

Oscillatory Biomedical Signals: Frontiers in Mathematical Models and Statistical Analysis

Hau-Tieng Wu¹, Tze Leung Lai^{2,*}, Gabriel G. Haddad³, and Alysson Muotri⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708-0320, USA

²Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4065, USA

³Department of Pediatrics and Rady Children's Hospital, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla/San Diego, CA 92093/92123, USA

⁴Department of Cellular & Molecular Medicine and Department of Pediatrics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

Correspondence*:

Tze Leung Lai

lait@stanford.edu

2 ABSTRACT

3 Herein we describe new frontiers in mathematical modeling and statistical analysis of oscillatory
4 biomedical signals, motivated by our recent studies of network formation in the human brain
5 during the early stages of life and studies forty years ago on cardiorespiratory patterns during
6 sleep in infants and animal models. The frontiers involve new nonlinear-type time-frequency
7 analysis of signals with multiple oscillatory components, and efficient particle filters for joint state
8 and parameter estimators together with uncertainty quantification in hidden Markov models and
9 empirical Bayes inference.

1 INTRODUCTION

10 The 2017 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine was awarded to Jeffrey Hall and Michael Rosbash of
11 Brandeis University, and Michael Young of Rockefeller University, “for their discoveries of molecular
12 mechanisms controlling the circadian rhythm.” In 1984, they succeeded in isolating the “*period* gene” (i.e.,
13 the gene that controls the circadian rhythm). Hall and Rosbash then went on to “discover PER, the protein
14 encoded by *period*, accumulated during the night and degraded during the day.” In 1994, Young answered
15 a “tantalizing puzzle” concerning how PER produced in the cytoplasm could reach the cell nucleus where
16 genetic material is located. He discovered a second gene *timeless*, encoding the TIM protein so that TIM
17 bound to PER can enter the cell nucleus to block the *period* gene activity. “Such a regulatory feedback
18 mechanism explained how this oscillation of cellular protein levels emerged, but questions lingered”, such
19 as what controlled the frequency of the oscillations. Young identified another gene *doubletime* encoding the
20 DBT protein that delayed the accumulation of the PER protein. The three laureates “identified additional
21 proteins required for the activation of the *period* gene, as well as for the mechanisms by which light can
22 synchronize the circadian clock.”

23 One of us (Muotri) was PI of a project on “spontaneous network formation” displaying “periodic and
24 regular oscillatory events that were dependent on glutamatergic and GABAergic signaling” during early
25 brain maturation, for which structural and transcriptional changes “follow fixed developmental programs
26 defined by genetics”; see Trujillo et al. (2019) who also found that “the oscillatory activity transitioned to
27 more spatiotemporally irregular patterns which synchronous network activity resembled features similar to

28 those observed in preterm human EEG.” This project is similar in spirit to the exemplary work of Hall,
29 Rosbash and Young but the “experimental inaccessibility” of the human brain during the early stages of
30 life pushes mathematical modeling and statistical analysis of the oscillatory signals and events to new
31 frontiers that we present in the next section. We describe in the next paragraph the underlying biomedical
32 background of this project.

33 One of the major recent realizations, especially in the neurosciences, is that while we can obtain
34 important information from animal studies, there are major differences between humans and animals. This
35 is manifested in many ways, especially that major clinical trials that were based on animal findings did not
36 pan out. Therefore if we intend to study pathogenesis of disease, treat them, prevent them or cure diseases
37 of childhood or in the adults, we need to re-focus our scientific approaches and strategies in order to be
38 more efficient and effective. Since embryonic stem cells are often problematic to obtain for ethical reasons,
39 the discovery of being able to re-program somatic cells from humans into induced pluro-potential stem cells
40 (iPSCs, taking these somatic cells back into their “history”) and differentiate them into different relatively
41 mature cell types has opened a major avenue for the scientific community, resulting in the 2012 Nobel Prize
42 in Physiology or Medicine to John Gurdon of Cambridge and Shinya Yamanaka of Kyoto. If these iPSCs
43 are exposed to the right growth factors, they would assemble into early human brain (brain organoids) by
44 an amazing process of self-organizing the 3-dimensional cellular elements that recapitulate the network,
45 cellular and membrane properties of neurons and glia. Many types of organoids such as kidney, intestine,
46 liver and lung organoids have been recently developed. These organoids have been particularly useful
47 for studying either normal early human biology or developmental disorders as in neuro-developmental
48 diseases.

2 METHODS

49 The statistical methods used by Trujillo et al. (2019, pp. 16-19) in their analysis of data on oscillatory signals
50 and events consist of (i) multi-electrode array (MEA) recording and custom analysis, (ii) network event
51 analysis that involves detecting spikes (when at least 80% of the maximum spiking values) over the length
52 of the recording when reached at least 1 sec away from any other network event, (iii) oscillatory spectral
53 power analysis, in which “oscillatory power” is defined as “peaks in the PSD (power spectral density
54 estimated by Peter D. Welch’s method) above the aperiodic $1/f$ power law decay”, (iv) resampled Pearson’s
55 correlation coefficient between neonatal age and each of 12 EEG features. Because of “the inability to
56 interrogate the electrophysiology of intact human brains” and the emergence of induced pluripotent stem
57 cells (iPSC) and organoids as “a scaled-down and three-dimensional model of the human brain, mimicking
58 various developmental features at cellular and molecular levels,” Trujillo et al. (2019, pp. 4, 7-9, 11, 19)
59 used oscillatory dynamics of LFP (local field potential) and other mesoscopic brain signals, which manifest
60 “a phenomenon known as cross-frequency phase-amplitude coupling (PAC) wherein the high-frequency
61 content of LFP is entrained to the phase of slow oscillations.” Noting that “the pattern of alternating periods
62 of quiescence and network-synchronized events resembles electrophysiological signatures in preterm
63 human EEG,” they analyzed “a publicly available dataset of 101 serial EEG recordings from 39 preterm
64 infants ranging from 24 to 38 weeks post-menstrual age”, containing 23 precomputed features (including
65 spectral power in canonical oscillatory bands, duration and timing of “spontaneous activity transients”
66 or SATs) for each EEG record. To compare the features between cortical organoids and preterm infants,
67 they “trained a regularized regression model (ElasticNet) with cross-validation for hyperparameter selection”
68 based on the preterm infants’ EEG recordings and applied the model to the organoid dataset to “obtain the
69 predicted developmental time.” The results were mixed and they concluded that “given the potential roles
70 of synchronized and oscillatory network dynamics in coordinating information flow between developed

71 brain regions, these results highlight the potential for cortical organoids to advance our understanding
72 of functional physiology” and to model “cellular interactions and neural circuit dysfunctions related to
73 neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric pathologies” that “affect millions of people but otherwise lack an
74 existing animal model.” These statistical methods are “custom” (or traditional) methods, as acknowledged
75 by Trujillo et al. (2019). We describe innovative and powerful methods in the next two subsections, first
76 for time-frequency analysis of oscillatory biomedical signals with time-varying features, and then a new
77 hidden Markov model (HMM) which incorporates the key features, of the cortical organoid model and
78 provides uncertainty quantification for empirical Bayes inference based on the model and observed data.

79 **2.1 Time-Frequency Analysis of Signals with Multiple Oscillatory Components**

80 The first author (Wu) has been working on time-frequency analysis (TFA) and its applications
81 to high-frequency biomedical signals in the last ten years. Examples include electrocardiography,
82 electroencephalogram, local field potential, photoplethysmogram (PPG), actinogram, peripheral venous
83 pressure (PVP), arterial blood pressure, phonocardiogram, airflow respiratory signal, to name several.
84 Usually, these signals are composed of multiple components, each of which reflects the dynamics of a
85 physiological system. The analysis is challenged by the physiological variability that appears in form of
86 time-varying frequency and amplitude, or even time-varying oscillatory pattern that is referred to as the
87 “wave-shape function”. Furthermore, depending on the signal, the “waxing and waning” effect is sometimes
88 inevitable for its components; see Wu (2020, Figure 1) for an illustration. Take the widely applied PPG
89 signal as an example. Shelley (2007) has given an introduction to photoplethysmogram (PPG) and its
90 applications “beyond the calculation of arterial oxygen saturation and heart rate”. In addition to the well-
91 known cardiac component reflecting hemodynamic information, PPG may contain the respiratory dynamics
92 as another component. The frequency of the cardiac component (respiratory component, respectively)
93 is impacted by the heart rate variability (breathing rate variability, respectively). Cicone and Wu (2017)
94 provide an algorithm to “extract both heart and respiratory rates” from the PPG signal and thereby to
95 analyze their interactions. Such information can be used in conjunction with other biomedical signals
96 reflecting hemodynamics. In particular, PVP is ubiquitous in the hospital environment and a rich source
97 of hemodynamic information (Wardhan and Shelley, 2009). But it typically has low signal-to-noise ratio
98 (SNR) and its oscillatory pattern is sensitive to the physiological status, making it is much less used in
99 comparison with PPG. Wu et al. (2020) have developed new signal processing tools to facilitate its use.

100 Combining time-frequency analysis (TFA) with statistical analysis, the lack of which in previous
101 work “presents an opportunity for much future research”, is illustrated in Figure 2 (applied to PPG,
102 fetal ECG and fetal heart rate variability) of Wu (2020) who describes several recent advances in TFA
103 for high-frequency biomedical signals. There are several challenges common to different biomedical
104 signal processing problems. The first is how to estimate the dynamics (e.g., how to quantify the time-
105 varying frequency, amplitude, or wave-shapes) of the signal. The second is to assess signal quality and
106 determine artifacts, distinguishing between physiological and non-physiological ones. The third is to
107 identify oscillatory components and the fourth is to decompose the signal into constituent components.
108 To address these challenges, several TFA tools have been proposed. In addition to the traditional
109 linear-type time-frequency analysis tools like short-time Fourier transform (STFT), continuous wavelet
110 transform (CWT) and bilinear time-frequency analysis tools (Flandrin, 1999), several nonlinear-type tools
111 have been developed and applied, including the reassignment method, empirical mode decomposition
112 (EMD), Blaschke decomposition (BKD), adaptive locally iterative filtering (ALIF), sparse time-frequency
113 representation (STFR), synchrosqueezing transform (SST), scattering transform (ST), concentration of
114 frequency and time (ConcFT), de-shape, dynamic diffusion maps, and manifold learning (Huang et al.,
115 1998; Nahon, 2000; Daubechies et al., 2011, 2016; Mallat, 2012; Lin et al., 2018, 2021; Wang et al.,

116 2020). The statistical properties of these methods have been relatively unexplored and we are currently
 117 investigating them; new methods to handle emerging scientific problems might be developed on the way.

118 2.2 Efficient Particle Filters for Joint State and Parameter Estimation in HMM

119 During the past three years the second author (Lai) has been developing a new Markov Chain Monte
 120 Carlo (MCMC) procedure called “MCMC with sequential substitutions” (MCMC-SS) for joint state
 121 and parameter estimation in hidden Markov models. The basic idea is to approximate an intractable
 122 distribution of interest (or target distribution) by the empirical distribution of N representative atoms,
 123 chosen sequentially by an MCMC procedure, so that the empirical distribution approximates the target
 124 distribution after a large number of iterations as explained below.

Lai’s work in this area began with the landmark paper of Gordon et al. (1993) on the development of sequential Monte Carlo (SMC), also called particle filters, for the estimation of latent states in a hidden Markov model (HMM). Liu (2008) contains a collection of techniques that have been developed since then, with examples of applications in computational biology and engineering, and Chan and Lai (2013) provide a general theory of particle filters. Let $\{X_t, t \geq 1\}$ be a Markov chain and let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be conditionally independent given X_t , such that $X_t \sim p_t(\cdot|X_{t-1})$, $Y_t \sim g_t(\cdot|X_t)$ in which p_t and g_t are density functions with respect to measure ν_X and ν_Y . The density function p_T of $X_{0:T} = (X_0, \dots, X_T)$ conditional on $Y_{1:T} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_T)$ is

$$p_T(x_{0:T}|Y_{1:T}) \propto \prod_{t=1}^T [p_t(x_t|x_{t-1})g_t(Y_t|x_t)].$$

This conditional distribution is often difficult to sample from and the normalizing constant is also difficult to compute for high-dimensional or complicated state spaces, and particle filters use sequential Monte Carlo that involves importance sampling and resampling to circumvent this difficulty. The particle filter computes $\mathbb{E}[\psi(X_{0:T})|Y_{1:T}]$ by the recursive Monte Carlo scheme summarized in Algorithm 1. Let $X_{0:t-1}^m$ denote the sample path of the m th particle (trajectory), $1 \leq m \leq M$. The scheme uses importance sampling from a proposal density q_t to circumvent this difficulty and updates not only the particles $X_{0:t-1}^m$ but also the associated weights w_{t-1}^m and ancestor A_{t-1}^m of $X_{0:t}^m$. It is initialized with $A_0^m = m$ and $w_0^m = 1$. The SMC estimate of $\psi_T := \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_{0:T})|Y_{1:T}]$ is

$$\tilde{\psi}_T = \left(\sum_{m=1}^M w_T^m \psi(X_{0:T}^m) \right) / \left(\sum_{m=1}^M w_T^m \right).$$

125 By using martingale theory, Chan and Lai (2013) provide a comprehensive theory of the SMC estimate $\tilde{\psi}_T$,
 126 which includes asymptotic normality and consistent standard error estimation as the following:

127 **THEOREM 1.** *Under certain integrability conditions,*

$$\sqrt{M}(\tilde{\psi}_T - \psi_T) \Rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2).$$

128 *Moreover, letting $\bar{w}_t = M^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^M w_t^i$, σ^2 can be consistently estimated by*

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\sum_{i:A_{T-1}^i=m} \frac{w_T^i}{\bar{w}_T} \left[\psi(X_{0:T}^i) - \tilde{\psi}_T \right] \right)^2.$$

Algorithm 1 SMC with M particles

1. Initialization: $A_0^i = i, w_0^i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, M$.
 2. Importance sampling at stage $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$: Generate conditionally independent X_t^i from $q_t(\cdot | X_{0:t-1}^i)$ and set $X_{0:t}^i = (X_{0:t-1}^i, X_t^i), w_t^i = p_t(X_t^i | X_{0:t-1}^i) g_t(y_t | X_t^i) / q_t(X_t^i | X_{0:t-1}^i), i = 1, \dots, M$.
 3. Bootstrap resampling at stage $t \in \{1, \dots, T-1\}$: Generate i.i.d. random variables B_t^1, \dots, B_t^M such that $P(B_t^i = j) = w_t^j / \sum_{i=1}^M w_t^i, j = 1, \dots, M$. Let $(X_{0:t}^m, A_t^m) = (X_{0:t}^{B_t^m}, A_{t-1}^{B_t^m}), m = 1, \dots, M$.
-

Chan and Lai (2013, Lemmas 1 and 4) use the following representation of $\tilde{\psi}_T - \psi_T$ to derive Theorem 1. Let $w_t(x_{0:t}) = p_t(x_t | x_{t-1}) g_t(Y_t | x_t) / q_t(x_t | x_{0:t-1})$, in which that Y_t can be treated as constants since the particle filter is the conditional distribution of $X_{0:t}$ given the observations Y_1, \dots, Y_T . Let $H_t^m = (\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_t) / \prod_{j=1}^t w_j^m, \eta_t = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\prod_{i=1}^t w_i(X_{0:t}) \right]$, where \mathbb{E}_q denotes expectation under which $X_t | X_{0:t-1}$ has the conditional density function $q_t(\cdot | X_{0:t-1})$ for $1 \leq t \leq T$. Letting $\Psi_0 = \psi_T$ and $\Psi_t(X_{0:t}) = \mathbb{E}_q \left\{ \psi(X_{0:T}) \prod_{i=1}^T w_i(X_{0:i}) | X_{0:t} \right\}$ for $1 \leq t \leq T$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{2t-1}^m &= \sum_{i:A_{t-1}^m=i} \{ \psi(X_{0:t}^i) - \Psi_{t-1}(x_{0:t-1}^i) \} H_{t-1}^i, \\ \epsilon_{2t}^m &= \sum_{i:A_{t-1}^m=i} (\#_t^m - m W_t^i) \{ \Psi_t(X_{0:t}^i) H_t^{B_t^i} - \Psi_0 \}, \end{aligned}$$

in which $W_t^i = w_t^i / \sum_{j=1}^M w_t^j, \#_t^i$ is the number of copies of $X_{0:t}^i$ generated by bootstrap resampling from $\{X_{0:t}^1, \dots, X_{0:t}^M\}$ in Algorithm 1 (where the B_t^i are also defined). Then $(\#_t^1, \dots, \#_t^M) \sim \text{Multinomial}(M; W_t^1, \dots, W_t^M)$ and

$$\tilde{\psi}_T - \psi_T = \{ (\bar{w}_1 \cdots \bar{w}_T)^{-1} \eta_T \} M^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^M (\epsilon_1^m + \cdots + \epsilon_{2T-1}^m);$$

- 129 see Eq.(3.3) and (3.36) of Chan and Lai (2013) who show that $\{\epsilon_t^m, 1 \leq t \leq 2T-1\}$ is a martingale
 130 difference sequence and that $(\bar{w}_1 \cdots \bar{w}_T)^{-1} \eta_T = 1 + o_p(1)$ under the integrability assumptions $\eta_T < \infty$
 131 and $\mathbb{E}_q \left[\prod_{i=1}^T w_i^2(X_{0:t}) \right] < \infty$.

Algorithm 2 PMCMC at k th iteration, initialized with $\theta^0 \sim f(\cdot)$

1. $\theta^* \sim f(\cdot | \theta_{k-1})$.
 2. Run SMC (Algorithm 1) to generate M particles $X_{0:t}^{m,k}$ with corresponding weights $w_T^{m,k}$. Let $\tilde{p}_T(\theta^*) = \sum_{m=1}^M w_T^{m,k}$.
 3. Accept θ^* with probability $1 \wedge \{ \tilde{p}_T(\theta^*) f(\theta_{k-1} | \theta^*) \} / \{ \tilde{p}_T(\theta_{k-1}) f(\theta^* | \theta_{k-1}) \}$.
 4. If θ^* is accepted, let $\theta^k = \theta^*$ and $(X_{0:t}^{m,k}, w_T^{m,k})$ be the corresponding weighted particles.
-

The assumption of a single fully-specified HMM in particle filter is often too restrictive in applications since the model parameters are usually unknown and also need to be estimated sequentially from the observed data. A standard method to estimate unknown parameters is to assume a prior distribution

for the unknown parameter vector and to use Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) to estimate the posterior distribution. Andrieu et al. (2010) carried out this method for time-homogeneous Markov chains $X_t \sim p_\theta(\cdot|X_{t-1})$ for $t \geq 1$ and $X_0 \sim p_\theta(\cdot)$, with latent states X_t and observations $Y_t \sim g_\theta(\cdot|X_t)$, in which θ is an unknown parameter with a prior density function $\pi(\cdot)$ with respect to some measure ν_θ on the parameter space Θ . The posterior density of $(\theta, X_{0:T})$ given $Y_{1:T}$ is proportional to

$$p_T(\vartheta, x_{0:T}) = \pi(\vartheta)p_\vartheta(x_0) \prod_{t=1}^T \{p_\vartheta(x_t|x_{t-1})g_\vartheta(Y_t|x_t)\}.$$

132 PMCMC uses SMC involving M particles (each of which consists of a sampled parameter and state
 133 trajectory) at every iteration k to construct an approximation \tilde{p}_T to p_T in a Metropolis-Hastings (MH)
 134 MCMC scheme that uses a proposal density $f(\cdot|\theta_{k-1})$ with respect to the measure ν_θ to sample θ_k at the
 135 k th iteration, as summarized in Algorithm 2. Chopin et al. (2013, Section 1.2) point out the difficulties in
 136 the asymptotic analysis of PMCMC as k becomes infinite. In particular, although Andrieu et al. (2010) have
 137 shown that under some strong assumptions, PMCMC converges to a measure in total variation norm as
 138 $k \rightarrow \infty$, for fixed value of M , the limiting measure is not the target posterior distribution of $(\theta, X_{0:t})$. On
 139 the other hand, allowing M to approach ∞ with k would lead to an analytically intractable scheme
 140 involving state spaces whose dimensions change with k . Chopin et al. (2013) propose the SMC² scheme to
 141 target heuristically the posterior distribution of $(\theta, X_{0:t})$ given $Y_{1:t}$ ($1 \leq t \leq T$) as follows. It involves N
 142 θ -particles, which we will call ‘‘atoms’’, and attaches to each atom θ a particle filter that propagates and
 143 resamples M particles (state trajectories $X_{0:t}^m$) generated by SMC (as in Algorithm 1 with the given θ). It
 144 carries out the MH iterations to determine if a candidate atom is accepted (as in Step (c) of Algorithm 2).
 145 For the N atoms $\theta_t^1, \dots, \theta_t^N$ and their corresponding importance weights at time t generated in this way,
 146 if the degeneracy criterion in Chopin (2002) is satisfied, carry out bootstrap resampling of the weighted
 147 parameter-particle set to replace it by an unweighted set, but no convergence theory as $k \rightarrow \infty$ is provided.

148 Although MCMC methods with MH iterations are widely used computational tools in Bayesian inference
 149 on $\theta \in \Theta$ that has prior density function with respect to some measure ν_θ , they do not have convergence
 150 rate guarantees in terms of the number of iterations to automate termination of the iterations. On the other
 151 hand, if the target density p , which is the posterior density of θ given $Y_{1:t}$, were known and easy to sample
 152 from, then standard Monte Carlo approximation of $\mu := E_p(\psi(\theta))$ could be carried out by generating
 153 i.i.d. $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$ from $p(\cdot)$ and using the sample average $\tilde{\mu} = N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \psi(\theta_n)$ to estimate μ . Under
 154 the assumption $E_p(\psi^2(\theta)) < \infty$, the estimated standard error is $\tilde{\sigma}_N/\sqrt{N}$, and $\tilde{\mu} \pm N^{-1/2}\tilde{\sigma}_N\zeta_{1-\alpha/2}$ is
 155 an approximate $(1 - \alpha)$ -level confidence interval for μ , where $\tilde{\sigma}_N^2 = (N - 1)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (\psi(\theta_n) - \tilde{\mu})^2$ and
 156 ζ_q is the q th quantile of the standard normal distribution. This follows from the classical central limit
 157 theorem and is very useful for determining N to ensure $\tilde{\mu}$ to be within some prescribed tolerance limit ϵ of
 158 μ : $N^{-1/2}\tilde{\sigma}_N\zeta_{1-\alpha/2} \leq \epsilon$, and has inspired Lai to develop, with his current Ph.D. students Huanzhong Xu,
 159 Michael Hongyu Zhu, and former Ph.D. student Hock Peng Chan, the following novel MCMC algorithm
 160 which is asymptotically equivalent to the oracle procedure that assumes known target density p and which
 161 they call MCMC with sequential state substitutions (MCMC-SS).

As in MH, let f be a given function that is proportional to the target density. Let $\{q(\cdot|\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of positive proposal densities with respect to some measure m , where Γ is a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d . MCMC-SS initializes by choosing $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma^\circ$ and generating νB i.i.d. $\theta_{1,0}^1, \dots, \theta_{1,0}^\nu; \dots; \theta_{B,0}^1, \dots, \theta_{B,0}^\nu$ from the proposal distribution $q(\cdot|\gamma_0) dm$, thereby forming the B disjoint sets $\Theta_{b,0} = \{\theta_{b,0}^1, \dots, \theta_{b,0}^\nu\}$. At stage k , it uses the sequential substitution procedure $SS(\Theta_{b,k}, \mathbf{w}_k^b)$ in Algorithm 3 to update the atom set

Algorithm 3 Updating procedure $SS(\Theta_{b,k}, \mathbf{w}_k^b)$ for MCMC-SS

1. Sample $\tilde{\theta}$ from $q(\cdot|\gamma_{b,k-1})$ as candidate atom.

2. Let $\theta_{\nu+1,k-1}^b = \tilde{\theta}$ and compute

$$\lambda_{i,k}^b = q\left(\theta_{i,k-1}^b|\gamma_{b,k-1}\right) / f\left(\theta_{i,k-1}^b\right), i = 1, \dots, \nu + 1.$$

3. Sample J from $\{1, \dots, \nu + 1\}$ with probability $\pi_{i,k}^b = \lambda_{i,k}^b / \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \lambda_{j,k}^b\right)$ for i .

4. If $J = \nu + 1$, let $\Theta_{b,k} = \Theta_{b,k-1}$. Otherwise let $\Theta_{b,k} = \left(\Theta_{b,k-1} \cup \{\tilde{\theta}\}\right) \setminus \left\{\theta_{J,k-1}^b\right\}$.

5. Let $w_{i,k}^b = 1/\pi_{i,k}^b$ for $i = 1, \dots, \nu$, and $\mathbf{w}_k^b = \left(w_{1,k}^b, \dots, w_{\nu,k}^b\right)$.

in the b th block and to assign the weight $w_{i,k}^b$ to the i th atom in $\Theta_{b,k}$, $b = 1, \dots, B$. MCMC-SS estimates $\mu = \mathbb{E}_p\psi(\theta)$ by

$$\hat{\psi} = \frac{1}{B(K - \kappa)} \sum_{b=1}^B \sum_{k=\kappa+1}^K \hat{\psi}_{b,k}, \text{ with } \hat{\psi}_{b,k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} w_{i,k}^b \psi(\theta_{i,k}^b)}{\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} w_{i,k}^b},$$

162 in which κ represents an initial burn-in period that is asymptotically negligible as $\kappa = o(K)$. In many
163 applications, the parameter γ of the family of proposal densities is a function $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Gamma$, where \mathcal{P} is the
164 space of probability measures on Θ . Assuming this framework, we now describe the choice of $\gamma_{b,k-1}$ in
165 Algorithm 3. For $k \leq \kappa$, let $\gamma_{b,k-1} = \nu^{-1} \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_{b,k-1}} \gamma(\theta)$, which is the mean of the empirical measure
166 of the atoms in the b th block at the end of stage $k - 1$. On the other hand, for $k > \kappa$, we pool across
167 blocks by letting $\gamma_{k-1} = B^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^B \gamma_{b,k-1}$, which we use as the modified $\gamma_{b,k-1}$ for all blocks. Therefore,
168 after the burn-in period, we can carry out the update $SS(\Theta_{b,k})$ in the order $b = 1, \dots, B$, so that if the
169 candidate atom in $SS(\Theta_{b,k})$ is not used for block b , it can serve as candidate atom for block $b + 1$ ($\leq B$),
170 which then does not need to generate another random variable from $q(\cdot|\gamma_{k-1})$, an obvious advantage
171 for high-dimensional and complicated states. Lai, Xu, Zhu and Chan have developed a comprehensive
172 asymptotic theory of MCMC-SS showing its asymptotic optimality with respect to computational and
173 statistical criteria, and have also derived consistent estimators of the standard errors for the Monte Carlo
174 state/parameter estimates. Details are given in Lai et al. (2021) whose main results are summarized in the
175 following and who also describe numerically stable implementation of Algorithm 3 that can be vectorized
176 and parallelized and illustrate its applications to latent variable analysis with uncertainty quantification in
177 image reconstruction and brain network development.

THEOREM 2. Suppose $\mathbb{E}_p\psi^2(\theta)$ and there exist $\beta > \alpha > 0$ and $V : \Theta^\nu \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that for $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Gamma$,

$$\int_{\Theta^\nu} V(\boldsymbol{\theta}) q(\theta^1|\gamma_0) \dots q(\theta^\nu|\gamma_0) dm^\nu(\boldsymbol{\theta}) < \infty \text{ with } \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^\nu), \text{ and} \\ \alpha V(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \lambda(\tilde{\theta}|\gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \leq \beta V(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta^\nu \text{ and } \tilde{\theta} \in \Theta,$$

178 where $\lambda(\tilde{\theta}|\gamma) = f(\tilde{\theta}|\gamma)/p(\tilde{\theta})$.

- 179 (i) Let $G_{b,k}$ be the joint distribution of $(\theta_{1,k}^b, \dots, \theta_{\nu,k}^b)$ and let Q^ν be the probability measure on Θ^ν
 180 that has the density of ν independent components each of which has density $q(\cdot|\gamma_f)$ with respect
 181 to m , where $\gamma_f = \operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} I(q_\gamma \| f)$ and $I(q \| f) = \mathbb{E}_f \{\log(q(\theta)/f(\theta))\}$ is the Kullback-Leibler
 182 divergence (or relative entropy) of q from the target density f in Algorithm 3. Then there exist positive
 183 constants a and c such that $\|G_{b,k} - Q^\nu\|_V \leq ce^{-ak}$ for $1 \leq k \leq K$, where $\|\cdot\|_V$ denotes the
 184 weighted total variation norm associated with the weight function V . Hence after $k \succ \log B$ iterations,
 185 $\sum_{b \leq B} \|G_{b,k} - Q^\nu\|_V \rightarrow 0$.
- (ii) Let $N = B(K - \kappa)$ be the total number of atoms used to define the MCMC-SS estimate of $\tilde{\psi}$ of
 $\mu = \mathbb{E}_p(\psi(\theta))$. Then as $K \rightarrow \infty$ and $B \rightarrow \infty$ such that $B = \mathcal{O}(K)$,

$$\sqrt{N\nu}(\hat{\psi} - \mu) \Rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where $\sigma^2 = \operatorname{Var}_p(\psi(\theta))$ and can be consistently estimated by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{B(K - \kappa)} \sum_{b=1}^B \sum_{k=\kappa+1}^K \frac{1}{\nu - 1} \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_{b,k}} (\psi(\theta) - \hat{\psi}_{b,k})^2.$$

As shown by Lai et al. (2021), with probability approaching 1 by large k , the candidate atom $\tilde{\theta}$ in Algorithm 3 substitutes some existing atom in $\Theta_{b,k-1}$. Hence, similar to the case of known target density p from which $\tilde{\theta}$ is sampled, the newly sampled atom features in the weighted average $\hat{\psi}_{b,k}$. The reason we need the weighted average, with ‘‘importance sampling weights’’ $w_{i,k}^b$, is that for large k , the conditional distribution of $\Theta_{b,k}$ given $\Theta_{b,k-1}$ behaves like the ν -fold product measure Q^ν on Θ^ν . This shows that importance sampling (likelihood ratio) weights $w_{i,k}^b$ are needed to convert Q to P and suggests the asymptotic optimality of $\hat{\psi}$, which is the overall average of the $B(K - \kappa)$ estimates $\hat{\psi}_{b,k}$, similar to $\hat{\mu}$ that is described for the case of known p . Each random variable generated in the MCMC-SS scheme asymptotically contributes weight $(N\nu)^{-1}$ to (a) the estimate $\hat{\psi}$ of μ and (b) the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\psi}$. Theorem 2 shows that there is in fact considerable flexibility in the choice of the factors K (the number of iterations) and B (the number of blocks) in $N = B(K - \kappa)$ that determines the scaling factor in the central limit theorem, although the theorem highlights the case $B = \mathcal{O}(K)$ to emphasize that K should not be chosen too small relative to B . Lai et al. (2021) give an application to uncertainty quantification in the following image reconstruction problem. Cotter et al. (2013) propose to use MCMC methods ‘‘whenever the target measure has density with respect to a Gaussian process or Gaussian random field reference measure’’. A wide range of applications involving such a framework considers Bayesian inference on a latent random field $\{u(x) : x \in D\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ generated by some stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) in which D is a connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$, based on data generated by some nonlinear function of the random field. It is shown that after discretization and truncation to fit into this framework, the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the target measure P with respect to the reference measure Q has the form

$$(dQ/dP)(u) \propto \exp(-l(u))$$

- 186 for some real-valued function l , which Cotter et al. (2013) call ‘‘potential’’ in their substantive applications.
 187 The advantage of using a zero-mean Gaussian random field reference measure Q is that it is specified by the
 188 covariance operator \mathcal{C} whose eigenvalues λ_i and orthonormal eigenfunctions ϕ_i yield the Karhunen-Loève
 189 expansion $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i \phi_i(x)$, with i.i.d. ξ_i that are $N(0, \lambda_i^2)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2 < \infty$. Cotter et al. (2013)

190 use a random truncation τ with a sieve prior to convert the infinite-dimensional expansion to a finite sum
191 $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \xi_i \phi_i(x)$. In addition, a discrete approximation of the random field $u(x)$ is used, with x taken
192 over a mesh of width δ in each coordinate.

193 MCMC-SS uses a parametric family of Gaussian proposal measures $Q(\gamma)$ instead of a single one Q by
194 Cotter et al. (2013). Putting $1/L(\theta) = \exp(-l(u(x)))$, we can also incorporate the random truncation
195 τ and possibly also other random effects ρ into the state $\theta = (\tau, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\tau}, \rho)$, where $\zeta_j = \mathcal{G}(u(x_j))$,
196 $j = 1, \dots, \tau$, and \mathcal{G} is an operator associated with the SPDE and the discretization scheme for which
197 x_j belongs to a discrete subset of D . With this definition of θ , MCMC-SS uses the updating procedure
198 described in Algorithm 3. Cotter et al. (2013, Sect. 4.2) have argued that simply applying MCMC
199 to a discretized random field leads to a singular reference measure with respect to the target measure.
200 However, the MCMC procedure they consider is the random walk Metropolis algorithm that involves
201 the acceptance probability $a(u, v) = \min\{1, (d\eta^*/d\eta)(u, v)\}$, where η is the measure defined by the
202 transition kernel $q(u, v)$ of the MCMC algorithm (i.e., $v|u \sim q(u, \cdot)$) and η^* is the measure obtained by
203 reversing the roles of u and v in the definition of η . Their Theorem 6.3 shows that after discretization,
204 η^* is singular with respect to η and therefore “all proposal moves are rejected with probability 1” for
205 the random walk Metropolis algorithm, which proposes $v^{(k)} = u^{(k)} + \beta\xi^{(k)}$, with $\xi^{(k)} \sim N(0, C)$, and
206 chooses $u^{(k+1)} = v^{(k)}$ with probability $a(u^{(k)}, v^{(k)})$, setting $u^{(k+1)} = u^{(k)}$ if $v^{(k)}$ is rejected. To get
207 around this difficulty, they introduce a pre-conditioned Crank-Nicolson (pCN) adjustment, which proposes
208 $v^{(k)} = \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}u^{(k)} + \beta\xi^{(k)}$. Here $\beta^2 = 8\delta/(2 + \delta)^2$ and C is the covariance matrix (after truncation and
209 discretization) of the covariance operator \mathcal{C} for the Gaussian proposal measure. Because MCMC-SS does
210 not involve η and η^* , it does not require the pCN adjustments; see Lai et al. (2021) for details and further
211 discussion.

212 Making use of bounds on a weighted total variation norm of the difference between the target distribution
213 and the empirical measure defined by the sample paths of the MCMC procedure, Lai et al. (2021) have
214 developed an asymptotic theory of the MCMC-SS estimates, as both K and N approach ∞ , of functionals of
215 the target distribution. This asymptotic theory includes asymptotic normality of the MCMC-SS estimates,
216 provides consistent estimators of their standard errors, and establishes their asymptotic optimality by
217 deriving certain oracle properties. Implementation via sequential Monte Carlo schemes called “particle
218 filters” and parallelization is also given. In his Ph.D. thesis, Zhu who is a coauthor of Lai et al. (2021)
219 describes a numerically stable implementation of MCMC-SS that can be vectorized and parallelized, using
220 Julia v0.62 (Bezanson et al., 2017) and the ArrayFire GPU library (Yalamanchili et al., 2015). He also
221 develops scalable implementations for high-dimensional states/parameters using differentiation through
222 mixture distributions for stochastic gradient descent; see Zhu (2021).

223 In the context of cortical organoids described in the first paragraph of Section 2, the target distribution is
224 the posterior distribution of a precomputed feature of the organoid as a scaled-down model of the preterm
225 human brain, conditional on the observations which are the 101 serial EEG recordings from 39 preterm
226 infants. The uncertainty quantification (Lai et al., 2021) of the posterior distribution of a precomputed
227 feature of cortical organoids provides a principled and systematic approach to the comparison of the
228 feature between cortical organoids and the observations from the preterm infants, in contrast to the lack
229 of uncertainty quantification for the approach and results of Trujillo et al. (2019, pp. 8-9 and Fig. 4A, B,
230 C, D on p. 31) mentioned in the first paragraph of Section 2. Moreover, the methods of time-frequency
231 analysis in the preceding subsection can be used to compute the predictive distribution of the feature of
232 the cortical organoids given the observations, which is the same as the target distribution. The predictive
233 distribution typically also involves an unspecified hyperparameter vector θ , as in manifold learning of

234 Wang et al. (2020). This corresponds to a Bayesian approach with prior densities belonging to a family
235 of proposal densities $q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\gamma)$, in which $\gamma \in \Gamma$ indexes the family and Γ is a convex subset of \mathcal{R}^d . Lai et al.
236 (2021) have shown that MCMC-SS eventually samples from $q(\cdot|\gamma_p)$ that has the smallest Kullback-Leibler
237 divergence from $p(\cdot)$, and therefore from the target density if it belongs to $\{q(\cdot|\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

3 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

238 Haddad and Lai actually initiated similar research forty years ago when they worked on cardiorespiratory
239 patterns during sleep in a SIDS (Sudden Infant Death Syndrome) project at Columbia University's Pediatrics
240 Department; see Haddad et al. (1981) who describe the study population consisting of 12 infants "with one
241 or more episodes of aborted SIDS" (four of whom had siblings who died of SIDS), and 19 normal infants,
242 all born full-term except for one aborted SIDS infant born at 37 weeks of gestation. After describing the
243 study design and methods of statistical analysis. Haddad et al. (1981) presented results on total tidal volume
244 (V_t), respiratory cycle time (T_{tot}), and increase in V_t/T_{tot} resulting from 2% increase in CO_2 concentration
245 in sleeping chamber, comparing aborted SIDS to normal infants in both REM (rapid eye movement) and
246 quiet sleep. Because of the inability to induce stress such as loaded breathing as in Bazy and Haddad
247 (1984) and Haddad et al. (1986), animal models involving sheep, puppies and dogs were used; see also
248 Haddad et al. (1984). In particular, Bazy and Haddad (1984) "studied diaphragmatic muscle function
249 during inspiratory flow resistive loaded breathing" in 6 unanesthetized sheep over periods of 6-8 months.
250 Data were collected (baseline) and after application of the loads that were sustained for up to 90 minutes.
251 Loads were divided into mild ($< 50 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{l}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}$), moderate ($50\text{-}150 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{l}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}$) and severe (> 150
252 $\text{cmH}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{l}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}$). They found that "(1) the diaphragm is capable of generating large pressure for prolonged
253 periods with no evidence of fatigue, (2) with very high inspiratory resistive loads mechanical failure of
254 the diaphragm can occur, (3) diaphragmatic fatigue is associated with acute hypercapnia and therefore
255 failure of the entire respiratory pump, and (4) a decrease in integrated EMG (iEMG) and a concomitant
256 shift in the EMG power spectral density towards lower frequencies precede the mechanical failure of the
257 diaphragm." Thus, similar to the power spectral density of the EEG signal in the first paragraph of Section
258 2, Bazy and Haddad use shift of the power spectrum of the EMG towards lower frequencies to identify
259 the onset of diaphragmatic muscle fatigue in adult sheep. The frontier methods of time-frequency analysis
260 in Section 2.1 are therefore also relevant to the problem of diaphragmatic muscle fatigue and rhythmic
261 variations in cardiorespiratory signals studied by Haddad and Lai forty years ago. Pointing out that in the
262 1980s "investigators from various disciplines focused their efforts on finding out whether SIDS is related
263 to hypoxia or anoxia (acute or chronic) before death and whether this relation is responsible for events
264 leading to death", Haddad (1992) reviewed "studies in the recent past" from various fields — epidemiology,
265 physiology of infant death and SIDS, pathology of the airway, and animal studies. Although "most of the
266 evidence accumulated so far, including that obtained in the past two years, is circumstantial", he concluded
267 that "SIDS was little understood for many years until, over the past few years, its basic underlying genetic
268 defect was better characterized (from recent animal and human studies), and light could finally be seen at
269 the end of the tunnel", again linking genetics and feedback mechanisms to see this light, as in the exemplary
270 work of Hall, Rosbach and Young on the circadian rhythm. Combining various clues and insights from
271 different areas/studies via an empirical Bayes model is the capability of the frontier approach described in
272 Section 2.2; see Chen et al. (2018, Sects 3.6.3, 5.4, 6.2.3, 7.4) for post-marketing monitoring of medical
273 product safety.

274 A related direction of our ongoing research is to combine several biomedical signals, which form a
275 multivariate time series, thereby providing a more holographic view of a human subject. For example, in
276 a intensive care unit, PPG can be combined with EEG, EMG, respiratory and other signals to evaluate a

277 patient's health status. Liu et al. (2019, 2021) have applied a combination of ST and EEG channels to study
278 sleep dynamics, and an "interpretable machine learning algorithm" to assess consistency of sleep-stage
279 scoring rules across multiple sleep centers. How to utilize available information from multiple centers is a
280 sensor fusion problem. We are currently combining recent advances in sensor fusion with those in TFA to
281 develop integrated statistical analysis of the multivariate time series of multiple biomedical signals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

282 We thank Lai's research assistant Chenru Liu at Stanford University for her valuable contributions to the
283 preparation, timely submission and revision of the paper. We also thank the reviewers whose innovative
284 comments and suggestions have resulted in substantial improvement of the presentation. Lai's research was
285 supported by the National Science Foundation under DMS-1811818. Haddad's research was supported
286 by the National Institutes of Health under 1R01HL146530 and 1R21NS111270. Moutri's research was
287 supported by the National Institutes of Health under DP2-OD006495.

REFERENCES

- 288 Andrieu, C., Doucet, A., and Holenstein, R. (2010). Particle Markov chain Monte Carlo methods. *Journal*
289 *of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, 72(3):269–342.
- 290 Bazy, A. R. and Haddad, G. G. (1984). Diaphragmatic fatigue in unanesthetized adult sheep. *Journal of*
291 *Applied Physiology*, 57(1):182–190.
- 292 Bezanson, J., Edelman, A., Karpinski, S., and Shah, V. B. (2017). Julia: A fresh approach to numerical
293 computing. *SIAM Review*, 59(1):65–98.
- 294 Chan, H. P. and Lai, T. L. (2013). A general theory of particle filters in hidden Markov models and some
295 applications. *Annals of Statistics*, 41(6):2877–2904.
- 296 Chen, J., Heyse, J. F., and Lai, T. L. (2018). *Medical Product Safety Evaluation: Biological Models and*
297 *Statistical Methods*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton FL.
- 298 Chopin, N. (2002). A sequential particle filter method for static models. *Biometrika*, 89(3):539–552.
- 299 Chopin, N., Jacob, P. E., and Papaspiliopoulos, O. (2013). SMC2: an efficient algorithm for sequential
300 analysis of state space models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, 75(3):397–426.
- 301 Cotter, S. L., Roberts, G. O., Stuart, A. M., and White, D. (2013). MCMC methods for functions: modifying
302 old algorithms to make them faster. *Statistical Science*, 28:424–446.
- 303 Daubechies, I., Lu, J., and Wu, H. T. (2011). Synchrosqueezed wavelet transforms: an empirical mode
304 decomposition-like tool. *Appl Comput Harmon Anal*, 30:243–261.
- 305 Daubechies, I., Wang, Y., and Wu, H. T. (2016). ConceFT: concentration of frequency and time via a
306 multitapered synchrosqueezed transform. *Philos Trans Roy Soc A-Math Phys Eng Sci*, 374:20150193.
- 307 Flandrin, P. (1999). Time-frequency/time-scale analysis. In *Wavelet analysis and its applications*, 10.
- 308 Gordon, N. J., Salmond, D. J., and Smith, A. F. (1993). Novel approach to nonlinear/non-Gaussian
309 Bayesian state estimation. In *IEE Proceedings F (Radar and Signal Processing)*, volume 140, pages
310 107–113.
- 311 Haddad, G. G. (1992). The multifaceted sudden infant death syndrome: controversies and hope. *Current*
312 *Opinion in Pediatrics*, 4(3):426–430.
- 313 Haddad, G. G., Jeng, H. J., Bazy, A. R., and Lai, T. L. (1986). Within-breath electromyographic changes
314 during loaded breathing in adult sheep. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 61(4):1316–1321.
- 315 Haddad, G. G., Jeng, H. J., Lee, S. H., and Lai, T. L. (1984). Rhythmic variations in R-R interval during
316 sleep and wakefulness in puppies and dogs. *American Journal of Physiology: Heart and Circulatory*
317 *Physiology*, 247(1):H67–H73.
- 318 Haddad, G. G., Leistner, H., Lai, T. L., and Mellins, R. B. (1981). Ventilation and ventilatory pattern
319 during sleep in aborted sudden infant death syndrome. *Pediatric Research*, 15(5):879–883.
- 320 Huang, N. E., Shen, Z., R., L. S., C., W. M., Shih, H., Zheng, Q., Yen, N. C., Tung, C. C., and Liu, H.
321 (1998). The empirical mode decomposition and the hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary
322 time series analysis. *Proc R Soc London Ser A-Math Phys Eng Sci*, 454:903–995.

-
- 323 Lai, T. L., Xu, H., Zhu, M. H., and Chan, H. P. (2021). MCMC with sequential substitutions for joint
324 state and parameter estimation in hidden Markov models. *Technical Report, Department of Statistics,*
325 *Stanford University.*
- 326 Lin, C. Y., Su, L., and Wu, H. T. (2018). Wave-shape function analysis – when cepstrum meets time-
327 frequency analysis. *J Fourier Anal Appl*, **24**:451–505.
- 328 Lin, Y. T., John, M., and Wu, H. T. (2021). Wave-shape oscillatory model for biomedical time series with
329 applications. *Foundations of Data Science.*
- 330 Liu, G. R., Lin, T. Y., Wu, H. T., Sheu, Y. C., Liu, C. L., Liu, W. T., Yang, M. C., Ni, Y. L., Chou, K. T.,
331 Chen, C. H., Wu, D., Lan, C. C., Chiu, K. L., Chiu, H. Y., and Lo, Y. L. (2021). Large-scale assessment
332 of consistency in sleep stage scoring rules among multiple sleep centers using an interpretable machine
333 learning algorithm. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*, **17**(2):159–166.
- 334 Liu, G. R., Lo, Y. L., Malik, J., Sheu, Y. C., and Wu, H. T. (2019). Diffuse to fuse EEG spectra–Intrinsic
335 geometry of sleep dynamics for classification. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, **55**(5):101576.
- 336 Liu, J. S. (2008). *Monte Carlo Strategies in Scientific Computing, 2nd ed.* Springer, New York.
- 337 Mallat, S. (2012). Group invariant scattering. *Pure Appl Math*, **10**:1331–1398.
- 338 Nahon, M. (2000). Phase evaluation and segmentation. *PhD thesis, New Haven: Yale University.*
- 339 Shelley, K. H. (2007). Photoplethysmography: beyond the calculation of arterial oxygen saturation and
340 heart rate. *Anesth. Analg.*, **105**:531–536.
- 341 Trujillo, C. A., Gao, R., Negraes, P. D., Gu, J., Buchanan, J., Preissl, S., Wang, A., Wu, W., Haddad, G. G.,
342 Chaim, I. A., Domissy, A., Vandenberghe, M., Devor, A., Yeo, G. W., Voytek, B., and Muotri, A. R.
343 (2019). Complex oscillatory waves emerging from cortical organoids model early human brain network
344 development. *Cell Stem Cell*, **25**(4):558–569.
- 345 Wang, S. C., Wu, H. T., Huang, P. H., Chang, C. H., Ting, C. K., and Lin, Y. T. (2020). Novel imaging
346 revealing inner dynamics for cardiovascular waveform analysis via unsupervised manifold learning.
347 *Anesth. Analg.*, **130**:1244–1254.
- 348 Wu, H. T. (2020). Current state of nonlinear-type time-frequency analysis and applications to high-
349 frequency biomedical signals. *Current Opinion in Systems Biology*, **23**:8–21.
- 350 Yalamanchili, P., Arshad, U., Mohammed, Z., Garigipati, P., Entschew, P., Kloppenborg, B., Malcolm, J.,
351 and Melonakos, J. (2015). ArrayFire - A high performance software library for parallel computing with
352 an easy-to-use API.
- 353 Zhu, M. (2021). Improving and accelerating particle-based probabilistic inference. *Ph.D. dissertation in*
354 *Computer Science, Stanford University.*