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## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. For a base point $p \in M$ we denote by $B(p, r)$ the open geodesic ball with radius $r$ around $p$ and let $\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)]$ be its volume. Let $\omega_{n}$ be the volume of the unit ball in the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and define $\alpha_{M}$ by

$$
\alpha_{M}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)]}{\omega_{n} r^{n}}
$$

It follows from the relative volume comparison theorem $[\mathrm{BC}],[\mathrm{Gr} 2]$ that the limit at the right-hand side in the above equality exists and it does not depend on the choice of $p$. Thus $\alpha_{M}$ is a global geometric invariant of $M$. The manifold $M$ has large volume growth if $\alpha_{M}>0$. Note that, in this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)] \geq \alpha_{M} \omega_{n} r^{n} \quad \text { for } p \in M \text { and } r>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The structure of complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and large volume growth has received much attention. Let $M$ be such an $n$-dimensional manifold. $\mathrm{Li}[\mathrm{L}]$ and Anderson [A] have each proven that $M$ has finite fundamental group. Li uses the heat equation while Anderson uses volume comparison arguments to prove this theorem. Perelman $[\mathrm{P}]$ has shown that there is a small constant $\varepsilon(n)>0$ depending only on $n$ such that if $\alpha_{M}>1-\varepsilon(n)$, then $M$ is contractible. It has been shown by Cheeger and Colding [CC] that the condition in Perelman's theorem actually implies that $M$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Shen [S2] has proven that $M$ has finite topological type, provided that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)]}{\omega_{n} r^{n}}=\alpha_{M}+o\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\right)
$$

and, either the conjugate radius $\operatorname{conj}_{M} \geq c>0$ or the sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{M} \geq K_{0}>$ $-\infty$. Recall that a noncompact manifold is of finite topological type if it is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact manifold with boundary [AG]. Generalizations of Shen's theorem have been made in [OSY], [SS] and [X1].

It should be mentioned that the first important result about topological finiteness of a complete open manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature is due to Ab-resch-Gromoll. They have proven that complete open manifolds with small diameter growth, $o\left(r^{1 / n}\right)$, nonnegative Ricci curvature and sectional curvature bounded below have finite topological type. Their theorem is proven by using an inequality referred to as the excess theorem (cf. [AG]) which has many interesting applications (cf. [CX], [C], [CC], [G], [OSY], [SS], [S1], [S2], [So], [X1] and [X2]).

In $[\mathrm{Pe}]$, Petersen proposed the following conjecture.
Conjecture. ([Pe]) An n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and $\alpha_{M}>\frac{1}{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.

It has been proven in [CX] and [X2] that Petersen's conjecture is true when the sectional curvature of the manifold is bounded below and if the volume of geodesic balls around some point grows properly.

In this paper, we study complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and large volume growth. Our purpose is to prove the following topological rigidity theorem which supports Petersen's conjecture and contains no condition on the sectional curvature of the manifolds.

Theorem 1.1. Given $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \varrho_{0}>0$ and an integer $n \geq 2$, there exist positive constants $r_{0}=r_{0}\left(\alpha, \varrho_{0}, n\right)$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(\alpha, \varrho_{0}, n\right)$ such that any complete Riemannian $n$ manifold $M$ with Ricci curvature $\operatorname{Ric}_{M} \geq 0, \alpha_{M} \geq \alpha$, conjugate radius $\operatorname{conj}_{M} \geq \varrho_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)]}{\omega_{n} r^{n}}-\alpha_{M} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^{n-2+1 / n}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p \in M$ and all $r \geq r_{0}$, is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout the paper all geodesics are assumed to have unit speed.
Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. For a point $p \in M$, we denote the distance from $p$ to $x$ by $d(p, x)$ and set $d_{p}(x)=d(p, x)$. We denote by crit ${ }_{p}$ the criticality radius of $M$ at $p$, i.e., crit $_{p}$ is the smallest critical value for the distance function $d(p, \cdot): M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. The criticality radius of $M$ is defined to be $\operatorname{crit}(M)=$
$\inf _{p \in M}$ crit $_{p}$. We refer to $[\mathrm{C}],[\mathrm{Gr} 1],[\mathrm{G}]$ and $[\mathrm{GS}]$ for the notion of critical points of distance functions and its applications.

In [W], Wei proved an angle version Toponogov comparison theorem for Ricci curvature for which we need some notation.

A geodesic triangle $\left\{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$ consists of three minimal geodesics $\gamma_{i}$ of length $L\left[\gamma_{i}\right]=l_{i}$ which satisfy

$$
\gamma_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)=\gamma_{i+1}(0)
$$

where the indices $i$ and $i+1$ are taken $\bmod 3$.
The angle at a corner, say $\gamma_{0}(0)$, is by definition $\angle\left(-\gamma_{2}^{\prime}\left(l_{2}\right), \gamma_{0}^{\prime}(0)\right)$. The angle opposite to $\gamma_{i}$ is denoted by $\alpha_{i}$.

Lemma 2.1. ([W]) For any $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $\varrho_{0}>0$ there exists a constant $\beta_{0}=$ $\beta_{0}\left(n, \varepsilon_{0}, \varrho_{0}\right)>0$ such that if $M$ is an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $\operatorname{Ric}_{M} \geq 0$ and conjugate radius $\operatorname{conj}_{M} \geq \varrho_{0}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$ is a geodesic triangle contained in $B\left(p, \beta_{0}\right)$ for some $p \in M$, then for the geodesic triangle $\left\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{1}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right\}$ in the Euclidean plane with $L\left[\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}\right]=L\left[\gamma_{i}\right]=l_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}>\widetilde{\alpha}_{i}-\varepsilon_{0}=\arccos \left(\frac{l_{i-1}^{2}+l_{i+1}^{2}-l_{i}^{2}}{2 l_{i-1} l_{i+1}}\right)-\varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, Wei proved a comparison estimate for manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below, but the above statement suffices for our purposes.

The lemma below is the key step for proving Theorem 1.1.
Lemma 2.2. Given $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \varrho_{0}>0$ and an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant $r_{0}=r_{0}(\alpha, \delta, n)$ such that any complete Riemannian n-manifold $M$ with Ricci curvature $\operatorname{Ric}_{M} \geq 0, \alpha_{M} \geq \alpha$ and conjugate radius $\operatorname{conj}_{M} \geq \varrho_{0}$ satisfies $\operatorname{crit}_{p} \geq r_{0}$, for all $p \in M$.

Proof. Let $\theta_{0}=\theta_{0}(\alpha, n) \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\theta_{0}} \sin ^{n-2} t d t=(1-\alpha) \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{n-2} t d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set $\varepsilon_{0}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi-\theta_{0}\right)$. Let $\beta_{0}=\beta_{0}\left(n, \varepsilon_{0}, \varrho_{0}\right) \equiv \beta_{0}\left(n, \alpha, \varrho_{0}\right)$ be as in Lemma 2.1 and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\cos \theta_{0} \cos \varepsilon_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{\cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)-\sin ^{2} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T>1$.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{crit}_{y}>r_{0} \equiv \frac{\beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{4 T} \quad \text { for } y \in M \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will imply the conclusion of Lemma 2.2.
Suppose on the contrary that the above claim is false. Then there is a point $p \in M$ such that $\operatorname{crit}_{p} \leq r_{0}$ and thus we can find a critical point $q(\neq p)$ of $d_{p}$ such that $r_{1}:=d(p, q) \leq r_{0}$.

Let $\Gamma_{q p}$ (resp. $\Gamma_{p q}$ ) be the set of unit vectors in $T_{q} M$ (resp. $T_{p} M$ ) corresponding to the set of normal minimal geodesics of $M$ from $q$ to $p$ (resp. $p$ to $q$ ). For any $\theta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right]$, let $\Gamma_{p q}(\theta)=\left\{u \in S_{p} M \mid \angle\left(u, \Gamma_{p q}\right) \leq \theta\right\}$. Since $q$ is a critical point of $d_{p}$, we have $\Gamma_{q p}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)=S_{q} M$ which implies that $\Gamma_{p q}$ contains at least two distinct vectors. Thus there exists a constant $t_{0}>0$ such that $\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right) \geq v\left(\theta_{0}\right)+t_{0}$, where $v\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ is the volume of a geodesic ball of radius $\theta_{0}$ in an $(n-1)$-unit sphere. It then follows from (2.2) that $\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right) \geq(1-\alpha) \alpha_{n-1}+t_{0}$, where $\alpha_{m}$ is the volume of $S^{m}(1)$, the unit $m$-sphere.

For each $u \in S_{p} M$, we denote by $\tau(u)$ the distance to the cut point of $p$ along the geodesic $\exp _{p}(t u), t \in[0, \infty)$.

Sublemma. For any $u \in \Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, we have $\tau(u)<\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}$.
Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is a $v \in \Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ with $\tau(v) \geq \frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}$. Then the geodesic $\gamma(t):=\exp _{p}(t v), t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}\right]$, is a minimizing one. Take a vector $w \in \Gamma_{p q}$ with $\angle(w, v) \leq \theta_{0}$. Let $\gamma_{1}:\left[0, r_{1}\right] \rightarrow M$ be a minimal geodesic of $M$ from $p$ to $q$ with $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(0)=w$. Let $z=\gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}\right)$, set $r_{2}=d(q, z)$ and take a minimal geodesic $\gamma_{2}(t), t \in\left[0, r_{2}\right]$, from $q$ to $z$. Since $\max \{d(p, q), d(p, z)\}=\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}$, one can see from the triangle inequality that the geodesic triangle $\left\{\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$ is contained in $B\left(p, \beta_{0}\right)$. Thus we can apply Lemma 2.1 to $\left\{\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$ to get

$$
\theta_{0} \geq \angle\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0), \gamma_{1}^{\prime}(0)\right)>\arccos \left(\frac{\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}+r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}}{2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \beta_{0} r_{1}}\right)-\varepsilon_{0}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{2}<r_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{0} r_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use the fact that $q$ is a critical point of $d_{p}$ to get a minimal geodesic $\gamma_{3}$ from $q$ to $p$ such that $\angle\left(\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(0), \gamma_{3}^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to the geodesic triangle $\left\{\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma\right\}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}>\arccos \left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}}{2 r_{1} r_{2}}\right)-\varepsilon_{0}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}<r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}+2 r_{1} r_{2} \sin \varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.6), one gets
(2.7) $\beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)<4 r_{1}+4 r_{2} \sin \varepsilon_{0}<4 r_{1}+4 \sqrt{r_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{0} r_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}} \sin \varepsilon_{0}$.

Observe that for any $t \in R$, we have

$$
t^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) t+\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t-\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right|<\sqrt{t^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) t+\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$
f(t)=t+\sqrt{t^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) t+\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}} \sin \varepsilon_{0}
$$

It follows from (2.8) that $f$ is strictly increasing. Thus from (2.7) and $r_{1} \leq r_{0}$, we have

$$
\beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)<4 r_{0}+4 \sqrt{r_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{0} r_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{16} \beta_{0}^{2}} \sin \varepsilon_{0}
$$

By (2.4), $4 r_{0}<\beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and so we get from the above inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)-4 r_{0}\right)^{2}<\left(16 r_{0}^{2}-8 \beta_{0} r_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.9) and simplifying, we obtain

$$
(T-1)^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)<\left(T^{2}+(1-2 T) \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right) \sin ^{2} \varepsilon_{0}
$$

that is

$$
\left(\cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)-\sin ^{2} \varepsilon_{0}\right) T^{2}-2 \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \cos ^{2} \varepsilon_{0} T+\cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \cos ^{2} \varepsilon_{0}<0
$$

Solving this inequality, one finds

$$
\frac{\cos \left(\theta_{0}+2 \varepsilon_{0}\right) \cos \varepsilon_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{\cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)-\sin ^{2} \varepsilon_{0}}<T<\frac{\cos \theta_{0} \cos \varepsilon_{0} \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{\cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)-\sin ^{2} \varepsilon_{0}}
$$

which clearly contradicts the choice of $T$. This completes the proof of the sublemma.

Now we continue on the proof of the claim. Let

$$
d V\left(\exp _{p}(t \xi)\right)=\sqrt{g(t ; \xi)} d t d \mu_{p}(\xi)
$$

be the volume form in the geodesic spherical coordinates around $p$, where $d \mu_{p}(\xi)$ is the Riemannian measure on $S_{p} M$ induced by the Euclidean Lebesgue measure on $T_{p} M$ (cf. [Ch, p. 112]). Since $\operatorname{Ric}_{M} \geq 0$, the Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem [BC], [Gr2] gives $\sqrt{g(t ; v)} \leq t^{n-1}$ for all $t>0$. Thus, for any $r \geq \frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}$, we have from the sublemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)]= & \int_{S_{p} M} d \mu_{p}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\min \{\tau(\xi), r\}} \sqrt{g(t ; \xi)} d t \\
= & \int_{\Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} d \mu_{p}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\min \{\tau(\xi), r\}} \sqrt{g(t ; \xi)} d t \\
& +\int_{S_{p} M \backslash \Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} d \mu_{p}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\min \{\tau(\xi), r\}} \sqrt{g(t ; \xi)} d t \\
\leq & \int_{\Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} d \mu_{p}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\beta_{0} / 4} t^{n-1} d t+\int_{S_{p} M-\Gamma_{p q}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} d \mu_{p}(\xi) \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} d t \\
\leq & \operatorname{vol}\left[B\left(\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}\right)\right]+\left(\alpha \alpha_{n-1}-t_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} d t \\
= & \operatorname{vol}\left[B\left(\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}\right)\right]+\left(\alpha \omega_{n}-\frac{t_{0}}{n}\right) r^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B\left(\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}\right)$ denotes the $\frac{1}{4} \beta_{0}$-ball in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.
It follows from (2.10) that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)]}{\omega_{n} r^{n}} \leq \alpha-\frac{t_{0}}{n \omega_{n}}
$$

which is a contradiction and completes the proof of our claim.
Before stating our next lemma, we fix some notation. Let $M$ be a complete open Riemannian $n$-manifold. Let $R_{p}$ denote the (point set) union of rays issuing from $p$; then $R_{p}$ is a closed subset of $M$. Define a function $h_{p}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p}(x)=d\left(x, R_{p}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set for $r>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(p, r)=\max _{x \in S(p, r)} h_{p}(x) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(p, r)$ is the geodesic sphere of radius $r$ with center $p$.
Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If, for any point $x \in M$ and any ( $k+1$ )-mutually orthogonal unit tangent vectors $e, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k} \in T_{x} M$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{k} K\left(e \wedge e_{i}\right) \geq 0$, we say that the $k$ th Ricci curvature of $M$ is nonnegative and denote this fact by $\operatorname{Ric}_{M}^{(k)} \geq 0$. Here, $K\left(e \wedge e_{i}\right)$ denotes the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by $e$ and $e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Lemma 2.3. Given positive numbers $\varrho_{0}, r_{0}$ and integers $n \geq 2$ and $k, 1 \leq k \leq$ $n-1$, there is a $\delta=\delta\left(\varrho_{0}, r_{0}, n, k\right)>0$ such that any complete Riemannian $n$-manifold $M$ with $\operatorname{Ric}_{M}^{(k)} \geq 0, \operatorname{conj}_{M} \geq \varrho_{0}, \operatorname{crit}_{p} \geq r_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(p, r) \leq \delta r^{1 /(k+1)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p \in M$ and all $r \geq r_{0}$, has infinite criticality radius at $p$ and so is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Lemma 2.3 is similar to Lemma 3.1 in [X2] where there is a lower bound on the sectional curvature instead of a lower bound on the conjugate radius of $M$. In the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [X2], one can use the Toponogov comparison theorem owing to the lower bound condition on the sectional curvature. We will not include the proof of Lemma 2.3 since it can be carried out easily by using the Toponogov type estimate of Dai-Wei [DW] and modifying the arguments in [S2] and [X2].

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of the following more general result.
Theorem 2.4. Given $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \varrho_{0}>0$ and integers $n \geq 2$ and $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, there are positive constants $r_{0}=r_{0}\left(\alpha, \varrho_{0}, n, k\right)$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(\alpha, \varrho_{0}, n\right)$ such that any complete Riemannian n-manifold $M$ with $\operatorname{Ric}_{M}^{(k)} \geq 0, \alpha_{M} \geq \alpha$, conjugate radius $\operatorname{conj}_{M} \geq \varrho_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{vol}[B(p, r)]}{\omega_{n} r^{n}}-\alpha_{M} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^{n-(n+k) /(k+1)}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p \in M$ and all $r \geq r_{0}$, has infinite criticality radius at $p$ and thus is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Proof. Once we have Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, the proof of Theorem 2.4 becomes routine. We only give an outline of it. Let $r_{0}=r_{0}\left(\alpha, \varrho_{0}, n\right)$ be as in Lemma 2.2;
then $\operatorname{crit}_{p} \geq r_{0}$. Let $\delta=\delta\left(\varrho_{0}, r_{0}, n, k\right)=\delta\left(\alpha, \varrho_{0}, n, k\right)$ be as in Lemma 2.3. We define the number $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(\alpha, \varrho_{0}, n, k\right)$ in Theorem 2.4 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha r_{0}^{n-(n+k) /(k+1)}}{4^{n}}, \frac{\alpha \delta^{n-1}}{4\left(3^{n}-1\right)}\right\} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the above choice of $\varepsilon$, by Lemma 2.3, in order to prove Theorem 2.4, we need only to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(p, r) \leq \delta r^{1 /(k+1)} \quad \text { for } r \geq r_{0} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (2.14) and the nonnegativity of the Ricci curvature of $M$ enable us to use the relative volume comparison theorem and the same discussions as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [X2] to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p}(x) \leq \delta r^{1 /(k+1)} \quad \text { for } x \in S(p, r) \text { and } r \geq r_{0} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{H}(p, r)$, we know that (2.16) holds.
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