Quasi-range-preserving Operator *)

Lai Chunhui (赖春晖)

(Department of Mathematics, Zhangzhou Teachers College, Zhangzhou, Fujian, 363000)

Abstract This paper introduces a new concept, called quasi-range-preserving operator, and gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a linear operator to be quasi-range-preserving. As a special case of its corollary Glicksberg's problem for special case is affirmatively answered.

Key Words and Phrases Quasi-range-preserving Operator; Convex Closed Hull; Uniform Algebra; Glicksberg's Problem

Glicksberg^[1] asked whether any proper uniform algebra A on a compact Hausdorff space X (i. e., $C(X)\setminus A$ is nonempty) is uncomplemented, that is, whether there is no bounded projection from C(X) onto A. Glicksberg, Rosenthal, Pelczyński, Kisljakov, Etcheberry and other mathematicians, work on this problem has been summarized in Pelczyński's monograph (see [2]). Sidney^[3], Kislyakov^[4] and Lai^[5, 6] have obtained some results too.

For studying this problem, I introduce a new concept of quasi-range-preserving operator. Let X, Y be topological spaces, and Z a normed linear space with $Z\neq\{0\}$. By $M(X\to Z)$ we denote the normed linear space of all bounded mappings from X to Z with the norm $\|f\|=\sup_{x\in X}\|f(x)\|$. The constant mapping $f(x)\equiv z$ is identified with z. Let A be a linear subspace of $M(X\to Z)$ which contains the constant mappings, and T a linear operator from A to $M(Y\to Z)$. T is called a quasi-range-preserving operator if R(Tf) the convex closed hull of R(f) (here, $R(f)=\{f(x)\,|\,x\in X\}$, $R(Tf)=\{Tf(y)\,|\,y\in Y\}$), for all $f\in A$. We prove that (1) if Z is an inner product space, then a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a quasi-range-preserving operator is: $\|T\|=1$, Tz=z, for all $z\in Z$; (2) if Z is a normed linear space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, then a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a quasi-range-preserving operator is: $\|T\|=1$, Tz=z for all norms $\|\cdot\|'$ which are equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ and for all $z\in Z$. As a special case of its corollary Glicksberg's problem for special case is affirmatively answered.

Theorem 1 If T is a quasi-range-preserving operator, then ||T||=1, Tz=z for all $z\in Z$. Proof Suppose $||f||\leqslant 1$, $f\in A$. Since $\{z\mid ||z||\leqslant 1$, $z\in Z\}$ is a convex closed set and T is a quasi-range-preserving operator, we have R(Tf) the convex closed hull of $R(f)\subset \{z\mid ||z||\leqslant 1$, $z\in Z\}$. Hence $||Tf||\leqslant 1$. Since $\{z\}$ is a convex closed set, we have Tz=z for all $z\in Z$. Hence

Received Jan. 9, 1992.

*) Project supported by NSF of Fujian and Youth Foundation of Fujian Education Commission.

||T|| = 1.

Theorem 2 Let H be a real (complex) Hilbert space with $H \neq \{0\}$, A be a linear subspace of $M(X \rightarrow H)$ which contains the constant mappings, and T be a linear operator from A to $M(Y \rightarrow H)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a quasi-range-preserving operator is

$$||T|| = 1$$
, $Th = h$ for all $h \in H$.

Proof Since a complex Hilbert space can be regarded as a real Hilbert space, without loss of generality we may assume that H is a real Hilbert space.

- (1) By Theorem 1, the condition is necessary.
- (2) Sufficiency. Suppose T is not a quasi-range-preserving operator. Then there is an $f \in A$ such that $R(Tf) \not\subset$ the convex closed hull of $R(f) \stackrel{\text{d.f.}}{=} S$, that is, there is $y_0 \in Y$, such that $(Tf)(y_0) \notin S$. It is easy to prove that there is a bounded linear functional g and a constant b such that

$$g[(Tf)(y_0)] > b \geqslant g(s)$$
 for all $s \in S$.

Then there is $h_1 \in H$ such that

$$(h, h_1) = g(h)$$
 for all $h \in H$.

Hence

$$((Tf)(y_0), h_1) > b \geqslant (s, h_1)$$
 for all $s \in S$.

Since $\{h_i/\|h_i\|\}$ is a normal orthogonal system of H, there is a complete normal orthogonal system E of H such that $E \supseteq \{h_i/\|h_i\|\}$.

Let

$$k > \max \left\{ \frac{\frac{b^2}{\|h_1\|^2} + \|f\|^2 - \|(Tf)(y_0)\|^2}{\frac{2}{\|h_1\|} \left[((Tf)(y_0), h_1) - b \right]}, \sup_{s \in S} \left| \left(s, \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right) \right| \right\}.$$

Then

$$0 < \left(f(x), \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right) + k = \left(f(x) + k \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|}, \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right) \le \frac{b}{\|h_1\|} + k.$$

Hence

$$\left[\left(f(x)+k\frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|},\frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|}\right)\right]^2 \leqslant \left(\frac{b}{\|h_1\|}+k\right)^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} & \left\| T \left(f + k \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right) \right\| \geqslant \left\| (Tf)(y_0) + k \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right\| \\ &= \left[\left\| (Tf)(y_0) \right\|^2 + k^2 + \frac{2k}{\|h_1\|} ((Tf)(y_0), h_1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & \left\| f + k \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right\| = \sup_{x \in X} \left\{ \left[\left(f(x) + k \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|}, \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right) \right]^2 + \sum_{\substack{e \in E \\ e \neq h_1/\|h_1\|}} (f(x), e)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left[\left(\frac{b}{\|h_1\|} + k \right)^2 + \|f\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \left\| T \left(f + k \frac{h_1}{\|h_1\|} \right) \right\|,$$

one gets

This contradicts ||T|| = 1.

Theorem 3 Let Z be a real (complex) inner product space with $Z \neq \{0\}$, A be a linear subspace of $M(X \rightarrow Z)$ which contains the constant mappings, and T be a linear operator from A to $M(Y \rightarrow Z)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a quasi-range-preserving operator is

$$||T|| = 1$$
, $Tz = z$ for all $z \in Z$.

Proof (1) By Theorem 1, we obtain the necessity.

(2) Sufficiency. Without loss of generality we may assume that Z is a real inner product space. We denote the completion of Z by H. Then $Z \subset H$. Hence A can be regarded as a linear subspace of $M(X \rightarrow H)$.

Let A' denote the linear subspace spaned by $A \cup H$. For any $\varphi = af + bh$, where $a, b \in R$ (all real numbers), $f \in A$, and $h \in H$, in A' we define $T'(\varphi) = aTf + bh$. It is easy to prove that $T'(\varphi)$ has nothing to do with the choice of a, b, f, h. Obviously, T'h = h for all $h \in H$. For any $a, b \in R$, $f \in A$, and $h \in H$, there are $z_n \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\lim z_n = h$. Thus

$$||T'(af + bh)|| = ||aTf + bh|| = ||aTf + b\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n||$$

$$= ||\lim_{n \to \infty} T(af + bz_n)|| \le \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} ||T|| ||af + bz_n|| = ||af + bh||.$$

Hence

$$||T'|| = 1.$$

By Theorem 2, T' is a quasi-range-preserving operator. Hence for any f in A

$$R(Tf) = R(T'f) \cap Z \subset \{\text{the convex closed hull of } R(f) \text{ in } H\} \cap Z$$

= the convex closed hull of $R(f)$ in Z ,

that is, T is a quasi-range-preserving operator.

Theorem 4 Let Z be a real (complex) inner product space with $Z\neq\{0\}$, a be a real (complex) constant, A be a linear subspace of $M(X\rightarrow Z)$ which contains the constant mappings, and T be a linear operator from A to $M(Y\rightarrow Z)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $R(Tf) \subset a$ {the convex closed hull of R(f)} $\stackrel{\text{d. f.}}{=} \{az \mid z \in \text{the convex closed hull of } R(f)\} \forall f \in A$ is

$$||T|| = |a|$$
, $Tz = az$ for all $z \in Z$.

Proof I. If a=0, Theorem 4 is trivial.

II. For $a\neq 0$, since T is a linear operator, so is $\frac{1}{a}T$. Applying Theorem 3 to $\frac{1}{a}T$ we can obtain Theorem 4.

Theorem 5 Let Z be a real (complex) normed linear space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $Z \neq \{0\}$, A be a linear subspace of $M(X \rightarrow Z)$ which contains the constant mappings, and T be a

linear operator from A to $M(Y \rightarrow Z)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a quasi-range-preserving operator is

$$||T||' = 1, \quad Tz = z$$

for all norms $\|\cdot\|'$ which are equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof (1) The necessity is obvious.

(2) Sufficiency. Without loss of generality we may assume that Z is a real normed linear space.

Suppose T is not a quasi-range-preserving operator. Then there is $f \in A$ such that $R(Tf) \not\subset$ the convex closed hull of $R(f) \xrightarrow{\text{d. f.}} S$, that is, there is $y_0 \in Y$, such that $(Tf)(y_0) \notin S$. Hence there is a bounded linear functional g and a constant g such that

$$q\lceil (Tf)(y_0)\rceil > b \geqslant q(s)$$
 for all $s \in S$.

Thus there is a positive integer n such that $g[(Tf)(y_0)]>b+\frac{1}{n}$. It is easy to prove that there is $z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g(z_0) = \inf_{s \in S} g(s)$. Then

$$g(s) \geqslant g(z_0)$$
 for all $s \in S$,

that is,

$$g(s)-g(z_0)\geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$g[(Tf)(y_c) - z_0] > b + \frac{1}{n} - g(z_0) \geqslant g(s) - g(z_0) + \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} + |g(s) - g(z_0)| = |g(s - z_0)| + \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= |g(-(s - z_0))| + \frac{1}{n}.$$

For t_1 , t_2 , \cdots , $t_m \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_i = 1 \right)$ in the closed interval [0, 1] and p_1 , p_2 , \cdots , p_m in the set $(S - z_0) \cup [-(S - z_0)]$

$$\left| g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} p_{i} \right) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} \left(\left| g\left(p_{i} \right) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} g\left((Tf) (y_{0}) - z_{0} \right) = g\left((Tf) (y_{0}) - z_{0} \right).$$

Hence, for any d in the convex closed hull of $(S-z_0) \cup [-(S-z_0)] \stackrel{\text{d. f.}}{=} D$

$$g((Tf)(y_0) - z_0) \geqslant |g(d)| + \frac{1}{n} > g(d).$$

Therefore, $(Tf)(y_0)-z_0 \notin D$. It is clear that if $z \in D$ then $-z \in D$. Hence $0=\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{1}{2}(-z) \in D$. Since D is closed, we have $d((Tf)(y_0)-z_0, D)>0$ $(d((Tf)(y_0)-z_0, D))$ is the distance of D and $(Tf)(y_0)-z_0$. Let W be all the points whose distance from D is less than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}d((Tf)(y_0)-z_0, D)$. It is clear that the points whose distance from 0 is less than or equal to

 $\frac{1}{2}d((Tf)(y_0)-z_0, D)$ are all in W, and $(Tf)(y_0)-z_0 \notin W$. It is easy to prove that W is a balanced absorbing bounded convex closed set which contains D. Hence the Minkowski functional of W

$$P(z) = \inf\{\alpha \mid \alpha > 0, \alpha^{-1}z \in W\}$$

is a norm of \mathbb{Z} , denoted by $\|\cdot\|'$. It is clear that $\|\cdot\|'$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$, and $w \in W$ if and only if $\|w\|' \leq 1$. Since

$$f(x) - z_0 \in D \subset W$$
 for all $x \in X$,

we have

$$||f(x)-z_0||'\leqslant 1.$$

Since ||T||' = 1, we have

$$||T(f-z_0)||' \leq ||T||' ||f-z_0||' \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$||(Tf)(y_0) - z_0||' \leqslant ||Tf - z_0||' = ||T(f - z_0)||' \leqslant 1.$$

Then $(Tf)(y_0)-z_0 \in W$. This contradicts $(Tf)(y_0)-z_0 \notin W$.

Theorem 6 Let Z be a real (complex) normed linear space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $Z \neq \{0\}$, a be a real (complex) constant, A be a linear subspace of $M(X \rightarrow Z)$ which contains the constant mappings, and T be a linear operator from A to $M(Y \rightarrow Z)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $R(Tf) \subset a\{$ the convex closed hull of $R(f)\} \ \forall f \in A$ is

$$||T||' = |a|$$
, $Tz = az$

for all norms $\|\cdot\|'$ which are equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof I. If a=0, Theorem 6 is trivial.

II. For $a\neq 0$, since T is a linear operator, so is $\frac{1}{a}T$. Applying Theorem 5 to $\frac{1}{a}T$ we can obtain Theorem 6.

Corollary Let B be a selfadjoint (that is, if $f \in B$, then the complex conjugate function (of f) $\bar{f} \in B$) linear subspace of $M(X \rightarrow C)$ (C denotes all complex numbers) which contains the constant functions, A be a linear subspace of $M(Y \rightarrow C)$ which contains the constant functions, and T be a linear operator from B onto A. If there is a non-zero constant a such that

$$||T|| = |a|, \qquad T1 = a,$$

then A is selfadjoint.

Proof It is clear that $\frac{1}{a}T$ is a linear operator from B onto A and

$$\left\|\frac{1}{a}T\right\| = 1, \qquad \left(\frac{1}{a}T\right)(1) = 1.$$

By Theorem 2, $\frac{1}{a}T$ is a quasi-range-preserving operator. Since a straight line segment is a convex closed set, $\frac{1}{a}T$ maps a real valued function into a real valued function. Hence, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}$, $\frac{1}{a}T$ maps the complex conjugate function (of f) \bar{f} into $\overline{\left(\frac{1}{a}T\right)(f)}$. Thus A is selfadjoint.

As a special case of the corollary we assume that Y = X and X is a compact Hausdorff space, a = 1, B = C(X), and A is a proper uniform algebra of C(X). We know that A is not selfadjoint. Hence there is no projection from C(X) onto A with norm 1.

References

- [1] Glicksberg, I., Some uncomplemented function algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 111(1964), 121—137.
- [2] Pelczyński, A., Banach space of analytic functions and absolutely summing operators, CBMS Reginal Conf. Ser. Math., No. 30, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977, pp. 28—35.
- [3] Sidney, S. J., Uniform algebras and projections, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 91(1984), 381-382.
- [4] Kislyakov, S. V., Proper uniform algebras are uncomplemented, Dold. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 309(4)(1989), 795-798.
- [5] Lai Chunhui, On a problem of Glicksberg concerning uniform algebras, J. Zhangzhou Teachers College (Nat. Sci.), (1)(1987), 101-103, 96.
- [6] Lai Chunhui, Interpolation set of weak function algebra and function algebra, J. Xiamen Univ. (Nat. Sci.), 28(1989), 138—141.