

# ON DEGREES OF BIRATIONAL MAPPINGS

SERGE CANTAT AND JUNYI XIE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the degrees of the iterates  $\deg(f^n)$  of a birational map satisfy  $\liminf(\deg(f^n)) < +\infty$  if and only if the sequence  $\deg(f^n)$  is bounded, and that the growth of  $\deg(f^n)$  cannot be arbitrarily slow, unless  $\deg(f^n)$  is bounded.

## 1. DEGREE SEQUENCES

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. Consider a projective variety  $X$ , a polarization  $H$  of  $X$  (given by hyperplane sections of  $X$  in some embedding  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ ), and a birational transformation  $f$  of  $X$ , all defined over the field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let  $k$  be the dimension of  $X$ . The **degree** of  $f$  with respect to the polarization  $H$  is the integer

$$\deg_H(f) = (f^*H) \cdot H^{k-1} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f^*H$  is the total transform of  $H$ , and  $(f^*H) \cdot H^{k-1}$  is the intersection product of  $f^*H$  with  $k-1$  copies of  $H$ . The degree is a positive integer, which we shall simply denote by  $\deg(f)$ , even if it depends on  $H$ . When  $f$  is a birational transformation of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^k$  and the polarization is given by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(1)$  (i.e. by hyperplanes  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ ), then  $\deg(f)$  is the degree of the homogeneous polynomial formulas defining  $f$  in homogeneous coordinates.

The degrees are submultiplicative, in the following sense:

$$\deg(f \circ g) \leq c_{X,H} \deg(f) \deg(g) \quad (1.2)$$

for some positive constant  $c_{X,H}$  and for every pair of birational transformations. Also, if the polarization  $H$  is changed into another polarization  $H'$ , there is a positive constant  $c$  which depends on  $X$ ,  $H$  and  $H'$  but not on  $f$ , such that

$$\deg_H(f) \leq c \deg_{H'}(f) \quad (1.3)$$

We refer to [11, 16, 18] for these fundamental properties.

The **degree sequence** of  $f$  is the sequence  $(\deg(f^n))_{n \geq 0}$ ; it plays an important role in the study of the dynamics and the geometry of  $f$ . There are

infinitely, but only countably many degree sequences (see [4, 19]); unfortunately, not much is known on these sequences when  $\dim(X) \geq 3$  (see [3, 10] for  $\dim(X) = 2$ ). In this article, we obtain the following basic results.

- The sequence  $(\deg(f^n))_{n \geq 0}$  is bounded if and only if it is bounded along an infinite subsequence (see Theorems A and B in § 2 and § 3).
- If the sequence  $(\deg(f^n))_{n \geq 0}$  is unbounded, then its growth can not be arbitrarily slow; for instance,  $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \deg(f^j)$  is asymptotically bounded from below by the inverse of the diagonal Ackermann function when  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k$  (see Theorem C in § 4 for a better result).

We focus on birational transformations because a rational dominant transformation which is not birational has a topological degree  $\delta > 1$ , and this forces an exponential growth of the degrees:  $1 < \delta^{1/k} \leq \lim_n (\deg(f^n)^{1/n})$  where  $k = \dim(X)$  (see [11] and [6], pages 120–126).

## 2. AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE AFFINE SPACE

We start with the simpler case of automorphisms of the affine space; the goal of this section is to introduce a  $p$ -adic method to study degree sequences.

**Theorem A (Urech).**— *Let  $f$  be an automorphism of the affine space  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^k$ . If  $\deg(f^n)$  is bounded along an infinite subsequence, then it is bounded.*

**2.1. Urech's proof.** In [19], Urech proves a stronger result. Writing his proof in an intrinsic way, we extend it to affine varieties:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X = \text{Spec} A$  be an irreducible affine variety of dimension  $k$  over the field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be an automorphism. If  $(\deg(f^n))$  is unbounded there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\#\{n \geq 0 \mid \deg(f^n) \leq d\} \leq \alpha d^k$ ; in particular,  $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \deg(f^j)$  is bounded from below by  $(n/\alpha)^{1/k}$ .*

Here, the degree of  $f^n$ , depends on the choice of a projective compactification  $Y$  of  $X$  and an ample line bundle  $L$  on  $Y$ . However, by Equation (1.3), the statement of Theorem 2.1 does not depend on the choice of  $(Y, L)$ . Since automorphisms of  $X$  always lift to its normalization, we may assume that  $X$  is normal. To prove this theorem, we shall introduce another equivalent notion of degree.

**2.1.1. Degrees on affine varieties.** Consider  $X$  as a subvariety  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^N \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ . Let  $\bar{X}$  be the Zariski closure of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  and  $H_1 := \mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathbb{A}^N$  be the hyperplane at infinity. Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$  be its normalization:  $Y$  is a normal projective

compactification of  $X$ . Since  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$  is finite, there exists  $m \geq 1$  such (i)  $H := \pi^*(mH_1|_{\bar{X}})$  is very ample on  $Y$  and (ii)  $H$  is projectively normal on  $Y$  i.e. for every  $n \geq 0$ , the morphism  $(H^0(Y, H))^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^0(Y, nH)$  is surjective.

If  $P \in A$  is a regular function on  $X$ , we extend it as a rational function on  $Y$ , we denote by  $(P) = (P)_0 - (P)_\infty$  the divisor defined by  $P$  on  $Y$ , and we define

$$\Delta(P) = \min\{d \geq 0 \mid (P) + dH \geq 0 \text{ on } Y\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$A_d = \{P \in A \mid \Delta(P) \leq d\}, \quad (\forall d \geq 0). \quad (2.2)$$

Then  $A = \cup_{d \geq 0} A_d$ . Since  $Y \setminus X$  is the support of  $H$ , we get an isomorphism  $i_n : H^0(Y, nH) \rightarrow A_n \subseteq A$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . Thus,  $A_1$  generates  $A$  and the morphism  $A_1^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A_n$  is surjective. Now we define

$$\deg^H(f) = \min\{m \geq 0 \mid \Delta(f^*P) \leq m \text{ for every } P \in A_1\}. \quad (2.3)$$

For every  $P \in A_n$ , we can write  $P = \sum_{i=1}^l g_{1,i} \cdots g_{1,n}$  for some  $g_{i,j} \in A_1$ . We get  $f^*P = \sum_{i=1}^l f^*g_{1,i} \cdots f^*g_{1,n} \in A_{\deg^H(f)n}$  and

$$\Delta(f^*P) \leq \deg^H(f)\Delta(P). \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $A$  is generated by  $A_1$ , we get an embedding

$$\text{End}(A) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_1, A) = \cup_{d \geq 1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_1, A_d). \quad (2.5)$$

Set  $\text{End}(A)_d = \text{End}(A) \cap \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_1, A_d)$ . For any automorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\deg^H(f) \leq d$  if and only if  $f \in \text{End}(A)_d$ . By Riemann-Roch theorem, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\dim A_n \leq \gamma n^k$ , and this gives the upper bound

$$\dim \text{End}(A)_d \leq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_1, A_d) \leq (\gamma d^k) \dim A_1. \quad (2.6)$$

The following proposition, proved in the Appendix, shows that this new degree  $\deg^H(f)$  is equivalent to the degree  $\deg_H(f)$  introduced in Section 1.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For every automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{k} \deg^H(f) \leq \frac{1}{(H^k)} \deg_H(f) \leq \deg^H(f).$$

**2.1.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1.** By Proposition 2.2, the initial notion of degree can be replaced by  $\deg^H$ . Let  $\gamma$  be as in Equation (2.6). Set  $\ell = (\gamma d^k) \dim A_1 + 1$ , and assume that  $\deg^H(f^{n_i}) \leq d$  for some sequence of positive integers  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_\ell$ . Each  $(f^*)^{n_i}$  is in  $\text{End}(A)_d$  and, because  $\ell > \dim \text{End}(A)_d$ , there is a non-trivial linear relation between the  $(f^*)^{n_i}$  in the vector space  $\text{End}(A)_d$ :

$$(f^*)^n = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_m (f^*)^m \quad (2.7)$$

for some integer  $n \leq n_\ell$  and some coefficients  $a_m \in \mathbf{k}$ . Then, the subalgebra  $\mathbf{k}[f^*] \subseteq \text{End}(A)$  is of finite dimension and  $\mathbf{k}[f^*] \subseteq E_B$  for some  $B \geq 0$ . This shows that the sequence  $(\deg^H(f^N))_{N \geq 0}$  is bounded.

Thus, if we set  $\alpha = \gamma \dim A_1$ , and if the sequence  $(\deg^H(f^n))$  is not bounded, we obtain  $\#\{n \geq 0 \mid \deg^H(f^n) \leq d\} \leq \alpha d^k$ . This proves the first assertion of the theorem; the second follows easily.

**2.2. The  $p$ -adic argument.** Let us give another proof of Theorem A when  $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ , which will be generalized in § 3 for birational transformations.

**2.2.1. Tate diffeomorphisms.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic 0 which is complete with respect to an absolute value  $|\cdot|$  satisfying  $|p| = 1/p$ ; such an absolute value is automatically ultrametric (see [13], Ex. 2 and 3, Chap. I.2). Let  $R = \{x \in K; |x| \leq 1\}$  be the valuation ring of  $K$ ; in the vector space  $K^k$ , the unit **polydisk** is the subset  $U = R^k$ .

Fix a positive integer  $k$ , and consider the ring  $R[\mathbf{x}] = R[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k]$  of polynomial functions in  $k$  variables with coefficients in  $R$ . For  $f$  in  $R[\mathbf{x}]$ , define the norm  $\|f\|$  to be the supremum of the absolute values of the coefficients of  $f$ :

$$\|f\| = \sup_I |a_I| \quad (2.8)$$

where  $f = \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_k)} a_I \mathbf{x}^I$ . By definition, the **Tate algebra**  $R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$  is the completion of  $R[\mathbf{x}]$  with respect to this norm. It coincides with the set of formal power series  $f = \sum_I a_I \mathbf{x}^I$  converging (absolutely) on the closed polydisk  $R^k$ . Moreover, the absolute convergence is equivalent to  $|a_I| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\text{length}(I) \rightarrow \infty$ . Every element  $g$  in  $R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^k$  determines a **Tate analytic** map  $g: U \rightarrow U$ .

For  $f$  and  $g$  in  $R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$  and  $c$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , the notation  $f \in p^c R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$  means  $\|f\| \leq |p|^c$  and the notation  $f \equiv g \pmod{p^c}$  means  $\|f - g\| \leq |p|^c$ ; we then extend such notations component-wise to  $(R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle)^m$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .

For indeterminates  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m)$ , the composition  $R\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle \times R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^m \rightarrow R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$  is well defined, and coordinatewise we obtain

$$R\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^n \times R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^m \rightarrow R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^n. \quad (2.9)$$

When  $m = n = k$ , we get a semigroup  $R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^k$ . The group of (Tate) **analytic diffeomorphisms** of  $U$  is the group of invertible elements in this semigroup; we denote it by  $\text{Diff}^{an}(U)$ . Elements of  $\text{Diff}^{an}(U)$  are bijective transformations  $f: U \rightarrow U$  given by  $f(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1, \dots, f_k)(\mathbf{x})$  where each  $f_i$  is in  $R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$  with an inverse  $f^{-1}: U \rightarrow U$  that is also defined by power series in the Tate algebra.

The following result is due to Jason Bell and Bjorn Poonen (see [1, 17]).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f$  be an element of  $R\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^k$  with  $f \equiv \text{id} \pmod{(p^c)}$  for some real number  $c > 1/(p-1)$ . Then  $f$  is a Tate diffeomorphism of  $U = R^k$  and there exists a unique Tate analytic map  $\Phi: R \times U \rightarrow U$  such that*

- (1)  $\Phi(n, \mathbf{x}) = f^n(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ;
- (2)  $\Phi(s+t, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi(s, \Phi(t, \mathbf{x}))$  for all  $t, s$  in  $R$ .

2.2.2. *Second proof of Theorem A.* Denote by  $S$  the finite set of all the coefficients that appear in the polynomial formulas defining  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$ . Let  $R_S \subset \mathbf{k}$  be the ring generated by  $S$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and let  $K_S$  be its fraction field:

$$\mathbf{Z} \subset R_S \subset K_S \subset \mathbf{k}. \quad (2.10)$$

Since  $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ , there exists a prime  $p > 2$  such that  $R_S$  embeds into  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  (see [15], §4 and 5, and [1], Lemma 3.1). We apply this embedding to the coefficients of  $f$  and get an automorphism of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^k$  which is defined by polynomial formulas in  $\mathbf{Z}_p[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k]$ ; for simplicity, we keep the same notation  $f$  for this automorphism (embedding  $R_S$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  does not change the value of the degrees  $\deg(f^n)$ ). Since  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are polynomial automorphisms with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , they determine elements of  $\text{Diff}^{\text{an}}(U)$ , the group of analytic diffeomorphisms of the polydisk  $U = \mathbf{Z}_p^k$ .

Reducing the coefficients of  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  modulo  $p^2\mathbf{Z}_p$ , one gets two permutations of the finite set  $\mathbb{A}^k(\mathbf{Z}_p/p^2\mathbf{Z})$  (equivalently,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  permute the balls of  $U = \mathbf{Z}_p^k$  of radius  $p^{-2}$ , and these balls are parametrized by  $\mathbb{A}^k(\mathbf{Z}_p/p^2\mathbf{Z})$ ; see [7]). Thus, there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $f^m(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{(p^2)}$ . Taking some further iterate, we may also assume that the differential  $Df_0^m$  satisfies  $Df_0^m \equiv \text{Id} \pmod{(p)}$ . We fix such an integer  $m$  and replace  $f$  by  $f^m$ . The following lemma follows from the submultiplicativity of degrees (see Equation (1.2) in Section 1). It shows that replacing  $f$  by  $f^m$  is harmless if one wants to bound the degrees of the iterates of  $f$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *If the sequence  $\deg(f^{mn})$  is bounded for some  $m > 0$ , then the sequence  $\deg(f^n)$  is bounded too.*

Denote by  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)$  the coordinate system of  $\mathbb{A}^k$ , and by  $m_p$  the multiplication by  $p$ :  $m_p(\mathbf{x}) = p\mathbf{x}$ . Change  $f$  into  $g := m_p^{-1} \circ f \circ m_p$ ; then  $g \equiv \text{Id} \pmod{(p)}$  in the sense of Section 2.2.1. Since  $p \geq 3$ , Theorem 2.3 gives a Tate analytic flow  $\Phi: \mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbb{A}^k(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^k(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  which extends the action of  $g$ :  $\Phi(n, \mathbf{x}) = g^n(\mathbf{x})$  for every integer  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is analytic, one can write

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_J A_J(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{x}^J \quad (2.11)$$

where  $J$  runs over all multi-indices  $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^k$  and each  $A_J$  defines a  $p$ -adic analytic curve  $\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^k(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . By submultiplicativity of the degrees, there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\deg(g^{n_i}) \leq CB^m$ . Thus, we obtain  $A_J(n_i) = 0$  for all indices  $i$  and all multi-indices  $J$  of length  $|J| > CB^m$ . The  $A_J$  being analytic functions of  $t \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ , the principle of isolated zeros implies that

$$A_J = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{Z}_p\langle t \rangle, \forall J \text{ with } |J| > CB^m. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus,  $\Phi(t, \mathbf{x})$  is a polynomial automorphism of degree  $\leq CB^m$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ , and  $g^n(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(n, \mathbf{x})$  has degree at most  $CB^m$  for all  $n$ . By Lemma 2.4, this proves that  $\deg(f^n)$  is a bounded sequence.

### 3. BIRATIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS

**Theorem B.**— *Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field of characteristic 0. Let  $X$  be a projective variety and  $f: X \dashrightarrow X$  be a birational transformation of  $X$ , both defined over  $\mathbf{k}$ . If the sequence  $(\deg(f^n))_{n \geq 0}$  is not bounded, then it goes to  $+\infty$  with  $n$ :*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \deg(f^n) = +\infty.$$

This extends Theorem A to birational transformations. With a theorem of Weil, we get: *if  $f$  is a birational transformation of the projective variety  $X$ , over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and if the degrees of its iterates are bounded along an infinite subsequence  $f^{n_i}$ , then there exist a birational map  $\psi: Y \dashrightarrow X$  and an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $f_Y := \psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi$  is in  $\text{Aut}(Y)$ , and  $f_Y^m$  is in the connected component  $\text{Aut}(Y)^0$  (see [5] and references therein).*

Urech's argument does not apply to this context; the basic obstruction is that rational transformations of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^k$  of degree  $\leq B$  generate an infinite dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space for every  $B \geq 1$  (the maps  $z \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \mapsto (z - a)^{-1}$  with  $a \in \mathbf{k}$  are linearly independent); looking back at the proof in Section 2.1.2, the problem is that the field of rational functions on an affine variety  $X$  is not finitely generated as a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra. We shall adapt the  $p$ -adic method described in Section 2.2.2. In what follows,  $f$  and  $X$  are as in Theorem B; we assume, without loss of generality, that  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{C}$  and  $X$  is smooth. We suppose that there is an infinite sequence of integers  $n_1 < \dots < n_j < \dots$  and a number  $B$  such that  $\deg(f^{n_j}) \leq B$  for all  $j$ . We fix a finite subset  $S \subset \mathbf{C}$  such that  $X$ ,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are defined by equations and formulas with coefficients in  $S$ , and we embed the ring  $R_S \subset \mathbf{C}$  generated by  $S$  in some  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , for some prime number  $p > 2$ . According to [7, Section 3], we may assume that  $X$  and  $f$  have good reduction modulo  $p$ .

**3.1. The Hrushovski's theorem and  $p$ -adic polydisks.** According to a theorem of Hrushovski (see [12]), there is a periodic point  $z_0$  of  $f$  in  $X(\mathbf{F})$  for some finite field extension  $\mathbf{F}$  of the residue field  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , the orbit of which does not intersect the indeterminacy points of  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$ . If  $\ell$  is the period of  $z_0$ , then  $f^\ell(z_0) = z_0$  and  $Df_{z_0}^\ell$  is an element of the finite group  $\mathrm{GL}((TX_{\mathbf{F}_q})_{z_0}) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbf{F}_q)$ . Thus, there is an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $f^m(z_0) = z_0$  and  $Df_{z_0}^m = \mathrm{Id}$ .

Replace  $f$  by its iterate  $g = f^m$ . Then,  $g$  fixes  $z_0$  in  $X(\mathbf{F})$ ,  $g$  is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ , and  $Dg_{z_0} = \mathrm{Id}$ . According to [2] and [7, Section 3], this implies that there is

- a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , with valuation ring  $R \subset K$ ;
- a point  $z$  in  $X(K)$  and a polydisk  $V_z \simeq R^k \subset X(K)$  which is  $g$ -invariant and such that  $g|_{V_z} \equiv \mathrm{Id} \pmod{(p)}$  (in the coordinate system  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)$  of the polydisk).

When the point  $z_0$  is in  $X(\mathbf{F}_p)$  and is the reduction of a point  $z \in X(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ , the polydisk  $V_z$  is the set of points  $w \in X(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  with  $|z - w| < 1$ ; one identifies this polydisk to  $U = (\mathbf{Z}_p)^k$  via some  $p$ -adic analytic diffeomorphism  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V_z$ ; changing  $\varphi$  into  $\varphi \circ m_p$  if necessary, we obtain  $g_{V_z} \equiv \mathrm{Id} \pmod{(p)}$  (see Section 2.2.2 and [7], Section 3.2.1). In full generality, a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is needed because  $z_0$  is a point in  $X(\mathbf{F})$  for some extension  $\mathbf{F}$  of  $\mathbf{F}_p$ .

**3.2. Controlling the degrees.** As in Section 2.2.1, denote by  $U$  the polydisk  $R^k \simeq V_z$ ; thus,  $U$  is viewed as the polydisk  $R^k$  and also as a subset of  $X(K)$ . Applying Theorem 2.3 to  $g$ , we obtain a  $p$ -adic analytic flow

$$\Phi: R \times U \rightarrow U, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad (3.1)$$

such that  $\Phi(n, \mathbf{x}) = g^n(\mathbf{x})$  for every integer  $n$ . In other words, the action of  $g$  on  $U$  extends to an analytic action of the additive compact group  $(R, +)$ .

Let  $\pi_1: X \times X \rightarrow X$  denote the projection onto the first factor. Denote by  $\mathrm{Bir}_D(X)$  the set of birational transformations of  $X$  of degree  $D$ ; once birational transformations are identified to their graphs, this set becomes naturally a finite union of irreducible, locally closed algebraic subsets in the Hilbert scheme of  $X \times X$  (see [5], Section 2.2, and references therein). Taking a subsequence, there is a positive integer  $D$ , an irreducible component  $B_D$  of  $\mathrm{Bir}_D(X)$ , and a strictly increasing, infinite sequence of integers  $(n_j)$  such that

$$g^{n_j} \in B_D \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $j$ . Denote by  $\overline{B_D}$  the Zariski closure of  $B_D$  in the Hilbert scheme of  $X \times X$ . To every element  $h \in \overline{B_D}$  corresponds a unique algebraic subset  $G_h$  of

$X \times X$  (the graph of  $h$ , when  $h$  is in  $B_D$ ). Our goal is to show that, for every  $t \in R$ , the graph of  $\Phi(t, \cdot)$  is the intersection  $\mathcal{G}_{h_t} \cap U^2$  for some element  $h_t \in \overline{B_D}$ ; this will conclude the proof because  $g^n(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(n, \mathbf{x})$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

We start with a simple remark, which we encapsulate in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a finite subset  $E \subset U \subset X(K)$  with the following property. Given any subset  $\tilde{E}$  of  $U \times U$  with  $\pi_1(\tilde{E}) = E$ , there is at most one element  $h \in \overline{B_D}$  such that  $\tilde{E} \subset \mathcal{G}_h$ .*

Fix such a set  $E$ , and order it to get a finite list  $E = (x_1, \dots, x_{\ell_0})$  of elements of  $U$ . Let  $E' = (x_1, \dots, x_{\ell_0}, x_{\ell_0+1}, \dots, x_\ell)$  be any list of elements of  $U$  which extends  $E$ . For every element  $h$  in  $\overline{B_D}$ , the variety  $\mathcal{G}_h$  determines a correspondance  $\mathcal{G}_h \subset X \times X$ . The subset of elements  $(h, (x_i, y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \ell})$  in  $\overline{B_D} \times (X \times X)^\ell$  defined by the incidence relation

$$(x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{G}_h \tag{3.3}$$

for every  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  is an algebraic subset of  $\overline{B_D} \times (X \times X)^\ell$ . Add one constraint, namely that the first projection  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \ell}$  coincides with  $E'$ , and project the resulting subset on  $(X \times X)^\ell$ : we get a subset  $G(E')$  of  $(X \times X)^\ell$ . Then, define a  $p$ -adic analytic curve  $\Lambda: R \rightarrow (X \times X)^\ell$  by

$$\Lambda(t) = (x_i, \Phi(t, x_i))_{1 \leq i \leq \ell}. \tag{3.4}$$

If  $t = n_j$ ,  $g^{n_j}$  is an element of  $B_D$  and  $\Lambda(n_j)$  is contained in the graph of  $g^{n_j}$ ; hence,  $\Lambda(n_j)$  is an element of  $G(E')$ . By the principle of isolated zeros, the analytic curve  $t \mapsto \Lambda(t) \subset (X \times X)^\ell$  is contained in  $G(E')$  for all  $t \in R$ . Thus, for every  $t$  there is an element  $h_t \in \overline{B_D}$  such that  $\Lambda(t)$  is contained in the subset  $\mathcal{G}_{h_t}^\ell$  of  $(X \times X)^\ell$ . From the choice of  $E$  and the inclusion  $E \subset E'$ , we know that  $h_t$  does not depend on  $E'$ . Thus, the graph of  $\Phi(t, \cdot)$  coincides with the intersection of  $\mathcal{G}_{h_t}$  with  $U \times U$ . This implies that the graph of  $g^n(\cdot) = \Phi(n, \cdot)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{G}_{h_n}$ , and that the degree of  $g^n$  is at most  $D$  for all values of  $n$ .

#### 4. LOWER BOUNDS ON DEGREE GROWTH

We now prove that the growth of  $(\deg(f^n))$  can not be arbitrarily slow unless  $(\deg(f^n))$  is bounded. For simplicity, we focus on birational transformations of the projective space; there is no restriction on the characteristic of  $\mathbf{k}$ .

**4.1. A family of integer sequences.** Fix two positive integers  $k$  and  $d$ ;  $k$  will be the dimension of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k$ , and  $d$  will be the degree of  $f: \mathbb{P}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ . Set

$$m = (d - 1)(k + 1). \tag{4.1}$$

Then, consider an auxiliary integer  $D \geq 1$ , which will play the role of the degree of an effective divisor in the next paragraphs, and define

$$q = (dD + 1)^m. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus,  $q$  depends on  $k$ ,  $d$  and  $D$  because  $m$  depends on  $k$  and  $d$ . Then, set

$$a_0 = \binom{k+D}{k} - 1, \quad b_0 = 1, \quad c_0 = D + 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Starting from the triple  $(a_0, b_0, c_0)$ , we define a sequence  $((a_j, b_j, c_j))_{j \geq 0}$  inductively by

$$(a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}, c_{j+1}) = (a_j, b_j - 1, qc_j^2) \quad (4.4)$$

if  $b_j \geq 2$ , and by

$$(a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}, c_{j+1}) = (a_j - 1, qc_j^2, qc_j^2) = (a_j - 1, c_{j+1}, c_{j+1}) \quad (4.5)$$

if  $b_j = 1$ . By construction,  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) = (a_0 - 1, qc_0^2, qc_0^2)$ .

Define  $\Phi: \mathbf{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$  by

$$\Phi(c) = qc^2. \quad (4.6)$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Define the sequence of integers  $(F_i)_{i \geq 1}$  recursively by  $F_1 = q(D + 1)^2$  and  $F_{i+1} = \Phi^{F_i}(F_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$  (where  $\Phi^{F_i}$  is the  $F_i$ -iterate of  $\Phi$ ). Then*

$$(a_{1+F_1+\dots+F_i}, b_{1+F_1+\dots+F_i}, c_{1+F_1+\dots+F_i}) = (a_0 - i - 1, F_{i+1}, F_{i+1}).$$

The proof is straightforward. Now, define  $S: \mathbf{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$  as the sum

$$S(j) = 1 + F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_j \quad (4.7)$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ ; it is increasing and goes to  $+\infty$  extremely fast with  $j$ . Then, set

$$\chi_{d,k}(n) = \max \left\{ D \geq 0 \mid S\left(\binom{k+D}{k} - 2\right) < n \right\}. \quad (4.8)$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *The function  $\chi_{d,k}: \mathbf{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$  is non-decreasing and goes to  $+\infty$  with  $n$ .*

**Remark 4.3.** *The function  $S$  is primitive recursive (see [9], Chapters 3 and 13). In other words,  $S$  is obtained from the basic functions (the zero function, the successor  $s(x) = x + 1$ , and the projections  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \rightarrow x_i$ ) by a finite sequence of compositions and recursions. Equivalently, there is a program computing  $S$ , all of whose instructions are limited to (1) the zero initialization  $V \leftarrow 0$ , (2) the increment  $V \leftarrow V + 1$ , (3) the assignment  $V \leftarrow V'$ , and (4) loops of definite length. Writing such a program is an easy exercise. Now, consider the diagonal Ackermann function  $A(n)$  (see [9], Section 13.3). It grows asymptotically*

faster than any primitive recursive function; hence, the inverse of the Ackermann diagonal function  $\alpha(n) = \max\{D \geq 0 \mid \text{Ack}(D) \leq n\}$  is, asymptotically, a lower bound for  $\chi_{d,k}(n)$ . Showing that  $\chi_{d,k}$  is in the  $\mathcal{L}_6$  hierarchy of [9], Chapter 13, one gets an asymptotic lower bound by the inverse of the function  $f_7$  of [9], independent of the values of  $d$  and  $k$ .

**4.2. Statement of the lower bound.** We can now state the result that will be proved in the next paragraphs.

**Theorem C.**— *Let  $f$  be a birational transformation of the complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k$  of degree  $d$ . If the sequence  $(\max_{0 \leq j \leq n}(\deg(f^j)))_{n \geq 0}$  is unbounded, then it is bounded from below by the sequence of integers  $(\chi_{d,k}(n))_{n \geq 0}$ .*

**Remark 4.4.** There are infinitely, but only countably many sequences of degrees  $(\deg(f^n))_{n \geq 0}$  (see [4, 19]). Consider the countably many sequences

$$\left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} (\deg(f^j)) \right)_{n \geq 0} \quad (4.9)$$

restricted to the family of birational maps for which  $(\deg(f^n))$  is unbounded. We get a countable family of *non-decreasing, unbounded sequences of integers*. Let  $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  be any countable family of such sequences of integers  $(u_i(n))$ . Define  $w(n)$  as follows. First, set  $v_j = \min\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_j\}$ ; this defines a new family of sequences, with the same limit  $+\infty$ , but now  $v_j(n) \geq v_{j+1}(n)$  for every pair  $(j, n)$ . Then, set  $m_0 = 0$ , and define  $m_{n+1}$  recursively to be the first positive integer such that  $v_{n+1}(m_{n+1}) \geq v_n(m_n) + 1$ . We have  $m_{n+1} \geq m_n + 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Set  $w(n) := v_{r_n}(m_{r_n})$  where  $r_n$  is the unique non-negative integer satisfying  $m_{r_n} \leq n \leq m_{r_n+1} - 1$ . By construction,  $w(n)$  goes to  $+\infty$  with  $n$  and  $u_i(n)$  is *asymptotically bounded from below* by  $w(n)$ .

In Theorem C, the result is more explicit. Firstly, the lower bound is explicitly given by the sequence  $(\chi_{d,k}(n))_{n \geq 0}$ . Secondly, the lower bound is not asymptotic: it works for every value of  $n$ . In particular, if  $\deg(f^j) < \chi_{d,k}(n)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\deg(f) = d$ , then the sequence  $(\deg(f^n))$  is bounded.

**4.3. Divisors and strict transforms.** To prove Theorem C, we consider the action of  $f$  by strict transform on effective divisors. As above,  $d = \deg(f)$  and  $m = (d - 1)(k + 1)$  (see Section 4.1).

4.3.1. *Exceptional locus.* Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$  be two birational morphisms such that  $f = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$ ; then, consider the exceptional locus  $\text{Exc}(\pi_2) \subset X$ , project it by  $\pi_1$  into  $\mathbb{P}^k$ , and list its irreducible components of codimension 1: we obtain a finite number

$$E_1, \dots, E_{m(f)} \quad (4.10)$$

of irreducible hypersurfaces, contained in the zero locus of the jacobian determinant of  $f$ . Since this critical locus has degree  $m$ , we obtain:

$$m(f) \leq m, \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(E_i) \leq m \quad (\forall i \geq 1). \quad (4.11)$$

4.3.2. *Effective divisors.* Denote by  $M$  the semigroup of effective divisors of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k$ . There is a partial ordering  $\leq$  on  $M$ , which is defined by  $E \leq E'$  if and only if the divisor  $E' - E$  is effective.

We denote by  $\deg: M \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  the degree function. For every degree  $D \geq 0$ , we denote by  $M_D$  the set  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k}(D)))$  of effective divisors of degree  $D$ ; thus,  $M$  is the disjoint union of all the  $M_D$ , and each of these components will be endowed with the Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k}(D)))$ . The dimension of  $M_D$  is equal to the integer  $a_0 = a_0(D, k)$  from Section 4.1:

$$\dim(M_D) = \binom{k+D}{k} - 1. \quad (4.12)$$

Let  $G \subset M$  be the semigroup generated by the  $E_i$ :

$$G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m(f)} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} E_i. \quad (4.13)$$

The elements of  $G$  are the effective divisors which are supported by the exceptional locus of  $f$ . For every  $E \in G$ , there is a translation operator  $T_E: M \rightarrow M$ , defined by  $T_E: E' \mapsto E + E'$ ; it restricts to a linear projective embedding of the projective space  $M_D$  into the projective space  $M_{D+\deg(E)}$ . We define

$$M_D^\circ = M_D \setminus \bigcup_{E \in G \setminus \{0\}, \deg(E) \leq D} T_E(M_{D-\deg(E)}). \quad (4.14)$$

Thus,  $M_D^\circ$  is the complement in  $M_D$  of finitely many proper linear projective subspaces. Also,  $M_0^\circ = M_0$  is a point and  $M_1^\circ$  is obtained from  $M_1 = (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k)^\vee$  by removing finitely many points, corresponding to the  $E_i$  of degree 1 (the hyperplanes contracted by  $f$ ). Set  $M^\circ = \bigcup_{D \geq 0} M_D^\circ$ . This is the set of effective divisors without any component in the exceptional locus of  $f$ . The inclusion of  $M^\circ$  in  $M$  will be denoted by  $\iota: M^\circ \rightarrow M$ . There is a natural projection  $\pi_G: M \rightarrow G$ ; namely,  $\pi_G(E)$  is the maximal element such that  $E - \pi_G(E)$  is effective.

We denote by  $\pi_\circ: M \rightarrow M^\circ$  the projection  $\pi_\circ = \text{Id} - \pi_G$ ; this homomorphism removes the part of an effective divisor  $E$  which is supported on the exceptional locus of  $f$ .

**Remark 4.5.** The restriction of the map  $\pi_\circ$  to the projective space  $M_D$  is piecewise linear, in the following sense. Consider the subsets  $U_{E,D}$  of  $M_D$  which are defined for every  $E \in G$  with  $\deg(E) \leq D$  by

$$U_{E,D} = T_E(M_{D-\deg(E)}) \setminus \bigcup_{E' > E, E' \in G, \deg(E') \leq D} T_{E'}(M_{D-\deg(E')}).$$

They define a stratification of  $M_D$  by (open subsets of) linear subspaces, and  $\pi_\circ$  coincides with the linear map inverse of  $T_E$  on each  $U_{E,D}$ . Moreover,  $\pi_\circ(Z)$  is closed for any closed subset  $Z \subseteq M_D$ .

We say that a scheme theoretic point  $x \in M$  (resp.  $M^\circ$ ) is **irreducible** if the divisor of  $\mathbb{P}^k$  corresponding to  $x$  is irreducible. In other words,  $x$  is irreducible, if a general closed point  $y \in \overline{\{x\}} \subseteq M$  is irreducible.

**4.3.3. Strict transform.** First, we consider the total transform  $f^*: M \rightarrow M$ , which is defined by  $f^*(E) = (\pi_1)_* \pi_2^*(E)$  for every divisor  $E \in M$ . This is a homomorphism of semigroups; it is injective on non-closed irreducible points. Let  $[x_0, \dots, x_k]$  be homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^k$ . If  $E$  is defined by the homogeneous equation  $P = 0$ , then  $f^*(E)$  is defined by  $P \circ f = 0$ ; thus,  $f^*$  induces a linear projective embedding of  $M_D$  into  $M_{dD}$  for every  $D$ .

Then, we denote by  $f^\circ: M^\circ \rightarrow M^\circ$  the strict transform. It is defined by

$$f^\circ(E) = (\pi_\circ \circ f^* \circ \iota)(E). \quad (4.15)$$

This is a homomorphism of semigroups. If  $x \in M$  is an irreducible point, its total transform  $f^*(x)$  is not necessarily irreducible, but  $f^\circ(x)$  is irreducible.

In general,  $(f^\circ)^n \neq (f^n)^\circ$ , but for non-closed irreducible point  $x \in M$ , we have  $(f^\circ)^n(x) = (f^n)^\circ(x)$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Indeed, a non-closed irreducible point  $x \in M$  can be viewed as an irreducible hypersurface on  $X$  which is defined over some transcendental extension of  $\mathbf{k}$ , but not over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then  $f^\circ(x)$  is the unique irreducible component  $E$  of  $f^*(x)$ , on which  $f|_E$  is birational to its image. (Note that when  $\mathbf{k}$  is uncountable, one can also work with very general points of  $M_D$  for every  $D \geq 1$ , instead of irreducible, non-closed points).

**4.4. Proof of Theorem C.** Let  $\eta$  be the generic point of  $M_1^\circ$  ( $\eta$  corresponds to a generic hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k$ ). Note that  $\eta$  is non-closed and irreducible. The

degree of  $f^*(\eta)$  is equal to the degree of  $f$ , and since  $\eta$  is generic,  $f^*(\eta)$  coincides with  $f^\circ(\eta)$ . Thus,  $\deg(f) = \deg(f^\circ(\eta))$  and more generally

$$\deg(f^n) = \deg((f^\circ)^n \eta) \quad (\forall n \geq 1). \quad (4.16)$$

Fix an integer  $D \geq 0$ . Write  $M_{\leq D}^\circ$  for the disjoint union of the  $M_{D'}^\circ$  with  $D' \leq D$ , and define recursively  $Z_D(0) = M_{\leq D}^\circ$  and

$$Z_D(i+1) = \{E \in Z_D(i) \mid f^\circ(E) \in Z_D(i)\} \quad (4.17)$$

for  $i \geq 0$ . A divisor  $E \in M_{\leq D}^\circ$  is in  $Z_D(i)$  if its strict transform  $f^\circ(E)$  is of degree  $\leq D$ , and  $f^\circ(f^\circ(E))$  is also of degree  $\leq D$ , up to  $(f^\circ)^i(E)$  which is also of degree at most  $D$ .

Let us describe  $Z_D(i+1)$  more precisely. For each  $i$ , and each  $E \in G$  of degree  $\deg(E) \leq dD$  consider the subset  $T_E(\overline{\mathfrak{t}(Z_D(i))}) \cap M_{dD}$ ; this is a subset of  $M_{dD}$  which is made of divisors  $W$  such that  $\pi_\circ(W)$  is contained in  $Z_D(i)$ , and the union of all these subsets when  $E$  varies is exactly the set of points  $W$  in  $M_{dD}$  with a projection  $\pi_\circ(W)$  in  $Z_D(i)$ . Thus, we consider

$$(f^*)^{-1}(T_E(\overline{\mathfrak{t}(Z_D(i))})) = \{V \in M_{\leq D} \mid f^*(V) \in T_E(\overline{\mathfrak{t}(Z_D(i))})\}. \quad (4.18)$$

These sets are closed subsets of  $M_{\leq D}$ , and

$$Z_D(i+1) = Z_D(i) \cap \bigcup_{E \in G, \deg(E) \leq dD} \pi_\circ \left( (f^*)^{-1}(T_E(\overline{\mathfrak{t}(Z_D(i))})) \right). \quad (4.19)$$

Since  $Z_D(0)$  is closed in  $M_{\leq D}^\circ$  and  $\pi_\circ$  is closed on  $M_{\leq D}$ , by induction,  $Z_D(i)$  is closed for all  $i \geq 0$ . The subsets  $Z_D(i)$  form a decreasing sequence of Zariski closed subsets (in the disjoint union  $M_{\leq D}^\circ$  of the  $M_{D'}^\circ$ ,  $D' \leq D$ ). The strict transform  $f^\circ$  maps  $Z_D(i+1)$  into  $Z_D(i)$ . By Noetherianity, there exists a minimal integer  $\ell(D) \geq 0$  such that

$$Z_D(\ell(D)) = \bigcap_{i \geq 0} Z_D(i); \quad (4.20)$$

we denote this subset by  $Z_D(\infty) = Z_D(\ell(D))$ . By construction,  $Z_D(\infty)$  is stable under the operator  $f^\circ$ ; more precisely,  $f^\circ(Z_D(\infty)) = Z_D(\infty) = (f^\circ)^{-1}(Z_D(\infty))$ .

Let  $\tau: \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be a lower bound for the inverse function of  $\ell$ :

$$\ell(\tau(n)) \leq n \quad (\forall n \geq 0). \quad (4.21)$$

Assume that  $\max\{\deg(f^m) \mid 0 \leq m \leq n_0\} \leq \tau(n_0)$  for some  $n_0 \geq 1$ . Then  $\deg((f^\circ)^i(\eta)) \leq \tau(n_0)$  for every integer  $i$  between 0 and  $n_0$ ; this implies that  $\eta$  is in the set  $Z_{\tau(n_0)}(\ell(\tau(n_0))) = Z_{\tau(n_0)}(\infty)$ , so that the degree of  $(f^\circ)^m(\eta)$  is

bounded from above by  $\tau(n_0)$  for all  $m \geq 0$ . From Equation (4.16) we deduce that the sequence  $(\deg(f^m))_{m \geq 0}$  is bounded. This proves the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\tau$  be a lower bound for the inverse function of  $\ell$ . If*

$$\max\{\deg(f^m) \mid 0 \leq m \leq n_0\} \leq \tau(n_0)$$

*for some  $n_0 \geq 1$ , then the sequence  $(\deg(f^n))_{n \geq 0}$  is bounded by  $\tau(n_0)$ .*

So, to conclude, we need to compare  $\ell: \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$  to the function  $S: \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$  of paragraph 4.1 (recall that  $S$  depends on the parameters  $k = \dim(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^k)$  and  $d = \deg(f)$  and that  $\ell$  depends on  $f$ ). Now, write  $Z'_D(i) = Z_D(i) \setminus Z_D(\infty)$ , and note that it is a strictly decreasing sequence of open subsets of  $Z_D(i)$  with  $Z'_D(j) = \emptyset$  for all  $j \geq \ell(D)$ . We shall say that a closed subset of  $M_{\leq D}^{\circ} \setminus Z_D(\infty)$  for the Zariski topology is **piecewise linear** if all its irreducible components are equal to the intersection of  $M_{\leq D}^{\circ} \setminus Z_D(\infty)$  with a linear projective subspace of some  $M_{D'}$ ,  $D' \leq D$ . We note that the intersection of two irreducible linear projective subspaces is still an irreducible linear projective subspace.

Let  $\text{Lin}(a, b, c)$  be the family of closed piecewise linear subsets of  $M_{\leq D}^{\circ} \setminus Z_D(\infty)$  of dimension  $a$ , with at most  $c$  irreducible components, and at most  $b$  irreducible components of maximal dimension  $a$ . Then,

- (1)  $Z'_D(i+1) = \{F \in Z'_D(i) \mid f^{\circ}(F) \in Z'_D(i)\} = \pi_{\circ}(f^*Z'_D(i) \cap \cup_E T_E(Z'_D(i)))$ , where  $E$  runs over the elements of  $G$  of degree  $\deg(E) \leq dD$ ;
- (2) in this union, each irreducible component of  $T_E(Z'_D(i))$  is piecewise linear.

Recall that  $q = (dD + 1)^m$  (see Section 4.1). If  $Z$  is any closed piecewise linear subset of  $M_{\leq D}^{\circ} \setminus Z_D(\infty)$  that contains exactly  $c$  irreducible components, the set

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\circ}(f^*Z \cap \bigcup_{E \in G, \deg(E) \leq dD} T_E(Z)) &= \bigcup_{E \in G, \deg(E) \leq dD} \pi_{\circ}(f^*Z \cap T_E(Z)) \\ &= \bigcup_{E \in G, \deg(E) \leq dD} T_E^{-1}|_{T_E(Z)}(f^*Z \cap T_E(Z)) \end{aligned}$$

has at most  $qc^2 = (dD + 1)^m c^2$  irreducible components (this is a crude estimate:  $f^*Z \cap T_E(Z)$  has at most  $c^2$  irreducible components,  $T_E^{-1}|_{T_E(Z)}$  is injective and the factor  $(dD + 1)^m$  comes from the fact that  $G$  contains at most  $(dD + 1)^m$  elements of degree  $\leq dD$ ). Let us now use that the sequence  $Z'_D(i)$  decreases strictly as  $i$  varies from 0 to  $\ell(D)$ , with  $Z'_D(\ell(D)) = \emptyset$ . If  $0 \leq i \leq \ell(D) - 1$ , and if  $Z'_D(i)$  is contained in  $\text{Lin}(a, b, c)$ , we obtain

- (1) if  $b \geq 2$ , then  $Z'_D(i+1)$  is contained in  $\text{Lin}(a, b-1, qc^2)$ ;

(2) if  $b = 1$ , then  $Z'_D(i+1)$  is contained in  $\text{Lin}(a-1, qc^2, qc^2)$ .

This shows that

$$\ell(D) \leq S\left(\binom{k+D}{k} - 2\right) + 1 \quad (4.22)$$

where  $S$  is the function introduced in the Equation (4.7) of Section 4.1. Since  $\chi_{d,k}$  satisfies  $\ell(\chi_{d,k}(n)) \leq n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , the conclusion follows.

## 5. APPENDIX: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.2

We keep the notation from Section 2.1.1. Let  $f$  be an automorphism of  $X$ . There exist a normal projective irreducible variety  $Z$  and two birational morphisms  $\pi_1 : Z \rightarrow Y$  and  $\pi_2 : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are isomorphisms over  $X$ , and  $f = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have  $\Delta(f^*P) \leq k(H^k)^{-1}\Delta(P) \deg_H(f)$  for every  $P \in A$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* By Siu's inequality (see [14] Theorem 2.2.15, and [8] Theorem 1), we get

$$\pi_2^*H \leq \frac{k(\pi_2^*H \cdot (\pi_1^*H)^{k-1})}{((\pi_1^*H)^k)} \pi_1^*H = \frac{k \deg_H(f)}{(H^k)} \pi_1^*H. \quad (5.1)$$

Since  $(P) + \Delta(P)H \geq 0$  we have  $(\pi_2^*P) + \Delta(P)\pi_2^*H \geq 0$ . It follows that

$$(\pi_1^*f^*P) + \frac{\Delta(P)k \deg_H(f)}{(H^k)} \pi_1^*H = (\pi_2^*P) + \frac{\Delta(P)k \deg_H(f)}{(H^k)} \pi_1^*H \geq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Since  $(\pi_1)_* \circ (\pi_1)^* = \text{Id}$  we obtain  $(f^*P) + (k\Delta(P)(H^k)^{-1} \deg_H(f))H \geq 0$ . This implies  $\Delta(f^*P) \leq k(H^k)^{-1}\Delta(P) \deg_H(f)$ .  $\square$

Lemma 5.1 shows that  $\deg^H(f) \leq k(H^k)^{-1} \deg_H(f)$ . We now prove the reverse direction:  $\deg_H(f) \leq (H^k) \deg^H(f)$ .

Since  $H$  is very ample, Bertini's theorem gives an irreducible divisor  $D \in |H|$  such that  $\pi_2(E) \not\subseteq D$  for every prime divisor  $E$  of  $Z$  in  $Z \setminus \pi_2^*(X)$ ; hence,  $\pi_2^*D$  is equal to the strict transform  $\pi_2^\circ D$ . By definition,  $D = (P) + H$  for some  $P \in A_1$ . Thus,  $(\pi_1)_* \pi_2^*H$  is linearly equivalent to  $(\pi_1)_* \pi_2^*D = (\pi_1)_* \pi_2^\circ D$ , and this irreducible divisor  $(\pi_1)_* \pi_2^\circ D$  is the closure  $D_{f^*P}$  of  $\{f^*P = 0\} \subseteq X$  in  $Y$ . Writing  $(f^*P) = D_{f^*P} - F$  where  $F$  is supported on  $Y \setminus X$  we also get that  $(\pi_1)_* \pi_2^*H$  is linearly equivalent to  $F$ . Since  $\Delta(f^*P) \leq \deg^H(f)\Delta(P) = \deg^H(f)$ , the definition of  $\Delta$  gives

$$D_{f^*P} - F + \deg^H(f)H = (f^*P) + \deg^H(f)H \geq 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Thus,  $F \leq \deg^H(f)H$  because  $D_{f^*P}$  is irreducible and is not supported on  $Y \setminus X$ . Altogether, this gives  $\deg_H(f) = ((\pi_1)_* \pi_2^*H \cdot H^{k-1}) = (F \cdot H^{k-1}) \leq \deg^H(f)(H^k)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jason P. Bell. A generalised Skolem-Mahler-Lech theorem for affine varieties. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 73(2):367–379, 2006.
- [2] Jason P. Bell, Dragos Ghioca, and Thomas J. Tucker. The dynamical Mordell-Lang problem for étale maps. *Amer. J. Math.*, 132(6):1655–1675, 2010.
- [3] Jérémy Blanc and Serge Cantat. Dynamical degrees of birational transformations of projective surfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 29(2):415–471, 2016.
- [4] Araceli M. Bonifant and John Erik Fornæss. Growth of degree for iterates of rational maps in several variables. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 49(2):751–778, 2000.
- [5] Serge Cantat. Morphisms between Cremona groups, and characterization of rational varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 150(7):1107–1124, 2014.
- [6] Serge Cantat, Antoine Chambert-Loir, and Vincent Guedj. *Quelques aspects des systèmes dynamiques polynomiaux*, volume 30 of *Panoramas et Synthèses [Panoramas and Syntheses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2010.
- [7] Serge Cantat and Junyi Xie. Algebraic actions of discrete groups: the  $p$ -adic method. *Acta Math.*, 220(2):239–295, 2018.
- [8] Steven Dale Cutkosky. Teissier’s problem on inequalities of nef divisors. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 14(9):1540002, 37, 2015.
- [9] Martin D. Davis and Elaine J. Weyuker. *Computability, complexity, and languages*. Computer Science and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, 1983. Fundamentals of theoretical computer science.
- [10] Jeffrey Diller and Charles Favre. Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(6):1135–1169, 2001.
- [11] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Une borne supérieure pour l’entropie topologique d’une application rationnelle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1637–1644, 2005.
- [12] Ehud Hrushovski. The elementary theory of the Frobenius automorphism. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0406514v1>, pages 1–135, 2004.
- [13] Neal Koblitz.  *$p$ -adic numbers,  $p$ -adic analysis, and zeta-functions*, volume 58 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1984.
- [14] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [15] Christer Lech. A note on recurring series. *Ark. Mat.*, 2:417–421, 1953.
- [16] Bac-Dang Nguyen. Degrees of iterates of rational transformations of projective varieties. *arXiv*, arXiv:1701.07760:1–46, 2017.
- [17] Bjorn Poonen.  $p$ -adic interpolation of iterates. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 46(3):525–527, 2014.
- [18] Tuyen Trung Truong. Relative dynamical degrees of correspondances over fields of arbitrary characteristic. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, to appear:1–44, 2018.
- [19] Christian Urech. Remarks on the degree growth of birational transformations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 25(1):291–308, 2018.

UNIV RENNES, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F-35000 RENNES, FRANCE

*E-mail address*: `serge.cantat@univ-rennes1.fr`, `junyi.xie@univ-rennes1.fr`