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## 1. Introduction

We write $T_{n q}$ for the number of different graphs on $n$ unlabelled nodes with just $q$ edges. We shall find an asymptotic approximation to $T_{n q}$ for large $n$ and determine the exact range for $q$ for which it holds good. In the graphs we consider, every pair of nodes is joined by just one undirected edge or not so joined, though our method can clearly be extended to other types of graph. If the nodes are labelled, there are $N$ possible edges, where $N=n(n-1) / 2$, and the number of graphs with just $q$ edges is

$$
F_{n q}=\binom{N}{q}=\frac{N!}{q!(N-q)!}
$$

the number of ways of selecting $q$ objects out of $N$.
All our statements carry the implied condition "for large enough $n$ ". The number $q$ is subject to bounds depending on $n$. We use $C$ for a positive number, not always the same at each occurrence, independent of $n$ and $q$. The notations $O()$ and $o()$ refer to the passage of $n$ to infinity and each of the constants implied is a $C$.

We shall prove
Theorem 1. The necessary and sufficient condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n q} \sim F_{n q} / n! \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min (q, N-q) / n-(\log n) / 2 \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pólya [2] proved (1.1) when $|2 q-N|=O(n)$, though he appears never to have published his proof. Recently Oberschelp [4] proved (1.1) under the condition that $|2 q-N|<$
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$0.84 n^{3 / 2}$. My contribution here is to prove (1.1) under the wider condition (1.2), which is equivalent to

$$
n\{\log n+2 \psi(n)\} / 2 \leqslant q \leqslant N-n\{\log n+2 \psi(n)\} / 2
$$

where $\psi(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and to prove also that this condition is necessary as well as sufficient.

My proof requires one simple result from complex integration, viz. that, if $m$ is an integer,

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{m i t} d t= \begin{cases}2 \pi & (m=0)  \tag{1.3}\\ 0 & (m \neq 0)\end{cases}
$$

Otherwise the proof is "elementary".
Most of the complications of my proof of Theorem 1 arise from the "best possible" nature of the result. The following theorem, a little weaker than Theorem 1, but a considerable advance on the previous results, can be proved much more simply. We require only $\S 2$ and a simple variant of $\S 4$ of the present paper.

Theorem 2. The necessary condition for (1.1) is (1.2); a sufficient condition is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 n \log n \leqslant q \leqslant N-3 n \log n . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $S_{n}$ for the symmetric group of permutations of degree $n$, i.e. the group of all permutations $\omega$ of the $n$ nodes labelled (say) by the numbers $1,2, \ldots, n$. The permutation $\omega$ has $p_{1}$ cycles of unit length, $p_{2}$ of length 2 and so on; it induces a permutation of the possible $N$ edges joining each pair of these nodes. The latter permutation belongs to $S_{N}$ and has $P_{1}$ cycles of unit length, $P_{2}$ of length 2 and so on. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}+2 p_{2}+3 p_{3}+\ldots+n p_{n}=n  \tag{1.5}\\
& P_{1}+2 P_{2}+3 P_{3}+\ldots+N P_{N}=N \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We write

$$
G_{\omega}=G_{\omega}(X)=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(1+X^{j}\right)^{p_{j}}
$$

and use $[G]_{Q}$ to denote the coefficient of $X^{Q}$ in the polynomial $G=G(X)$. There is a famous theorem due to Pólya [5] which tells us [1, 3, 4, 5] that
so that

$$
n!\sum_{q=0}^{N} T_{n q} X^{q}=\sum_{\omega \in S_{n}} G_{\omega}(X)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!T_{n q}=\sum_{\omega \in S_{n}}\left[G_{\omega}\right]_{Q} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{a}$ is the set of those $\omega$ for which $p_{1}=n-a$. We write $H_{a}=\sum\left[G_{\omega}\right]_{q}$, where the sum is over all $\omega$ in $R_{a}$, so that (1.7) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!T_{n q}=\sum_{a=0}^{n} H_{a} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a=0, \omega$ is the identity $I$ and $H_{0}=\left[G_{I}\right]_{q}=F_{n q}$. There is no $\omega$ for which $a=1$ and so the set $R_{1}$ is empty. If $a=2, \omega$ is one of $N$ permutations for each of which

$$
p_{1}=n-2, p_{2}=1, P_{1}=N-2 n+4, P_{2}=n-2, p_{3}=p_{4}=\ldots=P_{3}=P_{4}=\ldots=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[G_{\omega}\right]_{Q}=\left[(1+X)^{N-2 n+4}\left(1+X^{2}\right)^{n-2}\right]_{Q}=c_{2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(say). Hence $H_{2}=N c_{2}$.
If $\omega \in R_{a}$, the effect of $\omega$ is to change just $a$ of the nodes and to leave the remaining $n-a$ unchanged. There are $n!/ a!(n-a)!$ ways of choosing these $a$ nodes. The effect of $\omega$ on the set of $a$ nodes is isomorphic to one of the permutations of $S_{a}$, which has just $a$ ! members. Hence the number of members of $R_{a}$ is at most

$$
a!(n!/ a!(n-a)!)=n!/(n-a)!\leqslant n^{a} .
$$

If we write

$$
c_{a}=\max _{\omega \in R_{a}}\left[G_{\omega}\right]_{a},
$$

we have $H_{a} \leqslant n^{a} c_{a}$.
We shall prove more than (1.1), namely
Theorem 3. If (1.2) is true, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!T_{n q}-F_{n q} \sim H_{2}=N c_{2} \sim N F_{n q} \beta^{n-2} e^{-\gamma}=o\left(F_{n q}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda=q / N, \quad \beta=\lambda^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}, \quad \gamma=4 \lambda(1-\lambda)(1-2 \lambda)^{2} \beta^{-2}
$$

To prove the first part of (1.10) it is enough, in view of what we have just said, to prove one or other of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=3}^{n} H_{a}=o\left(H_{2}\right), \quad \sum_{a=3}^{n} n^{a-2} c_{a}=o\left(c_{2}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there is complete symmetry between $q$ and $N-q$ in all we have said so far, we may, without loss of generality, suppose henceforth that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<q \leqslant N / 2 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $0<\lambda \leqslant 1 / 2,1 / 2 \leqslant 1-\lambda<1$ and (1.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q / n)-(\log n) / 2 \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We remark that $1 / 2 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 1, \gamma=O(\lambda)=O(1)$ and $C<e^{-\gamma}<C$. If $q$ satisfies (1.12) and (1.13), we have $\lambda>(\log n) /(n-1)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \log \beta \leqslant-2 n \lambda(1-\lambda) \leqslant-n \lambda<-\log n \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $P_{1}=\left\{p_{1}\left(p_{1}-1\right) / 2\right\}+p_{2}$ (see, for example, [4]) and, by (1.5), since $p_{1}=n-a$, we must have $p_{2} \leqslant a / 2$. Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{1} \geqslant(n-a)(n-a-1) / 2  \tag{1.15}\\
P_{1} \leqslant\{(n-a)(n-a-1)+a\} / 2=N-a(2 n-a-2) / 2  \tag{1.16}\\
N-P_{1} \geqslant a(2 n-a-2) / 2 \tag{1.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Again, by (1.6) and (1.15),
and so

$$
\sum_{j \geqslant 2} j P_{j}=N-P_{1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\{n^{2}-n-(n-a)^{2}+(n-a)\right\} \leqslant 2 a n,
$$

A well-known result that we use several times is that
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{n \varrho} \sim L M(2 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{1.19}\\
L=\left\{\lambda^{\lambda}(1-\lambda)^{1-\lambda}\right\}^{-N}, \quad M=\{N \lambda(1-\lambda)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{1.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 2. Proof that (1.2) is necessary for (1.1)

Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(n)=(q / n)-(\log n) / 2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose that $\psi(n)$ does not tend to infinity with $n$. Then there is an infinite sequence of values of $n$ such that $\psi(n)<C$. In this section we suppose $n$ confined to this sequence, so that $q<C n \log n$.

We have now by (1.8) and (1.9)

$$
n!T_{n q}-F_{n q} \geqslant H_{2}=N c_{2}=N\left[(1+X)^{N-2 n+4}\left(1+X^{2}\right)^{n-2}\right]_{q} \geqslant N\left[(1+X)^{N-2 n+4}\right]_{Q}=\eta F_{n Q}
$$

where

$$
\eta=N\binom{N-2 n+4}{q} /\binom{N}{q}=N \prod_{s=0}^{q-1}\left(\frac{N-2 n+4-s}{N-s}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \eta & =\log N+\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \log \left(1-\frac{2 n-4+s}{N}\right)-\sum_{s=0}^{a-1} \log \left(1-\frac{s}{N}\right) \\
& =\log N-2 q N^{-1}(n-2)+O\left(q N^{-2}\left\{q^{2}+n^{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =-4 \psi(n)+O(1)=O(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.1), so that $\eta>C$. Hence, for this sequence of $n$, we have $n!T_{n q}>(1+C) F_{n q}$, and (1.1) is false.

## 3. Approximation to $\boldsymbol{c}_{2}$

## Lemma 1. If $q \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $c_{2} \sim F_{n q} \beta^{n-2} e^{-\gamma}$.

We write

$$
\varepsilon=(n-2) / N, \quad \Phi=2(1-2 \lambda) / \beta, \quad X_{0}=\lambda(1+\varepsilon \Phi) /(1-\lambda) .
$$

If we put $X=X_{0} e^{i t}$ and write $T=q^{-2 / 5}$ and

$$
\chi=\chi(t)=(N-2 n+4) \log (1+X)+(n-2) \log \left(1+X^{2}\right)-q \log X
$$

we have, by (1.9) and (1.3),

$$
2 \pi c_{2}=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{x(t)} d t=J_{1}+J_{2}, \quad J_{1}=\int_{-T}^{T} e^{x(t)} d t
$$

We consider first $J_{1}$, so that $-T \leqslant t \leqslant T$ and $t=O(T)=o(1)$. We have

$$
(1-\lambda) X=(1-\lambda) X_{0} e^{i t}=\lambda(1+\varepsilon \Phi) e^{i t}=\lambda\left(1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)
$$

where $\alpha=\varepsilon+|t|=o(1), \alpha_{1}=\varepsilon \Phi+i t=O(\alpha)$ and $\alpha_{2}=O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$. Hence $(1-\lambda)(1+X)=1+\lambda \alpha_{1}+\lambda \alpha_{2}$ and $(1-\lambda)^{2}\left(1+X^{2}\right)=\beta+2 \lambda^{2} \alpha_{1}+O\left(\lambda^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)$. We have then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N^{-1} \chi=(1-2 \varepsilon) \log \left(1+\lambda \alpha_{1}+\lambda \alpha_{2}\right)+\varepsilon \log \left(\beta+2 \lambda^{2} \alpha_{1}+O\left(\lambda^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\lambda \log \lambda-(1-\lambda) \log (1-\lambda)-\lambda \log \left(1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
N^{-1}(\chi-\log L)-\varepsilon \log \beta= & (1-2 \varepsilon)\left(\lambda \alpha_{1}+\lambda \alpha_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(2 \lambda^{2} \varepsilon \alpha_{1} / \beta\right)-\lambda \alpha_{1}-\lambda \alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda \alpha_{1}^{2}+O\left(\lambda \alpha^{3}\right) \\
& =2 \varepsilon \lambda \alpha_{1}(\lambda-\beta) / \beta+\frac{1}{2} \lambda \alpha_{1}^{2}(1-\lambda)+O\left(\lambda \alpha^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\beta-\lambda=\Phi \beta(1-\lambda) / 2$ and so
$N^{-1}(\chi-\log L)-\varepsilon \log \beta=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} \lambda(1-\lambda)\left(\alpha_{1}-2 \varepsilon \Phi\right)+O\left(\lambda \alpha^{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(1-\lambda)\left(\varepsilon^{2} \Phi^{2}+t^{2}\right)+O\left(\lambda \alpha^{3}\right)$.
Again $\lambda N \alpha^{3}<C q^{-1 / 5}, N \varepsilon^{2}=2+O\left(n^{-1}\right), \lambda(1-\lambda) \Phi^{2}=\gamma$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t)=\log L+(n-2) \log \beta-\gamma-\delta^{2} t^{2}+O\left(q^{-1 / 5}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta^{2}=\lambda N(1-\lambda) / 2, C q<\delta^{2}<C q$ and $2 \delta^{2} M^{2}=1$ by (1.20). Hence $\chi(t)=\chi(0)-\delta^{2} t^{2}+$ $O\left(q^{-1 / 5}\right)$ and

$$
J_{1} \sim e^{x(0)} \int_{-T}^{T} e^{-\delta^{8} t^{\mathrm{s}}} d t=\delta^{-1} e^{x(0)} \int_{-\delta T}^{\delta T} e^{-u^{z}} d u \sim \delta^{-1} e^{x^{(0)}} \sqrt{\pi}
$$

since $\delta^{2} T^{2}>C q^{\frac{b}{l}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

Now

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{1} \sim \sqrt{2 \pi} M e^{x(0)}>C q^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{x(0)}  \tag{3.2}\\
J_{2}=\int_{T}^{\pi}+\int_{-\pi}^{-T} e^{x(t)} d t \leqslant 2 \int_{T}^{\pi}\left|e^{x(t)}\right| d t
\end{gather*}
$$

When $T \leqslant t \leqslant \pi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|1+X|^{2}=\left(1+X_{0}\right)^{2}-4 X_{0} \sin ^{2}(t / 2) \leqslant\left(1+X_{0}\right)^{2} e^{-c_{\xi} T^{2}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi=X_{0}\left(1+X_{0}\right)^{-2}>C \lambda$. Hence

$$
\left|e^{x(t)}\right|=\left|(1+X)^{N-2 n+4}\left(1+X^{2}\right)^{n-2} X^{-q}\right| \leqslant e^{x^{(0)}-C \xi N T^{2}} \leqslant e^{x(0)-C q T^{2}}=e^{x^{(0)}-C q^{1 / 5}}=o\left(J_{1}\right)
$$

by (3.2). Hence $J_{2}=o\left(J_{1}\right)$ and

$$
c_{2} \sim J_{1} /(2 \pi) \sim(2 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} M e^{x(\theta)} \sim F_{n q} \beta^{n-2} e^{-\gamma}
$$

by (3.2), (3.1) and (1.19).

## 4. Proof that (1.4) is sufficient for (1.10)

If $0<X_{1}<1$ and $j$ is an integer greater than 1 , we have $\left(1+X_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \leqslant\left(1+X_{1}^{2}\right)^{j}$. Hence

$$
\left[G_{\omega}\right]_{q} \leqslant X_{1}^{-q} G_{\omega}\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1}^{-q} \prod_{j}\left(1+X_{1}^{j}\right)^{P_{i}} \leqslant X_{1}^{-q}\left(1+X_{1}\right)^{P_{1}}\left(1+X_{1}^{2}\right)^{\left(N-P_{1}\right) / 2}
$$

by (1.6). If (1.4) is satisfied we may, by (1.12) suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 n \log n<q \leqslant \frac{1}{2} N, 6(n-1)^{-1} \log n<\lambda \leqslant \frac{1}{2} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now choose $X_{1}=q /(N-q)=\lambda /(1-\lambda)$, so that we have

$$
\left[G_{\omega}\right]_{q} \leqslant \lambda^{-q}(1-\lambda)^{q-N} \beta^{\left(N-P_{2}\right) / 2}=L \beta^{\left(N-P_{2}\right) / 2}
$$

by (1.20). By Lemma 1, $c_{2}>C F_{n q} \beta^{n-2}$ and so

$$
c_{a} / c_{2}=\max _{\omega \in R_{a}}\left[G_{\omega}\right]_{q} / c_{2} \leqslant C L \beta^{\mu} / F_{n q} \leqslant C n \beta^{\mu}
$$

by (1.19), where $\mu=\left\{\left(N-P_{1}\right) / 2\right\}-n+2$. To prove (1.11), from which (1.10) follows, it is then enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=3}^{n} n^{a-1} \beta^{\mu}=o(1) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\log \beta=\log (1-2 \lambda(1-\lambda)) \leqslant-2 \lambda(1-\lambda) \leqslant-\lambda \leqslant-6 n^{-1} \log n
$$

by (4.1). Again, by (1.17), since $a \leqslant n$,

$$
\mu=\left\{\left(N-P_{1}\right) / 2\right\}-n+2 \geqslant\{a(2 n-a-2) / 4\}-n+2 \geqslant(n-2)(a-4) / 4
$$

Hence

$$
\log \left(n^{a-1} \beta^{\mu}\right) / \log n \leqslant a-1-3\{(n-2)(a-4) /(2 n)\} \leqslant-(a / 2)+8
$$

and so

$$
\sum_{a=18}^{n} n^{a-1} \beta^{\mu} \leqslant \sum_{a=18}^{n} n^{8-(a / 2)} \leqslant 1 / n=o(1) .
$$

If $3 \leqslant a \leqslant 17$, we have $\mu \geqslant\{(a-2) n / 2\}-C$,

$$
\log \left(n^{\alpha-1} \beta^{\mu}\right) / \log n \leqslant-2 a+5+o(1) \leqslant-1+o(1)
$$

and so

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{17} n^{a-1} \beta^{\mu}<C / n=o(1)
$$

Hence (4.2) and so (1.11).

## 5. Proof that (1.2) is sufficient for (1.10)

We now turn our attention to those $q$ which satisfy (1.2) but not (1.4), i.e. those $q$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\{\log n+\psi(n)\} / 2 \leqslant q \leqslant 3 n \log n \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We may suppose that $\psi(n)=o(\log n)$. We have $q<C n \log n$, $\lambda<C n^{-1} \log n$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(n-2) \log \beta=2 \lambda(n-2)+O\left(\lambda^{2} n\right)=4(q / n)+o(1) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $A=n-n^{3 / 4}(\log n)^{2 / 2}$ and consider first those $\omega$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leqslant a \leqslant A \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left[Q_{\omega}\right]_{q}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q_{\omega}\left(X_{2} e^{i t}\right) X_{2}^{-q} e^{-i q t} d t \leqslant C J_{3} J_{4}
$$

where $X_{2}=q /\left(P_{1}-q\right)$ and

$$
J_{3}=\prod_{j \geqslant 2}\left(1+X_{2}^{f}\right)^{P_{i}}, \quad J_{4}=X_{2}^{-q} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|1+X_{2} e^{i t}\right|^{P_{1}} d t
$$

By (1.15) and (5.3), $P_{1} \geqslant C(n-A)^{2}>C n^{\frac{3}{2}} \log n$. Again $q<C n \log n$, so that $X_{2}<C n^{-\frac{3}{3}}$ and, by (1.18),

$$
\log J_{3} \leqslant \sum_{j \geqslant 2} P_{j} X_{2}^{j} \leqslant C a n \sum_{j \geqslant 2} n^{-j / 2} \leqslant C a
$$

By an argument similar to that of (3.3), we have

$$
\left|1+X_{2} e^{i t}\right|^{2} \leqslant e^{-c \xi t^{2}}\left(1+X_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\xi=X_{2}\left(1+X_{2}\right)^{-2}=q P_{1}^{-2}\left(P_{1}-q\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{4} \leqslant X_{2}^{-q}\left(1+X_{2}\right)^{P_{1}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-C P_{1} \xi t a} d t & \leqslant C X_{2}^{-q}\left(1+X_{2}\right)^{P_{1}}\left(P_{1} \xi\right)^{-\frac{1}{z}} \\
& =C P_{1}^{P_{1}+\frac{1}{2}} q^{-q-\frac{1}{2}}\left(P_{1}-q\right)^{-P_{1}+q-\frac{z}{2}} \sim C\binom{P_{1}}{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

by a result similar to (1.19). It follows that

$$
\left[Q_{\omega}\right]_{q} \leqslant C e^{c_{a}}\binom{P_{1}}{q}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Q_{\omega}\right]_{q} / c_{2} \leqslant C e^{C a} \beta^{2-n}\binom{P_{1}}{q} /\binom{N}{q} \leqslant C e^{C a} \beta^{2-n}\left(\frac{P_{1}}{N}\right)^{q}, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is $\quad \log \left(c_{a} / c_{2}\right)<C+C a-(n-2) \log \beta+q \log \left(P_{1} / N\right)$.
Now, by (1.16),

$$
\frac{P_{1}}{N} \leqslant \frac{(n-a)(n-a-1)+a}{n(n-1)}=\left(1-\frac{a}{n}\right)^{2}\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{(n-1)(n-a)^{2}}\right) .
$$

By (5.3), $(n-1)(n-a)^{2}>C(n-1) n^{\frac{8}{2}} \log n>n^{2}$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(P_{1} / N\right)<-2 a / n \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.2) and (5.5) in (5.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(n^{a-2} c_{a} / c_{2}\right) & \leqslant C+(a-2) \log n+C a-2(q / n)(a-2) \\
& =C+(a-2)\{C+\log n-2(q / n)\} \leqslant C+(a-2)\{C-\psi(n)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (5.1) and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{3 \leqslant a \leqslant A} H_{\mathrm{a}} / H_{2} \leqslant \sum_{3 \leqslant a \leqslant A} n^{a-2} c_{\mathrm{a}} / c_{2} \leqslant C e^{C-\varphi(n)}=o(1) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally let us consider those $\omega$ for which $A<a \leqslant n$. For these $a$ we have $P_{1} \leqslant C n^{\frac{6}{2}} \log n$ by (1.16). Also, by (1.6),

$$
\sum_{j \geqslant 2} P_{j} \leqslant\left(N-P_{1}\right) / 2 \leqslant N / 2
$$

We write

$$
X_{3}=\{q /(N-q)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}<C n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}<1
$$

We have then

$$
\left[Q_{\omega}\right]_{Q} \leqslant X_{3}^{-q} Q_{\omega}\left(X_{3}\right)=X_{3}^{-Q} \prod_{j \geqslant 1}\left(1+X_{3}^{j}\right)^{P_{i}}
$$

Now

$$
\log \left(1+X_{3}\right)^{P_{1}}=P_{1} \log \left(1+X_{3}\right) \leqslant P_{1} X_{3}<C n(\log n)^{\frac{\pi}{2}}
$$

and

$$
\prod_{j \geqslant 2}\left(1+X_{3}^{j}\right)^{P_{i}} \leqslant \prod_{j \geqslant 2}\left(1+X_{3}^{2}\right)^{P_{i} \leqslant\left(1+X_{3}^{2}\right)^{N / 2}}
$$

and so

$$
\log \left[Q_{\omega}\right]_{q}<C n(\log n)^{\frac{3}{2}}-q \log X_{3}+\frac{1}{2} N \log \left(1+X_{3}^{2}\right)=C n(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \log L
$$

If we write

$$
Z=\sum_{A<a \leqslant n} H_{a}=\sum_{A<a \leqslant n} \sum_{\omega \in H_{a}}\left[Q_{\omega}\right]_{a}
$$

there are less than $n!$ terms in the double sum and $\log (n!)<C n \log n$. Again, by Lemma 1 and (1.19),

$$
H_{2}=N c_{2}>C N \beta^{n-2} F_{n q}>C N \beta^{n-2} L M
$$

Also $-(n-2) \log \beta=O(\log n)$ by (5.2), $\log M>C \log q>C \log n$ and

$$
\log L>q \log (N / q)>C n(\log n)^{2}
$$

Hence
$\log \left(Z \mid H_{2}\right) \leqslant C n(\log n)^{\frac{8}{2}}-(\log L) / 2 \rightarrow-\infty$
as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so $Z=o\left(H_{2}\right)$. Combining this with (5.6), we have the first part of (1.11), and (1.10) follows.
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