# On essential maximality of linear pseudo-differential operators

# Jouko Tervo

#### 1. Introduction

We consider linear pseudo-differential operators L(x, D) of the Beals and Fefferman type. L(x, D) maps the Schwartz class S into itself. Furthermore, the formal transpose L'(x, D) of L(x, D) exists and L'(x, D) maps S into itself, as well. This enables us to define the minimal closed realization  $L_k^{\neq}$  and the maximal closed realization  $L_k^{\prime \ddagger}$  of L(x, D) in the appropriate Hilbert space  $H_k$  (cf. the Subsections 2.1 and 2.2). In the case when k=1, we see that  $H_k=L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We write  $L_k^{\neq}=L^{\sim}$  and  $L_k^{\prime \ddagger}=L^{\prime \ddagger}$  when k=1.

Our aim is to give sufficient criteria for the equality  $L^{\sim} = L'^{\sharp}$ , that is, for the essential maximality of L(x, D) in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . One knows classes of operators L(x, D):  $S \rightarrow S$  which are essentially maximal in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (cf. [2], [3], [6] and [7]) We consider also the bijectivity of  $L^{\sim} + aI$  when a is large enough. The bijectivity of  $L^{\sim} + aI$  and  $L'^{\sharp} + aI$  implies the equality  $L^{\sim} = L'^{\sharp}$ .

Employing the convolution theory we, at first, show the essential maximality of L(x, D), when  $L(\cdot, \cdot)$  belongs to the Beals and Fefferman class  $S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{1,1}$  of symbols (cf. Theorem 3.6). After that we apply our theory on the class  $S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$ ,  $M, m \in \mathbb{R}$  of, operators. When the solutions of  $L'^{\sharp}u=f$  belong to  $H_q$  with a suitable  $q(\cdot) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M-1,m-1}$ , the essential maximality of L(x, D) is verified (cf. Theorem 3.7). In Chapter 4 we deal with the bijectivity of  $L^{-}+aI$  and  $L'^{\sharp}+aI$ . In addition, we obtain an algebraic criterion for the essential maximality and for the inclusion

$$(1.1) D(L'^{\ddagger}) \subset H_a$$

where  $q(\cdot)$  is suitably chosen from  $S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M-1,m-1}$ .

Especially, applying our theory on the Hörmander class of operators we obtain: Suppose that  $L(\cdot, \cdot) \in S^m_{\varrho,\delta}$ ;  $\delta < \varrho, m \ge 0$  such that with a constant  $t \in [m - (\varrho - \delta), m]$  one has

(1.2) 
$$\operatorname{Re} L(x,\xi) \ge c(1+|\xi|)^t \text{ for all } |\xi| \ge E.$$

Then the corresponding pseudo-differential operator L(x, D) is essentially maximal in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^{\sim} + aI$ :  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a bijection when a is large enough.

## 2. General background

**2.1.** Denote by K' the totality of continuous weight functions  $k: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

(2.1) 
$$c(1+|\xi|^2)^{-r/2} \leq k(\xi) \leq C(1+|\xi|^2)^{R/2} =: Ck_R(\xi), \text{ for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where c, C, r and R positive constants. When k is in K' one sees that the functions  $k^s$  and  $k^{\check{}}$  defined by  $k^s(\xi) = (k(\xi))^s$  and  $k^{\check{}}(\xi) = k(-\xi)$  are also elements of K'. Let S denote the Schwartz class of smooth functions  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  and let S' be the dual of S (cf. [4], pp. 1—33). We define a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  in S by the requirement

(2.2) 
$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{k} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (F\varphi)(\xi) (\overline{F\psi})(\xi) k^{2}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $F: S \to S$  is the Fourier transform. The completion of S with respect to the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  is denoted by  $H_k$ . Suppose that u belongs to  $H_k$ . Choose a representative  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset S$  of u. Applying the Banach—Steinhaus Theorem and the fact that by the Parseval formula one has

(2.3) 
$$|(\varphi,\psi)| := \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\psi(x) \, dx \right| \le \|\varphi\|_k \, \|\psi\|_{1/k^{\sim}},$$

we see that the linear mapping  $\lambda$  defined by

(2.4) 
$$(\lambda u)(\varphi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\varphi_n, \varphi) \text{ for } \varphi \in S, u \in H_k$$

maps  $H_k$  injectively onto a subspace  $\lambda(H_k)$  of S'. In the sequel we denote the space  $\lambda(H_k)$  by  $H_k$ , as well. A familiar characterization of the  $H_k$ -space is the following one: A distribution  $T \in S'$  belongs to  $H_k$  if and only if  $FT \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

(2.5) 
$$||T||_{k} := \left( (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |(FT)(\xi) k(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Here  $F: S' \to S'$  denotes the Fourier transform. Furthermore, one knows that  $||T||_k = ||\lambda^{-1}(T)||_{H_k}$  and in the following  $H_k$  is equipped with this norm.  $H_k$  is also a Hilbert space. The scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  is given by

(2.6) 
$$\langle u, v \rangle_k = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Fu) (\overline{Fv})(\xi) k^2(\xi) d\xi.$$

As a Hilbert space  $H_k$  is a reflexive Banach space. In addition, the next characterization of the dual  $H_k^*$  of  $H_k$  is valid

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that  $l^*$  is in  $H_k^*$ . Then there exists a unique element  $l \in H_{1/k}$ -such that

(2.7) 
$$l^* \varphi = l(\varphi)$$
 for all  $\varphi \in S$ .

On the other hand, suppose that l is in  $H_{1/k^{\sim}}$ . Then  $l: S \to \mathbb{C}$  has a unique continuous extension  $l^*$  on  $H_k$ . The linear mapping  $\lambda_k: H_k^* \to H_{1/k^{\sim}}$  defined by  $\lambda_k(l^*) = l$  is an isometrical isomorphism.  $\Box$ 

**2.2.** Let L be a linear operator  $S \rightarrow S$ . We suppose that the formal transpose L' of L exists, in other words, there exists a linear operator L':  $S \rightarrow S$  such that

(2.8) 
$$(L\varphi,\psi) \coloneqq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (L\varphi)(x)\psi(x) \, dx = (\varphi, L'\psi) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi, \psi \in S.$$

This assumption enables us to define a dense linear operator  $L'^{\sharp}: L_2:=L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2$ by the requirement

(2.9) 
$$\begin{cases} D(L'^{\sharp}) = \{u \in L_2 | \text{ there exists } f \in L_2 \text{ such that } u(L'\varphi) = f(\varphi) \text{ for all } \varphi \in S\}, \\ L'^{\sharp}u = f. \end{cases}$$

Here we denoted  $g(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)\varphi(x) dx$ . One sees that  $L'^{\sharp}$  is a closed operator. Furthermore, we define a dense linear operator  $L_0: L_2 \rightarrow L_2$  by

(2.10) 
$$\begin{cases} D(L_0) = S, \\ L_0 \varphi = L \varphi \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in S. \end{cases}$$

In virtue of (2.8) one sees that  $L_0$  is closable in  $L_2$ . Denote by  $L^{\sim}: L_2 \rightarrow L_2$  the smallest closed extension of  $L_0$  (cf. [8], pp. 76–79). One obtains that  $L^{\sim} \subset L'^{\ddagger}$ . The operator  $L^{\sim}$  ( $L'^{\ddagger}$ , respectively) is called the minimal realization of L in  $L_2$  (and the maximal realization of L in  $L_2$ , respectively). When the equality  $L^{\natural} = L'^{\ddagger}$  holds, we say that L is essentially maximal in  $L_2$ .

Remark 2.2. A) Let  $L^*: L_2^* \rightarrow L_2^*$  be the dual operator of  $L_0$  and let  $L^{**}: L_2^{**} \rightarrow L_2^{**}$  be the dual operator of  $L^*$ . Since  $L_2$  is reflexive, one knows that (2.11)  $L^* = J^{-1} \circ L^{**} \circ J$ ,

where  $J: L_2 \rightarrow L_2^{**}$  is the canonical isometrical isomorphism (cf. [5], p. 168).

B) The operators  $L'^{\sharp}$  and  $L'^{*}$  (here  $L'^{*}$  is the dual of  $(L')_{0}$ ) obey the relation

$$(2.12) L'^* = \lambda^{-1} \circ L'^* \circ \lambda,$$

where  $\lambda: L_2 \rightarrow L_2^*$  is the isometrical isomorphism announced in Lemma 2.1 (one must note that  $H_k = L_2$ , when k = 1).

## 3. On essential maximality

3.1. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  form a pair of continuous weight functions in the sence of Beals and Fefferman [1], that is, the following criteria hold: There exist constants c>0, C>0 and  $\varepsilon>0$  such that

- (ia)  $c \leq \Phi(x, \xi) \leq C(1+|\xi|)$ , for all  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- (ib)  $c(1+|\xi|)^{\varepsilon-1} \leq \varphi(x,\xi) \leq C$ , for all  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- (ii)  $\Phi(x,\xi)\varphi(x,\xi) \ge c$  for all  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- (iii) For each r>0 there exists  $q_r>0$  such that

$$\frac{\Phi(x,\xi)}{\varphi(x,\xi)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(y,\eta)}{\Phi(y,\eta)} + \frac{\Phi(y,\eta)}{\varphi(y,\eta)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(x,\xi)}{\Phi(x,\xi)} \leq q_r$$

for all  $(x, y, (\xi, \eta)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n | (|\xi|/|\eta|) + (|\eta|/|\xi|) \le r\}.$ (iv) For each  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\frac{\Phi(y,\eta)}{\Phi(x,\xi)} + \frac{\Phi(x,\xi)}{\Phi(y,\eta)} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\varphi(y,\eta)}{\varphi(x,\xi)} + \frac{\varphi(x,\xi)}{\varphi(y,\eta)} \leq C$$

for all  $(y, \eta) \in U_{x,\xi} := \{(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n | |y-x| < c\varphi(x, \xi) \text{ and } |\eta-\xi| < c\Phi(x, \xi)\}.$ 

For example, the functions  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  defined by  $\Phi(x, \xi) = (1+|\xi|)^{\varrho}$  and  $\varphi(x, \xi) = (1+|\xi|)^{-\delta}$ ;  $0 \le \delta \le \varrho \le 1$ ,  $\delta < 1$  form a pair of weight functions.

Choose *M* and *m* from **R**. Then we say that the function  $L(x, \xi) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is in the class  $S^{M,m}_{\phi,\varphi}$  provided that for each pair  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^n_0 \times \mathbb{N}^n_0$  there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$  such that

$$(3.1) \qquad |(D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} L)(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \Phi^{M-|\beta|}(x,\xi) \varphi^{m-|\alpha|}(x,\xi)$$

for all  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S^{M,m}_{\phi,\varphi}$ . Define a linear pseudo-differential operator L(x, D) by

(3.2) 
$$(L(x,D)\varphi)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L(x,\xi)(F\varphi)(\xi) e^{i(\xi,x)} d\xi,$$

where  $\varphi \in S$ . In [1] one has proved that L(x, D) maps S into S and the the formal transpose  $L'(x, D): S \to S$  of L(x, D) exists. In addition, L(x, D) and  $L'(x, D): S \to S$  are continuous. In [1] one has developed a fertile calculus for the pseudo-differential operators (3.2), where  $L(\cdot, \cdot)$  belongs to  $\bigcup_{M,m \in \mathbb{R}} S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$  (the elements of  $\bigcup_{M,m \in \mathbb{R}} S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$  are called symbols). In the sequel we shall apply this calculus and, in addition, the following two theorems

$$(3.3) ||L(x,D)\varphi|| := ||L(x,D)\varphi||_{L_2} \le C(L(x,\xi))||\varphi||, \text{ for all } \varphi \in S.$$

Furthermore, let A be a subset of  $S^{0,0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  such that

$$p^{0,0}_{\alpha,\beta}(Q(x,\xi)) \coloneqq \sup_{x,\xi} \Phi^{|\beta|}(x,\xi) \varphi^{|\alpha|}(x,\xi) \left| (D^{\alpha}_{x}D^{\beta}_{\xi}Q)(x,\xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} < \infty$$

for all  $Q(x, \xi) \in A$ . Then the constant  $C(Q(x, \xi))$  can be chosen to be independent of  $Q(x, \xi)$  on A.  $\Box$ 

For the proof cf. the proof of Theorem 3.1 given in [1], pp. 12-17.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S^{M, m}_{\Phi, \varphi}$  such that

$$L(x,\xi) \ge 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then there exists a symbol  $l(x, \xi) \in S^{M-1, m-1}_{\Phi, \varphi}$  such that

(3.5) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(L(x,D)+l(x,D)\right)\varphi,\varphi\right) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi \in S,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the  $L_2$  scalar product.  $\Box$ 

For the proof cf. Theorem 3.2 showed in [1], p. 19.

3.2. Let  $\theta \in C_0^{\infty} := C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\theta(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B(0, 1) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |x| < 1\}$ . Define  $\theta' := (2\pi)^{-n}\theta$ ,  $\theta_l := \theta(x/l)$  and  $\theta'_l := \theta'(x/l)$ . Then one sees that

$$(F\theta'_1)(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta'(x/l) \, e^{-i(x,\eta)} \, dx = l^n(F\theta')(l\eta),$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F\theta')(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F\theta')(\xi) e^{i(0,\xi)} d\xi = (2\pi)^n \theta'(0) = 1.$$

Write  $\psi_l := F\theta'_l$ . Then we obtain for any  $u \in L_2$ 

$$(3.6) \|\theta_l u - u\| \to 0 ext{ with } l \to \infty$$

and

$$(3.7) \qquad ||\psi_l * u - u|| \to 0 \quad \text{with} \quad l \to \infty.$$

The next lemma is easily proved

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$ . Then there exist constants C > 0 and  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|L(x, D)\varphi\| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{k_{N}}$$

and

(3.8b) 
$$||L(x, D)\varphi||_{k=n} \leq C ||\varphi|| \quad for \ all \quad \varphi \in S. \quad \Box$$

Here we denoted as above  $k_s(\xi) = (1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2}$ .

Since  $\psi_l * u \in \bigcap_{k \in K'} H_k$  one sees by (3.8a) that

(3.9) 
$$\psi_l * u \in D(L^{\sim})$$
 for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \in L_2$ .

For M = m = 1 we have

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) S_{\phi, \varphi}^{1,1}$ . Then for any  $(j, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $R_{j,l}(x, \xi) \in S_{\phi, \varphi}^{0,0}$  such that

(3.10) 
$$\psi_{l}*(\theta_{j}L(x,D)\varphi) = \theta_{j}L(x,D)(\psi_{l}*\varphi) + R_{j,l}(x,D)\varphi$$

for all  $\varphi \in S$  and that

$$\|R_{j,l}(x,D)\varphi\| \leq C \|\varphi\| \quad for \ all \quad \varphi \in S,$$

where the constant C > 0 is independent of  $j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* A) Define a pseudo-differential operator  $\hat{\psi}_l(D)$  by

$$(\hat{\psi}_l(D)\varphi)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F\psi_l)(\xi)(F\varphi)(\xi) e^{i(\xi,x)} d\xi.$$

Furthermore, write  $L_j(x, \xi) = \theta_j L(x, \xi)$ . Trivially one has  $\hat{\psi}_l(\xi) \in S^{0,0}_{\phi,\phi}$  and so we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad [\psi_{l}*(\theta_{j}L(x,D)\varphi)](x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(\psi_{l}*(\theta_{j}L(x,D)\varphi))(\xi)e^{i(\xi,x)} d\xi$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (F\psi_{l})(\xi)F(L_{j}(x,D)\varphi)(\xi)e^{i(\xi,x)} d\xi$$
$$= [(\hat{\psi}_{l}(D)\circ L_{j}(x,D))\varphi](x) =: [(\hat{\psi}_{l}\circ L_{j})(x,D)\varphi](x).$$

In addition, we obtain (cf. [1], p. 5)

(3.13) 
$$(\hat{\psi}_l \circ L_j)(x,\xi) = (F\psi_l)(x,\xi)L_j(x,\xi) + R_{j,l}(x,\xi),$$

where

$$R_{j,l}(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (1/\gamma!) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^{\gamma} (F\psi_l) \big(\xi + t(\eta - \xi)\big) (D_y^{\gamma} L_j)(y,\xi) e^{i(x-y,\eta-\xi)} \, dy.$$

The symbol  $(\hat{\psi}_i L_j)(x, \xi) := (F\psi_i)(\xi) L_j(x, \xi)$  induces the operator

(3.14) 
$$((\hat{\psi}_{1}L_{j})(x,D)\varphi)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (F\psi_{l})(\xi) L_{j}(x,\xi) (F\varphi)(\xi) e^{i(\xi,x)} d\xi$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} L_{j}(x,\xi) F(\psi_{l}*\varphi)(\xi) e^{i(\xi,x)} d\xi = \theta_{j}(x) (L(x,D)(\psi_{l}*\varphi))(x)$$

and then our task reduces to show that  $R_{j,l}(x,\xi) \in S^{0,0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  and that the estimate (3.11) holds. Since  $\hat{\psi}_l(\xi) \in S^{0,0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  and since  $L_j(x,\xi) \in S^{1,1}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  we know that  $R_{j,l}(x,\xi) \in S^{0,0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$ .

B) Since  $\psi_l = F\theta'_l$ , one has  $F\psi_l = (2\pi)^n (\theta'_l)^* = \theta_l^*$ . Because  $\theta \in C_0^\infty \subset S$  we can (for any  $\beta \in N_0^n$ ) choose a constant  $C_\beta > 0$  such that

$$|(D^{\beta}\theta)(\xi)| \leq C_{\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{-|\beta|} \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

Furthermore, one has (recall that  $\Phi(x, \xi) \leq C(1+|\xi|)$ )

$$(1+|\xi|)^{-|\beta|} \leq C^{|\beta|} \Phi^{-|\beta|}(x,\xi) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and so we obtain

$$(3.15) p_{0,\beta}^{0,0}(\hat{\psi}_{l}(\xi)) \coloneqq \sup_{x,\xi} \left( \Phi^{|\beta|}(x,\xi) \left| D_{\xi}^{\beta}(\hat{\psi}_{l})(\xi) \right| \right) = \sup_{x,\xi} \left( \Phi^{|\beta|}(x,\xi) \left| l^{-|\beta|} \right| \left( D^{\beta} \theta \right) \left( -\xi/l \right) \right) \\ \cong C_{\beta} \sup_{x,\xi} \Phi^{|\beta|}(x,\xi) \left| l^{-|\beta|} \left( 1 + |\xi/l| \right)^{-|\beta|} \cong C_{\beta} \sup_{x,\xi} \left( \Phi^{|\beta|}(x,\xi) \left( 1 + |\xi| \right)^{-|\beta|} \right) = C_{\beta} C^{|\beta|}.$$

Hence the sequence  $\{\hat{\psi}_l(\xi)\}_l$  is bounded in  $S^{0,0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  (for the definition of the Frechet space topology in  $S^{M,m}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  we refer to [1], p. 3).

C) Since for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  one has

$$|(D^{\alpha}\theta_j)(x)| = j^{-|\alpha|}|(D^{\alpha}\theta)(x/j)| \leq \sup_{x} |(D^{\alpha}\theta)(x)|,$$

one sees by the Leibniz rule

$$(3.16) \qquad |(D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} L_j)(x,\xi)| \leq \sum_{u \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose u} |(D^u \theta_j)(x)| |(D_x^{\alpha-u} D_{\xi}^{\beta} L)(x,\xi)|$$
$$\leq \sum_{u \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose u} (\sup_x |(D^u \theta)(x)|) C_{\alpha-u,\beta} \Phi^{1-|\beta|}(x,\xi) \varphi^{1-|\alpha|+|u|}(x,\xi)$$
$$\leq \sum_{u \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose u} C^{|u|} (\sup_x |(D^u \theta)(x)|) C_{\alpha-u,\beta} \Phi^{1-|\beta|}(x,\xi) \varphi^{1-|\alpha|}(x,\xi)$$

and so

$$p_{\alpha,\beta}^{1,1}(L_j(x,\xi)) := \sup_{x,\xi} \left( \Phi^{-1+|\beta|}(x,\xi) \varphi^{-1+|\alpha|}(x,\xi) |(D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} L_j)(x,\xi)| \right) \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}'.$$

Hence the sequence  $\{L_j(x, \xi)\}_j$  is bounded in  $S^{1,1}_{\phi,\varphi}$ .

D) Due to Theorem 1 of [1], p. 4, one obtains that (3.13) holds and that the sequence  $\{R_{j,l}(x,\xi)\}_{j,l}$  is bounded in  $S_{\phi,\phi}^{0,0}$  (cf. also the proof of Theorem 1 of [1]), that is,

$$p^{0,0}_{\alpha,\beta}(R_{j,l}(x,\xi)) \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}$$
 for all  $l, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus by Theorem 3.1 the estimate (3.11) is valid. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) S_{\phi, \varphi}^{1,1}$ . Let u be in  $D(L'^{\ddagger})$ . Then one has (3.17)  $\|L'^{\ddagger}(\psi_{1}*u)\| \leq C(\|L'^{\ddagger}u\| + \|u\|),$ 

where C is independent of l.

*Proof.* In virtue of (3.10)—(3.11) we get

(3.18) 
$$\|\theta_{j}L(x,D)(\psi_{l}*\varphi)\| \leq \|\psi_{l}*(\theta_{j}L(x,D)\varphi)\| + \|R_{j,l}(x,D)\varphi\|$$
$$\leq \|\psi_{l}*\theta_{j}L(x,D)\varphi\| + C\|\varphi\|.$$

Since  $\|\theta_j L(x, D)(\psi_l * \varphi)\| \to \|L(x, D)(\psi_l * \varphi)\|$  with  $j \to \infty$  and since (cf. [4], p. 39) (3.19)  $\|\psi_l * (\theta_j L(x, D)\varphi) - \psi_l * (L(x, D)\varphi)\|$  $\leq \|\psi_l\|_{\infty,1} \|\theta_j L(x, D)\varphi - L(x, D)\varphi\| \to 0$  with  $j \to \infty$ 

we obtain that

(3.20) 
$$||L(x,D)(\psi_{l}*\varphi)|| \leq ||\psi_{l}*L(x,D)\varphi|| + C||\varphi||$$

Choose a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C_0^{\infty}$  such that  $\|\varphi_n - u\| \to 0$  with  $n \to \infty$ . Furthermore, choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that (3.8b) holds. Then we obtain (cf. [4], p. 39)

$$(3.21) \qquad \left\|\psi_{l}*(L(x,D)\varphi)\right\| \leq \|L(x,D)\varphi\|_{k_{-N}}\|\psi_{l}\|_{\infty,k_{N}} \leq C \|\varphi\| \|\psi_{l}\|_{\infty,k_{N}}$$

and so  $\|\psi_l * L(x, D)\varphi_n - \psi_l * L'^{\sharp} u\| \to 0$  with  $n \to \infty$ , (this follows from the fact that by (3.21)  $\{\psi_l * L(x, D)\varphi_n\}_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L_2$  and that

$$(\psi_l * L(x, D) \varphi_n)(x) = \varphi_n(L'(x, D) \psi_l(x - (\cdot))) \rightarrow (\psi_l * L'^{\sharp} u(x)).$$

Thus by (3.20)  $\{L(x, D)(\psi_{l} * \varphi_{n})\}_{n}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L_{2}$ . Since

 $(L(x,D)(\psi_{l}*\varphi_{n}))(\varphi) = (\psi_{l}*\varphi_{n})(L'(x,D)\varphi) \rightarrow (\psi_{l}*u)(L'(x,D)\varphi) = (L'^{*}(\psi_{l}*u))(\varphi),$ we obtain that

$$||L(x,D)(\psi_1 * \varphi_n) - L'^{\sharp}(\psi_1 * u)|| \to 0 \quad \text{with} \quad n \to \infty.$$

This implies finally (together with (3.20)) that

$$\|L'^{\sharp}(\psi_{l}*u)\| \leq \|\psi_{l}*L'^{\sharp}u\| + C\|u\| = \|\psi_{l}\|_{\infty,1} \|L'^{\sharp}u\| + C\|u\|,$$

where

$$\|\psi_l\|_{\infty,1} = \sup_{\xi} |(F\psi_l)(\xi)| = \sup_{\xi} |\theta_l^{\sim}(\xi)| = \sup_{\xi} |\theta(\xi)| < \infty.$$

This proves the assertion (3.17).

We are now ready to establish

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{1,1}$ . Then one has

(3.22) 
$$L^{*} = L^{\prime \ddagger}$$

*Proof.* Let u be in  $D(L^{\sharp})$  and let  $L^{\sharp}u=f$ . Then by (3.17)  $\{L^{\sharp}(\psi_{l} * u)\}_{l}$  is bounded in  $L_{2}$  and so we find a subsequence  $\{L^{\sharp}(\psi_{l} * u)\}_{j}$  such that

$$\left\| (1/r) \sum_{j=1}^{r} L'^{\sharp}(\psi_{l_j} * u) - g \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{with} \quad r \to \infty$$

where  $g \in L_2$  (cf. the Banach–Saks Theorem). Since

(3.23) 
$$(1/r) \sum_{j=1}^{r} L'^{\sharp}(\psi_{l_{j}} * u) = L'^{\sharp}((1/r) \sum_{j=1}^{r} (\psi_{l_{j}} * u)),$$
$$\|(1/r) \sum_{j=1}^{r} (\psi_{l_{j}} * u) - u\| \to 0, \text{ with } r \to \infty$$

and since by (3.9)  $(1/r) \sum_{j=1}^{r} \psi_{l_j} * u \in D(L^{\sim})$ , we get that  $u \in D(L^{\sim})$  and that  $L^{\sim} u = g$ . Because  $L^{\sim} \subset L'^{\ddagger}$ , we get that g = f. Hence  $u \in D(L^{\sim})$  and  $L^{\sim} u = f$  and so  $L'^{\ddagger} \subset L^{\sim}$ . This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

3.3. From Theorem 3.6 we obtain the following criterion for  $L^* = L'^{\sharp}$ , when  $L(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$ ;  $M, m \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S^{M,m}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  and that there exists a symbol  $q(\xi) \in S^{M-1,m-1}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  (which is independent of x) such that  $q(\xi) \ge 1$  and

$$(3.24) q(\xi) \ge c\Phi^{M-1}(x,\xi)\varphi^{m-1}(x,\xi)$$

and that

(3.25) 
$$D(L'^{\ddagger}) < H_a$$

Then the relation  $L^{\hat{}} = L'^{\sharp}$  holds.

*Proof.* Choose u in  $D(L^{\sharp})$  and denote  $L^{\sharp}u=f$ . In virtue of (3.24) one observes that  $q^{-1}(\xi) \in S_{\phi,\phi}^{-M+1,-m+1}$  and so  $(L \circ q^{-1})(x,\xi) \in S_{\phi,\phi}^{1,1}$  (here we denoted  $q^{-1}(\xi) = (q(\xi))^{-1}$ ). Furthermore, we obtain (we denote q(x, D) = q(D))

$$(q'^{\sharp}u)((L \circ q^{-1})'(x, D)\varphi) = (q'^{\sharp}u)(((q^{-1})' \circ L')(x, D)\varphi) = u(L'(x, D)\varphi) = f(\varphi)$$

and so

$$(L \circ q^{-1})'^{\sharp}(q'^{\sharp}u) = f$$

(note that  $H_q \subset D(q'^{\sharp})$ ). Due to Theorem 3.6 one has,  $q'^{\sharp} u \in D((L \circ q^{-1})^{\sim})$  and  $(L \circ q^{-1})^{\sim} u = f$ . Choose a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset S$  such that  $\|\varphi_n - q'^{\sharp} u\| \to 0$  and that  $\|(L \circ q^{-1})(x, D)\varphi_n - f\| \to 0$  with  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $\{q^{-1}(D)\varphi_n\} \subset S$  is a sequence such that  $\|q^{-1}(D)\varphi_n - u\| + \|L(x, D)(q^{-1}(D)\varphi_n) - f\| \to 0$  with  $n \to \infty$  (note that  $q \ge 1$ ). Thus  $u \in D(L^{\sim})$  and  $L^{\sim} u = f$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

In the next Chapter 4 we shall establish a sufficient condition for the inclusion (3.25). Also the essential maximality will be considered.

Remark 3.8. A) The proof of Lemma 3.4 shows also the following fact: Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$ . Then for any  $(j, l) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  there exists  $R_{j,l}(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M-1,m-1}$  so that

$$\psi_l * (\theta_j L(x, D) \varphi) = \theta_j L(x, D) (\psi_l * \varphi) + R_{j,l}(x, D) \varphi,$$

where

$$p_{\alpha,\beta}^{M-1,m-1}(R_{j,l}(x,\xi)) \coloneqq \sup_{x,\xi} \left( \Phi^{-M+1+|\beta|}(x,\xi) \varphi^{-m+1+|\alpha|}(x,\xi) |D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} R_{j,l}(x,\xi)| \right)$$
$$\leq C_{\alpha,\beta} < \infty \quad \text{for all } (j,l) \in \mathbb{N}^2.$$

B) Suppose that  $q(\xi) \in S^{M-1,m-1}_{\phi,\varphi}$  so that (3.24) holds. Then one has for  $L(x,\xi) \in S^{M,m}_{\phi,\varphi}$ 

$$(3.26) \|\psi_l * L(x,D)\varphi - L(x,D)(\psi_l * \varphi)\| \le \|\varphi\|_q \text{ for all } \varphi \in S,$$

where C is independent of l.

The proof of (3.26) follows by applying Lemma 3.4 to  $L(x, D) \circ q^{-1}(D)$ .

### 4. On bijectivity of minimal realizations

**4.1.** In this chapter we shall deal with the bijectivity of  $L^* + aI$ :  $L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ . Also the essential maximality is considered. When  $(\Phi, \varphi)$  forms a pair of weight functions, one sees that also  $(\Phi^*, \varphi^*)$  forms a pair of weight functions, where  $\Phi^*(x, \xi) = \Phi(x, -\xi)$  and  $\varphi^*(x, \xi) = \varphi(x, -\xi)$ . We need

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S^{M, m}_{\Phi, \varphi}$  such that

(4.1) 
$$L_{\operatorname{Re}}(x,\xi) := \operatorname{Re} L(x,\xi) \ge 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x,\xi \in \operatorname{R}^n.$$

Then there exists a  $a(\cdot, \cdot) \in S^{M-1, m-1}_{\Phi, \varphi}$  such that

(4.2) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(L(x,D)+a(x,D)\right)\varphi,\varphi\right)\geq 0 \text{ for all } \varphi\in S.$$

*Proof.* Due to Theorem 3.2 there exists  $l(\cdot, \cdot) \in S^{M-1,m-1}_{\varphi,\varphi}$  so that

(4.3) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(L_{\operatorname{Re}}(x,D)+l(x,D)\right)\varphi,\varphi\right)\geq 0 \text{ for all } \varphi\in S.$$

Furthermore, we know that (cf. [1], Theorem 1)

$$L'(x,\xi)=L(x,-\xi)+b(x,-\xi),$$

where  $b(\cdot, \cdot) \in S^{M-1, m-1}_{\Phi, \varphi}$  and so

(4.4) 
$$\operatorname{Re} \langle L(x, D)\varphi, \varphi \rangle = (1/2) \langle L(x, D)\varphi + \overline{L'(x, D)}\overline{\varphi}, \varphi \rangle$$
$$\operatorname{Re} \langle L_{\operatorname{Re}}(x, D)\varphi, \varphi \rangle + (1/2) \operatorname{Re} \langle \overline{b}(x, D)\varphi, \varphi \rangle,$$

where  $\overline{b}(\cdot, \cdot) = \overline{b(\cdot, \cdot)}$ . Here we noted that

$$\overline{(L'(x,D)\overline{\varphi})(x)} = (2\pi)^{-n} \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L'(x,\xi)(F\overline{\varphi})(\xi)e^{i(\xi,x)}} d\xi$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{L'(x,-\xi)}(F\varphi)(\xi)e^{i(\xi,x)} d\xi$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{L(x,\xi)}(F\varphi)(\xi)e^{i(\xi,x)} + (\overline{b}(x,D)\varphi)(x)$$

Thus the assertion follows from (4.3) by choosing  $a(x, \xi) = l(x, \xi) - (1/2)\overline{b}(x, \xi)$ . Suppose that  $Q(\xi) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  obeys the estimate

(4.5)  $|(D^{\beta}_{\xi}Q)(\xi)| \leq C_{\beta} \Phi^{M-|\beta|}(x,\xi) \varphi^{m}(x,\xi).$ 

Then the mapping  $\hat{Q}(x, \xi)$  defined by  $\hat{Q}(x, \xi) = Q(\xi)$  belongs to  $S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$  and we denote (as above)  $\hat{Q}(x, D) = Q(D)$ ,  $\hat{Q}(x, \xi) = Q(\xi)$ . Suppose that  $Q(\xi)$  is real-valued and that with c > 0

(4.6) 
$$Q(\xi) \ge c\Phi^M(x,\xi)\varphi^m(x,\xi).$$

Then the mappings  $Q^{s}(x, \xi)$  defined by  $Q^{s}(x, \xi) = (Q(\xi))^{s}$  lie in  $S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{Ms,ms}$  for any  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ . The corresponding operators are denoted by  $Q^{s}(D)$ . It is easy to see that  $Q \in K'$ , when (4.5)—(4.6) hold. The following lemmas are needed

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{M,m}$  and that there exists  $Q(\xi) \in S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{-M, -m}$ . Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$(4.7) ||L(x,D)\varphi||_Q \leq C ||\varphi|$$

and

(4.8) 
$$\|L'(x,D)\varphi\|_{Q^*} \leq C \|\varphi\| \text{ for all } \varphi \in S.$$

**Proof.** The composite operator  $Q(D) \circ L(x, D)$  belongs to  $L^{0,0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  and so by Theorem 3.1 there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|L(x,D)\varphi\|_Q = \|(Q(D) \circ L(x,D))\varphi\| \le C \|\varphi\| \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi \in S.$$

Here we utilized the fact that by the Fourier inversion formula

(4.9) 
$$F(Q(D)\varphi)(\xi) = Q(\xi)(F\varphi)(\xi)$$

(note that by (4.5),  $Q(\cdot)F\varphi\in S$ ). Since  $L'(x,\xi)\in S^{M,m}_{\varphi,\varphi}$  and  $Q^{*}(\xi)\in S^{-M,-m}_{\varphi,\varphi}$ , the inequality (4.8) is similarly shown.  $\Box$ 

*Remark.* Suppose that  $Q(\xi) \in S_{\phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$  such that (4.6) holds. Then  $Q^{-1}(\xi) \in S_{\phi,\varphi}^{-M,-m}$ .

Jouko Tervo

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that  $P(\xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$  and that  $q(\xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M-1,m-1}$  such that

$$(4.10) P(\xi) > 0$$

(4.11) 
$$q(\xi) \ge c\Phi^{M-1}(x,\xi)\varphi^{m-1}(x,\xi)$$

and

(4.12) 
$$q(\xi)/P(\xi) \to 0 \quad with \quad |\xi| \to \infty.$$

Then for any  $l(x, \xi) \in S^{M-1, m-1}_{\phi, \varphi}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$(4.13) \qquad |\langle l(x,D)\varphi,\varphi\rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{P^{1/2}}^2 + C \|\varphi\|_{k_{-N}}^2 \quad for \ all \quad \varphi \in S.$$

*Proof.* The composite operator  $q^{-1/2}(D) \circ l(x, D) \circ q^{-1/2}(D)$  is a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol in  $S^{0,0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$ . Hence due to the Theorem 3.1 one has

(4.14) 
$$\begin{aligned} |\langle l(x,D)\circ q^{-1/2}(D)\varphi, q^{-1/2}(D)\varphi\rangle| \\ &= \left|\langle \left(q^{-1/2}(D)\circ l(x,D)\circ q^{-1/2}(D)\varphi,\varphi\rangle\right| \leq C \|\varphi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q^{1/2}(D) \varphi \in S$  when  $\varphi \in S$  we obtain from (4.14)

$$\begin{split} \langle l(x,D)\varphi,\varphi\rangle &\leq C \, \|q^{1/2}(D)\varphi\|^2 \\ &\leq C(2\pi)^{-n} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \varepsilon P(\xi) |(F\xi)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\ &+ C(2\pi)^{-n} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \left( \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} q(\xi) k_N^2(\xi) \right) |(F\varphi)(\xi) k_{-N}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \leq C \, \|\varphi\|_{P^{1/2}}^2 + C' \, \|\varphi\|_{k_{-N}}^2, \end{split}$$

where R is so large that  $q(\xi)/P(\xi) \leq \varepsilon$  for  $|\xi| \geq R$ . This proves the assertion.  $\Box$ 

From Lemma 4.3 we obtain

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S^{M,m}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  and that  $k(\xi) \in S^{M',m'}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  such that (4.15)  $k(\xi) \ge c\Phi^{M'}(x, \xi)\varphi^{m'}(x, \xi).$ 

Furthermore, assume that there exist  $P(\xi) \in S^{M,m}_{\phi,\phi}$  and  $q(\xi) \in S^{M-1,m-1}_{\phi,\phi}$  such that (4.10)—(4.12) hold and that

(4.16) 
$$\operatorname{Re} L(x,\xi) \geq cP(\xi) \quad \text{for} \quad x,\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a constant C > 0 such that

(4.17) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(L(x,D)\circ k^{2}(D)\right)\varphi,\varphi\right) \geq (c/2)\|\varphi\|_{k^{p^{1/2}}}^{2}-C\|\varphi\|_{k^{k}-N}^{2}.$$

**Proof.** The composite operator A(x, D) defined by  $A(x, D)=L(x, D)\circ k^2(D)$ belongs to  $L^{M+2M', m+2m'}_{\varphi, \varphi}$ . Similarly, one sees that the symbols  $B(\xi):=P(\xi)k^2(\xi)$  (and  $b(\xi) := q(\xi)k^2(\xi)$ ) belong to  $S_{\phi,\phi}^{M+2M',m+2m'}$  (and to  $S_{\phi,\phi}^{M-1+2M',m-1+2m'}$ , resp.). Furthermore, one has

(4.18) 
$$B(\xi) > 0,$$

(4.19) 
$$b(\xi) = q(\xi)k^2(\xi) \ge c^3 \Phi^{M-1+2M'}(x,\xi)\varphi^{m-1+2m'}(x,\xi),$$

(4.20) 
$$b(\xi)/B(\xi) = q(\xi)/P(\xi) \to 0 \quad \text{with} \quad |\xi| \to \infty$$

and

(4.21) 
$$\operatorname{Re} A(x,\xi) = \operatorname{Re} L(x,\xi)k^2(\xi) \ge cP(\xi)k^2(\xi) = cB(\xi).$$

Define  $T(x, \xi) = A(x, \xi) - cB(\xi)$ . Then  $T(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{M+2M', m+2m'}$  and Re  $T(x, \xi) \ge 0$ . Due to Theorem 4.1 there exists  $\lambda(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{M-1+2M', m-1+2m'}$  such that

(4.22) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \left(T(x,D)+\lambda(x,D)\right)\varphi,\varphi\right\rangle \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, in virtue of Lemma 4.3 there exists C>0 such that

 $|\langle \lambda(x,D)\varphi,\varphi\rangle| \leq (c/2) \|\varphi\|_{B^{1/2}}^2 + C \|\varphi\|_{k-N'}^2,$ 

where  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(4.23) k_{-N'} \leq Ckk_{-N}$$

Hence we obtain from (4.22)

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle A(x,D)\varphi,\varphi\rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle T(x,D)\varphi,\varphi\rangle + c \|\varphi\|_{B^{1/2}}^2$$
$$\geq c \|\varphi\|_{B^{1/2}}^2 - |\langle \lambda(x,D)\varphi,\varphi\rangle|$$
$$\geq (c/2) \|\varphi\|_{B^{1/2}}^2 - C \|\varphi\|_{k-N'}^2$$

and so we finally have by (4.23)

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \left(L(x,D)\circ k^{2}(D)\right)\varphi,\varphi\right\rangle = \operatorname{Re}\left\langle A(x,D)\varphi,\varphi\right\rangle$$
$$\geq (c/2)\|\varphi\|_{k^{p1/2}}^{2} - C\|\varphi\|_{k^{k-N}}^{2},$$

as desired.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $L(x,\xi)$ ,  $k(\xi)$ ,  $P(\xi)$  and  $q(\xi)$  be as in Theorem 4.4. Then there exists a constant  $a_0 \ge 0$  such that for any  $a \ge a_0$  the estimates

(4.24) 
$$\left\| \left( L(x,D) + aI \right) \varphi \right\|_{k} \ge \|\varphi\|_{k}$$

and

(4.25) 
$$\left\| \left( L'(x,D) + aI \right) \varphi \right\|_{k^{*}} = \|\varphi\|_{k^{*}} \quad for \ all \quad \varphi \in S$$

hold.

Jouko Tervo

*Proof.* A) From (4.17) we get (with N=0) for  $a' \ge 1$ 

$$(4.26) \qquad \|\varphi\|_{k}^{2} \leq (a'+C)\|\varphi\|_{k}^{2} + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\left(L(x,D)\circ k^{2}(D)\right)\varphi,\varphi\right\rangle \\ = (C+a')\|\varphi\|_{k}^{2} + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle k^{2}(D)\varphi,L'(x,D)\overline{\varphi}\right\rangle = \operatorname{Re}\left\langle k^{2}(D)\varphi,(L'(x,D)+(C+a')I)\overline{\varphi}\right\rangle \\ = \operatorname{Re}\left\langle k(D)\varphi,k(D)\left((\overline{L'(x,D)+(C+a')I)\overline{\varphi}}\right)\right\rangle \leq \|\varphi\|_{k}\left\|\left(L'(x,D)+(C+a')I)\overline{\varphi}\right\|_{k},$$

where we observed that  $\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{k} = \|\varphi\|_{k}$ . Hence the assertion (4.25) follows.

B) To prove the inequality (4.24) we observe that

(4.27) 
$$\operatorname{Re} \langle L'(x,D) \circ (k^{\check{}})^{2}(D)\varphi,\varphi \rangle$$
$$= \operatorname{Re} \langle (k^{\check{}})^{2}(D)\varphi, L(x,D)\overline{\varphi} \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle (k^{\check{}})^{2}(D)\varphi, \overline{L}^{\check{}}(x,D)\varphi \rangle,$$

where  $\overline{L^{*}}(x,\xi) := \overline{L(x,-\xi)}$ . Applying Theorem 4.4 to the case, where  $L(x,\xi)$  is replaced by  $\overline{L^{*}}(x,\xi) \in S_{\Phi^{2},\varphi^{*}}^{M,m}$ ,  $P(\xi)$  is replaced by  $P^{*}(\xi)$ ,  $q(\xi)$  is replaced by  $q^{*}(\xi)$  and where  $k(\xi)$  is replaced by  $(k^{*})^{-1}(\xi)$ , we find that

(4.28) Re 
$$\langle \overline{L^{*}}(x,D) \circ (k^{*})^{-2}(D)\varphi,\varphi \rangle \ge (c/2) \|\varphi\|_{(k^{*})^{-1}(P^{*})^{1/2}}^{2} - C' \|\varphi\|_{(k^{*})^{-1}k_{-N}}^{2}$$

for all  $\varphi \in S$ . Since  $k^2(-D)\varphi$  belongs to S when  $\varphi$  belongs to S, we obtain by (4.27)-(4.28) that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle L'(x,D)\circ(k^{*})^{2}(D)\varphi,\varphi\right\rangle \geq (c/2)\left\|\varphi\right\|_{k^{*}(P^{*})^{1/2}}^{2}-C'\left\|\varphi\right\|_{k^{*}k_{-N}}^{2},$$

and then (4.24) can be verified as (4.25) (cf. the Part A)).  $\Box$ 

4.2. We shall now prove the bijectivity of  $L^* + aI$  and  $L'^{\ddagger} + aI$  for a large enough. The key is the following lemma

**Lemma 4.6.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M,m}$  and that there exists  $Q(\xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{-M,-m} \cap S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{M',m'}$  such that

(4.29) 
$$Q(\xi) \ge c\Phi^{M'}(x,\xi)\varphi^{m'}(x,\xi) \quad and \quad Q(\xi) \le 1.$$

Furthermore, assume that there exist  $a \in C$ , c > 0 and  $N \in N$  so that

$$(4.30) ||(L(x,D)+aI)\varphi|| \ge c ||\varphi|$$

$$(4.31) \qquad \qquad \left\| \left( L(x, D) + aI \right) \varphi \right\|_{Q} \ge c \, \|\varphi\|_{k_{-1}}$$

and

(4.32) 
$$\| (L'(x,D)+aI)\varphi \|_{Q^*} \ge c \|\varphi\|_{k_{-N}} \text{ for all } \varphi \in S$$

Then one has

$$(4.33) R(L^{-} + aI) = L_2 and N(L'^{\#} + aI) = \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** A) Let u be in  $N(L^{\sharp}+aI)$  and choose a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset S$  such that  $\|\varphi_n - u\| \to 0$ . Then by (4.7) one sees that  $\{L(x, D)\varphi_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H_2$ .

Choose  $g \in H_Q$  so that  $||L(x, D)\varphi_n - g||_Q \to 0$ . Since one has

$$g(\varphi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (L(x, D)\varphi_n)(\varphi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_n (L'(x, D)\varphi),$$
$$u(L(x, D)\varphi) = (L'^{\sharp}u)(\varphi),$$

we obtain that  $g = L'^{\sharp} u$  and so (note that  $Q \leq 1$ )

$$||(L(x,D)+aI)\varphi_n||_{Q} = ||(L(x,D)+aI)\varphi_n - L'^{\sharp}u - au||_{Q} \to 0$$

with  $n \to \infty$ . Due to (4.31) one has  $\|\varphi_n\|_{k_{-N}} \to 0$  with  $n \to \infty$  and so u=0. This shows that

$$N(L'^{\sharp} + aI) = \{0\}.$$

Similarly one finds from (4.32) and (4.8) that (here  $L^{\sharp}$  is the maximal realization of L'(x, D))

$$(4.34) N(L^{\sharp} + aI) = \{0\}.$$

B) Let U be in  $N(L^*+aI^*) \subset L_2^* (=H_k^* \text{ with } k=1)$ . Then there exists  $u \in L_2$  such that (cf. Lemma 2.1)

$$U\varphi = u(\varphi)$$
 and  $||U|| = ||u||$ 

(this follows also from Riesz theorem). Since one has

$$u((L(x,D)+aI)\varphi) = U((L_0+aI)\varphi) = 0,$$

we obtain by (4.34) that u=0 and then U=0. Thus  $N(L^*+aI^*)=\{0\}$ . Since by (4.30)  $R((L^*+aI^*)^*)=R(L^*+aI)$  is closed and since

$$N(L^* + aI^*) = \{0\}$$

one sees that  $R(L^{+}+aI)=L_{2}$  (cf. [5], p. 234). This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Combining Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 we get

**Theorem 4.7.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S^{M, m}_{\varphi, \varphi}$  and that  $Q(\xi) \in S^{-M, -m}_{\varphi, \varphi} \cap S^{M', m'}_{\varphi, \varphi}$ such that

(4.35) 
$$Q(\xi) \ge c \Phi^{M'}(x,\xi) \varphi^{m'}(x,\xi) \text{ and } Q(\xi) \le 1.$$

Furthermore, assume that there exist  $P(\xi) \in S^{M,m}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  and  $q(\xi) \in S^{M-1,m-1}_{\Phi,\varphi}$  such that

D/81

$$P(\zeta) > 0,$$

$$q(\zeta) \ge c\Phi^{M-1}(x,\zeta)\varphi^{m-1}(x,\zeta),$$

$$q(\zeta)/P(\zeta) \to 0 \quad \text{with} \quad |\zeta| \to \infty$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} L(x,\xi) \ge cP(\xi)$$
 for all  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then there exists a constant  $a_0 \ge 0$  such that

$$(4.36) R(L^{\sim} + aI) = L_2 and N(L^{\prime \sharp} + aI) = \{0\} for a \ge a_0.$$

*Proof.* The application of Corollary 4.5 with  $k=1 (\in S_{\Phi,\Phi}^{0,0})$  gives (4.30). The application with k=Q implies (4.31)—(4.32). Hence Lemma 4.6 proves the assertion.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.8.** Let  $L(x, \xi)$ ,  $Q(\xi)$ ,  $P(\xi)$  and  $q(\xi)$  be as in Theorem 4.7. Then the relation

$$L^{\sim} = L'^{\sharp}$$

holds.

*Proof.* Choose a such that (4.36) is valid. Let u be in  $D(L'^{\ddagger})$  and let  $L'^{\ddagger}u=f$ . Then one has  $L'^{\ddagger}u+au=(L^{-}+aI)w$  with some  $w\in D(L^{-})$ . Since  $N(L'^{\ddagger}+aI)=$  $\{0\}$  and since  $L^{-}\subset L'^{\ddagger}$  one sees that  $u=w\in D(L^{-})$ , which proves that  $L'^{\ddagger}\subset L^{-}$ .  $\Box$ 

**4.3.** Let  $L_k^{\tilde{*}}$  (and  $L_k^{\tilde{*}}$ ):  $H_k \rightarrow H_k$  be the minimal realization (the maximal realization, resp.) of L(x, D) in  $H_k$ . The definition of  $L_k^{\tilde{*}}$  and  $L_k^{\tilde{*}}$  is given as the definition of  $L^{\tilde{*}}$  and  $L'^{\tilde{*}}$  (cf. Section 2.2).

**Theorem 4.9.** Suppose that  $L(x, \xi) \in S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{M, m}$  and that  $k(\xi) \in S_{\Phi, \varphi}^{M', m'}$  such that

(4.37) 
$$k(\xi) \ge c\Phi^{M''}(x,\xi)\varphi^{m''}(x,\xi)$$

Furthermore, assume that

$$(4.38) (k \circ L \circ k^{-1})'^{\sharp} = (k \circ L \circ k^{-1})^{\sim}.$$

Then the relation

 $(4.39) L_{\tilde{k}} = L_{k}^{\prime \sharp}$ 

holds.

**Proof.** Let u be in  $D(L_k^{*})$  and let  $L_k^{*}u=f$ . Then one has (here k(D)u and  $k(D)f\in L_2$ ; k(D)u is defined by  $u(k(-D)\varphi)=(k(D)u)(\varphi)$ )

$$(k(D)u)((k(D)\circ L(x,D)\circ k^{-1}(D))'k(D)\varphi)$$
$$=(k(D)u)(((k^{\checkmark})^{-1}(D)\circ L'(x,D)\circ k^{\checkmark}(D))\varphi)$$
$$=u(L'(x,D)(k^{\backsim}(D)\varphi))=f(k^{\backsim}(D)\varphi)=(k(D)f)(\varphi)$$

and then  $(k(D) \circ L(x, D) \circ k^{-1}(D))'^{\sharp}(k(D)u) = k(D)f$ . Choose a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset S$  such that (cf. (4.38))

$$\|\varphi_n-k(D)u\|=\|k(D)\circ L(x,D)\circ k^{-1}(D)\varphi_n-k(D)f\|\to 0.$$

Then one sees that

$$||k^{-1}(D)\varphi_n-u||_k+||L(x,D)\circ k^{-1}(D)\varphi_n-f||_k\to 0 \quad \text{with} \quad n\to\infty,$$

which proves that  $u \in D(L_k^{\sim})$  and that  $L_k^{\sim} u = f$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 

Remark 4.10. A) Let  $L(x, \xi)$ ,  $k(\xi)$ ,  $P(\xi)$  and  $q(\xi)$  be as in Theorem 4.4. With the similar computation as presented in the proof of Theorem 4.4 one sees that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle P^{1/2}(D) \circ L'(x,D) \circ (P^{*})^{-1/2}(D) \circ (k^{*})^{2}(D) \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle$$
$$\geq (c/4) \|\varphi\|_{k^{*}(P^{*})^{1/2}}^{2} - C \|\varphi\|_{k^{*}k_{-N}}^{2}$$

for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence one has

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle L'(x,D)\circ(k^{*})^{2}(D)\varphi,(P^{*})(D)\varphi\rangle$$

$$\geq (c/4) \| (P^{*})^{1/2} \varphi \|_{(k^{P^{*}})^{1/2}}^{2} - C \| (P^{*})^{1/2} \varphi \|_{k^{*} k_{-N}} = (c/4) \| \varphi \|_{k^{*} P^{*}}^{2} - C \| \varphi \|_{k^{*} k_{-N}}^{2} (P^{*})^{-1/2}.$$

Thus one gets (cf. (4.26))

$$\left\| \left( L(\mathbf{x}, D) + aI \right) \varphi \right\|_{k} \ge (c/4) \left\| \varphi \right\|_{k^{\mathbf{P}}}$$

for a large enough. This implies finally

$$(4.40) D(L_k^{\sim}) \subset H_{kP} \subset H_{ka}$$

and then the assumptions of Theorem 4.4 imply (3.25).

B) Since one has

$$(4.41) \qquad (k \circ L \circ k^{-1})(x, \xi) = L(x, \xi) + \lambda(x, \xi),$$

where  $\lambda(x, \xi) \in S^{M-1, m-1}_{\phi, \phi}$ , one sees that the assumptions of Theorem 4.7 imply (4.39) for any  $k(\xi) \in S^{M', m'}_{\phi, \phi}$ , which obeys (4.37).

**4.4.** Let  $\delta$  and  $\varrho$  be non-negative numbers such that  $0 \leq \delta < \varrho \leq 1$ . Denote by  $S_{\varrho,\delta}^m$ ,  $m \geq 0$  the class of  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ -functions  $L(x, \xi)$  such that for any  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$  with which

$$(4.42) |D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} L(x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} (1+|\xi|)^{m-\varrho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|} \text{for all } x,\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

One sees that the functions  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  defined by  $\Phi(x, \xi) = (1+|\xi|)^{\varrho}$  and  $\varphi(x, \xi) =$ 

Jouko Tervo

 $(1+|\xi|)^{-\delta}$  form a pair of weight functions in the sense of [1]. Furthermore, one has

(4.43) 
$$(1+|\xi|)^{m-\varrho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|} = \Phi^{(m/\varrho)-|\beta|}(x,\xi)\varphi^{-|\alpha|}(x,\xi)$$

and the  $S^m_{\varrho,\delta} = S^{(m/\varrho),0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$ .

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $L(x, \xi)$  be in  $S_{\varrho,\delta}^m$ ;  $0 \le \delta < \varrho \le 1$  such that with some constants c > 0,  $E \ge 0$  and  $t \in [m - (\varrho - \delta), m]$  one has

(4.44) 
$$\operatorname{Re} L(x,\xi) \geq c(1+|\xi|)^t \quad for \quad |\xi| \geq E.$$

Then the relations

(4.45) 
$$R(L^{*}+aI) = L_{2}, \quad N(L'^{*}+aI) = \{0\} \text{ and } L^{*} = L'^{*}$$

hold, when a is large enough.

*Proof.* Define functions  $P(\xi)$ ,  $q(\xi)$  by  $P(\xi) = (1+|\xi|^2)^{t/2}$  and  $q(\xi) = (1+|\xi|^2)^{(m-\varrho+\delta)/2}$ . Then one has  $P(\xi) \in S_{1,0}^t \subset S_{\varrho,\delta}^m = S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{(m/\varrho),0}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\xi}^{\beta}q(\xi)| &\leq C_{\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{m-\varrho+\delta-|\beta|} \leq C_{\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{m-\varrho+\delta-\varrho|\beta|} \\ &= C_{\beta}\Phi^{(m/\varrho)-1-|\beta|}(x,\xi)\varphi^{-1}(x,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $q(\xi) \in S_{\Phi,\varphi}^{(m/\varrho)-1,-1}$ . Furthermore, we get

$$P(\xi) > 0,$$
$$q(\xi) \ge \varkappa (1+|\xi|)^{(m-\varrho+\delta)} = \varkappa \Phi^{(m/\varrho)-1}(x,\xi) \varphi^{-1}(x,\xi)$$

and

$$q(\xi)/P(\xi) = (1+|\xi|^2)^{(m-t+\delta-\varrho)/2} \to 0 \quad \text{with} \quad |\xi| \to \infty.$$

Let C be a positive number such that  $C=2C_{0,0}(1+R)^m$ . Then one sees by (4.42) and (4.44) that with some  $\varkappa > 0$ 

$$\operatorname{Re}(L(x,\xi)+C) \geq \varkappa P(\xi)$$
 for all  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since  $m \ge 0$  we have that  $L(\cdot, \cdot) + C \in S^m_{\varrho,\delta} = S^{(m/\varrho),0}_{\Phi,\varphi}$ . By virtue of Theorem 4.7 we obtain (choose  $Q(\xi) = (1+|\xi|^2)^{-m/2}$ )

$$R(L^{-}+aI) = L_2, \quad N(L'^{\#}+aI) = \{0\} \text{ and } L^{-} = L'^{\#},$$

as desired.

*Remark.* The above method gives also that the operators L(x, D) satisfying the assumptions of Corollary 4.11 are essentially maximal in the Sobolev spaces  $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})=H_{k_{s}}$  with  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### References

- 1. BEALS, R. and FEFFERMAN, C., Spatially inhomogeneous pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 1-24.
- GOLDSTEIN, R. A., Equality of minimal and maximal extensions of partial differential operators in L<sub>p</sub>(R<sup>n</sup>), Proc. Amer. Soc. 17 (1966), 1031-1033.
- HESS, P., Über wesentliche Maximalität gleichmässig stark elliptischer Operatoren in L<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>n</sup>), Math. Z. 107 (1968), 67-70.
- 4. Hörmander, L., Linear partial differential operators (Grundlehren 116), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.
- 5. KATO, T., Perturbation theory for linear operators (Grundlehren 132), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1966.
- 6. SCHECHTER, M., Spectra of partial differential operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1971.
- 7. WEDER, R. A., Spectral analysis of pseudo-differential operators, J. Functional Analysis 20 (1975), 319-337.
- 8. YOSIDA, K., Functional analysis (Grundlehren 123), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.

Received Nov. 24, 1987; revised June 6, 1988 and Sept. 29, 1988 Jouko Tervo Department of Mathematics University of Jyväskylä SF-40100 Jyväskylä Finland