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In a by now classical theorem G. Borg [1] proved the following:
Theorem A. Consider the two Sturm-Liouville problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda-q(x)] y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y(0) \cos \alpha+y^{\prime}(0) \sin \alpha=0, & y(\pi) \cos \beta+y^{\prime}(\pi) \sin \beta=0, \\
y(0) \cos \alpha+y^{\prime}(0) \sin \alpha=0, & y(\pi) \cos \gamma+y^{\prime}(\pi) \sin \gamma=0, \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $q(x)$ is real and integrable on $(0, \pi]$ and $\sin (\gamma-\beta) \neq 0$. Then the two spectra corresponding to the boundary conditions (2) and (3) uniquely determine $q(x)$, almost everywhere.

More recently Li [3] proved the following theorem.
Theorem B. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda^{2}-q(x)\right] y=0  \tag{4}\\
y(0)=0, \quad a y^{\prime}(\pi)+\lambda y(\pi)=0, \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a \neq 0$ is real and $q(x)$ is integrable on $[0, \pi]$. The spectrum of the problem (4), (5) uniquely determines $q(x)$, almost everywhere.

At first glance it seems paradoxical that the determination of $q(x)$ depends on two spectra in Theorem A and only one spectrum in Theorem B. It is our purpose to
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discuss the relationship between these two theorems, to generalize Theorem B, and to investigate the expansion theorems associated with the operator in Theorem B.

Borg's proof of Theorem A is quite long and a much simpler proof has since been given by N. Levinson [2]. Li's proof of Theorem B is different from either of these and is related to techniques developed in the quantum theory of scattering. Furthermore, in a key step he refers to a result that has appeared only in the Chinese literature.

Although Borg's method is quite involved one can provide a rather simple heuristic argument based on it. For the sake of simplicity let $\alpha=\beta=0$, and $\gamma=\pi / 2$ in (2) and (3). Then the asymptotic forms of the solutions of (1), (2) are $y_{n} \approx \sin n x$ and those of (1), (3) are $y_{n} \approx \sin \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) x$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda-p(x)] u=0 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the same spectra as (1) corresponding to the boundary conditions (2) and (3) respectively. Then, using (1) and (a) one finds

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}(p-q) y_{n} u_{n} d x=0
$$

for all eigenfunctions. Using their asymptotic form one finds that

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}(p-q) \sin ^{2} n x d x=0, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}(p-q) \sin ^{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) x d x=0, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

from which if follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}(p-q) \cos n x d x=0, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

so that $p-q=0$, almost everywhere.
The eigenvalues of (4), (5) have the asymptotic form

$$
\lambda_{n}=n-\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} a+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

where $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ Note that the spectrum, in this case, stretches from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, whereas the Sturm-Liouville operators are semibounded. The eigenfunctions have the asymptotic form $y_{n}=\sin \left(n-(1 / \pi) \tan ^{-1} a\right)$. Again using (7) we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}(p-q) \sin ^{2}\left(n-\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} a\right) d x=0
$$

from which one can show that

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}(p-q) \cos \left(2 n-\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} a\right) d x=0, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots
$$

The above leads to

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}(p-q) \cos \left(2 n \pm \frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} a\right) d x=0, n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

from which we have again $p-q=0$ almost everywhere.
The proofs in the literature do not indicate how Theorems A and B are related and their relationship will be discussed in the sequel. A simpler proof of Theorem B will be given, using the method of [2], and also a number of generalizations will be proved. In a second part of this paper the expansion theorems associated with (4), (5) will be discussed fully.

## Part I

Let $\lambda=\sigma+i \tau$, and define two solutions of (4) by the initial conditions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1}(0)=1, & y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0  \tag{6}\\
y_{2}(0)=0, & y_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is well known that $y_{1}(\pi, \lambda)$ and $y_{2}(\pi, \lambda)$ are entire functions of order 1 , in terms of $\lambda$. As a matter of fact, they are entire functions of order $\frac{1}{2}$ in terms of $\lambda^{2}$. This follows from expansions of the type (55). Detailed proofs may be found in the treatise by Titchmarsh [4]. We denote the eigenvalues corresponding to the boundary conditions

$$
y(0)=0, \quad y(\pi)=0
$$

by $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$, and those corresponding to
by $\left\{\zeta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(0)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(\pi)=0 \\
y_{2}(\pi, \lambda)=k_{1} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\zeta_{n}}\right)  \tag{7}\\
y_{2}^{\prime}(\pi, \lambda)=k_{2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\zeta_{n}^{\prime}}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is also well known that for large $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{n} \approx n^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& \zeta_{n}^{\prime} \approx\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\lambda)=a k_{2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\zeta_{n}^{\prime}}\right)+\lambda k_{1} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\zeta_{n}}\right) . \tag{l1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zeros of $\omega(\lambda)$ represent the eigenvalues of problem (4), (5). $\omega(\lambda)$ is an entire function of $\lambda$ of order 1 . No general theorem will guarantee the existence of zeros. But if we recall that, according to standard oscillation theorems, the sets $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\zeta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ interlace we observe from (11) that for large $n \omega(\lambda)$ has precisely one zero between $\sqrt{\zeta_{n}^{\prime}}$ and $\sqrt{\zeta_{n+1}^{\prime}}$. A more precise analysis carried out in part II shows that all zeros of $\omega(\lambda)$ are real, simple and asymptotically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=n-\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} a+\frac{1}{2 n} \int_{0}^{\pi} q d x+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. Note that the zeros accumulate both at $+\infty$ as well as $-\infty$.
The following asymptotic estimates are well-known [2], [3], [4].

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y_{2}=\frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda}+O\left(\frac{e^{|\tau| x}}{\lambda^{2}}\right)  \tag{13}\\
y_{2}^{\prime}=\cos \lambda x+O\left(\frac{e^{|\tau| x}}{\lambda}\right) \\
y_{1}(x)=\cos \lambda x+O\left(\frac{e^{|\tau| x}}{\lambda}\right) \\
y_{1}^{\prime}(x)=-\lambda \sin \lambda x+O\left(e^{|\tau| x}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Consider a second problem of type (4), (5).

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}+\left[\lambda^{2}-p(x)\right] u=0  \tag{14}\\
u(0)=0, \quad a u^{\prime}(\pi)+\lambda u(\pi)=0, \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and we suppose that the eigenvalues of (14), (15) coincide with those of (4), (5). We now define a third solution of (4) using the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{3}(\pi)=-a, \quad y_{3}^{\prime}(\pi)=\lambda \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we define $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ as in (6) and (16). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2} y_{3}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3}=\omega(\lambda) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2} u_{3}^{\prime}-u_{2}^{\prime} u_{3}=\omega(\lambda) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

That the Wronskians (17) and (18) coincide is a consequence of the fact that both have the same zeros and the same asymptotic form. Being functions of order 1 and having the same zeros implies that they differ by at most an exponential factor. Both are real for real $\lambda$ and have the asymptotic form

$$
\omega(\lambda) \approx a \cos \lambda \pi+\sin \lambda \pi+O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)
$$

so that such an exponential factor has to reduce to unity. By evaluating the Wronskian at $x=0$ and $x=\pi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\lambda)=-y_{3}(0)=a y_{2}^{\prime}(\pi)+\lambda y_{2}(\pi) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\lambda)=-u_{3}(0)=a u_{2}^{\prime}(\pi)+\lambda u_{2}(\pi) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$, at some eigenvalue, $y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$ are linearly dependent so that
and also

$$
y_{2}=C_{n} y_{3}
$$

We shall show that necessarily $C_{n}=D_{n}$. At $x=\pi$ we have

$$
C_{n}=\frac{y_{2}(\pi)}{y_{3}(\pi)}=\frac{y_{2}(\pi)}{-a}, \quad D_{n}=\frac{u_{2}(\pi)}{u_{3}(\pi)}=\frac{u_{2}(\pi)}{-a}
$$

Recall that $y_{2}(\pi), u_{2}(\pi), y_{2}^{\prime}(\pi), u_{2}^{\prime}(\pi)$ are even functions of $\lambda$. Then by comparing the odd parts of (19) and (20) we find that

$$
u_{2}(\pi)=y_{2}(\pi) .
$$

It follows from the above expressions for $C_{n}$ and $D_{n}$ that now $C_{n}=D_{n}$. It is in this step that we use the fact that (4), (5) and (14), (15) have the same spectrum.

Now we define the two functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\frac{y_{3}(x) \int_{0}^{x} y_{2}(t) f(t) d t+y_{2}(x) \int_{x}^{\pi} y_{3}(t) f(t) d t}{\omega(\lambda)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=\frac{u_{3}(x) \int_{0}^{x} y_{2}(t) f(t) d t+u_{2}(x) \int_{x}^{\pi} y_{3}(t) f(t) d t}{\omega(\lambda)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is an arbitrary square integrable function on $[0, \pi]$.
Let $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ denote a sequence of squares with vertices at

$$
\left( \pm\left[n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} a\right], \pm i\left[n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} a\right]\right)
$$

These are uniformly bounded away from the zeros of $\omega(\lambda)$ by virtue of (12) for large $n$. We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R_{n}}\left(\Phi-\Psi^{\prime}\right) d \lambda=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A typical term in the integrand of (23) is

$$
\frac{\left(y_{3}-u_{3}\right) \int_{0}^{x} y_{2}(t) f(t) d t}{\omega(\lambda)}
$$

Using estimates of the type (13) we see that the above has the asymptotic form

$$
\frac{o\left(\frac{e^{|\tau|(\pi-x)}}{\lambda^{2}}\right) \int_{0}^{x} \sin \lambda t f(t) d t}{a \cos \lambda \pi+\sin \lambda \pi}
$$

Using the latter we see that

$$
\int_{R_{n}}\left(\Phi-\Psi^{P}\right) d \lambda=O\left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{n}\right)
$$

from which (23) follows. Now use residue integration and the fact that all zeros of $\omega(\lambda)$ are simple and also that at $\lambda_{n}$

Then from (23)

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{3}=C_{n} y_{2}, \quad u_{3}=C_{n} u_{2} \\
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{C_{n}\left[y_{2}\left(x, \lambda_{n}\right)-u_{2}\left(x, \lambda_{n}\right)\right] \int_{0}^{\pi} y_{2}\left(t, \lambda_{n}\right) f(t) d t}{\omega^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)}=0 \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

In part II it is shown that the eigenfunctions $y_{2}\left(t, \lambda_{n}\right)$ are independent. Note that we do not require their completeness here. We can, therefore, select $f(t)$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi} y_{2}\left(t, \lambda_{n}\right) f(t) d t & =0 & & n \neq k \\
& =1 & & n=k
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (24) it follows that

$$
y_{2}\left(x, \lambda_{n}\right)=u_{2}\left(x, \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

The latter implies that $y_{2}$ and $u_{2}$ satisfy the same differential equation. This concludes our proof of Theorem B.

Theorem B can be generalized in the following direction.
Theorem 1. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda^{2}-q(x)\right] y=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y(0) \cos \alpha+y^{\prime}(0) \sin \alpha=0, \quad y(\pi) \cos \beta+y^{\prime}(\pi) \sin \beta+f(\lambda)\left[y(\pi) \cos \gamma+y^{\prime}(\pi) \sin \gamma\right]=0$,
where $f(\lambda)$ is an odd real entire function of $\lambda$ of order less than 1 and $\sin (\beta-\gamma) \neq 0$. The eigenvalues of (25) and (26) uniquely determine $q(x)$.

Let $y$ satisfy the initial conditions
and

$$
y(0)=-\sin \alpha, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=\cos \alpha
$$

$$
\omega(\lambda)=S(\lambda)+f(\lambda) T(\lambda)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(\lambda)=y(\pi) \cos \beta+y^{\prime}(\pi) \sin \beta \\
& T(\lambda)=y(\pi) \cos \gamma+y^{\prime}(\pi) \sin \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

The zeros and asymptotic form of $\omega(\lambda)$ uniquely determine $\omega(\lambda)$. The even part of $\omega(\lambda)$ is $S(\lambda)$ and its odd part is $f(\lambda) T(\lambda)$, since clearly $S(\lambda)$ and $T(\lambda)$ are even functions of $\lambda$. Then knowing $\omega(\lambda)$ we know the zeros of $S(\lambda)$ and $T(\lambda)$. But these determine the eigenvalues of (1), (2) and (1), (3). By Theorem A these uniquely determine $q(x)$.

Theorem 1 with $f(\lambda)=a \lambda, a \neq 0$ can be proved directly by the same technique as Theorem B in the preceding. Except for some details there is no difference. This leads us to the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Theorems $A$ and 1 are fully equivalent.
In the proof of Theorem 1 it was shown that Theorem A implies Theorem 1. To prove the converse we assume Theorem 1 to be true. Suppose $S_{1}(\lambda), T_{1}(\lambda), S_{2}(\lambda)$, 12-672909 Acta mathematica 119. Imprimé le 7 février 1968.
$T_{2}$, ( $\lambda$ ) correspond to two different boundary value problems of type (1), (2) and (1), (3), where $S(\lambda)$ and $T(\lambda)$ are defined as in the preceding proof. Then we form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}(\lambda)=S_{1}(\lambda)+a \lambda T_{1}(\lambda) \\
& \omega_{2}(\lambda)=S_{2}(\lambda)+a \lambda T_{2}(\lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $S_{1}(\lambda)=S_{2}(\lambda)$ and $T_{1}(\lambda)=T_{2}(\lambda)$, then $\omega_{1}(\lambda)=\omega_{2}(\lambda)$. By Theorem 1 the latter fact shows that both differential equations are the same, thereby establishing Theorem A.

## Part II

We now turn our attention to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda^{2}+\mu-q(x)\right] y=0  \tag{27}\\
y(0)=0, \quad a y^{\prime}(\pi)+\lambda y(\pi)=0 \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q(x)$ is real and integrable on $[0, \pi]$ and $\mu$ and $a \neq 0$ are real parameters. To study the problem (27), (28) we shall relate it to a different problem. We introduce the function $M(x)$ defined by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
M^{\prime \prime}+[\mu-q(x)] M=0  \tag{29}\\
M(\pi)=1, M^{\prime}(\pi)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and now restrict $\mu$ so that $M>0$ on $[0, \pi]$. The operator corresponding to the eigenvalue problem

$$
M^{\prime \prime}+[\mu-q(x)] M=0
$$

and the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(0)=0, \quad M^{\prime}(\pi)=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is lower semibounded. If we denote the smallest eigenvalue of the above problem by $\mu_{0}$ then for all $\mu<\mu_{0}$, the solution $M$ of (29) remain positive. This is an immediate consequence of Sturm's oscillation theorem.

We now transform (27), (28) by introducing new dependent and independent variables $\eta, \xi$ by means of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=M \eta, \quad \xi=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d x}{M^{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This results in the new boudary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} M^{4} \eta=0  \tag{32}\\
\eta(0)=0, \quad a \eta^{\prime}(\varrho)+\lambda \eta(\varrho)=0 \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\varrho=\int_{0}^{\pi} 1 / M^{2} d x$. To study the problem (32), (33) we introduce the new functions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=-\lambda \eta  \tag{34}\\
x_{2}=\eta^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

These satisfy the differential equaitions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{\prime}=-\lambda x_{2} \\
x_{2}^{\prime}=\lambda M^{4} x_{1} \tag{35}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}(0)=0, \quad a x_{2}(\varrho)-x_{1}(\varrho)=0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(35) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} X=\lambda X \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{M^{4}} \frac{d}{d \xi} \\
-\frac{d}{d \xi} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad X=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}
$$

The effect of all these substitutions is to linearize problem (27), (28) in terms of the parameter $\lambda . L_{0}$ can be inverted by means of an integral operator. Then we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=-\lambda \int_{0}^{\xi} x_{2} d \xi  \tag{38}\\
x_{2}=-\frac{\lambda}{a} \int_{0}^{Q} x_{2} d \xi-\lambda \int_{\xi}^{Q} M^{4} x_{1} d \xi .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We shall denote the integral operator defined in (38) by $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ so that (38) can be rewritten as

$$
X=\lambda G_{0} X
$$

We now introduce the Hilbert space $H$ consisting of all vectors

$$
X=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \text { for which } \int_{0}^{e}\left[\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}\right] d \xi<\infty
$$

As a suitable inner product we introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X, Y)=\int_{0}^{\varrho}\left(M^{4} x_{1} \bar{y}_{1}+x_{2} \bar{y}_{2}\right) d \xi \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|X\|=\sqrt{\int_{0}^{\varrho}\left[M^{4}\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}\right] d \xi} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the fact that $M$ is a continuous and positive function on $[0, \pi],\|X\|$ as defined in (40) is equivalent to

$$
\sqrt{\int_{0}^{\varrho}\left[\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|\right]^{2} d \xi}
$$

A simple exercise shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{0} X, Y\right)=\left(X, L_{0} Y\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $X$ and $Y$ are absolutely continuous and it follows also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{G}_{0} X, Y\right)=\left(X, \mathcal{G}_{0} Y\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for general $X, Y$ in $H$. From (38) and (42) it follows that $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ is a compact, selfadjoint operator defined on $H$. From (38) it is also evident that the nullspace of $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ is empty. In other words, the only solution of $\mathcal{G}_{0} X=0$ is $X=0$.

Using the standard theory of compact, selfadjoint operators we can conclude that $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ has real eigenvalues, its eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal set in $H$. Since $H$ is infinite dimensional $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ must have an infinity of eigenvalues. One can also show that all eigenvalues are simple. If we had two eigenfunctions corresponding to (36) and (37) we could form a linear combination satisfying (37) and the boundary conditions

$$
x_{1}(0)=0, \quad x_{2}(0)=0
$$

Using (38) we can then show that $x_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} M^{4} x_{1}=0 \\
& x_{1}(0)=x_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $x_{1}=0$ and also $x_{2}=0$. Hence the two eigenfunctions are identical.
Let $F$ be any function in $H$ and let $X_{n}$ denote the normalized eigenfunctions and $\lambda_{n}$ the eigenvalues of $L_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
F=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} X_{n}  \tag{43}\\
\alpha_{n}=\left(F, X_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{\varrho}\left[M^{4} f_{1} \overline{x_{1}^{(n)}}+t_{2} \overline{x_{2}^{(n)}}\right] d \xi . \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

If, in particular, we select $f_{2}=0$ we obtain from (43)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} x_{1}^{(n)} \\
& 0=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} x_{2}^{(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If in the first of these we return to our original variables as defined in (27), (28), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \frac{\left(-\lambda_{n}\right)}{M} y_{n}, \quad \alpha_{n}=\int_{0}^{\pi} f_{1}\left(-\lambda_{n}\right) M y_{n} d x \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall summarize these results in the following theorems.
Theorem 3. The operator $L_{0}$, defined by (36), (37), or equivalently $\mathcal{G}_{0}$, defined by (38), acting on the space $H$ has an infinity of simple, real eigenvalues. The eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal set with respect to the inner product (39).

Theorem 4. The operator defined by (27), (28) has an infinity of simple real eigenvalues. The associated eigenfunctions are complete and functions that are square integrable on $[0, \pi]$ can be expanded in series of the type (45). It is assumed that $\mu<\mu_{0}$, which is defined by (29), (30).

Note that the eigenfunctions associated with (27), (28) are not orthonormal.
We now turn to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda^{2}-q(x)\right] y=0  \tag{46}\\
y(0)=0, \quad a y^{\prime}(\pi)+\lambda y(\pi)=0 \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the value $\mu_{0}>0$ we can set $\mu=0$ in (27), (28) and then the problem fits directly into the framework of Theorem 3,4. If $\mu_{0} \leqslant 0$ the preceding results no longer apply. Nevertheless we define $M$ as in (29) and introduce the change of variables (31). This leads to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda^{2}-\mu\right] M^{4} \eta=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(0)=0, \quad a \eta^{\prime}(\varrho)+\lambda \eta(\varrho)=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

To linearize the problem in terms of $\lambda$ we now let

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=-\lambda \eta  \tag{50}\\
x_{2}=\eta^{\prime}+\mu \int_{0}^{\varrho} M^{4} \eta d \xi
\end{array}\right\}
$$

These satisfy the system
where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{\mu} X=\lambda X  \tag{51}\\
x_{1}(0)=0, \quad a x_{2}(\varrho)-x_{1}(\varrho)=0
\end{array}\right\}, \begin{gathered}
\frac{x_{2}^{\prime}}{M^{4}} \\
L_{\mu} X=\binom{\vdots}{-x_{1}^{\prime}-\mu \int_{\xi}^{\varrho} M^{4} x_{1} d \xi}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that

$$
L_{\mu}=L_{0}+\mu L_{1}
$$

where $L_{0}$ was defined in (37) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} X=\binom{0}{-\int_{\xi}^{\varrho} M^{4} x_{1} d \xi} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact. The adjoint of $L_{1}$ is given by

$$
L_{1}^{*} X=\binom{-\int_{0}^{\xi} x_{2} d \xi}{0}
$$

so that

$$
L_{\mu}^{*}=L_{0}+\mu L_{1}^{*}
$$

with the same boundary conditions as in (51), since $L_{0}$ is selfadjoint.
We shall now assume that $\lambda=0$ is not an eigenvalue of (51). In that case (50) can be rewritten as an integral equation. The case where $\lambda=0$ leads to no fundamental complication, except that one does not work in the whole space $H$, but in the subspace orthogonal to the eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda=0$. Functions $u$ and $v$ are now defined as solutions of

$$
x^{\prime \prime}-\mu M^{4} x=0
$$

satisfying the initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u(0)=0, & u^{\prime}(0)=1 \\
v(0)=1, & v^{\prime}(0)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

For a suitable choice of $\mu u^{\prime}(\varrho) \neq 0$. Then we obtain the integral equation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=-\lambda u \int_{\xi}^{\varrho} v^{\prime} x_{2} d \xi+\frac{\lambda u v^{\prime}(\varrho)}{u^{\prime}(\varrho)} \int_{0}^{\varrho} u^{\prime} x_{2} d \xi-\lambda v \int_{0}^{\xi} u^{\prime} x_{2} d \xi  \tag{53}\\
x_{2}=\frac{-\lambda}{a u^{\prime}(\varrho)} \int_{0}^{\varrho} u^{\prime} x_{2} d \xi-\lambda \int_{\xi}^{\varrho} M^{4} x_{1} d \xi .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(53) replaces (51) and for $\mu=0$ it reduces to (38). We shall denote the operator defined in (53) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\lambda \mathcal{G}_{\mu} X \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}_{\mu}$ is a compact operator on $H$, but in general it will not be selfadjoint.
Lemma 1. The eigenvalues of $L_{\mu}$ are real.
Suppose $L_{\mu} X_{i}=\lambda_{i} X_{i}$, where $\lambda_{i}$ is not real and is an eigenvalue of $L_{\mu}$. Since the operator is real, if $\lambda_{i}$ is an eigenvalue so is $\bar{\lambda}_{i}$. Then there exists $F_{i}$ such that $L_{\mu} F_{i}=$ $\bar{\lambda}_{i} F_{i}$ and since $\lambda_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of $L_{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}_{i}$ will be an eigenvalue of $L_{\mu}^{*}$. Let $G_{i}$ be such that $L_{\mu}^{*} G_{i}=\bar{\lambda}_{i} G_{i}$. It also follows from

$$
\bar{\lambda}\left(F_{i}, G_{i}\right)=\left(L_{\mu} F_{i}, G_{i}\right)=\left(F_{i}, L_{\mu}^{*} G_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}\left(F_{i}, G_{i}\right)
$$

that $\left(F_{i}, G_{i}\right)=0$. In that case, according to the Fredholm alternative the equation

$$
L_{\mu} Z_{i}-\lambda_{i} Z_{i}=F_{i}
$$

must have a solution. Using the fact that $L_{\mu} F_{i}=\bar{\lambda}_{i} F_{i}$ the latter can be reduced to the following scalar second order equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\lambda_{i}^{2}-\mu\right) M^{4} z_{1}=-\left(\lambda_{i}+\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right) M^{4} f_{1} \\
& z_{1}(0)=0, \quad a z_{1}^{\prime}(\varrho)+\lambda_{i} z_{1}(\varrho)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{1}$ and $f_{1}$ are the first components of $Z_{i}$ and $F_{i}$ respectively. Now consider $f_{1}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\lambda_{i}^{2}-\mu\right) M^{4} f_{1}=0 \\
\bar{f}_{1}(0)=0, \quad a \tilde{f}_{1}^{\prime}(\varrho)+\lambda_{i} f_{1}(\varrho)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By multiplying the equation for $z_{1}$ by $f_{1}$ and the one for $f_{1}$ by $z_{1}$, subtracting and integrating we are led to

$$
\left(\lambda_{i}+\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right) \int_{0}^{e} M^{4}\left|f_{1}\right|^{2} d t=0 .
$$

We conclude, therefore, that

$$
\lambda_{i}+\bar{\lambda}_{i}=0
$$

so that $\lambda_{i}$ is pure imaginary. But in that case we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda_{i}^{2}-\mu\right] M^{4} x=0 \\
x(0)=0, \quad a x^{\prime}(\varrho)+\lambda_{i} x(\varrho)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and also

$$
a x^{\prime}(\varrho)-\lambda_{i} x(\varrho)=0
$$

so that

$$
x^{\prime}(\varrho)=x(\varrho)=0
$$

The latter implies that $x \equiv 0$, which is certainly not true. It follows that all eigenvalues are real.

Lemma 2. The eigenspace associated with any eigenvalue is one dimensional.
To prove this we note first that to every eigenvalue there corresponds precisely one eigenfunction. If there were two we could form a linear combination such that $x(0)=x^{\prime}(0)=0$, which implies that $x \equiv 0$. Now if the subspace were more than one dimensional and if

$$
L_{\mu} F_{i}=\lambda_{i} F_{i}
$$

then the equation

$$
L_{\mu} X_{i}-\lambda_{i} X_{i}=F_{i}
$$

would have to have a solution. But as in the proof of Lemma 1 we can show that the above has no solution.

Lemma 3. The eigenfunctions of $L_{\mu}$ and $L_{\mu}^{*}$ form a biorthogonal set. It follows that both consist of linearly independent elements.

Let $L_{\mu} X_{i}=\lambda_{i} X_{i}$ and $L_{\mu}^{*} Y_{i}=\lambda_{i} Y_{i}$. Clearly

$$
\lambda_{i}\left(X_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=\left(L_{\mu} X_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=\left(X_{i}, L_{\mu}^{*} Y_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j}\left(X_{i}, Y_{j}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\left(X_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=0 \text { for } i \neq j
$$

If $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)=0$ for some $i$, the equation

$$
L_{\mu} Z_{i}-\lambda_{i} Z_{i}=X_{i}
$$

would have a solution. But as in the proof of Lemma 1, this cannot be so that $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right) \neq 0$.

We shall now turn to a consideration of the asymptotic structure of the eigenvalues of $L_{\mu}$. We shall seek a solution of (27) in the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
y & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_{n}  \tag{55}\\
y_{0} & =\sin \lambda x \\
y_{n+1} & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\sin \lambda(x-t)}{\lambda}[q(t)-\mu] y_{n}(t) d t .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

By induction we note that

$$
\left|y_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{n} n!} \int_{0}^{x}|q(t)-\mu|^{n} d t
$$

so that (55) converges uniformly for real $\lambda$. We see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=\sin \lambda x+\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\sin \lambda(x-t)}{\lambda}(q-\mu) \sin \lambda t d t+o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right) \\
y^{\prime}=\lambda \cos \lambda x+\int_{0}^{x} \cos \lambda(x-t)(q-\mu) \sin \lambda t d t+o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a y^{\prime}(\pi)+\lambda y(\pi)= & \lambda(a \cos \lambda \pi+\sin \lambda \pi) \\
& +\int_{0}^{\pi}[a \cos \lambda(\pi-t)+\sin \lambda(\pi-t)](q-\mu) \sin \lambda t d t+o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \\
= & \lambda(a \cos \lambda \pi+\sin \lambda \pi)+\frac{a \sin \lambda \pi-\cos \lambda \pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi}(q-\mu) d t+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=n+\lambda_{0}+\frac{1}{2 n} \int_{0}^{\pi}(q-\mu) d t+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tan \lambda_{0} \pi=-a$ and $\left|\lambda_{0} \pi\right|<\pi / 2$. Note that $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, so that the operator is not semibounded as is the case with Sturm-Liouville operators. We also observe that the $\mu$ dependence enters into the terms vanishing like $1 / n$.

We now wish to show that the eigenfunctions associated with the operator $L_{\mu}$, defined in (51), are complete. It will turn out to be more advantageous to work with $L_{\mu}^{2}$, rather than $L_{\mu}$. Note that formally

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mu}^{2} X=\binom{-\frac{x_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{M^{4}}+\mu x_{1}}{-\left(\frac{x_{2}^{\prime}}{M^{4}}\right)^{\prime}+\mu x_{2}-\mu x_{2}(\varrho)} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary conditions associated with $L_{\mu}^{2}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1}(0)=0, \quad x_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0, \\
a x_{2}(\varrho)-x_{1}(\varrho)=0, \quad a x_{1}^{\prime}(\varrho)+x_{2}^{\prime}(\varrho)=0 . \tag{58}
\end{gather*}
$$

The eigenvalues of $L_{\mu}^{2}$ are given by $\lambda_{n}^{2}$, and the eigenfunctions are the same as those
of $L_{\mu}$. By the preceding results all eigenvalues of $L_{\mu}^{2}$ are real and simple. Note that we can write

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{\mu}^{2} X=\left(L_{0}^{2}+\mu\right) X-\mu N X,  \tag{59}\\
N X=\binom{0}{x_{2}(\varrho)} . \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3 tells us that $L_{0}^{2}+\mu$ is a selfadjoint operator and that its eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal set. The operator $N$, given by (60) is not selfadjoint and also unbounded.

We now consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-L_{\mu}^{2}\right) X=F \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions (58). The solution of (61) will formally be denoted by

$$
X=\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{2}} F
$$

From the preceding results we know that the operator $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ can be expressed as an integral operator where the kernel is a meromorphic function of $\lambda$. For all regular values of $\lambda \mathcal{G}_{2}$ is compact. Similarly we associate with the differential operator $\lambda-L_{0}^{2}-\mu$ the integral operator $\mathcal{G}_{1}$. The solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-L_{0}^{2}-\mu\right) Y=F \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions (58) is given by

$$
Y=\mathcal{G}_{1} F
$$

If we express $F$ in the form

$$
F=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} X_{n}
$$

where the $X_{n}$ are the eigenfunctions of $L_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{n} X_{n}}{\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \mathcal{G}_{1} F d \lambda=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} X_{n}=F \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is taken over a sufficiently large contour in the $\lambda$ plane. We can also use (63) to determine $\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|$. Then
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{G}_{1} F\right\|=\sqrt{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|^{2}}} \\
& \frac{\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1} F\right\|}{\|F\|}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|^{2}}}{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|=\sup _{F \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1} F\right\|}{\|F\|}=\sup _{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|}, \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

(64) is a consequence of the completeness of the eigenfunctions of $L_{0}$. If we succeed in establishing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \mathcal{G}_{2} F d \lambda=F \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will have proved that the eigenfunctions of $L_{\mu}^{2}$ and correspondingly those of $L_{\mu}$ are complete. Note the residue of $\mathcal{G}_{2} F$ at $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$ represents the projection of $F$ into the $n$ 'th eigenspace. The left side of (66) then yields the expansion of $F$ in terms of the eigenfunctions of $L_{\mu}$.

Using (59) we can rewrite (61) in the form

$$
\left(\lambda-L_{0}^{2}-\mu\right) X=F-\mu N X
$$

and, using (62), we obtain

$$
X=\mathcal{G}_{1}[F-\mu N X]
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{2} F=\mathcal{G}_{1} F-\mu \mathcal{G}_{1} N \mathcal{G}_{2} F \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

An immediate solution of (67) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{2} F=\mathcal{G}_{1} \sum_{S=0}^{\infty}\left[-\mu N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right]^{S} F \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that the series in (68) converges. To prove the convergence of (68) we shall estimate $\left\|N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|$.

By means of (63) we see that

$$
N G_{1} F=\sum_{n} \frac{\alpha_{n}}{\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu}\binom{0}{x_{2}^{(n)}(\varrho)}
$$

so that

$$
\left\|N \mathcal{G}_{1} F\right\| \leqslant \sqrt{\varrho\left|\sum_{n} \frac{\alpha_{n} x_{2}^{(n)}(\varrho)}{\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu}\right|^{2}} \leqslant \sqrt{\varrho \sum_{n}\left[\alpha_{n} x_{2}^{(n)}(\varrho)\right]^{2} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|^{2}}}
$$

Using (13) one can deduce for the orthonormalized eigenfunctions the asymptotic formulas
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{n}=\binom{\frac{\sin \lambda_{n} x(\xi)}{M \sqrt{\pi}}}{\frac{-M \cos \lambda_{n} x(\xi)}{\sqrt{\pi}}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\right), \\
\xi=\int_{0}^{x(\xi)} \frac{d x}{M^{2}(x)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Combining the latter with (12) we find

$$
x_{2}^{(n)}(\varrho)=\frac{-\cos \lambda_{n} \pi}{\sqrt{\pi}}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\sqrt{\pi\left(1+a^{2}\right)}}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|N G_{1} F\right\| & \leqslant K\|F\| \sqrt{\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|^{2}}} \\
& \leqslant K\|F\|\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|}}
\end{aligned}
$$

if we use the explicit estimate (65) for $\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|$. If follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right\| \leqslant K\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|^{ \pm} \sqrt{\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider a sequence of squares $\left\{R_{k}\right\}$ with vertices at

$$
\left(k^{2}+\mu+\int_{0}^{\pi} q d t\right)( \pm 1 \pm i)
$$

and estimate the sum under the radical in (69) for $\lambda$ on $R_{k}$. From (56) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{2}=\left(n+\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}+\int_{0}^{\pi} q d t+o(1) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

We decompose the sum into three terms

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}=\sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{[7 . k]}{}\right.}+\sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{2} k\right]+1}^{\left[\sum_{n}^{k} k\right]}+\sum_{n=\left[\frac{3}{2} k\right]+1}^{\infty}
$$

so that,

$$
\sum_{n-0}^{\left[\frac{1}{2} k\right]} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|}=O\left(\frac{\mathbf{l}}{k}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{2} k\right]+1}^{\left[\frac{18}{3} k\right]} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|} \leqslant k \sup _{\left[\frac{1}{2} k\right]+1 \leqslant n \leqslant\left[\frac{2}{2} k\right]} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|}=o(1) \\
& \sum_{n=\left[\frac{2}{2} k\right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|} \leqslant \sum_{n=\left[\frac{3}{2} k\right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(n+\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}+o(1)}=O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we have for all $\lambda$ on $R_{k}$, with sufficiently large $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right\| \leqslant K_{1}\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|^{1} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

A more delicate analysis allows us to show that for the constant $K_{1}$ in (71) we actually have

$$
K_{1}=O\left(\frac{1}{k} \ln k\right)
$$

but this is not necessary for our subsequent results. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|=\sup _{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|} \leqslant \frac{K}{k} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows, therefore, that the series in (68) converges on $R_{k}$ for sufficiently large $k$. Note that this also establishes the existence of $\mathcal{G}_{2} F$, knowing only the structure of $G_{1}$ and $N$.

Finally we consider the integral

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{R_{k}} G_{2} F d \lambda
$$

To estimate the above we consider the general term in (68),

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)^{s} F d \lambda
$$

Using (71) we see that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)^{s}\right\| \leqslant K_{1}\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2} s+1}=K_{1} \sup _{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{S+1}}
$$

and at first we restrict our attention to $\lambda=k^{2}+\mu+\int_{0}^{\pi} q d t+i y$, where $|y| \leqslant k^{2}+\mu+\int_{0}^{\pi} q d t$, so that

$$
\sup _{n} \frac{1}{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu\right|} \leqslant \frac{K}{\sqrt{4 k^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+y^{2}}}
$$

for a suitable constant $K$. Then

$$
\left\|\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)^{s}\right\| \leqslant \frac{K_{2}}{\left[4 k^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+y^{2}\right]^{s^{S+}}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-k^{2}-\mu-\int_{0}^{\pi} q d t}^{k^{2}+\mu+\int_{1}^{\pi} q d t} \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)^{S} F d y\right\| & \leqslant K_{3}\|F\| \int_{0}^{k^{2}+\mu+\int_{0}^{\pi q d t} \frac{d y}{\left[4 k^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+y^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{S+\frac{1}{3}}}}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{K_{3}\|F\|}{\left(2 k \lambda_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{S} S}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} \pi} \cos ^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \theta d \theta \leqslant \frac{K_{4}}{k^{\frac{1}{2} S}}\|F\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying similar, and even simpler estimates, to the other three sides of $R_{k}$, we see that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{R_{k}} \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(N \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)^{s} F d \lambda=O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{s}}\right) .
$$

Returning to (68) we have
so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{R_{k}} \mathcal{G}_{2} F d \lambda=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{R_{k}} \mathcal{G}_{1} F d \lambda+O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{R_{k}} \mathcal{G}_{2} F d \lambda=F \tag{73}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can now state the following theorem.
Theorem 5. The operator $L_{\mu}$, defined by (51), acting on the Hilbert space H, has an infinity of real, simple eigenvalues. The eigenfunctions associated with it form a complete set. The eigenfunctions associated with its adjoint operator $L_{\mu}^{*}$ are also complete and biorthogonal to those of $L_{\mu}$.

In analogy to Theorem 4, we obtain expansion theorems associated with the eigenfunctions of (46), (47). We restate this result as follows:

Theorem 6. Theorem 4 applies to the problem (27), (28) without any restrictions placed on the parameter $\mu$.
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