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#### Abstract

Averages over smooth measures on smooth compact hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ are studied. With assumptions on the decay of the Fourier transform of the measure we obtain mixed norm estimates for these means, for example $L^{p}$ estimates of multiparameter maximal functions over compact hypersurfaces.


## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a smooth compact hypersurface (possibly with boundary) in $\mathbf{R}^{n}, d \sigma$ the induced Lebesgue measure on $S$ and $\mu$ a smooth mass distribution which is vanishing near the boundary. Set $\psi(t, y)=\left(\psi_{1}(t) y_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}(t) y_{n}\right)$, where $\psi_{i} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$, $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, n$, and $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. We define the average

$$
F_{x}(t)=\int_{S} f(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y) d \sigma(y)
$$

for $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. It follows from Fubini's theorem that for every $t \in \mathbf{R}^{m} F_{x}(t)$ is well-defined for almost all $x$. If $S=S^{n-1}$, the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{n}, m=1, \psi(t, y)=t y$ and $\mu \equiv 1$, then $F_{x}(t)$ becomes the ordinary spherical mean. In this case J. Bourgain [B1]-[B3], $n=2$, and E. M. Stein [St2], [SWa], $n \geqq 3$, showed that the corresponding maximal function $\sup _{t>0}\left|F_{x}(t)\right|$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if $p>\frac{n}{n-1}$. For $n \geqq 3$, this was extended to more general hypersurfaces by M. Cowling and G. Mauceri [CM], A. Greenleaf [G] and J. L. Rubio de Francia [R]. Greenleaf assumes that $S$ has a fixed number of principal curvatures different from zero while the other authors have (weaker) assumptions on the decay of the Fourier transform of the measure $\mu d \sigma$. The lower limit of $p$ then depends on these assumptions. In [G] and [R] it is also shown that without loss of generality one can replace $\psi(t, y)=t y$ by the nonisotropic dilation $\psi(t, y)=\left(t^{\lambda_{1}} y_{1}, \ldots, t^{\lambda_{n}} y_{n}\right), \lambda_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n$. The results in this note are closely related to these. C. D. Sogge [So] considers hypersurfaces which depend on both $x$ and $t$, but have nowhere vanishing Gaussian curvature, and
L. Colzani [C] considers mixed norms of spherical means on compact symmetric spaces. See also [SS1] and [SS2]. In the main part of this note we study mixed norm estimates of the type considered by P. Sjölin and others in [Bö], [OS, Appendix], [PS], [S1]-[S5], where they obtain $L^{p}$ estimates of $L^{q}$, BMO and Besov-Lipschitz norms in the parameter $t$ of the spherical mean. Our results extend these to more general hypersurfaces and to averages depending on a multiparameter $t$. As a consequence we get $L^{p}$ estimates of multiparameter maximal functions. In the last section we give further results and extensions of the main results in the third section.

## 2. Preliminaries

The mixed norms that we are going to use involve various function spaces. $B_{p q}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ is the Besov space of tempered distributions with norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{B_{p q}^{\alpha}}=\|g * \varphi\|_{p}+\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{\alpha l}\left\|g_{l} * \varphi\right\|_{p}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}, \quad 1 \leqq p, q \leqq \infty, \alpha \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

Here $\left\{\hat{g}_{l}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a dyadic partition of unity on $\mathbf{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\hat{g}=1-\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_{l}$.
$H_{p}^{\beta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ is the generalized Sobolev space of tempered distributions normed by

$$
\|\varphi\|_{H_{p}^{\beta}}=\left\|\left(\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} \hat{\varphi}\right)^{2}\right\|_{p}, \quad 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty, \beta \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

More details of $B_{p q}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ and $H_{p}^{\beta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ are to be found in [BL], e.g. $B_{22}^{\beta}=H_{p}^{\beta}$.
BMO ( $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ ) is the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation normed by

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\text {BMO }}=\sup _{\mathbb{Q}}\left[|Q|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{Q}}\left|\varphi(t)-|Q|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{Q}} \varphi(s) d s\right| d t\right]
$$

where $Q$ is any cube in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$. Cf. [St1, p. 164].
$\Lambda_{\delta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right), \delta>0$, is the Lipschitz space with norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_{\delta}}=\|\varphi\|_{\infty}+\sup _{t, y} y^{k-\delta}\left|\frac{\partial^{k} u}{\partial y^{k}}(t, y)\right|
$$

where $u(t, y), t \in \mathbf{R}^{m}, y>0$, is the Poisson integral of $\varphi$ and $k$ is the smallest integer greater than $\delta$. See [St1, Ch. V, §4].

Throughout this paper we take the dimension $n$ to be $\geqq 2$. Finally, we shall stick to the convention that $C$ denote a constant which is not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

## 3. Main results

Let the Fourier transform of the measure defining the averages satisfy

$$
|\widehat{\mu d \sigma}(\xi)|=\left|\int_{S} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} \mu(x) d \sigma(x)\right| \leqq C(1+|\xi|)^{-a}
$$

for $a \geqq 0$. We note that if $S$ has $k$ principal curvatures different from zero and if $\mu$ vanishes near the boundary of $S$, then a result of W. Littman [L] shows that $a=\frac{k}{2}$ will suffice.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Assume that
a) $\psi_{i} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, and that $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$, where

$$
\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\left\{t ; \psi_{i}(t) \neq 0\right\}
$$

If $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{B_{p p}^{x}}^{p}, d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqq C\|f\|_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2 \leqq p \leqq \infty$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 a}{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{B_{p p}^{\alpha}}^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqq C\|f\|_{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that
b) S lies in the boundary of a set which is star-shaped with respect to the origin and that $S$ does not possess a tangent plane containing the origin, $\psi_{i}(t)=(\max (t, 0))^{\lambda_{i}}$, $\lambda_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n$, and that $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset(0, \infty)$.
(3)

If $1 \leqq p \leqq 2, \alpha=\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}$ and $p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left(\int_{\mathrm{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{B_{p p}^{\alpha}}^{\boldsymbol{r}} d x\right)^{1 / r} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

By various continuous embeddings of $B_{p q}^{\alpha}$ in larger spaces we obtain a corollary.
Corollary. Let $\psi_{i}$ and $\varphi$ satisfy a) and take $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
If $1 \leqq p=r<1+\frac{m}{2 a} \leqq 2$ and $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\frac{2 a}{m}\right)-\frac{2 a}{m}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{q}^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \leqq C\|f\|_{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p=r=1+\frac{m}{2 a} \leqq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \leqq C\|f\|_{p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $1+\frac{m}{2 a}<p=r \leqq 2$ and $\delta=2 a-\frac{m+2 a}{p}$, or if $2 \leqq p=r \leqq \infty$ and $\delta=\frac{2 a-m}{p}$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{A_{\delta}}^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \leqq C\|f\|_{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If in the above conditions we replace a) by b), take $m=1$ and allow $p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$ (for $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$ ), then (4)-(6) still hold.

Remark. If $m=1, a=\frac{k}{2}, k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$, then the theorem and the corollary are best possible in the following sense.

It is possible to find $S, \mu d \sigma, \psi$ and $\varphi$ satisfying b) such that the following holds.
When $k \leqq 1$ and $1 \leqq p<1+\frac{1}{2 a}=1+\frac{1}{k}, \quad$ or when $k=0$ and $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$, then (4) implies that $\frac{1}{q} \leqq \frac{1+2 a}{p}-2 a=\frac{k+1}{p}-k$.

If $k \geqq 1$ and $p=1+\frac{1}{2 a}=1+\frac{1}{k}$, then we cannot replace the BMO-norm in (5) by the supremum-norm.

Assume that $k \geqq 2$ and $1+\frac{1}{2 a}=1+\frac{1}{k}<p \leqq 2$ and that (6) holds, then $\delta \leqq 2 a-$ $\frac{1+2 a}{p}=k-\frac{k+1}{p}$.

A consequence of these results is that $\alpha \leqq \frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}=\frac{k}{p^{\prime}}$ is necessary for (3), if $1 \leqq$ $p \leqq 2$, because the corollary follows from various imbeddings of $B_{p p^{\prime}}^{\alpha}$, into $L^{q}$, BMO and $\Lambda_{\delta}$. It is also necessary to have $p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$ in (1)-(6), if $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$ (and $m=1$ ). The proofs are contained in the proof of the corollary. There are however hypersurfaces where one can obtain better estimates. For example, if we take

$$
S=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} ; x_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i}^{2}, 0<x_{i}<1, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{R}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

and set

$$
\psi_{i}(t)= \begin{cases}t_{i}, & \text { if } i=1, \ldots, n-1 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i}^{\lambda}, & \text { if } \quad i=n,\end{cases}
$$

and if $\mu$ vanishes near the boundary of $S$ the corresponding maximal function

$$
\sup \left\{\left|F_{x}(t)\right| ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}>0\right\}
$$

then becomes bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, for all $p>1$. This result of H . Carlsson, P. Sjögren and J.-O. Strömberg is contained in [CSS] and was extended in [CS]. See also [Wa] for a survey of the theory of averages and singular integrals over lower dimensional sets.

If b) holds, $a>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\psi(t, y)=t y$ the corollary contains the following estimates of the maximal function $\sup _{1<t<2}\left|F_{x}(t)\right|$ (since $\Lambda_{\delta} \subset L^{\infty}$ ).

$$
\left\|\sup _{1<t<2} \mid F(t)\right\|_{r} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

for $1+\frac{1}{2 a}<p \leqq 2$ and $p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$. This is a weaker form of the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Assume that b) holds and set $\psi(t, y)=t y$. If $a>\frac{1}{2}, 1+\frac{1}{2 a}<p \leqq 2$ and $p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0}\left|t^{n((1 / p)-(1 / r))} F(t)\right|\right\|_{r} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

For $r=p$ this theorem is contained in [R] and [CM], and is an extension of Stein's theorem on the continuity of the maximal spherical function.

Define the operator $M_{t}^{e}$ by

$$
\left(M_{t}^{\ell} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=|t \xi|^{-(n / 2)-a+1} J_{(n / 2)+\varrho-1}(|t \xi|) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

$t \in \mathbf{R}, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n} . J_{(n / 2)+\varrho-1}$ is the Bessel function of order $\frac{n}{2}+\varrho-1$. For its definition and fundamental properties see [SWe] or [W]. A consequence of the proof of Theorem 2 is the following extension of part (a) of Theorem 2 in [St2].

Theorem 3. If $1<p \leqq 2, p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$ and $\varrho>1-\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}$, then

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0}\left|t^{n((1 / p)-(1 / r))} M_{t}^{\ell} f\right|\right\|_{r} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

$\varrho=0$ corresponds to the spherical mean. Theorem 3 also gives an estimate of $u(x, t)=C t M_{t}^{(3-n) / 2} f(x)$, the solution of the wave equation with the boundary values $u(x, 0)=0, \partial u / \partial t(x, 0)=f(x)$. Theorem 3 is related to the estimates of $M_{t}^{e} f(x)$ in [Bö].

## 4. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. We start with the proof of the end-point estimate where $p=2$ and $\alpha=a$.

We compute the Fourier transform of $F_{x}(t)$, to get a multiplier, and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{F}_{\xi}(t) & =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} F_{x}(t) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} \int_{S} f(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y) d \sigma(y) d x \\
& =\int_{S} e^{-i \psi(t, y) \cdot \xi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} e^{-i(x-\psi(t, y)) \cdot \xi} f(x-\psi(t, y)) d x \mu(y) d \sigma(y) \\
& =\int_{S} e^{-i y \cdot \psi(t, \xi)} \mu(y) d \sigma(y) \hat{f}(\xi) \\
& =m(\psi(t, \xi)) \hat{f}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\widehat{\mu d \sigma}(\xi)=m(\xi)
$$

We consider the $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$-norm of $\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{N}}$ for a non-negative integer $N$. The norm of $H_{2}^{N}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ is equivalent to the norm

$$
\|g\|_{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\|D_{k}^{N} g\right\|_{2}, \quad \text { where } \quad D_{k}^{N} g=\frac{\partial^{N} g}{\partial t_{k}^{N}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{N}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} & \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\|D_{k}^{N}\left(\varphi F_{x}\right)\right\|_{2}\right)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C\left[\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|D_{k}^{N}\left(\varphi F_{x}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and one of the terms in the last sum can be estimated using the Fubini and Plancherel theorems.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|D_{k}^{N}\left(\varphi F_{x}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{7}\\
& \leqq C \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|D_{k}^{N-j} \varphi D_{k}^{j} F_{x}\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}\left|D_{k}^{N-j} \varphi(t)\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|D_{k}^{j} F_{x}(t)\right|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}\left|D_{k}^{N-j} \varphi(t)\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|D_{k}^{j} \hat{F}_{\xi}(t)\right|^{2} d \xi d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}\left|D_{k}^{N-j} \varphi(t)\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|D_{k}^{j}(m(\psi(t, \xi))) \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

The differentiation of $m(\psi(t, \xi))$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{k}^{j}(m(\psi(t, \xi))) & =D_{k}^{j} \int_{S} e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)} \mu(x) d \sigma(x) \\
& =\int_{S} D_{k}^{j}\left(e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)}\right) \mu(x) d \sigma(x) \\
& =\int_{S} \sum_{|y| \leqq j} P_{k}^{\gamma}(t) x^{\gamma} \xi^{y} e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)} \mu(x) d \sigma(x) \\
& =\sum_{|\gamma| \leqq j} P_{k}^{\gamma}(t) \xi^{\gamma} \int_{S} e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)} x^{\gamma} \mu(x) d \sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $P_{k}^{\gamma}$ are polynomials in $D_{k}^{l} \psi_{i}, l \leqq j$, of degree less than or equal to $j$, for multiindices $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{n}, \quad \mathbf{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, having length $|\gamma|=\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{n}$, $k=1, \ldots, m, i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, N$, and $x^{\gamma}=x_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}^{\gamma_{n}}$.

We claim that the Fourier transform of the measure $x^{\gamma} \mu(x) d \sigma(x)$ is $\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{-a}\right)$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Take a function $h$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ which is equal to 1 on $S$. For such $h$ we have that

$$
x^{\nu} h(x) \mu(x) d \sigma(x)=x^{\gamma} \mu(x) d \sigma(x)
$$

is a compactly supported distribution $\mu d \sigma$ multiplied by a compactly supported $C^{\infty}$ function $x^{\gamma} h$, but the Fourier transform of this product gives a convolution of $\widehat{\mu d \sigma}\left(=\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{-a}\right)\right)$ with a rapidly decreasing $C^{\infty}$ function. Thus, we have that the convolution is $\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{-\sigma}\right)$, as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, and since supp $\varphi$ is contained in $\cap_{i=1}^{n}\left\{t ; \psi_{i}(t) \neq 0\right\}$ we get that

$$
\inf _{t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi}|\psi(t, \xi)| \geqq \underbrace{\min _{i} \inf _{t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi}\left|\psi_{i}(t)\right||\xi|}_{=C>0}=C|\xi|,
$$

and as a consequence, if $t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{k}^{j}(m(\psi(t, \xi)))\right| & \leqq \sum_{\mid \gamma^{\prime} \leqq j}\left|P_{k}^{\gamma}(t) \xi^{\gamma}\right|\left|\int_{S} e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)} x^{\gamma} \mu(x) d \sigma(x)\right| \\
& \leqq C \sum_{|\gamma| \leqq j} \frac{|\xi||\gamma|}{(1+|\psi(t, \xi)|)^{\alpha}} \\
& \leqq C \frac{(1+|\xi|)^{j}}{(1+|\xi|)^{\alpha}}=C(1+|\xi|)^{j-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply this estimate to (7) and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|D_{k}^{N}\left(\varphi F_{x}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} & \leqq C \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}\left|D_{k}^{N-j} \varphi(t)\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{j-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{N-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the $L^{2}\left(H_{2}^{N}\right)$-norm of $\varphi F$.
(8) $\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{N}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq C\left[\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|D_{k}^{N}\left(\varphi F_{x}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq C\left[\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{N-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \\
& \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{N-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate of the $L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$-norm above corresponds to the case $N=0$, i.e.
(9) $\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}{ }^{0}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}=C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{2}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Choose $N$ such that $N \geqq a$ and interpolate between (8) and (9), which gives (see [BL, pp. 17-18, 107, 152—153])

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2^{n}}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{n-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for $0 \leqq \eta \leqq N$. Putting $\eta=a$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{a}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}=C\|f\|_{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and take a sequence $\left\{f_{l}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ converging to $f$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{r}\right)$ and set

$$
F_{x}^{l}(t)=\int_{S} f_{l}(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y) d \sigma(y)
$$

Assume for a moment the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(\varphi\left(F_{x}-F_{x}^{l}\right)\right)^{\wedge}(s)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad l \rightarrow \infty, \text { for all } s \in \mathbf{R}^{m} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(\varphi\left(F_{x}^{l}\right)\right)^{\wedge}(s)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(\varphi\left(F_{x}\right)\right)^{\wedge}(s)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $s \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$, and by Fatou's lemma

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{a}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} & =\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}\left|\left(\varphi F_{x}\right)^{\wedge}(s)\right|^{2}\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{a} d s d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(\varphi F_{x}\right)^{\wedge}(s)\right|^{2} d x\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{a} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \underline{\lim } \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \mid\left(\varphi F_{x}^{l}\right)^{\wedge}(s)^{2} d x\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{a} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq \varliminf\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(\varphi F_{x}^{l}\right)^{\wedge}(s)\right|^{2} d x\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{a} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\underline{\varliminf<m}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}^{l}\right\|_{H_{2}^{a}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq \varliminf C\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{2}=C\|f\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the proof of (11) we use Minkowski's inequality and some trivial estimates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(\varphi\left(F_{x}-F_{x}^{l}\right)\right)^{\wedge}(s)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
&=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} e^{-i s t} \varphi(t) \int_{S}\left(f-f_{l}\right)(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y) d \sigma(y) d t\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{S}\left|\varphi(t)\left(f-f_{l}\right)(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y)\right| d \sigma(y) d t\right)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{S}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\varphi(t)\left(f-f_{l}\right)(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} d \sigma(y) d t \\
& \equiv \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}|\varphi(t)| \int_{S}|\mu(y)|\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(f-f_{l}\right)(x-\psi(t, y))\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} d \sigma(y) d t \\
&=C\|\varphi\|_{1} \int_{S}|\mu(y)| d \sigma(y)\left\|f-f_{l}\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side tends to 0 as $k$ tends to $\infty$ thus proving (11).
This proves Theorem 1 in the case $p=2$ and $\alpha=a$, but for the coming interpolation we also need that $\varphi F_{x}$ be strongly measurable with values in $B_{2 a}^{a}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ if $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. But this can be shown by the method applied in [S5, p. 156].

We continue with the other end-point estimates where $p=1$ or $\infty$ and $\alpha=0$. For $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, consider the $L^{1}\left(L^{1}\right)$-norm of $\varphi F$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\|\varphi F\|_{1} d x & =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\varphi(t) \int_{S} f(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y) d \sigma(y)\right| d x d t \\
& \leqq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}|\varphi(t)| \int_{S}|\mu(y)| \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|f(x-\psi(t, y))| d x d \sigma(y) d t \\
& =\|\varphi\|_{1} \int_{S}|\mu(y)| d \sigma(y)\|f\|_{1} \\
& =C\|f\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $B_{1 \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\|\varphi F\|_{B_{1 \infty}^{0}} d x \leqq C\|f\|_{1}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is Theorem 1 for $p=1$ and $\alpha=0$.
If $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ we claim that $\varphi F_{x}$ is a strongly measurable function of $x$ with values in $B_{1 \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$. It is enough to prove that $\varphi F_{x}$ is a strongly measurable function of $x$ with values in $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$, since $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $B_{1 \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$. But because $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ is separable we only have to verify that $\varphi F_{x}$ is weakly measurable since then strong and weak measurability are equivalent notions. See [HP, p. 73]. Take therefore $g \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ and set

$$
H(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \varphi(t) F_{x}(t) g(t) d t=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \varphi(t) g(t) \int_{S} f(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y) d \sigma(y) d t .
$$

Then $H(x)$ becomes measurable since all functions involved are measurable. This proves the claim.

By an application of the interpolation theorem for vector-valued functions (see [BL, p. 107]) to (10) and (12), and the fact that
we get

$$
\left(B_{1 \infty}^{0}, H_{2}^{a}\right)_{[0]}=\left(B_{1 \infty}^{0}, B_{22}^{a}\right)_{[0]}=B_{p p^{\prime}}^{\alpha}
$$

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{P_{p p}}^{p}, d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

where $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}$. This is (1).
If $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ take a bounded $f_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $f(x)=f_{0}(x)$ almost everywhere and define the mean $F$ of $f$ by

$$
F_{x}(t)=\int_{s} f_{0}(x-\psi(t, y)) \mu(y) d \sigma(y) .
$$

We get the trivial estimate

$$
\left|F_{x}(t)\right| \equiv C\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}=C\|f\|_{\infty}
$$

and also

$$
\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{esss}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{0}} \leqq C \underset{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq C\|f\|_{\infty} .
$$

Interpolating this with (10) gives (2).
(2) can also be obtained by a dual argument. Set $T f(x, t)=\varphi(t) F_{x}(t)$ and consider the dual operator $T^{*}$ of $T$ applied to the function $g(x, t)$. For $g \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+m}\right)$ we get by definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T^{*} g, f\right\rangle & =\langle g, T f\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+m}} g(x, t) \varphi(t) F_{x}(t) d x d t \\
& =\int_{S} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \varphi(t) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x-\psi(t, y)) g(x, t) d x d t \mu(y) d \sigma(y) \\
& =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{S} \varphi(t) g(x+\psi(t, y), t) \mu(y) d \sigma(y) d t f(x) d x}_{=\mathbf{T} \neq g(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimating the $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$-norm of $T^{*} g$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{*} g\right\|_{1} & =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{S} \varphi(t) g(x+\psi(t, y), t) \mu(y) d \sigma(y) d t\right| d x \\
& \leqq \int_{S} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}|\varphi(t)| \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|g(x+\psi(t, y), t)| d x d t|\mu(y)| d \sigma(y) \\
& \leqq\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \int_{S} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|g(x, t)| d x d t|\mu(y)| d \sigma(y) \\
& =\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \int_{S}|\mu(y)| d \sigma(y) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\|g(x, \cdot)\|_{1} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the continuous embedding $B_{11}^{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right) \subset L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ also

$$
\left\|T^{*} g\right\|_{1} \leqq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\|g(x, \cdot)\|_{B_{11}^{0}} d x
$$

for $g \in L^{1}\left(B_{11}^{0}\right)$ (which makes $T^{*} g$ measurable). Interpolating this with the dual estimate of (10)

$$
\left\|T^{*} g\right\|_{2} \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\|g(x, \cdot)\|_{H_{2}^{-\alpha}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

gives

$$
\left\|T^{*} g\right\|_{p} \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\|g(x, \cdot)\|_{B_{p p}^{-\alpha}}^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where $1<p<2$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}$. The dual estimate of this is

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\|T f(x, \cdot)\|_{B_{p p}^{\alpha}}^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

if $2<p<\infty$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 a}{p}$, which is (2).

Here we have used that

$$
\left(L^{p}(B)\right)^{*}=L^{p^{\prime}}\left(B^{*}\right)
$$

if $1<p<\infty$, for a reflexive Banach space $B$.
We continue with the proof of (3).
Let $S, \varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy b), and therefore also a) with $m=1$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{1} \leqq C\|f\|_{1}, \quad f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the proof of (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{1} d x \leqq C\|f\|_{1}, \quad f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interpolation between (13) and (14) gives

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{1}^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \leqq C\|f\|_{1}
$$

for $1 \leqq r \leqq \infty$ and $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. See [BP, p. 316]. Thus, by the embedding $L^{1}(\mathbf{R}) \subset$ $\subset B_{1 \infty}^{0}(\mathbf{R})$ we get, as before, that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{B_{1 \infty}^{0}}^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \leqq C\|f\|_{1}, \quad f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

for $1 \leqq r \leqq \infty$ and $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, and as in the proof of (1) we now obtain (3) by interpolation with the end-point $p=2, \alpha=a$, of (1). (In this interpolation the case $r=\infty$ needs some special care. The function space used for interpolation is $L_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{1 \infty}^{0}\right)$, the completion in sup-norm of all simple functions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with values in $B_{1 \infty}^{0}$. But such an approximation is possible by the construction in [S5, p. 155] which also applies here.)

We now turn to the proof of the claim.
To emphasize that $\psi(t, y)$ is a non-isotropic dilation we adopt the usual convention and set $\delta_{t}(y)=\psi(t, y)$. By the assumption b) the map $h(y)=y /|y|, y \in S$, is a diffeomorphism from $S$ onto its image $\bar{S}$ in $S^{n-1}$. We extend $h$ to $\Omega=\left\{\delta_{t}(y) ; t>0, y \in S\right\}$ by $h\left(\delta_{t}(y)\right)=t h(y)$, and as a consequence, for $s>0$ and $\bar{y} \in \Omega$,

$$
h\left(\delta_{s}(\bar{y})\right)=h\left(\delta_{s} \delta_{t}(y)\right)=h\left(\delta_{s t}(y)\right)=\operatorname{sth}(y)=\operatorname{sh}\left(\delta_{t}(y)\right)=\operatorname{sh}(\bar{y}) .
$$

The extension $h$ becomes a diffeomorphism from $\Omega$ onto its image $\bar{\Omega}=\{t y ; t>0, y \in \bar{S}\}$. We also extend $\mu$ to $\Omega$ by $\mu\left(\delta_{t}(y)\right)=\mu(y), t>0, y \in S$.

We get that the condition

$$
|h(y)|-1=0, \quad y \in \Omega,
$$

becomes equivalent to $y \in S$. If $u$ is the Dirac measure (see [GS, Ch. III]), then the
average $F_{x}(t)$ can be written as follows:

$$
F_{x}(t)=\int_{\Omega} f_{x}\left(\delta_{t}(y)\right) \mu(y) u(1-|h(y)|) d y
$$

where $f_{x}=f(x-\cdot)$.
A change of variables, $z=h(y)$, gives

$$
F_{x}(t)=\int_{\bar{\Omega}} f_{x}\left(\delta_{\imath}\left(h^{-1}(z)\right)\right) \mu\left(h^{-1}(z)\right) u(1-|z|)\left|\left(h^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| d z
$$

where $\left(h^{-1}\right)^{\prime}$ is the Jacobian determinant of $h^{-1}$. But $\delta_{t}\left(h^{-1}(z)\right)=h^{-1}(t z)$, because $h\left(\delta_{t}\left(h^{-1}(z)\right)\right)=t h\left(h^{-1}(z)\right)=t z$.

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{x}(t)\right| & \equiv \int_{\Omega}\left|f_{x}\left(h^{-1}(t z)\right) \mu\left(h^{-1}(z)\right)\left(h^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| u(1-|z|) d z \\
& \leqq C \int_{\bar{\Omega}}\left|f_{x}\left(h^{-1}(t z)\right)\right| u(1-|z|) d z \\
& =C \int_{S}\left|f_{x}\left(h^{-1}(t \theta)\right)\right| d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

This is because $\mu \circ h^{-1}$ and $\left(h^{-1}\right)^{\prime}$ are bounded on $\bar{S} \subset S^{n-1}$. Here $d \theta$ is the induced Lebesgue measure on $S^{n-1}$.

Let $I$ be a closed bounded interval in $(0, \infty)$ containing $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$. We estimate the $L^{1}(\mathbf{R})$-norm of $\varphi F$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})} & \leqq C \int_{I} \int_{S}\left|\varphi(t) f_{x}\left(h^{-1}(t \theta)\right)\right| d \theta d t \\
& =\left.C \int_{A}|\varphi(|w|)| w\right|^{-n+1} f_{x}\left(h^{-1}(w)\right) \mid d w \\
& \leqq C \int_{A}\left|f_{x}\left(h^{-1}(w)\right)\right| d w
\end{aligned}
$$

since $A=\{t \theta ; t \in I, \theta \in \bar{S}\}$ lies in an annulus. With new variables, $y=h^{-1}(\omega)$, this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} & \leqq C \int_{h^{-1}(A)}\left|f_{x}(y) h^{\prime}(y)\right| d y \\
& \leqq C \int_{h^{-1}(A)}\left|f_{x}(y)\right| d y \\
& \leqq C\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{1}=C\|f\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $h^{-1}(A)$ is contained in an annulus where $h^{\prime}(y)$ is bounded. This proves our claim and the theorem.

Proof of the Corollary. We start with the proof of (4) by showing that for certain values of $p, B_{p p^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$.

We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p t}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right) \subset H_{t}^{\beta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $1<p<t<\infty$ and $\alpha-\frac{m}{p}=\beta-\frac{m}{t}$, by [T, p. 206]. Hence $B_{p q}^{\alpha} \subset H_{q}^{0}=L^{q}$, if $\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}-$ $\frac{m}{p}\left(=\alpha-\frac{m}{p}\right)=-\frac{m}{q}$ or (equivalently) $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\frac{2 a}{m}\right)-\frac{2 a}{m}(>0)$. From the definition of $B_{p q}^{\alpha}$ it follows at once that $B_{p p^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \subset B_{p q}^{\alpha}$, if $p^{\prime} \leqq q$. But $p^{\prime} \leqq q$, if $p \leqq 1+\frac{m}{m+2 a}$. Thus $B_{p p^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \subset L^{q}$, if $1+\frac{m}{m+2 a} \leqq p<1+\frac{m}{2 a}$. In the case where $1 \leqq p<1+\frac{m}{m+2 a}$ then (4) is obtained by interpolation between (4) in the case $p=1+\frac{m}{m+2 a}, r=p$, and (4) in the case $r=p=1$.

If b) is assumed we use (4) in the case $p=1$ and $1 \leqq r \leqq \infty$. The latter is included in the proof of Theorem 1. This finishes the proof of (4).
$H_{t}^{\beta}$ is embedded in BMO, if $\beta=\frac{m}{t}$ (see [St1, p. 164]) and by (15) $B_{p p^{\prime}}^{\alpha}$ embeds in BMO, if $t=p^{\prime}$ and $\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}=\alpha=\frac{m}{p}$ but this means that $p=1+\frac{m}{2 a}$. This shows (5).
(6) is a consequence of the following embeddings (see [BL, p. 153]).

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
B_{p p^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right) \\
B_{p p}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \subset B_{\infty \infty}^{\delta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)=\Lambda_{\delta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)
$$

Here $\alpha-\frac{m}{p}=\delta>0$ and as a consequence $\delta=\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}-\frac{m}{p}=2 a-\frac{m+2 a}{p}$, if $1+\frac{m}{2 a}<$ $p \leqq 2$, and $\delta=\frac{2 a}{p}-\frac{m}{p}=\frac{2 a-m}{p}$, if $2 \leqq p \leqq \infty$.

We continue with the necessary condition in the remark.
Take a fixed $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\emptyset \neq \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset(0, b), \psi(t, y)=t y$ and a fixed $k$ in $\{2,3, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let a prime on a variable denote an element in $\mathbf{R}^{k+1}$ and double-prime one in $\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}$, and decompose a variable in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ into these, for example $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k+1}\right)$ and $x^{\prime \prime}=\left(x_{k+2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Let $\omega \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ be supported in $[-1,1]$ with $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \omega(s) d s=1$ and put

$$
\bar{\omega}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\prod_{i=k+2}^{n} \omega\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

Denote by $d 0$ the induced Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere $S^{\boldsymbol{k}}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{k+1}$ and define a measure on $S^{k} \times[-1,1]^{n-k-1}$ in $\mathbf{R}$ by:

$$
\mu(x) d \sigma(x)=d \theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) \otimes \bar{\omega}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) d x^{\prime \prime}
$$

$S^{k} \times[-1,1]^{n-k-1}$ has $k$ principal curvatures different from zero.
Take a $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}\right)$ such that $g \equiv 1$ on the cube $[-b-1, b+1]^{n-k-1}$, and
as a consequence we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}} g\left(x^{\prime \prime}-t y^{\prime \prime}\right) \bar{\omega}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) d y^{\prime \prime}=\prod_{i=k+2}^{n} \int_{-1}^{1} \omega\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i}=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi$ and $x^{\prime \prime} \in Q=[-1,1]^{n-k-1}$.
Define, for $h \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right)$, the spherical mean in $\mathbf{R}^{k+1}$

$$
H_{x^{\prime}}(t)=\int_{S^{k}} h\left(x^{\prime}-t y^{\prime}\right) d \theta\left(y^{\prime}\right)
$$

and put $f(x)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right) g\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$, so $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Then for the mean $F$ of $f$ we have by (16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t) F_{x}(t) & =\int_{S} f(x-t y) \mu(y) d \sigma(y) \\
& =\varphi(t) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}} \int_{S^{k}} h\left(x^{\prime}-t y^{\prime}\right) g\left(x^{\prime \prime}-t y^{\prime \prime}\right) d \theta\left(y^{\prime}\right) \bar{\omega}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) d y^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\varphi(t) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}} g\left(x^{\prime \prime}-t y^{\prime \prime}\right) \bar{\omega}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) d y^{\prime \prime} \int_{S^{k}} h\left(x^{\prime}-t y^{\prime}\right) d \theta\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\varphi(t) H_{x^{\prime}}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $x^{\prime \prime} \in Q$.
Estimating $\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{\Lambda_{\delta}}$ gives then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{A_{\delta}}^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} & \geqq\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}} \int_{Q}\left\|\varphi F_{\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right\|_{A_{\delta}}^{r} d x^{\prime \prime} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}} \int_{Q}\left\|\varphi H_{x^{*}}\right\|_{A_{\delta}}^{r} d x^{\prime \prime} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& =C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}\left\|\varphi H_{x^{\prime}}\right\|_{\Lambda_{\delta}}^{r} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

and under the assumption of (6) and that $1+\frac{1}{k}<p \leqq 2$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}\left\|\varphi H_{x^{\prime}}\right\|_{\Lambda_{\dot{\delta}}}^{r} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / r} & \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{\Lambda_{s}}^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \leqq C\|f\|_{p}=C\|h\|_{p}\|g\|_{p} \\
& =C\|h\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

But by a counter-example in [S2] this implies that $\delta \leqq k-\frac{k+1}{p}$.
Take a fixed $k$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and assume that $1 \leqq p<1+\frac{1}{k}$, if $k \geqq 1$, and $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$, if $k=0$. Replacing $\Lambda_{\delta}$ by $L^{q}$ in the norms above together with (4) we also obtain (from [S2]) that $\frac{1}{q} \geqq \frac{k+1}{p}-k$. (The counter-example in [S2] is restricted to $k \geqq 1$, but can easily be modified to cover the case $k=0$.)

Thus the theorem gives the best possible values of $\delta$ and $p$.

Assume that $k \geqq 1$ and $p=1+\frac{1}{k}$ and set

$$
h\left(x^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{-k}\left(\log \frac{1}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}\right)^{-1}, & \text { if } 0<\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the mean $F$ of $f=h g$ ( $g$ as before), defined by the measure $\mu d \sigma$ above, gives

$$
\sup _{t>0}\left|\varphi(t) F_{x}(t)\right|=\infty,
$$

for $x$ in a set of positive measure. But $f$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Cf. [St2]. This shows that BMO in (3) cannot be replaced by $L^{\infty}$.

Using the above construction of $S$ with measure $\mu d \sigma$ one also obtains, from counterexamples for the spherical mean in [S5], that $p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$ is necessary if $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$ in (1)-(4).

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof is a small extension of the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [CM], from which we only give the main lines. For a more thorough treatment the reader should consult [CM].

Assume that $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $u$ be the distribution defined by the measure $\mu d \sigma$ and, for $\mathfrak{R z}>0$, set

$$
R_{z} f(x)=2 \Gamma(z)^{-1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{z-1} f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

$z \rightarrow R_{2} f$ continues analytically into $C$, and $R_{z} u$ is defined by duality, i.e.

$$
\left\langle R_{z} u, f\right\rangle=\left\langle u, R_{z} f\right\rangle .
$$

Note that $R_{0} f=f$.
For $\beta>\frac{1}{2}-a$ and $\beta \leqq \Re z \leqq 1$, let

$$
F_{x, z}(t)=t^{(n(z-\beta)) /(1-\beta)}\left\langle R_{z} u, f(x-t \cdot)\right\rangle, \quad t>0
$$

Let $T(x)=|h(x)|$, where $h$ is the function defined in the proof of Theorem 1 and $\mu$ the weight on $S$ extended to $\Omega$. Put $E(t)=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} ; T(x) \leqq t\right\} \cup\{0\}, t>0$. Then, if $\mathfrak{R z}>0, R_{z} u$ "is" the function

$$
R_{z} u(x)= \begin{cases}2 \Gamma(z)^{-1}\left(1-T(x)^{2}\right)^{z-1}|\nabla T(x)| \mu(z), & \text { if } x \in E(1) \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

See [CM, Prop. 2.1]. $\nabla T$ is an outward normal vector to $S$. Hence, if $\mathfrak{R z = 1}$, we have the following estimate of $F_{x, z}(t)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{x, z}(t)\right| & =\left|t^{(n(z-\beta)) /(1-\beta)}\left\langle R_{z} u, f(x-t \cdot)\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\left|t^{n} 2 \Gamma(z)^{-1} \int_{E(1)}\right| \nabla T(y) \mu(y) f(x-t y)|d y| \\
& \leqq C e^{\pi|\xi z| t^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|f(x-t y)| d y \\
& =C e^{\pi|\mathfrak{\xi} z|}\|f\|_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $|\nabla T(x)|$ and $\mu(y)$ are bounded on $E(1)$. Therefore

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0}\left|F_{x, z}(t)\right|\right\|_{\infty} \leqq C e^{\pi|\tilde{\vartheta} z|}\|f\|_{1}
$$

if $\mathfrak{R z}=1$. But by Theorem 1.4 of [CM] we also have that

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0}\left|F_{x, z}(t)\right|\right\|_{2} \leqq C e^{A \mid \tilde{\delta} z i}\|f\|_{2}
$$

if $\mathfrak{R z}=\beta>\frac{1}{2}-a$. We apply Stein's complex interpolation method and deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{t>0} \mid F_{x, e}(t)\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq C\|f\|_{p} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqq p \leqq 2, \beta>\frac{1}{2}-a$ and $\varrho=\frac{2}{p^{\prime}}(\beta-1)+1$. For $\varrho=0$, this becomes

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0} \mid t^{(-n \beta) /(1-\beta)} F_{x}(t)\right\|\left\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq C\right\| f \|_{p}
$$

if $\beta>\frac{1}{2}-a$ and $p=\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1-2 \beta}$, or equivalently

$$
\left\|\sup _{i>0}\left|t^{n((2 / p)-1)} F_{x}(t)\right|\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

for $1+\frac{1}{2 a}<p \leqq 2$. This can be interpolated with

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0}\left|F_{x}(t)\right|\right\|_{p} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

if $1+\frac{1}{2 a}<p \leqq 2$. This is Theorem 2.2 of [CM]. We obtain the following result.

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0} \mid t^{n(1 / p)-(1 / r))} F_{x}(t)\right\|\left\|_{r} \leqq C\right\| f \|_{p}
$$

Here $1+\frac{1}{2 a}<p \leqq 2$ and $p \leqq r \leqq p^{\prime}$.
The extension from $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ follows as in [SWa, p. 1285-1287].
Proof of Theorem 3. The proof is partly contained in the proof of Theorem 2.
But if we in this case use that $a=\frac{n-1}{2}$, and set

$$
F_{x, z}(t)=t^{(n(z-\beta)) /(1-\beta)} M_{t}^{z} f(x), \quad t>0
$$

then (17) can be rewritten as

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0}\left|t^{n((2 / p)-1)} M_{t}^{\rho} f\right|\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

with $1 \leqq p \leqq 2$ and $\varrho>1-\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}$. Interpolating this with Stein's estimate [St2, Th. 2]

$$
\left\|\sup _{t>0}\left|M_{t}^{e} f\right|\right\|_{p} \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

where $1<p \leqq 2$ and $\varrho>1-\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}$, gives the full result.

## 5. Further results

(1) can be compared to the following estimate.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{f}} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq C\|f\|_{P} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\frac{2 n}{n+2 a} \leqq p \leqq 2$ and $\beta=n\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)+a(m \cong 1)$. (18) is the result of interpolation between the end-point $\beta=\frac{2 a}{p^{\prime}}, p=2$, which is also an end-point for $\alpha$ in (1), and the end-point $\beta=0, p=\frac{2 n}{n+2 a}$. The latter can be shown by an application of the continuity of the Riesz potential $I_{a}$ to (8) (see [St1, Ch. V, 1]), viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{0}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} & \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|(1+|\xi|)^{-a} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C\left\|\widehat{I_{a} f \|_{2}}=C\right\| I_{a} f \|_{2} \\
& \leqq C\|f\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{a}{n}$ or (equivalently) $p=\frac{2 n}{n+2 a}$. For $\frac{n}{n-\frac{1}{2}} \leqq p \leqq 2, a=\frac{n-1}{2}, m=1$ and $r=2$ we obtain the corollary from (18) by the same type of embeddings which proved the corollary. Cf. [S2, pp. 282-283]. Note that this doesn't require any extra assumption on $\psi(t, y)$ or on the orientation of $S$ as was the case in (3). Trying this method for $a<\frac{n-1}{2}$ we get weaker results than the corollary gives for $r=2$. Consider for example $S=S^{k} \times[-1,1]^{n-k-1}, 1 \leqq k \leqq n-1$, with measure $\mu(x) d \sigma(x)=$ $d \theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) \otimes \bar{\omega}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) d x^{\prime \prime}$ defined as in the proof of the corollary. Estimating the Fourier transform $m=\widehat{\mu d \sigma}$ of this measure gives, for an arbitrary number $M$, that

Here $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the Fourier transforms in $\mathbf{R}^{k+1}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}$ respectively. The computation of $\mathscr{F}^{\prime} d \theta$ and the estimate of the Bessel function can be found in [SWe, pp. 154 and 158]. Thus, the decay of $m(\xi)$ is better in some directions.

The Riesz potential $I_{k / 2}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{k+1}$ is bounded as an operator from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right)$, if $\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{k / 2}{k+1}$, i.e. $1<p=\frac{k+1}{k+\frac{1}{2}}<2$. For this $p$ choose $M$ such that the Riesz potential in $\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}$ satisfies $I_{M}^{\prime \prime}: L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k-n-1}\right)$. By Minkowski's inequality for integrals we get an improved estimate of the $L^{2}\left(H_{2}^{0}\right)$-norm.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left\|\varphi F_{x}\right\|_{H_{2}^{0}}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}\left|\varphi(t) m\left(\psi\left(t, \xi^{\prime}\right), \psi\left(t, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d t d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C\left(\left.\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}}\left|\varphi(t)\left(1+\left|\psi\left(t, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{-k / 2}\left(1+\left|\psi\left(t, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|\right)^{-\left.M\right|^{2}} d t\right| \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-k / 2}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{-M} \hat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C\left(\left.\left.\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}}\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{-2 M} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}| | \xi^{\prime}\right|^{-k / 2} \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} f\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d \xi^{\prime} d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}}\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{-2 M} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}\left|\mathscr{F}^{\prime} I_{k / 2}^{\prime} \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} f\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d \xi^{\prime} d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}}\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{-2 M}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}\left|\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} f\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime}\right)^{2 / p} d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}}\left(\left.\left.\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}| | \xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{-M} \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} f\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime}\right)^{2 / p} d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{(p / 2) \cdot(1 / p)} \\
& \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}\left(\left.\left.\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}}| | \xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{-M} \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} f\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{p / 2} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}}\left|\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} I_{M}^{\prime \prime} f\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{p / 2} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqq C\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{k+1}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-k-1}}\left|f\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{p} d x^{\prime \prime}\right)^{(1 / p) \cdot p} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =\|f\|_{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have set
and

$$
\psi\left(t, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left(\psi_{1}(t) \xi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k+1}(t) \xi_{k+1}\right)
$$

$$
\psi\left(t, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\psi_{k+2}(t) \xi_{k+2}, \ldots, \psi_{n}(t) \xi_{n} .\right)
$$

Taking $k<n-1, a=\frac{k}{2}$, and $p=\frac{2 n}{n+2 a}=\frac{2 n}{n+k}$ in (18) $(\beta=0)$ we obtain a $p$ which is larger than $\frac{k+1}{k+\frac{1}{2}}$. We note also that $\frac{k+1}{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ is the value of $p$ in (4) of the corollary if $q=r=2$ and $a=\frac{k}{2}(m=1)$.

That the decay of $\widehat{\mu d \sigma}(\xi)=m(\xi)$ is better, in certain directions, than $|\xi|^{-a}$, if $a<\frac{n-1}{2}$, is a universal property of smooth measures. This is the content of the following theorem of Erik Svensson [Sv].

Theorem. Assume that $S$ has $k$ principal curvatures different from zero. Let $\chi(\xi)$ be the least acute angle that a vector $\xi$ forms with any normal vector of $S$. Then, for any positive number $R$,

$$
|m(\xi)| \leqq C_{R}(1+|\xi|)^{-k / 2}(1+|\xi| \sin \varkappa(\xi))^{-R}
$$

This improves W. Littman's [L] estimates of the Fourier transform of this kind of measures.

If $\psi(t, y)=t y, \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\eta>\eta_{0}=\frac{n}{r^{\prime}}-1-\frac{n-1-2 a}{p^{\prime}}$, then (4)-(6) holds with $\varphi F_{x}$ replaced by $|t|^{\eta} \varphi F_{x}$. A sketch of the proof goes as follows. $|t|^{\eta} \varphi F_{x}$ is split up in a sum $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|t|^{\eta} \varphi_{k} F_{x}$ by a dyadic partition of unity. Each $|t|^{\eta} \varphi_{k} F_{x}$ is estimated by (4)-(6) followed by a dilation argument which collects the dependence on $k$ in the constant $2^{k \eta_{0}} C$ replacing the constant $C$ in (4)-(6). Then by summing the geometric series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\left(\eta-\eta_{0}\right)}$, which converges if $\eta>\eta_{0}$, gives the desired result. Note that the bound $\eta_{0}$ becomes independent of $p$ if $a=\frac{n-1}{2}$. In the case $S=$ $=S^{n-1}\left(a=\frac{n-1}{2}\right) ; \eta_{0}$ is best possible. For details see [S4].

If we also admit the smooth mass $\mu$ to depend smoothly on $t \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$ and substitute the growth condition on the Fourier transform of $\mu d \sigma$ by

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} D_{k}^{N}\left(\mu_{t} d \sigma\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)\right| \leqq C_{N}(1+|\xi|)^{-a}
$$

for $t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi$ and $N=0,1, \ldots,[a]+1$, then (1)-(6) are still valid for the average defined by the measure $\mu_{t} d \sigma$. Here $D_{k}^{N}=\frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial t_{k}^{N}}$ and $[a]$ is the integer part of $a$. This can be seen as follows.

We compute the derivatives of

$$
m_{t}(\psi(t, \xi))=\left(\mu_{t} d \sigma\right)^{\wedge}(\psi(t, \xi))
$$

and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{k}^{j}\left(m_{t}(\psi(t, \xi))\right) & =\int_{S} D_{k}^{j}\left(e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)} \mu_{t}(x)\right) d \sigma(x) \\
& =\int_{S} \sum_{l=0}^{j} D_{k}^{j-l}\left(e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)}\right) D_{k}^{l} \mu_{t}(x) d \sigma(x) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{j} \sum_{|v|=j-l} P_{k}^{v}(t) \xi^{v} \int_{S} e^{-i x \cdot \psi(t, \xi)} x^{y} D_{k}^{l} \mu_{t}(x) d \sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, N$. But, since

$$
\left|\left(\left(D_{k}^{l} \mu_{t}\right) d \sigma\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)\right|=\left|D_{k}^{L}\left(\mu_{t} d \sigma\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)\right| \leqq C(1+|\xi|)^{-a}
$$

if $t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi$ and $l=1, \ldots, N$, we get by the same reasons as before that

$$
\left|\int_{s} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} x^{\gamma} D_{k}^{l} \mu_{t}(x) d \sigma(x)\right| \leqq C(1+|\xi|)^{-a}
$$

and consequently

$$
\left|D_{k}^{j}\left(m_{t}(\psi(t, \xi))\right)\right| \leqq \sum_{|\gamma| \leqq j}\left|P_{k}^{\gamma}(t) \xi^{\eta}\right| \cdot C(1+|\psi(t, \xi)|)^{-a} \leqq C(1+|\xi|)^{j-a}
$$

for $t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi$ and $l=1, \ldots, N$. From this, the desired $L^{2}$ estimate follows as before and also the $L^{1}$ and $L^{\infty}$ estimates, since $\mu_{t}$ is bounded on $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$. The interpolation and the extension of $f$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is as before.

Let $u$ be a compactly supported distribution in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Define $u_{t}$ by

$$
\left\langle u_{t}, f\right\rangle=\langle u, f(\psi(t, y))\rangle_{[y]},
$$

where $f$ is a test function and $\langle,\rangle_{[y]}$ indicates that we apply the distribution on test functions of $y$, and an average by

$$
F_{x}(t)=u_{t} * f(x)=\langle u, f(x-\psi(t, y))\rangle_{[y]}
$$

for $f$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\left(u_{t} * f\right)^{\wedge}=\hat{f} \hat{u}_{t}
$$

as distributions. But since $u_{t}$ has compact support for $t$ in $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$ the Fourier transform of $u_{t}$ is given by the function

$$
\hat{u}_{t}(\xi)=\left\langle u_{t}, e^{-i \xi \cdot y}\right\rangle_{[y]}=\left\langle u, e^{-i \xi \cdot \psi(t, y)}\right\rangle_{[y]}=\left\langle u, e^{-i \psi(t, \xi) \cdot y}\right\rangle_{[y]}=\hat{u}(\psi(t, \xi)),
$$

for $t \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi($ see [GS, pp. 196-197]), and we get that

$$
\hat{F}_{\xi}(t)=\hat{u}(\psi(t, \xi)) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Hence, if $|\hat{u}(\xi)| \leqq C(1+|\xi|)^{-a}, a \geqq 0$, Theorem 1 and its corollary are true also in the case $p=2$, for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, because we consider only the Fourier transform of $F_{x}(t)$, and $\left\langle u, e^{-i x \cdot \xi} x^{\gamma}\right\rangle_{[x]}$ decreases like $\hat{u}(\xi)$. Cf. [CM, Th. 1.4].

We get an example by taking $u$ equal to the function $\left(\max \left(1-|x|^{2}, 0\right)\right)^{\delta}, \delta>-1$, where $a=\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta$ will suffice.
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