

Generic initial ideals and exterior algebraic shifting of the join of simplicial complexes

Satoshi Murai

Abstract. In this paper, the relation between algebraic shifting and join which was conjectured by Eran Nevo will be proved. Let σ and τ be simplicial complexes and $\sigma*\tau$ be their join. Let J_σ be the exterior face ideal of σ and $\Delta(\sigma)$ the exterior algebraic shifted complex of σ . Assume that $\sigma*\tau$ is a simplicial complex on $[n]=\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For any d -subset $S \subset [n]$, let $m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\sigma)$ denote the number of d -subsets $R \in \sigma$ which are equal to or smaller than S with respect to the reverse lexicographic order. We will prove that $m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma*\tau)) \geq m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta(\sigma)*\Delta(\tau)))$ for all $S \subset [n]$. To prove this fact, we also prove that $m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma)) \geq m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta_\varphi(\sigma)))$ for all $S \subset [n]$ and for all nonsingular matrices φ , where $\Delta_\varphi(\sigma)$ is the simplicial complex defined by $J_{\Delta_\varphi(\sigma)} = \text{in}(\varphi(J_\sigma))$.

Introduction

Algebraic shifting, which was introduced by Kalai, is a map which associates with each simplicial complex σ another simplicial complex $\Delta(\sigma)$ with special conditions. Nevo [9] studied some properties of algebraic shifting with respect to basic constructions of simplicial complexes, such as union, cone and join. With respect to union and cone, algebraic shifting behaves nicely. However, with respect to join, Nevo found that algebraic shifting does not behave nicely contrary to a conjecture by Kalai [7].

First, we will recall Kalai's conjecture and Nevo's counterexample. Let σ be a simplicial complex on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, τ a simplicial complex on $\{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$, and let $\sigma*\tau$ denote their join, in other words,

$$\sigma*\tau = \{S \cup R : S \in \sigma \text{ and } R \in \tau\}.$$

Kalai conjectured that $\Delta(\sigma*\tau) = \Delta(\Delta(\sigma)*\Delta(\tau))$, where $\Delta(\sigma)$ is the exterior algebraic shifted complex of σ . However, Nevo [9] found a counterexample. We quote

The author is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

his example. Let $\Sigma(\sigma)$ denote the suspension of σ , i.e., the join of σ with two points. Nevo showed that if σ is the simplicial complex generated by $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{3, 4\}$ then the 2-skeleton of $\Delta(\Sigma(\sigma))$ is $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 6\}\}$ and that of $\Delta(\Sigma(\Delta(\sigma)))$ is $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4\}\}$.

Next, we will recall Nevo’s conjecture. Let σ and τ be simplicial complexes on $[n]=\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and \prec_{rev} denote the reverse lexicographic order induced by $1 < 2 < \dots < n$. In other words, for $S \subset [n]$ and $R \subset [n]$ with $S \neq R$, define $S \prec_{\text{rev}} R$ if (i) $|S| < |R|$ or (ii) $|S|=|R|$ and the minimal integer in the symmetric difference $(S \setminus R) \cup (R \setminus S)$ belongs to S . For an integer $d \geq 0$, we write $\sigma_d = \{S \in \sigma : |S| = d + 1\}$. Define $\sigma \leq_{\text{Rev}} \tau$ if the smallest element with respect to \prec_{rev} in the symmetric difference between σ_d and τ_d belongs to σ_d for all $d \geq 0$, i.e., $\min_{\prec_{\text{rev}}} \{S : S \in (\sigma_d \setminus \tau_d) \cup (\tau_d \setminus \sigma_d)\} \in \sigma$ for all $d \geq 0$.

Nevo conjectured that ([9, Conjecture 6.1]), for any simplicial complex σ , one has

$$\Delta(\Sigma(\sigma)) \leq_{\text{Rev}} \Delta(\Sigma(\Delta(\sigma))).$$

(In the previous example, the symmetric difference is $\{\{1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 3, 4\}\}$ and $\{1, 2, 6\} \in \Delta(\Sigma(\sigma))$.) In this paper, we will prove a stronger result. For any subset $S \subset [n]$, let

$$m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\sigma) = |\{R \in \sigma : |R| = |S| \text{ and } R \leq_{\text{rev}} S\}|.$$

We will prove the following result. (The definition of $\Delta_\varphi(\sigma)$ will be given in Section 3.)

Theorem 3.1. *Let σ be a simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\varphi \in \text{GL}_n(K)$. Then, for any $S \subset [n]$, one has*

$$m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma)) \geq m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta_\varphi(\sigma))).$$

By using Theorem 3.1, we can easily prove the next corollary which implies Nevo’s conjecture.

Corollary 3.2. *Let σ be a simplicial complex on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and τ be a simplicial complex on $\{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$. Then, for any $S \subset [n]$, one has*

$$m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma * \tau)) \geq m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta(\sigma) * \Delta(\tau))).$$

Now, we will explain why Corollary 3.2 implies Nevo’s conjecture. Let $d \geq 0$ be a positive integer, and let $\mathcal{L} \subset \binom{[n]}{d}$ and $\mathcal{R} \subset \binom{[n]}{d}$ be families of d -subsets of $[n]$ which

satisfy $m_{\succeq_{\text{rev}}, S}(\mathcal{L}) \geq m_{\succeq_{\text{rev}}, S}(\mathcal{R})$ for all $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$. Set

$$T = \min_{\prec_{\text{rev}}} \{S : S \in (\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{R}) \cup (\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{L})\}.$$

Then $m_{\succeq_{\text{rev}}, T}(\mathcal{L})$ must be strictly larger than $m_{\succeq_{\text{rev}}, T}(\mathcal{R})$ since $\{S \in \mathcal{L} : S \prec_{\text{rev}} T\} = \{S \in \mathcal{R} : S \prec_{\text{rev}} T\}$. Thus we have $T \in \mathcal{L}$. This fact together with Corollary 3.2 implies that $\Delta(\sigma * \tau) \leq_{\text{Rev}} \Delta(\Delta(\sigma) * \Delta(\tau))$ for all simplicial complexes σ and τ , and Nevo’s conjecture is the special case when τ consists of two points.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need some techniques of generic initial ideals which have a close connection with algebraic shifting. Let K be an infinite field, V a K -vector space with basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n and $E = \bigoplus_{d=0}^n \bigwedge^d V$ be the exterior algebra of V . For a graded ideal $J \subset E$, we write $\text{Gin}_{\prec}(J)$ for the generic initial ideal of J with respect to a term order \prec . For every monomial $e_S = e_{s_1} \wedge e_{s_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{s_d} \in E$ and for every term order \prec , we write

$$m_{\succeq_{e_S}}(J) = |\{e_R \in J : |R| = |S| \text{ and } e_R \succeq e_S\}|.$$

We will use the following proposition to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $J \subset E$ be a graded ideal and \prec and \prec' be term orders. Then, for any monomial $e_S \in E$, one has*

$$m_{\succeq_{e_S}}(\text{Gin}_{\prec}(J)) \geq m_{\succeq_{e_S}}(\text{Gin}_{\prec}(\text{in}_{\prec'}(J))).$$

Note that the same property as in Proposition 2.4 for generic initial ideals over the polynomial ring was proved by Conca [3].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 1, we will give the definition of generic initial ideals and recall some basic properties. In Section 2, we will prove Proposition 2.4, and in Section 3, we will prove Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

1. Generic initial ideals in the exterior algebra

Let K be an infinite field, V be a K -vector space with basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n and $E = \bigoplus_{d=0}^n \bigwedge^d V$ be the exterior algebra of V . For an integer $d \geq 0$, let $\binom{[n]}{d}$ denote the family of d -subsets of $[n]$. If $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d\} \in \binom{[n]}{d}$ with $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_d$, then the element $e_S = e_{s_1} \wedge e_{s_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{s_d}$ will be called a *monomial* of E of degree d . We refer the reader to [1] for foundations of the Gröbner basis theory over the exterior algebra. Let \prec be a term order. In this paper, for $f = \sum_{S \subset [n]} \alpha_S e_S \in E$ with each $\alpha_S \in K$, we write $\text{in}_{\prec}(f) = \max_{\prec} \{e_S : \alpha_S \neq 0\}$.

Let $\text{GL}_n(K)$ denote the general linear group with coefficients in K . For $\varphi = (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ and for $f(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in E$, we define

$$\varphi(f(e_1, \dots, e_n)) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1}e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in}e_i\right).$$

Also, for a graded ideal $J \subset E$ and for $\varphi \in \text{GL}_n(K)$, define $\varphi(J) = \{\varphi(f) : f \in J\}$. A fundamental theorem of generic initial ideals is the following result.

Theorem 1.1. ([1, Theorem 1.6]) *Fix a term order \prec . Then, for each graded ideal $J \subset E$, there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subset \text{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\text{in}_\prec(\varphi(J))$ is constant for all $\varphi \in U$.*

This monomial ideal $\text{in}_\prec(\varphi(J))$ with $\varphi \in U$ is called the *generic initial ideal of J with respect to the term order \prec* , and will be denoted $\text{Gin}_\prec(J)$.

Definition 1.2. Fix a term order \prec . Given an arbitrary graded ideal $J = \bigoplus_{d=0}^n J_d$ of E with each $J_d \subset \bigwedge^d V$. Fix $\varphi \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ for which $\text{in}_\prec(\varphi(J))$ is the generic initial ideal $\text{Gin}_\prec(J)$ of J . Recall that the subspace $\bigwedge^d V$ is of dimension $\binom{n}{d}$ with a canonical K -basis $\{e_S : S \in \binom{[n]}{d}\}$. Choose an arbitrary K -basis f_1, \dots, f_m of J_d , where $m = \dim_K J_d$. Write each $\varphi(f_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, in the form

$$\varphi(f_i) = \sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{d}} \alpha_i^S e_S$$

with each $\alpha_i^S \in K$. Let $M(J, d)$ denote the $m \times \binom{n}{d}$ matrix

$$M(J, d) = (\alpha_i^S)_{1 \leq i \leq m, S \in \binom{[n]}{d}}$$

whose columns are indexed by $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$. For each $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$, write $M_{\succeq S}(J, d)$ for the submatrix of $M(J, d)$ which consists of the columns of $M(J, d)$ indexed by those $R \in \binom{[n]}{d}$ with $R \succeq S$, and write $M_{\succ S}(J, d)$ for the submatrix of $M_{\succeq S}(J, d)$ which is obtained by removing the column of $M_{\succeq S}(J, d)$ indexed by S .

It is not hard to see that the generic initial ideals can be found by using the rank of these matrices. Indeed, the following properties are known.

Lemma 1.3. ([8, Lemma 2.1]) *Let $e_S \in \bigwedge^d V$ with $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$. Then one has $e_S \in (\text{Gin}_\prec(J))_d$ if and only if $\text{rank}(M_{\succ S}(J, d)) < \text{rank}(M_{\succeq S}(J, d))$.*

Lemma 1.4. ([8, Corollary 2.2]) *The rank of a matrix $M_{\succeq S}(J, d)$, $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$, is independent of the choice of $\varphi \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ for which $\text{Gin}_\prec(J) = \text{in}_\prec(\varphi(J))$ and independent of the choice of the K -basis f_1, \dots, f_m of J_d .*

Lemma 1.5. ([8, Corollary 2.3]) *Let $J \subset E$ be a graded ideal and $\psi \in \text{GL}_n(K)$. Then one has $\text{rank}(M_{\succeq S}(J, d)) = \text{rank}(M_{\succeq S}(\psi(J), d))$ for all $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$.*

Also, the next lemma immediately follows from Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $J \subset E$ be a graded ideal. For every $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$, one has*

$$m_{\succeq e_S}(\text{Gin}_{\prec}(J)) = \text{rank}(M_{\succeq S}(J, d)).$$

2. Proof of Proposition 2.4

We will follow the basic technique developed in [5]. (See also [4, Chapter 15].)

Lemma 2.1. ([5, Corollary 1.7]) *For any term order \prec and for any finite set of monomials $M \subset E$, there exist positive integers d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n such that for any $e_S, e_R \in M$ with $|S| = |R|$, one has $e_S \prec e_R$ if and only if $\sum_{k \in S} d_k > \sum_{k \in R} d_k$.*

For every ideal $J \subset E$, a subset $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \subset J$ is called a *Gröbner basis* of J with respect to \prec if $\{\text{in}_{\prec}(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_{\prec}(g_m)\}$ generates $\text{in}_{\prec}(J)$. A Gröbner basis always exists and is actually a generating set of J ([1, Theorem 1.4]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $K[t]$ be the polynomial ring. Fix a term order \prec . For every graded ideal $J \subset E$, there is a subset $G(t) = \{g_1(t), \dots, g_m(t)\} \subset E \otimes K[t]$ which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *One has $g_i(0) = \text{in}_{\prec}(g_i(t_0))$ for all $t_0 \in K$;*
- (ii) *Let $J(t_0)$ with $t_0 \in K$ be the ideal generated by $G(t_0)$. If $t_0 \neq 0$, then there exists $\varphi_{t_0} \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\varphi_{t_0}(J) = J(t_0)$;*
- (iii) *For all $t_0 \in K$, $G(t_0)$ is a Gröbner basis of $J(t_0)$ with respect to \prec and $\text{in}_{\prec}(J(t_0)) = \text{in}_{\prec}(J)$.*

Proof. Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ be a Gröbner basis of J with respect to \prec , where each g_j is homogeneous. Let $M \subset E$ be the set of monomials. Since M is a finite set, Lemma 2.1 says that there exist positive integers d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n such that, for any $e_S, e_R \in M$ with $|S| = |R|$,

$$(1) \quad e_S \prec e_R \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_{k \in S} d_k > \sum_{k \in R} d_k.$$

Let $e_{S_i} = \text{in}_{\prec}(g_i)$. For each $R \subset [n]$, write $d(R) = \sum_{k \in R} d_k$. Set

$$g_i(e_1, \dots, e_n)(t) = t^{-d(S_i)} g_i(t^{d_1} e_1, \dots, t^{d_n} e_n)$$

and $G(t) = \{g_1(t), \dots, g_m(t)\}$. We will show that this set $G(t)$ satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii).

First, we will show (i). Each $g_i(t)$ can be written in the form

$$g_i(t) = \alpha_{S_i} e_{S_i} + \sum_{R \prec S_i} \alpha_R \cdot t^{d(R)-d(S_i)} \cdot e_R,$$

where $\alpha_{S_i} \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and each $\alpha_R \in K$. Then (1) says that $d(R) - d(S_i) > 0$ for all R with $\alpha_R \neq 0$. Thus we have $g_i(0) = \text{in}_{\prec}(g_i) = \text{in}_{\prec}(g_i(t_0))$ for all $t_0 \in K$ as desired.

Second, for each $t_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}$, define a matrix $\varphi_{t_0} \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ by

$$\varphi_{t_0}(e_i) = t_0^{d_i} e_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then the construction of $g_i(t)$ says that $\varphi_{t_0}(g_i) = t_0^{d(S_i)} g_i(t_0)$, and therefore we have $\varphi_{t_0}(J) = J(t_0)$ for all $t_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Thus (ii) is satisfied.

Finally, we will show (iii). Since we already proved $\text{in}_{\prec}(g_i(t_0)) = \text{in}_{\prec}(g_i)$ for all $t_0 \in K$, what we must prove is $\text{in}_{\prec}(J(t_0)) = \text{in}_{\prec}(J)$. The inclusion $\text{in}_{\prec}(J(t_0)) \supset \text{in}_{\prec}(J)$ follows from $\text{in}_{\prec}(g_i(t_0)) = \text{in}_{\prec}(g_i)$. Recall that J and $\text{in}_{\prec}(J)$ have the same Hilbert function, i.e., we have $\dim_K(J_d) = \dim_K(\text{in}_{\prec}(J)_d)$ for all $d > 0$. Then we have

$$\dim_K(\text{in}_{\prec}(J(t_0))_d) = \dim_K(J(t_0)_d) = \dim_K(J_d) = \dim_K(\text{in}_{\prec}(J)_d).$$

Hence we have $\text{in}_{\prec}(J(t_0)) = \text{in}_{\prec}(J)$. Thus $G(t_0)$ is a Gröbner basis of $J(t_0)$ for all $t_0 \in K$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $J \subset E$ be a graded ideal. For every $t_0 \in K$, let $J(t_0) \subset E$ be the ideal given in Lemma 2.2. Then, for all $d > 0$, there exists a subset $G_d(t) \subset E \otimes K[t]$ such that $G_d(t_0)$ is a K -basis of $J(t_0)_d$ for all $t_0 \in K$.*

Proof. Let $G(t) = \{g_1(t), \dots, g_m(t)\} \subset E \otimes K[t]$ be as given by Lemma 2.2. Let

$$\tilde{G}_d(t) = \{e_S \wedge g_i(t) : \deg(e_S \wedge g_i(0)) = d \text{ and } e_S \wedge g_i(0) \neq 0\}.$$

For every $t_0 \in K$, since $G(t_0)$ is a Gröbner basis of $J(t_0)$ and $g_i(0) = \text{in}_{\prec}(g_i(t_0))$, the set $\{\text{in}_{\prec}(h(t_0)) : h(t_0) \in \tilde{G}_d(t_0)\}$ spans $\text{in}_{\prec}(J(t_0))_d = \text{in}_{\prec}(J)_d$. Also, Lemma 2.2 (i) says that $h(0) = \text{in}_{\prec}(h(t_0))$ for all $t_0 \in K$. Thus there is a subset $G_d(t) \subset \tilde{G}_d(t)$ such that $G_d(0)$ is a K -basis of $\text{in}_{\prec}(J)_d$. On the other hand, for any $t_0 \in K$, since each $h(t_0) \in G_d(t_0)$ has a different initial monomial, the set $G_d(t_0)$ is linearly independent. Thus we have

$$\dim_K(\text{span}(G_d(t_0))) = \dim_K(\text{span}(G_d(0))) = \dim_K(\text{in}_{\prec}(J)_d) = \dim_K(J(t_0)_d),$$

where $\text{span}(A)$ denotes the K -vector space spanned by a finite set $A \subset E$. Hence $G_d(t_0)$ is a K -basis of $J(t_0)_d$ for all $t_0 \in K$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $J \subset E$ be a graded ideal and \prec and \prec' be term orders. Then, for any monomial $e_S \in E$, one has*

$$m_{\geq e_S}(\text{Gin}_{\prec}(J)) \geq m_{\geq e_S}(\text{Gin}_{\prec}(\text{in}_{\prec'}(J))).$$

Proof. First, by Lemma 1.6, we have

$$m_{\geq e_S}(\text{Gin}_{\prec}(J)) = \text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(J, d))$$

and

$$m_{\geq e_S}(\text{Gin}_{\prec}(\text{in}_{\prec'}(J))) = \text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(\text{in}_{\prec'}(J), d)).$$

Thus what we must prove is that $\text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(J, d)) \geq \text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(\text{in}_{\prec'}(J), d))$.

Let $m = \dim_K(J_d)$, $G_d(t) = \{g_1(t), \dots, g_m(t)\} \subset E \otimes K[t]$ be a subset given by Lemma 2.3 with respect to the term order \prec' and $J(t_0)$, where $t_0 \in K$, be the ideal given in Lemma 2.2. Then, for each $t_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $\varphi_{t_0} \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\varphi_{t_0}(J) = J(t_0)$. Thus Lemma 1.5 says that we have

$$(2) \quad \text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(J, d)) = \text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(J(t_0), d)) \quad \text{for all } t_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let $A \subset K$ be a finite set with $0 \in A$ and $|A| \gg 0$. Then Theorem 1.1 says that, for each $a \in A$, there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $U_a \subset \text{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\text{Gin}(J(a)) = \text{in}_{\prec}(\varphi(J(a)))$ for all $\varphi \in U_a$. As $U = \bigcap_{a \in A} U_a$ is also a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\text{GL}_n(K)$, we have $\text{Gin}_{\prec}(J(a)) = \text{in}_{\prec}(\varphi(J(a)))$ for all $\varphi \in U$ and all $a \in A$.

Fix $\varphi \in U$. Each $\varphi(g_i(t))$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$, can be written in the form

$$\varphi(g_i(t)) = \sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{d}} \alpha_i^S(t) e_S,$$

where $\alpha_i^S(t) \in K[t]$. Define the matrix $\widetilde{M}_{\geq S}(J, d, t) = (\alpha_i^R(t))_{1 \leq i \leq m, R \geq S}$ in the same way as in Definition 1.2. Recall that Lemma 2.3 says that $G_d(a)$ is a K -basis of $J(a)_d$ for all $a \in A$. Since Lemma 1.4 says that $\text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(J(a), d))$ is independent of the choice of a K -basis and independent of the choice of $\varphi \in U_a$, it follows that

$$(3) \quad \text{rank}(\widetilde{M}_{\geq S}(J, d, a)) = \text{rank}(M_{\geq S}(J(a), d)) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Let $l = \max\{\deg(\alpha_i^S(t)) : 1 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } S \in \binom{[n]}{d}\}$. Recall that the rank of matrices is equal to the maximal size of the nonzero minors. In this case, each minor of $\widetilde{M}_{\geq S}(J, d, t)$ is a polynomial of $K[t]$ and has at most degree lm . Furthermore, the number of nonzero minors of $\widetilde{M}_{\geq S}(J, d, t)$ is finite. Since the integers l and m do not depend on A and $|A|$ is sufficiently large, there exists $a_0 \neq 0 \in A$ such

that $f(a_0) \neq 0$ for all nonzero minors $f(t)$ of $\widetilde{M}_{\succeq S}(J, d, t)$. In particular, we have $\text{rank}(\widetilde{M}_{\succeq S}(J, d, a_0)) \geq \text{rank}(\widetilde{M}_{\succeq S}(J, d, t_0))$ for all $t_0 \in K$. Recall that Lemma 2.2 (i) and (iii) say that $J(0) = \text{in}_{\prec'}(J)$. Then, by (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(M_{\succeq S}(J, d)) &= \text{rank}(M_{\succeq S}(J(a_0), d)) = \text{rank}(\widetilde{M}_{\succeq S}(J, d, a_0)) \\ &\geq \text{rank}(\widetilde{M}_{\succeq S}(J, d, 0)) = \text{rank}(M_{\succeq S}(\text{in}_{\prec'}(J), d)), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

3. Exterior shifting of the join of simplicial complexes

Let σ be a simplicial complex on $[n]$. The exterior face ideal J_σ of σ is the ideal of E generated by all monomials e_S with $S \notin \sigma$. For every $\varphi \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ and for every simplicial complex σ , the simplicial complex $\Delta_\varphi(\sigma)$ is defined by $J_{\Delta_\varphi(\sigma)} = \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(\varphi(J_\sigma))$. The exterior algebraic shifted complex $\Delta(\sigma)$ of σ is the simplicial complex defined by $J_{\Delta(\sigma)} = \text{Gin}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(J_\sigma)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let σ be a simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\varphi \in \text{GL}_n(K)$. Then, for any $S \subset [n]$, one has*

$$m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma)) \geq m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta_\varphi(\sigma))).$$

Proof. By the definition of exterior face ideals, for any d -subset $S \in \binom{[n]}{d}$ and for any simplicial complex τ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\tau) &= |\tau_{d-1}| - |\{R \in \tau_{d-1} : R \succ_{\text{rev}} S\}| \\ (4) \quad &= |\tau_{d-1}| - |\{R \in \binom{[n]}{d} : R \succ_{\text{rev}} S\}| + |\{e_R \in I_\tau : R \succ_{\text{rev}} S\}|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.4 says that, for any $S \subset [n]$, one has

$$(5) \quad m_{\succeq_{\text{rev}} e_S}(\text{Gin}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(\varphi(J_\sigma))) \geq m_{\succeq_{\text{rev}} e_S}(\text{Gin}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(\varphi(J_\sigma)))).$$

Also, Lemma 1.5 says that

$$(6) \quad J_{\Delta(\sigma)} = \text{Gin}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(J_\sigma) = \text{Gin}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(\varphi(J_\sigma))$$

and

$$(7) \quad J_{\Delta(\Delta_\varphi(\sigma))} = \text{Gin}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{rev}}}(\varphi(J_\sigma))).$$

Then, equalities (4), (5), (6) and (7) say that

$$m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma)) \geq m_{\prec_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta_\varphi(\sigma))),$$

as desired. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let σ be a simplicial complex on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and τ be a simplicial complex on $\{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$. Then, for any $S \subset [n]$, one has*

$$m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma * \tau)) \geq m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta(\sigma) * \Delta(\tau))).$$

Proof. Let $l = |\{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}|$. Then there exist $\varphi \in \text{GL}_k(K)$ and $\psi \in \text{GL}_l(K)$ such that $\Delta_\varphi(\sigma) = \Delta(\sigma)$ and $\Delta_\psi(\tau) = \Delta(\tau)$. For $\varphi \in \text{GL}_k(K)$, we define $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ by

$$\bar{\varphi}(e_i) = \begin{cases} \varphi(e_i), & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \\ e_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, for any $\psi \in \text{GL}_l(K)$, define $\bar{\psi} \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ in the same way. Then we have

$$\Delta_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Delta_{\bar{\psi}}(\sigma * \tau)) = \Delta_{\bar{\varphi}}(\sigma * \Delta(\tau)) = \Delta(\sigma) * \Delta(\tau).$$

Theorem 3.1 now says that

$$m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\sigma * \tau)) \geq m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Delta_{\bar{\psi}}(\sigma * \tau)))) = m_{\leq_{\text{rev}} S}(\Delta(\Delta(\sigma) * \Delta(\tau))),$$

as desired. \square

Remark. Proposition 2.4 holds for an arbitrary term order. However, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 only hold for the reverse lexicographic order. Recall that Nevo’s example says that

$$\Delta(\Sigma(\sigma)) = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 6\}\}$$

and

$$\Delta(\Sigma(\Delta(\sigma))) = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4\}\},$$

where σ is the simplicial complex generated by $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{3, 4\}$. In this case, $\{1, 2, 5\}$ and $\{1, 2, 6\}$ are larger than $\{1, 3, 4\}$ with respect to the lexicographic order \prec_{lex} induced by $1 < 2 < \dots < n$. This implies that $m_{\leq_{\text{lex}} \{1, 3, 4\}}(\Delta(\Sigma(\sigma))) = 2$ but $m_{\leq_{\text{lex}} \{1, 3, 4\}}(\Delta(\Sigma(\Delta(\sigma)))) = 3$.

References

1. ARAMOVA, A., HERZOG, J. and HIBI, T., Gotzmann theorems for exterior algebras and combinatorics, *J. Algebra* **191** (1997), 174–211.
2. BJØRNER, A. and KALAI, G., An extended Euler–Poincaré theorem, *Acta Math.* **161** (1988), 279–303.

3. CONCA, A., Reduction numbers and initial ideals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 1015–1020.
4. EISENBUD, D., *Commutative Algebra*, Grad. Texts in Math. **150**, Springer, New York, 1995.
5. GREEN, M. L., Generic initial ideals, in *Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra (Bellaterra, 1996)*, Progr. Math. **166**, pp. 119–186, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
6. HERZOG, J., Generic initial ideals and graded Betti numbers, in *Computational Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics (Osaka, 1999)*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **33**, pp. 75–120, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.
7. KALAI, G., Algebraic shifting, in *Computational Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics (Osaka, 1999)*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **33**, pp. 121–163, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.
8. MURAI, S. and HIBI, T., The behavior of graded betti numbers via algebraic shifting and combinatorial shifting, *preprint*, 2005, arXiv:math.AC/0503685.
9. NEVO, E., Algebraic shifting and basic constructions on simplicial complexes, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **22** (2005), 411–433.

Satoshi Murai
Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Graduate School of Information Science and Technology
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043
Japan
s-murai@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received July 21, 2006

published online February 13, 2007