) | C \text{ is a compact subset of } A \}$$

for  $B \in \mathcal{B}(T^*)$ . Then  $\vartheta \leq \tau_A$ ; by [1], 2.1.29, it follows that  $\vartheta$  is a Radon measure.

The equality

$$\vartheta(T^*) = \sup_C \tau_C(T^*) = \sup_C \mu_0(C) = \mu_0(A) = \tau_A(T^*)$$

shows  $\vartheta = \tau_A$ .

As shown in the proof of [1], Theorem 6.5.4, conditions (i), (ii), (iii) imply the existence of a Radon measure  $\varkappa$  on  $S^* \times T^*$  such that  $\tau_A(B) = \varkappa(A \times B)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^*)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(T^*)$ ; and

$$\varphi(s, t) = \int \varrho(s) \zeta(t) d\varkappa(\varrho, \zeta), \quad (s, t) \in S \times T.$$

Thus if  $(S \times T)^*$  is identified with  $S^* \times T^*$  via the homeomorphism  $(\varrho, \zeta) \rightarrow \varrho \otimes \zeta: S^* \times T^* \rightarrow (S \times T)^*$  given by  $\varrho \otimes \zeta(s, t) = \varrho(s) \zeta(t)$  then the measure  $\varkappa$  represents  $\varphi$ .

*Remarks.* (1) The hypothesis that  $T$  be finitely generated is used only to ensure that the set of representing measures of any positive definite function on  $T$  be weakly compact. That the latter condition holds if only  $T$  is countable is easy to verify, using the fact ([3], p. 53) that any countable projective system of Radon measures has a limit in the form of a Radon measure. Possibly, the assumption that  $T$  be finitely generated could be omitted altogether.

(2) Suppose  $S$  and  $T$  are  $*$ -semigroups such that every positive definite function on  $S \times T$  is a moment function. It is fairly easy to verify that any positive definite function on  $S$  or  $T$  is a moment function. We conjecture that  $S$  or  $T$  must be perfect.

(3) Equation (1) makes sense for any measure  $\mu$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -field in  $S^*$  rendering the integrands measurable — provided, of course, that the integrals exist. Suppose we redefine the terms ‘moment function’ and ‘perfect semigroup’ by admitting this wider class of representing measures (see [2] for a development of this idea). Then Proposition 1 holds without the assumption that  $T$  be finitely generated.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the group  $(\mathbf{Z}^2, +)$  with the involution  $(n, m)^* = (m, n)$ . A function  $\varphi: \mathbf{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is positive definite if and only if there exists a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that for each  $(n, m) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$  we have  $\int |z|^{n+m} d\mu(z) < \infty$  and

$$\varphi(n, m) = \int z^n \bar{z}^m d\mu(z). \tag{5}$$

*Proof.* The mapping  $z \rightarrow \varrho_z: \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*$  given by  $\varrho_z(n, m) = z^n \bar{z}^m, z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}, (n, m) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ , is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$  onto  $(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*$ . Thus the functions  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  having a representation of the form (5) are just the moment functions on  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ ; in particular, they are positive definite.

To prove the converse, let  $S$  denote the group  $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$  equipped with the involution  $n^* = -n$  and let  $T$  denote the group  $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$  equipped with the involution  $n^* = n$ . We consider the group  $G = S \times T$  with the product involution, i.e.  $(p, q)^* = (-p, q)$ .

The mapping  $h: \mathbf{Z}^2 \rightarrow G$  defined by  $h(n, m) = (n - m, n + m)$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  onto the  $*$ -stable subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  given by  $H = \{(p, q) \in G \mid p + q \in 2\mathbf{Z}\}$ . It therefore suffices to show that every positive definite function on  $H$  is a moment function.

By Herglotz’ theorem,  $S$  is a perfect semigroup; by the above-mentioned solution of the two-sided Hamburger moment problem every positive definite function on  $T$  is a moment function. Now Proposition 1 implies that every positive definite function on  $G$  is a moment function.

Let  $\varphi: H \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be positive definite and define  $\Phi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by  $\Phi|_H = \varphi, \Phi|(G \setminus H) = 0$ . Then  $\Phi$  is positive definite. To see this, let  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbf{C}$  and

$s_1, \dots, s_n \in G$  be given. Note that  $H$  is of index 2 in  $G$  and that the element  $a = (1, 0)$  represents the coset  $G \setminus H$ . Defining  $t_j = s_j + a$  and noting that  $t_j + t_k^* = s_j + s_k^*$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \Phi(s_j + s_k^*) &= \sum_{s_j + s_k^* \in H} c_j \bar{c}_k \varphi(s_j + s_k^*) \\ &= \sum_{s_j, s_k \in H} c_j \bar{c}_k \varphi(s_j + s_k^*) + \sum_{s_j, s_k \in G \setminus H} c_j \bar{c}_k \varphi(t_j + t_k^*) \cong 0, \end{aligned}$$

each term being nonnegative since in the first term the  $s_j$ , in the second term the  $t_j$ , are in  $H$ .

Since  $\Phi$  is positive definite there is a Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $G^*$  such that  $\Phi = \hat{\nu}$ . It follows that  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  where  $\mu$  is the image measure of  $\nu$  under the 'projection'  $\varrho \rightarrow \varrho|H: G^* \rightarrow H^*$ .

*Acknowledgements.* The author gratefully acknowledges the interest and criticism of Professor Christian Berg. This work was supported by the Danish Natural Science Research Council.

### References

1. BERG, C., CHRISTENSEN, J. P. R. and RESSEL, P., *Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups, Theory of Positive Definite and Related Functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 100, New York—Berlin—Heidelberg—Tokyo, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
2. BISGAARD, T. M. and RESSEL, P., Unique disintegration of arbitrary positive definite functions on \*-divisible semigroups, *Math. Zeitschr.*, to appear.
3. BOURBAKI, N., *Eléments de Mathématique. Livre VI, Intégration*, 2<sup>e</sup> ed., Ch. 1—9. Paris: Hermann, 1965—69.
4. JONES, W. B., NJÅSTAD, O. and THRON, W. J., Orthogonal Laurent polynomials and the strong Hamburger moment problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **98** (1984), 528—554.

Received September 24, 1987

T. M. Bisgaard  
 Matematisk Institut  
 Universitetsparken 5  
 DK—2100 København Ø  
 Denmark