

Residual Local Supersymmetry and the Soft Gravitino

Steven G. Avery^a, Burkhard U. W. Schwab^b

^a*Brown University*
Department of Physics
 182 Hope St, Providence, RI 02912

^b*Harvard University*
Center for Mathematical Science and Applications
 1 Oxford St, Cambridge, MA 02138

steven_avery@brown.edu, burkhard_schwab@brown.edu

Abstract

We show that there exists an infinite tower of fermionic symmetries in pure $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity on an asymptotically flat background. The Ward identities associated with these symmetries are equivalent to the soft limit of the gravitino and to the statement of supersymmetry at every angle. Additionally, we show that these charges commute into charges associated with the (unextended) BMS group, providing a supersymmetrization of the BMS translations.

1 Introduction

Thanks to the remarkable series of papers [1–18] there has been tremendous progress in understanding the physical impact of *large* or *residual* gauge freedom not only in the semiclassical treatment of gravity, but also in Yang–Mills theory, Maxwell theory, string theory [19–24], and most recently $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQED [25].

Here we provide an understanding of residual local supersymmetry of the Rarita–Schwinger (RS) field in the simplest setting, $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. Remarkably, many of our results have been anticipated in [26]. However, we use the methods introduced in [27] to connect the soft gravitinos of [26] with the asymptotic Killing spinors found in [28]. The previously neglected asymptotic Killing spinors that asymptote to angle dependent spinors at asymptotic null infinity \mathcal{I} are contained in local supersymmetry transformations. They supersymmetrize the supertranslations of the BMS group and their Ward identities generate the soft limit of the gravitino which may then be understood as a statement of *supersymmetry at every angle* in the language of [1].

We first introduce the setting of $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity and derive the Noether charge density associated with local supersymmetry transformations from the action. Subsequently we show that there are residual gauge symmetries at \mathcal{I} , calculate the associated algebra of charges, and relate the Ward identity to the soft limit of gravitinos.

While preparing this article, we became aware of the independent work of Vyacheslav Lysov [29] on this topic, which supports our conclusions.

2 Supergravity

The $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity action in the 1.5 order formalism proves most convenient for our problem. It is $S = S_2 + S_{3/2}$ where

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x e^{\mu\alpha} e^{\nu\beta} R(\omega)_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \quad (1)$$

$$S_{3/2} = \frac{i}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\Psi}_\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma D_\nu \Psi_\rho. \quad (2)$$

The notation requires some explanation. Given a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, define the vielbein field e_μ^a via

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab} \quad (3)$$

with $\eta = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ and $e = \det e_\mu^\alpha = \sqrt{-g}$. The γ_μ -matrices are given by the contraction of the numerical matrices γ_a in a given Lorentz frame with the frame field e_μ^α . The covariant derivative ∇_μ is given by

$$\nabla_\mu \psi_\nu = D_\mu \psi_\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \psi_\kappa, \quad (4)$$

where $D_\mu \psi_\nu = \partial_\mu \psi_\nu + \omega_{\mu\alpha\beta} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\nu$ is the spin covariant derivative. We used the explicitly four dimensional form of $S_{3/2}$ with $\gamma_5 = i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$, the product of the numerical matrices. Note that, in the 1.5 order formalism, the spin connection $\omega_{\mu\alpha\beta}$ is an a priori independent variable. By solving its (algebraic) equation of motion, one finds

$$\omega_{\mu\alpha\beta} = \omega_{\mu\alpha\beta}(e) + K_{\mu\alpha\beta}, \quad (5)$$

where the first part is defined by a function of the frame field and its derivatives. The contortion $K_{\mu\alpha\beta}$ is quadratic in the gravitino field ψ_μ . Their exact forms can be found in, e.g., [30], and are inessential for the current discussion. The curvature two-form is defined via

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = \frac{1}{8} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \gamma^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (6)$$

3 Noether two-form

For simplicity we assume a purely bosonic asymptotically flat background. Thus any terms proportional to the torsion $T_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ in the following will be dropped. The transformations that define local supersymmetry are given by the gauge transformation of the RS field and a corresponding transformation on the frame field (which we could drop in our chosen background)

$$\delta e_\mu^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^\alpha \psi_\mu, \quad \delta \psi_\mu = D_\mu \epsilon \quad (7)$$

where ϵ is an *anticommuting* spinor.

It was shown [27, 31] (see also [32]) that there is a Noether charge density two-form $k^{\mu\nu}$ that is associated with every local symmetry. To derive k for the transformations in (7), we use the formalism developed in [27].

Any variation of the action may be written as

$$\delta S = \int d^4x (-E^I \delta \phi_I + \partial_\mu \theta^\mu(\phi_I, \delta \phi_I)) \quad (8)$$

where E^I are the equations of motion for the set of fields $\phi_I = \{e_\mu^\alpha, \psi_\mu\}$. For supersymmetry variations (7), θ^μ is given by

$$\theta^\nu = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \overline{D_\rho \epsilon} \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma \psi_\mu). \quad (9)$$

Conversely, the action is only symmetric under (7) up to a total derivative term

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \partial_\nu (i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \overline{\psi}_\rho \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma D_\mu \epsilon) = \int d^4x \partial_\mu K^\mu, \quad (10)$$

where we dropped terms in θ and K proportional to the variation of the spin connection $\delta\omega_\mu$ since they will not contribute to the result. These two total derivative contributions define the ordinary Noether current $j^\mu = \theta^\mu - K^\mu$. In this combination the aforementioned terms proportional to $\delta\omega_\mu$ drop out. Finally, we need to find the weakly vanishing current S^μ from Noether's identity

$$E^I \delta \phi_I = \Delta_I E^I + \partial_\mu S^\mu \quad (11)$$

for local variations of the form $\delta \phi_I = f_I(\Phi) \lambda + \sum_i f_I^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_i} \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_i} \lambda$. For (7) this is

$$S^\mu = \frac{i}{\kappa^2} (\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma D_\nu \psi_\rho) \quad (12)$$

and so

$$\partial_\mu k^{\nu\mu} = j^\nu - S^\nu = \partial_\mu \left[\frac{e}{\kappa^2} (\bar{\epsilon} \gamma^{\nu\mu\kappa} \psi_\kappa) \right] \quad (13)$$

where for the last form we made use of (42). Although we didn't make this explicit in the calculation, it is possible to show that the torsion does not enter k .

4 Boundary conditions and Asymptotic Killing Spinors

Following the approach of [27], we must gauge fix the local, bounded supersymmetry transformations to define the path integral measure. We use Witten gauge $\psi = 0$. In Witten gauge, the gravitino wave equation becomes $\mathcal{D}\psi_\nu = 0$ with leading asymptotic behavior in Bondi coordinates (38),

$$\psi_u = \psi_u^{(0)}(\theta) \quad \psi_r = \psi_r^{(0)}(\theta) \quad \psi_A = r\psi_A^{(0)}(\theta). \quad (14)$$

The equation of motion fixes the subleading behavior.

The two-form takes the form

$$k^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \bar{\epsilon} (\gamma^{\nu\mu} \psi + 2\gamma^{[\mu} \psi^{\nu]}). \quad (15)$$

Demanding the charge is finite for the asymptotic Killing spinors in Witten gauge implies the fall-off condition

$$2\gamma^{[u} \psi^{r]} = O(r^{-2}). \quad (16)$$

The initial data in (14) is constrained by the gauge condition $\psi = 0$, and the fall-off condition (16).

To wit, we may take the spinors on the sphere $\psi_A^{(0)}$ as the physical data.

The gauge fixing condition leaves unfixed a discrete set of large residual supersymmetries, or asymptotic Killing spinors. These have already been discussed from a different perspective [28]: there is an infinite family, which are the ‘‘square root’’ of the BMS supertranslations.

The residual supersymmetries are parametrized by spinors solving the Dirac equation, $\mathcal{D}\epsilon = 0$, for which the transformation (7) preserves the boundary conditions discussed above. Solutions are parametrized by spinors that asymptote to arbitrary angle-dependent spinors $\eta(\theta)$ on \mathcal{S}^\pm ,

$$\epsilon = \eta(\theta) + O(r^{-1}). \quad (17)$$

The ‘‘small’’ subleading pieces are gauge-dependent and do not contribute to the charges.

5 Algebra

Now, define a charge $Q[\eta]$ for large supersymmetry transformations η . $Q[\eta]$ can be written as

$$Q[\eta] = \int_\sigma \star k = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_\sigma dS_{\mu\nu} \bar{\eta} \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho} \psi_\rho \quad (18)$$

where $\sigma \subset \mathcal{S}$ is an S^2 at $u = -\infty$ on \mathcal{S}^+ . Then [33–35]

$$[Q[\eta_1], Q[\eta_2]] = \delta_{\eta_1} Q[\eta_2] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_\sigma dS_{\mu\nu} \bar{\eta}_2 \gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} D_\kappa \eta_1 \quad (19)$$

which can be reformulated as

$$\delta_{\eta_1} Q[\eta_2] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_\sigma dS_{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\eta}_2 \gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} D_\kappa \eta_1 - \overline{(D_\kappa \eta_2)} \gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} \eta_1 \right) \quad (20)$$

where we made use of the compactness of S^2 to drop a total derivative term. The remainder takes the *Nester–Witten* form of the diffeomorphism charge [34, 35]. It is possible to rewrite this, see e.g., [36], using the linear approximation $D_\mu = \bar{D}_\mu + \Omega_\mu + O(h^2)$ where h is the perturbation to the background metric $g_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}$, \bar{D} the background derivative, and Ω the linear part. The frame field is then given by $e_\mu^\alpha = \bar{e}_\mu^\alpha + h_\mu^\alpha$ such that $h_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^\alpha h_{\nu\alpha} + e_\nu^\alpha h_{\mu\alpha}$. We use $\bar{\gamma}$ to raise and lower coordinate indices and \bar{e}_μ^α to transform to a local Lorentz frame. Since $\Omega_\mu = \Omega_\mu^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\gamma_\sigma \gamma_{\alpha\beta} + \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_\sigma = 2\gamma_{\sigma\alpha\beta}$ along with the identity (42) the commutator may be written as

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_\sigma dS_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\sigma} \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\beta\rho} \bar{\eta}_2 \gamma^\rho \eta_1 \Omega_\kappa^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (21)$$

We dropped a term proportional to $\bar{\eta}_{[2} \gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} \bar{D}_\kappa \eta_1]$ which may be thought of as a potential central charge. Define now, as usual, $\xi^\rho = \bar{\eta}_2 \gamma^\rho \eta_1$ as the parameter of a coordinate transformation and contract the antisymmetric tensors to get the result

$$\frac{6}{\kappa^2} \int_\sigma dS_{\mu\nu} \xi^{[\mu} \Omega_\kappa^{\nu\kappa]}. \quad (22)$$

While it is almost a standard calculation, let us anyhow show that we can transform this result into the form of BMS charges. Note that the linearized spin connection $\Omega_\kappa^{\mu\nu}$ can be written as

$$\Omega_\kappa^{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}^{\sigma[\nu} \delta\Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^{\mu]} \quad (23)$$

with $\delta\Gamma_{\sigma\kappa}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{\mu\rho}(\bar{\nabla}_\sigma h_{\kappa\rho} + \bar{\nabla}_\kappa h_{\sigma\rho} - \bar{\nabla}_\rho h_{\kappa\sigma})$. Then Ω can be written in two ways

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\kappa^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\kappa\alpha}\bar{\nabla}_\sigma(\bar{g}^{\sigma[\nu}h^{\mu]\alpha}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\kappa\alpha}\bar{\nabla}_\sigma H^{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu} - \bar{\nabla}_\sigma(\delta_\kappa^{[\mu}h^{\nu]\sigma} - \delta_\kappa^{[\mu}\bar{g}^{\nu]\sigma}h) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where we defined the quantity $H^{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu} = \bar{g}^{\sigma\nu}\bar{h}^{\mu\alpha} + \bar{g}^{\mu\alpha}\bar{h}^{\sigma\nu} - \bar{g}^{\sigma\mu}\bar{h}^{\nu\alpha} - \bar{g}^{\alpha\nu}\bar{h}^{\mu\sigma}$, the trace $h = h_\kappa^\kappa$, and the trace reversed metric perturbation $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}h$. Inspecting the expression $\xi^{[\mu}\Omega_\kappa^{\nu\kappa]}$ and inserting the two expressions in (24) for Ω , we find that

$$[Q[\eta_1], Q[\eta_2]] = T[\xi] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_\sigma dS_{\mu\nu} \xi_\alpha \bar{\nabla}_\sigma H^{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu} \quad (25)$$

which differs from the flux integral of the Barnich–Brandt Noether two-form [31] for diffeomorphisms by a boundary term and is thus equivalent under the integral. Note that ξ^μ as defined above using the asymptotic Killing spinors (17) has a finite value at the boundary, i.e., $T[\xi]$ constitutes a BMS translation [37, 38] in tune with [1, 26, 28]. Furthermore, the bracket $[Q[\eta], T[\xi]] = 0$ as expected.

6 Ward identity

The Ward identity associated with the two-form derived above is given by [27]

$$\langle \delta_\eta(\Phi_1 \cdots \Phi_n) \rangle = i \langle \Phi_1 \cdots \Phi_n \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}^+} \star j[\eta] - \int_{\mathcal{S}^-} \star j[\eta] \right) \rangle \quad (26)$$

where Φ are the fields of $d = 4, \mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. Here $\delta\Phi$ are local supersymmetry transformations (7) while the right hand side introduces the operator (18) into the path integral. Note that the Noether current $j[\eta]$ is related to the two-form k in (13) simply via $j^\mu = \partial_\nu k^{\mu\nu}$ up to equations of motion.

Using Witten gauge $\gamma^\mu\psi_\mu = 0$ and Bondi coordinates (38), the integral over \mathcal{S}^+ on the right hand side of eq. (26) can be written as (analogously for \mathcal{S}^-)

$$Q[\eta] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\mathcal{S}^+} d\Sigma_\mu \bar{\eta} \left[\overleftarrow{D}_\nu \gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} \psi_\kappa + \gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} D_\nu \psi_\kappa \right] \quad (27)$$

similarly for \mathcal{S}^- . All derivatives in this section ff. are background derivatives \bar{D} . We use the Witten gauge condition, the equations of motions $\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho} D_\nu \psi_\rho = \mathcal{J}^\mu$ with the supercurrent \mathcal{J}^μ , and the Majorana flip to write

$$Q[\eta] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\mathcal{S}^+} d\Sigma_\mu \left[\bar{\eta} \mathcal{J}^\mu - \bar{\Psi}^\mu \gamma^\nu D_\nu \eta + \bar{\Psi}^\nu \gamma^\mu D_\nu \eta \right]. \quad (28)$$

The second term vanishes due to the residual gauge condition $\gamma^\mu D_\mu \eta = 0$. Thus with $\eta|_{\mathcal{S}} = \eta(z, \bar{z})$

$$Q[\eta] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\mathcal{S}^+} d^2z du \bar{\eta} \left[\mathcal{J}^r + \overleftarrow{D}_z \gamma_u \psi_{\bar{z}} + \overleftarrow{D}_{\bar{z}} \gamma_u \psi_z \right]. \quad (29)$$

7 Gravitino Soft Factor

From the Ward identities on correlation functions, we extend the result to \mathcal{S} matrix elements by LSZ reduction following [39]. The boundary fields $\psi_{z,\bar{z}}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ in (29) can be found by a limiting process on the asymptotic mode expansions (see (43)) as in [5–11, 25]. The result is (c_p^\pm is the gravitino annihilation operator)

$$\psi_z = \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{8\pi^2(1+z\bar{z})} \int dEu_{\hat{x}}^+ (c_{\hat{x}}^+ e^{-iEu} - (c_{\hat{x}}^-)^\dagger e^{iEu}) \quad (30)$$

and $\psi_{\bar{z}}$ the same with interchanged helicities. We used $u^\pm = v^\mp$. The spinor, u^+ (u^-), is right-handed (left-handed) in a helicity basis for the γ^μ . Thus we may use the projectors P_R (P_L) to further reduce the charge to

$$Q[\eta] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\mathcal{S}^+} d^2z du \bar{\eta} \left[\mathcal{J}^r + \overleftarrow{D}_z \gamma_u P_L \psi_{\bar{z}} + \overleftarrow{D}_{\bar{z}} \gamma_u P_R \psi_z \right]. \quad (31)$$

Only $\psi_{z,\bar{z}}$ are dependent on the coordinate u , so we define $\Psi_{z,\bar{z}} = \lim_{E_0 \rightarrow 0} \int du e^{iE_0 u} \psi_{z,\bar{z}}$ with a regulating factor of $\exp(iE_0 u)$ to extract the zero modes. η can be chosen left-handed or right-handed to single out specific terms in $Q[\eta]$. The second and third term in the charge $Q[\eta]$ can even be localized if η consists of a function $(z - z_i)^{-1}$ (or $(\bar{z} - \bar{z}_i)^{-1}$) multiplied by a right-handed (left-handed) spinor that is constant with respect to $D_{\bar{z}}$ (or D_z), i.e.,

$$\eta_\alpha = \frac{1}{z - w} \chi_{\dot{\alpha}}, \text{ or } \eta_\alpha = \frac{1}{\bar{z} - \bar{w}} \chi_\alpha. \quad (32)$$

More generally $\eta = \epsilon(z, \bar{z}) \chi$ with $\epsilon(z, \bar{z})$ an arbitrary function. The zero mode of the supercurrent has trivial action on the particle vacuum, $\int du \mathcal{J}^r |0\rangle = 0$, but the rest of the charge $Q[\eta]$ inserts a zero momentum gravitino and acts like *soft charge* $Q_s[\eta]$ in the terminology of [5–11, 25], i.e., $Q[\eta] = Q_h[\eta] + Q_s[\eta]$ generates a spontaneously broken symmetry.

Finally, let us inspect the soft limit of scattering amplitudes M_n with n particles and one soft, positive helicity gravitino denoted ψ_s^+ with soft momentum p_s . Fermions come in pairs; there is at least one more gravitino in the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. All particles are considered outgoing. Then

$$\lim_{p_s \rightarrow 0} M_{n+1}(\dots, \psi_s^+) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i^{\psi^+} M_n(\dots, \mathcal{D}\Phi_i, \dots) \quad (33)$$

where $S_i^{\psi^+} = \frac{\epsilon_s^+ \cdot p_i}{p_i \cdot p_s} \epsilon_{i,\mu}^+ \bar{u}_s^+ \gamma^\mu v_i^-$ and \mathcal{D} lowers the helicity of the i^{th} external leg by $1/2$

$$\mathcal{D}h^+ = \psi^+, \quad \mathcal{D}\psi^- = h^-, \quad \mathcal{D}\psi^+ = \mathcal{D}h^- = 0. \quad (34)$$

In the last two cases above, the amplitude on the right hand side vanishes. Using $p^2 = 0$, let $p_\mu \sigma^\mu = \lambda_p \bar{\lambda}_p$ spinor helicity variables. Since gravitinos are Majorana we have $\bar{u}_s^+ = (\bar{\lambda}_s, 0)$ and $v_i^- = (0, \lambda_i)$ in a helicity basis. Then the polarization can be written as $\epsilon_i^+ \cdot \sigma = \frac{\lambda_x \bar{\lambda}_i}{\langle x, i \rangle}$ where λ_x is an arbitrary reference spinor and σ^μ the Pauli matrices. In the last equation we employ the usual bracket notation, see, e.g., [40]. Then $S_i^{\psi^+}$ may be written as $\frac{\langle s, i \rangle \langle x, i \rangle}{\langle s, i \rangle \langle x, s \rangle}$, compare [41]. Thus the positive helicity gravitino soft limit is the combination of a helicity lowering supersymmetry transformation and the multiplication of an angle dependent factor $S_i^{\psi^+}$ [26].

The soft limit (33) can be related to the Ward identity (26) when employing an LSZ reduction [39]. Using asymptotic expansions for the graviton field $h_{\mu\nu}$ and the gravitino field ψ_μ (see (43)) with in-state annihilators a_p^\pm , respectively c_p^\pm , for particles with chirality \pm , the action of a supersymmetry variation δ_s with large parameter η is given by

$$\delta_\eta a_p^+ = [Q[\eta], a_p^+] = \epsilon_{p,\mu}^+ \bar{\eta} \gamma^\mu v_p^- c_p^+, \quad (35)$$

$$\delta_\eta c_p^- = [Q[\eta], c_p^-] = \epsilon_{p,\mu}^+ \bar{\eta} \gamma^\mu v_p^- a_p^- \quad (36)$$

where here, as above, $Q[\eta]$ bosonic. The other two cases are similar and would be necessary for a discussion of the Ward identity for a negative helicity gravitino. We match this onto the right hand side of the soft limit above by remarking that $S_i^{\psi^+}$ is a special case of the coefficient of the commutation relations above. This statement is clearer when writing $S_i^{\psi^+}$ with the help Bondi coordinates

$$S_i^{\psi^+} = \frac{1 + z_s \bar{z}_s}{\sqrt{2} E_s (z_s - z_i)} \bar{u}_s^+ \epsilon_{i,\mu}^+ \gamma^\mu v_i^-. \quad (37)$$

We may discard the divergence in E_s by multiplying (33) with $\sqrt{E_s}$ since $\bar{\lambda}_s \propto \sqrt{E_s}$ as well as pull out the factor of $(1 + z\bar{z})$. Then, if we let $\sqrt{E_s}^{1/2} \eta = \frac{1}{z_s - z_i} u_s^-$ we see that it is exactly of the form given in (32). It follows that the \mathcal{S} matrix statement of the Ward identity (26) is the leading soft gravitino limit. The analogous statement for a negative helicity gravitino can be derived in the same way.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Vyacheslav Lysov for sharing a draft of his paper and coordinating the arXiv submission of our papers. BS was supported by the Center for Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University and the Cheng Yu-Tung fund, and NSF grant 1205550. BS is thankful to Andrew Strominger and Thomas Dumitrescu for discussions. SGA is supported by US DOE grant de-sc0010010.

A Conventions

We follow the conventions of [30]. Throughout the paper, the preferred background is asymptotically flat space with metric $g^{\mu\nu}$, strictly bosonic, and excluding black hole spacetimes. Retarded Bondi coordinates are given by the metric

$$ds^2 = -du^2 - 2dudr + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (38)$$

with $d\Omega^2 = \frac{4}{(1+\bar{\theta}^2)^2} d\bar{\theta}^2$. $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ covers the sphere once. In the text, we use $z = \theta_1 + i\theta_2$. The frame is defined by

$$e^0 = du + dr \quad e^1 = dr \quad e^A = \frac{2r}{1+\bar{\theta}^2} d\theta^A \quad (39)$$

with spin connection,

$$\omega_{A1} = -\omega_{1A} = \frac{e^A}{r} \quad \omega_{AB} = \frac{1}{r}(\theta_A e_B - \theta_B e_A). \quad (40)$$

The γ Clifford algebra is defined in the usual way by $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$. All calculations use the Majorana flip extensively; given two *anticommuting* spinors ξ, χ and a set of γ -matrices, the flip is defined by

$$\bar{\xi} \gamma^{\mu_1} \dots \gamma^{\mu_r} \chi = t_r \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu_1} \dots \gamma^{\mu_r} \xi \quad (41)$$

where a convenient choice for t_r is $t_r = -1$ for $r = 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ and $t_r = 1$ for $r = 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ in $d = 4$.

We also use the notation $\gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} = \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^{\kappa]}$ and the identity

$$\gamma^{\mu\nu\kappa} = -ie^{-1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\sigma} \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma. \quad (42)$$

Asymptotic expansions for the fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu}(x) &= \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E} (\epsilon_{p,\mu\nu}^{\sigma,*} a_p^\sigma e^{ip \cdot x} + \epsilon_{p,\mu\nu}^\sigma (a_p^\sigma)^\dagger e^{-ip \cdot x}) \\ \psi_\mu(x) &= \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E} (\epsilon_{p,\mu}^{\sigma,*} u_p^\sigma c_p^\sigma e^{ip \cdot x} + \epsilon_{p,\mu}^\sigma v_p^\sigma (c_p^\sigma)^\dagger e^{-ip \cdot x}). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

References

- [1] Andrew Strominger. “On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering”. In: *JHEP* 07 (2014), p. 152. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)152). arXiv:1312.2229 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 4).
- [2] Andrew Strominger. “Asymptotic Symmetries of Yang-Mills Theory”. In: *JHEP* 07 (2014), p. 151. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)151](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)151). arXiv:1308.0589 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [3] Andrew Strominger and Alexander Zhiboedov. “Gravitational Memory, BMS Supertranslations and Soft Theorems”. In: (2014). arXiv:1411.5745 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [4] Andrew Strominger. “Magnetic Corrections to the Soft Photon Theorem”. In: (2015). arXiv:1509.00543 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [5] Temple He et al. “New Symmetries of Massless QED”. In: *JHEP* 10 (2014), p. 112. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP10\(2014\)112](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)112). arXiv:1407.3789 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).
- [6] Temple He et al. “BMS supertranslations and Weinberg’s soft graviton theorem”. In: *JHEP* 05 (2015), p. 151. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP05\(2015\)151](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2015)151). arXiv:1401.7026 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).

- [7] Temple He et al. “2D Kac-Moody Symmetry of 4D Yang-Mills Theory”. In: (2015). arXiv:1503.02663 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).
- [8] Daniel Kapec et al. “Asymptotic Symmetries of Massless QED in Even Dimensions”. In: (2014). arXiv:1412.2763 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).
- [9] Daniel Kapec et al. “New Symmetries of QED”. In: (2015). arXiv:1506.02906 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).
- [10] Daniel Kapec et al. “Higher-Dimensional Supertranslations and Weinberg’s Soft Graviton Theorem”. In: (2015). arXiv:1502.07644 [gr-qc] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).
- [11] Vyacheslav Lysov et al. “Low’s Subleading Soft Theorem as a Symmetry of QED”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113.11 (2014), p. 111601. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.111601. arXiv:1407.3814 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).
- [12] T. Banks. “The Super BMS Algebra, Scattering and Holography”. In: (2014). arXiv:1403.3420 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [13] Tom Banks. “Current Algebra on the Conformal Boundary and the Variables of Quantum Gravity”. In: (2015). arXiv:1511.01147 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [14] Miguel Campiglia and Alok Laddha. “Asymptotic symmetries and subleading soft graviton theorem”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 90.12 (2014), p. 124028. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124028. arXiv:1408.2228 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [15] Miguel Campiglia and Alok Laddha. “Asymptotic symmetries of gravity and soft theorems for massive particles”. In: (2015). arXiv:1509.01406 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [16] Miguel Campiglia. “Null to time-like infinity Green’s functions for asymptotic symmetries in Minkowski spacetime”. In: *JHEP* 11 (2015), p. 160. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2015)160. arXiv:1509.01408 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [17] Miguel Campiglia and Alok Laddha. “Asymptotic symmetries of QED and Weinberg’s soft photon theorem”. In: *JHEP* 07 (2015), p. 115. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2015)115. arXiv:1505.05346 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [18] Miguel Campiglia and Alok Laddha. “New symmetries for the Gravitational S-matrix”. In: *JHEP* 04 (2015), p. 076. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2015)076. arXiv:1502.02318 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [19] Steven G. Avery and Burkhard U. W. Schwab. “BMS, String Theory, and Soft Theorems”. In: (2015). arXiv:1506.05789 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [20] Burkhard U. W. Schwab. “A Note on Soft Factors for Closed String Scattering”. In: *JHEP* 03 (2015), p. 140. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP03(2015)140. arXiv:1411.6661 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [21] Burkhard U. W. Schwab. “Subleading Soft Factor for String Disk Amplitudes”. In: *JHEP* 08 (2014), p. 062. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2014)062. arXiv:1406.4172 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [22] Massimo Bianchi et al. “More on Soft Theorems: Trees, Loops and Strings”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 92.6 (2015), p. 065022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.065022. arXiv:1406.5155 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [23] Anastasia Volovich et al. “Double Soft Theorems in Gauge and String Theories”. In: *JHEP* 07 (2015), p. 095. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2015)095. arXiv:1504.05559 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [24] Paolo Di Vecchia et al. “Soft theorem for the graviton, dilaton and the Kalb-Ramond field in the bosonic string”. In: *JHEP* 05 (2015), p. 137. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2015)137. arXiv:1502.05258 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 1).
- [25] Thomas T. Dumitrescu et al. “Infinite-Dimensional Fermionic Symmetry in Supersymmetric Gauge Theories”. In: (2015). arXiv:1511.07429 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1, 5).
- [26] Marcus T. Grisaru and H. N. Pendleton. “Soft Spin 3/2 Fermions Require Gravity and Supersymmetry”. In: *Phys. Lett.* B67 (1977), p. 323. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(77)90383-5 (cit. on pp. 1, 4, 5).
- [27] Steven G. Avery and Burkhard U. W. Schwab. “Noether’s Second Theorem and Ward Identities for Gauge Symmetries”. In: (2015). arXiv:1510.07038 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 1–4).

- [28] M. A. Awada et al. “Conformal Supergravity, Twistors and the Super BMS Group”. In: *Annals Phys.* 171 (1986), p. 52. DOI: 10.1016/S0003-4916(86)80023-9 (cit. on pp. 1, 3, 4).
- [29] Vyacheslav Lysov. “Asymptotical Supersymmetries from Gravitino Soft Theorem”. In: (2015). to appear (cit. on p. 1).
- [30] Daniel Z. Freedman and Antoine van Proeyen. *Supergravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2012. ISBN: 978-0-521-19401-3 (cit. on pp. 2, 6).
- [31] Glenn Barnich and Friedemann Brandt. “Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges”. In: *Nucl. Phys.* B633 (2002), pp. 3–82. DOI: 10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00251-1. arXiv:hep-th/0111246 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 2, 4).
- [32] Vivek Iyer and Robert M. Wald. “Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy”. In: *Phys. Rev.* D50 (1994), pp. 846–864. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.50.846. arXiv:gr-qc/9403028 [gr-qc] (cit. on p. 2).
- [33] C. M. Hull. “The Positivity of Gravitational Energy and Global Supersymmetry”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 90 (1983), p. 545. DOI: 10.1007/BF01216185 (cit. on p. 3).
- [34] Edward Witten. “A Simple Proof of the Positive Energy Theorem”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 80 (1981), p. 381. DOI: 10.1007/BF01208277 (cit. on p. 3).
- [35] James A. Nester. “A New gravitational energy expression with a simple positivity proof”. In: *Phys. Lett.* A83 (1981), p. 241. DOI: 10.1016/0375-9601(81)90972-5 (cit. on p. 3).
- [36] Sergio Cecotti. *Supersymmetric Field Theories: Geometric Structures and Dualities*. Cambridge University Press, 2015. ISBN: 9781107053816, 9781316213599. URL: <http://www.cambridge.org/mw/academic/subjects/physics/theoretical-physics-and-mathematical-physics/supersymmetric-field-theories-geometric-structures-and-dualities?format=HB> (cit. on p. 3).
- [37] H. Bondi et al. “Gravitational waves in general relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems”. In: *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A269 (1962), pp. 21–52. DOI: 10.1098/rspa.1962.0161 (cit. on p. 4).
- [38] R. K. Sachs. “Gravitational waves in general relativity. 8. Waves in asymptotically flat spacetimes”. In: *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A270 (1962), pp. 103–126. DOI: 10.1098/rspa.1962.0206 (cit. on p. 4).
- [39] Marcus T. Grisaru et al. “Supergravity and the S Matrix”. In: *Phys. Rev.* D15 (1977), p. 996. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.15.996 (cit. on pp. 4, 5).
- [40] Henriette Elvang and Yu-tin Huang. “Scattering Amplitudes”. In: (2013). arXiv:1308.1697 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 5).
- [41] Zheng-Wen Liu. “Soft theorems in maximally supersymmetric theories”. In: *Eur. Phys. J.* C75.3 (2015), p. 105. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3304-1. arXiv:1410.1616 [hep-th] (cit. on p. 5).