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#### Abstract

Let $f$ be an entire function of order at least $\frac{1}{2}, M(r)=\max _{|z|=r}|f(z)|$, and $n(r, a)$ the number of zeros of $f(z)-a$ in $|z| \leq r$. It is shown that $\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} n(r, a) / \log M(r) \geq 1 / 2 \pi$ for all except possibly one $a \in C$.


## 1. Introduction and results

Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function. Picard's theorem [6] says that there exists at most one value $a \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $f(z)-a$ has only finitely many zeros. Borel's theorem [2] gives a quantitative version of this result by saying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n(r, a)}{\log r}=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$, with at most one exception. Here $n(r, a)$ denotes the number of zeros of $f(z)-a$ in $|z| \leq r$, counted according to multiplicity, and $M(r)=\max _{|z|=r}|f(z)|$ is the maximum modulus of $f$. The quantity on the right side of (1) is called the order of $f$ and denoted by $\varrho$.

The "true" quantitative version of Picard's theorem is of course given by Nevanlinna's theory on the distribution of values and by Ahlfors's theory of covering surfaces, see [3], [5]. Here we will use the theories of Nevanlinna and Ahlfors to prove a quantitative version of Picard's theorem whose statement uses only "preNevanlinna" terminology.

Theorem 1. Let $f$ be an entire function of order at least $\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, a)}{\log M(r)} \geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$, with at most one exception.
The proof will show that there exists an unbounded sequence ( $r_{j}$ ) depending only on $f$ such that $n\left(r_{j}, a\right) \geq(1 / 2 \pi-o(1)) \log M\left(r_{j}\right)$ for all except possibly one value of $a$ and $\log \log M\left(r_{j}\right) / \log r_{j} \rightarrow \varrho$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus Theorem 1 can be considered as a strong form of Borel's theorem for the case that $\varrho \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

We note that the character of the problem is different if $\varrho<\frac{1}{2}$. A classical result of Pólya [7] and Valiron [9], [10] says that if $0<\varrho<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, a)}{\log M(r)} \geq \frac{\sin \pi \varrho}{\pi} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$. Simple examples show that if $0 \leq \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then we may have equality for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$ here. Thus for $0 \leq \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}$ the constant $1 / 2 \pi$ in (2) has to be replaced by $\sin \pi \varrho / \pi$, and this bound is sharp. (For $\frac{1}{6}<\varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}$ this bound is better than $1 / 2 \pi$ ).

Theorem 1, however, which deals with the case $\varrho \geq \frac{1}{2}$, is probably not sharp. Note that for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \varrho<\frac{5}{6}$ the estimate (3) is better than (2). It seems likely that the constant $1 / 2 \pi$ on the right side of (2) can be replaced by $1 / \pi$. This would be best possible. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, a)}{\log M(r)}=\frac{1}{\pi} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $f(z)=\exp z$ and $a \neq 0$. If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \varrho<\infty, \varrho \neq 1$, and $f(z)=E_{1 / \varrho}(z)$, then (4) holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$. Here $E_{\alpha}$ denotes Mittag-Leffler's function. Note that $E_{1 / \varrho}$ has order $\varrho$. Another example (of order 2) is $f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \exp \left(-t^{2}\right) d t$, where (4) holds for $a= \pm \sqrt{\pi} / 2$. A function of infinite order satisfying (4) for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$ is the function $E_{0}$ considered by Hayman [3, p. 81] and Pólya and Szegő [8, Vol. I, p. 115]. Other examples of infinite order can be obtained from the functions constructed in [1, Theorem 3].

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the following result.
Theorem 2. Let $f$ be an entire function of order at least $\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, a)+n(r, b)}{\log M(r)} \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a, b \in \mathbf{C}, a \neq b$.
In a certain sense Theorem 2 is sharp, because we have equality in (5) if $f(z)=$ $\exp z$ and $a=0$. But it seems likely that the constant $1 / \pi$ on the right side of (5) can be replaced by $2 / \pi$ if the order of $f$ is sufficiently large and, in particular, if $f$ has infinite order.

## 2. A growth lemma for real functions

Lemma. Let $\Phi(x)$ be increasing and twice differentiable for $x \geq x_{0}$ and assume that $\Phi(x) \geq c x$ for some positive constant $c$ and arbitrarily large $x$. Then there exist sequences $\left(x_{j}\right),\left(M_{j}\right)$, and $\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)$ such that $x_{j} \rightarrow \infty, M_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\varepsilon_{j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, $\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right) \geq c / 8, \Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right) \leq 2 \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)^{2} / \Phi\left(x_{j}\right)$, and $\Phi\left(x_{j}+h\right) \leq \Phi\left(x_{j}\right)+\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right) h+\varepsilon_{j}$ for $|h| \leq$ $M_{j} / \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$.

Without the claim about $\Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$, this was proved in [1, Lemma 1]. The proof given there, however, also yields the above version. To see this, let $F$ and $v$ be as in [1, p. 168], and put $D(x)=F(x)-\Phi(x)$. Then $D$ has a local minimum at $v$. Hence $D^{\prime \prime}(v) \geq 0$. Since $D(v)=D^{\prime}(v)=0$, we deduce that

$$
\Phi^{\prime \prime}(v) \leq F^{\prime \prime}(v)=\frac{2 F^{\prime}(v)^{2}}{F(v)}=\frac{2 \Phi^{\prime}(v)^{2}}{\Phi(v)}
$$

and the claim made about $\Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$ follows.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\Phi(x)=\log T\left(e^{x}\right)$, where $T(r)$ denotes the Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristic of $f$. Let $A(r)=r T^{\prime}(r)$, that is,

$$
A(r)=\int_{|z|<r} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{\left(1+|f(z)|^{2}\right)^{2}} d x d y
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{A(r)}{T(r)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{r A^{\prime}(r)}{T(r)}-\left(\frac{A(r)}{T(r)}\right)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=e^{x}$.
Suppose first that $f$ has infinite order. We choose $x_{j}$ according to the lemma and define $r_{j}=\exp x_{j}$. As shown in [1, p. 171], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M\left(r_{j}\right) \leq(1+o(1)) \pi A\left(r_{j}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6), (7), and the lemma we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{j} A^{\prime}\left(r_{j}\right) & =\Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right) T\left(r_{j}\right)+\frac{A\left(r_{j}\right)^{2}}{T\left(r_{j}\right)} \leq \frac{2 \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)^{2}}{\Phi\left(x_{j}\right)} T\left(r_{j}\right)+\frac{A\left(r_{j}\right)^{2}}{T\left(r_{j}\right)} \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{\log T\left(r_{j}\right)}+1\right) \frac{A\left(r_{j}\right)^{2}}{T\left(r_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j} A^{\prime}\left(r_{j}\right) \leq(1+o(1)) \frac{A\left(r_{j}\right)^{2}}{T\left(r_{j}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
Suppose now that $f$ has finite order $\varrho \geq \frac{1}{2}$. We shall show that (8) and (9) hold again for a suitable sequence $\left(r_{j}\right)$. Let $\varrho^{*}(r)$ be a strong proximate order for $T(r)$, cf. [4, §I.12], and define $\gamma(r)=r^{\varrho^{*}(r)}$. Let $r_{j}$ be an unbounded sequence satisfying $T\left(r_{j}\right)=\gamma\left(r_{j}\right)$. Then $\left(r_{j}\right)$ is also a sequence of Pólya peaks (of order $\varrho$ ) for $T(r)$ and the arguments of [1, p. 164] show that (8) holds again. Define $x_{j}=\log r_{j}$ and $\Psi(x)=\log \gamma\left(e^{x}\right)$. Then $\Phi(x) \leq \Psi(x)$ with equality for $x=x_{j}$ and thus $\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)=\Psi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and $\Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right) \leq \Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$. It follows from the properties of strong proximate orders that $\Psi^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \varrho$ and $\Psi^{\prime \prime}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right) \rightarrow \varrho$ and $\Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right) \leq o(1)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this with (6) and (7) we see that (9) also holds again.

Define (cf. [3, p. 144], [8, p. 348])

$$
L(r)=\int_{|z|=r} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}|d z| .
$$

Then

$$
L(r)^{2} \leq 2 \pi^{2} r A^{\prime}(r)
$$

by Schwarz's inequality (cf. [3, p. 144], [8, p. 349]). Together with (9) it follows that

$$
L\left(r_{j}\right) \leq(1+o(1)) \sqrt{2} \pi \frac{A\left(r_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{T\left(r_{j}\right)}}=o\left(A\left(r_{j}\right)\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left(r_{j}, a\right)+n\left(r_{j}, b\right) \geq(1-o(1)) A\left(r_{j}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the main result of Ahlfors's theory of covering surfaces (cf. [3, p. 148], [8, p. 349, inequality ( II ' $]$ ]. Combining (8) and (10) we obtain (5).
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