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#### Abstract

We study $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded identities of simple Lie superalgebras over a field of characteristic zero. We prove the existence of the graded PI-exponent for such algebras.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be an algebra over a field $F$ with char $F=0$. A natural way of measuring the polynomial identities satisfied by $A$ is by studying the asymptotic behaviour of its sequence of codimensions $\left\{c_{n}(A)\right\}, n=1,2, \ldots$. If $A$ is a finite dimensional algebra then the sequence $\left\{c_{n}(A)\right\}$ is exponentially bounded. In this case it is natural to ask the question about existence of the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}(A)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the PI-exponent of $A$. Such question was first asked for associative algebras by Amitsur at the end of 1980 's. A positive answer was given in [6]. Subsequently it was shown that the same problem has a positive solution for finite dimensional Lie algebras [14], for finite dimensional alternative and Jordan algebras [5] and for some other classes. Recently it was shown that in general the limit (1) does not exist even if $\left\{c_{n}(A)\right\}$ is exponentially bounded [15]. The counterexample constructed in [15] is infinite dimensional whereas for finite dimensional algebras the problem of the existence of the PI-exponent is still open. Nevertheless, if $\operatorname{dim} A<\infty$ and $A$ is simple then the PI-exponent of $A$ exists as it was proved in [8].

If in addition $A$ has a group grading then graded identities, graded codimensions and graded PI-exponents can also be considered. In this paper we discuss

[^0]graded codimensions behaviour for finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebras. Graded codimensions of finite dimensional Lie superalgebras were studied in a number of papers (see for example, [11] and [12]). In particular, in [11] an upper bound of graded codimension growth was found for one of the series of simple Lie superalgebras.

In the present paper we prove that the graded PI-exponent of any finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra always exists. All details concerning numerical PI-theory can be found in [7].

## 2. Main constructions and definitions

Let $L=L_{0} \oplus L_{1}$ be a Lie superalgebra. Elements from the component $L_{0}$ are called even and elements from $L_{1}$ are called odd. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ a free Lie superalgebra with infinite sets of even generators $X$ and odd generators $Y$. A polynomial $f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is said to be a graded identity of Lie superalgebra $L=L_{0} \oplus L_{1}$ if $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=0$ whenever $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in L_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in L_{1}$.

Denote by $\mathrm{Id}^{g r}(L)$ the set of all graded identities of $L$. Then $\mathrm{Id}^{g r}(L)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Given non-negative integers $0 \leq k \leq n$, let $P_{k, n-k}$ be the subspace of all multilinear polynomials $f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-k}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ of degree $k$ on even variables and of degree $n-k$ on odd variables. Then $P_{k, n-k} \cap \mathrm{Id}^{g r}(L)$ is the subspace of all multilinear graded identities of $L$ of total degree $n$ depending on $k$ even variables and $n-k$ odd variables. Denote also by $P_{k, n-k}(L)$ the quotient

$$
P_{k, n-k}(L)=\frac{P_{k, n-k}}{P_{k, n-k} \cap \mathrm{Id}^{g r}(L)}
$$

Then the partial graded $(k, n-k)$-codimension of $L$ is

$$
c_{k, n-k}(L)=\operatorname{dim} P_{k, n-k}(L)
$$

and the total graded $n$th codimension of $L$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}^{g r}(L)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} c_{k, n-k}(L) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the sequence $\left\{c_{n}^{g r}(L)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is exponentially bounded then one can consider the related bounded sequence $\sqrt[n]{e_{n}^{g r}(L)}$. The latter sequence has the following lower and upper limits

$$
\underline{\exp }^{g r}(L)=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\exp }^{g r}(L)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)}
$$

called the lower and upper PI-exponents of $L$, respectively. If the ordinary limit exists, it is called the (ordinary) graded PI-exponent of $L$,

$$
\exp ^{g r}(L)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)}
$$

Symmetric groups and their representations play an important role in the theory of codimensions. In particular, in the case of graded identities one can consider the $S_{k} \times S_{n-k}$-action on multilinear graded polynomials. Namely, the subspace $P_{k, n-k} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ has a natural structure of $S_{k} \times S_{n-k}$-module where $S_{k}$ acts on even variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ while $S_{n-k}$ acts on odd variables $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-k}$. Clearly, $P_{k, n-k} \cap \mathrm{Id}^{g r}(L)$ is the submodule under this action and we get an induced $S_{k} \times S_{n-k}$-action on $P_{k, n-k}(L)$. The character $\chi_{k, n-k}(L)=\chi\left(P_{k, n-k}(L)\right)$ is called ( $k, n-k$ ) cocharacter of $L$. Since char $F=0$, this character can be decomposed into the sum of irreducible characters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{k, n-k}(L)=\sum_{\substack{\lambda+k \\ \mu \vdash-k-k}} m_{\lambda, \mu} \chi_{\lambda, \mu} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are partitions of $k$ and $n-k$, respectively. All details concerning representations of symmetric groups can be found in [9]. An application of $S_{n}$-representations in PI-theory can be found in [1], [3], [7].

Recall that an irreducible $S_{k} \times S_{n-k}$-module with the character $\chi_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the tensor product of $S_{k}$-module with the character $\chi_{\lambda}$ and $S_{n-k}$-module with the character $\chi_{\mu}$. In particular, the dimension $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda, \mu}$ of this module is the product $d_{\lambda} d_{\mu}$ where $d_{\lambda}=\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda}, d_{\mu}=\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu}$. Taking into account multiplicities $m_{\lambda, \mu}$ in (3) we get the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k, n-k}(L)=\sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} m_{\lambda, \mu} d_{\lambda} d_{\mu} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A number of irreducible components in the decomposition of $\chi_{k, n-k}(L)$, i.e. the sum

$$
l_{k, n-k}(L)=\sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} m_{\lambda, \mu}
$$

is called the $(k, n-k)$-colength of $L$. The total graded colength $l_{n}^{g r}(L)$ is

$$
l_{n}^{g r}(L)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} l_{k, n-k}(L)
$$

Now let $L$ be a finite dimensional Lie superalgebra, $\operatorname{dim} L=d$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}^{g r}(L) \leq d^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the results of [2] (see also [4]). On the other hand, there exists a polynomial $\varphi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{n}^{g r} \leq \varphi(n) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n=1,2, \ldots$ as it was mentioned in [11]. Note also that $m_{\lambda, \mu} \neq 0$ in (3) only if $\lambda \vdash k, \mu \vdash n-k$ are partitions with at most $d$ components, that is $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right)$, $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{q}\right)$ and $p, q \leq d=\operatorname{dim} L$.

Since all partitions under our consideration are of the height at most $d$, we will use the following agreement. If say, $\lambda$ is a partition of $k$ with $p<d$ components then we will write $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right)$ anyway, assuming that $\lambda_{p+1}=\ldots=\lambda_{d}=0$.

For studying asymptotic behaviour of codimensions it is convenient to use the following function defined on partitions. Let $\nu$ be a partition of $m, \nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{d}\right)$. We introduce the following function of $\nu$ :

$$
\Phi(\nu)=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\nu_{1}}{m}\right)^{\frac{\nu_{1}}{m}} \ldots\left(\frac{\nu_{d}}{m}\right)^{\frac{\nu_{d}}{m}}} .
$$

The values $\Phi(\nu)^{m}$ and $d_{\nu}=\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\nu}$ are very close in the following sense.
Lemma 2.1. [8, Lemma 1] Let $m \geq 100$. Then

$$
\frac{\Phi(\nu)^{m}}{m^{d^{2}+d}} \leq d_{\nu} \leq m \Phi(\nu)^{m}
$$

Function $\Phi$ has also the following useful property. Let $\nu$ and $\rho$ be two partitions of $m$ with the corresponding Young diagrams $D_{\nu}, D_{\rho}$. We say that $D_{\rho}$ is obtained from $D_{\nu}$ by pushing down one box if there exist $1 \leq i<j \leq d$ such that $\rho_{i}=\nu_{i}-1, \rho_{j}=$ $\nu_{j}+1$ and $\rho_{t}=\nu_{t}$ for all remaining $1 \leq t \leq d$.

Lemma 2.2. (see [8, Lemma 3], [16, Lemma 2]) Let $D_{\rho}$ be obtained from $D_{\nu}$ by pushing down one box. Then $\Phi(\rho) \geq \Phi(\nu)$.

## 3. Existence of graded PI-exponents

Throughout this section let $L=L_{0} \oplus L_{1}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra, $\operatorname{dim} L=d$. Then by (5) its upper graded PI-exponent exists,

$$
a=\overline{\exp }^{g r}(L)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)}
$$

Note that the even component $L_{0}$ of $L$ is not solvable since $L$ is simple (see [13, Chapter 3, §2, Proposition 2]).

We shall need the following fact.

Remark 3.1. Let $G$ be a non-solvable finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field $F$ of characteristic zero. Then the ordinary PI-exponent of $G$ exists and is an integer not less than 2.

Proof. It is known that $c_{n}(G)$ is either polynomially bounded or it grows exponentially not slower that $2^{n}$ (see [10]). The first option is possible only if $G$ is solvable. On the other hand $\exp (G)$ always exists and is an integer [14] therefore we are done.

By the previous remark $P_{n, 0}(L) \gtrsim 2^{n}$ asymptotically and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \geq 2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma is the key technical step in the proof of our main result.
Lemma 3.2. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $\delta>0$ there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots$ such that
(i) $\sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)}>(1-\delta)(a-\varepsilon)$ for all $n=n_{q}, q=1,2, \ldots$,
(ii) $n_{q+1}-n_{q} \leq n_{0}+d$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon, \delta>0$. Since $a$ is an upper limit there exist infinitely many indices $n_{0}$ such that

$$
c_{n_{0}}^{g r}(L)>(a-\varepsilon)^{n_{0}} .
$$

Fixing one of $n_{0}$ we can find an integer $0 \leq k_{0} \leq n_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n_{0}}{k_{0}} c_{k_{0}, n_{0}-k_{0}}(L)>\frac{1}{n_{0}+1}(a-\varepsilon)^{n_{0}}>\frac{1}{2 n_{0}}(a-\varepsilon)^{n_{0}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see (2)). Relation (6) shows that

$$
\sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} m_{\lambda, \mu} \leq \varphi(n)
$$

for any $0 \leq k \leq n$ where $m_{\lambda, \mu}$ are taken from (3). Then (4) implies the existence of partitions $\lambda \vdash k_{0}, \mu \vdash n_{0}-k_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n_{0}}{k_{0}} d_{\lambda} d_{\mu}>\frac{1}{2 n_{0} \varphi\left(n_{0}\right)}(a-\varepsilon)^{n_{0}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter inequality means that there exists a multilinear polynomial

$$
f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{0}}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{0}-k_{0}}\right) \in P_{k_{0}, n_{0}-k_{0}}
$$

such that $F\left[S_{k_{0}} \times S_{n_{0}-k_{0}}\right] f$ is an irreducible $F\left[S_{k_{0}} \times S_{n_{0}-k_{0}}\right]$-submodule $P_{k_{0}, n_{0}-k_{0}}$ with the character $\chi_{\lambda, \mu}$ and $f \notin \mathrm{Id}^{g r}(L)$. In particular, there exist $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k_{0}} \in L_{0}$, $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n_{0}-k_{0}} \in L_{1}$ such that

$$
A=f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k_{0}}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n_{0}-k_{0}}\right) \neq 0
$$

in $L$. First we will show how to find $n_{1}, k_{1}$ which are approximately equal to $2 n_{0}, 2 k_{0}$, respectively, satisfying the same inequality as (8).

Since $L$ is simple and $A \neq 0$ the ideal generated by $A$ coincides with $L$. Clearly, every simple Lie superalgebra is centerless. Hence one can find $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d_{1}} \in L_{0} \cup L_{1}$ such that

$$
\left[A, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d_{1}}, A\right] \neq 0
$$

and $d_{1} \leq d-1$. Here we use the left-normed notation $[[a, b], c]=[a, b, c]$ for nonassociative products. It follows that a polynomial

$$
\left[f_{1}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d_{1}}, f_{2}\right]=g_{2} \in P_{2 k_{0}+p, 2 n_{0}-2 k_{0}+r}, \quad p+r=d_{1}
$$

is also a non-identity of $L$ where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d_{1}} \in X \cup Y$ are even or odd variables, whereas $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are copies of $f$ written on disjoint sets of indeterminates,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=f\left(x_{1}^{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{0}}^{1}, y_{1}^{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{0}-k_{0}}^{1}\right) \\
& f_{2}=f\left(x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{k_{0}}^{2}, y_{1}^{2}, \ldots, y_{n_{0}-k_{0}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the $S_{2 k_{0}} \times S_{2 n_{0}-2 k_{0}}$-action on $P_{2 k_{0}+p, 2 n_{0}-2 k_{0}+r}$ where $S_{2 k_{0}}$ acts on $x_{1}^{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{0}}^{1}, x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{k_{0}}^{2}$ and $S_{2 n_{0}-2 k_{0}}$ acts on $y_{1}^{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{0}-k_{0}}^{1}, y_{1}^{2}, \ldots, y_{n_{0}-k_{0}}^{2}$. Denote by $M$ the $F\left[S_{2 k_{0}} \times S_{2 n_{0}-2 k_{0}}\right]$-submodule generated by $g_{2}$ and examine its character. It follows from Richardson-Littlewood rule that

$$
\chi(M)=\sum_{\substack{\nu \vdash 2 k_{0} \\ \rho \vdash 2 n_{0}-2 k_{0}}} t_{\nu, \rho} \chi_{\nu, \rho}
$$

where either $\nu=2 \lambda=\left(2 \lambda_{1}, \ldots, 2 \lambda_{d}\right)$ or $\nu$ is obtained from $2 \lambda$ by pushing down one or more boxes of $D_{2 \lambda}$. Similarly, $\rho$ is either equal to $2 \mu$ or $\rho$ is obtained from $2 \mu$ by pushing down one or more boxes of $D_{2 \mu}$. Then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\Phi(\nu) \geq \Phi(2 \lambda)=\Phi(\lambda) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(\rho) \geq \Phi(2 \mu)=\Phi(\mu)
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n_{0}}{k_{0}}(\Phi(\lambda) \Phi(\mu))^{n_{0}}>\frac{1}{2 n_{0}^{3} \varphi\left(n_{0}\right)}(a-\varepsilon)^{n_{0}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we present the lower bound for binomial coefficients in terms of function $\Phi$. Clearly, the pair ( $k, n-k$ ) is a two-component partition of $n$ if $k \geq n-k$. Otherwise $(n-k, k)$ is a partition of $n$. Since $x^{-x} y^{-y}=y^{-y} x^{-x}$ for all $x, y \geq 0, x+y=1$, we will use the notation $\Phi\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{n-k}{n}\right)$ in both cases $k \geq n-k$ or $n-k \geq k$. Then it easily follows from the Stirling formula that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{n} \leq\binom{ n}{k} \leq n \Phi\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{n}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{q k_{0}}{q n_{0}}>\frac{1}{q n_{0}} \Phi\left(\frac{q k_{0}}{q n_{0}}, \frac{q n_{0}-q k_{0}}{q n_{0}}\right)^{q n_{0}}=\frac{1}{q n_{0}} \Phi\left(\frac{k_{0}}{n_{0}}, \frac{n_{0}-k_{0}}{n_{0}}\right)^{q n_{0}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all integers $q \geq 2$ and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi\left(\frac{k_{0}}{n_{0}}, \frac{n_{0}-k_{0}}{n_{0}}\right) \Phi(\lambda) \Phi(\mu)\right)^{n_{0}}>\frac{1}{2 n_{0}^{4} \varphi\left(n_{0}\right)}(a-\varepsilon)^{n_{0}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of (10).
Recall that we have constructed earlier a multilinear polynomial $g_{2}=\left[f_{1}, z_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.z_{d_{1}}, f_{2}\right]$ which is not a graded identity of $L$ and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are copies of $f$. Applying the same procedure we can construct a non-identity of the type

$$
g_{q}=\left[g_{q-1}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d_{q-1}}, f_{q}\right]
$$

of total degree $n_{q-1}=n_{q-2}+n_{0}+w_{1}+\ldots+w_{d_{q-1}}$ where $d_{q-1} \leq d$ and $f_{q}$ is again a copy of $f$ for all $q \geq 2$.

As in the case $q=2$ the $F\left[S_{q k_{0}} \times S_{q n_{0}-q k_{0}}\right]$-submodule of $P_{k, n-k}(L)$ (where $n=n_{q-1}=q n_{0}+p^{\prime}, k=k_{q-1}=q k_{0}+p^{\prime \prime}$ ) contains an irreducible summand with the character $\chi_{\nu, \rho}$ where $\nu \vdash q k_{0}, \rho \vdash q n_{0}-q k_{0}, \Phi(\nu) \geq \Phi(\lambda), \Phi(\rho) \geq \Phi(\mu)$. Moreover, for $n=n_{q-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n}^{g r}(L) & \geq\binom{ q n_{0}}{q k_{0}} d_{\nu} d_{\rho}>\frac{1}{n^{2 d^{2}+2 d}}\binom{q n_{0}}{q k_{0}}(\Phi(\lambda) \Phi(\mu))^{q n_{0}} \\
& >\frac{1}{n^{2 d^{2}+2 d+1}}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{k_{0}}{n_{0}}, \frac{n_{0}-k_{0}}{n_{0}}\right) \Phi(\lambda) \Phi(\mu)\right)^{q n_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.1 and the inequality (11). Now it follows from (12) that

$$
c_{n}^{g r}(L)>\frac{1}{n^{2 d^{2}+2 d+1}} \frac{1}{\left(2 n_{0}^{4} \varphi\left(n_{0}\right)\right)^{q}}(a-\varepsilon)^{q n_{0}} .
$$

Note that $q n_{0} \leq n \leq q n_{0}+q d$. Hence $q / n \leq 1 / n_{0}$ and

$$
(a-\varepsilon)^{q n_{0}} \geq \frac{(a-\varepsilon)^{n}}{a^{q d}}
$$

since $a \geq 2$ (see (7)). Therefore

$$
\sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)}>\frac{(a-\varepsilon)^{n}}{n^{\frac{2 d^{2}+2 d+1}{n}}\left(2 a^{d} n_{0}^{4} \varphi\left(n_{0}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n_{0}}}}
$$

for all $n=n_{q-1}, q=1,2, \ldots$. Finally note that the initial $n_{0}$ can be taken to be arbitrarily large. Hence we can suppose that

$$
n^{-\frac{2 d^{2}+2 d+1}{n}}\left(2 a^{d} n_{0}^{4} \varphi\left(n_{0}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{n_{0}}}>1-\delta
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Hence the inequality

$$
\sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)}>(1-\delta)(a-\varepsilon)^{n}
$$

holds for all $n=n_{q}, q=0,1, \ldots$. The second inequality $n_{q+1}-n_{q} \leq n_{0}+d$ follows from the construction of the sequence $n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots$, and we have thus completed the proof.

Now we are ready to prove the main result of the paper.
Theorem 3.3. Let $L$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then its graded PI-exponent

$$
\exp ^{g r}(L)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)}
$$

exists an is less than or equal to $d=\operatorname{dim} L$.
Proof. First note that, given a multilinear polynomial $h=h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.y_{n-k}\right) \in P_{k, n-k}$, the linear span $M$ of all its values in $L$ is a $L_{0}$-module since

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[h, z]=} & \sum_{i} h\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\left[x_{i}, z\right], \ldots, x_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j} h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots,\left[y_{j}, z\right], \ldots, y_{n-k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $z \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)_{0}$. Hence $M L_{1} \neq 0$ in $L$ and $0 \equiv[h, w]$ is not an identity of $L$ for odd variable $w$ as soon as $h \notin \mathrm{Id}^{g r}(L)$. It follows that

$$
c_{k, n-k+1}(L) \geq c_{k, n-k}(L)
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}^{g r}(L) \geq c_{m}^{g r}(L) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq m$.
Fix arbitrary small $\varepsilon, \delta>0$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists an increasing sequence $n_{q}, q=1,2, \ldots$, such that $c_{n}^{g r}(L)>((1-\delta)(a-\varepsilon))^{n}$ for all $n=n_{q}, q=0,1, \ldots$, and $n_{q+1}-n_{q} \leq n_{0}+d$. Denote $b=(1-\delta)(a-\varepsilon)$ and take an arbitrary $n_{q}<n<n_{q+1}$. Then $c_{n}^{g r}(L) \geq c_{n_{q}}^{g r}(L)$ and $n-n_{q} \leq n_{0}+d$. Referring to (7) we may assume that $b>1$. Then $b^{n_{q}} \geq b^{n} \cdot b^{-\left(n_{0}+d\right)}$ and

$$
c_{n}^{g r}(L) \geq\left(b^{1-\frac{n_{0}+d}{n}}\right)^{n}
$$

for all $n_{q} \leq n \leq n_{q+1}$ and all $q=0,1, \ldots$, that is for all sufficiently large $n$. The latter inequality means that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}^{g r}(L)} \geq(1-\delta) b=(1-\delta)^{2}(a-\varepsilon)
$$

Since $\varepsilon, \delta$ were chosen to be arbitrary, we have thus completed the proof of the theorem.
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