

# INTRODUCTION TO CYCLIC COVERS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

YI ZHANG

## CONTENTS

1. Main result	1
2. Preliminary on commutative Algebra	3
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1	8
References	13

## 1. MAIN RESULT

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , let  $G_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$  be the cyclic group of order  $n$  and let  $\xi_n \in \mu_n := \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid x^n = 1\}$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Then  $G_n$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $\mu_n$  by  $\sigma \mapsto \xi_n$  for any integer  $n \geq 1$ .

For any non-singular complex variety  $Y$  with an invertible sheaf  $L$  and an effective divisor  $D = \sum_{j=1}^r \nu_j D_j$  satisfying  $L^n = \mathcal{O}_Y(D)$  for a positive integer  $n$ , we obtain a cyclic cover  $\pi : Z \rightarrow Y$  by taking the  $n$ -th root out of  $D$  as follows : Let

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus L^{-1} \oplus L^{-2} \oplus \dots \oplus L^{-(n-1)}$$

and let  $s$  be a section of  $L^n$  defining the divisor  $D$ . The  $\mathcal{F}$  becomes an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra generated by  $L^{-1}$  due to the following laws :

- $L^{-a} \otimes L^{-b} = L^{-(a+b)}$ ;

---

<sup>1</sup>The author is supported in part by the NSFC Grant NSFC Grant(#11271070) and LNMS of Fudan University

*Date:* May 2012.

- for any positive integer  $m$  expressed as  $m = ln + k, 0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , one fixes an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -homomorphism  $L^{-m} \rightarrow L^{-k} h \mapsto hs^l$ .

Then we get a finite and flat morphism  $p : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow Y$  of degree  $n$  ramified at  $D$ . The composite morphism  $\pi := p \circ \text{Nor}$  of the morphism  $p$  and the normalization  $\text{Nor} : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F})$  is called the **cyclic cover over  $Y$  obtained by taking the  $n$ -th root out of  $D$** .

There is a well-known result as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (see [EV],[K85]). *Let  $X$  be a non-singular complex variety, let  $D = \sum_{j=1}^r \nu_j D_j$  be an effective divisor and let  $L$  be an invertible sheaf with  $L^{N_0} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  for a positive integer  $N_0$ .*

*Let  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  be the cyclic cover obtained by taking the  $N_0$ -th root out of  $D$  and let  $G$  be the cyclic group  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  of order  $N_0$ . We have :*

1.

$$(1.1.1) \quad \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{N_0-1} L^{(k)-1} \quad \text{for } L^{(k)} := L^k \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-[\frac{kD}{N_0}])$$

where  $[\frac{kD}{N_0}]$  denotes the integral part of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\frac{kD}{N_0}$ . So that the cover  $\pi$  is a finite and flat morphism.

2.  $Z$  is normal, the singularities of  $Z$  are lying over the singularities of  $D_{\text{red}}$ .
3. The cyclic group  $G$  acts on  $Z$ . One can choose a primitive  $N_0$ -th root of unit  $\xi$  such that the sheaf  $L^{(k)}$  in 1) is the sheaf of eigenvectors for  $\sigma$  in  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z$  with eigenvalue  $\xi^k$ .
4.  $X$  is irreducible if  $L^{(k)} \neq \mathcal{O}_X$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N_0 - 1$ . In particular this holds true if the common factor of the integers  $N_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_r$  has  $\text{gcd}(N_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_r) = 1$ .
5. Assume that  $D = \sum_{j=1}^r \nu_j D_j$  is an effective normal crossing divisor. Define

$$D^{(j)} := \left\{ \frac{jD}{N_0} \right\}_{\text{red}} = \sum_{\left\{ \frac{i\nu_j}{N_0} \right\} \neq 0} D_j \quad 0 \leq i \leq N_0 - 1,$$

where  $\left\{ \frac{kD}{N_0} \right\} := \frac{kD}{N_0} - [\frac{kD}{N_0}]$  is the fractional part of  $\frac{kD}{N_0}$ . For any  $p \geq 0$ , there is

$$(1.1.2) \quad \pi_* \widehat{\Omega}_Z^p \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N_0-1} \Omega_X^p(\log D^{(i)}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-i}$$

where  $\widehat{\Omega}_Z^p$  is the reflexive hull of  $\Omega_Z^p$ . In particular,  $\Omega_X^p = (\pi_* \widehat{\Omega}_Z^p)^G$ .

6. Assume that  $X$  is a proper scheme and that  $D = \sum_{j=1}^r D_j$  is an effective normal crossing reduced divisor. There is

$$(1.1.3) \quad (\pi_* \mathcal{T}_Z)^G = \mathcal{T}_X(-\log D).$$

## 2. PRELIMINARY ON COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

As begining, we investigate the local structure of cyclic cover.

Let  $R$  be both a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and an integral normal ring, let  $K$  be the fraction field of  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $f$  be an arbitrary nonzero element in  $R$ . We have :*

- a) *Any irreducible factor of  $T^n - f$  in  $K[T]$  with coefficient 1 of the first term is of form  $T^{n'} - f' \in R[T]$  satisfying  $n'|n$  and  $f = (f')^{n/n'}$ .*
- b) *Let  $d|n$  be the maximal positive integer such that  $T^d - f$  has a root  $K$ , say  $g$ . Then  $T^{n/d} - g$  is irreducible in  $K[T]$  and  $g \in R$ .*
- c) *Assume that  $f = u f_1^{\nu_1} \cdots f_m^{\nu_m}$  is an element in  $R$  where  $f_i$ 's are prime elements in  $R$  and  $\nu_i$ 's are positive integers. Let  $d$  be an integer. Then  $T^d - f$  has a root in  $K$  if and only if  $d|\gcd(\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_m)$  and there is a unit  $u_0 \in R$  satisfying  $u_0^d = u$ .*

*Proof.* a) Let  $\phi(T) = T^m + a_{m-1}T^{m-1} + a_{m-2}T^{m-2} + \cdots + a_0 \in K[T]$  be an irreducible factor of  $T^n - f$ . Since  $R$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra,  $\phi(T)$  is separable and it has  $m$  different roots  $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m$  in an algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$ . Because every root of  $\phi(T)$  is a root of  $T^n - f$ , all  $\alpha_i$ 's are integral over  $R$ , so that the coefficients of  $\phi(T)$  are integral over  $R$ . Since  $R$  is integrally closed, we have  $\phi(T) \in R[T]$ .

Let  $y = \alpha_1$  be a root of  $g(T)$ . We have

$$T^n - f = (T - y)(T - \xi y) \cdots (T - \xi^{n-1}y) = (T - y_0)(T - y_1) \cdots (T - y_{n-1})$$

where  $\xi$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Then  $\phi(T)$  is the minimal polynomial  $\min(K, y)$  of  $y$  in  $K[T]$ , we write as

$$\phi(T) = \prod_{j=1}^m (T - y_{i_j}) \text{ with } y_{i_1} = y.$$

Thus  $a_0 = y^m \xi^l$  for some integer  $l$ , then  $f' := y^m \in R$ . Hence  $\phi(T)|T^m - y^m$  in  $K[T]$ , we must have  $\phi(T) = T^m - f'$ .

For any  $\sigma \in \mu_n = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid x^n = 1\}$ , we define  $\phi^\sigma(T) := \prod_{j=1}^m (T - \sigma y_{i_j})$ . We have that each

$$\phi^\sigma(T) = \prod_{j=1}^m (T - \sigma y_{i_j}) = T^m + \sigma a_{m-1} T^{m-1} + \sigma^2 a_{m-2} T^{m-2} + \cdots + \sigma^m a_0$$

is also an irreducible factor of  $T^n - f$  in  $K[T]$  of degree  $m$ , so that  $\phi^\sigma(T) \in R[T]$  is the minimal polynomial  $\min(K, \sigma y)$  of  $\sigma y$  in  $K[T]$ , and we have either  $\phi(T) = \phi^\sigma(T)$  or that  $\phi(T)$  has no common factor with  $\phi^\sigma(T)$  in  $\overline{K}[T]$ . We list all different elements in  $\{\phi^\sigma(T) \mid \sigma \in \mu_n\}$  as  $\phi_1(T) = \phi(T), \phi_2(T), \dots, \phi_k(T)$ . Hence, we have

$$T^n - f = \phi_1(T)\phi_2(T)\cdots\phi_k(T),$$

so that  $n = mk$ . Therefore, we must have  $\phi(T) = T^m - f'$  with  $(f')^{n/m} = f$ .

b) It is a consequence of (a).

c) If  $d \mid \gcd(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m)$  and  $u_0^d = u$  for some unit  $u_0 \in R$ , then  $T^d - f \in R[T]$  has a root  $u_0 f_1^{\nu_1/d} \cdots f_m^{\nu_m/d} \in R$ .

Suppose that there is  $g \in K$  with  $g^d = f$ . The  $T - g$  is an irreducible factor of  $T^d - f$  in  $K[T]$ , thus  $g \in R$  by (a). We write  $g_0 := g$ . Then we have

$$g_0^d = u f_1^{\nu_1} \cdots f_n^{\nu_n} b_0^d \in (f_1).$$

We then have  $g_0 = f_1 g_1$ , and get  $f_1^d g_1^d = u f_1^{\nu_1} \cdots f_n^{\nu_n}$ . Since  $f_1$  is a prime element, it is impossible that  $d > \nu_1$ . So that  $\nu_1 - d \geq 0$  and we have  $g_1^d = u f_1^{\nu_1-d} \cdots f_n^{\nu_n}$ . If  $\nu_1 - d \geq 1$ , we have  $g_1^d = u f_1^{\nu_1-d} \cdots f_n^{\nu_n} \in (f_1)$ . We deal with  $g_1$  similarly like  $g_0$ , and so on. We finally get that  $\nu_1 = dk$  for some integer  $k$ . By symmetry, we have  $d \mid \nu_i$  for other  $\nu_i$ 's. Then  $d \mid \gcd(n, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_m)$  and  $(\frac{g}{f_1^{\nu_1/d} \cdots f_n^{\nu_n/d}})^d = u$ . Again by (a), we have  $u_0 := \frac{g}{f_1^{\nu_1/d} \cdots f_n^{\nu_n/d}}$  is a unit in  $R$ . □

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $T^n - f$  be a polynomial in  $R[T]$ . We have :*

- a) *The  $T^n - f$  is irreducible in  $K[T]$  if and only if it is irreducible in  $R[T]$ .*
- b) *If  $T^n - f$  is irreducible in  $R[T]$  then it is a prime element in  $R[T]$ .*

For a polynomial  $T^n - f$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) with nonzero  $f \in R$ , let  $d \mid n$  be the maximal positive integer satisfying  $T^d - f$  has a root  $g \in K$ , we have  $T^n - f = \prod_{i=1}^d (T^{n'} - \zeta_d^i g)$  where  $\zeta_d$  is a primitive  $d$ -th root of unit and each  $T^{n'} - \zeta_d^i g$  is a prime element in  $R[T]$  for  $n' := n/d$ ; the quotient ring  $K[T]/(T^n - f)$  can be regarded as a finite  $K$ -linear space

$$K[T]/(T^n - f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} Kt^i$$

where  $t := T \bmod (T^n - f)$  can be regarded as a root of  $T^n - f$  in  $\overline{K}$ , that  $t$  is also integral over  $R$  and the ring  $R[T]/(T^n - f)$  is integral if and only if  $T^n - f$  is irreducible, the group  $G_d = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has a natural action on  $K[T]/(T^n - f)$  by  $\sigma : T \mapsto \zeta_n T$  and  $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$ , the  $\mu_n$ -invariant part of  $K[T]/(T^n - f)$  is  $K$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f$  be a nonzero element in the domain  $R$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $d|n$  the maximal positive integer such that  $T^d - f$  has a root in  $K$  and  $n' := n/d$ . For any  $\zeta \in \mu_d := \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid x^n = 1\}$ , define a polynomial*

$$P_\zeta = \frac{1}{\prod_{\zeta' \in \mu_d \setminus \zeta} (\zeta g - \zeta' g)} \frac{T^n - f}{T^{n'} - \zeta g}$$

where  $g \in K$  is a root of  $T^d - f$ .

1. In the quotient ring  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}$ , these  $P_\zeta$ 's are idempotent, i.e.  $P_\zeta \cdot P_{\zeta'} = 0$   $\zeta \neq \zeta'$ ,  $P_\zeta^2 = P_\zeta$  with  $\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_d} P_\zeta = 1$ . Moreover, the cyclic group  $G_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$  acts transitively on the set  $\{P_\zeta\}_{\zeta \in \mu_d}$  with  $(P_\zeta)^\sigma = P_{\xi^{-n'}\zeta} \forall \zeta \in \mu_d$ .
2. Let  $K_\zeta$  be the field  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^{n'} - \zeta g)}$  for each  $\zeta \in \mu_d$ . Any  $\xi \in \mu_n$  defines an isomorphism  $K_\zeta \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\xi^{n'}\zeta}$  of fields by sending  $T$  to  $\xi T$ . Moreover, there is a natural  $G_n$ -action on the algebra  $\prod_{\zeta \in \mu_d} K_\zeta$ .
3. There is a  $G_n$ -equivariant isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras

$$(2.3.1) \quad P : \prod_{\zeta \in \mu_d} \frac{K[T]}{(T^{n'} - \zeta g)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}, (\alpha_\zeta)_\zeta \mapsto \sum_\zeta \alpha_\zeta P_\zeta.$$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $T^n - f$  be a polynomial in  $R[T]$  with degree  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $A = R[T]/(T^n - f)$  be a ring and  $S := \{a \in A \mid a \text{ is not zero divisor}\}$  a multiplicative system in  $A$ . Then  $S^{-1}A = K[T]/(T^n - f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h(T) \in R[T]$  such that its image  $\bar{h}$  is not a zero-divisor in  $A$ . Consider the  $K$ -isomorphism 2.3.1, we get that  $P^{-1}(\bar{h}) = (\alpha_\zeta)_\zeta$  with  $\alpha_\zeta := h(T) \bmod (T - \zeta g)$  in  $K[T] \forall \zeta \in \mu_d$ . Thus that  $\bar{h}$  is not a zero-divisor in  $R$  if and only if for each  $\zeta \in \mu_d$  there is a  $\eta_\zeta(T) \in K[T]$  such that  $\eta_\zeta(T)h(T) = 1 \bmod (T^{n'} - \zeta g)$  in  $K[T]$ . We get  $\bar{\eta}\bar{h} = 1$  in  $K[T]/(T^n - f)$ , where  $\bar{\eta}$  is the projection image of the polynomial  $\eta(T) := \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_d} \eta_\zeta P_\zeta$ . Thus, we have that any non zero divisor in  $A$  is an unit in  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}$ . Hence,

$$S^{-1}A = S^{-1}\left(\frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}\right) = \frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}.$$

□

Consider the ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow K[T]/(T^n - f)$  for a polynomial  $T^n - f \in R[T]$  of degree  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\bar{R}$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $K[T]/(T^n - f)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary nonzero element in  $R$ . If a polynomial  $T^n - f \in R[T]$  with degree  $n \geq 1$  is irreducible in  $R[T]$  then  $\bar{R}$  is the normalization of the domain  $\frac{R[T]}{(T^n - f)}$  in the field extension  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}$  of  $K$ .*

Therefore, by Corollary 2.4 the  $\bar{R}$  is also the normalization of  $\frac{R[T]}{(T^n - f)}$  even though  $T^n - f$  is not irreducible since  $R$  is a normal integral ring.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $g$  be a nontrivial prime element in  $R$ . Then the principal prime ideal  $(g)$  is of height one.*

*Proof.* We claim that any nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  has an irreducible element. Suppose the claim is not true. Let  $a_0$  be any nonzero element in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $a_0 = bc$  such that both  $b$  and  $c$  are not unit. Then one of  $b, c$  is in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , say  $a_1$ . The  $a_1$  is also irreducible and  $(a_0) \subsetneq (a_1) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . We do same steps for  $a_1$  as dealing with  $a_0$  and so on. Thus we have an strictly increasing sequence of ideals

$$(a_0) \subsetneq (a_1) \subsetneq (a_2) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p},$$

which contradicts that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring.

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any nontrivial prime ideal of  $R$  such that  $0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} \subset (g)$ . Let  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  be an irreducible element. We have  $a = gc$  due to  $a \in (g)$ , but  $c$  is a unit since  $a$  is irreducible. Hence  $\mathfrak{p} = (g)$ .  $\square$

For any height one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ , the local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR, thus we can define  $\text{div}(x)$  for any  $x \in K$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *Assume a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  is integral and normal. Let  $K$  be the fraction field of  $R$ . Let  $f = uf_1^{\nu_1} \cdots f_l^{\nu_l}$  ( $\forall \nu_i \geq 1$ ) be an element in  $R$  such that  $f_i$ 's are different prime elements in  $R$  and  $u$  is a unit in  $R$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and  $d|n$  the maximal positive integer such that  $T^d - f$  has a root in  $K$ , say  $g$ , and denote by  $n' := n/d$ .*

1. *With respect to the injective ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}$ , the integral closure of  $R$  is*

$$(2.7.1) \quad \bar{R} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} t^i f_1^{-[\frac{\nu_1 i}{n}]} \cdots f_l^{-[\frac{\nu_l i}{n}]} R$$

where  $t := T \pmod{(T^n - f)}$ . Moreover, the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has a natural action on  $\bar{R}$  by defining  $\sigma : t \mapsto \xi_n t, \sigma|_R = \text{id}_R$ , and the  $G_n$ -invariant part of  $\bar{R}$  is

$$\bar{R}^{\mathbb{Z}_n} = R.$$

2. *Let  $\bar{R}_{\zeta}$  be normalization of an integral ring  $R_{\zeta} := \frac{R[T]}{(T^{n'} - \zeta g)}$  in  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^{n'} - \zeta g)}$  for each  $\zeta \in \mu_d$ . Then*

$$(2.7.2) \quad \bar{R}_{\zeta} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n'-1} t_{\zeta}^i f_1^{-[\frac{\nu_1 i}{n}]} \cdots f_l^{-[\frac{\nu_l i}{n}]} R$$

where  $t_\zeta := T \bmod (T^n - \zeta g)$ . Moreover,  $R \rightarrow \bar{R}_\zeta$  is Galois, with Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

3. The group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has a natural action on the product  $R$ -algebra  $\prod_{\zeta \in \mu_d} \bar{R}_\zeta$ . The group permutes the factors of the decomposition, and there is a  $G_n$ -equivariant isomorphism of  $R$ -algebras

$$\prod_{\zeta \in \mu_d} \bar{R}_\zeta \longrightarrow \bar{R}, (\alpha_\zeta)_\zeta \mapsto \sum_{\zeta} \alpha_\zeta P_\zeta.$$

*Proof.* For any  $x \in K$ , it is easy to verify the following conditions are equivalent :

- i.  $x^n f^i \in R$ ,
- ii.  $n \operatorname{div}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^l i \nu_j \operatorname{div}(f_j) \geq 0$  (we use  $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$ ).
- iii.  $\operatorname{div}(x f_1^{[\frac{\nu_1 i}{n}]} \cdots f_l^{[\frac{\nu_l i}{n}]}) + \sum_{j=1}^l (\frac{i \nu_j}{n} - [\frac{\nu_j i}{n}]) [f_j] \geq 0$  (we use that  $(f_i)$ 's are prime ideal of height one and so that  $\operatorname{div}(f_j)$  is the Weil divisor  $[f_j] := \operatorname{Zero}(f_j)$ ),
- iv.  $\operatorname{div}(x f_1^{[\frac{\nu_1 i}{n}]} \cdots f_l^{[\frac{\nu_l i}{n}]}) \geq 0$  (we use that  $\operatorname{div}(x f_1^{[\frac{\nu_1 i}{n}]} \cdots f_l^{[\frac{\nu_l i}{n}]})$  has integral coefficients and  $f_i$ 's are prime elements),
- v.  $x f_1^{[\frac{\nu_1 i}{n}]} \cdots f_l^{[\frac{\nu_l i}{n}]} \in R$ .

1. Thus there is

$$\{x \in K; x^n f^i \in R\} = f_1^{-[\frac{\nu_1 i}{n}]} \cdots f_l^{-[\frac{\nu_l i}{n}]} R.$$

We now show

$$\bar{R} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \{x \in K; x^n f^i \in R\} t^i.$$

Let  $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i t^i$  ( $x_i \in K$ ) be an element in  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}$ . We claim that  $\alpha \in \bar{R}$  if and only if  $x_i t^i \in \bar{R}$  for every  $i$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in \bar{R}$ . We have  $\alpha^\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^i x_i t^i \in \bar{R}$ , for every  $\zeta \in \mu_n$ . Let  $\mu_n = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n\}$ . The Vandermonde determinant

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_1^0 & \zeta_1^1 & \cdots & \zeta_1^{n-1} \\ \zeta_2^0 & \zeta_2^1 & \cdots & \zeta_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \zeta_n^0 & \zeta_n^1 & \cdots & \zeta_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\zeta_j - \zeta_i)$$

is a unit in  $R$ , so that each  $x_i t^i$  is a combination of the  $\alpha^\zeta$ 's with coefficients in  $R$  by Cramer's rule. Therefore  $x_i t^i \in \bar{R}$ . The converse is obvious.

Let  $x \in K$  and  $0 \leq i < n$ . We claim that  $xt^i \in \bar{R}$  if and only if  $x^n f^i \in R$ . Indeed, we have that  $xt^i \in \bar{R}$  if and only if  $y = (xt^i)^n \in \bar{R}$ . Due to

$$y = (xt^i)^n = x^n (t^n)^i = x^n f^i \in K,$$

We have that  $y \in \bar{R}$  if and only if  $y \in K \cap \bar{R} = R$  since  $R$  is integrally closed in  $K$ .

2. By the statement (1), the integral closure of  $R$  in  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^{n'} - \zeta g)}$  is the integrally closed domain  $\bar{R}_\zeta = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n'-1} \{x \in K; x^{n'} (\zeta g)^j \in R\} t_\zeta^j$ . Since  $R$  is integrally closed in  $K$ ,  $x^{n'} (\zeta g)^j \in R$  if and only if  $(x^{n'} (\zeta g)^j)^d \in R$ . That is  $x^n f^j \in R$ . Therefore

$$\bar{R}_\zeta = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n'-1} \{x \in K; x^n f^j \in R\} t_\zeta^j.$$

3. In the ring  $\frac{K[T]}{(T^n - f)}$ , we have that  $f(\sum_\zeta \alpha_\zeta P_\zeta) = \sum_\zeta f(\alpha_\zeta) P_\zeta$  for any polynomial  $f \in R[T]$ . Hence that  $\sum_\zeta \alpha_\zeta P_\zeta$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if each  $\alpha_\zeta \in \frac{K[T]}{(T^{n'} - \zeta g)}$  is integral over  $\frac{R[T]}{(T^{n'} - \zeta g)}$ . The product decomposition of Lemma 2.3 induces an isomorphism of  $R$ -algebras  $\prod_{\zeta \in \mu_d} \bar{R}_\zeta \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{R}$ .

□

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Now we investigate the local structure of cyclic cover.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $U := \text{Spec} R$  be an irreducible complex normal affine variety of dimension  $m$  and  $f = u f_1^{\nu_1} \cdots f_e^{\nu_e}$  ( $\forall \nu_i \geq 0$ ) an element in  $R$  such that  $f_i$ 's are prime elements in  $R$  and  $u$  is a unit in  $R$ . Let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^e \nu_i D_i$  is zero locus of  $f$ , i.e.,  $D_i = \text{Zero}(f_i) \forall i$ .*

Denote by  $U[\sqrt[n]{f}] := \{(p, y) \in U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \mid y^n = f(p)\}$  for an integer  $n \geq 2$ .

- a) *Let  $p_0$  be a smooth closed point of  $U$ . Then  $U[\sqrt[n]{f}]$  is singular at a point  $(p_0, *)$  if and only if  $p_0 \in \text{Sing}(D)$ . Moreover,  $U[\sqrt[n]{f}]$  is smooth if and only if  $U$  is smooth and  $D$  is reduced with only one smooth component.*
- b) *Assume that  $U$  is smooth. If  $D = \nu_1 D_1$  and  $f = u f_1^{\nu_1}$  ( $\nu_1 \geq 1$ ) then the normalization  $\bar{U}[\sqrt[n]{f}]$  of  $U[\sqrt[n]{f}]$  has only singularities over  $\text{Sing}(D_1)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of (a) is directly follows from Jacobi's criterion : Let  $(Z_1, \cdots, Z_m)$  be an regular parameter near an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $p_0$ . Let

$$F(Z_0, Z_1, \cdots, Z_m) = Z_0^n - f(Z_1, \cdots, Z_m).$$



The point  $q := (p_0, y_0)$  in  $U[\sqrt[n]{f}]$  is singular if and only if the  $F$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} F(q) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_0}(q) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_1}(q) = \cdots = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z_m}(q) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove the (a).

Now  $R = A(U)$  is a regular algebra. The problem (b) is now reduced to show that the  $\overline{U}[\sqrt[n]{f}]$  is smooth if the  $D_1$  is a smooth divisor. In case of  $\nu = 0, 1$  the (b) is obvious by (a.) With lost of generality, we assume that  $\gcd(n, \nu) = 1$ . Let  $R$  be the ring corresponding to the  $U$ . By Theorem 2.7, We get  $\overline{U}[\sqrt[n]{f}] = \text{Spec}(\overline{R})$  such that

$$\overline{R} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} t^i f_1^{-[\frac{\nu i}{n}]} R$$

where  $t := T \pmod{(T^n - u f_1^{\nu_1})}$ .

Since  $\gcd(n, \nu) = 1$ , we have a ring-isomorphism  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\times \nu_1} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$ , and so we can get  $a \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  with  $a\nu_1 = 1 + ln$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $g = t^a f_1^{-[\frac{a\nu_1}{n}]}$ . We get that

$$g^n = u^a f_1 \quad \text{and} \quad t = u^{-l} g^{\nu_1}.$$

Thus

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} g^i R \cong \frac{R[W]}{(W^n - u^a f_1)}$$

is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $\overline{R}$ . Moreover, we have a tower of inclusions of  $R$ -algebras

$$R \xrightarrow{\subset} \frac{R[T]}{(T^n - u f_1^{\nu_1})} \xrightarrow[T \mapsto u^{-l} g^{\nu_1}]{\subset} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} g^i R \xrightarrow{\subset} \overline{R}.$$

such that the integral domains  $\frac{R[T]}{(T^n - u f_1^{\nu_1})}$ ,  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} g^i R$  and  $\overline{R}$  all have same quotient field.

Since the algebra  $\frac{R[W]}{(W^n - u^a f_1)}$  is regular by (a) and the algebra  $\overline{R}$  is normal, we have to get

$$\overline{R} \cong \frac{R[W]}{(W^n - u^a f_1)}.$$

Therefore the  $\overline{U}[\sqrt[n]{f}]$  is smooth. □

We only need to prove the statements 5) and 6) of Theorem 1.1.

Let  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$  and let  $\xi$  be a primitive root of  $x^{N_0} - 1$ . Since  $\pi$  is étale outside of  $D$ , there is

$$\pi_* \widehat{\Omega_Z^p}|_{X-D} \cong (\Omega_X^p \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z)|_{X-D}$$

and the isomorphism 1.1.2 holds over  $X - D$ . On the other hand, the  $\pi_* \widehat{\Omega_Z^p}$  is a reflexive sheaf on  $X$  by the following lemma 3.2, thus it is sufficient to check the assertion 1.1.2 only in codimension one on  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([H80]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on a normal integral scheme  $X$ . The followin two conditions are equivalent:*

- i.  $\mathcal{F}$  is reflexive;
- ii.  $\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free, and is normal, i.e., for every open set  $U \subset X$  and every closed subset  $B \subset U$  of codimension 2, the restriction map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U - B)$  is bijective.

Without lost of generality, we may assume that the  $D_{\text{red}}$  is smooth, moreover there is a local regular parameter  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  of  $X$  such that

$$X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]), \quad D = (x_1^a).$$

Then  $X' = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d, t]/(T^{N_0} - ux_1^a))$  where  $u$  is a unit in the domain  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  and  $Z$  is normalization of  $X'$ .

Let  $R := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d]$  a normal integral ring. Let  $A := \frac{R[T]}{(T^{N_0} - ux_1^a)}$  and  $B$  the normalization of  $A$ . As  $\Omega_B^1$  is generated as a  $B$ -module, by the image  $d : B \rightarrow \Omega_B^1$ . We know as that

$$\wedge^p \Omega_B^1 = \{b_0 db_1 \wedge db_2 \cdots \wedge db_p \mid b_i \in B \ i = 1, \dots, N_0 - 1\}.$$

Let  $t := T \bmod (T^{N_0} - ux_1^a)$ . By Proposition 2.7 we have that  $B = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N_0-1} q_i R$  as an  $R$ -module and that the group  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has a natural action on  $\wedge^p \Omega_B^1$ , where  $q_i := t^i x_1^{-[\frac{ai}{N_0}]}$   $0 \leq i \leq N_0 - 1$ . Since

$$q_i q_j = q_{i+j} := t^{i+j} x_1^{-[\frac{a(i+j)}{N_0}]} x_1^{[\frac{ai}{N_0}] - [\frac{aj}{N_0}]} \quad \forall i, j \geq 0,$$

we have that  $q_i q_j \in q_{i+j} R$  if  $i + j < N_0$  and that  $q_i q_j \in q_{i+j-n} R$  if  $i + j \geq N_0$ . Let  $\xi$  be the primitive  $N_0$ -th root of unit as we choose in the statement 2). We then

have an decomposition of  $\wedge^p \Omega_B^1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N_0-1} Q_i$  such that each  $Q_i$  is the  $R$ -submodule of eigenvectors for  $\sigma$  in  $\wedge^p \Omega_B^1$  with eigenvalue  $\xi^i$ . We now find out these  $Q_i$ 's. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dt}{t} &= \frac{a}{N_0} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \\ dq_i &= \left\{ \frac{ai}{N_0} \right\} q_i \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, each  $b \in B$  can be written uniquely as  $b = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0-1} q_i r_i$  with each  $r_i \in R$  and so  $db = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0-1} (q_i dr_i + r_i dq_i)$ . For each  $0 \leq i \leq N_0 - 1$ , the elements

$$t^i x_1^{-[\frac{ia}{N_0}]} dy_{e_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{e_p}, \quad e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_p < d \text{ for } e_1 \neq 1,$$

with

$$\begin{cases} t^i x_1^{-[\frac{ia}{N_0}]} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge dx_{e_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{e_p}, & 1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_p < d \quad \text{if } \{\frac{ai}{N_0}\} \neq 0 \\ t^i x_1^{-[\frac{ia}{N_0}]} dx_1 \wedge dx_{e_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{e_p}, & 1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_p < d \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

becomes an  $R$ -basis of the module  $Q_i$ . In particular,  $G$ -invariant submodule of  $\wedge^p \Omega_B^1$  has  $Q_0 = \wedge^p \Omega_R^1$ . Therefore, we get the formula 1.1.2 and  $\Omega_X^p = (\pi_* \widehat{\Omega_Z^p})^G$ .

Now we are going to prove the statement 6). For the normal varieties  $X$  and  $Z$ , by definition, the tangent sheaf

$$\mathcal{T}_Z := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Omega_Z^1, \mathcal{O}_Z)$$

is a reflexive sheaf which is composed of derivations from  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  to  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D^{(1)})$  is the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  of consisting of derivations which send the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $D^{(1)}$  into itself. Since  $X$  is smooth, we get(see [D72]) that

$$\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D^{(1)}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1(\log D^{(1)}), \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Suppose  $X$  is proper and  $D$  is reduced. Then  $D = D^{(i)}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N_0 - 1$  and by 1)  $Z$  is also a proper scheme. Thus the dualizing sheaf  $\omega_Z = \pi^! \omega_X$  has a natural  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -module(see Proposition 5.68. [KM]). Because  $\pi$  is an affine morphism, we can define an equivalent functor  $\sim$  of categories between the category of quasi-coherent  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules and the category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -module(see Ex. II. 5.17(e) [Hart]). Since  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z$  is locally free, we have

$$\pi^! \omega_X := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z, \omega_X)^\sim = (\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X)^\sim = \pi^! \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \pi^* \omega_X.$$

Thus the relative dualizing sheaf  $\omega_{Z/X} = \pi^! \mathcal{O}_X$ .

We claim that

$$\omega_{Z/X} = \pi^* L^{(N_0-1)} = \pi^*(L^{N_0-1}).$$

We can take a local regular parameter  $(x_1, \cdots, x_d)$  of  $X$  such that

$$X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_d]), \quad D = (x_1 \cdots x_k).$$

Thus we get that

$$X' = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_d, t]/(T^{N_0} - x_1 \cdots x_k))$$

and  $Z$  is the normalization of  $X'$ . Let

$$R := \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d] \text{ and } A := \frac{R[T]}{(T^{N_0} - x_1 \cdots x_k)},$$

and let  $B$  be the normalization of  $A$ . By Proposition 2.7 we have that

$$B = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N_0-1} p_i R$$

as an  $R$ -module where  $\{p_i := t^i\}_{i=0, \dots, N_0-1}$  is an  $R$ -basis of  $B$ . Let

$$\eta_i \in B^* := \text{Hom}_R(B, R)$$

be dual of  $q_i$ , i.e.,  $\eta_i(p_i) = 1, \eta_i(p_j) = 0 \forall j \neq i$ . The  $B$ -module structure of  $\text{Hom}_R(B, R)$  is clear :  $b \cdot \phi := \phi_b$  where  $\phi_b \in \text{Hom}_R(B, R)$  is given by  $\phi_b(b_1) := \phi(bb_1) \forall b_1 \in B$ . We observe that

$$p_i p_j = q_{i+j} \text{ if } i+j < N_0 \text{ and } p_i p_j \in p_{i+j-n} R \text{ if } i+j \geq N_0.$$

So that

$$p_i \cdot \eta_{N_0-1} = \eta_{N_0-1-i}$$

for all  $i = 0, \dots, N_0 - 1$ . As an  $B$ -module, the  $\text{Hom}_R(B, R)$  must be a module of rank one generated by  $\eta_{N_0-1}$ . Hence  $\pi^! \mathcal{O}_X = \pi^*(L^{(N_0-1)})$ .

Let  $\text{Sing}(D)$  be singularities of  $D$  and  $X_0 := X \setminus \text{Sing}(D)$ . We know codimension of  $\text{Sing}(D)$  in  $X$  is more than two and  $\pi^{-1}(X_0)$  is smooth open sub-scheme of  $Z$ . Using the duality theory for finite morphism(cf. Theorem 5.67 [KM]), on  $X_0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_* \mathcal{T}_Z &= \pi_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Omega_Z^1, \mathcal{O}_Z) \\ &= \pi_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\omega_{Z/X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \Omega_Z^1, \omega_{Z/X}) \\ &= \pi_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\pi^*(L^{(N_0-1)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \Omega_Z^1, \pi^! \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(L^{(N_0-1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \pi_* \Omega_Z^1, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(L^{(N_0-1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\bigoplus_{i=0}^{N_0-1} \Omega_X^1(\log D^{(i)}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-(i)}), \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &= (L^{-(N_0-1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N_0-1} (L^{(i-N_0+1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)). \end{aligned}$$

Since both  $\pi_* \mathcal{T}_Z$  and  $(L^{-(N_0-1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N_0-1} (L^{(i-N_0+1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X(-\log D))$  are reflexive sheaves on  $X$ , there is

$$\pi_* \mathcal{T}_Z = L^{-(N_0-1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N_0-1} (L^{(i-N_0+1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)) \text{ on } X.$$

Hence  $(\pi_* \mathcal{T}_Z)^G = \mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)$  on  $X$ .

## REFERENCES

- [B78] Bogomolov, F.: *Unstable vector bundles and curves on surfaces*. Proc.Intern.Congress of Math., Helsinki 1978,517-524
- [D72] Deligne, P. :*Théorie de Hodge II*. I.H.É.S. Publ. Math. **40** (1972) 5–57
- [EV] Esnault, H.& Viehweg, E.: *Lectures on vanishing theorems*. DMV Seminar, 20. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [Hart] Hartshorne,R.: *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Math **52**(1977) Springer, New York Heidelberg Berlin
- [H80] Hartshorne,R. *Stable Reflexive Sheaves*. Math. Ann. **254**(1980) 121-176
- [K85] Kawamata, Y.: *Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fibre spaces*. Journ. Reine Angew. Math **363** (1985) 1-46
- [KM] Kollár, J. & Mori,S.: *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, with the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti*. Cambridge University Press, 1998
- [R80] Reid, M.: *Canonical 3-folds*. In: Géométrie Algébrique. Angers 1979, Sijthoff and Noordhoff International Publishers, Alphen aan den Rijn (1980) 273-310
- [V82] Viehweg, E.: *Vanishing theorems*. Journ. Reine Angew. Math. **335**(1982) 1-8
- [V83] Viehweg, E.: *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension II: the local Torelli map*. Proc. Classification of Algebraic and Analytic Manifolds, Katata 1982. Progress in Math. **39**, Birkhauser 1983, 567 - 589
- [Vie] Viehweg, E.: *Quasi-projective Moduli for Polarized Manifolds*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 3. Folge **30** (1995), Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China  
*E-mail address:* zhangyi\_math@fudan.edu.cn