# SMALL POINTS AND ADELIC METRICS

Shouwu Zhang

Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08540 szhang@math.princeton.edu

April 1993, Revised April 1994

## INTRODUCTION

Consider following generalized Bogomolov conjecture: Let A be an abelian variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, h: A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}$  a Néron - Tate height function with respect to an ample and symmetric line bundle on A, Y a subvariety of A which is not a translate of an abelian subvariety by a torsion point; then there is a positive number  $\epsilon$  such that the set

$$Y_{\epsilon} = \{ x \in Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : h(x) \le \epsilon \}$$

is not Zariski dense in Y. Replacing A by an abelian subvariety, we may assume that  $Y - Y = \{y_1 - y_2 : y_1, y_2 \in Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})\}$  generates A: A is the only abelian subvariety of A which contains Y - Y.

In this paper, we will prove that  $Y_{\epsilon}$  is not Zariski dense if the map  $NS(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \to NS(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$  is not injective.

In spirit of Szpiro's paper [Sz], we will reduce the problem to the positivity of the height of Y with respect to certain metrized line bundle. To do this, we will first extend Gillet-Soulé's intersection theory of hermitian line bundles to certain limits of line bundles which are called integrable metrized line bundles, then for a dynamic system, construct certain special integrable metrized line bundles which are called admissible metrized line bundles, and finally prove the positivity of heights.

**Integrable metrized line bundles.** Consider a projective variety X over Spec  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on X and an arithmetic model  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$  of  $(X, \mathcal{L}^e)$  over Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$ , one can define an adelic metric  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \{\|\cdot\|_p, p \in \mathcal{S}\}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ , where e is a positive integer,  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  on  $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d$   $(d = \dim X + 1)$  be line bundles on X. For each positive integer n, let  $(\widetilde{X}_n, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1,n}, \dots, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d,n})$  be an arithmetic model of  $(X, \mathcal{L}_1^{e_{1,n}}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d^{e_{d,n}})$  on Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Assume for each i that  $(\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n}})$  converges to an adelic metrized line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_i$ . One might ask whether the number

$$c_n = \frac{c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1,n})\cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d,n})}{e_{1,n}\cdots e_{d,n}}$$

in Gillet-Soulé's intersection theory converges or not.

We will show that  $c_n$  converges if all  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n}$  are relatively semipositive, and that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n$  depends only on  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_i$ . Notice that some special case has been studied by Chinberg, Rumely, and Lau [CRL]. We say that an adelic line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is integrable if  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{L}}_1 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1}$  with  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_i$  semipositive. It follows that Gillet-Soulé's theory can be extended to integrable metrized line bundles. Some theorems such as Hilbert-Samuel formula, Nakai-Moishezon theorem, and comparison inequality remain valid on integrable metrized line bundles.

Admissible metrized line bundles. Let  $f : X \to X$  be a surjective endomorphism over Spec  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on X, and  $\phi : \mathcal{L}^d \simeq f^*\mathcal{L}$  an isomorphism with d > 1. Using Tate's argument, we will construct a unique integrable metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$\|\cdot\|^d = \phi^* f^* \|\cdot\|.$$

If X = A is an abelian variety, and s is a section of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , then  $\log \|s\|_p$  is the Néron function for divisor div (s).

In case that  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample, any effective cycle Y of X of pure dimension has an (absolute) height

$$h_{\mathcal{L}}(Y) = \frac{c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}|_Y)^{\dim Y+1}}{(\dim Y+1) \deg_{\mathcal{L}}(Y)}$$

which has property that

$$h_{\mathcal{L}}(f(Y)) = dh_{\mathcal{L}}(Y).$$

As Tate did,  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$  can be defined without admissible metric. Some situations are studied by Philippon [P], Kramer [K], Call and Silverman [CS], and Gubler [G].

Assume  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample as above. If Y is preperiodic: the orbit  $\{Y, f(Y), f^2(Y), \dots\}$  is finite, then  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(Y) = 0$ . We propose a generalized Bogomolov conjecture which claims that the converse is true: if h(Y) = 0 then Y is preperiodic. This is a theorem [Z2] for case of multiplicative group. A consequence is the generalized Lang's conjecture which claims that if Y is not preperiodic then the set of preperiodic points in Y is not Zariski dense. Lang's conjecture is proved by Laurent [L] and Sarnak [Sa] for multiplicative groups, and by Raynaud [R] for abelian varieties.

**Positivity of heights of certain subvarieties.** Let Y be a subvariety of an abelian variety A with a polarization  $\mathcal{L}$ . We prove the following special case of the generalized Bogomolov conjecture: if Y - Y generates A, and the map  $NS(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to NS(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is not injective, then  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(Y) > 0$ . The crucial facts used in the proof are comparison theorem of heights, Faltings index theorem, and nonvanishing of invariant (1, 1) forms on Y.

For a curve C of genus  $g \ge 2$ , let  $\omega$  denote the admissible dualizing sheaf defined in [Z1]. The above positivity implies that  $\omega^2 > 0$  if End  $(\operatorname{Jac}(C))_{\mathbb{R}}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and the quaternion divison algebra  $\mathbb{D}$ . This is the case when  $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$  has a complex multiplication, or C has a finite morphism of deg > 1 to a nonrational curve. Notice that if C has good reduction everywhere, and  $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$  has complex multiplication, the positivity of  $\omega_{Ar}^2$  is proved by Burnol [B] using Weierstrass points.

(1.1). For a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on a projective scheme X over an algebraically closed valuation field K, we define a K-metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  to be a collection of K-norms on each fiber  $\mathcal{L}(x), x \in X(K)$ .

For example when K is non-archimedean, if there is a projective scheme  $\widetilde{X}$  on Spec R with generic fiber X, and a line bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\widetilde{X}$  whose restriction on X is  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ , where R is the valuation ring of K and n > 0 is an integer, we can define a metric  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}$  as follows:

For an algebraic point  $x \in X(K)$ , denote by

$$\widetilde{x} : \operatorname{Spec} R \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}$$

the section extending x:  $x = \widetilde{x}|_{\text{Spec } K}$ , then  $\widetilde{x}^*\mathcal{L} \otimes_R K = x^*\mathcal{L}^n$ . For any  $\ell \in x^*(\mathcal{L})$ , we define

$$\|\ell\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \inf_{a \in K} \left\{ |a|^{\frac{1}{n}} : \quad \ell \in a \widetilde{x}^*(\mathcal{L}) \right\}.$$

We say that  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$  is induced by the model  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ .

A metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is called continuous and bounded if there is a model  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$  such that  $\log \frac{\|\cdot\|}{\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{c}}}$  is bounded and continuous on X(K) with respect to the K-topology.

(1.2). Denote by  $S = \{\infty, 2, 3, \dots\}$  the set of all places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $p \in S$ , denote by  $|\cdot|_p$  the valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$  if  $p \neq \infty$ , by  $|\cdot|_\infty$  the ordinary absolute value if  $p = \infty$ , by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  under  $|\cdot|_p$ , and by  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . For an irreducible projective variety X over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we define an adelic metrized line bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  to be a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on X and a collection of metrics  $\|\cdot\| = \{\|\cdot\|_p, p \in S\}$  such that the following conditions are verified.

(a) Each  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is bounded, continuous, and Gal  $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  invariant.

(b) There is a Zariski open subset  $U = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$  of  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ , a projective variety  $\widetilde{X}$  on U with generic fiber X, and a line bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\widetilde{X}$  extending  $\mathcal{L}$  on X, such that for each  $p \in U$ , the metric  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is induced by the model

$$\left(\widetilde{X}_p, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_p\right) = \left(\widetilde{X} \times_U \operatorname{Spec} \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]} \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p\right),$$

where  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$  denotes the valuation ring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ .

For example, if there is a projective variety  $\widetilde{X}$  on Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$  with generic fiber X, and a hermitian line bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\widetilde{X}$  whose restriction on X is  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$   $(n \neq 0)$ , then  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$  induces a metric  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \{\|\cdot\|_p, p \in S\}$ , where for  $p \neq \infty, \|\cdot\|_p$  is induced by models  $(\widetilde{X}_p, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_p)$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is the hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The condition (a) is obviously verified. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined over the generic fiber of  $\widetilde{X}$ , there is an open subset U' of Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}$  has an extention  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$  on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{U'}$ . Since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^n|_X = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}|_X$ , there is an open subset U of U' such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^n|_U \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}|_U$ . It follows that for  $p \in U$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is induced by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$ . The condition (b) is therefore verified.

A sequnce  $\{ \| \cdot \|_n \colon n = 1, 2, \dots \}$  of adelic metrics is convergent to an adelic metric  $\| \cdot \|$ if there is an open subset U of Spec Z such that for each  $p \in U$ ,  $\| \cdot \|_{n,p} = \| \cdot \|_p$  for all n, and that  $\log \frac{\| \cdot \|_{n,p}}{\| \cdot \|}$  converges to 0 uniformly on X(K). (1.3). For a hermitian line bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on an arithmetic variety X with a smooth metric at  $\infty$ , this means that for any holomorphic map

$$f: \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \to X(\mathbb{C})$$

the pullback metric on  $f^*\mathcal{L}$  is smooth, we say that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is relatively semipositive if  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  has nonnegative degree on any curve in special fibers, and the curvature of  $f^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is semipositive for any holomorphic map  $f : \mathbb{D} \to X(\mathbb{C})$ ; we say that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is relatively ample if the associated algebraic bundle is relatively ample, and there is an embedding  $\widetilde{X}(\mathbb{C}) \to Y$  into a projective complex manifold Y such that the hermitian line bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C})$  can be extended to a hermitian line bundle on Y with positive curvature.

For a projective variety X over Spec  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on X, we say that an adelic metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample (resp. semipositive) if it is the limit of a sequence  $\|\cdot\|_n$  of adelic metrics induced by models  $(\widetilde{X}_n, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_n)$  as in (1.2) such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_n$  are relatively ample (resp. relatively semipositive.)

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_d$  be metrized line bundles on X with semipositive metrics,  $d = \dim X + 1$ . Assume that  $\|\cdot\|_i$  are approximated by metrics induced by models  $(\widetilde{X}_{i,n}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n})$ , where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n}$  are semipositive such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n}|_X = \mathcal{L}^{e_{i,n}}$ , with  $e_{i,n} > 0$ . For any d-tuple of positive integers  $(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ , denote by  $\widetilde{X}_{n_1, \dots, n_d}$  the Zariski closure of  $\Delta(X)$  in  $\widetilde{X}_{n_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{X}_{n_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{X}_{n_d}$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map of X into the generic fiber  $X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} X \times \dots \times_{\mathbb{Q}} X$  of  $\widetilde{X}_{n_1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{X}_{n_2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{X}_{n_d}$ . We still denote the pullback of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n_i}$  on  $\widetilde{X}_{n_1,\dots,n_d}$  by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n_i}$ .

Theorem (1.4). (a) The intersection number

$$c_{n_1,\cdots,n_d} = c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n_1})\cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n_d})/e_{1,n_1}\cdots e_{d,n_d}$$

converges as  $n_i \to \infty$ . The limit does not depend on the choice of  $(\widetilde{X}_{i,n}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n})$ . (b) Denoted by  $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1) \cdots c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_d)$  the limit, then  $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1) \cdots (\overline{\mathcal{L}}_d)$  is multi-linear in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1, \cdots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_d$ .

*Proof.* (a) Fix two *d*-tuples  $(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  and  $(n'_1, \dots, n'_d)$  of positive integers. Denote by  $\widetilde{X}$  the Zariski closure of  $\Delta(X)$  in  $\widetilde{X}_{n_1,\dots,n_d} \times \widetilde{X}_{n'_1,\dots,n'_d}$ . As before we use same notations for pullbacks of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n_i}$  as themselves. Write  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_i = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n_i}^{e_{i,n'_i}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_i = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n_i}^{e_{i,n_i}}$ , and  $e_i = e_{i,n_i} \cdot e_{i,n'_i}$ . Then both  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_i$  have same restriction  $\mathcal{L}^{e_i}$  on X. We need to show that

$$\frac{1}{e_1\cdots e_d} \left( c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1)\cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d) - c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1')\cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d') \right)$$

approaches to 0 as  $(n_1, \dots, n_2, n'_1, \dots, n'_d)$  approaches to  $\infty$ .

Fix a positive number  $\epsilon$  and an open subset U of Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that for any  $p \in U$  and any k,  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_k} = \|\cdot\|_{p,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_k}$ . Then for any sufficiently large  $n_k, n'_k$  and any p,

$$\left|\log\frac{\|\cdot\|_{p,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k}'}}{\|\cdot\|_{p,\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k}}}\right| \leq \epsilon \log p,$$

where  $\log \infty$  is defined to be 1. Denote by  $s_k$  the rational section of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_k \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}'}_k^{(-1)}$  which gives 1 on X.

If  $p \neq \infty$ , then one has

$$p^{-\epsilon e_1 \cdots e_d} \le \|s_k\|_p(x) \le p^{\epsilon e_1 \cdots e_d}$$

for any x. Assume  $[\operatorname{div}(s_k)]_p = \sum n_{i,p} V_{i,p}$ , where  $[\operatorname{div}(s_k)]_p$  is the cycle associated to  $\operatorname{div}(s_k)$  supported in the special fiber  $\widetilde{X}_p$  of  $\widetilde{X}$  over p, and  $V_{i,p}$ 's are irreducible components of  $\widetilde{X}_p$ . It follows that  $|n_p| \leq \epsilon e_1 \cdots e_d$ , or in other words, that divisors

$$D_{1p} = [\operatorname{div} (s_k)]_p + [\epsilon e_i \cdots e_n] [X_p]$$

and

$$D_{2p} = -[\operatorname{div}(s_k)]_p + [\epsilon e_i \cdots e_d][\widetilde{X}_p]$$

are both effective, where  $[\epsilon e_1 \cdots e_d]$  is the integral part of  $\epsilon e_i \cdots e_d$ . Therefore for i = 1, 2, d

$$c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'|_{D_{i,p}})\cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_{k-1}|_{D_{i,p}})c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k+1}|_{D_{i,p}})\cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d|_{D_{i,p}})>0,$$

or in other words, the contribution at p of

$$I_{k} = c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}') \cdots c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_{k-1}) c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k+1}) \cdots c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d}) \operatorname{div}(s)$$

has absolute value bounded by

$$\epsilon e_1 \cdots e_d (\log p) c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k-1}) c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_d).$$

If  $p = \infty$ , the contribution at p of  $I_k$  is given by

$$\int \log \|s_k\|_{\infty} c_1'(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1') \cdots c_1'(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k-1}') c_1'(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k+1}) \cdots c_1'(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d)$$

where  $c'_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_i)$  and  $c'_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_i)$  denote the curvatures of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_i$ . Since  $|\log \|s_k\|_{\infty}| < \epsilon$  and  $c'_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_i), c'_i(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_i)$  are nonnegative, the above integral has absolute value bounded by

$$\epsilon e_1 \cdots e_d c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k-1}) c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_d)$$

It follows that for any  $1 \le k \le d$ ,

$$|I_k| \leq \epsilon e_1 \cdots e_d c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k-1}) c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_d) \sum_{p \notin U} \log p.$$

Finally, for  $(n_i, \cdots, n_d, n'_i, \cdots, n'_d)$  sufficiently large,

$$\frac{1}{e_1 \cdots e_d} \left| c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1) \cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d) - c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_1) \cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_d) \right|$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{e_1 \cdots e_d} \sum_{k=1}^d \left| c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_1) \cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k-1}) c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_k \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'^{-1}_k) c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_d) \right|$$
  
$$\leq \epsilon \cdot \sum_{k=1}^d c_1(L_1) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_d) \sum_{p \notin U} \log p.$$

This prove the first statement of (a).

If  $\{(\widetilde{X}'_{i,n}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_{i,n})\}$  is another sequence of models which induces metrics  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n'}}$  convergent to  $\|\cdot\|$ , then the alternating sequence

$$\{(\widetilde{X}_{i,n}'',\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n}'')\} = \{(\widetilde{X}_{i,1},\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,1}), (\widetilde{X}_{i,1}',\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,1}'), (\widetilde{X}_{i,2},\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,2}), (\widetilde{X}_{i,2}',\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,2}'), \cdots\}$$

also induces metrics on  $\mathcal{L}$  convergent to  $\|\cdot\|$ . By the first statement of (a), the intersection numbers induced by  $\{(\widetilde{X}''_{i,n}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}''_{i,n})\}$  are convergent. So limits defined by  $\{(\widetilde{x}_{i,n}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i,n})\}$  and  $\{\widetilde{x}'_{i,n}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'_{i,n})\}$  are the same. This prove the second statement of (a).

The additivity of  $c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1)\cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_d)$  in (b) is obvious from definition.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

(1.5). A metrized line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|)$  is called integrable if there are two semipositive metrized line bundles  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_2$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is isometric to  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1}$ .

By theorem (1.4), for any integrable metrized line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d$ , there is a uniquely defined intersection number  $c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1) \cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_d)$  such that the following conditions are verified: (a)  $c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1) \cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_d)$  is multilinear

(b)  $c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1)\cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_d)$  is the limit defined in (b) of (1.4) if the metrics on  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1,\cdots,\bar{\mathcal{L}}_d$  are semipositive.

(1.6). Now we want to generalize results in [Z2] to integrable metrized line bundles. First of all, we need to define Hilbert function of a line bundle.

By a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on a vector space V of finite dimension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we mean a collection  $\{\|\cdot\|_p, p \in S\}$  of norms such that the following conditions are verified.

(a) For each  $p, \|\cdot\|_p$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -norm on  $V_p = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ , which is nonarchimedean if  $p \neq \infty$ , i.e.  $\|x + y\|_p \leq \max(\|x\|_p, \|y\|_p)$  if  $p \neq \infty$ ,

(b) There is a non zero integer n, a free module  $\widetilde{V}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{n}\end{bmatrix}$ , and an isomorphism  $V \simeq \widetilde{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{n}\end{bmatrix}} \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is induced by  $\widetilde{V}$  for all  $p \not| n$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{A}$  the ring of adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and by  $V_{\mathbb{A}}$  the module  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}$ . There is unique

Denote by  $\mathbb{A}$  the ring of adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and by  $V_{\mathbb{A}}$  the module  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}$ . There is unique invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $V_{\mathbb{A}}$  such that  $\mu(\prod_{p} B_p) = 1$  where for each p,  $B_p$  is the unit ball in  $V_{\mathbb{A}} = 0$ .

 $V_p: B_p = \{x \in V_p, \|x\|_p \le 1\}$ . We define the Euler characteristic of  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  as follows:

$$\chi_{\|\cdot\|}(V) = -\log \operatorname{volume}(V_{\mathbb{A}}/V).$$

For a projective variety X over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on X with a semipositive metric  $\|\cdot\|$ , and a place p of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denote a norm on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p = \Gamma(\mathcal{L}_p)$  defined as follows: for each  $\ell \in \Gamma(X_p, \mathcal{L}_p)$ ,

$$\|\ell\|_p = \sup_{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)} \|\ell\|(x).$$

In this way,  $\|\cdot\| = \{\|\cdot\|_p, p \in S\}$  defines an adelic norm on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ . Write  $\chi(\Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{L}}))$  simply for  $\chi_{\|\cdot\|}(\Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{L}}))$ .

**Theorem (1.7).** As n approaches  $\infty$ ,

$$\chi(\Gamma(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})) = \frac{n^d}{d!} c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}})^d + o(n^d).$$

*Proof.* Assume that there is a sequence of models  $(\widetilde{X}_m, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_m)$  of  $(X, \mathcal{L})$  such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_m$ 's are semipositive on  $\widetilde{X}_m$  and that the induced adelic metrized line bundles  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_m$  converge to  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ , then the theorem is true for  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_m$  by (1.4) of [Z2]. Write

$$\chi_{m,n} = \frac{\chi(\Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_m^{\otimes n}))}{n \dim \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})},$$
$$\chi_n = \frac{\chi(\Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes n}))}{n \dim \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})},$$

then  $\chi_{n,m} \longrightarrow \chi_n$  uniformly in n as  $m \to \infty$ . Now the theorem for  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_m$  implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \chi_{m,n} = \frac{c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_m)^d}{dc_1(\mathcal{L})^{d-1}}$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \chi_n = \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \chi_{m,n} = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{L})^d}{dc_1(\mathcal{L})^{d-1}}.$$

The theorem follows immediately.

**Theorem (1.8).** Let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample metrized line bundle with an ample metric. Assume for each irreducible subvariety Y of X that  $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}|_Y)^{\dim Y+1} > 0$ . Then for  $n \gg 0$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\Gamma(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$  has a basis  $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N\}$  consisting of strictly effective elements:  $\|\ell_i\|_p \leq 1$  for  $p \neq \infty$  and  $\|\ell_i\|_{\infty} < 1$ .

*Proof.* By (1.7) and the Minkowski theorem, for each Y of X there is a n > 0, such that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{L}|_Y^{\otimes n})$  has a section  $\ell$  such that  $\|\ell\|_p \leq 1$  for all  $p \neq \infty$  and  $\|\ell\|_{\infty} < 1$ . The theorem follows from (4.2) of [Z2].

(1.9). For a projective variety X over Spec  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension d-1, and an integrable metrized ample line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  on X, we define the height of X with respect to  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  as follows:

$$h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}} = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{L})^d}{dc_1(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{d-1}}.$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots d$ , define numbers

$$e_i(\bar{\mathcal{L}}) = \sup_{\operatorname{cod} Y=i} \inf_{x \in X-Y} h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(x)$$

where Y runs through the set of reduced subvarieties of X.

**Theorem (1.10).** If  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is an ample metrized line bundle then

$$e_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}) \ge h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(X) \ge \frac{e_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}) + \dots + e_d(\bar{\mathcal{L}})}{d}$$

*Proof.* Assume  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is approximated by metrized line bundles  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n$  which are induced by models  $(\widetilde{X}_n, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_n)$ . Then the theorem is true for  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n$  by (5.2) of [Z2]. Since  $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n)^d \to c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}})^d$  and  $e_i(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n) \to e_i(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$ , the theorem (1.10) is true for  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ .

**Theorem (1.11).** If  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1 \cdots \overline{\mathcal{L}}_d$  are ample metrized line bundles, then

$$c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1)\cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_d) \ge \sum_{k=1}^d e_d(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)c_1(\mathcal{L}_1)\cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k-1})c_1(\mathcal{L}_{k+1})\cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_d)$$

Proof. By a limit argument, we may assume that  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1, \cdots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_d$  are exactly metrized line bundles associated to relatively ample hermitian line bundles  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1, \cdots, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d$  on a model  $\widetilde{X}$ of X. By scaling metric at  $\infty$ , we may assume that  $e_d(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_i) = 0$ . We want to prove that  $c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_1) \cdots c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d) \ge 0$  by induction on d. It is obviously true for d = 1. Fix a  $\epsilon > 0$  and denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d(\epsilon)$  the metrized line bundle which has  $e^{-\epsilon} \cdot \|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d}$  as metric at  $\infty$ . Now  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d(\epsilon)$ has height  $\ge \epsilon$  on  $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ . By (1.8),  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d(\epsilon)$  is ample. In particular, some power  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_d(\epsilon)^m$  has an effective section  $\ell$ . Write  $Y = \operatorname{div}(\ell)$ , then

$$c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1})\cdots c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d})$$

$$=c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1})\cdots c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d}(\epsilon)) - \epsilon c_{1}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{1})\cdots c_{1}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{d-1})$$

$$=\frac{1}{m}c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1})\cdots c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d-1})(Y, -\log \|\ell\|_{\infty}) - \epsilon c_{1}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{1})\cdots c_{1}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{d-1})$$

$$=\frac{1}{m}c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1}|_{Y})\cdots c_{1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d-1}|_{Y}) + \frac{1}{m}\int_{X(\mathbb{C})} -\log \|\ell\|_{\infty}c_{1}'(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1})\cdots c_{1}'(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{d-1}) - \epsilon c_{1}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{1})\cdots c_{1}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{d-1}).$$

The first two terms in the last line are positive, since the first term is positive by induction, and the second term is positive by fact that  $\|\ell\|_{\infty} < 1$  and  $c'_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_i) \ge 0$ . Letting  $\epsilon \to 0$ , the theorem follows.

## 2. Admissible metrized line bundles

(2.1). Let K be an algebraically closed valuation field, X a projective variety over Spec K,  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|)$  a line bundle on X with a continuous and bounded metric,  $f: X \to X$  a surjective morphism, and  $\phi: \mathcal{L}^d \simeq f^*\mathcal{L}$  an isomorphism where d > 1 is an integer. We define  $\|\cdot\|_n$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  inductively as follows:

$$\|\cdot\|_1 = \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_n = \phi^* f^* \|\cdot\|_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

**Theorem (2.2).** (a) The metrics  $\|\cdot\|_n$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  converge uniformly to a metric  $\|\cdot\|_0$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ , this means that the function  $\log \frac{\|\cdot\|_n}{\|\cdot\|_1}$  converges uniformly on X(K) to  $\log \frac{\|\cdot\|_0}{\|\cdot\|_1}$ .

(b)  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is the unique (continuous and bounded) metric on  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying the equation

$$\|\cdot\|_0 = \left(\phi^* f^*\|\cdot\|_0\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

(c) If  $\phi$  changes to  $\lambda \phi$  with  $\lambda \in K^*$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_0$  changes to  $|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \|\cdot\|_0$ .

*Proof.* (a) Denote by h the continuous function  $\frac{1}{d}\phi^* f^* \log \frac{\|\cdot\|_2}{\|\cdot\|_1}$  on X. Then

$$\log \|\cdot\|_{n} = \left(\frac{1}{d}\phi^{*}f^{*}\right)^{n-2}\log \|\cdot\|_{2}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{d}\phi^{*}f^{*}\right)^{n-2}(h+\log \|\cdot\|_{1})$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{d}\phi^{*}f^{*}\right)^{n-2}h + \log \|\cdot\|_{n-1}$$

Using induction on n, one has

$$\log \|\cdot\|_n = \log \|\cdot\|_1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1}{d}\phi^* f^*\right)^k \cdot h.$$

Since  $\left\| \left(\frac{1}{d}\phi^*f^*\right)^k \cdot h \right\|_{\sup} \leq \frac{1}{d^k} \|h\|_{\sup}$ , it follows that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{d}\phi^*f^*\right)^k \cdot h$  is absolutely and uniformly convergent to a bounded and continuous function  $h_0$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_0 = \|\cdot\|_1 e^{h_0}$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_n$  converges uniformly to  $\|\cdot\|_0$ .

(b) It is easy to see that  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is continuous, bounded, and satisfies the equation

$$\|\cdot\|_0 = \left(\phi^* f^* \|\cdot\|_0\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

If  $\|\cdot\|'_0$  be another continuous, bounded metric on  $\mathcal{L}$  which satisfies the same equation, writing  $g = \log \frac{\|\cdot\|_0}{\|\cdot\|'_0}$ , then we have  $g = \frac{\phi^* f^*}{d}g$ , so  $\|g\|_{\sup} = \|g\|_{\sup}/d$ , or g = 0. This shows that  $\|\cdot\|_0 = \|\cdot\|'_0$ .

(c) If  $\alpha \| \cdot \|_0$  is the metric corresponding to  $\lambda \phi$  with  $\alpha$  a function on X(K), then for any  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(x), x \in X$ ,

$$\alpha \|\ell\|_0(x) = \left(\alpha \|\lambda \phi(\ell)\|_0(f(x))\right)^{\frac{1}{d}},$$

so  $\alpha = (\alpha |\lambda|)^{\frac{1}{d}}$  or  $\alpha = |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$ .

The proof of the theorem is complete.

(2.3). Now let everything be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ : X is a projective variety over Spec  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  an ample line bundle on  $X, f: X \to X$  a surjective morphism over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\phi: \mathcal{L}^d \simeq f^*\mathcal{L}$  an isomorphism of line bundles. This implies that f is finite of degree  $d^{\dim X}$ . We fix a model  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$  of  $(X, \mathcal{L}^e)$  on Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$  with e > 0, such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is relatively ample. This induces an adelic metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

There is an open subset U of Spec  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that f and  $\phi$  extend to an U-morphism  $f_U: X_U \longrightarrow X_U$  and an isomorphism  $\phi_U: \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_U^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow f_U^* \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_U$ .

It follows for each  $p \in U$  that

$$\|\cdot\|_p = (\phi^* f^* \|\cdot\|_p)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

We define the morphism  $\widetilde{f}_n: \widetilde{X}_n \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}$  as the normalization of the composition of morphism

$$X_U \xrightarrow{f_U^n} X_U \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}.$$

Denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n$  the metrized line bundles  $(\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|_n)$  induced by model  $(\widetilde{X}_n, \widetilde{f}_n^* \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ . Then for any  $p \in U$  and any n, one has  $\|\cdot\|_{n,p} = \|\cdot\|_p$ . In general for any  $p \in \mathcal{S}, \|\cdot\|_{n,p}$  is defined as in (2.1) from  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . By theorem (2.2.),  $\|\cdot\|_{n,p}$  converges uniformly to a metric  $\|\cdot\|_{0,p}$ . So the adelic metric  $\|\cdot\|_n$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n$  converges to an adelic metric  $\|\cdot\|_0$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ . By (2.2),  $\|\cdot\|_0$  doesn't depend on the choice of  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ . If  $\phi$  changes to  $\lambda\phi$  for  $\lambda \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_0$  changes to  $\|\cdot\|_{0\lambda} = \{\|\cdot\|_p |\lambda|_p^{\frac{1}{d-1}}\}$ . Therefore, if we write  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_0 = (\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|_0)$  then  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_0^{d-1}$ does not depend on the choice of  $\phi$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n$  are all ample,  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_0$  is an ample metrized line bundle on X.

For any effective cycle Y of X of pure dimension, write  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y)$  for  $h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_0}(Y)$ .

**Theorem (2.4).** (a) Denote by f(Y) the push-forward of Y under f, then  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(fY) = dh_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y)$ .

(b)  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) \ge 0.$ 

(c) If the orbit  $\{Y, f(Y), \dots, f^n(Y), \dots\}$  is finite then  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) = 0$ .

(d) If  $y \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  is a point and  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(y) = 0$  then the orbit  $\{y, f(y), \dots, f^n(y), \dots\}$  is finite.

Proof. (a)

$$h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(f(Y)) = c_1 \left( \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0 \big|_{f(Y)} \right)^{\dim Y + 1} / (\dim Y + 1) c_1 \left( \mathcal{L} \big|_{f(Y)} \right)^{\dim Y}$$

$$= c_1 \left( f^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0 \big|_Y \right)^{\dim Y + 1} / (\dim Y + 1) c_1 (f^* \mathcal{L})^{\dim Y}$$

$$= c_1 \left( \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0^d \big|_Y \right)^{\dim Y + 1} / (\dim Y + 1) c_1 \left( \mathcal{L}^d \big|_Y \right)^{\dim Y}$$

$$= dc_1 \left( \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0 \big|_Y \right)^{\dim Y + 1} / (\dim Y + 1) c_1 \left( \mathcal{L} \big|_Y \right)^{\dim Y}$$

$$= dh_{f,\mathcal{L}}(f(Y)).$$

(b) Applying (1.10) to Y, we have that

$$h_{f,l}(Y) \ge e_d(\mathcal{L}|_Y) \cdot (\dim Y + 1) \ge e_d(\mathcal{L}) (\dim Y + 1).$$

But

$$e_d(\mathcal{L}) = \inf_x h_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \inf_x h_{\mathcal{L}}(f(x)) = d\inf_x h_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = de_d(\mathcal{L}).$$

Therefore  $e_d(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ 

(c) The finiteness of the orbit  $\{Y, f(Y), \dots, f^n(Y), \dots\}$  implies the finiteness of the orbit

$$\{h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y), dh_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y), \cdots, d^n h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) \cdots \}.$$

So we must have  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) = 0$ .

(d) If  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(y) = 0$  then the orbit  $\{y, f(y), \dots\}$  has bounded degree  $[\mathbb{Q}(y) : \mathbb{Q}]$  and bounded height (= 0), so must be a finite set.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Conjecture (2.5).** If Y is an effective cycle of X of positive dimension and  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) = 0$  then the orbit of Y under f is finite.

This conjecture is a converse of (c) in (2.4). A subvariety Z of X is called a preperiodic subvariety if the orbit of Z under f is finite. A preperiodic subvariety Z contained in Y is called maximal preperiodic if no other preperiodic subvariety of Y contains Z.

If  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) = 0$ , by conjecture (2.5), Y is a preperiodic variety, of course a maximal preperiodic subvariety of Y. If  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) \neq 0$ , by theorem (1.10), there is a Zariski open set U of Y such that  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}$  on  $U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  has a positive lower bound, and any preperiodic subvariety Z of Y will be contained in X - U. This shows that (2.5) implies the following conjecture:

**Conjecture (2.6).** Any subvariety Y of X contains at most finitely many maximal preperiodic subvarieties.

(2.7). Let  $f_0, \dots, f_n$  be n+1-homogeneous polynomial of degree of d > 1 in n+1 variables  $z_0, \dots, z_n$  such that the only common zero of  $f_0, \dots, f_n$  is 0. Then

$$f:(z_0,\cdots,z_n)\longrightarrow (f_0(z_0,\cdots,z_n),\cdots,f_n(z_0,\cdots,z_n))$$

defines a morphism  $\mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ . One has a unique homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{O}(d) \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}(1)$  such that  $\phi(f_i) = f^*(z_i)$ , where we consider  $z_i$  as sections of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

When  $f_i = z_i^d$ , the preperiodic subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are Zariski closure of translates of subgroups by torsion points of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n = \{(z_0 \cdots z_n) = z_0 \cdots z_n \neq 0\}$ . In this case, (2.6) is a theorem of Laurent [L], Sarnak [Sa], while (2.5) is a theorem in [Z2].

(2.8). As in (2.1), let  $f: X \longrightarrow X$  be a surjective morphism, d a positive integer, and  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{f,d}$  the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$  consisting of line bundles  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \simeq f^*\mathcal{L}$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{f,d}$  contains an ample line bundle of X. Then any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{f,d}$  can be written as  $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{-1}$  for two ample line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$  in  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{f,d}$ . By (2.3), there are ample metrized line bundles  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_2$  whose generic fibers are  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ and  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i^{\otimes d} \simeq f^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}_i$ . Now  $\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1 \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1}$  is an integrable metrized line bundle on X, and  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \simeq f^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}$ . By theorem (2.2),  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(d-1)}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_2$ . Let  $\overline{\operatorname{Pic}(x)}_{f,d}$  denote the group of integrable metrized line bundles  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  such that  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \simeq f^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}$ . Then we have shown that  $\overline{\operatorname{Pic}(X)}_{f,d}$  is generated by ample metrized elements. We call elements in  $\overline{\operatorname{Pic}(X)}_{f,d}$  admissible metrized line bundles. The following theorem is useful in the next section.

**Theorem (2.9).** Let  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  be a subvariety of dimension n, and  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} \in Pic(X)_{f,d}$  an ample metrized line bundle such that  $h_{f,\mathcal{L}}(Y) = 0$ , then

$$c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1\big|_Y)\cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1}\big|_Y)=0$$

for any  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1, \cdots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1}$  in  $\overline{Pic(X)}_{f,d}$ , where  $n = \dim Y$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\overline{\operatorname{Pic}(X)}_{f,d}$  is generated by ample metrized line bundles, we may assume  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_1, \cdots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1}$  are ample metrized. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample, there is a positive integer m, and an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_0$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^m \simeq \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$ . Put a metric on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^m \simeq \overline{\mathcal{L}}_0 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{L}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1}$  then  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_0^{\otimes d} \simeq f^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}_0$ . By theorem (2.4)(b),  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_0, \cdots, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1}$  are all semipositive. For any n+1 integers  $i_1, \cdots, i_{n+1}$  between 0 and n+1, the number  $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{i_1}) \cdots c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{i_{n+1}})$  is nonnegative by (1.11). Since

$$0 = m^{n+1} (c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}|_Y)^{n+1}) = c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}^m|_Y)^{n+1}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{0 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_{n+1} \le n+1} c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i_1}) \cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i_{n+1}}),$$

we must have  $c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1)\cdots c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{n+1})=0.$ 

## 3. Positivity of heights of certain subvarieties of an abelian variety

(3.1). Consider an abelian variety A over a number field K. For any integer n, let [n] denote the endomorphism of A defined as the multiplication of n. Then for any symmetric line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $A, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n^2} \simeq [n]^* \mathcal{L}$ . If X = A, f = [n] for a n > 1, then  $h_{\mathcal{L}} = h_{f,\mathcal{L}}$  is the usual Néron - Tate height function studied by Philippon [P], Kramer [K], and Gubler [G]. In this case, conjecture (2.5) is a theorem of Raynaud [R], and (2.6) is a conjecture of Bogomolov [B] if dim Y = 1.

**Theorem (3.2).** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a symmetric ample line bundle on A, and  $Y \hookrightarrow A$  a subvariety of positive dimension such that Y - Y generates A. This means that A is the only abelian subvariety of A which contains Y - Y. Assume that the induced map

$$NS(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow NS(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is not injective, where  $NS(A) = Pic(A)/Pic^{0}(A)$  and  $NS(Y) = Pic(Y)/Pic^{0}(Y)$ . Then  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(Y) > 0$ .

(3.3). The crucial facts used in the proof of the theorem are theorem (2.9), a variant form (3.4) of Faltings' index theorem [F1], and a nonvanishing theorem (3.5) for restriction on Y of an invariant 1 - 1 form of  $A(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Lemma (3.4).** Let X be a variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  two integrable line bundles on X with smooth metrics at  $\infty$ . Assume that  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is semipositive and  $\omega = c'(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$  is positive on a dense subset of the regular part  $X_r(\mathbb{C})$  of  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , and that  $\mathcal{M}$  is in  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$ . Then  $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{M}})^2 c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} \leq 0$ , and the equality  $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{M}})^2 c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} = 0$  implies that the metric on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  has curvature 0 on  $X_r(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f: X' \to X$  be a resolution of singularities. Replacing X by  $X', \bar{\mathcal{L}}$  by  $f^*\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ , and  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  by  $f^*\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  we may assume that X is regular. Choose a metric  $\|\cdot\|'_{\mathcal{M}}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that its curvature is 0, let  $\varphi = \log \frac{\|\cdot\|'_{\mathcal{M}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}}$ .

Fix a positive number  $\epsilon$ . By approximation, there is a model  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$  such that

(a)  $\mathcal{L}$  is a relatively semipositive line bundle on X whose restriction on X is  $\mathcal{L}^{e_1}, e_1 > 0$ , and whose metric at  $\infty$  is the  $e_1$ -th power of the metric of  $\mathcal{L}$ ;

(b)  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is a line bundle on  $\widetilde{X}$ , whose restriction on X is  $\mathcal{M}^{e_2}$ ,  $e_2 > 0$ , and whose metric at  $\infty$  is  $e_2$ -th power of the metric of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ ;

(c) 
$$c_1(\overline{\mathcal{M}})^2 c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} \le \frac{1}{e_1^{d-1} e_2^2} c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} + \epsilon.$$

Denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'$  the metrized line bundle on  $\widetilde{X}$  which has same finite part as  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  on  $\widetilde{X}$ , and which has metric  $\|\cdot\|'_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then

(d)  $c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})^2 = c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}')^2 + (0, -\varphi \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} \varphi)$  as cycles on  $\widetilde{X}$ . We claim that (e)  $c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}')^2 c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} \leq 0$ .

Fix a relatively ample line bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  on  $\widetilde{X}$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{1-d} c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}')^2 c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^n \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}')^{d-1} = c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}')^2 c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1}.$$

Replacing  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^n \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ , we may assume that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is relatively ample. Now,  $c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1}$  is represented by  $\frac{1}{m}(Z, g_Z)$ , where Z is an integral subvariety of X with a regular generic fiber, m > 0 an integer. Since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'$  has curvature 0, one has

$$c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}')^2 c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} = \frac{1}{m} c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'\big|_Z)^2.$$

Now  $c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'|_Z)^2 \leq 0$  by the Faltings-Hodge index theorem. The claim is proved.

Combining (a)-(e) we have that

$$c_1(\bar{\mathcal{M}})^2 c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} \leq -\int\limits_{X(\mathbb{C})} \varphi \frac{\partial \partial}{\pi i} \varphi \omega^{d-1} + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\omega^{d-1} \ge 0$  and  $\omega^{d-1} > 0$  on a dense subset of  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , by letting  $\epsilon \to 0$  it follows that

$$c_1(\bar{\mathcal{M}})^2 c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{d-1} \le -\int \varphi \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} \varphi \omega^{d-1} \le 0,$$

and that  $\int \varphi \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} \varphi \omega^{d-1} = 0$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is locally constant.

**Lemma (3.5).** Let A be a complex abelian variety, and  $Y \hookrightarrow A$  a subvariety such that  $\{y_1 - y_2 | y_1, y_2 \in Y(\mathbb{C})\}$  generates A. This means that A is the only abelian subvariety of A which contains Y - Y. If  $\omega$  is a 1-1 form on A which is invariant under translation and  $\omega|_{Y} = 0$ , then  $\omega = 0$ .

Proof. We write  $A = \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda$  and  $\omega = \sum a_{ij} dz_i \Lambda d\bar{z}_j$ . After a translation, we may assume that 0 is a smooth point of Y. Fix points  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  on Y such that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  generates A. One can find a complex curve  $C \hookrightarrow Y$ , such that  $y_i \in C$  and 0 is a regular point of C. Fix any holomorphic map  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \hookrightarrow C$ . Write  $\varphi(z) = (f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z))$ , then  $f'_1(z), \dots, f'_n(z)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

If  $\omega|_Y = 0$  then  $\varphi^* \omega = 0$ , it follows that

$$\sum a_{ij} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \bar{f}_j}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Comparing coefficients of power series in z and  $\overline{z}$ , since  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z}$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{C}$ , for any i we must have

$$\sum_{j} a_{ij} \frac{\partial \bar{f}_j}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{j} \bar{a}_{ij} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z} = 0$$

It follows that  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all i, j. So  $\omega = 0$ . The proof of the lemma is complete.

(3.6) Proof of (3.2). Assume  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(Y) = 0$ , then by theorem (2.9) for any admissible line bundles  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_n, n = \dim Y + 1$ , one has

$$c_1\left(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1\Big|_Y\right)\cdots c_1\left(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_n\Big|_Y\right)=0.$$

By assumption there is a line bundle  $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Pic}(A) \setminus \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$  whose restriction on Y is in  $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(Y)$ . Replacing  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\mathcal{M} \otimes [-1] * \mathcal{M}$ , we may assume  $\mathcal{M}$  is symmetric. Put an admissible metric on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then we have

$$c_1(\bar{\mathcal{M}}\big|_Y)^2 c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{n-1} = 0.$$

By lemma (3.4),  $c'_1(\bar{\mathcal{M}}|_Y) \equiv 0$ . Let  $\omega = c'_1(\bar{\mathcal{M}})$ , then  $\omega$  is an invariant 1 - 1 form on A, and  $\omega \neq 0$ . Since Y - Y generates A, this contradicts lemma (3.5).

**Theorem (3.7).** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a symmetric ample line bundle on A, and  $C \hookrightarrow A$  a curve such that C - C generates A. Assume that the ring End  $(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the division quaternion algebra. Then  $h_{\mathcal{L}}(C) > 0$ .

Proof. By theorem (3.2), since  $\mathrm{NS}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ , we need only show that  $\mathrm{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  or  $\mathrm{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ has rank  $\geq 2$ . Fix a polarization on A. Decompose End  $(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  into a product of copies of matrix algebras of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{D}$ , such that the involution of End (A) induced by the given polarization is identified with the involution on matrix algebras. Then  $\mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to the set of fixed endomorphisms under the involution. So  $\mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}$ implies that End  $(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , or  $\mathbb{D}$ .

(3.8). Let C be a curve of genus  $\geq 2$ , and c a divisor of degree 1. Define the morphism  $\phi_c: C \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(C)$  such that  $\phi(x) =$  the class of x - c. Denote by  $\Theta$  be the divisor on  $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$  which is the translate of the theta divisor on  $\operatorname{Jac}^{g-1}(C)$  by -(g-1)c, and by  $\operatorname{Pic}_{\Theta}(\operatorname{Jac}(C))$  the admissible metrized line bundles on  $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$  with respect to the endomorphism [2], whose classes in NS(Jac(C)) are multiples of the class of  $\Theta$ . Then  $\phi^*(\operatorname{Pic}_{\Theta}(\operatorname{Jac}(C))$  is the group of admissible metrized line bundles defined in [Z1]. Denote by  $\omega$  the admissible metrized relative dualizing sheaf on C, and by O(D) the admissible line bundle associated to a divisor D. We want to show the following theorem:

**Theorem (3.9).** If  $c_0$  is a divisor of degree 1 on C such that  $(2g-2)c_0$  is in the canonical divisor class on C, then

$$h_{\mathcal{L}}(\phi_c(C)) = \frac{1}{8(g-1)}\omega^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{g})h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(c - c_0).$$

*Proof.* For any divisor D of degree 0 on C, the Faltings-Hodge index theorem shows that

$$(D,D) = -2h_{\mathcal{L}}(D)$$

where (D, D) denotes the admissible pairing on divisions of C. In particular, for any  $x \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ,

$$(x-c, x-c) = -2h_{\phi^*\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(x).$$

Applying the adjunction formula:  $(x, x) = -(x, \omega)$ , one has

$$(x - c, x - c) = (x, x) - 2(x, c) + (c, c)$$
  
= -(x, \omega) - 2(x, c) + (c, c)  
= (- (\omega + 2c) + (c, c), x).

It follows that

$$-2\phi^*\bar{\mathcal{L}} + (\omega + 2c) - (c,c)$$

has height 0 at every point. Consider this as a line bundle. Then one may prove that this bundle has curvatures 0 at all places of K, see 4.7 of [Z1]. Therefore it is numerically equivalent to 0.

Now

$$4c_1(\phi^*\bar{\mathcal{L}})^2 = \left[c_1(\omega) + 2c_1(\mathcal{O}(c))\right]^2 - 2 \cdot 2g(c,c)$$
  
=  $\omega^2 + 4(1-g)c^2 + 4\omega c$   
=  $\omega^2 + 4(1-g)\left(c - \frac{\omega}{2g-2}\right)^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{g-1}$   
=  $\frac{g}{g-1}\omega^2 + 8(g-1)h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(c-c_0).$ 

Since  $\deg \phi^* \mathcal{L} = g$ , one has

$$h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(\phi(C)) = \frac{c_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}\big|_{\phi(C)})^2}{2\deg(\mathcal{L}\big|_{\phi(C)})} = \frac{c_1(\phi_C^*(\bar{\mathcal{L}}))^2}{2g}$$
$$= \frac{\omega^2}{8(g-1)} + (1 - \frac{1}{g})h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(c - c_0).$$

**Corollary (3.10).** (a) If  $(2g-2)c-\omega$  is not a torsion point of Jac(C) then  $h_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}(\phi(C)) > 0$ . (b) If End  $(Jac(C))_{\mathbb{R}}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $(\omega, \omega) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Combine (3.7), (3.8), and the fact that  $(\omega, \omega) \ge 0$  in [Z1].

**Remarks (3.11).** (a) The first part of (3.10) implies that the Bogomolov's conjecture is true if  $c - c_0$  is not torsion. This fact has been proven in [Z1]. The second part shows Bogomolov's conjecture if Jac(C) has a nondivision endomorphism ring End  $(\text{Jac}(C))_{\mathbb{P}}$ .

(b) If C has good reductions at all finite places of a number field, one can prove that  $(\omega, \omega) = (\omega_{Ar}, \omega_{Ar})$ , where  $\omega_{Ar}$  is the Arakelov dualizing sheaf. In this case, Bost told me he has proved (3.9).

(c) If C has good reduction at all finite places of a number field and  $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$  has a complex multiplication, then End  $(\operatorname{Jac}(C)_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ contains a subring isomorphic to } \mathbb{C}^{g}$ . It follows from (3.10)(b) that  $(\omega_{Ar}, \omega_{Ar}) > 0$ . This has been already proved by Burnol [Bu] using Weierstrass points.

#### References

- [Bo] Bogomolov, F. A., Points of finite order on an abelian variety, Math. U.S.S.R. Izvestija Vol. 17 (1981, No. 1).
- [Bu] Burnol, J-F., Weierstrass points on arithmetic surfaces, Invent. Math. 107 (1992), 421-432.
- [CS] Call, G. and Silverman, J., *Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms*, Compositio Math. (to appear).
- [CRL] Chinberg, T., Rumely, R., and Lau, C., Capacity theory and limits of metrized line bundles, manuscript (1992).
- [F1] Faltings, G., Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, Ann. of Math. 119 (1984), 387-425.
- [F2] \_\_\_\_\_, Lectures on the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, Annals of Mathematics Studies 127 (1992).
- [GS1] Gillet, H. and Soulé, C., Arithmetic intersection theory, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 72 (1990), 94-174.
- [GS2] \_\_\_\_\_, Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with hermitian metric I, II, Annals of Math. 131 (1990), 163-203.
- [GS3] \_\_\_\_\_, An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, Invent. Math. 110 (1992), 473-543.
- [Gu] Gubler, W., Höhen theorie, ETH Dissertation (June 1992).
- [K] Kramer, J., Néron-Tate height for cycles on abelian varieties (d'aprés Faltings), preprint.
- [L] Laurent, M., Equations diophantiennes exponentielles, Invent. Math. 78 (1984), 299-327.
- [N] Néron, A., Quasi-fonctions et hauteiers sur les varietés abéliennes, Ann. of Math. **32** (1965), 249-331.
- [P] Philippon, P., Sur des hauteurs alternatives I., Math. Ann. 289 (1991), 255-283.
- [Sa] Sarnak, P., Betti numbers of congruence groups, preprint.
- [Sz] Szpiro, L., Sur les proprietes numeriques du dualisant-relatif d'une surface arithmetique, The Grothendieck Festschrift, **3** (1990).
- [Z1] Zhang, S., Admissible pairing on a curve, Invent. Math. 112 (1993), 171-193.
- [Z2] \_\_\_\_\_, Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties, J. of the A.M.S (1994) (to appear).