# On the projective classification of smooth $n$-folds with $n$ even 
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Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}$ be an irreducible, $n$ dimensional, projective variety with a smooth normalization $\alpha: M \rightarrow Y$ and let $\mathscr{L}=\alpha^{*} \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)_{Y}$. Recent results of [5], [6], [16] imply that either $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is one of a list of specific, well understood polarized varieties or there is a projective manifold $X$ and an ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ such that:
a) $M$ is the blowup $\pi: M \rightarrow X$ of $X$ at a finite set $F$,
b) $K_{M} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{n-1}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X} \otimes L^{n-1}\right)$ where $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-1}$ is ample and spanned by global sections,
c) $L=[\pi(S)]$ for a smooth $S \in|\mathscr{L}|$, or equivalently $\mathscr{L}=\pi^{*}(L) \otimes\left[\pi^{-1}(F)\right]^{-1}$,
d) $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}$ is semi-ample and big, i.e. some positive power $\left(K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}\right)^{t}$ is spanned by global sections and the map $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}$ associated to $\Gamma\left(\left(K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}\right)^{t}\right)$ has an $n$ dimensional image.

The pair $(X, L)$ is called the first reduction of $(M, \mathscr{L})$ and is very well behaved, see [12], [14] and [17]. It is easy to convert information between ( $X, L$ ) and $(M, \mathscr{L})$.

Let $\Phi \circ s=\alpha$ be the Remmert-Stein factorization of the map $\alpha$ (in d) above) where $\Phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ has connected fibres for a normal projective $X^{\prime}$, and $s: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is finite to one. There is an ample line bundle $\mathscr{K}$ on $X^{\prime}$ such that $\Phi^{*} \mathscr{K}=K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}$. The pair ( $X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}$ ) is known as the $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction and the map $\Phi$ is called the second adjunction map. Such pairs have been studied by the authors [4], [15]. $X^{\prime}$ has only isolated singularities, is 2-factorial and Gorenstein in even dimensions. Thus for $n$ even, $n \geqq 4, \mathscr{K}=K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes L^{\prime n-2}$ where for a smooth $A \in|L|, 2 \Phi(A)$ is Cartier, i.e. [ $2 \Phi(A)$ ] is invertible and $L^{\prime}$ is 2-Cartier. This pleasant circumstance makes the $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction almost as easy to use as the first reduction when $n$ is even, and allows us to use the results of Fujita [5] in this case. Combining this with a recent result [2] we can push the known classification a good deal further. To state our main result it is useful to recall the notion of the spectral value of a pair.

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a nef and big line bundle on a normal projective variety $\mathscr{X}$ of dimension $n \geqq 1$. In [16] the spectral value, $\sigma(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{H})$, of the pair $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{H})$ is defined as the smallest real number $\tau$ such that given any fraction $p / q>\tau, \Gamma\left(K_{\mathscr{X}}^{N} \otimes \mathscr{H}^{(n+1-p / q) N}\right)=0$ for all integers $N>0$ with $q \mid N$.

Note that $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L})=\sigma(X, L)=\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right) \geqq 0$.
The normalization used in the above definition of spectral value is very useful in organizing the known results, e.g. $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L})=0$ if and only if $(M, \mathscr{L})=\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right.$, $\left.O_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$, and $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L})=1$ if and only if $(M, \mathscr{L})=\left(\mathbf{Q}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(1)\right)$ where $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ is a quadric or $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is a scroll over a curve. The known classification is for $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L}) \leqq 3$. In [2] this is pushed to $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L})<4-3 /(n+1)$. Our main result is
1.1. Theorem. Assume $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L})>3$ (see [16] for the case $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L}) \leqq 3$ ). Let $M$ be of dimension $n$ where $n$ is even and $n \geqq 4$. Either $h^{0}\left(K_{M}^{\left(n^{2}+1\right)} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{n(n-1)(n-2)}\right) \neq 0$, in which case $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L}) \geqq 4-(n+3) /\left(n^{2}+1\right)$ and $K_{X^{*}} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}$ is semi-ample and big or $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is one of the following list:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=3 \frac{1}{3} \text { and }\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(3)\right) . \\
\left.\left.\left.\left.\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=3 \frac{1}{2} \text { and either } a\right), b\right), c\right), \text { or } d\right), \text { holds: }
\end{gathered}
$$

a) $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{6}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right)$,
b) $K_{X^{\prime}}^{-4}=L^{\prime 6}, \operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}=4$, and there is an ample line bundle $H$ on $X^{\prime}$ with $H^{3}=K_{X^{\prime}}^{-1}$,
c) there exists a holomorphic map $\Psi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow C$, where $C$ is a curve, $K_{X^{\prime}}^{2} \otimes L^{\prime 3}=$ $\Psi^{*} E$ for an ample line bundle $E$ on $C$. Further the general fibre of $\Psi$ is $\left(\mathrm{Q}^{3}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathrm{Q}^{3}}(2)\right)$,
d) there exists a holomorphic map $\Psi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow S$, where $S$ is a surface, $K_{X^{\prime}}^{2} \otimes$ $L^{\prime 3}=\Psi^{*} E$ for an ample line bundle $E$ on $S$. Further the general fibre of $\Psi$ is $\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$.
$\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=3 \frac{2}{3}$ and $K_{X^{\prime}}^{-3}=L^{\prime 10}, \operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}=6$, and there is an ample line bundle $H$ on $X^{\prime}$ with $H^{5}=K_{\mathbf{X}^{\prime}}^{-1}$.

By passing to a general $H \in|\mathscr{L}|$ we get information about odd dimensional $M$.
1.1.2. Corollary. Assume that $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L})>3$. Let $M$ be of dimension $n$ where $n$ is odd and $n \geqq 5$. Either

$$
\left[\left((n-1)^{2}+1\right) K_{M}+\left(n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4\right) \mathscr{L}\right] \cdot \mathscr{L}
$$

and

$$
\left[\left((n-1)^{2}+1\right) K_{X}+\left(n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4\right) L\right] \cdot L
$$

are effective, or one of the following is true:
a) $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is the cone on $\left(\mathbf{P}^{6}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right)$,
b) $X^{\prime}$ is 5 dimensional and $K_{X^{\prime}}^{4} \otimes L^{10}=O_{X^{\prime}}$,
c) there is a morphism $\Psi: X \rightarrow C$ where $C$ is a curve, $L_{F}=O_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(2)$ for a general fibre $F$ which is biholomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{4}$,
d) $X^{\prime}$ is 7 dimensional and $K_{X^{\prime}}^{6} \otimes L^{\prime 26}=\boldsymbol{O}_{X^{\prime}}$.

To illustrate the use of these results which actually requires only that $\mathscr{L}$ be ample and spanned on $M$ we give a single representative application in $\S 2$. Let $M$ be an $n$-fold with $n \geqq 4$ and assume that there is a family of lines on $M$ with a line through most and hence all points of $M$. Let $t+n-1$ be the dimension of the family where $t \geqq 0$ by the hypothesis on the last line. Then $(M, \mathscr{L})$ has a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction on the above lists if

$$
n(n-1)(n-2)<(t+2)\left(n^{2}+1\right) \text { and } n \text { is even, }
$$

or if

$$
n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4<(t+2)\left[(n-1)^{2}+1\right] \text { and } n \text { is odd. }
$$

This should be contrasted with the work of Sato [10].
If $\mathscr{L}$ is very ample and the variety of singular hyperplane sections $\mathscr{H} \subset|\mathscr{L}|$ has codimension $k+1$ then using a theorem of Ein's ([3], see (0.5) for a statement and short proof) it follows that $(M, \mathscr{L})$ has a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction on the list for $k>0$ if

$$
\begin{gathered}
n(n-1)(n-2)<\left(\frac{n+k+2}{2}\right)\left(n^{2}+1\right) ; \quad n \text { is even } \\
n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4<\left(\frac{n+k+2}{2}\right)\left((n-1)^{2}+1\right) ; \quad n \text { is odd. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we are reduced to studying varieties on the above list and that of [16] if $n$ is even and $k \geqq n-7$ (see also [8]).

It should be noted that the detailed classification of varieties on the lists with a special property, e.g. defect $k$ discriminant locus, requires some further work.
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## 0. Background material

Throughout this paper ( $M, \mathscr{L}$ ) will denote a pair, consisting of a smooth projective $n$-fold $M$, and an ample and spanned line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $M$ such that the map $X \rightarrow P_{c}$ given by $\Gamma(\mathscr{L})$ is generically one to one.
0.1 . Let $L$ be a line bundle on $M$. We say that $L$ is nef if $c_{1}(L) \cdot[C] \geqq 0$, for all effective curves $C$ on $M$. We say that a nef line bundle $L$ is $\operatorname{big}$ if $c_{1}(L)^{n}>0$. We
say that $L$ is semi-ample if there exists an $m>0$ such that $B s|m L|$, the base locus of $|m L|$, is empty.
0.2. A reduction $(X, L)$ of a pair $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is a pair $(X, L)$ consisting of an ample line bundle $L$ on a projective manifold $X$ such that:
a) $M$ is the blowup $\pi: M \rightarrow X$ of $X$ at a finite set $F$,
b) $\mathscr{L}=\pi^{*}(L) \otimes\left[\pi^{-1}(F)\right]^{-1}$ or equivalently $K_{M} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{n-1}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X} \otimes L^{n-1}\right)$.

The pair $(X, L)$ is also called the 1st reduction of ( $M, \mathscr{L}$ ) if $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-1}$ is ample.

For the following theorems we refer to [5], [6], [12], [13], [16].
Theorem 0.3. Let $(M, \mathscr{L})$ be as above. Then there exists a reduction $(X, L)$ of $(M, \mathscr{L})$ such that $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-1}$ is ample and spanned by global sections unless one of the following holds:
a) $(M, \mathscr{L})=\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$ or $\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$,
b) $(M, \mathscr{L})=\left(\mathbf{Q}^{n}, O_{\mathbf{Q}^{n}}(1)\right)$, where $\mathbf{Q}^{n}$ is a smooth hyperquadric in $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$,
c) $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is a scroll over a smooth curve,
d) $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is a del Pezzo manifold, i.e. $K_{M} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{n-1}=\boldsymbol{O}_{M}$,
e) $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is a hyperquadric fibration over a smooth curve,
f) $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is a scroll over a surface.

Theorem 0.4. Let $(M, \mathscr{L})$ be as above. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} M=n \geqq 3$. If $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is not as listed in 0.3 there exists a reduction $(X, L)$ of $(M, \mathscr{L})$ such that $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}$ is semi-ample and big unless one of the following holds:
a) $(X, L)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(2)\right)$ or $\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(3)\right)$,
b) $(X, L)=\left(\mathbf{Q}^{3}, O_{\mathbf{Q}^{3}}(2)\right)$,
c) there is a holomorphic surjection $\varphi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ onto $C$, a smooth curve where $K_{X^{\prime}}^{2} \otimes L^{3} \approx \varphi^{*} \zeta$ for an ample line bundle $\zeta$ on $C$; in particular the general fibre of $\varphi$ is $\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$,
d) $K_{X} \approx L^{-(n-2)}$, i.e. $(X, L)$ is a Fano manifold of co-index 3 (see [9]),
e) $(X, L)$ is a del Pezzo fibration over a curve,
f) ( $X, L$ ) is a hyperquadric fibration over a surface,
g) $n \geqq 4$ and $(X, L)$ is a scroll over a threefold.

We need the following basic result (see [4], [15]).
Theorem 0.4.1. Let $(M, \mathscr{L})$ be as above. Assume there is a reduction $(X, L)$ with $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-1}$ ample. Assume that $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}$ is semiample and big and that $n \geqq 4$. Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the second adjunction map, i.e. there is a birational morphism, $\Phi$, and an ample line bundle $\mathscr{K}$ on $X^{\prime}$, a normal projective variety, such that $\Phi^{*} \mathscr{K}=$ $K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}$. Then $X^{\prime}$ has isolated singularities. Precisely there exists an algebraic set $Z \subset X^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} Z \leqq 1$ and $\Phi_{X-\Phi-1(Z)}: X-\Phi^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow X^{\prime}-Z$ is a biholomorphism. If $C \subset Z$ is a pure one dimensional subvariety, then $C$ is smooth, $C \subset X_{\text {reg }}^{\prime}$,
and in a neighborhood $U$ of $C, \Phi: \Phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is simply the blowup of $C$. If $x$ is a zero dimensional irreducible component of $Z$ then $\Phi^{-1}(x)$ is one of the following,
a) $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ with normal bundle $\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(-2), L_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}=\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(1)$,
b) $\mathbf{Q}$ biholomorphic to an irreducible quadric in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with $L_{\mathbf{Q}}=O_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, and normal bundle $\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(-1)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Letting $Z=Z_{1}+Z_{2}$ where $Z_{1}=$ set of points $x$ with $\Phi^{-1}(x)$ as in a) and $Z_{2}=$ $Z-Z_{1}$, and letting $L^{\prime}=(\Phi * L)^{* *}$ it can be seen that using $\mathbf{Q}$-Cartier divisors $\Phi^{*} L^{\prime}-\Phi^{-1}\left(Z_{2}\right)-1 / 2 \Phi^{-1}\left(Z_{1}\right)=L$.
0.4.2. Let $L^{\prime}=(\Phi * L)^{* *}$. This is a 2-Cartier divisor. Indeed except for points $x$ with $\Phi^{-1}(x)$ of the form a) it is Cartier. Similarly $K_{X^{\prime}}$, is 2 -Cartier and Cartier if $n=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}$ is even. We often write $L^{\prime 2 a}$ for the line bundle $\left(2 L^{\prime}\right)^{a}$.
0.4.3. Often we will have a surjective map $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ where $0<\operatorname{dim} Y<$ $\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}$. If $\operatorname{dim} Y>1$ then by 0.4 .1 we choose a general fibre $F \subset X^{\prime}$ of $f$ such that $\Phi$ gives a biholomorphism of $\Phi^{-1}(F)$ and $F$. Thus $F$ can be identified with a general fibre of $f \circ \Phi: X \rightarrow Y$.

If $\operatorname{dim} Y=1$, then a general fibre $F$ of $f$ is smooth, $F \subset X_{\text {reg }}^{\prime}$ and meets the set $Z$ of 0.4 .1 in a finite number of points $\mathscr{S}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset F$ obtained by intersecting $F$ with a smooth curve $C \subset Z$. Note $\Phi: \Phi^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$ expresses $\Phi^{-1}(F)$ as $F$ with $\mathscr{S}$ blown up and since $K_{X^{\prime}, F}=K_{F}$,

$$
K_{\Phi^{-1}(F)} \otimes L_{\Phi-1(F)}^{n-2}=\Phi^{*}\left(K_{F} \otimes L_{F}^{\prime n-2}\right)
$$

Lemma 0.4.4. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be a nef and big line bundle on a normal projective Gorenstein variety $Y$. Assume $\operatorname{Irr}(Y)$ is finite and $\left(K_{Y}^{a} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{b}\right)^{t}=O_{Y}$ for some $a>0, b>0$, $t>0$. Then $K_{Y}^{a} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{b}=\boldsymbol{O}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$. Further $b / a \leqq n+1$.

Proof. Choose the smallest integer $t>0$ such that $\left(K_{Y}^{a} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{b}\right)^{t}=\boldsymbol{O}_{Y}$. Let $q: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ be the unramified cover associated to the $t$-th root of the constant function. By choice of $t, Y^{\prime}$ is irreducible and $K_{Y^{\prime}}^{a} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{\prime b}=O_{Y^{\prime}}$ where $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}=q^{*} \mathscr{K}$. Since $K_{Y}^{a t}=\mathscr{K}^{-b t}, K_{Y^{\prime}}^{a}=\mathscr{K}^{\prime-b}$ we see that $K_{Y}^{-1}, K_{Y^{\prime}}^{-1}$ are nef and big. Thus by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (see [16], (0.2.1)), $h^{i}\left(O_{Y}\right)=h^{i}\left(O_{Y}\right)=0$, $i>0$. Thus $\chi\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{Y}\right)=\chi\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=1$. But since $q$ is an unramified cover $\chi\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime}}\right)=t \chi\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{Y}\right)$. This implies $t=1$.

To see that $b / a \leqq n+1$ is a simple modification of an old Hirzebruch-Kodaira argument. Note that since $\mathscr{K}$ is nef and big, the polynomial $p(t)=\chi\left(K_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{t}\right)$ is an $n$th degree polynomial with $n$th degree term nonvanishing. By the Kawa-mata-Viehweg vanishing theorem used above, $p(t)=h^{0}\left(K_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{K}{ }^{t}\right)$ for all $t>0$. If $b / a>n+1$, then $\left(K_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{t}\right)^{a}=\left(K_{Y}^{a} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{b}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}^{t a-b}=\mathscr{K}^{t a-b}$ has a nef and big inverse for $t$ between 1 and $n+1$. Thus we have the absurdity that $p(t)=h^{0}\left(K_{Y} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{t}\right)=0$ for $n+1$ integer values.
0.5 . Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a very ample line bundle on $M$. Let $\Delta \subset|\mathscr{L}|$ be the variety of singular hyperplane sections. If $\Delta$ has codimension $k+1$ then for a general point $x \in \Delta$, the set of singular points of the hyperplane section $A$ corresponding to $x$ is a linear $\wp=\mathbf{P}^{k}$, of non degenerate quadratic singularities. Thus the two jet $\tau$ of a section $s \in \Gamma(\mathscr{L})$ gives on $\wp$ a section of $N \wp^{*}(2) \otimes \mathscr{L}$ which is non degenerate as a symmetric form at all points of $\wp$. Thus

Theorem 0.5.1. (Ein.) $N_{\wp}^{*} \otimes \mathscr{L} \cong N_{\wp}$. In particular given a line $\lambda \subset \wp, N_{\lambda} \cong$ $N_{\lambda / \wp} \oplus N_{\wp, \lambda} \cong \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1)^{\oplus(k-1)} \oplus O_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1)^{\oplus(n-k) / 2} \oplus O_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{\oplus(n-k) / 2}$,

Thus

$$
\operatorname{deg} K_{M, \lambda}=-(n+k+2) / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad 0=(n+k) \bmod 2 .
$$

Remark. The parity result had earlier been observed by A. Landman. The number $k$ is also called the defect of $M$, $\operatorname{def}(M)$ (see [3] for details).

## 1. The main Theorem

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be an ample and spanned line bundle on a smooth projective manifold $M$. Assume the map $M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}$ associated to $\Gamma(\mathscr{L})$ is generically one to one. Assume $\operatorname{dim} X=n$ is $\geqq 4$ and even. Assume $\sigma(M, \mathscr{L})>3$ and let $X, L$ be as in 0.4. This section is devoted to proving the main theorem stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recent results of [5], [6], [16] imply that the pair ( $M, \mathscr{L}$ ) has a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ unless $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is as listed in 0.3 and 0.4 . It is easy to see from [4], [15] that $X^{\prime}$ has only isolated rational singularities and in fact $X^{\prime}$ is 2 -factorial and Gorenstein in even dimensions. Thus for $n$ even, $n \geqq 4$, the ample line bundle $\mathscr{K}$ is $K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes L^{\prime n-2}$, where $L^{\prime}$ is as in the introduction.

Hence we can apply the results of Fujita [5], to the pair ( $X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}$ ). From ([5], Thm. 1, 2) we see that $K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}$ is nef unless
a) $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}\right)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$,
b) $X^{\prime}$ is a hyperquadric $\mathbf{Q}^{n}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ and $\mathscr{K}=O_{\mathbf{Q}^{n}}(1)$,
c) $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ is a scroll over a smooth curve.

Noting that $\mathscr{K}=K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes L^{\prime n-2}$, in a) we have $K_{\mathbf{P}^{n}} \otimes L^{\prime n-2}=O_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)$. Hence $-(n+1)+(n-2) d=1$, where $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $d$ is such that $L^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(d)$. Using the ampleness of $L^{\prime}, d$ is seen to be an integer $>0$. It follows that $3 \leqq n \leqq 6$. By assumption $n$ is even, thus we have either

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(3)\right), \\
& \text { or }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.\mathrm{a}_{2}\right) \quad\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{6}}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right)$.

Note that $L^{\prime}$ is Cartier in case b) since the only singularity of 0.4 .1 for which $L^{\prime}$ would not be Cartier doesn't occur on hyperquadrics.

Identical reasoning can be carried out for b) and c) and we obtain in b) either
$\left.\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathbf{Q}^{3}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{Q}^{3}}(4)\right)$,
or
$\left.\mathrm{b}_{2}\right) \quad\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathbf{Q}^{5}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{Q}^{5}}(2)\right)$
and in c) we see that $n=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}=3,5$. Note that both b) and c) cannot occur since $n$ is even. Thus $K_{X} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}$ is nef unless ( $X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ ) is as in $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ ) and $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ). We will denote, for simplicity, $K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}$ by $\mathscr{M}$. From (2.6) of [7] it follows that the linear system $|m \mathscr{M}|$ is base-point free for all $m \gg 0$. Let $\Psi$ be the morphism associated to $|m \mathscr{M}|$ for $m \gg 0$.

Let $W=\Psi\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $\operatorname{dim} W \leqq 2$ or $\operatorname{dim} W=n$ (see [16]). To see that $\operatorname{dim} W \leqq 2$ note that the restriction of $\mathscr{M}=K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}$ to a generic fibre, $F$, of $\Psi$ is $K_{F} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{n-1}$ and $\left(K_{F} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{n-1}\right)^{m}$ if $O_{F}$ for some positive $m$. Now use lemma 0.4.4.
d) If $\operatorname{dim} W=0$ then $\left(K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}\right)^{t}=O_{X^{\prime}}$. Thus by 0.4.4 $K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}=O_{X^{\prime}}$. Thus $K_{X^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes\left(2 L^{\prime}\right)^{(n-1)(n-2) / 2}=O_{X^{\prime}}$. If $n$ is relatively prime to $(n-1)(n-2) / 2$ then there exists an ample $H$ such that $H^{(n-1)(n-2) / 2} K_{X^{\prime}}^{-1}=$. Since $(n-1)(n-1) / 2 \leqq$ $n+1$ and $n \geqq 4$ and even we conclude that $n=4$. In this case $K_{X^{\prime}}^{4} \otimes L^{\prime 6}=O_{X^{\prime}}$. It is easy to see that if $n$ and $(n-1)(n-2) / 2$ have a common factor it is 2 and then $n / 2,(n-1)(n-2) / 4$ are relatively prime. Thus there exists an ample line bundle $H$ such that $H^{(n-1)(n-2) / 4}=K_{X^{\prime}}^{-1}$. Since $n \geqq 4$ and even and $(n-1)(n-2) / 4 \leqq n+1$ by Lemma 0.4 .4 we conclude that $n=6$. In this case we have $H^{5}=K_{X^{\prime}}^{-1}$, and $H^{3}=2 L^{\prime}$.
e) If $\operatorname{dim} W=1$ and if we let $F$ be a general fibre of $\Psi$ we have $\left(K_{F} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{F}^{n-1}\right)^{t}=O_{F}$ for some $t>0$. By (0.4.4, $K_{F} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{n-1}=\boldsymbol{O}_{F}$. Since $F$ is smooth as noted in 0.4.3, $F$ is a smooth quadric $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n}$ and $K_{F}=\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(1)$. Since $L_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(d)$ for $d$ a positive integer, this gives $-(n-1)+(n-2) d=1$ or $(n-2)(d-1)=2$. Since $n$ is $\geqq 4$ and even, we conclude that $n=4, d=2$.
f) If $\operatorname{dim} W=2$ and $F$ denotes a general fibre of $\Psi$ then by 0.4.3 W is smooth. We have $K_{\boldsymbol{F}} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{n-1}=\boldsymbol{O}_{F}$, i.e. $\left(F, \mathscr{K}_{F}\right)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{n-2}, \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-2}}(1)\right)$. As before we can see that $n=4$ and $d=2$.

It is easy to see that $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=3 \frac{1}{3}$ if $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is as in $\left.\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$, and $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=3 \frac{1}{2}$ if $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is as in $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ), e), or f). In the first example of d) $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=3 \frac{1}{2}$; in the second $3 \frac{2}{3}$. Hence $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is as in Theorem 1.1 above.

If $\operatorname{dim} W=n$ then $\mathscr{M}=K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n-1}$ is nef and big. Consider the line bundle $K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{n}$.

Either $h^{0}\left(K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{n}\right) \neq 0$ or $h^{0}\left(K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{n}\right)=0$.

In ([2], Theorem 2.2) it is shown that if $\mathscr{K}=K_{X} \otimes L^{n-2}$ is nef and big and $h^{0}\left(K_{X} \otimes \mathscr{K}^{n}\right)=0$ then there is a birational morphism $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n}$ with $\mathscr{K}=\Phi^{*} \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)$. The argument used there works for any line bundle $\mathscr{K}$ on a normal $Y$ such that:
a) $\mathscr{K}^{t}$ is spanned by global sections for all sufficiently large $t$,
b) $\mathscr{K}$ is big,
c) $h^{i}\left(\mathscr{K}^{j}\right)=0$ for $i>0, j>0$.

Since $X^{\prime}$ is Gorenstein with rational singularities Kawamata's base point free theorem and the fact that $\mathscr{K}$ and $\mathscr{M}$ are nef and big imply a) and b). Since $\mathscr{M}^{j}=K_{X^{\prime}} \otimes\left(\mathscr{K}^{n-1} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{j-1}\right)$ for $j \geqq 1, \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=0$, and $\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{M}$ are nef and big, the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem implies c).

Thus if $\mathscr{M}=\Phi^{*} \boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)$, then $\Phi_{*}\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{* *}=\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{p}^{n}}(d)$ where

$$
-(n+1)+(n-1)((n-2) d-n-1)=1
$$

or $(n-1)((n-2) d-n-2)=3$. Since $n \geqq 4$, this implies $n=4$ and $2 d=7$. This is clearly not possible.

Proof of Corollary 1.1.2. Let $A \in|L|$ be a general element. Corollary 1.1.2 will follow from (1.1) if we show that $\left(A^{\prime}, L_{A^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ can be one of the exceptions of (1.1) only if
a) $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is the cone on ( $\left.\mathbf{P}^{6}, O_{\mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right)$,
b) $X^{\prime}$ is 5 dimensional and $K_{X^{\prime}}^{4} \otimes L^{\prime 10}=\boldsymbol{O}_{X^{\prime}}$,
c) there is a morphism $\Psi: X \rightarrow C$ where $C$ is a smooth curve, $L_{\mathbf{F}}=\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(2)$ for a general fibre $F$ which is biholomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{4}$,
d) $X^{\prime}$ is 7 dimensional and $K_{X^{\prime}}^{6} \otimes L^{\prime 26}=\boldsymbol{O}_{X^{\prime}}$.

Note if $A^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{4}$, then $X^{\prime}$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $A^{\prime}$. This follows by looking over the possible singularities of 0.4.1. Since $A^{\prime}$ is therefore Cartier and ample it follows from Scorza's theorem (see [1]) that $X^{\prime}$ is a cone over $\mathbf{P}^{4}$. The only singularity on $X^{\prime}$ is the vertex. Checking the list in 0.4.1 it doesn't occur.

If $K_{A^{\prime}}^{-4}=L_{A^{\prime 6}}^{\prime}$, with $\operatorname{dim} A^{\prime}=4, A \in|L|, A^{\prime}=\Phi(A)$, then $\left(K_{X}^{4} \otimes L^{10}\right)_{A}$ has a section zero only on the inverse image of the positive dimensional fibre of $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ and $\quad h^{0}\left(\left(K_{X}^{4} \otimes L^{10}\right)_{A}\right)=1 . \quad$ Consider $\quad 0 \rightarrow K_{X} \otimes\left(K_{X}^{3} \otimes L^{9}\right) \rightarrow K_{X}^{4} \otimes L^{10} \rightarrow\left(K_{X}^{\mathrm{d}} \otimes L^{10}\right)_{A} \rightarrow 0$. Since $K_{X} \otimes L^{3}$ is nef and big by assumption, we conclude $h^{1}\left(K_{X}^{4} \otimes L^{9}\right)=0$ by the Kodaira vanishing theorem. Also since $A$ is a general element of $|L|$ and $h^{0}\left(\left(K_{X}^{4} \otimes L^{10}\right)_{A}\right)=1$ we conclude $h^{0}\left(K_{X}^{4} \otimes L^{10}\right) \geqq 1$. Thus $4 K_{X}+10 L=D$ where $D$ is an effective divisor supported on the set of positive dimensional fibre of $\Phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$. From this we conclude the Cartier divisor $4 K_{X^{\prime}}+10 L^{\prime}$ is trivial.

Assume now that for $A \in|L|, A^{\prime}=\Phi(A)$ there exists a $\Psi: A^{\prime} \rightarrow C, C$ a curve, $K_{A^{\prime}}^{2} \otimes L^{\prime 3}=\Psi^{*} E$ for an ample line bundle $E$ on $C$ with general fibre $F$ of $\Psi$ equal $\left(\mathbf{Q}^{3}, O_{\mathrm{Q}^{3}}(2)\right)$. By [11], the map $\Psi \circ \Phi_{A}: A \rightarrow S$ extends to a map $f: X \rightarrow S$. By 0.4.3 we can assume that for a general fibre $f$ of $X \rightarrow C,\left(f, L_{f}\right)$ has a first reduction
( $f^{\prime}, L_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ) with $F \in\left|L_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|$. Since $K_{F}^{2} \otimes L_{F}^{\prime 2}=O_{F}$ we conclude by the first Lefschetz theorem, $\left(K_{f^{\prime}} \otimes L_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \otimes L_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime 3}=\boldsymbol{O}_{f^{\prime}}$. Thus there is an ample line bundle $H$ with $H^{5}=K_{f^{\prime}}^{-1}$. Thus $f^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}^{4}$. Since $H^{2}=L_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime}, L_{f^{\prime}}^{\prime}=O_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(2)$.

Similarly the $4^{\text {th }}$ case leads to a map $X^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ with $K_{F}^{2} \otimes L_{F}^{\prime}{ }^{5}=O_{F}$ for a general fibre $F$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=3$. This implies $K_{F}^{-1}=H^{5}$ for an ample line bundle $H$ which is easily seen to be impossible. In the last case we conclude as in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ case that $6 K_{X}=26 L=D$ where $D$ is an effective divisor supported on the set of positive dimensional fibre of $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$. Thus $K_{X^{\prime}}^{6} \otimes L^{\prime 26}=O_{X^{\prime}}$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{C}}$ be an $n$ dimensional irreducible projective variety whose normalization $M$ is smooth of dimension $n \geqq 4$. Assume that ( $M, \mathscr{L}$ ) is not as listed in 0.3 and 0.4. Let $S=\bigcap_{1 \Xi i \leqq n-2} H_{i}$ for the general $H_{i} \in|\mathscr{L}|$. Then if $n$ is even either

$$
\operatorname{deg} M \leqq(g-1)\left(1+\frac{n+3}{2 n^{2}-n-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
K_{S} \cdot L \leqq\left(1+\frac{n+3}{n^{2}-n-2}\right) K_{S}^{2}
$$

or $(M, \mathscr{L})$ has a 2 nd reduction $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ such that $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is as in Theorem 1.1. If $n$ is odd then either

$$
\operatorname{deg} M \leqq(g-1)\left(1+\frac{n+2}{2 n^{2}-5 n+2}\right)
$$

and

$$
K_{S} \cdot L \leqq\left(1+\frac{n+2}{n^{2}-3 n}\right) K_{S}^{2}
$$

or $(M, \mathscr{L})$ has a 2 nd reduction $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ such that $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is as in Corollary 1.1.2.
Proof. From 1.1 it follows that either $h^{0}\left(K_{M}^{\left(n^{2}+1\right)} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{n(n-1)(n-2)}\right) \neq 0$ or $(M, \mathscr{L})$ has a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ such that $\left(X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is as listed in the Theorem 1.1 or in 1.1.2.

If $h^{0}\left(K_{M}^{\left(n^{2}+1\right)} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{n(n-1)(n-2)}\right) \neq 0$ then since $\left(K_{M} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{(n-2)}\right)_{S}=K_{S}$ and $\mathscr{L}$ is ample we have

$$
K_{S} \cdot \mathscr{L} \geqq \frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{n^{2}+1} \mathscr{L} \cdot \mathscr{L}
$$

By the adjunction formula and the above inequality we see that

$$
2 g-2=\left(K_{S}+\mathscr{L}\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}=K_{S} \cdot \mathscr{L}+\mathscr{L} \cdot \mathscr{L} \geqq \frac{2 n^{2}-n-1}{n^{2}+1} \mathscr{L} \cdot \mathscr{L}
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{deg} M=\mathscr{L} \cdot \mathscr{L} \leqq(g-1)\left(1+\frac{n+3}{2 n^{2}-n-1}\right)
$$

Similar reasoning with Corollary 1.1.2 yields the given result.
Remark 1.2.1. Assume $n \geqq 4$ and $h^{0}(\mathscr{L}) \geqq n+3$. Using Castelnuovo's bound for the genus of a curve in terms of its degree we get $g \geqq 8$ and further
a) if $n$ is even then $\operatorname{deg} M \leqq(g-1)\left(1+\frac{n+3}{2 n^{2}-n-1}\right)$,
b) if $n$ is odd then $\operatorname{deg} M \leqq(g-1)\left(1+\frac{n+2}{2 n^{2}-5 n+2}\right)$.

## 2. An application

Proposition 2.1. Let $M$ be an $n$ dimensional manifold. Assume that there is a family of lines on $M$ with at least a $t \geqq 0$ dimensional subfamily of lines through most points of $M$. Then $(M, \mathscr{L})$ is as in 0.3 or 0.4 or has a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction as in Theorem 1.1 or Corollary 1.1.2 if

$$
\begin{gathered}
n(n-1)(n-2)<(t+2)\left(n^{2}+1\right) \quad \text { and } n \text { is even } \geqq 4 \\
n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4<(t+2)\left[(n-1)^{2}+1\right] \text { and } n \text { is odd } \geqq 5 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda$ be a line through a general point $p$ of $M$. Let $N_{\lambda}$ be the normal bundle of $\lambda$ in $M$. By hypothesis, $N_{\lambda}$ is generically spanned by global sections. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\lambda}=\oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} O_{\lambda}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)\right) \quad \text { with } \quad a_{i} \geqq 0 \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I_{p / \lambda}$ denote the ideal sheaf on $\lambda$ of germs of holomorphic functions vanishing at $p$. Since $h^{1}\left(N_{\lambda} \otimes I_{p / \lambda}\right)=0$, where the Hilbert scheme $\Lambda$ of lines in $X$ through $p$ is smooth at the point $t_{0}$ corresponding to $\lambda$. Hence there is a unique irreducible component $\Lambda_{0}$ of the Hilbert scheme containing $t_{0}$. Also

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{0}=h^{0}\left(N_{\lambda} \otimes I_{p / \lambda}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}
$$

For simplicity we denote this dimension by $t$.
Unless ( $M, \mathscr{L}$ ) is as in 0.3 or 0.4 or has a second reduction $\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{K}\right)$ as in 1.1 or 1.1.2 it follows that
a) $\left(n^{2}+1\right) K_{M}+n(n-1)(n-2) \mathscr{L}$ is effective if $n$ is even and $\geqq 4$,
b) $\left[\left((n-1)^{2}+1\right) K_{M}+\left(n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4\right) \mathscr{L}\right] \cdot \mathscr{L}$ is effective if $n$ is odd and $\geqq 5$.

By the adjunction formula $K_{M} \cdot \lambda=-2-\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{det} N_{\lambda}\right)=-2-t$. Since $\mathscr{L} \cdot \lambda=1$ it follows from a) that
a) $-\left(n^{2}+1\right)(2+t)+n(n-1)(n-2) \geqq 0$ if $n$ is even and $\geqq 4$,
and from b) that
B) $-\left[(n-1)^{2}+1\right](2+t)+\left(n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4\right) \geqq 0 \quad$ if $n$ is odd and $\geqq 5$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a very ample line bundle on an $n$-fold $M$ with $n \geqq 4$. Assume that $\operatorname{def}(M)=k>0$. Then $(M, \mathscr{L})$ has a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction as in Theorem 1.1 or Corollary 1.1.2 if

$$
n \text { is even and } n(n-1)(n-2)<(n+k+2)\left[n^{2}+1\right] / 2
$$

or
$n$ is odd and $n^{3}-5 n^{2}+9 n-4<(n+k+2)\left[(n-1)^{2}+1\right] / 2$.
Proof. From 0.5.1 and the adjunction formula it follows that $\operatorname{deg} K_{X^{\prime}, \lambda}=$ $-(n+k+2) / 2$.

Hence as in the proof of 2.1 we conclude that $(M, \mathscr{L})$ has a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reduction as in Theorem 1.1 or Corollary 1.1.2 unless the above inequalities occur.

Conjecture 2.3. Let $L$ be a very ample line bundle on a smooth connected projective n-fold, $X$. Assume that the spectral value, $\sigma(X, L)$, of the pair $(X, L)$ is $\leqq n$. Then the only possible values of $\sigma(X, L)$ are $n+1-\frac{p}{q}$ where $p, q$ are integers satisfying $0<q \leqq p \leqq n+1$.
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