# THE MAXIMUM MODULUS AND VALENCY OF FUNCTIONS MEROMORPHIC IN THE UNIT CIRCLE. 

By<br>W. K. HAYMAN<br>of Exeter, England.<br>(Continued from vol. 86: 1-2.)<br>Chapter III.<br>Converse Theorems.

I) The aim of this chapter is to prove converse theorems to the results of Chapter II. We remind the reader of the fundamental problem, which is to investigate the rate of growth of the maximum modulus of a function $f(z)$, meromorphic in $|z|<\mathrm{r}$, which takes none of a set $E$ of complex values more than $p(\varrho)$ times in $|z|<\varrho, 0<\varrho<1$. In this chapter we shall construct examples to show that all the results we have proved give the correct order of magnitude for $\log M[\varrho, f]$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\varrho) \equiv(I-\varrho)^{-a}, \quad 0 \leq a<\infty . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $f(z)$ which we construct will be regular, nonzero so that $f(z)=f_{*}(z)$.
We remind the reader of the four separate problems we considered in the latter half of Chapter II as stated in paragraph ig of that chapter.
(i) What results hold if $E$ contains the whole $w$ plane?
(ii) What sets $E$ have the same effect as the whole plane for a given function $p(\varrho)$ ?
(iii) What results hold if we merely assume that $E$ contains some arbitrarily large values?
(iv) What results hold if we assume merely that $E$ contains $\infty$ and at least two finite values or a bounded set?

The positive theorem in case (i) was proved in Theorem VII, Corollary, of Chapter II. In the case of (I.I) above this result yields

$$
\log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]=o\left\{\log \frac{1}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}\right\}, \quad a=0
$$

(1.3) $\quad \log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]=O(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}, \quad a>0$.

Both these inequalities were shown to give the best possible order in paragraph 21 of Chapter II. This disposes of problem (i).

Problem (iv) is also fairly easy to deal with. The positive results were proved in Chapter II, Theorem V. In the case of (I.I) this theorem yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]=\frac{O(\mathrm{I})}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}, \quad a<\mathrm{I} \tag{I.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]=O\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}\right), \quad a=\mathrm{I} \tag{I.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]=O(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}, \quad a>\mathrm{I} \tag{土.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (1.6) is the same as (I.3). This shows that in the case $a>1$ the set $E\{0, \mathrm{I}, \infty\}$, has much the same effect as the whole plane, on the order of growth of $\log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]$. This also disposes of the problems (ii) and (iii) in this case and leaves us with the case of (1.I) when $0 \leq a \leq 1$.

Consider now problem (iv), when $E$ is bounded, in this case. We need to give converse examples to (1.4) and (1.5). The functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=M \exp \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provide convenient converses to (I:4). For given any bounded set $E$, we can choose $M$ so that for every value $w$ in $E$ we have

$$
|w|<M
$$

Then the functions $f(z)$ of (1.7) take no value of $E$ in $|z|<1$ while at the same time we have

$$
\log M[\varrho, f]=\frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}-\log M .
$$

Thus (I.4) cannot be sharpened even when $a=0$ and so a fortiori not when $\mathrm{o}<a<\mathrm{I}$.
2) The converse example to ( I .5 ) is a little more intricate. We shall be able to use it later to construct the very much more recondite counterexamples in problems (ii) and (iii) where $E$ is unbounded. We need first

Lemma 1. Let $Z=X+i Y$ and let

$$
(2.1)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\xi+i \eta=\phi(Z)=Z \log (1+Z) \tag{2.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $X>0, \phi(Z)$ is schlicht and further if $\xi \leq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\eta|<\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{X^{2}+Y^{2}}{X} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let
(2.3)

$$
Z_{1}=X_{1}+i Y_{1}, Z_{2}=X_{2}+i Y_{2}, X_{1}>0, X_{2}>0
$$

and suppose $Z_{1} \neq Z_{2}$. We have to show that
(2.4)

$$
\phi\left(Z_{1}\right) \neq \phi\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

We have
(2.5)

$$
\arg \phi(Z)=\arg Z+\arg \log (\mathrm{I}+Z)
$$

Both terms on the right hand side of (2.5) have the same sign as $Y$, when $Z=X+i Y$ and $X>0$. Thus $\phi(Z)$ is real if and only if $Y=0$, and otherwise $\mathfrak{J}\{\phi(Z)\}$ has the same sign as $Y$. Thus (2.4) certainly holds unless $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ have the same sign or are both zero. But if $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ are both zero (2.4) holds trivially unless $Z_{1}=Z_{2}$, since for real $Z, \phi(Z)$ is an increasing function of $Z$. Suppose now that
(2.6)

$$
Y_{1}>0, \quad Y_{2}>0
$$

Write
(2.7)

$$
\phi^{\prime}(Z)=U+i V=\log (1+Z)+\frac{Z}{1+Z} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(Z_{2}\right)-\phi\left(Z_{1}\right)=\int_{Z_{1}}^{Z_{2}}(U d X-V d Y)+i(V d X+U d Y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is taken along the straightline segment joining $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$. We may suppose without loss in generality that
(2.9)

$$
X_{1} \leq X_{2}
$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.3) that
in (2.7). Suppose first

$$
U>0, \quad V>0
$$

$$
Y_{1} \leq Y_{2}
$$

Then it follows that both $d X$ and $d Y$ are non negative and one of them is strictly positive in (2.8). Hence

$$
\int_{Z_{1}}^{Z_{3}}(V d X+U d Y)>0
$$

so that (2.4) holds. Similarly if

$$
Y_{2} \leq Y_{1}
$$

we have $d X \geq 0, d Y \leq 0$ in (2.8) so that

$$
\int_{Z_{1}}^{Z_{3}}(U d X-V d Y)>0
$$

This completes the proof of (2.4) if (2.6) holds. The result follows when $Y_{1}<0$, $Y_{2}<0$ by taking complex conjugates. Thus in all cases (2.3) implies (2.4) unless $Z_{1}=Z_{2}$, so that $\phi(Z)$ is schlicht in $X>0$.

To complete the proof of lemma 1 , we prove (2.2). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=X \log |\mathrm{I}+Z|-Y \arg (\mathrm{I}+Z) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2:11)

$$
\eta=X \arg (\mathrm{I}+Z)+Y \log |\mathrm{I}+Z|
$$

Hence if $\xi \leq 0$ (2.10) gives

$$
X \log |\mathrm{I}+Z| \leq Y \arg (\mathrm{I}+Z)
$$

so that (2.11) gives

$$
|\eta| \leq|\arg (\mathrm{I}+Z)|\left(X+\frac{Y^{2}}{X}\right)<\frac{\pi\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)}{2 X}
$$

This proves (2.2) and completes the proof of lemma $I$.
3). We can now provide a counterexample to (1.5) and thus dispose of Problem (iv) of paragraph I. We have

Theorem I. Suppose that $1<M<\infty$. Then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=M \exp \frac{\mathrm{I}}{4}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{I}+z}{\mathrm{I}-z} \log \frac{2}{\mathrm{I}-z}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is regular nonzero in $|z|<1$ and takes no value $w$ such that $|w|<M$ more than $\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)$ times in $|z| \leq \varrho, \mathrm{o}<\varrho<\mathrm{I}$. Further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log f(\varrho)>\frac{1}{4(1-\varrho)} \log \frac{1}{1-\varrho} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.2) is obvious. Suppose now that

Write

$$
f(z)=w
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=X+i Y=\frac{1+z}{I-z} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\exp \left\{\frac{1}{4} Z \log (\mathrm{I}+Z)\right\}=\frac{w}{M}
$$

so that
(3.4) $\quad Z \log (1+Z)=4 \log \left|\frac{w}{M}\right|+8 m \pi i+4 i \arg w$.

Now it follows from (3.3) that for each $z$ in $|z|<1$, there exists a unique $Z$ with $X>0$. Also from lemma $I$ the function $Z \log (1+Z)$ is schlicht in this half plane so that the equation (3.4) has at most one solution in $X>0$ for each given $w$ and $m$. Again if $|w|<M$ it follows from (2.2) that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \log (\mathrm{I}+Z)=4\left[\log \left|\frac{w}{M}\right|+i \eta\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

only has a root for $X>0$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
4|\eta|<\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{X^{2}+Y^{2}}{X} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of (3.3) we have

$$
|z|^{2}=\left|\frac{Z-1}{Z+1}\right|^{2}=\frac{(X-1)^{2}+Y^{2}}{(X+1)^{2}+Y^{2}}
$$

Hence if (3.5) holds with $|w|<M$ we have

$$
I-|z|^{2}=\frac{4 X}{(X+I)^{2}+Y^{2}}<\frac{4 X}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}<\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}
$$

by (3.6), i.e.,

$$
|\eta|<\frac{\pi}{2\left(\mathrm{I}-|z|^{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\pi}{2(\mathrm{I}-|z|)}
$$

It follows that (3.4) can have a solution in $|z| \leq \varrho$ only if

$$
|\arg w+2 m \pi| \leq \frac{\pi}{2(I-\varrho)}
$$

and this can hold for at most

$$
\frac{1}{2(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)}+\mathrm{I}
$$

different integers $m$. Thus (3.4) has less than

$$
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2\left(\mathrm{I}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}+\mathrm{I}=2
$$

different roots in $|z|<\frac{1}{2}$ for a given $w$ with $|w|<M$. i.e., at most one such root in $|z|<\frac{1}{2}$, and at most

$$
\mathrm{I}+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)} \leq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}
$$

different roots in $|z| \leq \varrho$, when $\varrho \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus if $|w|<M$ and $0<\varrho<1$ and $z, Z$ are related as in (3.3), the equation (3.4) has at most $I /(1 .-\varrho)$ different roots in $|z| \leq \varrho$ and the same is therefore true of the equation $f(z)=w$. This completes the proof of Theorem I.

Having now disposed of the comparatively simple problems (i) and (iv) of paragraph $I$, we shall spend the rest of the chapter in constructing counterexamples to the problems (ii) and (iii). These are very much more difficult and we shall have to employ rather a lot of general mapping Theory before we can even start to prove any particular Theorems. The theory we shall introduce will be stated in terms of lemmas only. Lemmas 2 to 8 are all vital to our constructions. General theory stops after lemmas 9 and io which adapt the preceding general theory to our particular problems. In paragraph 17 we take these problems up again. The counterexamples to problem (iii) occupy paragraphs 17 to 23. Paragraphs 24 to 31 deal with counterexamples in problem (ii).

## The Principle of Harmonic Measure.

4). We start our constructions by introducing the conception of the harmonic measure of a connected portion $\alpha$ of the boundary of a domain $D$ with respect to an interior point $w$ of $D$. We write this as $\omega[w, \alpha ; D]$ and recall the following.

Definition. Let $D$ be a simply connected domain in the finite $w$ plane other than the whole plane. Let $\alpha$ be an arc of the frontier of $D$ or, in the case of multiple frontier points, a connected set of prime ends on the frontier of D. Then
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$$
\omega[w, \boldsymbol{\alpha} ; D] \rightarrow \mathbf{I}
$$

as $w$ tends to an interior frontier point of the arc $\alpha$ and

$$
\omega[w, \alpha ; D] \rightarrow 0
$$

as $w$ tends to an interior frontier point of the complement of $\alpha$.
It is clear from the definition that $\omega[w, \alpha ; D]$ is an additive function of the arc $\alpha$, and that if $\alpha, \beta$ are complementary parts of the frontier of $D$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega[w, \alpha ; D]+\omega[w, \beta ; D]=\mathbf{1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also since harmonic functions are invariant under conformal mapping it follows that if $D_{1}, D_{2}$ can be mapped conformally onto each other so that the frontier arcs $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and the interior points $w_{1}, w_{2}$ correspond, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[w_{1}, \alpha_{1} ; D_{1}\right]=\omega\left[w_{2}, \alpha_{2} ; D_{2}\right] \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can define $\omega[w, \alpha ; D]$ by mapping $D$ I:I conformally onto the circle $|z|<\mathrm{I}$, so that the point $w$ corresponds to $z=0$ and the frontier arc $\alpha$ corresponds to an arc $\alpha^{\prime}$ of length $L$ on the circle $|z|=1$. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega[w, \alpha ; D]=\omega\left[\mathrm{o}, \alpha^{\prime} ;|z|<\mathrm{I}\right]=\frac{L}{2 \pi} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The basis of the theory is the well known lemma 2, the Principle of Harmonic measure, which is Nevanlinna's Generalization of a lemma due to Löwner. ${ }^{1}$

Lemma 2. ${ }^{2}$ Let $D_{1}, D_{2}$ be two simplyconnected domains in the $w$ plane and suppose that $f(w)$ is regular in $D_{1}$ and has its values lying in $D_{2}$. Suppose further that $f\left(w_{1}\right)=w_{2}$ and that for $w$ lying on a frontier arc $\alpha_{1}$ of $D_{1}, f(w)$ has boundary values lying on a frontier arc $\alpha_{2}$ of $D_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[w_{1}, \alpha_{1} ; D_{1}\right] \leq \omega\left[w_{2}, \alpha_{2} ; D_{2}\right] . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds only if $\alpha_{1}$ consists of the whole frontier of $D_{1}$, or if $f(w)$ maps $D_{1}$ I: I and conformally onto $D_{2}$.

[^0]Corollary. If $D_{1}<D_{2}, w$ is an interior point of $D_{1}$ and $\alpha$ is a common frontier arc of $D_{1}, D_{2}$, we have

$$
\omega\left[w, \alpha ; D_{1}\right] \leq \omega\left[w, \alpha ; D_{2}\right]
$$

## Introduction of a General Class of Mapping Functions.

5). In the next three paragraphs we shall introduce a general class of functions from which we shall construct the counterexamples we require. Let $\xi_{n}$ be an increasing sequence of real numbers, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{0}=-1  \tag{5.1}\\
& \xi_{1}>0
\end{align*}
$$

(5.2)

$$
\xi_{n}<\xi_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty, \quad n=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots
$$

Let $\eta_{n}$ be a sequence of positive numbers, defined for $n=1,2, \ldots$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right), \quad n=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{n}$ be a curve given by all points $\zeta$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=i \eta+\xi_{n}(\eta), \quad|\eta| \leq \xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{n}(\eta)$ is a real continuous function of $\eta$, satisfying the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}(\eta)=\xi_{0}=-\mathrm{I}, \quad|\eta| \leq \xi_{1}-\xi_{0} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $n \geq \mathrm{I}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}(-\eta)=\xi_{n}(\eta), \quad|\eta| \leq \xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}(\eta)=\xi_{n}, \quad|\eta| \leq \eta_{n} \text { aud }|\eta|=\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \xi_{n}-\xi_{n+\mathrm{F}}<\xi_{n}(\eta) \leq \xi_{n}, \quad \eta_{n}<|\eta|<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R_{n}$ be the domain in the $\zeta$ plane bounded by $C_{n}$ and the three straight lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\xi_{n+1}, \quad \eta=\mp \xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{5.II}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $R_{n}$ consists of all $\zeta=\xi+i \eta$ for which both

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\eta|<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}, \text { and } \xi_{n}(\eta)<\xi<\xi_{n+1} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Let $\mathscr{R}$ be the Riemann surface over the $\zeta$ plane consisting of all the sheets $R_{n}$, where $n=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots$ and $R_{n}, R_{n+1}$ are supposed joined along their common frontier segment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\xi_{n+1}, \quad|\eta|<\eta_{n+1}, \quad n=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which lies on $C_{n+1}$ by (5.6) and (5.9). Thus the points of $\mathscr{R}$ are the interior points of $R_{n}$ and the segments (5.13), $n=0,1.2, \ldots$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\psi(s)=c_{1} s+c_{2} s^{2}+\cdots, \quad c_{1}>0 \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

map the strip
(5.15)

$$
|\tau|<1-\infty<\sigma<+\infty
$$

in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane symmetrically onto the Riemann surface $\mathscr{R}$ so that the positive real axes in the $s$ and $\zeta$ planes correspond. The functions which will eventually provide our counterexamples will take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\exp \psi\left\{\frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right\}, \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(s)$ is the function of (5.14). Thus $\log f(z)$ maps the unit circle $|z|<1$ onto the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$. Before considering these examples in detail, we shall need to make a general study of the function (5.14).
6). We need the following lemma on harmonic measure

Lemma 3. Let $\gamma$ be a Jordan are in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane, which lies entirely in the region $|\tau| \leq 1, ~ i s ~ s y m m e t r i c a l ~ a b o u t ~ t h e ~ r e a l ~ a x i s ~ a n d ~ h a s ~ i t s ~ e n d p o i n t s ~ o n ~$ $\tau=1$ and $\tau=-1$, respectively. Suppose that $\gamma$ does not contain the real point $s=\sigma$, but has at least one point on the line $\mathfrak{R s}=\sigma$. Let $\Delta_{0}$ be the component containing $s=\sigma$ of the complement of $\gamma$ in $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$, and let $\gamma_{0}$ be the part of $\gamma$ which lies on the frontier of $\Delta_{0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[\sigma, \gamma_{0} ; \Delta_{0}\right] \geq \frac{1}{2} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may suppose without loss in generality that $\sigma=0$. Suppose first that $\gamma$ contains the points $s=\mp i$. Let $\alpha_{0}$ be the complement of $\gamma_{0}$ in the frontier of $\Delta_{0}$. Then $\alpha_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ are clearly connected, so that $\alpha_{0}$ is either contained entirely in the region $\sigma \leq 0$ or $\sigma \geq 0$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[0, \alpha_{0} ; A_{0}\right] \leq \omega\left[0, \alpha_{0} ;|\tau| \leq 1\right] \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

using lemma 2, corollary, since by symmetry the harmonic measure of each of the pairs of segments

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tau=\mp \mathrm{I}, & \sigma \leq 0,  \tag{6.3}\\
\tau=\mp \mathrm{I}, & \sigma \geq 0,
\end{array}
$$

at the origin with respect to the strip (5.15) is just $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus (6.2) shows that (6.I) holds in this case.

Suppose next that $\gamma$ does not contain the points $\mp i$. It is clear that $\gamma_{0}$ contains exactly one point on the real axis $s=\sigma_{0}$ say. Suppose e.g. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}>0 . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Delta_{0}$ contains the origin and since $\sigma_{0}$ is the only point of $\gamma_{0}$ on the real axis it follows that $\Delta_{0}$ contains the halfine

$$
\sigma<\sigma_{0}, \quad \tau=0 .
$$

Let $\sigma^{\prime} \mp i$ be the end points of $\gamma_{0}$. Then $\alpha_{0}$, the part of the frontier of $\Delta_{0}$ other than $\gamma_{0}$, consists of the two halflines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma<\sigma^{\prime}, \quad \tau=\mp i \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now distinguish two cases. If

$$
\sigma^{\prime}<0
$$

then $\alpha_{0}$ is contained again in the pair of segments (6.3) so that (6.2) and hence (6.I) follows. Suppose next that

$$
\sigma^{\prime}>0
$$

Then $\gamma_{0}$ intersects the line $\Re s=0$ by hypothesis. Let $\tau_{1}$ be the greatest real number such that $0<\tau_{1}<I$ and $\mp i \tau_{1}$ lie on $\gamma_{0}$. Since we are assuming that $\mp i$ do not lie on $\gamma_{0}, \tau_{1}$ exists. Let $\gamma_{1}$ be the subarc of $\gamma_{0}$ whose endpoints are $\mp i r_{1}$. Since $\gamma_{0}$ contains no point on either of the two segments

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\mathrm{o}, \quad\left|\tau_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<|\tau|<\mathrm{I} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which each have an endpoint on $\alpha_{0}$, these two segments lie in $\Lambda_{0}$. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ be the subdomain of $\Delta_{0}$ containing $s=0$, obtained by cutting along the two segments (6.6) and let $\alpha_{1}$ be the part of the frontier of $A_{1}$ consisting of the two segments (6.6) and the two halflines (6.3). Then since $\Delta_{1}$ is contained in $\Delta_{0}$ and still has $\gamma_{1}$ as part of its frontier, we have from lemma 2 , corollary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[\mathrm{o}, \gamma_{1} ; A_{0}\right]>\omega\left[\mathrm{o}, \gamma_{1} ; \Delta_{1}\right] . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again let $\Delta_{2}$ consist of the strip $|\tau|<1$ cut along the segments (6.6). Then $\Delta_{2}$ contains $\Delta_{1}$, and $\alpha_{1}$, consisting of the two halflines (6.3) and the two segments (6.6) each described once, forms part of the frontier of both $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. The remainder of the frontier of $\Delta_{2}$ consists of the reflection of $\alpha_{1}$ in the imaginary axis, so that we have clearly from symmetry,

$$
\omega\left[0, \alpha_{1} ; \Delta_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}
$$

and hence, since $\Delta_{2}$ contains $\Delta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[o, \alpha_{1} ; \Delta_{1}\right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ make up the frontier of $\Delta_{1}$, we deduce from (6.7) and (6.8)

$$
\omega\left[0, \gamma_{1} ; \Delta_{0}\right]>\frac{1}{2}
$$

and since $\gamma_{1}$ is a subarc of $\gamma_{0}$, lemma 3 follows, if (6.4) holds. The proof is similar if $\sigma_{0}<0$ so that lemma 3 is always true.
7). We need lemma 3 to prove the following result concerning our mappings.

## Lemma 4. Suppose that

$$
\xi_{n-\mathbf{1}} \leq \xi^{\prime} \leq \xi_{n}-\eta_{n}
$$

where $\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}$ are the quantities of paragraph 5 . Let $c$ be the segment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\xi_{n}, \quad|\eta| \leq \xi_{n}-\xi^{\prime} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the frontier of $R_{n-1}$. Suppose that $s=\sigma^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi^{\prime}$ by the function of. (5.14) and that $s=\sigma+i \tau$ corresponds to any point on $c$ considered as a frontierpoint of $R_{n-1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma>\sigma^{\prime} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (7.2) holds a fortiori if $\sigma+i \tau$ corresponds to an interior or frontier point of $R_{m}$, where $m \geq n$.

Let $\mathscr{R}^{\prime}$ be the subsurface of $\mathscr{R}$ consisting of the sheets $R_{0}, R_{1} \ldots, R_{n-1}$ and their common frontier segments. Let $\Delta_{0}$ be the subdomain of the strip (5.15) which maps onto $\mathscr{R}^{\prime}$ by (5.14). Then it is sufficient to prove the lemma in the case where $\sigma+i \tau$ is a frontier point of $\Delta_{0}$ for otherwise there exists $\sigma^{\prime \prime}+i \tau$ where $\sigma^{\prime \prime}<\sigma$, corresponding to a point on $c$ by (5.14).

The segment $c$ consists of frontier points of the domain $R_{n-1}$, and its endpoints are also frontier points of $\mathscr{R}$, by (5.13). Hence $c$ corresponds by (5.14) to a Jordan curve $\gamma_{0}$ having its endpoints on $\tau=1, \tau=-1$, respectively, and forming part of the boundary of $\Delta_{0}$. Also the real point $s=\sigma$ on $\gamma_{0}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{n}>\xi^{\prime}$ and so satisfies (7.2). Thus to complete the proof of the lemma it is sufficient to show that $\gamma_{0}$ does not meet the line $\Re s=\sigma^{\prime}$, and to do this it is sufficient to show that

$$
\omega\left[\sigma^{\prime}, \gamma_{0} ; \Delta_{0}\right]<\frac{1}{2}
$$

by lemma 3. We note that the function $\zeta=\psi(s)$ of (5.14) gives a mapping of the domain $\Delta_{0}$ into (and not onto) the half plane $D_{1}, \Re \zeta<\xi_{n}$, by which $\gamma_{0}$ corresponds to the segment $c$ on the boundary of $D_{1}$. Hence lemma 2 yields

$$
\omega\left[\sigma^{\prime}, \gamma_{0} ; \Delta_{0}\right]<\omega\left[\xi^{\prime}, c ; D_{1}\right]
$$

Now $\omega\left[\xi^{\prime}, c ; D_{1}\right]$ is equal to $I / \pi$ times the angle subtended at $\xi^{\prime}$ by the seg.
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We shall need also the following form of Ahlfors' Theorem, (Ahlfors ( I ), involving the mappings of strip-like domains into strips, and other domains.

Lemma 5. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in the $w=u+i v$ plane, which meets any line $\mathfrak{R} w=u$ at most in a finite segment $\theta_{u}$ of length $\theta(u)$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{u_{1}}^{u_{0}} \frac{d u}{\theta(u)} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose also that $\Omega$ is mapped $\mathrm{I}: 1$ conformally onto an open set lying in a simplyconnected domain $D$ in such a way that the maps $g_{u}$ of the segments $\theta_{u}$ all separate two points $s_{1}, s_{2}$ in I) for $u_{1}<u<u_{2}$. Then
(i) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; D\right]>\frac{\pi}{2} I-\log 2 . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Suppose further that $I \geq \mathrm{I}$, that $D$ is the strip

$$
|\tau|<\mathrm{I},-\infty<\sigma<+\infty
$$

in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane and that the $g_{u}$ join $\tau=1, \tau=-\mathrm{I}$. Then if
we have

$$
s_{j}=\sigma_{j}+i \tau_{j}, \quad j=\mathrm{I}, 2
$$

(7.7)

$$
\left|\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right|>2(I-1)
$$

This lemma was proved in (Hayman 4). With a slight difference in the notation of the variables (7.6) follows from Theorem IV and Theorem V (3.8) and (7.7) above from Theorem I and (3.5) of that paper.

Here and subsequently we shall freely use the notion of hyperbolic distances $d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; D\right]$ of two points $s_{1}, s_{2}$ with respect to a domain $D$. We shall need their form in the following two cases.
(i) If $D$ is the strip $|\tau|<a$ and $s_{1}, s_{2}$ are real we have

$$
d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; D\right]=\frac{4}{\pi a}\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|
$$

(ii) If $D$ is the circle $\left|s-s_{1}\right|<R$, we have

$$
d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; D\right]=\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{2} \log \frac{R+\left|s_{2}-s_{1}\right|}{R-\left|s_{2}-s_{1}\right|}
$$

These identities are easily verified by mapping $D$ onto the circle $|z|<1$ and making use of Hayman (1), (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4).
8). We can now prove the following important independence principle, which shows that the behavior of the mapping function of (5.14) is for points $\zeta$ lying well inside the sheet $R_{n}$ largely independent of the nature of the sheets $R_{v}$ for $\nu>n$.

Lemma 6. Suppose that the quantities $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}$ and the curves $C_{v}$ have been fixed for $\nu=1$ to $n$, that $\xi_{n+1}$ is also fixed and that $\zeta=\xi^{\prime}$ is a point such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\xi^{\prime}<\xi_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{8.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the remaining $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}$ and $C_{v}$ are left variable subject to the conditions of paragraph 5 and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n+1} \leq \xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if $s=\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ correspond to $\zeta=\xi^{\prime}$ by the mapping function (5.14) for two different Riemann surfaces $\mathscr{R}_{1}, \mathscr{R}_{2}$ satisfying the above conditions, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right|<\mathrm{I} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{R}^{\prime}$ be the part of $\mathscr{R}_{1}$ consisting of the sheets $R_{1}$ to $R_{n}$ and their common frontier segments. From our hypotheses these coincide for $\mathscr{R}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{R}_{2}$. Then lemma 4 (7.2) shows that by the mapping (5.14) of $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$ onto $\mathscr{R}_{1}$ the segment $\theta_{\sigma}$ given by

$$
\mathfrak{\Re} s=\sigma, \quad|\tau| \leq \mathrm{I}, \quad 0<\sigma<\sigma_{1}^{\prime}
$$

corresponds in the $\zeta$ plane to a Jordan arc $\gamma_{\sigma}$ whose interior points lie inside $\mathscr{R}^{\prime}$ and whose endpoints do not lie on the segment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re \zeta=\xi_{n+1}, \quad|\mathfrak{J} \zeta| \leq \xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by the mapping (5.14) of $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$ onto $\mathscr{R}_{2}, \gamma_{\sigma}$ corresponds to an arc $g_{\sigma}$ lying in $|\tau|<1$ and joining $\tau=-1$ to $\tau=+\mathrm{I}$. For the interior points of $\gamma_{\sigma}$ lie in $\mathscr{R}^{\prime}$ which is contained in $\mathscr{R}_{2}$ by hypothesis. Also the endpoints of $\gamma_{\sigma}$ are frontier points of $\mathscr{R}^{\prime}$ but do not lie on the segment (8.4) and so by (8.2) they must be frontier points of $\mathscr{R}_{2}$ and therefore correspond to points $\tau=-\mathrm{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}=+\mathrm{I}$.

Further since the segments $\theta_{\sigma}$ separate $s=0, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ in $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$, the curves $g_{\sigma}$ separate $s=0, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ in $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$. By combining the two mappings of $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$ onto $\mathscr{R}_{1}, \mathscr{R}_{2}$, we thus obtain a mapping of the rectangle

$$
0<\sigma<\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \quad|\tau|<\mathrm{I}
$$

into the strip $|\tau|<1$, in which the segments $\theta_{\sigma}$ for $0<\sigma<\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ correspond to $\operatorname{arcs} g_{\sigma}$ separating $s=0, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}$. This is the situation envisaged by lemma 5 (i) and we deduce from (7.6)

$$
d\left[0, \sigma_{2}^{\prime} ;|\tau|<\mathrm{I}\right]>\frac{\pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{d \sigma}{2}-\log 2
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}>\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\log 2
$$

or

$$
\sigma_{2}^{\prime}>\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{4}{\pi} \log 2>\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\mathrm{I} .
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\sigma_{1}^{\prime}>\sigma_{2}^{\prime}-\mathrm{I}
$$

so that (8.3) holds. This proves lemma 5. The lemma will permit us to choose $\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}, R_{n}$ inductively so that certain inequalities are satisfied by the mapping function (5.14) inside each sheet $R_{n}$. It will follow that we can do this for each sheet $R_{n}$ more or less independently of the nature of the subsequent sheets. This completes the first main stage of our argument.

## Bounds for Hyperbolic Distances in $\mathscr{R}$.

9). In this and the following paragraph we shall be engaged in obtaining bounds for hyperbolic distances of two points $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ in $\mathscr{R}$, or, what is the same thing, bounds for the hyperbolic distances in the strip $|\tau|<1$ of the points $s_{1}, s_{2}$ which correspond to $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ by (5.14).

We prove first lemma 7 below, which will itself have a certain importance in the sequel. The main result will be lemma 8, which we can prove from lemmas 5 and 7 , and which like lemma 6 is an independence principle, showing that the mapping of the sheet $R_{n}$ depends in the main only on this sheet and not on the other sheets. It is this result essentially, which allows us to invert the arguments of repeated application by means of which we proved the results of Chapter II. If a function exists growing at a certain rate and not taking any of a set $E$ of values more than $p(\varrho)$ times in $|z|<\varrho$, then the function may grow at any point nearly as rapidly as if it only took zero and another value of $E$ (depending on the point) $p(\varrho)$ times in $|z|<\varrho$, without reference to the other values of $E$.

Lemma 7. Suppose that $\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}$ and that $\Delta$ is a domain lying in the strip $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$ in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane and containing the rectangle

$$
\sigma_{1}-\mathrm{I}<\sigma<\sigma_{1}+\mathrm{I}, \quad|\tau|<\mathrm{I}
$$

Let $s_{1}=\sigma_{1}$ and let $s_{\underline{2}}=\sigma_{\underline{2}}+i \tau_{\underline{g}},\left|\tau_{\underline{y}}\right|<\mathrm{I}$. Then we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{2}\right|} \mathrm{I}<d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; \Delta\right]<\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{2}\right|}+4
$$

To prove the first inequality of lemma 7 we may suppose without loss in generality that $\Delta$ is the strip $|\tau|<I$ since hyperbolic distances are decreased by increasing the domain. Further we may suppose $\sigma_{1}=0$., since this may be achieved by a translation, which leaves the terms in the inequality of lemma 7 invariant.

The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\phi(z)=\frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps $|z|<1$ onto $\Delta$, so that $z=0$ corresponds to $s=0$ and

$$
z_{y}=\frac{e^{\pi \varepsilon_{z} / 2}-1}{e^{\pi \varepsilon_{2} / 2}+1}
$$

corresponds to $s_{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=\frac{e^{\pi \sigma_{2}}-2 e^{\pi \tau_{2} / 2} \cos \pi \tau_{2} / 2+1}{e^{\pi \sigma_{2}}+2 e^{\pi \sigma_{2} / 2} \cos \pi \tau_{2} / 2+1} \\
\mathrm{I}-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=\frac{4 \cos \frac{\pi \tau_{2}}{2}}{e^{\pi \sigma_{2} / 2}+e^{-\pi \sigma_{2} / 2}+2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \tau_{2}}<\frac{4 \cos \frac{\pi \tau_{2}}{2}}{e^{\pi \sigma_{2} / 2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left[0, s_{z} ; \Delta\right]=\lambda\left[0, z_{2} ;|z|<\mathrm{I}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\left|z_{2}\right|}{\mathrm{I}-\left|z_{2}\right|} \\
& >\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}>\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma_{2}+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \sec \pi \tau_{2} / 2-\log 2 \\
& =\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma_{2}+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \operatorname{cosec} \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{2}\right|\right)-\log 2 \\
& >\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma_{2}+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{2}{\pi\left(\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{2}\right|\right)}-\log 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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This proves the first inequality of lemma 7 , since

$$
\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2}{\pi}-\log 2=-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi>-1
$$

To prove the second inequality note that $\Delta$ contains the circle $\left|s-\sigma_{2}\right|<1$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2}+i \tau_{2} ; \Delta\right]<\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\left|\tau_{2}\right|}{1-\left|\tau_{2}\right|}<\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-}\left|\tau_{2}\right|+\frac{1}{2} \log 2 . \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; \Delta\right] \leq d\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} ; \Delta\right]+d\left[\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2}+i \tau_{2} ; \Delta\right]
$$

so that (9.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; \Delta\right] \leq d\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} ; \Delta\right]+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-\left|\tau_{2}\right|}+\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient to obtain a bound for $d\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{z} ; \Delta\right]$. To do this we may suppose without loss in generality that

$$
\sigma_{2}=-\sigma_{1}=\sigma^{\prime}, \text { say. }
$$

The function $s=\phi(z)$ of (9.1) satisfies

$$
-\frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+r}{1-r} \leq \Re_{\phi}(z) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}, \quad|z| \leq r .
$$

Hence if $r$ is so chosen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}+r}{\mathrm{I}-r}=\sigma^{\prime}+\mathrm{I} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function

$$
s=\phi(r z)
$$

maps $|z|<1$ into $\Delta$, since by hypothesis $\Delta$ contains the rectangle

$$
-\sigma^{\prime}-\mathrm{I}<\Re s<\sigma^{\prime}+\mathrm{I}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[0, \sigma^{\prime} ; \Delta\right]<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{1-\varrho} \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho$ is a point such that $\phi(r \varrho)=\sigma^{\prime}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varrho r}{1-\varrho r}=\sigma^{\prime} \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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From (9.4) and (9.6) we have

$$
\log \frac{I+r}{I-r} \cdot \frac{I-\varrho r}{I+\varrho r}=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
\log \left\{1+\frac{r(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)}{\mathrm{I}-r^{2} \varrho} /\left[1-\frac{r(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)}{\mathrm{I}-r^{2} \varrho}\right]\right\}=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

whence

$$
\frac{r(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)}{\mathrm{I}-r^{2} \varrho}=\frac{e^{\pi / 2}-1}{e^{\pi / 2}+1}>\frac{1}{2}
$$

so that

$$
\mathrm{I}-\varrho>\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2 r}\left(1-r^{2} \varrho\right)>\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2}(\mathrm{I}-r),
$$

and hence
(9.7)

$$
\frac{I+\varrho}{I-\varrho}<\frac{4(I+r)}{I-r}
$$

Then (9.4), (9.5), (9.7) give

$$
d\left[\mathrm{o}, \sigma^{\prime} ; \Delta\right]<\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma^{\prime}+\frac{\pi}{4}+\log 2
$$

Similarly we have

$$
d\left[\mathrm{o},-\sigma^{\prime} ; \Delta\right]<\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma^{\prime}+\frac{\pi}{4}+\log 2
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[-\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} ; \Delta\right] & =d\left[-\sigma^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} ; \Delta\right]<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma^{\prime}+\frac{\pi}{2}+2 \log 2 \\
& =\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2}+2 \log 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (9.3) we bave

$$
d\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}+i \tau_{2} ; \Delta\right]<\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{z}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-\left|\tau_{z}\right|}+\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{5}{2} \log 2,
$$

which yields lemma 7 .
10). We can now combine lemmas 5 and 7 to prove.

Lemma 8. Let $D$ be a domain in the $\zeta$ plane given by the totality of points $\zeta$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|=r, \quad r_{1}<r<r_{2} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(10.2) $\quad \arg \left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right| \leq \theta(r)$, where $0<\theta(r)<\pi$.

The points which satisfy (10.1) and (10.2) for a fixed $r$ we denote by $\theta_{r}$. Suppose also that $D$ is mapped symmetrically and $\mathrm{I}: \mathrm{I}$ conformally onto a domain $\Delta$ in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane lying in the strip $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$, in such a way that the endpoints $r e^{i \theta(r)}, r e^{-i \theta(r)}$ of the circular arcs $\theta_{r}$ correspond to points on $\tau=1, \tau=-1$, respectively, and so that the real axes in the $s$ and $\zeta$ planes correspond and $\sigma(r)$ in creases for $r_{1}<r<r_{2}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1} e^{2 \pi} \leq r_{1}^{\prime}<r_{2}^{\prime} \leq r_{2} e^{-2 \pi} \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{\prime} \geq r_{1}^{\prime} e^{2 \pi} \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\zeta_{1}=\zeta_{0}+r_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\zeta_{2}=\zeta_{0}+r_{2}^{\prime} e^{i \theta_{2}}$, where $\left|\theta_{2}\right|<\theta\left(r_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, and suppose that $s_{1}=\sigma_{1}$, $s_{2}=\sigma_{2}+i \tau_{2}$ correspond to $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ in the mapping. Then we have
(10.5) $\quad \sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}$
and
(10.6) $\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{2}\right|}-\mathrm{I}<d\left[\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} ; D\right]<\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{2}\right|}+4$.

The point of the lemma is to show that the precise form of the mapping has little effect on the relative position of $s_{1}, s_{2}$ when $r_{2} / r_{1}$ is sufficient large and $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ are well inside $D$.

We have firstly

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} ; D\right]=d\left[s_{1}, s_{2} ; \Delta\right] \tag{10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $D$ is mapped i:I and conformally onto $\Delta$. The result now follows from lemma 7 prorided that we can prove that $s_{1}, s_{2}, \Delta$ satisfy the conditions of that lemma.

The domain $\Delta$ is bounded by the curves $g_{(1)}, g_{(2)}$ which are the maps of the $\operatorname{arcs} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{r_{1}}, \theta_{r_{2}}$, and by two segments of $\tau=\mathrm{I}, \tau=-\mathrm{I}^{1}$, respectively.

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=u+i v=\log \left(\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right) \tag{10.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the mapping of the $w$ plane into the $s$ plane. The segments $\theta_{r}$ correspond to straight line segments

$$
|v|<\theta\left(e^{u}\right), \log r_{1}<u<\log r_{2}
$$

in the $w$ plane. Also since the mapping is symmetrical, the upper half of each of these segments, given by

[^1](10.9)
$$
0<v<\theta\left(e^{u}\right), \log r_{1}<u<\log r_{2}
$$
corresponds to a curve $g_{u}$ joining $\tau=0$ to $\tau=1$ and lying in the strip $0<\tau<1$. The length of each of these half segments is $\theta\left(e^{u}\right)<\pi$. Thus we may apply lemma 5 (ii) to the mapping of the segments (10.9) for $u_{1}<u<u_{2}$ into the strip $0<\tau<\mathrm{I}$ and we deduce that if $u_{1}+i v_{1}, u_{2}+i v_{2}$, where $v_{1} \geq 0, v_{2} \geq 0$, correspond to $\sigma_{(1)}+i \tau_{(1)}, \sigma_{(2)}+i \tau_{(2)}$ in the $s$ plane then we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{(1)}>\left(\int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} \frac{d u}{\theta\left(e^{u}\right)}-\mathrm{I}\right)>\frac{u_{2}-u_{1}}{\pi}-\mathrm{I}>\mathrm{I} \tag{ro.io}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

if
(IO.II)

$$
u_{2}-u_{1}>2 \pi
$$

Also the conditions $v_{1} \geq 0, v_{2} \geq o$ may clearly be omitted since the mapping is symmetrical.

Taking $u_{1}=\log r_{1}, u_{2}=\log r_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}=0$, we deduce from (10.3) that (IO.II) is satisfied. Hence (10.10) shows that if $\sigma+i \tau$ is any point on $g_{(1)}$, the image of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r_{1}}$ in the $s$ plane, then we have

$$
\sigma \leq \sigma_{1}-1
$$

Similarly taking $u_{1}=\log r_{2}^{\prime}, v_{2}=\log r_{2},(10.11)$ again holds by (10.3) and we deduce that in the notation of lemma 8

$$
\sigma \geq \sigma_{2}+\mathrm{I}
$$

for any point $\sigma+i \tau$ on $g_{(2)}$. Thus $\Delta$ contains the rectangle
(10.12)

$$
\sigma_{1}-\mathrm{I}<\sigma<\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{I}
$$

Again taking $u_{1}=\log r_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}=\log r_{2}^{\prime}$, (IO.II) holds by (10.4). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z}-\sigma_{1}>\mathrm{I}>0 . \tag{10.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (10.10). This gives (10.5). Also $\Delta$ contains the rectangle (10.12) and so $\Delta, s_{1}, s_{2}$ satisfy the conditions of lemma 7 . Thus (10.6) now follows from (10.7) and lemma 7. This completes the proof of lemma 8.

## Specialization of the Curves $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$.

II). We shall be able to construct all the functions we require by giving to the curves $C_{n}$ of paragraph 5 one of two forms. The simplest form, which
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\xi_{n}+i \eta, \quad|\eta| \leq \xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{II.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions (5.6) to (5.10) are clearly satisfied in this case. The required results can be put together in

Lemma 9. Suppose that $C_{n}$ is given by the segment (II.I) for some $n>0$. Let
and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{(1)}=\xi_{n}-\eta_{n} \\
& \zeta_{(2)}=\xi_{(2)}, \quad \xi_{n} \leq \xi_{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right] \\
& \zeta_{(3)}=\xi_{(3)}+i \eta_{(3)}, \quad \xi_{n} \leq \xi_{(3)} \leq \xi_{n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

be a point of $R_{n}$. Suppose also that

$$
s_{(1)}=\sigma_{n},{ }^{1} s_{(2)}=\sigma_{(2)}, s_{(3)}=\sigma_{(3)}+i \tau_{(3)}
$$

correspond to $\zeta_{(1)}, \zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(3)}$ respectively by the mapping of (5.14). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)+A  \tag{11.2}\\
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{n}\right)+\log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{(3)}\right|}>\log ^{+}\left|\frac{\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right|-A .
\end{align*}
$$

We define

$$
\zeta_{(4)}=\xi_{(4)}=\xi_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n} .
$$

Then it follows from (5.5), (5.11), (5.12), that $R_{n-1}$ contains the circle $C_{4}{ }^{2}$

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{(1)}\right|<\eta_{n},
$$

which is mapped into the strip $|\tau|<1$. Hence if $s=\sigma_{(4)}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{(4)}$, we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(4)}-\sigma_{n}\right)=d\left[\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{4} ;|\tau|<\mathrm{I}\right]<d\left[\zeta_{(1)}, \zeta_{(4)} ; C_{4}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\eta_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}}{\eta_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}}=A,
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{(4)}-\sigma_{n}<A . \tag{II.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly if $\xi_{(5)}=\xi_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}$ corresponds to $s=\sigma_{(5)}$, we deduce by considering the

[^2]mapping of the circle $C_{5},\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|<\eta_{n}$, which lies in $\mathcal{R}$ by (5.4)(5.5) and (5.12) (5.13), that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{(5)}-\sigma_{(4)}<A \tag{11.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Thus (II.2) follows from (II.4) and (II.5) if $\xi_{(2)} \leq \xi_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}$, so that $\sigma_{(2)} \leq \sigma_{(5)}$. Suppose next that

$$
\xi_{(5)} \leq \xi_{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) .
$$

Then the circle $C$ given by

$$
\left|\zeta-\zeta_{(2)}\right|=\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}
$$

lies in $R_{n}$ and is mapped into the strip $|\tau|<I$ so that $\zeta_{(5)}, \zeta_{(2)}$ become $\sigma_{(5)}, \sigma_{(2)}$. Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
d\left[\sigma_{(5)}, \sigma_{(2)} ;|\tau|<I\right]<d\left[\xi_{(5)}, \xi_{(2)} ; C\right], \\
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{(5)}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}+\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{(5)}}{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}-\xi_{(2)}+\xi_{(5)}}<\frac{1}{2} \log \left|\frac{2\left(\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}\right)}{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

which yields (II.2), on using (II.4), (II.5). Thus (II.2) is generally true.
12). To prove (11.3) suppose first
(12.1)

$$
\eta_{n}<e^{-6 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

Then we can apply lemma 8, taking for $D$ the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|=r, \quad \eta_{n}<r<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(\zeta-\xi_{n}\right)\right| \leq \theta(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{I2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This domain is mapped into the strip $|\tau|<1$ in such a way that the semicircles (12.2), (12.3) become curves joining $\tau=-\mathrm{I}, \tau=+\mathrm{I}$. Hence if
(12.4)

$$
\zeta_{(6)}=\xi_{n}+e^{2 \pi} \eta_{n},
$$

and
(12.5)

$$
e^{4 \pi} \eta_{n} \leq\left|\zeta_{3)}-\xi_{n}\right| \leq e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right),
$$

we deduce from lemma 8 , that if $s=\sigma_{(6)}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{(6)}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{66}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-\left|\tau_{3}\right|}+4>d\left[\zeta_{(6)}, \zeta_{(3)} ; D\right] . \tag{12.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $D$ is contained in the half plane $D^{\prime}$ given by

$$
\left|\arg \left(\zeta-\xi_{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left[\zeta_{(6)}, \zeta_{(3)} ; D\left[\geq d\left[\zeta_{(6)}, \zeta_{(3)} ; D^{\prime}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\left|\zeta_{(6)}+\zeta_{(3)}-2 \xi_{n}\right|+\left|\zeta_{(3)}-\zeta_{(6)}\right|}{\left|\zeta_{(6)}+\zeta_{(3)}-2 \xi_{n}\right|-\left|\zeta_{(3)}-\zeta_{(6)}\right|}\right.\right. \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left|\frac{\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}}{\zeta_{(6)}-\xi_{n}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

(12.7)

$$
d\left[\zeta_{(6)}, \zeta_{(3)} ; D\right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}}{e^{2 \pi} \eta_{n}}\right) .
$$

Combining this with (I2.6) and noting that $\sigma_{(6)}>\sigma_{n}$ we see that (in.3) holds provided that (12.5) is true. Again if (12.1) holds and

$$
\left|\xi_{(3)}-\xi_{n}\right|=e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

it follows that (12.6), (12.7) hold so that in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{n}\right)+\log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{(3)}\right|}>\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)-A . \tag{I2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This latter inequality still holds if
(12.9)

$$
\left|\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}\right| \geq e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right),
$$

since if $s_{(3)}$ corresponds to $\zeta_{(3)}$ and we consider the straight line joining $s_{(3)}$ to $\sigma_{n}$, this corresponds to a curve joining $\zeta_{(3)}$ to $\zeta_{(1)}$ in the $\zeta$ plane and if (12.9) bolds, somewhere on this curve we must have a point $\zeta_{(7)}$ with

$$
\left|\zeta_{(7)}-\xi_{n}\right|=e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

so that (12.8) holds with $\sigma_{(7)}, \tau_{(7)}$ instead of $\sigma_{(3)}, \tau_{(3)}$ and so a fortiori with $\sigma_{(3)}, \tau_{(3)}$. It follows that if (I2.1) holds, (11.3) is true provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{4 \pi} \eta_{n} \leq\left|\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}\right| \leq\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right) \sqrt{2} \tag{12.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again it follows from lemma 4 that we have always

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{n}>0 . \tag{12.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (ri.3) holds generally provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}\right| \leq\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right) \sqrt{2}, \tag{12.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (12.1) holds.
Again (12.12) is satisfied by condition (5.12) for every point $\zeta_{(3)}=\xi_{(3)}+i \eta_{(3)}$ in
$R_{n}$. Thus (II.3) always holds if (12.1) is satisfied. Also if (12.1) is false it follows from (12.12) that

$$
\left|\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}\right| \leq A \eta_{n}
$$

and hence from (I2.II) that (II.3) holds. Thus (II.3) is generally true. This completes the proof of lemma 9.

## A Second Form for the Curves $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$.

13). We shall now introduce the other form of the curves $C_{n}$ which we shall need in the sequel. The investigation in this case needs the full strength of our preceding theory.

Suppose that
(I3.1)

$$
\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}>3 e^{6 \pi} \eta_{n}, \quad n>0 .
$$

We define $C_{n}$ as given parametrically by the equation
( I 3.2 ) $\quad \zeta=\zeta_{n}(t)$
where
(13.3) $\quad \zeta_{n}(t)=\xi_{n}+i \eta_{n} t, \quad \mathrm{o} \leq t \leq \mathrm{I}$,
(13.4)

$$
\zeta_{n}(t)=\xi_{n}+\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\log 2}\left[i t \log (\mathrm{I}+i t)+\frac{\pi}{4}\right], \quad \mathrm{I} \leq t \leq t_{n}
$$

and $t_{n}$ is the positive root of the equation
(13.5)

$$
\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\log 2}\left|i t_{n} \log \left(\mathrm{I}+i t_{n}\right)+\frac{\pi}{4}\right|=e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)=r_{n}, \text { say }
$$

The equation ( 13.5 ) evidently has a unique solution for $t_{n}$ in $\mathrm{I} \leq t_{n}<\infty$, since both $\left|\mathfrak{\Re} \zeta_{n}(t)-\xi_{n}\right|$ and $\left|\mathfrak{F} \zeta_{n}(t)\right|$ and so $\left|\zeta_{n}(t)-\xi_{n}\right|$ are monotone increasing functions of $t$ in the range defined by (13.4), and since (I3.I) holds. For $t \geq t_{n}$ we define $\zeta_{n}(t)$ as follows. Let
(13.6)

$$
\zeta_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)=\xi_{n}+r_{n} e^{i \theta_{n}}
$$

We write
(13.7)

$$
\zeta_{n}(t)=\xi_{n}+r_{n} e^{i\left(\theta_{n}+t_{n}-t\right)}, \quad t_{n} \leq t \leq t_{n}+\theta_{n}-\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

(13.8)

$$
\zeta_{n}(t)=\xi_{n}+i(a t+b), \quad t \geq t_{n}+\theta_{n}-\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

where $a t+b$ increases from $r_{n}$ to $\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}$ as $t$ increases from $t_{n}+\theta_{n}-\frac{\pi}{2}$ by I .
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Thus $C_{n}$ is completed after the range defined by (13.4) by an are of a circle centre $\xi_{n}$, and then the straight line segment joining $\zeta=\xi_{n}+i r_{n}$ to $\zeta=\xi_{n}+i\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)$. Also for negative $t$ we define $\zeta_{n}(t)$ by the symmetry relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{n}(-t)=\overline{\zeta_{n}(t)}, \quad o \leq t \leq t_{n}+\theta_{n}-\frac{\pi}{2}+\mathrm{I} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $C_{n}$ is symmetrical about the real axis. The important part of $C_{n}$ is the arc given by (I3.4). This will insure that the mapping of the region $R_{n}$ bounded by $C_{n}$ and the lines
(13.10)

$$
\mathfrak{R} \zeta=\xi_{n+1}, \quad \Im \zeta=\mp\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

into the $s$ plane, given by the function $\psi(s)$ of (5.14) will behave locally like the mapping obtained by combining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\xi_{n}+\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\log 2}\left[Z \log (\mathrm{I}+Z)+\frac{\pi}{4}\right] \tag{13.1I}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
s=\frac{2}{x} \log Z+\text { cons. }
$$

The mapping ( I 3.11 ) has already been studied in lemma I . It led to the solution of our fundamental problem when $E$ is bounded and

$$
p(\varrho)=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}
$$

The theory which we have been building up allows us to extend this to the case when $E$ is unbounded.

It remains to show that the definitions (13.2) to (13.9) define $C_{n}$ in accordance with the conditions we laid down in (5.6) to (5.10). The condition (5.6) will be realized provided that $C_{n}$ cuts each line

$$
\mathfrak{j} \zeta=\eta, \quad|\eta| \leq \xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}
$$

in exactly one point. This it easily verified since $\mathfrak{J} \zeta_{n}(t)$ is a continuous increasing function of $t$ in the range of definition, as we can see from (13.3) to (13.9). Also the endpoints of $C_{n}$ are the points given by

$$
\zeta=\zeta_{n}(t)=\xi_{n} \mp i\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

Again (5.8) follows from (13.9), (5.9) follows from (13.3) and (13.8). Lastly $\mathfrak{M} \zeta_{n}(t)$ clearly satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M} \zeta_{n}\left(t_{n}\right) \leq \mathfrak{R} \zeta_{n}(t) \leq \xi_{n} . \tag{13.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{n} & -\Re \zeta_{n}\left(t_{n}\right) \leq\left|\zeta_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)-\xi_{n}\right| \\
& =e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (I3.5). Using (I3.12) we have (5.10). Thus $C_{n}$ defined by (13.2) to (I3.9) satisfies all the conditions required for the curves $C_{n}$.
14). We now investigate the behavior of our mapping function $\zeta=\psi(s)$ of (5.14) when $\zeta$ lies in the sheet $R_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ is defined by (13.2) to (13.9). The results we need are contained in

Lemma 10. Suppose that for some $n>0$, (13.1) holds and that $C_{n}$ is defined by (13.2) to (13.9). Let $R_{n}$ be the domain bounded by $C_{n}$ and the lines (13.10), and let the conditions of paragraph 5 be satisfied. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{(1)}=\xi_{n}-\eta_{n} \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(14.2)

$$
\zeta_{(2)}=\xi_{(2)}, \quad \xi_{n} \leq \xi_{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)
$$

and let
(14.3)

$$
\zeta_{(3)}=\xi_{(3)}+i \eta_{(9)}, \quad \xi_{(3)} \leq \xi_{n}
$$

be a point of $R_{n}$. Suppose that $s=\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{(2)}, \sigma_{(3)}+i \tau_{(3)}$ respectively correspond to $\zeta=\zeta_{(1)}, \zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(3)}$ by the mapping (5.14) of the strip $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$ in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane onto the Riemann surface $\mathscr{R}$. Then we have
(14.4) $\quad\left|\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{n}\right)-\log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)+\log ^{+} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)\right|<A$,
(14.5) $\quad \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{n}\right)+\log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{(3)}\right|}>\log ^{+}\left|\frac{\eta_{(3)}}{\eta_{n}}\right|-A$.

Let $D_{n}$ be the subdomain of $R_{n}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \eta_{n}<\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \tag{14.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the definitions (13.2) to (13.10) that each circle
(14.7)

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|=r, \quad 3 \eta_{n}<r<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}
$$

intersects $R_{n}$ and so $D_{n}$ in a segment of a circle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(\zeta-\xi_{n}\right)\right| \leq \theta(r), \quad\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|=r \tag{14.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta(r)<\pi
$$

Hence we can apply lemma 8 with $D_{n}$ for $D, \zeta_{0}=\xi_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=3 \eta_{n}, \quad r_{2}=\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} . \tag{14.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{(4)}=\xi_{(4)}=\xi_{n}+3 \eta_{n} e^{2 \pi}, \tag{14.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(14.II)

$$
\left|\eta_{(3)}\right|>3 \eta_{n} e^{4 \pi},
$$

we apply lemma 8 with $\zeta_{(4)}$ instead of $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{(3)}$ instead of $\zeta_{2}$ to the mapping of $D_{n}$ into the strip $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$. In fact the endpoints of the arcs of circle (14.8) correspond to points on $\tau=\mathrm{I}, \tau=-\mathrm{I}$, respectively, since

$$
\eta_{n}<r<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} .
$$

Also from ( 13.5 ), the point $\zeta_{(3)}$, where $\xi_{(3)} \leq \xi_{n}$ can only lie in $R_{n}$ if
so that we have

$$
r_{2}^{\prime}=\left|\zeta_{(3)}-\xi_{n}\right| \leq e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

$$
r_{2}^{\prime} \leq e^{-2 \pi} r_{2}
$$

using (14.9), as required in (10.3). Also

$$
r_{1}^{\prime}=\left|\zeta_{(4)}-\xi_{n}\right|=r_{1} e^{2 \pi}
$$

by (14.9) and (54.10) so that (10.3) is satisfied. Again

$$
r_{2}^{\prime} \geq e^{2 \pi} r_{1}^{\prime}
$$

by (14.10) and (14.1I), so that (10.4) is also satisfied. Then if $s=\sigma_{(4)}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\zeta_{(4)}$ given by ( 14.10 ), lemma 8 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{(4)}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{(3)}\right|}>d\left[\zeta_{(4)}, \zeta_{(3)} ; D_{n}\right]-4, \tag{14.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that (I4.II) holds.
Again if
(14.13)

$$
e^{2 \pi}\left(\xi_{(4)}-\xi_{n}\right) \leq\left(\zeta_{2)}-\xi_{n}\right) \leq e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right),
$$

we can apply the inequalities of (10.6) with $\zeta_{(4)}$ for $\zeta_{(1)}$ and $\zeta_{(2)}$ for $\zeta_{2}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{(4)}\right)-d\left[\zeta_{(4)}, \zeta_{(2)} ; D_{n}\right]\right|<4 . \tag{14.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall deduce lemma io from (14.12) and (14.14).
15) In order to obtain bounds for the quantities $d\left[\zeta_{(i)}, \zeta_{(j)} ; D_{n}\right]$ which appear in (14.12) and (14.14) we consider a different mapping of $D_{n}$ into the strip
$|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$. We put $Z=X+i Y$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta=\xi_{n}+\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\log 2}\left[Z \log (\mathrm{I}+Z)+\frac{\pi}{4}\right]  \tag{I5.1}\\
s=\frac{2}{\pi} \log Z
\end{gather*}
$$

Using lemma I we see that this gives a schlicht mapping of the strip $|\tau|<1$ in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane onto the region bounded by the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\xi_{n}+\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\log 2}\left[i Y \log (\mathrm{I}+i Y)+\frac{\pi}{4}\right],-\infty<Y<+\infty \tag{15.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and lying to the right of the curve when it is described in the direction of increasing $Y$.

The curve (15.3) coincides with $C_{n}$ for the arc given by ( 13.4 ) taking $t=Y$. Also $C_{n}$ lies to the right of the curve ( 15.3 ) for the points of $C_{n}$ given by $|t| \geq t_{n}$ as we see from (13.7), (13.8) and (13.9). Lastly if $\zeta$ is a point on the curve ( 15.3 ) which corresponds to $|Y|<1$, we have

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|<\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\log 2}\left|\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{i}{2} \log 2\right|<3 \eta_{n}
$$

Hence no points lying in the region $R_{n}$ and satisfying

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|>3 \eta_{n}
$$

can lie on the curve ( 15.3 ) and so in particular the whole of the region $D_{n}$ defined by (14.6) lies to the right of the curve ( 15.3 ) and so is mapped into the strip $|\tau|<$ I by the mapping given by ( 15.1 ), (15.2).

Again it follows from lemma $I$, (2.2) that if

$$
Z=X+i Y=\exp \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{3}+i \tau_{3}\right)
$$

corresponds to $\zeta_{(3)}=\xi_{(3)}+i \eta_{(3)}$ by (15.1), (I5.2), where $\xi_{(3)} \leq \xi_{n}$, then we have

$$
\left|\frac{\eta_{(3)} \log 2}{2 \eta_{n}}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{X^{2}+Y^{2}}{X}=\frac{\pi e^{\left(\pi \sigma_{3} / 2\right)}}{2 \cos \left(\pi \tau_{3} / 2\right)}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{3}+\log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{3}\right|}>\log \left|\frac{\eta_{(3)}}{\eta_{n}}\right|-A
$$

Also if $s=\sigma_{4}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{4}$ defined by (I4.10), we have clearly $\sigma_{4}=A$,
so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{4}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-\left|\tau_{3}\right|}>\log \left|\frac{\eta_{(3)}}{\eta_{n}}\right|-A . \tag{15.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the mapping of ( 15.1 ), ( 15.2 ) maps the region $D_{n}$ into the strip $|\tau|<1$, as we showed above. Hence if $s_{3}, s_{4}$ correspond to $\zeta_{(3)}$, $\zeta_{(4)}$ we have

$$
d\left[\zeta_{(3)}, \zeta_{(4)} ; D_{n}\right] \geq d\left[s_{3}, s_{4} ;|\tau|<\mathrm{I}\right]>\frac{\pi}{4}\left|\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{4}\right|+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{3}\right|}-\mathrm{I},
$$

making use of lemma 7 with the strip $|\tau|<1$ for $\Delta$, and $\sigma_{4}$ for $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}+i x_{3}$, for $\sigma_{2}+i \tau_{2}$. Combining this with (15.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left[\zeta(3), \zeta_{(4)} ; D_{n}\right]>\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left|\eta_{(3)}\right|}{\eta_{n}}-A . \tag{55.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next note that in the mapping defined above by ( I 5.1 ), ( I 5.2 ) the curve (15.3) corresponds to $|\tau|=1$. Also this curve coincides with $C_{n}$ for the range of values $\mathrm{r} \leq Y \leq t_{n}$, where $t_{n}$ is defined as in (13.5). Thus it follows that in the mapping of $D_{n}$ into the strip $|x|<1$ defined by (15.1), (15.2) the endpoints of the ares in which the circles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|=r \tag{15.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

intersect $D_{n}$, lie on the curve ( 15.3 ), and so correspond to points on $\boldsymbol{r}=-\mathrm{I}$, $\tau=+\mathrm{I}$, respectively, provided that
( 5.7 ) $\quad 3 \eta_{n}<r<r_{n}=e^{-2 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)$.
We denote by $D_{n}^{\prime}$ the subdomain of $D_{n}$ consisting of all points satisfying (15.6), (15.7). Then if we take $D_{n}^{\prime}$ for $D_{0}$, the mapping of $D_{n}^{\prime}$ into the strip $|\tau|<1$ satisfies the conditions of lemma 8, provided that (10.3) and (10.4) are satisfied.

We take $\zeta_{1}=\xi_{(4)}$ in lemma 8, where $\xi_{(4)}$ is defined in (14.10), and $\zeta_{2}=\zeta_{(2)}$, where $\zeta_{(2)}$ is defined in (14.2). The conditions (10.3), (10.4) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \pi}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{n}\right) \leq\left(\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}\right) \leq e^{-2 \pi} r_{n}=e^{-4 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right) . \tag{55.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If these are satisfied and $\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{4}$ correspond to $\zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(4)}$ in the mapping as defined by (15.1), ( 15.2 ), we obtain from (10.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{4}\right)+4>d\left[\zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(4)} ; D_{n}^{\prime}\right]>d\left[\zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(4)} ; D_{n}\right], \tag{15.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $D_{n}^{\prime}$ is contained in $D_{n}$. Also we have from (15.1), ( 15.2 ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(i)}-\xi_{n}=\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\log 2}\left[e^{\pi \sigma_{i} / 2} \log \left(\mathrm{I}+e^{\pi \sigma_{i} / 2}\right)+\frac{\pi}{4}\right], \quad i=2,4 . \tag{15.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put
(I5.II)

$$
\frac{\xi_{(i)}-\xi_{n}}{2 \eta_{n}} \log 2-\frac{\pi}{4}=u_{i}
$$

(15.12)

$$
e^{\pi \sigma_{i} / 2}=v_{i}
$$

so that (15.10) gives

$$
u_{i}=v_{i} \log \left(\mathrm{I}+v_{i}\right)
$$

Since ( 5.8 ), (14.10) hold, we have
so that

$$
u_{i}>\mathrm{I}, \quad v_{i}>\mathrm{I}, \quad i=2,4
$$

and hence

$$
v_{i} \log 2<u_{i}<v_{i}^{2}
$$

$$
v_{i} \log \left(\mathrm{I}+\sqrt{u_{i}}\right)<u_{i}<v_{i} \log \left(\mathrm{I}+\frac{u_{i}}{\log 2}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\log u_{i}-\log \log \left(1+\frac{u_{i}}{\log 2}\right)<\log v_{i}<\log u_{i}-\log \log \left(\mathrm{I}+\sqrt{u_{i}}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\log ^{+} v_{i}-\log ^{+} u_{i}+\log ^{+} \log ^{+} u_{i}\right|<A, \quad i=2,4 \tag{15.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (I4.10), $u_{4}=A$ so that $v_{4}=A$ by (15.13). Hence using (I5.11), (15.12) and (15.13) we have
(15.14) $\quad\left|\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{4}\right)-\log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)+\log _{+} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)\right|<A$.

Also since the mapping of (15.1), (15.2) maps $D_{n}$ into the strip $|\tau|<I$ so that $\zeta=\xi_{(4)}, \xi_{(2)}$ correspond to $s=\sigma_{(4)}, \sigma_{(2)}$ we have

$$
d\left[\zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(4)} ; D_{n}\right]>d\left[\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{4} ;|\tau|<1\right]=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{4}\right)
$$

Combining this with (15.9) we have]

$$
\left|\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{4}\right)-d\left[\zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(4)} ; D_{n}\right]\right|<A
$$

Combining this with (15.14) we have (15.15) $\left|d\left[\zeta_{(2)}, \zeta_{(4)} ; D_{n}\right]-\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log ^{+} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)\right|<A$,
provided that ( 15.8 ) holds.
16) We can now complete the proof of lemma 10. Having obtained the inequalities ( 15.5 ) and (15.15), we go back to the original mapping of lemma 10.

We have from (14.12), ( 15.5 )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{(4)}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{3}\right|}>\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \left|\frac{\eta_{(3)}}{\eta_{n}}\right|-A \tag{16.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\zeta_{(4)}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(4)}$ is defined as in (14.10), $s=\sigma_{(4)}$ is the image of $\zeta=\xi_{(4)}$ in the $s$ plane and (I4.II) holds. Also $\sigma_{(4)}>\sigma_{n}$ since on the real axis $\sigma$ is an increasing function of $\xi$. Thus (16.i) gives a fortiori

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(3)}-\sigma_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\left|\tau_{(3)}\right|}>\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log ^{+}\left|\frac{\eta_{(3)}}{\eta_{n}}\right|-A \tag{16.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that (I4.11) holds. Also (I6.2) is true if (14.1I) is false since by lemma 4 we always have $\sigma_{(3)}>\sigma_{n}$. Thus (16.2) is always true, which proves (14.5).

Next suppose that $\xi_{(i)}, i=5,6, \ldots$ are real numbers to be defined and that $\sigma_{(i)}$ corresponds to $\xi_{(i)}$ in the mapping of (5.14). It follows from (14.1) and (5.6), (5.1I), that $R_{n-1}$ contains the circle $C_{1}$

$$
\left|\zeta-\zeta_{1}\right|<\eta_{n}
$$

which is mapped into the strip $|\tau|<1$. Thus if

## we have

$$
\xi_{(5)}=\xi_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}
$$

(16.3)

$$
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(5)}-\sigma_{n}\right)=d\left[\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{(5)} ;|\tau|<1\right]<d\left[\zeta_{(1)}, \xi_{(5)} ; C_{1}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\eta_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}}{\eta_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n}}
$$

Similarly $\mathscr{R}$ contains the circle $C_{2},\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}\right|<\eta_{n}$ as we see from (5.4), (5.5) so that if
we have

$$
\xi_{(6)}=\xi_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n},
$$

(16.4)

$$
\sigma_{(6)}-\sigma_{(6)}<A
$$

Next if $\xi_{(7)}$ satisfies
(16.5)

$$
\xi_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{n} \leq \xi_{(7)} \leq \xi_{n}+A \eta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)
$$

we deduce similarly
(16.6)

$$
\sigma_{(7)}-\sigma_{(6)}<A
$$

on considering the mapping of the circle $C_{\eta}$,

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{(\gamma)}\right|<\xi_{(7)}-\xi_{n}
$$

which is contained in $R_{n}$. We deduce from (16.6), that (14.4) holds if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}<3 \eta_{n} e^{8 \pi} \tag{16.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact in this case we have from (14.2)

$$
\xi_{(2)}<\xi_{n}+A \eta_{n}
$$

so that we may take $\xi_{(2)}=\xi_{(7)}$ in (16.5), and combining (I6.3), (16.4), (I6.6), we have
which yields (14.4).

$$
0<\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{n}<A
$$

Suppose next that ( 16.7 ) is false. Then if $\xi_{(4)}$ is defined as in (14.10) and $\sigma_{(4)}$ corresponds to $\xi_{(4)}$, we have from ( 16.5 ), ( 16.6 ), applied with $\xi_{(7)}=\xi_{(4)}$, and from (I6.3), ( 16.4 )
(16.8)

$$
0<\sigma_{(4)}-\sigma_{n}<A
$$

Further if

$$
\xi_{(4)}=\xi_{n}+3 \eta_{n} e^{2 \pi} \leq \xi_{(2)} \leq \xi_{n}+3 \eta_{n} e^{4 \pi}
$$

(16.3) to (16.6) shows that

$$
\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{(4)}<A
$$

so that again (14.4) follows. Suppose next that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}+3 \eta_{n} e^{4 \pi} \leq \xi_{(2)} \leq \xi_{n}+e^{-4 \pi\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right) .} \tag{16.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies (14.13) and (15.8) and so we can apply (15.15) and (14.14). This yields
(16.10) $\left|\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{(4)}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log ^{+} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)\right|<A$,
which combined with (16.8) yields (14.4). Suppose lastly that
(I6.1I)

$$
\xi_{n}+e^{-4 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right) \leq \xi_{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)
$$

Then we write

$$
\xi_{(8)}=\xi_{n}+e^{-4 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

and see that (I6.10) holds with $\sigma_{(8)}, \xi_{(8)}$ instead $\sigma_{(2)}, \xi_{(2)}$. Also if (I6.1I) holds, $\xi_{(8)}, \xi_{(2)}$ are contained in the circle $C_{8}$,

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{(2)}\right|<\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}
$$

itself contained in $R_{n}$ so that $C_{8}$ is mapped into $|\tau|<I$ by the mapping of (5.14) and hence we deduce again from (16.II)

$$
\frac{\pi}{4}\left[\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{(8)}\right]<d\left[\xi_{(8)}, \xi_{(2)} ; C_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \log \left|\frac{\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{n}+\xi_{(2)}-\xi_{(8)}}{\xi_{(8)}-\xi_{n}}\right|<A
$$

Thus ( 16.10 ) holds also if (16.11) holds. Thus ( 16.10 ) holds whenever (16.7) is
false and (I6.9) or (I6.1I) holds, and so (14.4) follows using (i6.8). We have already proved (14.4) in all other cases, when we have in fact

$$
\circ<\sigma_{(2)}-\sigma_{n}<A
$$

This completes the proof of (14.4) and of lemma 10.

## Converse Theorems when $\boldsymbol{E}$ is Unbounded.

17) We are now ready to prove the results involving problems (ii) and (iii as stated in paragraph 1 of this chapter. We consider first problem (iii) which is a little simpler. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\varrho)=(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}, \quad 0 \leq a<\infty . \tag{17.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

What can we say about the rate of growth of $f(z)$, if $f(z)$ is meromorphic in $|z|<\mathrm{I}$ and takes some arbitrarily large values at most $p(\varrho)$ times in $|z| \leq \varrho$, $0 \leq \varrho<1$. The positive results were obtained in Theorems V, VI and $\mathbf{X}$ of Chapter 1I. We showed in Theorems VI and X that if $a<\mathrm{I}$ in (17.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varlimsup_{\varrho \rightarrow 1}(1-\varrho) \log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right] \leq 0  \tag{17.2}\\
& \lim _{\varrho \rightarrow 1}(1-\varrho)^{\frac{1+a}{3-a}} \log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right] \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

while if $a=\mathrm{I}$ it follows from Theorems V and X that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varlimsup_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \frac{(\mathrm{I}-\varrho) \log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]}{\log (\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho))}<A .  \tag{17.4}\\
& \frac{\lim }{\rho \rightarrow 1} \frac{(\mathrm{I}-\varrho) \log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]}{\log \log (\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho))}<A . \tag{17.5}
\end{align*}
$$

All the results (17.2) to (17.5) are best possible as we shall be able to show by examples, constructed by means of the mapping functions (5.14), which we have been studying. The inequality (17.4) represents no improvement on (1.5) the result when $E$ contains only two finite values. This will be seen to be in accordance with facts.

We may remark here that once the functions $\zeta=\psi(s)$ of (5.14) have been introduced and studied by means of lemmas I to 8 it would be comparatively simple to prove more general converse theorems when $p(\varrho)$ is any sufficiently smooth function e.g.

$$
p(\varrho)=(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}\left[\log (\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)]^{b} \ldots,\right.
$$
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by taking other forms for the curves $C_{n}$ than those defined in (II.I) and (I3.2) to (13.9). The simple form of (1.1) or (I7.1) for $p$ ( $\varrho$ ) seems, however, to cover all the essential points that arise. The whole work could have been simplified considerably if we had been prepared to exclude the case $a=\mathrm{I}$, which necessitates the full strength of the preceding theory. This case is, however, in many ways critical, so that its omission would be a serious gap.

The results which we shall prove are the following
Theorem II. ${ }^{1}$ Suppose that $p(\varrho)$ is given by (I7.I) where $0 \leq a<1$ and that $\mu(\varrho)$ is a decreasing function of $\varrho$ for $\circ \leq \varrho<1$ such that

$$
\mu(\varrho) \rightarrow \mathrm{o}, \quad \text { as } \quad \varrho \rightarrow \mathrm{I}
$$

Then there exists $f(z)$, regular nonzero in $|z|<1$ and taking some arbitrarily large values $w$ at most $p(\varrho)$ times in $|z|<\varrho, 0<\varrho<1$ and such that

$$
(17.7)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varrho \rightarrow 1} \frac{(\mathrm{I}-\varrho) \log M[\varrho \cdot f]}{\mu(\varrho)}>0  \tag{17.6}\\
& \lim _{\varrho \rightarrow 1} \frac{(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{\frac{1+a}{3-a}} \log M[\varrho, f]}{\mu(\varrho)}>0
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem III. Suppose that $p(\varrho)$ is given by (17.1) with $a=1$. Then there exists a function $f(z)$ rrgular nonzero in $|z|<1$ and taking some arbitrarily large values at most $p(\varrho)$ times in $|z|<\varrho, 0<\varrho<1$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varlimsup_{\varrho \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-\varrho) \log M[\varrho, f]}{\log (\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho))}>0  \tag{17.8}\\
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1} \frac{(\mathrm{I}-\varrho) \log M[\varrho f[ }{\log \log (\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho))}>0
\end{align*}
$$

## Introduction of the Converse Functions.

18) Before proving Theorems II and III we introduce the general form of the functions which we shall investigate. This has already been done tentatively in section 5. We elaborate and recapitulate slightly. Let $\mathscr{R}$ be the Riemann surface constructed by means of the curves $C_{n}$ and let
(18.1)

$$
\zeta=\psi(s)=c_{1} s+c_{2} s^{2}+\cdots, \quad c_{1}>0
$$

[^3]be the function of (5.14) which maps the strip $|\tau|<1$ in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane 1: I and conformally onto $\mathscr{R}$. The curves $C_{n}$ will always be defined either in accordance with (II.1) or as in (I3.2) to (13.9). If $C_{n}$ is defined as in (II.I) for all $n, \mathscr{R}$ reduces to a domain $D$, and $\psi(s)$ maps the strip $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}: \mathrm{I}$ and conformally onto $D$.

This case will suffice for all applications involving $p(\varrho)$ defined as in (17.1) with $a<\mathrm{I}$. In the case $a=\mathrm{I}, \psi(s)$ will no longer be schlicht. However, we can only have

$$
\psi\left(s_{1}\right)=\psi\left(s_{2}\right)
$$

for $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$, if $s_{1}, s_{2}$ correspond to points in different sheets $R_{n}$ of $\mathscr{R}$.
We shall put

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{18.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives a $1: 1$ mapping of the circle $|z|<1$ onto the strip $|\tau|<1$, in the $s=\sigma+i \tau$ plane. We also put
(18.3) $\quad w=\exp (\zeta)$.

Then the functions we require will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=f(z)=\exp \left\{\psi\left[\frac{2}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{18.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\zeta=\log f(z)$ gives a I: I mapping of the circle $|z|<\mathrm{I}$ onto the Riemann surface $\mathscr{R}$.

We shall also make use of the following notation. We write $\sigma_{n}$ for the unique real and positive number such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\sigma_{n}\right)=\xi_{n}-\eta_{n}, \quad n \geq \mathrm{I} \tag{18.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also write $\varrho_{n}$ for the number corresponding to $\sigma_{n}$ by (i8.2), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varrho_{n}}{1-\varrho_{n}} \tag{18.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof of Theorem II.

19) We now commence the proof of Theorem II. We shall define all the curves $C_{n}$ in accordance with (II.I). We shall define the $\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}, n \geq \mathrm{I}$ by induction. ${ }^{1}$ We put

[^4]$$
\xi_{1}=1
$$
(19.2)
$$
\eta_{1}=1 .
$$

Thus (5.2) and (5.5) are satisfied for $n=1$.
We shall also write $k_{n}$ for a positive number depending only on the $\xi_{\nu}, \eta_{v}$ for $\nu \leq n$ and on $a, 0 \leq a<1$, not necessarily the same, each time it occurs. Using the above notation we have first

Lemma 11. Suppose that $n \geq \mathrm{I}$ and that $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}, \nu=\mathrm{I}$ to $n$ have already been defined. Then if $\xi_{n+1}>k_{n}$ we can define $\eta_{n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi a}{2}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-3\right)<\log \eta_{n+1}<\frac{\pi a}{2}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-1\right) \tag{19.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

however, the $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}$ for $\nu>n+\mathrm{I}$ are chosen. Moreover if (19.3) holds, $f(z)$ takes the value $w_{n+1}=\exp \left(-\xi_{n+1}\right)$ at most $(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}$ times in $|z|<\varrho, 0<\varrho<\mathrm{I}$.

We proceed to prove lemma iI. Since $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}$ for $\nu=\mathrm{I}$ to $n$ have been defined, it follows from lemma 6 that, however the $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}$ for $\nu \geq n+1$ are chosen, the variation of $\sigma_{n}$ is at most $I$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}<k_{n} \tag{19.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows from lemma 9, (11.2) that if $s=\sigma$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi$ for $\xi>\xi_{n}$, then we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log ^{+}\left|\frac{\xi-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right|+A, \xi_{n} \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\log ^{+}\left(\xi-\xi_{n}\right)+k_{n}, \quad \xi_{n} \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)
$$

using (19.4). Since $a<1$ it follows that we can choose $k_{n}$ so large, that, however $\eta_{v}$ or $\xi_{v+1}$ for $\nu>n$ are chosen, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi a}{2}(\sigma-2)<\log \left(\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}\right)=\log \left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right) \tag{19.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $s=\sigma$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)$ and
(19.6)

$$
\xi_{n+1}>k_{n}
$$

We can also choose $k_{n}$ in (19.6) so large that

$$
2 \eta_{n}<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}
$$

which is the condition (5.4). Suppose now that $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}, \nu>n+1$ are chosen in some fixed way and let $\eta_{n+1}$ be varied from o to $\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)$. If $\eta_{n+1}$ is very small $\zeta=\xi_{n+1}$ corresponds nearly to $\sigma=\infty$. Hence using (19.5) we see that if $\eta_{n+1}$ has a fixed small value $\eta_{n+1}^{\prime}$, we can find $\xi^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)<\xi^{\prime}<\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}^{\prime} \tag{19.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sigma^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\xi^{\prime}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi a}{2}\left(\sigma^{\prime}-2\right)=\log \left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime}\right) \tag{19.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For in this case $\sigma$ is a continuous function of $\xi$ and since (19.5) holds when $\xi=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)$ and $\sigma$ is large when $\xi=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}^{\prime}$, (ig.8) must hold for some $\xi^{\prime}$ in the range (19.7). We now alter $\eta_{n+1}$ to the value given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\eta}_{n+1}=\xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime} \tag{19.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi^{\prime}$ is the value of (19.7), and at the same time leave $\xi_{v}, \eta_{v}$ for $\nu>n+1$ arbitrary. According to the definition (18.5), $s=\sigma_{n+1}$ now corresponds to $\zeta=\xi^{\prime}$. Also it follows from lemma 6, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma^{\prime}\right|<\mathrm{I} \tag{19.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of (19.8), (19.9), (19.10), we have

$$
\frac{\pi a}{2}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-3\right)<\log \eta_{n+1}<\frac{\pi a}{2}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-1\right)
$$

which proves (19.3). Also from (19.7) and (19.9) it follows that

$$
2 \eta_{n+1}<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}
$$

so that $\eta_{n+1}$ satisfies the condition (5.5) and the choice of $\eta_{n+1}$ is legitimate. Thus if (19.6) holds for each $n$ the $\eta_{v}$ can for $\nu=1,2, \ldots$ be defined so that $(5.4),(5,5)$ and (19.3) are satisfied.

It remains to prove the second statement of lemma II. We note that if $z$ is a point such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=w_{n+1}=\exp \left(-\xi_{n+1}\right), \quad n>0 \tag{19.1I}
\end{equation*}
$$

then if $s$ is given by (18.2) and $\zeta=\psi(s)$ is the function of (18.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(s)=\xi_{n+1}+m \pi i \tag{19.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive or negative odd integer. Since $C_{n}$ is defined by (11.1) for all $n$ the function $\psi(s)$ is schlicht in $|\tau|<1$ and so (19.12) can only bave one
solution for each such odd integer. Also since $\mathscr{R}$ intersects the line $\mathfrak{R} \zeta=\xi_{n+1}$ only in the segment $|\eta| \leq \eta_{n+1}$ we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\pi m| \leq \eta_{n+1} \tag{19.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if (19.12) holds. If $\mp \mathrm{r}, \mp 3, \ldots \mp M$ are the odd integers satisfying (19.13) we have

$$
2 M<\pi M \leq \eta_{n+1}
$$

so that the number of these integers and so the number of different solutions of (I9.II) in $|z|<I$ is at most $y_{n+1}$.

On the other hand, it follows from lemma 4 , that if $s=\sigma+i \tau$ is any point such that (19.12) holds, then we have
(19.14) $\quad \sigma \geq \sigma_{n+1}$
since $s=\sigma_{n+1}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}$ by (18.5). Also if $\sigma+i \tau$ corresponds to $z=\varrho e^{i \theta}$ by (18:2) we have

$$
\sigma=\frac{2}{\pi} \log \left|\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varrho}{1-\varrho} .
$$

Thus if (19.11) holds for $z=\varrho e^{i \theta}$, then (19.14) yields

$$
\log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho} \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}
$$

so that from (19.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\log \frac{1+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)>\log \eta_{n+1} \\
& a \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}>\log \eta_{n+1} \\
& \eta_{n+1}<(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if (19.11) has roots in $|z|<\varrho$, the total number of such roots is at most $\eta_{n+1}$ from (19.13) and so is less than ( $\left.\mathrm{x}-\varrho\right)^{-a}$. This completes the proof of lemma it.
20) We can now prove Theorem II. Suppose that the $\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}$ are chosen in accordance with the conditions and conclusions of lemma 11 , so that (19.3) is satisfied for all $n$. It remains to show that we can do this so that (17.7) and (17.6) are satisfied. Let $\zeta=\xi$ correspond to $s=\sigma$ by the mapping of (18.1) and take first $\xi=\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)$. Then lemma 9 (II.2) shows that if $\sigma=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\xi=\xi_{n}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}\right)+A
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}<\log ^{+} \xi_{n}^{\prime}+k_{n} \tag{20.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\sigma_{n}<k_{n}
$$

by (19.4). We next take $\xi=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}$ so that $\sigma=\sigma_{n+1}$. Then the domain $D$ which is mapped by the inverse of (18.1) into the strip $|\tau|<1$ contains the circle $C$,

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right|<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right) .
$$

Since this circle is mapped into $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$ so that $s=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n+1}$ correspond to $\xi=\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}$, we have

$$
d\left[\sigma_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n+1},|\tau|<\mathrm{I}\right]<d\left[\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}, \xi_{n}^{\prime} ; C\right]
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right) & <\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}+\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}}{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\left(\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right)} \\
& <\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}}<\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\log \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}} .
$$

Combining this with (20.1) we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}<2 \log \xi_{n+1}+\log \frac{1}{\eta_{n+1}}+k_{n} .
$$

Using the first inequality of (19.3) this becomes

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}<2 \log \xi_{n+1}-\frac{\pi a}{2} \sigma_{n+1}+k_{n}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}(\mathrm{I}+a) \sigma_{n+1}<2 \log \xi_{n+1}+k_{n} \tag{20.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose next that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n+1} \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}+\xi_{n+2}\right) . \tag{20.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (Ir.2) of lemma 9 gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{n+1}\right)<\log ^{+} \frac{\xi-\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}}+A, \\
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{n+1}\right)<\log \xi-\log \eta_{n+1}+A \tag{20.4}
\end{align*}
$$

since from (5.4) we have

$$
\eta_{n+1}<\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \xi_{n+1}<\frac{1}{2} \xi
$$

Making use of the first inequality of (19.3), (20.4) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma & <\log \xi+\frac{\pi}{2}(\mathrm{I}-a) \sigma_{n+1}+A \\
& <\log \xi+2 \frac{\mathrm{I}-a}{\mathrm{I}+a} \log \xi_{n+1}+k_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

using (20.2), and hence we have, if (20.3) holds

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\left[\mathrm{I}+\frac{2(\mathrm{I}-a)}{\mathrm{I}+a}\right] \log \xi+k_{n}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\frac{3-a}{1+a} \log \xi+k_{n} \tag{20.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly (20.5) also holds if $\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) \leq \xi \leq \xi_{n+1}$, since $\sigma$ is an increasing function of $\xi$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)>\frac{1}{2} \xi_{n+1}$. Thus (20.5) holds whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}+\xi_{n+2}\right) \tag{20.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the formulae ( $18: 2$ ) to (18.4) we see that if

$$
\xi=\psi(\sigma)
$$

we have

$$
\log f(\varrho)=\xi
$$

where

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma=\log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}
$$

Substituting these results in (20.5) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log f(\varrho)>k_{n}\left(\frac{1+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}\right)^{\frac{1+a}{3-a}} \tag{20.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) \leq \log f(\varrho) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}+\xi_{n+2}\right) \tag{20.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly (20.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log f\left(\varrho^{\prime}\right)>k_{n} \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho^{\prime}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho^{\prime}} \tag{20.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

when
(20.10) $\quad \log f\left(\varrho^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)$.

We may assume that the constants $k_{n}$ in (20.7), (20.9) are the same. We can
then choose $\varrho_{0}$ so near I that

$$
\mu(\varrho)<k_{n}
$$

whenever $\varrho_{0}<\varrho$. We then choose $\xi_{n+1}$ so large that $\varrho^{\prime}>\varrho_{0}$ when (20.10) holds. It then follows that whenever (20.8) holds we have $\mu(\varrho)<k_{n}$, and hence

$$
\log f(\varrho)>\mu(\varrho)\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}\right)^{(1+a) /(3-a)}
$$

Since this inequality holds whenever (20.8) holds for some $n>\mathrm{I}$ it holds whenever $\log f(\varrho) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)$. Then (17.7) follows.

Again we deduce from (20.9) that

$$
\log f\left(\varrho^{\prime}\right)>\mu\left(\varrho^{\prime}\right) \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho^{\prime}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho^{\prime}}
$$

and since this holds for some values of $\varrho^{\prime}$ arbitrarily near 1 , (17.6) follows. This completes the proof of Theorem II.

## Proof of Theorem III.

21) We proceed to prove Theorem III. The proof is similar to that of Theorem II, but is complicated by the fact that we shall have to define the curves $C_{n}$ as in (13.2) to (I3.9). Thus our Riemann surface will no longer be a simple domain, and $\zeta=\psi(s)$ will not be schlicht. This will make it a little harder to obtain upper bounds for $p(\varrho)$. We continue to use the notation of (18.1) to (18.6).

Let $c>1$, and let $\xi_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, be any sequence of positive numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=\mathrm{I} \tag{2I.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

(21.2)

$$
\xi_{n+1} \geq 2\left(\xi_{n}\right)^{c}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots .
$$

We shall show that the conclusions of (17.8), (17.9) hold for a function $f(z)$ taking none of the values

$$
w_{n}=-\exp \xi_{n}, \quad n=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots
$$

more than $\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)$ times in $|z| \leq \varrho$ for $\mathrm{o}<\varrho<\mathrm{I}$. We need
Lemma 12. Suppose that the $\xi_{n}$ satisfy (21.1), (21.2). Then given any real constant $k$, we can choose numbers $\eta_{n}$ satisfying (5.4), (5.5) and (13.1) and the curves $C_{n}$ in accordance with (13.2) to (13.9) so that with the notation of (18.5) we have

$$
\log \eta_{n}<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-k+2 \pi, \quad n>0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \eta_{n}>\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-k-2 \pi, \quad n>n_{0} \tag{21.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is a positive integer.
We choose $\eta_{1}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}=\min \left[\mathrm{I}, e^{-k}, \frac{1}{3} e^{-6 \pi}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right)\right] . \tag{2I.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (5.4), (5.5), (13.1) are satisfied. Also we have

$$
\xi_{1}-\eta_{1} \geq \xi_{1}-\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{o}
$$

using (2I.I) so that since $\zeta=\xi_{1}-\eta_{1}$ corresponds to $s=\sigma_{1}$, we bave $\sigma_{1} \geq 0$ from (18.1). Then (21.3) follows for $n=1$ from (21.5).

Next if we choose $\eta_{2}$ very small $\zeta=\xi_{2}$ corresponds nearly to $\sigma=+\infty$ by (18.I) and so does $\zeta=\xi_{2}-\eta_{2}$. Hence we can find $\eta_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{2}<\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right) \\
& \eta_{2}<\frac{1}{3} e^{-6 \pi}\left(\xi_{3}-\xi_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (5.4), (5.5), (13.1) are satisfied, and in addition we have

$$
\log \eta_{2}<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2}-k+\mathrm{I}
$$

Continuing in this way, we see that we can certainly choose the $\eta_{n}$ so that the conditions (5.4), (5.5), (13.1) and (21.3) are satisfied for $n=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$. Next it follows from lemma $10,(14.4)$, that if $\eta_{n}$ has been so chosen and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) \tag{21.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $s=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{n}^{\prime}$ by (I8.I) then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log \frac{\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}+A \tag{21.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we have from (5.5), which we assume satisfied,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}-\xi_{n-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \xi_{n} \tag{2I.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so (21.7), (21.6) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}}{\eta_{n}}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\xi_{n}}+A \tag{21.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also it follows from (21.2) that

$$
\frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\xi_{n}} \rightarrow \infty
$$

so that if $n_{1}$ is sufficiently large (21.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}}{\eta_{n}}-(\mathrm{I}+\pi), \quad n \geq n_{1} \tag{2I.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also that (21.8) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{3} e^{-6 \pi}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right), \quad n \geq n_{1} \tag{2I.II}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $n_{1}$ is suffficiently large.
Suppose that $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n_{1}}$ have been chosen to satisfy (21.3). We proceed to define $\eta_{n}$ for $n \geq n_{1}$. We do so by induction. Suppose that $\eta_{n}, n \geq n_{1}$, has already been defined. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}^{\prime} \leq \xi^{\prime}<\xi_{n+1}, \tag{21.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{n}^{\prime}$ is defined as in (21.6). Then if $\sigma^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\xi^{\prime}$ we can make $\sigma^{\prime}$ arbitrarily large for some $\xi^{\prime}$ in this range and

$$
\eta_{n+1}<\xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime}
$$

Since also (21.10) holds it follows that if we give $\eta_{n+1}$ a fixed small value and $\eta_{n+2}, \eta_{n+3}, \ldots$ any fixed values, we can find $\sigma^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\xi^{\prime}$ in (21.12) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma^{\prime}-\sigma_{n}\right)=\log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime}}{\eta_{n}}+l \tag{21.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is any number such that $l \geq-(\mathrm{I}+\pi)$. We now alter $\eta_{n+1}$ so that if $\xi^{\prime}$ is the number satisfying (2r.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime}=\eta_{n+1} \tag{21.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we leave the numbers $\eta_{n+2}, \eta_{n+3}$ arbitrary. It follows from lemnia 6 that $\sigma^{\prime}, \sigma_{n}$ can be varied by at most I as a result of this. Also in the new notation $\sigma^{\prime}$ becomes $\sigma_{n+1}$. Hence (21.13), (21.14) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}-\log \eta_{n+1}-\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n}\right)-l\right|<\pi \tag{21.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the $\eta_{n}$ have been chosen so that (2I.3) is satisfied for $\nu=1,2, \ldots n, n \geq n_{1}$. If possible, i.e. if $\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n} \leq k+1+\pi$, we choose $l \geq-(1+\pi)$ so that (for
some fixed choice of the $\eta_{v}, v>n$ )
(21.16)

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n}+l=k
$$

Then for any other choice of the $\eta_{\nu}, v>n$, we must have

$$
\left|\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n}+l-k\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

by lemma 6.
Then (21.3), (21.4) for $n+1$ follow from (21.15). If this is impossible we choose $l=-(\mathrm{I}+\pi)$ and see from (2I.15) that we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n}-\mathrm{I}-2 \pi<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}-\log \eta_{n+1}<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n}-\mathrm{I}
$$

while (2I.3) still holds for $n+1$. Continuing in this way we shall have after a finite number of steps for some $m>n$

$$
k-(\mathrm{I}+\pi)<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{m}-\log \eta_{m}<k+\pi
$$

however the $\eta_{\nu}$ are chosen for $\nu>m$. [Thus (21.3), (21.4) are satisfied for $n=m$ ]. We can then define $l$ in accordance with (21.16), and so (21.3), (21.4) will be satisfied with $n=m+1$. Similarly we can obtain (2I.16) and hence (2I.3), (21.4) for $n>m+1$, so that (2I.3), (2I.4) hold for $n \geq n_{0}=m$. Also (2I.3) holds for all positive integers $n$.

Lastly it follows from (2I.12), (2I.14) that $\eta_{n}$ defined in this way for $n>n_{1}$, satisfies (21.8) and hence (2I.II), i.e. (5.5) and (13.1), which implies (5.4). Thus the choice of $\eta_{n}$ is legitimate and so the conditions of lemma 12 have all been satisfied. This completes the proof of lemma 12.
22) We show next that if the conditions of lemma 12 hold with any constant $k$ and $f(z)$ is defined as in (18.4) then (17.8) and (17.9) bold. Suppose that $n \geq n_{0}$, that

$$
(22.1) \quad \xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)
$$

and that $s=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi_{n}^{\prime}$ by (18.1). Then lemma 10 . (14.4) gives
(22.2) $\quad \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}}{\eta_{n}}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}+A$.

Also by (5.5)

$$
\eta_{n}<\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}-\xi_{n-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \xi_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}\right)^{1 / e}
$$

from (21.2), so that using this and (22.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}=\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{2 \eta_{n}} \\
& >\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\left(\xi_{n+1}\right)^{1 / c}}>\log ^{+} \log ^{+}\left[\left(\xi_{n+1}\right)^{1-1 / c}-\mathrm{I}\right] \\
& >\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi_{n+1}-A(c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (22.2) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}^{\prime} & <\log \left(\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}\right)-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n}+A(c) \\
& <\log \xi_{n}^{\prime}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi_{n}^{\prime}+k+A(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

using (2I.4). We shall denote by $k^{\prime}$ any positive constant depending only on $k$ in (2I.4) and on $c$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}<\log \xi_{n}^{\prime}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi_{n}^{\prime}+k^{\prime}, \quad n>n_{0} . \tag{22.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next if

$$
\zeta_{(1)}=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}
$$

the domain $R_{n}$ contains the circle $C,\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right|<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)$, so that if $s=\sigma_{n+1}$ corresponds to $\zeta=\zeta_{(1)}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left[\sigma_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n+1} ;|\tau|<\mathrm{I}\right]=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)<d\left[\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \xi_{1} ; C\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)+\left(\zeta_{(1)}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)-\left(\zeta_{(1)}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right)}<\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}} \\
& <\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2 \xi_{n}^{\prime}}{\eta_{n+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with (22.3) this gives

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}<\log \xi_{n}^{\prime}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi_{n}^{\prime}+\log \xi_{n}^{\prime}-\log \eta_{n+1}+k^{\prime}, n>n_{0}
$$

Using (21.4) to eliminate $\log \eta_{n+1}$, this yields
(22.4)

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}<\log \xi_{n}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \log \log \xi_{n}^{\prime}+k^{\prime}, n>n_{0}
$$

We now suppose
(22.5)

$$
\xi_{n+1} \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}+\xi_{n+2}\right)
$$

and apply lemma 10 , (14.5) with $\zeta_{(2)}=\xi$ and $n+1$ for $n$. This yields

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{n+1}\right)<\log ^{+} \frac{\xi-\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi-\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}}+A
$$

Using (2 I.4) with $n+1$ for $n$ we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\log ^{+}\left(\xi-\xi_{n+1}\right)-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi-\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}}+k^{\prime}, \quad n>n_{0} \tag{22.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
(22.7)

$$
\sigma<\sigma_{n+1}+A, \quad n>n_{0}
$$

Now it follows from (21.3) and (22.4) that
i.e.

$$
\log \eta_{n+1}<\log \xi_{n+1}-\frac{1}{2} \log \log \xi_{n+1}+k^{\prime}
$$

$$
\eta_{n+1}<\frac{k^{\prime} \xi_{n+1}}{\left(\log \xi_{n+1}\right)^{1 / 2}} .
$$

Hence if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi-\xi_{n+1}>\frac{\xi}{(\log \xi)^{1 / 4}} \tag{22.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{\xi-\xi_{n+1}}{\eta_{n+1}}>k^{\prime} \frac{\xi}{\xi_{n+1}} \cdot\left(\frac{\log \xi_{n+1}}{\log \xi}\right)^{1 / 2}(\log \xi)^{1 / 4}>k^{\prime}(\log \xi)^{1 / 4}
$$

so that (22.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\log \xi-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi+k^{\prime} \tag{22.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again if (22.8) is false we deduce from (22.6), neglecting the second term on the right hand side,

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\log \xi-\frac{1}{4} \log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi+k^{\prime}
$$

which also implies (22.9). Again (22.7) yields (22.9) using (22.4). Thus (22.9) holds throughout the range (22.5), and since

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)>\frac{1}{2} \xi_{n+1}
$$

it follows that (22.9) also holds for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}+\xi_{n+2}\right), \quad n>n_{0} . \tag{22.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (22.9) holds for

$$
\xi \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n_{0}} \dot{+} \xi_{n_{0}+1}\right) .
$$

We see from (I8.I) to (18.4) that if $\sigma$ is real and corresponds to $\varrho$ and $\xi$ then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma & =\log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho} \\
\xi & =\log f(\varrho)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (22.9) gives

$$
\frac{1+\varrho}{1-\varrho}<\frac{\mu^{\prime} \xi}{1+\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \xi}, \quad \xi \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n_{0}}+\xi_{n_{0}+1}\right)
$$

Hence if $\varrho=\varrho_{0}$ corresponds to $\xi=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n_{0}}+\xi_{n_{0}+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi>k^{\prime} \frac{1+\varrho}{1-\varrho} \log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{1}{1-\varrho}, \quad \varrho>\varrho_{0} . \\
\log f(\varrho)>k^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho} \log \log \frac{1}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}, \quad \varrho>\varrho_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This proves (17.9). Similarly if in (22.3) $\sigma=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\varrho=\varrho_{n}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho_{n}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho_{n}^{\prime}}<\log \log f\left(\varrho_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\log \log \log f\left(\varrho_{n}^{\prime}\right)+k^{\prime}
$$

so that

$$
\log f\left(\varrho_{n}^{\prime}\right)>k^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho_{n}^{\prime}} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho_{n}^{\prime}},
$$

for some values $\varrho=\varrho_{n}^{\prime}$ arbitrarily near I . This proves (17.8).
23) To complete the proof of Theorem III it remains to show that we can choose the constant $k$ in lemma 12 so that the function $f(z)$ takes no value

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=-\exp \cdot\left(\xi_{n}\right) \tag{23.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

more than $I /(1-\varrho)$ times in $|z|<\varrho$. Consider a fixed value $w_{n}$. The roots of $f(z)=w_{n}$ occur when
(23.2) $\psi(s)=\log w_{n}=\log \xi_{n}+m \pi i$,
where $m$ is a positive or negative odd integer.
Let $\sigma_{n}, \varrho_{n}$ be defined as in (18.5), (18.6). Then if $\varrho \leq \varrho_{n}$ and $z=\varrho e^{i \theta}$ corresponds to $s=\sigma+i \tau$ by (18.2), we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma=\log \left|\frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho \varrho^{i \theta}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho e^{i \theta}}\right| \leq \log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho_{n}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho_{n}}=\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n} .
$$

Also it follows from lemma 4 that if $\sigma \leq \sigma_{n}$, the point $\sigma+i x$ cannot correspond to an interior or boundary point of the sheet $R_{n}$ by (i8.1). It follows that the equation (23.2) has no roots for $s=\sigma+i \tau$ with $\sigma \leq \sigma_{n}$. Hence also the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=w_{n} \tag{23.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no roots in $|z| \leq \varrho_{n}$, and so none of the equations (23.3) for any $n$ have roots in $|z| \leq \varrho_{1}$.

Suppose next that
(23.4)

$$
\varrho_{M+1} \leq \varrho \leq \varrho_{M+2}, \quad M \geq 0
$$

and let $p_{\mu}(\varrho)$ denote the total number of roots of (23.3) for which

$$
\zeta=\log w_{n}=\xi_{n}+m \pi i=\log f(z)
$$

lies in the sheet $R_{\mu}$ [as an interior or frontier point]. We must have

$$
|\pi m|<\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}
$$

by (5.12). Since $m$ can take only odd integral values, we deduce from this and the fact that $\zeta=\log f(z)$ gives a schlicht map onto $R_{\mu}$ that

$$
p_{\mu}(\varrho) \leq p_{\mu}(\mathrm{I}) \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}\right)<\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\sum_{\mu=1}^{M-1} p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\sum_{\mu=1}^{M-1}\left(\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}\right)<\xi_{M}<\left(\xi_{M+1}\right)^{1 / c}
$$

by (2I.2). Thus

$$
(23.5)
$$

$$
\log \sum_{\mu=1}^{M-1} p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{c} \log \xi_{M+1}
$$

Now it follows from lemma 10 , (14.4), that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\xi_{M}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{M}+\xi_{M+1}\right) \tag{23.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponds to $s=\sigma_{M}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{M}^{\prime}-\sigma_{M}\right)>\log \frac{\xi_{M}^{\prime}-\xi_{M}}{\eta_{M}}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \frac{\xi_{M}^{\prime}-\xi_{M}}{\eta_{M}}-A
$$

which gives

$$
\log \frac{\xi_{M}^{\prime}-\xi_{M}}{\eta_{M}}<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{M}^{\prime}-\sigma_{M}\right)+\log ^{+}\left(\sigma_{M}^{\prime}-\sigma_{M}\right)+A
$$

Using (23.6) and (21.3) this gives, since $\xi_{M+1}>2 \xi_{M}$ by (21.2),
(23.7)

$$
\log \xi_{M+1}<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{M}+\log ^{+} \sigma_{M}^{\prime}+A-k
$$

Also since

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{u}+\xi_{M+1}\right) \leq \xi_{M+1}-\eta_{M+1}
$$

we have

$$
\sigma_{M}^{\prime} \leq \sigma_{M+1} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varrho}{1-\varrho}
$$

by (23.4) and (18.6). Thus (23.5), (23.7) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \sum_{\mu=1}^{M-1} p_{\mu}(\varrho) & <\frac{1}{c}\left[\log \frac{1+\varrho}{1-\varrho}+\log \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}+A-k\right] \\
& <\frac{1}{c}\left[c \log \frac{1}{1-\varrho}+A(c)-k\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

since $c>1$. Hence if
(23.8)

$$
k>A(c)
$$

we shall have
(23.9)

$$
\sum_{\mu=1}^{M-1} p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\frac{1}{3(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)}, \quad 0<\varrho<\mathrm{I}
$$

Next consider $p_{\mu}(\varrho)$ for $\mu=M$ or $M+1$, i.e., the roots of (23.3) in $|z| \leq \varrho$ for which

$$
\zeta=\log w_{n}=\xi_{n}+m \pi i
$$

lies in the sheet $R_{M}, R_{M+1}$, respectively. If $\zeta$ lies in $R_{\mu}$ and corresponds to $s=\sigma+i \tau$ in the strip $|\tau|<\mathrm{I}$ by (18.1) we see from lemma 10 , (14.5) that

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{\mu}\right)+\log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-|\tau|}>\log \left|\frac{m \pi}{\eta_{\mu}}\right|-A
$$

This gives
(23.10)

$$
\log |m|<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma+\log \frac{1}{1-|\tau|}+A-k
$$

making use of (21.3). Also if $\zeta$ gives rise to a root of (23.3) lying in $|z| \leq \varrho$ then $\sigma+i \tau$ must correspond to $z^{\prime}=\varrho^{\prime} e^{i \theta}$ with $\varrho^{\prime}<\varrho$. Hence

$$
d[0, \sigma+i \pi ;|\tau|<1]=d\left[0, \varrho^{\prime} e^{i \theta} ;|z|<1\right]=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\varrho^{\prime}}{1-\varrho^{\prime}} .
$$

Using lemma 7 we deduce

$$
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{1-\varrho}>\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho^{\prime}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho^{\prime}}>\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-|\tau|}-A
$$

Thus (23.10) gives
(23.11)

$$
\log |m| \leq \log \frac{1}{1-\varrho}+A-k
$$

If $m$ is the largest odd integer satisfying (23.1I) we have $p_{\mu}(\varrho) \leq 2 m$. Thus we have

$$
\log p_{\mu}(\varrho) \leq \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}-\log 3
$$

(23.12)

$$
p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\frac{1}{3(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)}
$$

if $k>A$. Taking $\mu=M, M+\mathrm{I}$ in (23.12) we have from this and (23.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mu=1}^{M+1} p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho} \tag{23.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also since (23.4) bolds, it follows from lemma 4, as we remarked earlier, that the circle $|z|<\varrho$ can contain no points which correspond to points $\zeta$ in the sheets $R_{M+2}, R_{M+3}, \ldots$ etc. Thus if $p(\varrho)$ denotes the total number of roots of the equation (23.3) in $|z|<\varrho$ we have from (23.13)

$$
p(\varrho)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{M+1} p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho},
$$

provided that (23.8) holds with a sufficiently large constant $A(c)$. Since we have already shown that (17.9), (17.8) hold in this case, the proof of Theorem III is complete.

## Sets of Values $\boldsymbol{E}$ Having the Same Effect as the Whole Plane.

24) We now turn our attention to the last problem of this chapter, problem (ii) of paragraph 1. It has been shown in Chapter II, Theorem VII, that if $f(z)$ takes none of a sequence of values $w_{n}$ which satisfy
(24.I)

$$
w_{0}=0,
$$

(24.2)

$$
\left|w_{n+1}\right|<k\left|w_{n}\right|, \quad n=\mathrm{I}, 2 \ldots,
$$

$$
\left|w_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

more than $p(\varrho)$ times in $|z|<\varrho$ for $0<\varrho<\mathrm{I}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]=o\left\{\int_{0}^{\rho_{*}} \frac{1+p(t)}{1-t} d t\right\} \tag{24.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varrho_{*}=\frac{1+2 \varrho}{2+\varrho} .
$$
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No stronger result than this holds even if $f(z)$ takes no value more than $p(\varrho)$ times, at least when
(24.5)

$$
p(\varrho)=(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}, \quad 0 \leq a<\infty
$$

This was shown in Chapter II, paragraph 2I. Our problem is to what extent the conditions (24.1) to (24.3) can be relaxed, without weakening (24.4). We show first that we cannot greatly weaken (24.2), (24.3) when $p(\varrho) \equiv 1$, i.e., $a=0$ in (24.5). Clearly (24.1) represents a mere normalization. In this case (24.4) gives

$$
\log M\left[\varrho, f_{*}(z)\right]=o\left(\log \frac{I}{I-\varrho}\right)
$$

As a converse we have
Theorem IV. Suppose that $r_{n}$ is a sequence of real positive numbers such that

$$
\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then there exists $f(z)$ regular nonzero in $|z|<1$ and taking no value wn such that $\left|w_{n}\right|=r_{n}$ more than once and such that

$$
\frac{\log |f(\varrho)|}{\log (\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I}-\varrho))} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { as } \varrho \rightarrow \mathrm{I}
$$

Although we cannot weaken (24.2), (24.3) much for all functions $p$ ( $\varrho$ ) we can do so if $p(\varrho)$ grows as rapidly as in (24.5) with $a>0$. In fact we showed in Theorem IX of Chapter II that in this case we can replace (24.2) by the weaker condition
(24.6)

$$
\left|w_{n+1}\right|<\left|w_{n}\right|^{k}, \quad n=\mathrm{I}, 2, \quad k=\text { cons }>\mathrm{I}
$$

This condition is best possible in an even sharper sense than that of Theorem IV. We have in fact

Theorem V. Let $\boldsymbol{E}$ be any set of complex values which does not contain a sequence of values $w_{n}$ satisfying (24.3), (24.6). Then given $a, 0<a \leq 1$ there exists $f(z)$ regular nonzero in $|z|<1$ taking no value in $E$ more than $(1-\varrho)^{-a}$ times in $|z| \leq \varrho$ for $0<\varrho<1$ and such that

$$
\varlimsup_{\varrho \rightarrow 1}(1-\varrho)^{a} \log M[\varrho, f]=\infty
$$

Thus the condition that $E$ shall contain a sequence satisfying (24.3), (24.6) is necessary and sufficient in order that $E$ shall have the effect of the whole plane when $p(\varrho)$ is given by (24.5) with $0<a \leq \mathrm{I}$.

Lastly when $a>1$ in (24.5) it follows from Theorem V of Chapter II that even the set $E$ given by $w=0, \mathrm{I}, \infty$ is sufficient to result in (24.4). Thus the proof of Theorems IV and $V$ will dispose of problem (ii) of paragraph 1 , completely when $a>0$, and to a large extent when $a=0$.

## Proof of Theorem IV.

25) We prove first Theorem IV which is much simpler than Theorem V. Let $r_{n}$ be the numbers of Theorem IV supposedly arranged in order of increasing magnitude. We may suppose without loss in generality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n_{0}}=e \tag{25.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $n_{0}$. Then we choose
(25.2) $\quad \xi_{n}=\log r_{n+n_{0}-1}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots$

Since the $r_{n}$ satisfy

$$
\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}} \rightarrow \infty
$$

we shall have

$$
\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus the numbers $\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}$ have a positive minimum and so we can find $\eta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{0} & <\mathrm{I} \\
2 \eta_{0} & <\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}, \quad n=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We then choose
(25.4)

$$
\eta_{n}=\eta_{0}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

and it follows that the numbers $\eta_{n}, \xi_{n}$ satisfy the conditions (5.4), (5.5),
We shall define the curves $C_{n}$ in accordance with (II.I), so that $\xi=\psi(s)$, defined as in (I8.1) maps the strip I: I conformally onto a domain $D$, since the sheets $R_{n}$ are non-overlapping. We define $f(z)$ by (i8.I) to (18.4).

Suppose that
(25.5)

$$
f(z)=w_{n}
$$

where $\left|w_{n}\right|=r_{n}$. It follows that either $n<n_{0}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\log \left|w_{n}\right|<\xi_{1} \tag{25.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (25.1) or $n \geq n_{0}$ so that
(25.7)

$$
\xi=\log \left|w_{n}\right|=\xi_{n-n_{0}+1}
$$

Also if (25.5) holds,

$$
\log w_{n}=\xi+i \arg w_{n}+2 m \pi i
$$

must lie in $D$ and in case (25.6) holds we deduce from (5.12)

$$
\left|\arg w_{n}+2 m \pi\right|<\xi_{1}-\xi_{0}=2
$$

which can hold only for at most one value of $m$. Also if $(25.7)$ holds we deduce from (25.4) and (5.13) that

$$
\left|\arg w_{n}+2 m \pi\right|<\eta_{0}<\mathrm{I}
$$

which can again hold for at most one value of $m$. Thus if (25.5) holds we must have

$$
\log f(z)=\log \left|w_{n}\right|+i\left(\arg w_{n}+2 \pi m\right)
$$

which can hold for at most one value of $m$ in all cases. Since $\log f(z)$ gives a schlicht mapping of $|z|<1$ onto the domain $D$, we deduce that (25.5) has at most one solution in $|z|<1$ for each $w_{n}$.
26) It remains to show that

$$
\frac{\log |f(\varrho)|}{\log \frac{1}{1-\varrho}} \rightarrow+\infty, \text { as } \varrho \rightarrow 1
$$

Since $\xi, \sigma, \varrho$ are related as in (18.1) to (18.4) this is equivalent to proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi}{\sigma} \rightarrow+\infty, \text { as } \xi \rightarrow+\infty \tag{26.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta=\xi$ correspond to $s=\sigma$ in the mapping of (18.1). Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n} \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) \tag{26.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $s=\sigma$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi$. Then it follows from (II.2), that if $\sigma_{n}$ is defined as in (18.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log ^{+} \frac{\xi-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}+A \\
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log ^{+}\left(\xi-\xi_{n}\right)+A+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}} \tag{26.3}
\end{align*}
$$

by (25.4). In particular if $s=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to

$$
\xi=\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\sigma_{n}\right)<\log \left(\xi_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}\right)+A+\log ^{+} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\eta_{0}} \tag{26.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the domain $D$ contains the circle $C$,

$$
\left|\zeta-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right|<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)
$$

which contains the point $\zeta=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{0}$ by (25.4) and

$$
d\left[\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{0}, \xi_{n}^{\prime} ; C\right]=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}-\eta_{0}}{\eta_{0}}<\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{0}}
$$

Hence, since $\sigma=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n+1}$ correspond to $\zeta=\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}$, we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{0}}<\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)+\log +\frac{I}{\eta_{0}}
$$

Combining this with (26.4) we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}\right)<2 \log \left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right)+2 \log ^{+} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}}+A
$$

Since (25.3) holds we deduce from this that

$$
\frac{\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}}{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and so that

$$
\frac{\sigma_{n}}{\xi_{n}} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus given $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}<\varepsilon \xi_{n}, \quad n>n_{0}
$$

Then (26.3) shows that if $n>n_{0}$ and (26.2) holds, then
(26.5)

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\varepsilon \xi_{n}+\log ^{+}\left(\xi-\xi_{n}\right)+A+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}}
$$

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<\varepsilon \xi+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+A+\log ^{+} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\eta_{0}}
$$
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Again if
(26.6)

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n-1}+\xi_{n}\right) \leq \xi \leq \xi_{n}
$$

we have $\xi>\frac{1}{2} \xi_{n}$. Since also $\sigma$ is an increasing function of $\xi$ we deduce in this case from (26.5) that

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<2 \varepsilon \xi+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+A+\log ^{+} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\eta_{0}}
$$

Thus in any case we see that if either (26.2) or (26.6) holds for some $n \geq n_{0}$ and $\xi$ is sufficiently large we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma<3 \varepsilon \xi
$$

so that this inequality holds for all sufficiently large $\xi$. This proves (26.1) and completes the proof of Theorem IV.

## Proof of Theorem V.

27) We now commence the proof of Theorem V. The method is similar to that already employed in the proofs of Theorems II and III. We use the definitions and notation of (18.1) to (18.6). The preliminary result analogous to lemmas II and 12 is as follows.

Lemma 13. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem $V$ are satisfied. Then given any positive constant $K$ we can define the $\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}$ and the curves $C_{n}$ to satisfy the conditions of paragraph 5 and in addition the following.
(i) $(27.1) \quad \xi_{1}=\mathrm{I}$,
(27.2) $\quad \xi_{2 m}>3\left(\xi_{2 m-1}\right)^{2}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots$
(27.3) $\quad \xi_{2 m+1}=A_{1} m \xi_{2 m}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots$
where $A_{1}$ is an absolute constant greater than 2. Further the set $E$ of Theorem $V$ contains no value w such that
(27.4)

$$
\xi_{2 m}<\log |w|<\xi_{2 m+1}, \quad m \geq \mathrm{I}
$$

(ii) The quantities $\eta_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ satisfy
(27.5)

$$
\left|\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{n+1}-\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log \eta_{n}\right)+K\right|<A_{2}, \quad n \geq \mathrm{I}
$$

where $A_{2}$ is another absolute constant.
(iii) The curve $C_{n}$ is defined as in (11.1) when $n$ is odd, and when $n$ is even, (13.1) holds, and $C_{n}$ is defined in accordance with (13.2) to (13.9).
(iv) If the conditions (i) to (iii) are satisfied and $f(z)$ is defined as in (18.4) we have

$$
\varlimsup_{\varrho \rightarrow 1}(1-\varrho)^{a} \log |f(\varrho)|=+\infty
$$

28) Suppose that $\xi_{2 m-1}$ has already been defined to satisfy the conditions of lemma 13. We leave $A_{1}$ undefined for the time being and define $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2 m}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2 m+1}$ as follows. Suppose that $E$ contains some value $w$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi<\log |w|<A_{1} m \xi \tag{28.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi>3\left(\xi_{2 m-1}\right)^{2} \tag{28.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can find $w_{v}$ in $E$ such that

$$
3\left(A_{1} m\right)^{v}\left(\xi_{2 m-1}\right)^{2}<\log \left|w_{v}\right|<3\left(A_{1} m\right)^{v+1}\left(\xi_{2 m-1}\right)^{2}
$$

for every $\nu \geq 1$. The sequence $w_{\nu}$ clearly satisfies

$$
\log \left|w_{v+1}\right|<\left(A_{1} m\right)^{2} \log \left|w_{v}\right|
$$

and

$$
w_{v} \rightarrow \infty
$$

contrary to the hypotheses of Theorem $V$. Thus we can find $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ satisfying (28.2), such that $E$ contains no value $w$ for which (28.1) holds and we then define $\xi_{2 m}=\xi, \xi_{2 m+1}=A_{1} m \xi$ and we see that this inductive definition satisfies (27.1) to (27.4).

Suppose next that $\eta_{v}, v=\mathrm{I}$ to $n$ have been defined so that whatever the values of $\eta_{\nu}$ are for $\nu>n,(27.5)$ is satisfied for $1,2, \ldots, n$. Suppose further, for the present, that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right) \tag{28.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $s=\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\xi=\xi_{n}^{\prime}$ then
(28.4) $\quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{n+2}-\left[\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}-\log \left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right]+K>2-A_{2}$,
where $A_{2}$ is the constant of (27.5). Then it follows, by a now familiar method that we can satisfy (27.5) with $n+1$ also. In fact we choose first all the $\eta_{v}$
for $\nu>n$ fixed and $\eta_{n+1}$ fixed and small, and since then $\sigma$ increases with $\xi$ and becomes very large if $\xi=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1}$, we can find $\xi^{\prime}$ so that

$$
\xi_{n}^{\prime} \leq \xi^{\prime} \leq \xi_{n+1}
$$

and $\sigma^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\xi^{\prime}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{n+2}-\left[\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma^{\prime}-\log \left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime}\right)\right]+K=2-A_{2} \tag{28.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then change $\eta_{n+1}$ to the value given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n+1}=\xi_{n+1}-\xi^{\prime} \tag{28.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\sigma^{\prime}$ becomes $\sigma_{n+1}$. It follows from lemma 6 that, however the $\eta_{\nu}, \nu>n+1$ are chosen this cannnot alter $\sigma^{\prime}$ by more than 1 so that
(28.7)

$$
\left|\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma^{\prime}\right|<\mathrm{I}
$$

Using (28.5), (28.6) (28.7) we shall have

$$
\left|\left(\frac{1}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{n+2}-\left[\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}-\log \eta_{n+1}\right]+K+A_{2}-2\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}<2
$$

from which (27.5) follows for $n+1$ provided that $A_{2}>2$ which we may assume.
Also we have clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n+1}<\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}\right) \tag{28.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (5.5) is satisfied. Further (27.1), (27.2) imply

$$
\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}>\xi_{n}-\xi_{n-1}, \quad n \geq \mathrm{I}
$$

since $\xi_{0}=-1$ by (5.1), so that it follows from (28.8) that $\eta_{n+1}$ also satisfies (5.4). Further if
(28.9)

$$
A_{1}>3 e^{6 \pi}+\mathrm{I}
$$

we shall have from (27.3)

$$
\xi_{2 m+1}-\xi_{2 m}>3 e^{6 \pi} \xi_{2 m}>3 e^{6 \pi}\left(\xi_{2 m}-\xi_{2 m-1}\right), \quad m>0
$$

so that (28.8) yields

$$
\xi_{2 m+1}-\xi_{2 m}>3 e^{6 \pi} \eta_{2 m}
$$

Thus when $n$ is even (13.1) is also satisfied provided that (28.9) holds so that in this case we can define the curves $C_{n}$ in accordance with the conditions (iii). Thus the conditions (i) to (iii) of lemma 13 can all be satisfied provided that we can satisfy (28.4) for all $n>0$.
29) Now when $n=0$, we have from (28.3), (5.1) and (27.1)

$$
\xi_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}(-1+1)=0
$$

so that always $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}=0$ and (28.4) becomes

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{2}+K>2-A_{2}
$$

which is always true, since $\xi_{2}, K$ are positive and we have assumed $A_{2}>2$. Thus we can define $\eta_{1}$ to satisfy the conditions of lemma 13 with $A_{2}=3$.

Suppose now that $\eta_{2 m-1}$ has already been defined to satisfy (27.5) with a constant $A_{2}=3$. Then it follows from lemma 9 , (II.2) that we have with the notation of (28.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{2 m-1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{2 m-1}\right) & <\log \frac{\xi_{2 m-1}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m-1}}{\eta_{2 m-1}}+A \\
& =\log \frac{\xi_{2 m}-\xi_{2 m-1}^{\prime}}{\eta_{2 m-1}}+A
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\log \left(\xi_{2 m}-\xi_{2 m-1}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m-1}^{\prime}+\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m-1}-\log \eta_{2 m-1}+A>0
$$

Using (27.5), which holds by hypothesis for $n=2 m-\mathrm{I}, A_{2}=3$ and (28.3) this becomes

$$
\log \left(\xi_{2 m}-\xi_{2 m-1}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m-1}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{2 m}+K>-A
$$

which yields (28.4) for $n=2 m-\mathrm{I}$, with $A_{2}=A$ on noting that $\xi_{2 m}<\xi_{2 m+1}$.
Thus if (27.5) can be satisfied for $n=2 m$ - 1 with a constant $A_{2}=3$, then (28.4) holds for $n=2 m-\mathrm{I}$, with $A_{2}=A$ and hence (27.5) can be satisfied for $n=2 m$, with $A_{2}=A$, where $A$ is an absolute constant.

Suppose now that (27.5) holds for $n=2 m$ with $A_{2}=A$. Then (14.4) of lemma 10 gives with the notation of (28.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{2 m}^{\prime}-\sigma_{2 m}\right)<\log \frac{\xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m}}{\eta_{2 m}}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+}\left(\frac{\xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m}}{\eta_{2 m}}\right)+A \tag{29.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have

$$
\eta_{2 m} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{2 m}-\xi_{2 m-1}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \xi_{2 m}
$$

so that from (28.3), (27.3) we deduce

$$
\frac{\xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m}}{\eta_{2 m}}>\frac{\xi_{2 m+1}-\xi_{2 m}}{\xi_{2 m}}>A_{1} m-1 .
$$

Thus (29.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{2 m}^{\prime}-\sigma_{2 m}\right)<\log \frac{\xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m}}{\eta_{2 m}}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} A_{1} m+A \tag{29.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that (27.5) holds with a certain constant $A_{2}=A$, when $n=2 m$ we deduce

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{2 m+1}-\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\sigma_{2 m}^{\prime}-\log \left(\xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m}\right)\right]+K+A-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} A_{1} m>2-3
$$

Since $\xi_{2 m+1}<\xi_{2 m+2}$ this yields (28.4) with $n=2 m$ and $A_{2}=3$, provided that the constant $A_{1}$ which has hitherto been left undetermined is so chosen that

$$
\log ^{+} \log ^{+} A_{1}>A
$$

Thus if $A_{1}$ is a suitably large absolute constant and (27.5) holds for $n=2 \mathrm{~m}$ - I with $A_{2}=3$, we can ensure that (27.5) holds for $n=2 m$, with $A_{2}=A$ and for $n=2 m+\mathrm{I}$ with $A_{2}=3$. We have already shown that (27.5) can be made to hold when $n=\mathrm{I}$, with $A_{2}=3$. Thus we can ensure that (27.5) holds for all values of $n$ with a suitable constant $A_{2}$, if $A_{1}$ is a large absolute constant. Hence we can satisfy conditions (i) to (iii) of lemma 13.

It remains to prove (iv). We use (29.2). This yields

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m}^{\prime}<\log \left(\xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m}-\log \eta_{2 m}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} m+A
$$

Using (27.5) this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m}^{\prime} & <\log \left(\xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\xi_{2 m}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a}-\mathrm{I}\right) \log \xi_{2 m+1}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} m+A+K \\
& <\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a} \log \xi_{2 m+1}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} m+A+K
\end{aligned}
$$

using (28.3); and a further use of (28.3) gives

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m}^{\prime}<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{a} \log \xi_{2 m}^{\prime}-\log ^{+} \log ^{+} m+C
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $m$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{a} \log \xi_{2 m}^{\prime}=-\infty \tag{29.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also if $f(z)$ is defined by (18.1) to (18.4) and

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{2 m}^{\prime}=\log \frac{1+\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}}{1-\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}}
$$

we have

$$
\log f\left(\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{2 m}
$$

Thus (29.3) gives
i.e.

$$
\log \frac{1+\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}}{1-\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{a} \log \log f\left(\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow-\infty
$$

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}-\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{I}+\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}}\right)^{a} \log f\left(\varrho_{2 m}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow+\infty, \text { as } \varrho_{2 m}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}
$$

This proves lemma 33 (iv) and completes the proof of lemma 13.
30) To complete the proof of Theorem V it remains to show that if $f(z)$ is defined as in lemma 13 then we can choose the constant $K$ of that lemma so that for $0<\varrho<1 f(z)$ takes no value of $E$ more than ( $1-\varrho)^{-a}$ times in $|z|<\varrho$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\exp (\xi+i \eta) \tag{30.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a value of $E$. It follows from (27.4) that we must have either
or alternatively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2 m-1} \leq \xi \leq \xi_{2 m}, \quad m=2,3, \ldots \tag{30.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=w \tag{30.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has solutions only where

$$
\log f(z)=\xi+i \eta+2 \nu \pi i
$$

and exactly one solution corresponding to each point

$$
\xi+i \eta+2 v \pi i
$$

lying in some sheet $R_{\mu}$.
Suppose first that $|z| \leq \varrho_{n+1}$ where the $\varrho_{\nu}$ are defined as in (18.6). Then it follows that $z$ corresponds to a point $\sigma+i \tau$ in the $s$ plane by (18.2) where

$$
\sigma=\frac{2}{\pi} \log \left|\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varrho_{n+1}}{1-\varrho_{n+1}}=\sigma_{n+1}
$$

Thus it follows from lemma 4, that in the mapping of (18.1) the point $\sigma+i \tau$ cannot correspond to a point $\zeta$ lying in the sheet $R_{n+1}$. Thus the value of $\log f(z)$ lies inside or on the frontier of one of the sheets $R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{n}$.

In particular if $|z| \leq \varrho_{1}, \log f(z)$ 'lies in $R_{0}$ so that we have from (5.12), (27.1)

$$
|\arg f(z)|<\xi_{1}-\xi_{0}=2
$$

Thus $f(z)$ is schlicht in $|z| \leq \varrho_{1}$ and so takes no value more than once and a fortiori not more than $(1-\varrho)^{-a}$ times in $|z|<\varrho$ if $\varrho \leq \varrho_{1}$.

Suppose next that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{n} \leq \varrho \leq \varrho_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{30.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w$, given by ( 30.1 ), be a value of $E$, consider the roots of ( 30.3 ) which lie in $|z|<\varrho$ and let $p(\varrho)$ be their total number. We divide these roots into $n+1$ groups according as the corresponding value of

$$
\zeta=\log w=\log f(z)
$$

lies in the sheet $R_{\mu}, \mu=0$ to $n .^{1}$ As we remarked above, $\zeta$ cannot lie in $R_{\mu}$ with $\mu>n$, if (30.4) holds. We denote the corresponding total number of roots of (30.3) in $|z|<\varrho$ by $p_{\mu}(\varrho)$.

If $\zeta$ lies in $R_{\mu}$ we have

$$
\zeta=\log w+2 m \pi i
$$

for some integer $m$. It follows from (5.12) that we have in $R_{\mu}$

$$
|\mathfrak{j} \zeta|<\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}
$$

Hence there can be at most

$$
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\pi}\left(\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}\right)+\mathrm{I}
$$

different values of $m$. Each of these gives rise to exactly one root of the equation (30.3), so that we have

$$
p_{\mu}(\varrho) \leq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\pi}\left(\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}\right)+\mathrm{I}<\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}
$$

making use of (27.1) to (27.4). Thus we have
(30.5)

$$
\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-2} p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-2}\left(\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}\right)=\xi_{n-1}+1, \quad n \geq 2
$$

[^5]Now if $n$ is odd so that $C_{n}$ is defined in accordance with (II.I), we have from lemma 9, (II.3)

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{n}\right)>\log ^{+} \frac{\xi-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}-A
$$

if $\xi_{n} \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n+1}+\xi_{n}\right)$ and $s=\sigma$ corresponds to $\zeta=\xi$. Choosing $\zeta=\xi_{n+1}-\eta_{n+1} \geq$ $\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{n}+\xi_{n+1}\right)$, so that $\sigma=\sigma_{n+1}$, we deduce a fortiori

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}\right)>\log \frac{\xi_{n+1}-\xi_{n}}{\eta_{n}}-A
$$

Also since $n$ is odd and so

$$
\xi_{n+1}>3 \xi_{n}
$$

from (27.3), we deduce

$$
\log \xi_{n+1}<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}+\log \eta_{n}-\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n}+A
$$

Making use of (27.5) this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{a} \log \xi_{n+1}+K<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{n+1}+A \\
& \quad \log \xi_{n+1}<\frac{\pi}{2} a\left[\sigma_{n+1}+A\right]-a K
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if $n$ is odd we have

$$
\log \xi_{n+1}<\frac{\pi}{2} a \sigma_{n+1}-\log 20
$$

provided that
(30.6)

$$
K>A(a)
$$

We deduce that whether $n$ is even or odd, we have always

$$
\log \xi_{n-1}<\frac{\pi a}{2} \sigma_{n}-\log 20, \quad n \geq 2
$$

if (30.6) holds. Using (18.6) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n-1}+\mathrm{I} \leq 2 \xi_{n-1}<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{IO}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho_{n}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho_{n}}\right)^{a}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{30.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $K$ is suitably chosen.
We deduce from this and (30.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-2} p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\frac{1}{5}\left(1-\varrho_{n}\right)^{-a} \leq \frac{1}{5}(1-\varrho)^{-a}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{30.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Maximum Modulus and Valency of Functions Meromorphic in the Unit Circle. 255 if (30.6) holds, using (30.4). We define the left hand side of (30.8) to be zero if $n<2$.
31) Consider now $p_{\mu}(\varrho)$ for $\mu=n, n-1$. If (30.3) holds for $w$ in $E$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\log w=\xi+i \eta+2 m \pi i \tag{3I.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

lies in $R_{\mu}$, then we must have either $\mu=\mathrm{o}$, or $\mu$ odd, or $\mu$ even and $\mu>\mathrm{o}$ and (31.2)

$$
\xi \leq \xi_{\mu}
$$

making use of (27.4). If $\mu=0$ (3I.I) can hold for at most a single value of $m$ as we have already seen. Suppose next $\mu$ odd. Then $C_{\mu}$ is defined by (in.i) and hence if $\zeta=\log w$ corresponds to $\sigma+i \tau$ in the $s$ plane we have from lemma 9, (II.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{\mu}\right)+\log \frac{1}{\mathrm{I}-|\tau|}>\log ^{+}\left|\frac{\eta+2 m \pi}{\eta_{\mu}}\right|-A \tag{31.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly if $\mu$ is even and (3I.2) holds, so that $C_{\mu}$ is defined by (13.2) to (I3.9) we have (3I.3) from lemma 10 , (14.5). In either case we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |\eta+2 m \pi|<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma+\log \frac{1}{1-|\tau|}+\log \eta_{\mu}-\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_{\mu}+A \tag{31.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of (27.5), (31.4) gives for any $\mu \geq$ I
(31.5) $\quad \log |\eta+2 m \pi|<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma+\log \frac{1}{1-|\tau|}+\left(\mathrm{I}-\frac{1}{a}\right) \log \xi_{\mu+1}-K+A$.

Also we have from (5.12)

$$
|\eta+2 m \pi|<\xi_{\mu+1}-\xi_{\mu}<\xi_{\mu+1}, \quad \mu \geq \mathrm{r}
$$

if (3I.1) holds. Thus (31.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a} \log |\eta+2 m \pi|<\frac{\pi}{2} \sigma+\log \frac{1}{1-|\tau|}+A-K \tag{31.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $|z|=\varrho^{\prime}$ and $z$ is a point such that $f(z)=w$, where $\log w$ lies in $R_{\mu}$ and $z$ corresponds to $\sigma+i \tau$ in the mapping of ( 18.2 ), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho^{\prime}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho^{\prime}}>\frac{\pi}{4} \sigma+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-|\tau|}-A \tag{3I.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

making use of lemma 7 . Also $p_{\mu}(\varrho)$ does not exceed the total number of values of $m$ (positive, negative or zero) for which (3I.I) holds with $\zeta$ corresponding by (18.1), (18.2) to a point $z$ in $|z|<\varrho$. Thus (31.6), (31.7) give

$$
\frac{1}{a} \log \left[p_{\mu}(\varrho)-1\right]<\log \frac{1+\varrho}{1-\varrho}+A-K
$$

We can take $A(a)$ in (30.6) so large that this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left[p_{\mu}(\varrho)-\mathrm{I}\right] & <a\left[\log \frac{\mathrm{I}+\varrho}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}-\log \mathrm{IO}\right] \\
& <a \log \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}-\varrho}-\log 5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mu}(\varrho)<\frac{1}{5}(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}+\mathrm{I} . \tag{31.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\zeta$ given by (3I.I) can only be interior to $R_{0}$ if $\xi<\xi_{1}$ and in this case $\zeta$ cannot lie in $R_{1}$ since $C_{1}$ is given by (II.1). Hence (3I.8) applied with $\mu=1$, gives

$$
p_{0}(\varrho)+p_{1}(\varrho)<\mathrm{I}+\frac{1}{5}(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}
$$

Since $p_{0}(\varrho)+p_{1}(\varrho)$ is an integer, we deduce from this that

$$
p_{0}(\varrho)+p_{1}(\varrho) \leq \mathrm{I} \leq(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}
$$

if $(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-} \leq 5$ and

$$
p_{0}(\varrho)+p_{1}(\varrho) \leq \frac{2}{5}(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}<(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}
$$

otherwise. Hence in any case we have

$$
p_{0}(\varrho)+p_{1}(\varrho) \leq(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}
$$

Since $\zeta=\log f(z)$ cannot lie in $R_{\mu}$ with $\mu \geq 2$ if $|z| \leq \varrho_{z}$ this proves that $p(\varrho)$, the total number of roots of $f(z)=w$ in $|z|<\varrho$, is at most $(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}$, for $\varrho<\varrho_{z}$ and any $w$. Suppose next that (30.4) holds with $n \geq 2$. Then we have

$$
(1-\varrho)^{-a} \geq\left(\mathrm{I}-\varrho_{2}\right)^{-a} \geq 5
$$

from (30.7). Hence we have from this and (30.8), (31.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mu=0}^{n} p_{\mu}(\varrho) & <\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-2} p_{\mu}(\varrho)+p_{n-1}(\varrho)+p_{n}(\varrho) \\
& <\frac{1}{5}(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}+2\left[\mathrm{I}+\frac{1}{5}(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}\right] \leq\left(\frac{1}{5}+\frac{4}{5}\right)(\mathrm{I}-\varrho)^{-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus again the equation $f(z)=w$ has at most $(1-\varrho)^{-a}$ roots in $|z|<\varrho$ when $w$ lies in $E$. Hence this is true in all cases and the proof of Theorem $V$ is complete.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ K. Löwner (I).
    ${ }^{3}$ R. Nevanlinna (2), p. 38.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a consequence of our hypotheses when $\theta(r)$ is continuous. Otherwise we make it an additional assumption.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ This will be standard notation in the sequel.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare fig. I in section 5.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ This result was proved for $a=0$ in Hayman (2) Theorem VI.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ We shall have to define the $\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}$ so as to satisfy conditions (5 1) to (5.5). Of these (5.2), (5.4), (5.5) are the only nontrivial ones. We shafl see that they remain satisfied at each stage.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ A point on the frontier segment of $R_{n-1}, R_{n}$ we consider as lying in $R_{n}$.

