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## 1 Introduction

Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ be homogeneous of degree zero Then $m$ is almost everywhere determined by $h_{ \pm}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=m\left(\xi_{1}, \pm 1\right)$ For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ let $I_{k}=\left[2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k}\right] \cup\left[-2^{-k},-2^{-k-1}\right]$ and let $h_{+}$and $h_{-}$satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(\int_{I_{k}}\left|s h_{ \pm}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{r} \frac{d s}{s}\right)^{1 / r}<\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rubio de Francia posed the question whether a condition like (11) is sufficient to prove that $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right), 1<p<\infty \quad$ An application of the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem with $L^{2}$ Sobolev hypotheses (cf (13) and (15) below) and interpolation arguments already show that the answer is yes, provided $r>2$ Recently, Duoandikoetxea and Moyua [15] have shown that the same con clusion can be reached if $r=2$ On the other hand, since characteristic functions of halfspaces are Fourier multipliers of $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$, a simple averaging argument shows that the condition $h^{\prime} \in L^{1}$ implies $L^{p}$-boundedness for $1<p<\infty$ Our first theorem shows that the weaker assumption (11) with $r=1$ implies boundedness in $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$, for $1<p<\infty$

Theorem 11 Suppose that $h_{+}$and $h_{-}$satisfy the hypotheses of the Marcin kiewicz multiplier theorem on the real line, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{I_{k}}\left|d h_{ \pm}(s)\right| \leq A \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for $I_{k}=\left[2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k}\right] \cup\left[-2^{-k},-2^{-k-1}\right]$ Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ be homogeneous of degree zero, such that for $\xi_{1} \in \mathbf{R}, m\left(\xi_{1}, 1\right)=h_{+}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $m\left(\xi_{1},-1\right)=h_{-}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right), 1<p<\infty$, with norm $\leq C A$

One can obtain a stronger result for fixed $p>1$ using the space $V^{q}$ of functions of bounded $q$-variation Given an interval $I$ on the real line a function $h$ belongs to $V^{q}(I)$ if for each partition $\left\{x_{0}<x_{1} \ll x_{N}\right\}$ of $I$ the sum $\sum_{\nu=1}^{N}\left|h\left(x_{\nu}\right)-h\left(x_{\nu-1}\right)\right|^{q}$ is bounded and the upper bound of such sums is finite We denote by $\|h\|_{V^{q}}^{q}$ the least upper bound Then the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 11 and the interpolation argument in [8]

Corollary 12 Let m, $h_{ \pm}$and $I_{k}$ be as above and suppose that

$$
\left\|h_{ \pm}\right\|_{\infty}+\sup _{k}\left\|h_{ \pm}\right\|_{V^{q}\left(I_{k}\right)}<\infty
$$

Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$, if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / 2 q$
A slightly weaker result can be formulated in terms of Sobolev spaces Let $\beta$ be an even $C^{\infty}$ function on the real line, supported in $\left(\frac{5}{8}, \frac{8}{5}\right) \cup\left(-\frac{8}{5},-\frac{5}{8}\right)$ and positive in $(1 / \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}) \cup(-\sqrt{2},-1 / \sqrt{2})$; we shall assume that $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \beta^{2}\left(2^{k} s\right)=1$ for $s \neq 0$ Let $L_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ denote the standard Sobolev space with norm $\|h\|_{L_{\alpha}^{q}}=\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1+$ $\left.\left.|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \hat{h}\right] \|_{q}$ Then $L_{\alpha}^{q}(\mathbf{R}) \subset V_{q}$ if $\alpha>1 / q$ and therefore we obtain

Corollary 13 Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ be homogeneous of degree zero and $h_{ \pm}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=$ $m\left(\xi_{1}, \pm 1\right)$ Suppose that $q>1$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}}\left\|\beta h_{ \pm}(t)\right\|_{L_{\alpha}^{q}(\mathbf{R})}<\infty, \quad \alpha>\frac{1}{q} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / 2 q$
We now compare these results with more standard multiparameter versions of the Hörmander-Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem In order to formulate them let

$$
\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\alpha} g=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\left(1+\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \mathcal{F} g\right]
$$

and, for $1<q<\infty$, let $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ be the multiparameter Sobolev space of all functions $g$, such that

$$
\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}:=\left\|\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\alpha} \quad \mathcal{D}_{n}^{\alpha} g\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}<\infty
$$

Let $\beta$ be as above and denote by $\beta_{(i)}$ a copy of $\beta$ as a function of the $\xi_{i}$-variable Then if $q \geq 2$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d)} m\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}<\infty, \quad \alpha>\frac{1}{q} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$ for $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / q$ For $q=2$ the proof of this result is a variant of Stein's proof of the Hörmander multiplier theorem (see [25, Ch IV]) and the general case follows by an interpolation argument as in [9] If we apply this result to homogeneous multipliers and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\xi^{\prime}, \pm 1\right)=g_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right), \quad \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{d-1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain by a straightforward computation
Corollary 14 Suppose that $r \geq 2$,
$\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{1}^{2 \gamma} \mathcal{D}_{2}^{\gamma} \quad \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{\gamma}\left[\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty, \gamma>\frac{1}{r}$,
and that the condition analogous to (16) holds for all permutations of the variables $\left(s_{1}, \quad, s_{d-1}\right)$ Let $m$ be homogeneous of degree zero and related to $g_{ \pm} b y$ (15) Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / r$

In two dimensions Corollary 14 says that if $\alpha>1 / q, q \geq 1$, and $\beta g_{ \pm}(t) \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2 q}(\mathbf{R})$, uniformly in $t>0$, then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$ if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / 2 q$ Corollary 13 is stronger since a compactly supported function in $H_{\alpha}^{2 q}(\mathbf{R})$ belongs to $H_{\alpha}^{q}(\mathbf{R})$

We are now going to discuss variants of Theorem 11 in higher dimensions First if $g_{ \pm} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right), \alpha>1 / q$ and if $g_{ \pm}$are compactly supported in $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]^{d-1}$ then the homogeneous extension $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<$ $1 / 2 q$ In fact by a simple averaging argument one sees that the condition $g_{ \pm} \in \mathcal{H}_{1+\varepsilon}^{1}$ implies that $m$ is an $L^{1}$ multiplier and the general case follows by interpolation We remark that if $\alpha<|2 / p-1|$ the condition $g_{ \pm} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}$ (any $q$ ) does not imply that $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$ Relevant counterexamples have been pointed out by López-Melero [22] and Christ [7]

Perhaps surprisingly, the situation in higher dimensions changes if one imposes dilation invariant conditions as in Theorem 11 One might want to just replace hypothesis (12) by the hypotheses of the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem in $\mathbf{R}^{d-1}$ ([25, p 108]) However this assumption is not sufficient to deduce that $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$ for any $p \neq 2$ (see Section 3 for the counterexample involving the Kakeya set) However, we do have

Theorem 15 Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, $d \geq 2$, be homogeneous of degree zero and let $g_{ \pm}$be as in (15) Suppose that $q \geq 2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty, \quad \alpha>\frac{1}{q} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / 2 q$
Interpolating Theorem 15 with Corollary 14 (with $p$ close to 1 ) yields
Corollary 16 Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, d $\geq 2$, be homogeneous of degree zero and let $g_{ \pm}$be as in (15) Suppose that $1<p<\frac{4}{3}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{2}^{\gamma} \quad \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{\gamma}\left[\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty, \\
\gamma>\frac{1}{2}, \alpha>\frac{2}{p}-1
\end{array}
$$

and that the analogous conditions obtained by permuting the $\left(s_{1},, s_{d-1}\right)$ variables hold Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$

In particular if $\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty$ and $1<p<\frac{4}{3}$ then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$ provided that $\alpha>2 / p-1$ This result is essentially sharp: in Section 3 we show that in order for

$$
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty
$$

to imply that $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$ we must necessarily have $\alpha \geq 2 / p-\frac{3}{2}+$ $1 / q$ if $1<p<\frac{4}{3}$ and $\alpha>1 / q$ if $\frac{4}{3} \leq p \leq 2$

In order to prove more refined results on $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), d \geq 3, p$ close to 1 , we shall use multiparameter Calderón-Zygmund theory It turns out that it is useful (and easier) to first prove a result for the multiparameter Hardy space $H^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), 0<p \leq 1$ The Hardy space $H^{p}$ is defined in terms of square-functions invariant under the multiparameter family of dilations $\delta_{t} x=\left(t_{1} x_{1}, \quad, t_{d} x_{d}\right), t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d}$ Again we formulate the multiplier result using localized multiparameter Sobolev spaces invariant under multiparameter dilations In order to include a sharp result also for $p<1$ we want to admit values of $q \leq 1$ in (12) To make this possible the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}$ has to be modified We may always assume that $\beta$ above is such that $\sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \beta^{2}\left(2^{-r} s\right)=1$ for $s \neq 0$ Let $\psi_{r}=\beta^{2}\left(2^{-r}\right)$ if $r \geq 1$ and $\psi_{0}=1-\sum_{r>0} \psi_{r}$ For $n=\left(n_{1},, n_{d-1}\right), n_{i} \geq 0$, $i=1, \quad, d-1$ set $\psi_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \quad, \xi_{d-1}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \psi_{n_{i}}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ The decomposition

$$
g=\sum_{n \in\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)^{d-1}} \widehat{\psi}_{n} * g
$$

is referred to as the inhomogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition of $\mathbf{R}^{d-1}$ Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{n \in\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)^{d-1}} 2^{2\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right) \alpha}\left|\widehat{\psi}_{n} * g\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1<q<\infty$, and for $q \leq 1$ we define $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)$ as the space of tempered distributions for which the quasinorm on the right hand side of (19) is finite In this paper we shall always have $\alpha>1 / q$; in this case $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}$ is embedded in $L^{\infty}$ This and other properties of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}$ may be proved by obvious modifications of the one parameter case; for the latter we refer to [27]

Theorem 17 Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be homogeneous of degree zero and related to $g_{ \pm}$as in (15) Suppose that $0<r \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty, \quad \alpha>\frac{2}{r}-1 \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $d \geq 3$, suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{1}^{2 \gamma} \mathcal{D}_{2}^{\gamma} \mathcal{D}_{d-2}^{\gamma}\left[\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty  \tag{111}\\
& \gamma>\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and that the analogous conditions obtained by permuting the $\left(s_{1}, \quad, s_{d-1}\right)$ variables hold Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of the multiparameter Hardy space $H^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, $r \leq p<\infty$

Note that in two dimensions Theorem 17 is a natural extension of Corol lary 14 to $H^{p}$-spaces in product domains The examples in Section 3 show that in higher dimensions additional assumptions such as (111) are necessary When $d \geq 3$, Theorem 17 with $r=1$ serves as a substitute for Theorem 11 Notice that if $r=1$ condition (110) involves mixed derivatives in $L^{1}$ of order $d-1+\varepsilon$, and condi tion ( 111 ) involves derivatives in $L^{2}$ up to order ( $d-1+\varepsilon$ )/2 In comparison the hypotheses in Corollaries 13 and 16 involve $L^{2}$ derivatives up to order ( $\left.d+\varepsilon\right) / 2$ if $p$ is close to 1 As a consequence we obtain the following analogue of Corollary 14 , formulated in terms of the standard oneparameter Sobolev space $L_{\alpha}^{q}$

Corollary 18 Let $m \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be homogeneous of degree zero and related to $g_{ \pm}$by (15) Suppose that $q>1$ and that

$$
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{L_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty, \quad \alpha>\frac{d-1}{q}
$$

Then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / 2 q$
The counterexamples in [22], [7] show that the statement of the Corollary is false in the range $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|>1 / 2 q$ However in view of Theorems 15 and 17 one
expects the following sharper result Namely suppose that for some $q \in(1,2]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty, \quad \alpha>\frac{1}{q} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in dimension $d \geq 3$ suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{2}^{\gamma} \quad \mathcal{D}_{d-2}^{\gamma}\left[\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}<\infty  \tag{array}\\
& \gamma>\frac{1}{2}, \alpha>\frac{1}{q}
\end{align*}
$$

as well as the analogous conditions obtained by permuting the ( $s_{1}, \quad, s_{d-1}$ ) variables Then $m$ should be a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ if $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / 2 q \quad$ In order to prove this one is tempted to use analytic interpolation and interpolate between the $L^{p_{0}}$ estimate of Theorem 17 , for $p_{0}$ close to 1 , and the $L^{4 / 3}$-estimate of Theorem 15 One would have to find the intermediate spaces for intersections of $L^{2}$ and $L^{q}$ Sobolev spaces However the intersection of the intermediate spaces does not need to be contained in the intermediate space of the intersections (for related counterexamples see [26]) It is actually possible to prove the result for $\left|1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right|<1 / 2 q$ (assuming (112), (113)) by another approach One has to use a general theorem for analytic families of operators acting on various kinds of atoms the proof of which relies heavily on multiparameter Calderon-Zygmund theory We do not include the technical proof here but refer the reader to [5]

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we prove Theorem 11 using weighted norm inequalities and variants of the maximal operator with respect to lacunary directions Examples demonstrating the sharpness of our results in higher dimensions are discussed in Section 3 The proof of Theorem 15 is in Section 4; it relies on weighted norm inequalities which involve variants of the Kakeya maximal function In Section 5 we prove the Hardy space estimates of Theorem 17

As a convention we shall refer to the quasi-norms in $H^{p}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{p}$ as "norms" although for $p<1$ these spaces are not normed spaces By $M_{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote the standard space of Fourier multipliers of $L^{p}$ It will always be assumed that the even function $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ defined above satisfies $\sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}}\left[\beta\left(2^{x} s\right)\right]^{2}=1$ for $s \neq 0$ If $\mathfrak{a} \in\{1, \quad, d\}$ and $k, \tilde{k}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ then we shall use the notation $k \leq \tilde{k}$ if $k_{i} \leq \tilde{k}_{i}$ for all $i \in \mathfrak{a}$ Similarly define $k \geq \tilde{k}$ etc $C$ will always be an abstract constant which may assume different values in different lines

## $2 L^{P}$-estimates in the plane

In the proof of Theorem 11 there is no loss of generality in assuming that $m$
is supported in the quadrant where $\xi_{1}>0$ and $\xi_{2}>0$ By a limiting argument as in Stein's book [25, p 109], it suffices to prove the theorem under the formally stronger assumption

$$
\|h\|_{\infty}+\sup _{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{I_{k}}\left|h^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq A
$$

Let $\beta$ be the smooth bump function defined in the introduction (supported in $\left.\pm\left[\frac{5}{8}, \frac{8}{5}\right]\right)$ Let $\gamma \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ be homogeneous of degree 1 such that $\gamma(\xi)=1$ if $\left|\xi_{1} / \xi_{2}\right| \in\left[\frac{25}{64}, \frac{64}{25}\right]$ (in particular on the support of $\beta \otimes \beta$ ) and such that $\gamma(\xi)=0$ if $\left|\xi_{1} / \xi_{2}\right| \notin\left(\frac{1}{4}, 4\right)$ Set

$$
h_{\varkappa}(\xi)=\gamma(\xi) h\left(2^{-\varkappa} \xi_{1} / \xi_{2}\right)
$$

Then we may split

$$
m=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}}\left[\beta \otimes \beta m_{k}\right]\left(2^{k_{1}}, 2^{k_{2}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}(\xi)=\beta\left(\xi_{1}\right) \beta\left(\xi_{2}\right) h_{k_{1}-k_{2}}\left(\xi_{1} / \xi_{2}\right)=\beta\left(\xi_{1}\right) \beta\left(\xi_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\xi_{1} / \xi_{2}} h_{k_{1}-k_{2}}^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h_{\varkappa}$ is supported in $\left(\frac{1}{4}, 4\right)$, for all $\varkappa \in Z$ Also set

$$
\left.\widehat{T_{k} f}(\xi)=\left[\beta \otimes \beta m_{k}\right]\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}, 2^{k_{2}} \xi_{2}\right)\right] \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Then by standard multiparameter Littlewood-Paley theory and duality, to establish Theorem 11 for $p \in\left[2, p_{0}\right), p_{0}<\infty$, it suffices to obtain an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|T_{k} f\right|^{2} \omega \leq C A^{2} \int|f|^{2} \mathfrak{M} \omega \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a certain operator $\omega \mapsto \mathfrak{M} \omega$ which is bounded on $L^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ for $\left(p_{0} / 2\right)^{\prime}<q \leq \infty$ By our assumption on $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{Z}} \int\left|h_{\varkappa}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq C A \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ the standard Littlewood-Paley operator, such that

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{L}_{k} f}(\xi)=\beta\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}\right) \beta\left(2^{k_{2}} \xi_{2}\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

and define the operator $S_{k s}$ by

$$
\widehat{S_{\varkappa s} f}(\xi)= \begin{cases}\hat{f}(\xi), & \text { if } 2^{\varkappa} \xi_{1} / \xi_{2}>s, \xi_{1} \geq 0, \xi_{2} \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then from (21) we see that

$$
T_{k} f(x)=\int_{1 / 8}^{8} \mathcal{L}_{k} S_{k_{1}-k_{2} s} f(x) h_{k_{1}-k_{2}}^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

Then, if $\omega \geq 0$ is a weight we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|T_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leq C A \iint\left|\mathcal{L}_{k} S_{k_{1}-k_{2} s} f(x)\right|^{2}\left|h_{k_{1}-k_{2}}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \omega(x) d x \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{(1)}, M_{(2)}$ be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions with respect to the coordinate directions and let $M_{\varkappa s}$ be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function with respect to the direction perpendicular to $\left\{\xi ; 2^{x} \xi_{1} / \xi_{2}=s\right\}$, i e in the direction ( $1,-2^{-\varkappa} s$ ) Then using weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operators due to Córdoba and Fefferman ([13], see also [18]) we see that the expression on the right hand side of (24) is dominated by

$$
C_{\alpha} A \int|f(x)|^{2} M_{(1)} M_{(2)}\left[\int_{1 / 8}^{8}\left(M_{k_{1}-k_{2} s} \omega^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left|h_{k_{1}-k_{2}}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right](x) d x
$$

where $\alpha>1$ Now the proof of (22) is completed by the following
Proposition 21 Let, for $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha} \omega(x)=\sup _{\varkappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I}\left(M_{\varkappa} s^{\alpha} \omega^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}(x)\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s
$$

where $I=\left[\frac{1}{8}, 8\right]$ and

$$
\sup _{\varkappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I}\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s \leq B<\infty
$$

Then $\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha}$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right), \alpha<p<\infty$, with norm $\leq C_{p \alpha} B$
Proof Since

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha}(\omega) \leq B^{1-1 / \alpha}\left[\mathfrak{M}_{1}\left(\omega^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{1 / \alpha}
$$

it suffices to prove that $\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ is bounded on $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$ with norm $C_{p} B$ If $\alpha>1$ then $\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha}$ will be bounded on $L^{p}, p>\alpha$, with norm $\leq C_{p / \alpha}^{1 / \alpha} B$

We follow arguments by Nagel, Stein and Wainger [23] as modified by Christ (see [2]) Let $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be smooth, even, nonnegative, with $\varphi(0)>0$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}$ has compact support in $\left[-\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{20}\right]$ Let

$$
\psi\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\widehat{\varphi}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)
$$

and define for $\varkappa \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$
\widehat{P_{\varkappa s}^{l} \omega}(\xi)=\psi\left(2^{l} \xi_{1}, s 2^{l-\varkappa} \xi_{2}\right) \widehat{\omega}(\xi)
$$

It suffices to show that for $1<p<\infty, N$ being an arbitrary positive integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{-N \leq \varkappa \leq N} \int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l} \omega\right|\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p} B\|\omega\|_{p} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is independent of $N$ Then an application of the monotone convergence theorem allows to pass to the limit We note that for fixed $\varkappa$ and $1<p \leq \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l} \omega\right|\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right\|_{p} \leq C \int_{I}\left\|\sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l} \omega\right|\right\|_{p}\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s \leq C_{p} B\|\omega\|_{p} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the $L^{p}$ estimate for the one dimensional Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_{k s}$ This means that we know a priori that the left hand side of (25) is bounded by $B C_{p}(N)\|f\|_{p}$ (with $C_{p}(N) \leq C_{p}^{\prime} N$ ) and it remains to be shown that $C_{p}(N)$ can be chosen independently of $N$ In what follows we define $C_{p}(N)$ to be the best constant in (25)

We first consider the case $2 \leq p<\infty$ Since the $L^{\infty}$-estimate is trivial it suffices to prove the $L^{2}$ inequality We smoothly split $\psi$ into two parts, $\psi=\psi^{0}+\psi^{1}$ with $\psi^{1}$ supported in the unit ball and $\psi^{0}$ supported in the cone $\left\{\xi ;\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right| /|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ We correspondingly define the operators $P_{x s}^{l, 0}$ and $P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 1}$ Note that there is the pointwise inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 1} \omega(x)\right| \leq C M_{(1)} M_{(2)} \omega(x) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{\varkappa} \int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 1} \omega\right|\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p} B\|\omega\|_{p}, \quad 1<p \leq \infty \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Concerning $P_{x s}^{l, 0}$ we have

$$
\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega(x)\right| \leq C\left[M_{(1)} M_{(2)} \omega(x)+M_{\varkappa s} \omega(x)\right]
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega\right|\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p} B\|\omega\|_{p} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1<p \leq \infty$ Note that

$$
\psi^{0}\left(2^{l}, s 2^{l-\varkappa}\right) \widehat{\omega}=\chi\left(, 2^{-\varkappa}\right) \psi^{0}\left(2^{l}, s 2^{l-\varkappa}\right) \widehat{\omega}
$$

where $\chi$ is smooth, homogeneous of degree zero, identically 1 on $\left\{\xi ;\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right| /|\xi| \leq 4\right\}$ and zero on $\left\{\xi ;\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right| /|\xi| \geq 8\right\}$ Define the standard angular Littlewood-Paley operator $R_{\varkappa}$ by

$$
\widehat{R_{x} f}(\xi)=\chi\left(\xi_{1}, 2^{-\varkappa} \xi_{2}\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega=P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} R_{\varkappa} \omega \tag{210}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, as a consequence of multiparameter Littlewood-Paley theory and the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem,

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|R_{\varkappa} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{p}, \quad 1<p<\infty
$$

Now by (2 10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\varkappa \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\right| P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega| | \lambda_{\varkappa}(s)|d s| \leq\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left[\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} R_{\varkappa} \omega\right|\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{212}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (29) we see that the square of the $L^{2}$-norm of the right hand side equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\varkappa}\left\|\int _ { I } \operatorname { s u p } _ { l \in \mathbf { Z } } \left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} R_{\varkappa} \omega\left\|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s) \mid d s\right\|_{2}^{2}\right.\right. & \leq \sum_{\varkappa}\left[\int_{I}\| \|_{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} R_{\varkappa} \omega\right| \|_{2}\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right]^{2} \\
& \leq C B^{2} \sum_{\varkappa}\left\|R_{k} \omega\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C^{\prime} B^{2}\|\omega\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{\varkappa \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega \| \lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right]\right\|_{p} \leq C B\|\omega\|_{p}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty \tag{213}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2 8) and (2 13) we see that

$$
C_{p}(N) \leq C, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty
$$

We now assume $1<p<2$ and begin with the observation that for any sequence $\left\{\omega_{k}\right\}$ of weights we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\right| P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega_{\varkappa} \| \lambda_{\varkappa}(s)|d s|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p 0} B\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|\omega_{\varkappa}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right\|_{p}, \quad 1<p<\infty \tag{214}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is immediate from (29) Next positivity of $P_{x s}^{l}$ implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sup _{\varkappa} \int_{I} \sup _{l}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l} \omega_{\varkappa}\right|\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right\|_{p} & \leq\left\|\sup _{\varkappa} \int_{I} \sup _{l}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l}\left[\sup _{\mu}\left|\omega_{\mu}\right|\right]\right|\left|\lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right\|_{p}  \tag{215}\\
& \leq B C_{p}(N)\left\|\sup _{\varkappa}\left|\omega_{\varkappa}\right|\right\|_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

by the definition of $C_{p}(N)$ From (215) and (28) it follows that for $1<p \leq \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\operatorname { s u p } _ { \varkappa \in \mathbf { Z } } \int _ { I } \operatorname { s u p } _ { l \in \mathbf { Z } } \left|P _ { \varkappa s } ^ { l , 0 } \omega _ { \varkappa } \left\|\lambda _ { \varkappa } ( s ) \left|d s\left\|_{p} \leq C_{p 1} B C_{p}(N)\right\| \sup _{\varkappa}\left\|\left|\omega_{\varkappa}\right|\right\| \|_{p}\right.\right.\right.\right. \tag{216}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if we interpolate (214) with (216) we obtain for $p \leq q \leq \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\left.\sum_{\varkappa \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\right| P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega_{\varkappa}\left|\| \lambda_{\varkappa}(s)\right| d s\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p 2} B C_{p}(N)^{1-p / q}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|\omega_{\varkappa}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right\|_{p} \tag{217}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (212), (2 17) and (211) we obtain for $1<p \leq 2$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sup _{\varkappa \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|\int_{I} \sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\right| P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} \omega| | \lambda_{\varkappa}(s)|d s|\right\| & \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{x}\left[\sup _{l \in \mathbf{Z}}\left|P_{\varkappa s}^{l, 0} R_{\varkappa} \omega\right| \| \lambda_{\varkappa}(s) \mid d s\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C_{p 2} B C_{p}(N)^{1-p / 2}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|R_{\varkappa} \omega\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C_{p 3} B C_{p}(N)^{1-p / 2}\|\omega\|_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally it follows from (28) and (2 18) that

$$
C_{p}(N) \leq\left[C_{p}^{\prime}+C_{p}{ }_{3} C_{p}(N)^{1-p / 2}\right]
$$

which implies that $C_{p}(N)$ is bounded by a constant depending only on $p$ but not on $N$ This finishes the proof of the proposition

## 3 Examples in higher dimensions

We show in this section that Theorem 11 and Corollary 14 have no immediate analogue in terms of localized multiparameter Sobolev spaces in higher dimensions Our examples imply the sharpness of Theorems 15 and 17

Let $L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)$ be the space of functions $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)}=\left(\int\left[\int\left|f\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime \prime}\right]^{p / 2} d x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

For a bounded function $m$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ we denote by $\|m\|_{M_{p}}$ the operator norm of the convolution operator $T$ defined by $\widehat{T f}=m \hat{f}$ and by $\|m\|_{M_{p 2}}$ the norm of $T$ as a bounded operator on $L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)$ By a theorem of Herz and Rivière [19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|m\|_{M_{p 2} 2} \leq C\|m\|_{M_{p}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ We shall use the following
Lemma 31 Let $\left\{m_{\varkappa}\right\}$ be a sequence of bounded functions in $\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}$ Let $\chi \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}\right)$ be supported in $\left\{\xi^{\prime \prime} ; \frac{1}{2} \leq\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2\right\}$ and equal to 1 if $1-\varepsilon \leq\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 1+\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ Let

$$
m\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)=\sum_{\varkappa} \chi\left(2^{-6 \varkappa} \xi^{\prime \prime}\right) m_{\varkappa}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

and define $T_{\varkappa}$ by $\widehat{T_{\varkappa} f}(\xi)=m_{\varkappa}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$ Then for $1<p<\infty$ we have the inequality

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|T_{\varkappa} f_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)} \leq C_{p}\|m\|_{M_{p 2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|f_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)}
$$

Proof Let $\beta_{0} \in C^{\infty}$ be supported in $\left\{\xi^{\prime \prime}: 1-\varepsilon \leq\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 1+\varepsilon\right\}$ such that

$$
\left\|\beta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d^{2}}\right)}=1
$$

Let

$$
g_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=2^{3 \varkappa} f_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}}^{-1}\left[\beta_{0}\left(2^{-6 \varkappa}\right)\right]\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

then by an application of Plancherel's theorem in the second variable it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|g_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}=(2 \pi)^{-d_{1} / 2}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|f_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let $L_{\varkappa}$ denote convolution in $\mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}}^{-1}\left[\beta_{0}\left(2^{-\varkappa}\right)\right]$ By Littlewood-Paley theory we have for $1<p<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{\varkappa} L_{\varkappa} g_{\varkappa}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C_{p}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|g_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|T_{\varkappa} f_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)}=\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa} \int\left|\beta_{0}\left(2^{-6 \varkappa} \xi^{\prime \prime}\right) 2^{-3 \varkappa} T_{\varkappa} f_{\varkappa}\right|^{2} d \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)} \\
&=(2 \pi)^{d_{1} / 2}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}^{-1}\left[m_{\varkappa} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}\left[L_{\varkappa} L_{\varkappa} g_{\varkappa}\right]\right]\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)} \\
&=(2 \pi)^{d_{1} / 2}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|L_{\varkappa} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}^{-1}\left[m \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}\left[\sum_{j} L_{j} g_{j}\right]\right]\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last identity holds in view of the support properties of $\beta_{0}$ By LittlewoodPaley theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa} \mid L_{\varkappa} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}^{-1}\left[m \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}\right.\right. & {\left.\left.\left[\sum_{j} L_{j} g_{j}\right]\right]\left.\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)} } \\
& \leq C_{p}\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}^{-1}\left[m \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}\left[\sum_{j} L_{j} g_{j}\right]\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)} \\
& \leq C_{p}\|m\|_{M_{p^{2}}}\left\|\sum_{j} L_{j} g_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)} \\
& \leq C_{p}^{\prime}\|m\|_{M_{p 2}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|g_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)} \\
& =C_{p}^{\prime}\|m\|_{M_{p 2}}(2 \pi)^{-d_{1} / 2}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that the restriction $q \geq 2$ (corresponding to $\frac{4}{3} \leq p \leq 4$ ) in Theo rem 15 is necessary In what follows we denote by $L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)$ the space of functions in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ with

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)}=\left(\iint\left[\int\left|f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}\right]^{p / 2} d x_{1} d x_{3}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

and correspondingly define $M_{p 2}$
Fix $N \gg 0$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\sum_{\varkappa=2}^{N} \eta\left(N\left(s_{1}-\alpha_{\varkappa}\right)\right) \widetilde{\chi}\left(2^{-6 \varkappa} s_{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\varkappa}=1+\frac{2 N}{\varkappa} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\eta \in C^{\infty}$ is nonnegative, equal to 1 in $\left[-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right]$ and supported in $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ Similarly $\widetilde{\chi}$ is as in Lemma 31 , supported in $\pm\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2\right)$ and equal to 1 in $\pm(1 / \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$ Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s_{1} s_{2}>0}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \beta_{(2)} g_{N}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C N^{\alpha-1 / q} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 32 Let $m_{(N)}$ be the homogeneous extension of $g_{N}$ defined in (34), (35) There is a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\left\|m_{(N)}\right\|_{M_{p 2}} \geq \begin{cases}c N^{1 / 2-2 / p}, & 4<p<\infty  \tag{37}\\ c(\log N)^{1 / 4}, & p=4\end{cases}
$$

A comparison of (36) and (37) shows that in the case $p>4$ the condition

$$
\sup _{s_{1} s_{2}>0}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \beta_{(2)} g_{ \pm}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}<\infty
$$

does not imply $m \in M_{p}$ for the homogeneous extension $m$ if $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}+1 / q-2 / p$ (it does not even imply $m \in M_{p 2}$ ) Similar statements follow by duality for $1<p<\frac{4}{3}$ This yields the sharpness of Theorem 15 By interpolation an improvement of the $H^{p}$ estimates would lead to an improvement of the $L^{p}$ estimates and this implies the sharpness of Theorem 17

Proof of Lemma 32 Let $\beta_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ be supported in $\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}\right)$ and equal to 1 in $\left(\frac{7}{8}, \frac{9}{8}\right)$ Let $\chi$ be as in Lemma 31 supported in $\left\{\left|\xi_{2}\right| \in\left(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{6}{5}\right)\right\}$ and equal to 1 in $\left\{\left|\xi_{2}\right| \in\left(\frac{9}{10}, \frac{11}{10}\right)\right\}$ Let

$$
m_{\varkappa}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right)=\beta\left(\xi_{3}\right) \eta\left(4 N\left(\xi_{1} / \xi_{3}-\alpha_{\varkappa}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\mu_{(N)}(\xi)=\sum_{\varkappa=2}^{N} \chi\left(2^{-6 \varkappa} \xi_{2}\right) m_{\varkappa}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right)
$$

In view of the properties of $\eta, \chi, \widetilde{\chi}$ and the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem

$$
\left\|\mu_{(N)}\right\|_{M_{p 2}} \leq C_{p}\left\|m_{(N)}\right\|_{M_{p 2}}, \quad 1<p<\infty
$$

Now assume $4 \leq p<\infty$ Let

$$
R_{\varkappa}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) ;\left|x_{1}-\alpha_{\varkappa} x_{3}\right| \leq 10^{-3} N,\left|\alpha_{\varkappa} x_{1}-x_{3}\right| \leq 10^{-3}\right\}
$$

For $\xi \in \operatorname{supp} m_{\varkappa}, x \in R_{\varkappa}$ we have $\left|x_{1} \xi_{1}+x_{3} \xi_{3}\right| \leq \pi / 4$ and therefore

$$
\left|\int m_{\varkappa}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right) e^{i\left(x_{1} \xi_{1}+x_{3} \xi_{3}\right)} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{3}\right| \geq\left|\int m_{\varkappa}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right) \cos \left(x_{1} \xi_{1}+x_{3} \xi_{3}\right) d \xi_{1} d \xi_{3}\right| \geq c N^{-1}
$$

for some fixed positive constant $c$ Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{R}_{\varkappa}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) ; 10^{-4} N / 2 \leq\left|x_{1}-\alpha_{\varkappa} x_{3}\right| \leq 10^{-4} N, 10^{-4} / 2 \leq\left|\alpha_{\varkappa} x_{1}-x_{3}\right| \leq 10^{-4}\right\} \\
& R_{\varkappa}^{*}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) ;\left|x_{1}-\alpha_{\varkappa} x_{3}\right| \leq 10^{-4} N,\left|\alpha_{\varkappa} x_{1}-x_{3}\right| \leq 10^{-4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\chi_{\varkappa}$ be the characteristic function of $\widetilde{R}_{\varkappa}$ Then

$$
\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[m_{\varkappa} \mathcal{F} \chi_{\varkappa}\right] \geq c^{\prime}, \quad x \in R_{\varkappa}^{*}
$$

## By Lemma 31

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa=2}^{N}\left|\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}^{-1}\left[m_{\varkappa} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \chi_{\varkappa}\right]\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C_{p}\left\|\mu_{(N)}\right\|_{M_{p 2}}\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa=2}^{N}\left|\chi_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}
$$

Now one verifies that

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|\chi_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \approx N^{2 / p}
$$

In view of the overlap of the rectangles $R_{\star}^{*}$ we have for some small constant $c_{1}>0$, and for $|x| \leq c_{1} N$ and for $|x| \leq c N$ we have

$$
\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[m_{\varkappa} \mathcal{F} \chi_{\varkappa}\right](x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \approx N^{1 / 2}(1+|x|)^{-1 / 2}
$$

and consequently

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[m_{\varkappa} \mathcal{F} \chi_{\varkappa}\right]\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} \approx \begin{cases}N^{1 / 2} & \text { if } p>4 \\ N^{1 / 2}(\log N)^{1 / 4} & \text { if } p=4\end{cases}
$$

This implies the assertion
Next we consider the class of homogeneous functions $m$ in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ with the property that the restrictions $h_{ \pm}$to the hyperplanes $\left\{\xi ; \xi_{3}= \pm 1\right\}$ satisfy the hypotheses of the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem in the plane; that is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|h\|_{\infty} \leq A \\
\sup _{j_{1} \in \mathbf{N}} \sup _{s_{2}} \int_{I_{j_{1}}}\left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial s_{1}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| d s_{1} \leq A, \\
\sup _{j_{2} \in \mathbf{N}} \sup _{s_{1}} \int_{I_{j_{2}}}\left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial s_{2}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| d s_{2} \leq A,  \tag{38}\\
\sup _{j \in \mathbf{N}^{2}} \iint_{I_{j_{1}} \times I_{j_{2}}}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial s_{1} \partial s_{2}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| d s_{1} d s_{2} \leq A,
\end{array}
$$

where $I_{j_{1}}$ etc is as in (11) We show that (38) is not sufficient to guarantee $m \in M_{p}$, for any $p \neq 2$ The argument here follows Fefferman's solution [16] of the multiplier problem for the ball (see also [14], [21])

Let $\mathfrak{a}=\left\{\alpha_{\varkappa}\right\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of numbers in $[1,2)$ and let $m_{\varkappa}$ be defined in the first quadrant such that

$$
m_{\varkappa}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & 1 \leq \xi_{1} / \xi_{3} \leq \alpha_{\varkappa}  \tag{39}\\ 0, & \alpha_{\varkappa}<\xi_{1} / \xi_{3} \leq 2\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
m_{\mathfrak{a}}=\sum_{\varkappa} \beta\left(2^{\varkappa} \xi_{2} / \xi_{3}\right) m_{\varkappa}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right)
$$

Suppose the assumptions (38) imply $m \in M_{p}$ for some $p \neq 2$ Then a limiting ar gument as in [25, p 109] would imply that $m_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is an $L^{p}$ multiplier with norm independent of the choice of $\left\{\alpha_{\varkappa}\right\}_{k \in z}$ and by (31) a corresponding statement on $L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)$ would follow However we have

Lemma 33 The inequality

$$
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[m_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{F} f\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(L^{2}\right)}
$$

does not hold independently of a if $p \neq 2$
For example if we take for $a$ an enumeration of the rationals in $[1,2)$ then $m_{a} \in M_{p 2}$ if and only if $p=2$

Proof Arguing as above the assumption $m_{\mathrm{a}} \in M_{p}$ implies a vector valued esti mate for directional Hilbert transforms, namely

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|H_{\varkappa} f_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{\varkappa}\left|f_{\varkappa}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}
$$

where $H_{\varkappa}$ is the Hilbert transform in the direction (1, $-\alpha_{\varkappa}$ ) But as in [16] the existence of the Kakeya set prohibits such inequalities for $p \neq 2$ (unless further re strictions on the family of directions ( $1,-\alpha_{\varkappa}$ ) are made)

## 4 Weighted norm inequalities in higher dimensions

We deduce Theorem 13 from a weighted norm inequality; the procedure is analogous to Stein's proof of the Hörmander multiplier theorem (see [25, Ch IV]) Here, however, the positive operator which controls the problem is not the HardyLittlewood maximal operator but a multiple iteration of variants of Kakeya type maximal operators The main step of the argument is contained in Lemma 47; one proves a weighted inequality for a variant of Córdoba's sectorial square-function

For $i=1, \quad, d-1$ let $\mathcal{R}_{n_{i}}^{(i d)}$ be the family of all rectangles with the dimensions $1 \times 2^{n_{i}}$, centered at the origin in the $x_{i}-x_{d}$ plane and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{n_{i}}^{(i d)}$ be the family of all parallelograms of the form $\left\{\left(x_{i}, x_{d}\right) ;\left(2^{k_{i}} x_{i}, 2^{k_{d}} x_{d}\right) \in R_{0}\right\}$ where $R_{0} \in \mathcal{R}_{n_{i}}^{(i d)}$ and $k_{i}, k_{d}$ are integers Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n_{i}}^{(i d)} \omega\left(x_{1}, \quad, x_{d}\right) \\
& \quad=\sup _{R \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{n_{i}}^{(i, d)}} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R}\left|\omega\left(x_{1}, \quad, x_{i-1}, x_{i}-y_{i}, x_{i+1}, \quad, x_{d-1}, x_{d}-y_{d}\right)\right| d y_{i} d y_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

$M_{n_{i}}^{(i d)}$ is a variant of the Kakeya maximal function, invariant under the dilations $\left(x_{i}, x_{d}\right) \mapsto\left(2^{k_{i}} x_{i}, 2^{k_{d}} x_{d}\right)$ The proof of the $L^{2}$ estimate in [10] can be easily modified to yield

$$
\left\|M_{n_{i}}^{(i d)} \omega\right\|_{2} \leq C n_{i}\|\omega\|_{2}
$$

for a more singular variant see also [11]
Next, for $n=\left(n_{1}, \quad, n_{d-1}\right)$ define

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n}=M_{n_{d-1}}^{(d-1 d)} \circ M_{n_{d-2}}^{(d-2 d)} \circ \quad \circ M_{n_{1}}^{(1 d)}
$$

and, for $N \in N$, let $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{N}=\mathcal{M}_{n} \circ \circ \mathcal{M}_{n}$ be the $N$ fold application of the operator $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ Finally, if $M_{(i)}$ denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator with respect to the variable $x_{i}$ let

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n}^{N}=M_{(1)} \circ \quad \circ M_{(d)} \circ \mathcal{M}_{n}^{N} \circ M_{(1)} \circ \quad \circ M_{(d)}
$$

Theorem 41 Let $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d-1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d-1)} g_{ \pm}\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} \leq B_{\gamma}<\infty \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m$ be the homogeneous extension of $g_{ \pm}$and define $T$ by $\widehat{T f}(\xi)=m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \quad$ Let $0<\varepsilon<\gamma-\frac{1}{2}$, let $N(\varepsilon)$ be the smallest positive integer $>3+2 / \varepsilon$ and define $\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon} \omega=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d-1}} 2^{-\varepsilon\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n}^{N(\varepsilon)} \omega
$$

Then for $s>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int|T f(x)|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leq C_{\varepsilon} B_{\gamma} \int|f(x)|^{2}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}\left[\omega^{s}\right]\right)^{1 / s} d x \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 15 Since the operator $\omega \mapsto\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}\left(|\omega|^{s}\right)\right)^{1 / s}$ is bounded on $L^{q}, q>2 s /(1-\varepsilon)$, the weighted norm inequality (42) and duality imply under the
assumption (41) that $T$ is bounded on $L^{p}$, for $2 \leq p \leq 4$ The general result of The orem 15 follows then by interpolation, using the technique in [9]

Before we prove Theorem 41 we recall a few facts about vector-valued weighted norm inequalities First if $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{K}$ is a convolution kernel in $\mathbf{R}$, with values in the space $\mathcal{B}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ of bounded operators, then $\mathcal{K}$ is called a regular singular integral operator if

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(\xi)|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H_{1} H_{2}\right)} \leq C \\
|\mathcal{K}(x)|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H_{1} H_{2}\right)} \leq C|x|^{-1} \\
|\mathcal{K}(x-y)-\mathcal{K}(x)|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H_{1} H_{2}\right)} \leq C|y|^{\delta}|x|^{-1-\delta}, \quad|x|>2|y|>0
\end{aligned}
$$

here $0<\delta \leq 1$ is fixed By a vector valued version of a theorem of Córdoba and Fefferman ([13], see also [18, Ch IV 3]) there is an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int|\mathcal{K} * f(x)|_{H_{2}}^{p} \omega(x) d x \leq C_{\sigma p} \int|f(x)|_{H_{1}}^{p}\left(M\left(|\omega|^{\sigma}\right)\right)^{1 / \sigma}(x) d x \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<p<\infty, \sigma>1$
Littlewood-Paley functions can be associated with regular singular integral operators Let $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2\right)$ then it is straightforward to check that the operator $\left\{f_{x}\right\}_{x \in \mathbf{Z}} \mapsto \sum \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\beta\left(2^{\varkappa}| |\right) \mathcal{F} f\right]$ is a $\mathcal{B}\left(l^{2}, \mathbf{R}\right)$-valued regular singular integral operator Likewise the adjoint operator $f \mapsto\left\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\beta\left(2^{x}| |\right) \mathcal{F} f\right]\right\}_{\varkappa \in \mathbf{Z}}$ is a $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}, l^{2}\right)$-valued regular singular integral operator Here $l^{2}$ may refer to a space of sequences with values in a Hilbert space

Next let $k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}$ and denote by $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ be the standard Littlewood-Paley operator with multiplier $\prod_{i=1}^{d} \beta\left(2^{k_{i}}\left|\xi_{i}\right|\right)$ Then a repeated application of (43) yields

Lemma 42 For $1<p<\infty, s>1$ we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} \mathcal{L}_{k} f_{k}\right|^{p} \omega(x) d x \leq C_{s p} \int\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left|f_{k}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}\left(M_{(1)^{\circ}} \quad \circ M_{(d)}\left[\omega^{s}\right]\right)^{1 / s}(x) d x \\
\int\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left|\mathcal{L}_{k} f\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} \omega(x) d x \leq C_{s p} \int|f(x)|^{p}\left(M_{(1)} \circ \circ \circ M_{(d)}\left[\omega^{s}\right]\right)^{1 / s}(x) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

We need also the following pointwise estimate concerning a square-function involving translates of a fixed Schwartz-function $\eta$ It implies $L^{p}$ boundedness for $p>2$, a result which is due to Carleson A proof of the pointwise estimate can be found in [24], see also [12], [18]

Lemma 43 Let $\eta$ be a Schwartz function in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ and let $A \in G L(d, \mathbf{R})$ Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta(A-k) \mathcal{F} f](x)\right]\left.\right|^{2} \leq C_{N} \int \frac{\left|f\left(x-A^{t} y\right)\right|^{2}}{(1+|y|)^{N}} d y \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof of Theorem 41

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $m$ is supported in $\left\{\xi_{;} \xi_{i} \geq 0\right.$, $i=1, \quad, d\} \quad$ Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\xi)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} \beta\left(\xi_{i}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

we decompose

$$
m(\xi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} m_{k}\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}, \quad, 2^{k_{d}} \xi_{d}\right)
$$

where

$$
m_{k}(\xi)=\phi(\xi) g_{k}\left(\xi_{1} / \xi_{d}, \quad, \xi_{d-1} / \xi_{d}\right)
$$

and $g_{k}$ has compact support in $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2\right)^{d-1}$ Note that $g_{k}=g_{k}$ if $k_{i}-k_{d}=k_{i}^{\prime}-k_{d}^{\prime}$, $i=1, \quad, d-1$ We introduce a further decomposition using the dyadic smooth cutoff functions $\psi_{n}=\psi_{n_{1}} \otimes \otimes \psi_{n_{d-1}}$ (cf the second definition of the space $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{q}$ in the introduction) We decompose

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}(\xi)=\sum_{n \in\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)^{d-1}} \phi(\xi) g_{k} * \widehat{\psi_{n}}\left(\xi_{1} / \xi_{d}, \quad, \xi_{d-1} / \xi_{d}\right)=\sum_{n \in\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)^{d-1}} \phi(\xi) m_{k}^{n}(\xi) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k} * \widehat{\psi_{n}}=g_{k}^{n} * \widehat{\psi_{n}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{k}^{n}=g_{k} * \widehat{\tilde{\psi}_{n}}
$$

and $\widetilde{\psi}_{n}=\widetilde{\psi}_{n_{1}} \otimes \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{n_{d-1}}$ is similarly defined as $\psi_{n}$ (say, with $\widetilde{\psi}_{n_{i}}$ supported in $\pm\left[2^{n_{i}-2}, 2^{n_{i}+2}\right]$, equal to 1 in supp $\psi_{n_{i}}$ ) Let us note in passing that in view of the support properties of the Fourier transform of $g_{k}^{n}$ we have the following version of Sobolev's imbedding theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s_{d_{1}+1}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\left(, s_{d_{d-1}+1}, \quad, s_{d-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)} \leq C 2^{\left(n_{d_{1}+1}++n_{d-1}\right) / p}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

see the argument in [27, pr 18]
Let $T_{k}^{n}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T_{k}^{n}} f(\xi)=\left[\phi m_{k}^{n}\right]\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}, \quad, 2^{k_{d}} \xi_{d}\right) \hat{f}(\xi) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<\varepsilon^{\prime}<\varepsilon$, say $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon / 2$ An application of Lemma 42 shows that it suffices to prove the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} \int\left|T_{k}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x  \tag{410}\\
\leq & C_{N} 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(1 / 2+\varepsilon)}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} \int\left|\mathcal{L}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} \mathcal{M}_{n}^{N} \omega(x) d x, \quad N>2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to avoid complicated notation we shall assume $d=3$ in what follows This case is entirely typical of the general situation in higher dimensions

In order to use the homogeneity of the multipliers we have to introduce finer decompositions of $g_{n}^{k}$ For $\nu_{1}=2^{n_{1}-3}, 2^{n_{1}-3}+1, \quad, 2^{n_{2}+3}$ and $\nu_{2}=2^{n_{2}-3}, 2^{n_{2}-3}+1$, , $2^{n_{2}+3}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\nu}=\left(u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}, u_{\nu_{2}}^{2}\right)=\left(2^{-n_{1}} \nu_{1}, 2^{-n_{2}} \nu_{2}\right) \tag{411}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
I_{\nu}=I_{\nu_{1}}^{1} \times I_{\nu_{2}}^{2}=\left[u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}-2^{-n_{1}-1}, u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}+2^{-n_{1}-1}\right] \times\left[u_{\nu_{2}}^{2}-2^{-n_{2}-1}, u_{\nu_{2}}^{2}+2^{-n_{2}-1}\right]
$$

Furthermore let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{c} I^{1}=\mathbf{R} \backslash \bigcup_{\nu_{1}=2^{n_{1}-3}}^{2^{n_{1}+3}} I_{\nu_{1}}^{1}=\mathbf{R} \backslash\left[\frac{1}{8}-2^{-n_{1}-1}, 8+2^{-n_{1}-1}\right], \\
& { }^{c} I^{2}=\mathbf{R} \backslash \bigcup_{\nu_{2}=2^{n_{2}-3}}^{2^{n_{2}+3}} I_{\nu_{2}}^{2}=\mathbf{R} \backslash\left[\frac{1}{8}-2^{-n_{2}-1}, 8+2^{-n_{2}-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{k \nu}^{n}(s) & =\int_{I_{\nu}} g_{k}^{n}(u) \widehat{\psi_{n}}(s-u) d u \\
g_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1}(s) & =\int_{I_{\nu_{1} \times I^{2}}} g_{k}^{n}(u) \widehat{\psi_{n}}(s-u) d u  \tag{412}\\
g_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2}(s) & =\int_{c I^{1} \times I_{\nu_{2}}^{2}} g_{k}^{n}(u) \widehat{\psi_{n}}(s-u) d u \\
\varrho_{k}^{n}(s) & =\int_{c^{1} \times I^{2}} g_{k}^{n}(u) \widehat{\psi_{n}}(s-u) d u
\end{align*}
$$

we split

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}^{n}=\sum_{\nu_{1}=2^{n_{1}-3}}^{2^{n_{1}+3}} \sum_{\nu_{2}=2^{n_{2}-3}}^{2^{n_{2}+3}} T_{k \nu}^{n}+\sum_{\nu_{1}=2^{n_{1}-3}}^{2^{n_{1}+3}} T_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1}+\sum_{\nu_{2}=2^{n_{2}-3}}^{2^{n_{2}+3}} T_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2}+T_{k}^{n 0} \tag{413}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{T_{k \nu}^{n}} f(\xi)=g_{k \nu}^{n}\left(2^{k_{1}-k_{3}} \xi_{1} / \xi_{3}, 2^{k_{2}-k_{3}} \xi_{2} / \xi_{3}\right) \phi\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}, 2^{k_{2}} \xi_{2}, 2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}\right) \hat{f}(\xi), \\
& \widehat{T_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1} f}(\xi)=g_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1}\left(2^{k_{1}-k_{3}} \xi_{1} / \xi_{3}, 2^{k_{2}-k_{3}} \xi_{2} / \xi_{3}\right) \phi\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}, 2^{k_{2}} \xi_{2}, 2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}\right) \hat{f}(\xi), \\
& \widehat{T_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2} f}(\xi)=g_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2}\left(2^{k_{1}-k_{3}} \xi_{1} / \xi_{3}, 2^{k_{2}-k_{3}} \xi_{2} / \xi_{3}\right) \phi\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}, 2^{k_{2}} \xi_{2}, 2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}\right) \hat{f}(\xi),  \tag{414}\\
& \widehat{T_{k}^{n 0}} f(\xi)=\varrho_{k}^{n}\left(2^{k_{1}-k_{3}} \xi_{1} / \xi_{3}, 2^{k_{2}-k_{3}} \xi_{2} / \xi_{3}\right) \phi\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}, 2^{k_{2}} \xi_{2}, 2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
\end{align*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{k \nu}^{n} & =\sup _{u \in I_{\nu}}\left|g_{n}^{k}(u)\right|, \\
b_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1} & =\sup _{u \in I_{\nu_{1}}^{1} \times I^{2}}\left|g_{n}^{k}(u)\right|, \\
b_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2} & =\sup _{u \in c^{\prime} \times I_{\nu_{2}}^{2}}\left|g_{n}^{k}(u)\right|,  \tag{415}\\
b_{k}^{n} & =\sup _{u \in C^{2} \times{ }^{c} I^{2}}\left|g_{n}^{k}(u)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Since the Fourier transform of $g_{k}^{n}$ is supported in $\left[-2^{n_{1}+3}, 2^{n_{1}+3}\right] \times\left[-2^{n_{2}+3}, 2^{n_{2}+3}\right]$, suitable variants of the Plancherel-Polya theorem (see [27, p 19]) and the Sobolev embedding theorem imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{\nu_{1}=2^{n_{1}-3}}^{2^{n_{1}+3}} \sum_{\nu_{2}=2^{n_{2}-3}}^{2^{n_{2}+3}}\left[b_{k \nu}^{n}\right]^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \leq C_{r} 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) / r}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{r}, \quad 0<r \leq \infty \tag{416}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the appropriate interpretation for $r=\infty$; moreover we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sum_{\nu_{1}=2^{n_{1}-3}}^{2^{n_{1}+3}}\left[b_{k \nu_{1}}^{n}\right]^{r}\right)^{1 / r} & \leq C 2^{n_{1} / r} \sup _{s_{2}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\left(, s_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{r}(\mathbf{R})} \\
& \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) / r}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

and a similar statement with the $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ variables interchanged Also by (48) $b_{k}^{n}$ is bounded by $C 2^{\left(n_{2}+n_{2}\right) / r}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{r}$

We need pointwise estimates for the convolution kernels $K_{k \nu}^{n}, K_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1}, K_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2}, K_{k}^{n 0}$ of the operators $T_{k \nu}^{n}, T_{k \nu_{1}}^{n}, T_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2}, T_{k}^{n 0}$, respectively

Lemma 44 Let $e_{\nu}=\left(u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}, u_{\nu_{2}}^{2}, 1\right), e_{\nu_{1}}^{1}=\left(u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}, 0,1\right)$ and $e_{\nu 2}^{2}=\left(0, u_{\nu_{2}}^{2}, 1\right) \quad$ Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\nu N}^{n}(x) & =2^{-n_{1}-n_{2}}\left(1+\left|\left\langle e_{\nu}, x\right\rangle\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+2^{-n_{1}}\left|x_{1}\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+2^{-n_{2}}\left|x_{2}\right|\right)^{-N}, \\
W_{\nu_{1} N}^{n 1}(x) & =2^{-n_{1}}\left(1+\left|\left\langle e_{\nu_{1}}^{1}, x\right\rangle\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+2^{-n_{1}}\left|x_{1}\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+\left|x_{2}\right|\right)^{-N}, \\
W_{\nu_{2} N}^{n 2}(x) & =2^{-n_{2}}\left(1+\left|\left\langle e_{\nu_{2}}^{2}, x\right\rangle\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+\left|x_{1}\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+2^{-n_{2}}\left|x_{2}\right|\right)^{-N}, \\
W_{N}^{n 0}(x) & =\left(1+\left|x_{1}\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+\left|x_{2}\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+\left|x_{3}\right|\right)^{-N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
U_{k \nu N}^{n}(x)=2^{-k_{1}-k_{2}-k_{3}} W_{k \nu}^{n}\left(2^{-k_{1}} x_{1}, 2^{-k_{2}} x_{2}, 2^{-k_{3}} x_{3}\right)
$$

and similarly define $U_{k \nu_{1} N}^{n 1}, U_{k \nu_{2} N}^{n 2}, U_{k N}^{n 0} \quad$ Then

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\partial_{x}^{\gamma} K_{k \nu}^{n}(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma N} b_{k \nu}^{n} 2^{-k_{1} \gamma_{1}-k_{2} \gamma_{2}-k_{3} \gamma_{3}} U_{k \nu N}^{n}(x), \\
&\left|\partial_{x}^{\gamma} K_{k \nu_{1}}^{n}(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma N} b_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1} 2^{-n_{2} N_{2}} 2^{-k_{1} \gamma_{1}-k_{2} \gamma_{2}-k_{3} \gamma_{3}} U_{k \nu_{1} N}^{n 1}(x),  \tag{418}\\
&\left|\partial_{x}^{\gamma} K_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2}(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma N} b_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2} 2^{-n_{1} N_{2}} 2^{-k_{1} \gamma_{1}-k_{2} \gamma_{2}-k_{3} \gamma_{3}} U_{k \nu_{2} N}^{n}(x), \\
&\left|\partial_{x}^{\gamma} K_{k}^{n}(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma N} b_{k}^{n} 2^{-n_{1} N_{2}} 2^{-n_{2} N_{2}} 2^{-k_{1} \gamma_{2}-k_{2} \gamma_{2}-k_{3} \gamma_{3}} U_{k N}^{n 0}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof First consider $K_{k \nu}^{n}$ Using the homogeneity of the multiplier and the decay properties of $\widehat{\psi_{n}}$ we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\partial_{\xi_{1}}^{N_{1}} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{N_{2}}\left(e_{\nu}, \nabla_{\xi}\right\rangle^{N_{3}}\left[\phi(\xi) \int_{I_{\nu}} g_{k}^{n}(u) \widehat{\psi_{n}}\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{3}}-u_{1}, \frac{\xi_{2}}{\xi_{3}}-u_{2}\right) d u\right]\right|  \tag{419}\\
& \leq C\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, M\right) b_{k \nu}^{n} \frac{2^{N_{1} n_{1}}}{\left(1+2^{n_{1}}\left|\xi_{1} / \xi_{3}-u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}\right|\right)^{M}} \frac{2^{N_{2} n_{2}}}{\left(1+2^{n_{2}}\left|\xi_{2} / \xi_{3}-u_{\nu_{2}}^{2}\right|\right)^{M}}
\end{align*}
$$

Using integration by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}}\left|K_{k \nu}^{n}\left(2^{k_{1}} x_{1}, 2^{k_{2}} x_{2}, 2^{k_{3}} x_{3}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C_{N M} \int_{[1 / 22]^{3}}\left(1+2^{n_{1}}\left|\xi_{1} / \xi_{3}-u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}\right|\right)^{-M}\left(1+2^{n_{2}}\left|\xi_{2} / \xi_{3}-u_{\nu_{2}}^{2}\right|\right)^{-M} d \xi 2^{n_{1}+n_{2}} W_{\nu N}^{n}(x) \\
& \quad \leq C_{N} W_{\nu N}^{n}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the compact support of $\phi$ we get the same estimates for the derivatives of the left hand side and the desired estimates for $K_{k \nu}^{n}$ and its derivatives follow

The estimate for $K_{k}^{n 0}$ has nothing to do with homogeneity: By the decay properties of $\widehat{\psi_{\boldsymbol{n}}}$ we have

$$
\left|\partial_{s_{1}}^{\gamma_{1}} \partial_{s_{2}}^{\gamma_{2}} \varrho_{k}^{n}(s)\right| \leq C_{\gamma N} 2^{-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) N}\left(1+\left|s_{1}\right|\right)^{-N}\left(1+\left|s_{2}\right|\right)^{-N}
$$

and hence

$$
\left|\partial_{\xi_{1}}^{\gamma_{1}} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{\gamma_{2}} \partial_{\xi_{3}}^{\gamma_{3}}\left[\phi(\xi) \varrho_{k}^{n}\left(\xi_{1} / \xi_{3}, \xi_{2} / \xi_{3}\right)\right]\right| \leq C_{\gamma N} 2^{-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) N}
$$

The desired estimate for $K_{k}^{n 0}$ follows by integration by parts In the proof of the estimate for $K_{k}^{n 1}$ we replace (419) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\partial_{\xi_{1}}^{N_{1}} \partial_{\xi_{2}}^{N_{2}}\left\langle e_{\nu}^{1}, \nabla_{\xi}\right\rangle^{N_{3}}\left[\phi(\xi) g_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1}\left(\xi_{1} / \xi_{3}, \xi_{2} / \xi_{3}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \leq C\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, M\right) b_{k \nu}^{n} \frac{2^{N_{1} n_{1}} 2^{\left(N_{2}+N_{3}-M\right) n_{2}}}{\left(1+2^{n_{1}}\left|\xi_{1} / \xi_{3}-u_{\nu_{1}}^{1}\right|\right)^{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and argue as above
In what follows we shall denote by $\widetilde{\beta}$ a function which is similar to $\beta$ but equals 1 on the support of $\beta$ Next let $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ be supported in $\left(-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$ such that $\sum_{x \in Z} \chi^{2}(-x) \equiv 1$ Again let $\widetilde{\chi} \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ be defined similarly to $\chi$ but equal to 1 on the support of $\chi$ We define the operator $A_{k \nu}^{n}$ by

$$
\widehat{A_{k \nu}^{n} f}(\xi)=\chi\left(2^{n_{1}}\left(2^{k_{1}} \xi_{1}-u_{\nu_{1}}^{1} 2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}\right)\right) \chi\left(2^{n_{2}}\left(2^{k_{2}} \xi_{2}-u_{\nu_{2}}^{2} 2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}\right)\right) \widetilde{\beta}^{2}\left(2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Lemma 45 There is a weighted norm inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \sum_{k}\left|T_{k}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leq C 2^{n_{1}+n_{2}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \int \sum_{k \nu}\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(y)\right|^{2} \mathcal{M}_{n} \omega(y) d y \tag{420}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Set $S_{k \nu \mu}^{n}=T_{k \mu}^{n} A_{k \nu}^{n}, \quad S_{k \nu \mu_{1}}^{n 1}=T_{k \mu_{1}}^{n 1} A_{k \nu}^{n}, \quad S_{k \nu \mu_{2}}^{n 2}=T_{k \mu_{2}}^{n 2} A_{k \nu}^{n} \quad$ and $\quad S_{k \nu}^{n 0}=$ $T_{k}^{n 0} A_{k \nu}^{n}$ Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f=\sum_{\nu}\left[\sum_{\mu} S_{k \nu \mu}^{n}+\sum_{\mu_{1}} S_{k \nu \mu_{1}}^{n 1}+\sum_{\mu_{2}} S_{k \nu \mu_{2}}^{n 2}+S_{k \nu}^{n 0}\right] A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H_{k \nu \mu}^{n}, H_{k \nu \mu_{1}}^{n 1}, H_{k \nu \mu_{2}}^{n 2}$ and $H_{k \nu}^{n 0}$ be the convolution kernels of the operators $S_{k \nu \mu}^{n}$, $S_{k \nu \mu_{1}}^{n 1}, S_{k \nu \mu_{2}}^{n 2}$ and $S_{k \nu}^{n 0}$, respectively Fix $N$ (say equal to 100 ) and let $U_{k \nu}^{n} \equiv U_{k \nu}^{n} 100$ etc The proof of Lemma 44 shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|H_{k \nu \mu}^{n}(x)\right| \leq C \frac{b_{k \mu}^{n}}{\left(1+\left|\mu_{1}-\nu_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1+\left|\mu_{2}-\nu_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x), \\
&\left|H_{k \nu \mu_{1}}^{n 1}(x)\right| \leq C_{N} 2^{-n_{2} N} \frac{b_{k \mu_{1}}^{n 1}}{\left(1+\left|\mu_{1}-\nu_{1}\right|^{2}\right)} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x) \\
&\left|H_{k \nu \mu_{2}}^{n 2}(x)\right| \leq C_{N} 2^{-n_{2} N} \frac{b_{k \mu_{2}}^{n 2}}{\left(1+\left|\mu_{2}-\nu_{2}\right|^{2}\right)} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x) \\
&\left|H_{k \nu}^{n 0}(x)\right| \leq C_{N} 2^{-n_{1} N} 2^{-n_{2} N} b_{k}^{n} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that $\left\|U_{k \nu}^{n}\right\|_{1} \leq C$ is bounded uniformly in $\nu, n, k$ Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} S_{k \nu \mu}^{n} A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(x)\right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} \frac{\left|b_{k \mu}^{n}\right|}{\left(1+\left|\mu_{1}-\nu_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1+\left|\mu_{2}-\nu_{2}\right|\right)^{2}}\left(\int U_{k \nu}^{n}(x-z) d z\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\int\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(y)\right|^{2} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x-y) d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{\nu}\left|\sum_{\mu} \frac{\left|b_{k \mu}^{n}\right|}{\left(1+\left|\mu_{1}-\nu_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1+\left|\mu_{2}-\nu_{2}\right|\right)^{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{\nu} \int\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(y)\right|^{2} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x-y) d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{\mu}\left|b_{k \mu}^{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{\nu} \int\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(y)\right|^{2} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x-y) d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C 2^{n_{1}+n_{2}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{2}\left(\sum_{\nu} \int\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(y)\right|^{2} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x-y) d y\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the last inequality we have used (416) Using also (417) we derive the same inequality for the other three remaining terms in (421) and obtain (422)

$$
\left(\sum_{k}\left|T_{k}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) / 2}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{2}\left(\int \sum_{k \nu}\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(y)\right|^{2} U_{k \nu}^{n}(x-y) d y\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Finally there is the pointwise estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \nu} U_{k \nu}^{n} *|\omega|(x) \leq C \mathcal{M}_{n} \omega(x) \tag{423}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (422) and (423) imply (4 20)
Proposition 46 There is the weighted norm inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \nu} \int\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x  \tag{424}\\
& \leq C_{N_{0}} 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) 2 \varepsilon^{\prime}} \sum_{k} \int\left|f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \mathcal{M}_{n}^{N_{0}} \omega(x) d x, \quad N_{0}>2+1 / \varepsilon^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof It is convenient to introduce a decomposition in the $\xi_{3}$-variable which will give the factor of $2^{2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)}$ We define for $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}$ operators $V_{k \lambda}^{n \epsilon}$ by

$$
\widehat{V_{k \lambda}^{n \varepsilon^{\prime}} f}(\xi)=\chi^{2}\left(2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}-2^{n_{1} \varepsilon} \lambda_{1}\right) \chi^{2}\left(2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}-2^{n_{2} \varepsilon} \lambda_{2}\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Observe that $A_{k \nu}^{n}$ is a sum of no more then $O\left(2^{\varepsilon\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)}\right)$ operators $V_{\lambda}^{n \varepsilon} A_{k \nu}^{n}$ where $2^{n_{1} \varepsilon} \lambda_{1} \in\left(\frac{1}{20}, 20\right)$ and $2^{n_{2} \varepsilon} \lambda_{2} \in\left(\frac{1}{20}, 20\right)$ Therefore it suffices to show that for those $\lambda$ the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \nu} \int\left|V_{k \lambda}^{n \varepsilon} A_{k \nu}^{n} f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x  \tag{425}\\
& \leq C_{N_{0}} \sum_{k} \int\left|f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \mathcal{M}_{n}^{N_{0}} \omega(x) d x, \quad N_{0}>2+1 / \varepsilon^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

holds In order to show (425) we first prove an inequality for an analogous problem in two dimensions

Lemma 47 Let $\delta \ll 1$ and let $m, \mu, \varrho$ be integers such that $m>0,2^{-m} \mu \in$ $\left(\frac{1}{20}, 20\right)$ and $2^{-m \delta} \varrho \in\left(\frac{1}{20}, 20\right)$ Let $B_{\mu}^{m}, C_{\rho}^{m \delta}$ be the operators acting on functions in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{B_{\mu}^{m} f}(\xi) & =\chi\left(2^{m}\left(\xi_{1}-2^{-m} \mu \xi_{2}\right)\right) \hat{f}(\xi) \\
\widehat{C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} f}(\xi) & =\chi^{2}\left(2^{m \delta}\left(\xi_{2}-2^{-m \delta} \varrho\right)\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l \leq \max \{1, m \delta\} \quad$ Then

$$
\sum_{\mu} \int\left|B_{\mu}^{m} C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leq C \sum_{\mu} \int\left|B_{\mu^{\prime}}^{m-l} C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} f(x)\right|^{2} M_{m}^{(12)} \omega(x) d x
$$

## Proof Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu \varrho} & =\left\{\xi ;\left|\xi_{1}-2^{-m} \mu \xi_{2}\right| \leq 2^{-m+1} ;\left|\xi_{2}-2^{-l} \varrho\right| \leq 2^{-l+1}\right\} \\
\widetilde{R}_{\mu \varrho} & =\left\{\xi ;\left|\xi_{1}-2^{-m} \mu \xi_{2}\right| \leq 2^{-m+2} ;\left|\xi_{2}-2^{-l} \varrho\right| \leq 2^{-l+4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\xi^{\prime} \in R_{\mu} \underset{\sim}{\rho}$ and suppose that $\left|\mu-\mu^{\prime}\right| \leq 2^{-l+2}$ Let $a_{\mu-\mu}=\left(2^{-m}\left(\mu-\mu^{\prime}\right), 0\right)$ Then $\xi^{\prime}-a_{\mu-\mu} \in \widetilde{R}_{\mu \varrho}$ Thus

$$
R_{\mu \varrho} \subset a_{\mu-\mu}+\widetilde{R}_{\mu \varrho}
$$

Define

$$
\widehat{\Gamma_{\mu \mu}^{m l} f}(\xi)=\chi\left(2^{m-10}\left(\xi_{1}-2^{-m}\left(\mu-\mu^{\prime}\right)-2^{-m} \mu \xi_{2}\right)\right) \widetilde{\chi}\left(2^{m \delta}\left(\xi_{2}-2^{-m \delta} \varrho\right)\right) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

and define $\widetilde{C}_{e}^{m \delta}$ similarly as $C_{\varrho}^{m \delta}$ (with $\chi$ replaced by $\tilde{\chi}$ ) Then

$$
\sum_{\mu}\left|B_{\mu}^{m} C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} f(x)\right|^{2} \leq C \sum_{\mu^{\prime}} \sum_{\mu}\left|\widetilde{C}_{\varrho}^{m \delta} B_{\mu}^{m} \Gamma_{\mu \mu \varrho}^{m l} C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} B_{\mu^{\prime}}^{m-l} f(x)\right|^{2}
$$

An integration by parts argument shows that the convolution kernel of $\widetilde{C}_{\varrho}^{m \delta} B_{\mu}^{m}$ is bounded by $C_{N}$ times

$$
w_{\mu N}^{m, \delta}(x)=\frac{2^{m}}{\left(1+2^{m}\left|\left\langle x, e_{\mu}\right\rangle\right|\right)^{N}} \frac{2^{m \delta}}{\left(1+2^{m \delta}\left|\left\langle x, e_{\mu}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right|\right)^{N}}
$$

if $e_{\mu}=\left(1,-2^{-m} \mu\right), e_{\mu}^{\perp}=\left(2^{-m} \mu, 1\right)$ and if $2^{-m} \mu \approx 1$ Now the argument which led to (422) and Lemma 43 show that for fixed $\mu^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\mu-\mu| \leq l} \int\left|\widetilde{C}_{\varrho}^{m \delta} B_{\mu}^{m} \Gamma_{\mu \mu^{\prime} \varrho}^{m l} C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} B_{\mu^{\prime}}^{m-l} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \\
& \leq C_{N} \sum_{|\mu-\mu| \leq l} \int\left|\Gamma_{\mu \mu}^{m l} C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} B_{\mu^{\prime}}^{m-l} f(x)\right|^{2} w_{\mu \varrho}^{m, \delta} *|\omega|(x) d x \\
& \leq C_{N} \int\left|C_{\varrho}^{m \delta} B_{\mu^{\prime}}^{m-l} f(x)\right|^{2} \sup _{\left|\mu-\mu^{\prime}\right| \leq l} w_{\mu \varrho}^{m, \delta} * w_{\mu \varrho}^{m, \delta} *|\omega|(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The asserted inequality is an immediate consequence
We now conclude the proof of Proposition 46 First, since the maximal oper ator $M_{m}^{(12)}$ is invariant under two-parameter dilations there is a scaled variant of Lemma 47 Also we can apply Lemma 47 twice, in the $x_{1}-x_{3}$ and in the $x_{2}-x_{3}$ plane; the same applies to the scaled variant We obtain the inequality

$$
\sum_{k \nu} \int\left|V_{k \lambda}^{n \varepsilon^{\prime}} A_{k \nu}^{n} f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leq C \sum_{k \nu^{\prime}} \int\left|V_{k \lambda}^{n \varepsilon^{\prime}} A_{k \nu}^{n-l} f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \mathcal{M}_{n} \omega(x) d x
$$

if $l=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ and $l_{1} \leq n_{1} \varepsilon^{\prime}, l_{2} \leq n_{2} \varepsilon^{\prime} \quad$ We iterate and apply this inequality $N$ times; here $N \leq 1+1 / \varepsilon^{\prime}$ The result is an estimate of the left hand side of (425) by an expression involving a scaled version of the square-function in Lemma 43 (with $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(2^{k_{1}}, 2^{k_{2}}\right)$ ) Namely if $\Gamma_{k \nu \lambda}^{n \delta}$ is defined by

$$
\widehat{\Gamma_{k \nu \lambda}^{n \delta} f}(\xi)=\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left[\chi^{2}\left(2^{m \delta}\left(2^{k_{3}} \xi_{3}-2^{-m \delta} \lambda_{i}\right)\right) \chi^{2}\left(2^{m \delta}\left(2^{k_{i}} \xi_{i}-2^{-m \delta} \nu_{i}\right)\right)\right] \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \nu} \int\left|V_{k \lambda}^{n \varepsilon} A_{k \nu}^{n} f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leq C_{N} \sum_{k \nu \lambda} \int\left|\Gamma_{k \nu \lambda}^{n \varepsilon^{\prime}} f_{k}(x)\right|^{2} \mathcal{M}_{n}^{N} \omega(x) d x, \\
& N \geq 1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which (425) follows by an application of Lemma 43
The asserted weighted norm inequality ( 410 ) now follows by an application of Lemma 45 and Proposition 46 This concludes the proof of Theorem 41

Remark The weighted inequality in Proposition 36 implies

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{k \nu}\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{4} \leq C_{\varepsilon} 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) \varepsilon}\|f\|_{4}
$$

with $C_{\varepsilon}=O\left(A^{1 / \varepsilon}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, some $A>1$ The geometrical arguments by Córdoba [12] show that in fact $C_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\varepsilon^{-a}\right)$ for some $a>0$ It would be interesting to find positive operators $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$, being uniformly bounded on $L^{2}$ such that

$$
\sum_{k \nu} \int\left|A_{k \nu}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} f(x)\right|^{2} \omega(x) d x \leq C \varepsilon^{-2 a} 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) 2 \varepsilon} \int|f(x)|^{2} \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}[\omega](x) d x
$$

An analogous problem is to find weighted norm inequalities for radial multipliers and associated maximal functions in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, with a positive operator $\mathcal{N}$ In this context weighted inequalities with a nonpositive $\mathcal{N}$ have been proved in [1]

## $5 H^{p}$-estimates

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 17 The proof relies on a result on multiparameter Calderón-Zygmund theory obtained by the authors in [4] (extending earlier results by Journé [20] and Fefferman [17]) There it is shown for a large class of singular integral operators $T$ that the boundedness of $T$ on certain scalar and vector-valued rectangle atoms implies the boundedness on $H^{p}$

To be precise let $R$ be an interval in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ (i e a rectangle parallel to the coordinate axes), and let $Q$ be a nonnegative integer In what follows, $Q$ will always be $\geq[1 / p-1]$ (the largest integer $\leq 1 / p-1$ ) Then $a$ is called a ( $p, Q, R$ ) rectangle atom if $a$ is supported in $R$, if

$$
\int_{R}|a(x)|^{2} d x \leq|R|^{1-2 / p}
$$

and if for $m=1, \quad, d$

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} a\left(x_{1}, \quad, x_{m}, x_{m+1}, \quad, x_{d}\right) x_{1}^{r_{1}} \quad x_{m}^{r_{m}} d x_{1} \quad d x_{m}=0, \quad r_{1}, \quad, r_{m}=0, \quad, Q
$$

for almost all ( $x_{m+1}, \quad, x_{d}$ ); furthermore assume that the analogous cancellation properties hold for all permutations of the variables $x_{1}, \quad, x_{d}$

Now let $\mathbf{R}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}$, and let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}$ Then we need the notion of an $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}\right)$-valued $(p, Q, I)$ rectangle atom This is simply a function $a$ supported on $I \times \mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}$ such that

$$
\iint\left|a\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime \prime} d x^{\prime} \leq|I|^{1-2 / p}
$$

and such that for $m=1, \quad, d_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{m}} a\left(x_{1}, \quad, x_{m}, x_{m+1}, \quad, x_{d_{1}+1}, \quad, x_{d}\right) x_{1}^{r_{1}} \quad x_{m}^{r_{m}} d x_{1} \quad d x_{m}=0 \\
r_{1}, \quad, r_{m}=0, \quad, Q
\end{aligned}
$$

for almost all $\left(x_{m+1},, x_{d}\right)$; furthermore assume that the analogous cancellation properties hold for all permutations of the variables $x_{1}, \quad, x_{d_{1}}$

Now let $T: C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow\left(C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right)^{\prime}$ be an operator with Schwartz kernel $K$, with the property that $K(x, y)$ is locally integrable in $\left\{(x, y) ; x_{i} \neq y_{i}, i=1, \quad, d\right\}$ Let $\Phi$ be a smooth bump function on $\mathbf{R}$ supported in $[1,4]$ such that $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(2^{-l} s\right)=1$ for $s \neq 0$ For $l=\left(l_{1}, \quad, l_{d_{1}}\right), 1 \leq d_{1} \leq d$, define the operator $T^{l}$ by

$$
T^{l} f(x)=\int K(x, y) \prod_{i=1}^{d_{1}} \Phi\left(2^{-l_{i}}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|\right) f(y) d y
$$

Theorem $51[4]$ Let $0<p \leq 1, s>d(d+1) / 2$ and $Q \geq[1 / p-1], M>2$ Suppose that
(1) $T$ is bounded on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with operator norm $\leq A$
(2) For all $d_{1} \in\{1,, d-1\}$, for all $L \in \mathbf{Z}^{d_{1}}$, for all intervals $I$ in $\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}$ with sidelengths $2^{L_{1}}, \quad, 2^{L_{d_{1}}}$, for all $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-d_{1}}\right)$ valued $(p, Q, I)$ rectangle atoms $a$ and for all $l=\left(l_{1}, \quad, l_{d_{1}}\right), l_{i}>1, i=1, \quad, d_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|T^{L+l} a\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right.} L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{2}}\right)\right) \leq A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} l_{i}\right)^{-s / p} \tag{5l}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) The condition analogous to (51) is valid for every permutation of the vari ables $x_{1}, \quad, x_{d}$
(4) For all $L \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}$, for all intervals $R$ in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ with sidelengths $2^{L_{1}},, 2^{L_{d}}$, for all $(p, Q, R)$ rectangle atoms $a$ and for all $l=\left(l_{1}, \quad, l_{d}\right), l_{i}>1, i=1, \quad, d-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{L+l} a\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} l_{i}\right)^{-s / p} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ extends to a bounded operator from the multiparameter Hardy space $H^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and the operator norm is bounded by $C A$ Here $C$ depends only on $p, d$ and $s$ If $T$ is translation invariant then $T$ is bounded on $H^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$

We now consider convolution operators $T$ given by Fourier multipliers $m$ via $\widehat{T f}(\xi)=m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \quad$ For $k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d_{1}}$ let $T_{k}$ be the operator with Fourier multiplier $m(\xi) \prod_{i=1}^{d_{1}} \beta\left(2^{k_{i}} \xi_{i}\right)$ Variants of the standard Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem on $H^{p}$-spaces follow from Theorem 51 and

Proposition 52 Suppose that $0<p \leq 1, \alpha>1 / p-\frac{1}{2}$ and let $Q, \varepsilon$ be such that $Q \geq[1 / p-1]$ and $0<2 \varepsilon<\min \left\{\alpha-1 / p+\frac{1}{2}, Q-1 / p+2,1\right\}$
(1) Suppose that $1 \leq d_{1} \leq d-1$ and
$\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d_{1}}} \sup _{\left(\xi_{d_{1}+1}\right.} \operatorname{\xi }_{\left.\xi_{d}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{d-d_{1}}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{\left(d_{1}\right)} m\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d_{1}}, \xi_{d_{i}+1}, \quad, \xi_{d}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right)}<\infty$
Then for all $L \in \mathbf{Z}^{d_{1}}$, for all intervals $I$ in $\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}$ with sidelengths $2^{L_{1}}$, , $2^{L_{d_{1}}}$, for all $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-d_{1}}\right)$ valued $(p, Q, I)$ rectangle atoms $a$, for all $l=\left(l_{1}, \quad, l_{d_{1}}\right), l_{i}>1, i=1, \quad, d_{1}$ and for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d_{1}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|\left(T_{k}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}\right.} L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-d_{1}}\right)\right) \leq C A \prod_{i=1}^{d_{1}} 2^{-\varepsilon\left(l_{i}+\left|k_{i}\right|\right)} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The inequality analogous to (54) holds for every permutation of the vari ables $x_{1}, \quad, x_{d}$
(3) Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{d}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d)} m\left(t_{1}, \quad, t_{d}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}<\infty \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $L \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}$, for all intervals $R$ in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ with sidelengths $2^{L_{1}},, 2^{L_{d}}$, for all $(p, Q, R)$ rectangle atoms a, for all $l=\left(l_{1}, \quad, l_{d}\right), l_{i}>1, i=1, \quad, d$, for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T_{k}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C A \prod_{i=1}^{d} 2^{-\varepsilon\left(l_{i}+\left|k_{i}\right|\right)} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (55) is valid then $m$ is bounded and (53) and the analogous conditions obtained by permuting variables are also satisfied In particular (5 5) implies that $T$ is bounded on the multiparameter Hardy space $H^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and the operator norm is bounded by CA

Proposition 52 is proved by standard arguments, see for example the proof of [4, Proposition 51$]$ The last conclusion of Proposition 52 follows of course by Theorem 51 The reader should note that the multipliers in Theorem 17 generally do not satisfy the assumption (55), even in the two-dimensional case

Proof of Theorem 17 We may clearly assume that $p \leq 1$ Again since characteristic functions of half spaces with boundaries parallel to the coordinate axes are Fourier multipliers of multiparameter Hardy spaces there is no loss of generality in assuming that $m$ is supported in $\left\{\xi ; \xi_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \quad, d\right\}$ We use the notation introduced in the proof of Theorem 41 Let $T_{k}^{n}$ be as in (49) and set $T^{n}=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} T_{k}^{n}$ We shall show that $T^{n}$ is bounded on $H^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with operator norm bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s} \sup _{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(2 / p-1)}\left(1+n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)^{(s+d) / p} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \in\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)^{d-1}} \sup _{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(2 / p-1)}\left(1+n_{1}+\quad+n_{d-1}\right)^{(s+d) / p} \\
\leq C_{\varepsilon} \sup _{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{p}} \quad \text { if } \alpha>2 / p-1
\end{aligned}
$$

the conclusion of Theorem 17 follows
We have to verify the hypotheses (51) and (52) of Theorem 51 for the operator $T^{n}$ The mixed norm inequalities are a straightforward consequence of Proposition 52 In order to see this let

$$
F_{h}(\xi)=h\left(\xi_{1} / \xi_{d}, \quad, \xi_{d-1} / \xi_{d}\right)
$$

where $h$ is compactly supported in $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]^{d-1}$ Then for $\alpha \geq 0$ one has the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\xi_{d}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d)} F_{h}\left(, \xi_{d}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} \leq C\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\xi_{1}}\left\|\beta_{(1)} \otimes \otimes \beta_{(d)} F_{h}\left(\xi_{1},\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\leq C\left\{\begin{array}{l}\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbf{R})}, \quad \text { if } d=2, \\ \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)}+\sup _{s_{1}} \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} \| \mathcal{D}_{2}^{\alpha} \quad \mathcal{D}_{k-1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{k}^{2 \alpha} \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^{\alpha} \\ \text { if } d \geq 3\end{array}\right.$ $\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{\alpha} h\left(s_{1},\right) \|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-2}\right)}$,

It is straightforward to verify (58) and (59) if $\alpha$ is a nonnegative even integer and the general case follows by analytic interpolation Note also that by a version of Sobolev's imbedding theorem $\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d-1}\right)$ if $p \leq 2$ and $\beta \geq \alpha+\left(1 / p-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ Using this and (58), (59) we see that (53) is verified for the case $d_{1}=d-1$ The other cases follow similarly An application of Proposition 52 implies (52)

The main work in the proof consists in the verification of (51) Assume that $a$ is a ( $p, Q, R$ ) rectangle atom and $R$ is an interval of dimensions $2^{L_{1}} \times \times 2^{L_{d}}$ Then we shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T_{k}^{n}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{p} \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right) N} \prod_{i=1}^{d} 2^{-\varepsilon\left(l_{i}+\left|k_{i}-L_{i}\right|\right)}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad N>2\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{510}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$ and also

$$
\left\|\left(T_{k}^{n}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{p} \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(2 / p-1)}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p}
$$

We shall use (511) only if $\max _{j}\left\{k_{j}-L_{j}\right\}, \max _{j}\left\{l_{j}\right\} \leq C_{p}\left(1+\sum_{i} n_{i}\right)$ where $C_{p}$ is a large fixed constant while ( 510 ) is a remainder estimate In fact applying the Sobolev inequality (48) with $d_{1}=0$ we see that (510) and (511) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left(T_{k}^{n}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{\substack{\max \left\{\left|k_{i}-L_{i}\right| i=1 \\
\varepsilon^{-1}(2 N+2 / p)\left(n_{1}+\\
d\right\} \\
+n_{d-1}\right)}} 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(N p+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} 2^{-\varepsilon p\left(l_{i}+\left|k_{i}-L_{i}\right|\right)}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right. \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{\max \left\{\left|k_{i}-L_{i}\right| i=1 \\
\varepsilon^{-1}(2 N+2 / p)\left(n_{1}+\\
+n_{d-1}\right)\right.}} \\
& \left.\quad \times \min \left\{2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(2-p)} ; 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(N p+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} 2^{-\varepsilon p\left(l_{i}+\left|k_{i}-L_{i}\right|\right)}\right\}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)(2 / p-1)} \frac{\left(1+n_{1}++n_{d-1}\right)^{(s+d) / p}}{\left(l_{1}++l_{d}\right)^{s / p}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that $T^{n}$ is bounded on $H^{p}$ with norm not exceeding (5 7)
The verification of (510) is easy Simply observe that

$$
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\gamma}\left[\phi(\xi) m_{k n}(\xi)\right]\right| \leq C_{\gamma} 2^{n_{1} \gamma_{1}+} \quad+n_{d-1} \gamma_{d-1}\left(2^{n_{1} \gamma_{d}}+\quad+2^{n_{d-1} \gamma_{d}}\right)
$$

and an application of Proposition 52 yields (510)

We now verify (511) and assume for convenience $d=3$ We show that (using the notation introduced in (415))

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(T_{k \nu}^{n}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{p} \leq C b_{k \nu}^{n} 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)(1 / p-1)}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p} \\
\left\|\left(T_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{p} \leq C_{N} b_{k \nu_{1}}^{n 1} 2^{n_{1}(1 / p-1)} 2^{-n_{2} N}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p}  \tag{513}\\
\left\|\left(T_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{p} \leq C_{N} b_{k \nu_{2}}^{n 2} 2^{-n_{1} N_{2} 2^{n_{2}(1 / p-1)}}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p}  \tag{514}\\
\left\|\left(T_{k}^{n 0}\right)^{L+l} a\right\|_{p} \leq C_{N} b_{k}^{n} 2^{-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) N}\left\|g_{k}^{n}\right\|_{p} \tag{515}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (416) and (417) with $r=p$ we see that (511) follows from (512-15) We shall only verify ( 512 ); the remaining cases are similar or simpler

We divide the rectangle $R$ (which has dimensions $2^{L_{1}} \times 2^{L_{2}} \times 2^{L_{3}}$ ) into $\prod_{i=1}^{3} \max \left\{1,2^{L_{i}-k_{i}}\right\}$ congruent intervals $R_{k}^{\mu}$ of dimensions

$$
\min \left\{2^{L_{1}}, 2^{k_{1}}\right\} \times \min \left\{2^{L_{2}}, 2^{k_{2}}\right\} \times \min \left\{2^{L_{3}}, 2^{k_{3}}\right\}
$$

and centers $y_{k}^{\mu}$ Let be $a_{k}^{\mu}=a \chi_{R_{k}^{\mu}}$ and let

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{L+l}=\left\{x ; 2^{L_{i}+l_{i}-2} \leq\left|x_{i}-\left(y_{k}^{\mu}\right)_{i}\right| \leq 2^{L_{i}+l_{i}+2}, i=1,2,3\right\}
$$

Then it is easy to check that if $y \in \operatorname{supp} a_{k}^{\mu}, x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{L+l}$ then for $U_{k \nu N}^{n}$ as in Lemma 44

$$
U_{k \nu N}^{n}(x-y) \approx U_{k \nu N}^{n}\left(x-y_{k}^{\mu}\right)
$$

and therefore by Lemma 44

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(K_{k \nu}^{n} \Phi_{L+l}\right) * a\right\|_{p} \leq C\left(\sum_{\mu}\left\|\left(K_{k \nu}^{n} \Phi_{L+l}\right) * a_{k}^{\mu}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{516}\\
& \leq C_{N} b_{k \nu}^{n}\left(\sum_{\mu} \int\left[\int U_{k \nu N}^{n}(x-y)\left|\Phi_{L+l}(x-y) \| a_{k}^{\mu}(y)\right| d y\right]^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C_{N} 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)(1 / p-1)} b_{k \nu}^{n}\left(\sum_{\mu} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{L+l}} 2^{-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)(p-1)}\left[U_{k \nu N}^{n}\left(x-y_{k}^{\mu}\right)\right]^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times\left[\int\left|a_{k}^{\mu}(y)\right| d y 2^{\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)(1 / p-1)}\right]^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{\left(L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}\right)(1 / p-1)}( & \left.\sum_{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left[\min \left\{1,2^{\left(k_{i}-L_{i}\right)(1-p)}\right\}\right]\left\|a_{k}^{\mu}\right\|_{1}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{517}\\
& \leq C 2^{\left(L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}\right)(1 / p-1)}\|a\|_{1} \leq C|R|^{1 / p-1 / 2}\|a\|_{2} \leq C^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

We perform the linear volume preserving change of variables

$$
\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 2^{k_{1}-k_{3}} u_{\nu_{1}}^{1} x_{1}+2^{k_{2}-k_{3}} u_{\nu_{2}}^{2} x_{2}+x_{3}\right)
$$

and see that for $N>1 / p$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{L+1}} 2^{-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)(p-1)}\left[U_{k \nu}^{n}\left(x-y_{k}^{\mu}\right)\right]^{p} d x \\
& \leq C \int \frac{2^{-k_{1}-n_{1}}}{\left(1+\left|2^{-k_{1}-n_{1}} v_{1}\right|\right)^{N p}} \frac{2^{-k_{2}-n_{2}}}{\left(1+\left|2^{-k_{2}-n_{2}} v_{2}\right|\right)^{N p}} \frac{2^{-k_{3}}}{\left(1+\left|2^{-k_{3}} v_{3}\right|\right)^{N p}} d v \leq C^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore if $k_{i}-L_{i} \leq 0, i=1,2,3$, the desired estimate (5 12) follows from (516) and (5 17)

In all other cases we use similar arguments together with the cancellation properties of the atom For example assume $k_{1} \leq L_{1}, k_{2} \leq L_{2}, k_{3} \leq L_{3}$ Since

$$
\iint a_{k}^{\mu}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) y_{1}^{r_{1}} y_{2}^{r_{2}} d y_{1} d y_{2}=0
$$

for almost all $y_{3}$ for $0 \leq r_{1}, r_{2} \leq Q$ we see, using Taylor's formula, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(K_{k \nu}^{n} \Phi_{L+l}\right) * a_{k}^{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{Q}}{Q!} \int\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right)^{Q+1} \\
& \times\left(K_{k \nu}^{n} \Phi_{L+l}\right)\left(x_{1}-y_{1}, x_{2}-y_{2}, x_{3}-\left(y_{k}^{\mu}\right)_{3}+s\left(\left(y_{k}^{\mu}\right)_{3}-y_{3}\right)\right)\left(\left(y_{k}^{\mu}\right)_{3}-y_{3}\right)^{Q+1} a_{k}^{\mu}(y) d y d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and using Leibniz' rule and Lemma 44 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(K_{k \nu}^{n} \Phi_{L+l}\right) * a_{k}^{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C 2^{L_{3}(Q+1)} \max \left\{2^{-k_{3}(Q+1)}, 2^{-\left(L_{3}+l_{3}\right)(Q+1)}\right\} U_{k \nu N}^{n}\left(x-y_{k}^{\mu}\right) b_{k \nu}^{n}\left\|a_{k}^{\mu}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar considerations in the other cases (where we use that $a_{k}^{\mu}$ has cancellation in the $y_{i}$ variable whenever $k_{i} \geq L_{i}$ ) lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(K_{k \nu}^{n} \Phi_{L+l}\right) * a\right\|_{p} \leq C_{N} \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left[\min \left\{1,\left(2^{-l_{i}}+2^{L_{i}-k_{i}}\right)\right\}\right]^{Q+1} \\
& \quad \times b_{k \nu}^{n}\left(\sum_{\mu} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{L+i}} 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)(p-1)}\left[U_{k \nu N}^{n}\left(x-y_{k}^{\mu}\right)\right]^{p} d x\left\|a_{k}^{\mu}\right\|_{1}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

As above it is easy to check that for $N>1 / p$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{L+l}} 2^{-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)(p-1)}\left[U_{k \nu N}^{n}\left(x-y_{k}^{\mu}\right)\right]^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq C \min \left\{1,2^{L_{1}+l_{1}-k_{1}-n_{1}}\right\} \min \left\{1,2^{L_{2}+l_{2}-k_{2}-n_{2}}\right\} \min \left\{1,2^{L_{3}+l_{3}-k_{3}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(K_{k \nu}^{n} \Phi_{L+l}\right) * a\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)(1 / p-1)} b_{k \nu}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left[\min \left\{1,2^{\left(L_{i}+l_{i}-k_{i}\right) / p}\right\} \min \left\{1,\left(2^{-l_{i}}+2^{L_{i}-k_{i}}\right)^{Q+1}\right\}\right] \\
& \quad \times 2^{\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)(1 / p-1)}\left(\sum_{\mu}\left\|a_{k}^{\mu}\right\|_{1}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)(1 / p-1)} b_{k \nu}^{n} 2^{\left(L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}\right)(1 / p-1)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\sum_{\mu}\left\|a_{k}^{\mu}\right\|_{1}^{p} \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left[\min \left\{1,2^{\left(L_{i}-k_{i}\right)(1 / p-1)}\right\}\right]^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)(1 / p-1)} b_{k \nu}^{n}|R|^{1 / p-1}\|a\|_{1} \\
& \leq C 2^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)(1 / p-1)} b_{k \nu}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (512) and concludes the proof of Theorem 17
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