On the relative homology of q-Runge pairs

Mihnea Colţoiu

0. Introduction

Let X be a Stein space of dimension n and $Y \subset X$ a Runge open subset, i.e. Y is a Stein open subset and the restriction map $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \to \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O})$ has a dense image. It was shown in [4] that in this case the pair (X, Y) satisfies the following topological conditions: the relative homology group $H_i(X, Y; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes if i > nand $H_n(X, Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free (when X has isolated singularities this result was already proved in [2]). Moreover, if $Y = \emptyset$, then $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is free.

These results have been generalized in [7], [15] to q-complete spaces. Namely one has that if X is a q-complete space and $Y \subset X$ is a q-Runge open subset then the relative homology group $H_i(X, Y; \mathbf{Z})$ vanishes if i > n+q-1 and $H_{n+q-1}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z})$ is torsion free. If $Y = \emptyset$ then $H_{n+q-1}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is free (note that, with our definitions, 1-complete corresponds to Stein spaces).

In fact, for $Y = \emptyset$ Hamm [11] proved a stronger result: if X is a q-complete space then X has the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\leq n+q-1$.

In [3] I raised the following question.

Problem 1. Let X be a Stein space of dimension n and $Y \subset X$ a Runge open subset. Does it follow that the relative homology group $H_n(X,Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is free?

A counterexample to this problem seems to be unknown. A more general question was raised in [15].

Problem 2. Let X be a q-complete space of dimension n and $Y \subset X$ a q-Runge open subset. Does it follow that the relative homology group $H_{n+q-1}(X,Y;\mathbf{Z})$ is free?

The aim of this paper is to provide a counterexample to Problem 2. More precisely we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. For all integers q and n with $2 \le q \le n$, and for every countable abelian torsion free group G, there exist a q-complete manifold X of dimension n

and a q-Runge open subset $Y \subset X$ such that $H_{n+q-1}(X,Y;\mathbf{Z}) = G$.

As an application of this result we study, in Section 3, *n*-Runge pairs (X, Y) with $Y \subset X$ of dimension *n*. It is known [5] that in this case for a given complex space of pure dimension *n* with no compact irreducible components, the condition on $Y \subset X$ to be *n*-Runge has a purely topological characterization, namely it is equivalent to each of the following assumptions:

(a) $X \setminus Y$ has no compact irreducible components;

(b) the map $H_{2n-1}(Y; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{2n-1}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is injective;

(c) the map $H_{2n-1}(Y; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{2n-1}(X; \mathbf{C})$ is injective;

(d) the map $H^{2n-1}(X; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(Y; \mathbf{C})$ is surjective.

So it is natural to ask if it is also equivalent to

(e) the map $H^{2n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective.

It is easy to see that (e) implies each of the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d). On the other hand it is proved in Corollary 1 that (e) is not equivalent to the above conditions. More precisely one has the following result.

Corollary 1. For every integer $n \ge 2$ there exists an n-Runge pair (X, Y) of n-dimensional manifolds such that the restriction map $H^{2n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is not surjective.

1. Preliminaries

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open subset and $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(D, \mathbb{R})$. The function φ is called q-convex if its Levi form $L(\varphi)$ has at least n-q+1 positive (>0) eigenvalues at any point of D. Using local embeddings this definition can be easily extended to complex spaces [1].

A complex space X is called q-convex if there exists a C^{∞} function $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ which is q-convex outside a compact subset $K \subset X$ and such that φ is an exhaustion on X, i.e. $\{\varphi < c\} \in X$ for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If K may be taken as the empty set then X is called q-complete. When q=1 this means, by Grauert's solution to the Levi problem ([8], [12]), that X is a Stein space.

Every q-complete space satisfies the following cohomological condition [1]: for every $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ one has $H^i(X, F) = 0$ if $i \ge q$; q-complete spaces satisfy also the topological condition $H_i(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if i > n+q-1 and $H_{n+q-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is free ([7], [15]). In fact one has the stronger result [11] that every q-complete space X of dimension n has the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\le n+q-1$.

T. Ohsawa [13] has proved the following theorem: a complex space X of dimension n is *n*-complete if and only if X has no compact irreducible components of dimension n (when X is smooth this was already shown in [10]).

If X is a complex space, an open subset $Y \subset X$ is said to be q-Runge if for every compact subset $K \subset Y$ there exists a q-convex exhaustion function $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ (which may depend on K) such that $K \subset \{\varphi < 0\} \in Y$.

It follows from this definition that X is assumed to be q-complete. When q = 1we get the classical definition of Runge domains, i.e. Y is Runge in X if and only if Y is Stein and the restriction map $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \to \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O})$ has a dense image.

If $Y \subset X$ is q-Runge then the restriction map $H^{q-1}(X, F) \to H^{q-1}(Y, F)$ has a dense image for every $F \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ ([1]). Pairs that are q-Runge pairs also satisfy the topological condition $H_i(X, Y; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if i > n+q-1 and $H_{n+q-1}(X, Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free ([7], [15]).

For *n*-Runge pairs of complex spaces of dimension n one has the following characterization [5].

Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n with no compact irreducible components and $Y \subset X$ an open subset. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) Y is *n*-Runge in X;

(2) the restriction map $H^{n-1}(X, F) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(Y, F)$ has a dense image for every $F \in Coh(X)$;

(3) the restriction map $H^{n-1}(X,\Omega^n) \to H^{n-1}(Y,\Omega^n)$ has a dense image, where Ω^n denotes the canonical sheaf of X.

They are also equivalent to each of the topological conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) stated in the introduction.

If X is a topological space we denote by $B_i(X)$ its Betti groups, i.e. $B_i(X)$ is the quotient of $H_i(X; \mathbb{Z})$ (the Z-homology of X) by its torsion subgroup.

Let us recall the following result due to Pontrjagin [14].

Theorem 2. Let G be a countable abelian torsion free group. Then there exists a compact connected subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $B_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K) = G$.

Remark 1. In fact it is shown in [14] that K may be taken to be a curve, but we shall not need this fact.

2. Proof of the main results

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let X be a real orientable manifold of dimension m and $A \subset X$ a closed connected subset. Then the relative homology group $H_{m-1}(X, X \setminus A; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free.

For a proof of this lemma see [6, p. 260, Corollary 3.5].

Mihnea Colțoiu

From this we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a closed connected subset. Then $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus F; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, and therefore $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus F; \mathbb{Z}) = B_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus F)$.

Proof. From the exact sequence for homology corresponding to the inclusion $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus F \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ we get

$$0 = H_2(\mathbf{R}^3; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus F; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus F; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathbf{R}^3; \mathbf{Z}) = 0.$$

Therefore $H_1(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus F; \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus F; \mathbf{Z})$ which is torsion free by Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a non-empty compact connected subset and $P \in K$ any point. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_2(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{P\}, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}).$$

Proof. We consider the triad $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{P\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and the associated exact sequence for homology (see [9, p. 59])

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_3(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_3(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{P\}; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_2(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{P\}, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\alpha} H_2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{P\}; \mathbf{Z}) = 0.$$

By Alexander's duality in \mathbb{R}^3

$$H_3(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}) = \breve{H}^0(K; \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z},$$
$$H_3(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{P\}; \mathbf{Z}) = \breve{H}^0(\{P\}; \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z},$$

where \breve{H}^i denotes Čech cohomology. It follows that β is an isomorphism, therefore α is also an isomorphism, as desired.

Lemma 3. For every integer $n \ge 2$ and for every countable abelian torsion free group G there exists an n-Runge pair (X, Y) with $Y \subset X$ being complex manifolds of dimension n such that $H_{2n-1}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z}) = G$.

Proof. By Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 there exists a compact connected subset $K \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $H_1(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}) = G$. We may assume that the origin $0 \in K$. We identify $\mathbf{C}^n = \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and we consider $\mathbf{R}^3 \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ given by $\mathbf{R}^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} | x_4 = x_5 = \dots = x_{2n} = 0\}$. We define $X = \mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $Y = \mathbf{C}^n \setminus K$ and we shall prove that (X, Y) has the required properties. Clearly X and Y are n-complete since

48

they are non-compact. On the other hand $X \setminus Y = K \setminus \{0\}$ has no compact connected components, therefore (X, Y) is an *n*-Runge pair. It remains to show that $H_{2n-1}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z}) = G$. By Alexander's duality in $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$, which contains $K \setminus \{0\}$ as a closed subset, we get $H_{2n-1}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z}) = \tilde{H}_c^1(K \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{Z})$ where \tilde{H}_c^i denotes the Čech cohomology groups with compact supports (see [6]). On the other hand $K \setminus \{0\}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and using Alexander's duality in $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ we get similarly that $\tilde{H}_c^1(K \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{Z}) = H_2(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z})$ (we used here the fact that the Čech cohomology groups with compact supports of a closed subset $A \subset E$, with E a euclidean neighbourhood retract, depends only on A (see [6]).

By Lemma 2 we have that $H_2(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}) = H_2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z})$ and exactly as in Corollary 2, we get $H_2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z}) = H_1(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K; \mathbf{Z})$. It follows that $H_{2n-1}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z}) = G$ which proves our lemma.

Lemma 4. Let (X, Y) with $Y \subset X$ be a q-Runge pair and W be a p-complete space. Then $(X \times W, Y \times W)$ is a (p+q-1)-Runge pair.

Proof. Let $K \subset Y \times W$ be a compact subset. We have to find $f: X \times W \to \mathbf{R}$ a (p+q-1)-convex exhaustion function such that $K \subset \{f < 0\} \Subset Y \times W$. We choose compact subsets $K_1 \subset Y$ and $K_2 \subset W$ such that $K \subset K_1 \times K_2$. Since (X, Y) is a q-Runge pair there exists a q-convex exhaustion function $\varphi: X \to \mathbf{R}$ with $K_1 \subset \{\varphi < 0\} \Subset$ Y. Let also $\psi: W \to \mathbf{R}$ be a p-convex exhaustion function. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\varphi(x) + \varepsilon \exp(\psi(w)) < 0$ for every $x \in K_1, w \in K_2$ and we define $f(x, w) = \varphi(x) + \varepsilon \exp(\psi(w))$. Clearly f is a (p+q-1)-convex exhaustion function on $X \times W$ and $K \subset K_1 \times K_2 \subset \{f < 0\} \Subset Y \times W$. Thus Lemma 4 is completely proved.

Let us recall now the Künneth formula in the relative case (see [9, p. 231]). Let X_0, W be topological spaces and $Y_0 \subset X_0$ a subset. Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p=0}^{m} H_p(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{m-p}(W; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_m(X_0 \times W, Y_0 \times W; \mathbf{Z})$$
$$\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p=0}^{m-1} \operatorname{Tor}(H_p(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}), H_{m+p-1}(W; \mathbf{Z})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, if the homology of W is free, we have

$$H_m(X_0 \times W, Y_0 \times W; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{p=0}^m H_p(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{m-p}(W; \mathbf{Z}).$$

We can now prove Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 1. If $q=n\geq 2$ then the statement of Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 3. Therefore we may assume that $2\leq q< n$. We define W= $(\mathbf{C}^{q-1}\setminus\{0\})\times(\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-q-1}$. Then W is a (q-1)-complete manifold of dimension n-2. Let (X_0, Y_0) be a 2-Runge pair, with $Y_0 \subset X_0$ being 2-dimensional manifolds such that $H_3(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z})=G$ (which exists by Lemma 3).

By Lemma 4 $(X_0 \times W, Y_0 \times W)$ is a *q*-Runge pair of *n*-dimensional manifolds. We have only to verify $H_{n+q-1}(X_0 \times W, Y_0 \times W; \mathbf{Z}) = G$. First we study the homology of W. We have

(1)
$$H_j(\mathbf{C}^{q-1} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{Z}) = H_j(S^{2(q-1)-1}; \mathbf{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}, & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ or } j = 2q-3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since

$$H_j(\mathbf{C}^*; \mathbf{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}, & \text{if } j = 0, 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

it follows that

(2)
$$H_j((\mathbf{C}^*)^i; \mathbf{Z}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j > i, \\ \mathbf{Z}, & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

From (1) and (2) and the Künneth formula we get

$$H_{n+q-4}(W; \mathbf{Z}) = [H_0(\mathbf{C}^{q-1} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{n+q-4}((\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-q-1}; \mathbf{Z})] \\ \oplus [H_{2q-3}(\mathbf{C}^{q-1} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{n-q-1}((\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-q-1}; \mathbf{Z})] \\ = (\mathbf{Z} \otimes 0) \oplus (\mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}, \\ H_{n+q-3}(W; \mathbf{Z}) = [H_0(\mathbf{C}^{q-1} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{n+q-3}((\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-q-1}; \mathbf{Z})] \\ \oplus [H_{2q-3}(\mathbf{C}^{q-1} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{n-q}((\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-q-1}; \mathbf{Z})] \\ = (\mathbf{Z} \otimes 0) \oplus (\mathbf{Z} \otimes 0) = 0, \\ H_{n+q-2}(W; \mathbf{Z}) = [H_0(\mathbf{C}^{q-1} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{n+q-2}((\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-q-1}; \mathbf{Z})] \\ \oplus [H_{2q-3}(\mathbf{C}^{q-1} \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{n-q+1}((\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-q-1}; \mathbf{Z})] \\ = (\mathbf{Z} \otimes 0) \oplus (\mathbf{Z} \otimes 0) = 0.$$

Since clearly the homology of W is free and $H_j(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ if $j \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$ it follows by Künneth's formula in the relative case that

$$H_{n+q-1}(X_0 \times W, Y_0 \times W; \mathbf{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 H_i(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H_{n+q-i-1}(W; \mathbf{Z})$$
$$= [H_1(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes 0] \oplus [H_2(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes 0]$$
$$\oplus [H_3(X_0, Y_0; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}]$$
$$= G \otimes \mathbf{Z} = G.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

3. Some remarks concerning *n*-Runge pairs of *n*-dimensional manifolds

If (X, Y) is a q-Runge pair of n-dimensional manifolds (or more general of n-dimensional complex spaces) then it is known ([7], [15]) that the natural map $H_{n+q-1}(Y; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n+q-1}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is injective. So it is natural to ask if the restriction map $H^{n+q-1}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+q-1}(Y; \mathbf{Z})$ is surjective. We shall prove that the answer is no, at least when $q \ge 2$. More precisely one has the following result.

Theorem 3. For all integers q and n with $2 \le q \le n$ there exists a q-Runge pair (X, Y) of n-dimensional manifolds such that the restriction map $H^{n+q-1}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+q-1}(Y; \mathbf{Z})$ is not surjective.

Proof. We consider the exact sequence for cohomology corresponding to the inclusion $Y \subset X$,

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{n+q-1}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{n+q-1}(Y; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{n+q}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z})$$
$$\longrightarrow H^{n+q}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \dots .$$

Since X is assumed to be q-complete it follows that $H^{n+q}(X; \mathbf{Z})=0$, therefore the surjectivity of the map $H^{n+q-1}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \to H^{n+q-1}(Y; \mathbf{Z})$ is equivalent to the vanishing of the relative cohomology group $H^{n+q}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z})$. From the exact sequence ([6, p. 153]),

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(H_{n+q-1}(X,Y;\mathbf{Z});\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{n+q}(X,Y;\mathbf{Z})$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(H_{n+q}(X,Y;\mathbf{Z});\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$$
$$\parallel 0$$

we have only to obtain our (X, Y) with $\operatorname{Ext}(H_{n+q-1}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{Z}) \neq 0$.

To do this we choose any countable abelian torsion free group G such that $\operatorname{Ext}(G; \mathbf{Z}) \neq 0$ (e.g. we may take $G = \mathbf{Q}$ the additive group of rational numbers). By Theorem 1 there exists a q-Runge pair (X, Y) with $Y \subset X$ being *n*-dimensional complex manifolds such that $H_{n+q-1}(X, Y; \mathbf{Z}) = G$. As remarked above (X, Y) is the required pair satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1 is now a direct consequence of Theorem 3.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions and remarks.

References

1. ANDREOTTI, A. and GRAUERT, H., Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **90** (1962), 193–259.

- 2. ANDREOTTI, A. and NARASIMHAN, R., A topological property of Runge pairs, Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 499–509.
- COLŢOIU, M., Some open problems concerning Stein spaces, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 36 (1991), 225-229.
- COLŢOIU, M. and MIHALACHE, N., On the homology groups of Stein spaces and Runge pairs, J. Reine Angew. Math. 371 (1986), 216–220.
- 5. COLTOIU, M. and SILVA, A., Behnke-Stein theorem on complex spaces with singularities, Nagoya Math. J. 137 (1995), 183-194.
- 6. DOLD, A., Lectures on Algebraic Topology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1972.
- FORNI, G., Homology and cohomology with compact supports for q-convex spaces, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 159 (1991), 229–254.
- 8. GRAUERT, H., On Levi's problem and the embedding of real-analytic manifolds, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 460–472.
- 9. GREENBERG, M., Lectures on Algebraic Topology, Benjamin, New York, 1967.
- GREENE, R. E. and WU, H., Embedding of open riemannian manifolds by harmonic functions, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 25:1 (1975), 215-235.
- HAMM, H. A., Zum Homotopietyp q-vollständinger Räume, J. Reine Angew. Math. 364 (1986), 1–9.
- 12. NARASIMHAN, R., The Levi problem for complex spaces, Math. Ann. 142 (1960/61), 355–365.
- OHSAWA, T., Completeness of noncompact analytic spaces, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 20 (1984), 683–692.
- PONTRJAGIN, L., Uber den algebraischen Inhalt topologischer Dualitätssätze, Math. Ann. 105 (1931), 165–205.
- 15. VÂJÂITU, V., Approximation theorems and homology of q-Runge domains in complex spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 449 (1994), 179–199.

Received July 3, 1998 in revised form March 25, 1999 Mihnea Coltoiu Institute of Mathematics Romanian Academy P.O. Box 1-764 RO-70700 Bucharest Romania email: mcoltoiu@stoilow.imar.ro