

AN EFFECTIVE THEORY OF GW AND FJRW INVARIANTS OF QUINTICS CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS

HUAI-LIANG CHANG¹, JUN LI², WEI-PING LI³, AND CHIU-CHU MELISSA LIU⁴

ABSTRACT. We analyze the torus fixed loci of Mixed Spin P fields moduli, and deduce its localization formulas with explicit factors. An algorithm toward evaluating quintic's Gromov-Witten and Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten invariants is derived.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [CLLL], we introduced the notion of Mixed-Spin-P (MSP) fields

$$(1.1) \quad \xi = (\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \varphi, \rho, \nu)$$

consisting of pointed twisted curves $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$, line bundles \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{N} , and fields $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\oplus 5})$, $\rho \in H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log})$, and $\nu \in H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N})$, subject to conditions of non-degeneracy. Its numerical invariants are the genus g of \mathcal{C} , the monodromy γ_i of \mathcal{L} at the marking $\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}$, and the degrees $d_0 = \deg \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ and $d_{\infty} = \deg \mathcal{N}$.

In the same paper, we constructed the moduli $\mathcal{W}_{g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}}$ of the MSP fields of data g , $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\ell})$ and $\mathbf{d} = (d_0, d_{\infty})$, and proved that they are \mathbb{G}_m -DM stacks, have \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant relative perfect obstruction theories, and admit \mathbb{G}_m -invariant cosections of their obstruction sheaves with proper degeneracy locus. Applying the cosection localized virtual cycle construction, we obtained properly supported \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant cycles $[\mathcal{W}_{g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$.

In this paper, we will derive a class of vanishings using these cycles. Applying the virtual localization formula to these vanishings, we find polynomial relations among GW invariants and FJRW invariants of Fermat quintic polynomials:

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\Gamma} \text{res}_{\mathfrak{t}=0} \left(\mathfrak{t}^{\delta(g, \gamma, \mathbf{d})-1} \cdot \frac{[(\mathcal{W}_{g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}})_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}}{e(N_{(\mathcal{W}_{g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}})_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{G}_m} / \mathcal{W}_{g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}})} \right)_0 = 0, \text{ when } \delta(g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}) > 0.$$

(See §2.2 for the notations for Γ and §2.5 for the notations for $(\mathcal{W}_{g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}})_{\Gamma}$.) Here \mathfrak{t} is the generator in $A_{*}^{\mathbb{G}_m}(B\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{t}]$; $\delta(g, \gamma, \mathbf{d})$ is the virtual dimension of $\mathcal{W}_{g, \gamma, \mathbf{d}}$.

These relations provide an effective algorithm to evaluate all genus GW invariants of quintic threefolds, and all genus FJRW invariants of Fermat quintics with

¹Partially supported by Hong Kong GRF grant 600711, GRF 16301515 and GRF 16301717.

²Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1564500 and DMS-1601211.

³Partially supported by Hong Kong GRF grant 602512 and GRF 16301515.

⁴Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1206667, DMS-1159416 and DMS-1564497.

$\frac{2}{5}$ -insertions, provided that a range of “initial” GW invariants of quintic threefolds and FJRW invariants of Fermat quintics are known.

More precisely, let $N_{g,d}$ be the genus g degree d GW invariants of the quintic threefold, let $\mathfrak{w}_5 = x_1^5 + \cdots + x_5^5$, and let $\Theta_{g,k}$ be the genus g FJRW invariants of $([\mathbb{C}^5/\mathbb{Z}_5], \mathfrak{w}_5)$ with k many $\frac{2}{5}$ -insertions. (A $\frac{2}{5}$ -insertion is a marking $\Sigma_i \subset \Sigma^c$ so that the monodromy representation of \mathcal{L} along Σ_i is ζ_5^2 , where $\zeta_5 = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{5})$. For more details, see §2.1.)

Using relations (1.2), we obtain, for $g \geq 1$,

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq g \geq 1$. The relations (1.2) with $\gamma = \emptyset$ and $d_\infty = 0$ provide an effective algorithm evaluating $N_{g,d}$, provided*

- (1) $N_{g',d'}$ are known for $g' \leq g$ and $d' < d$
- (2) $\Theta_{g,k}$ are known for $k \leq 2g - 2$ and $\Theta_{g',k}$ are known for $g' < g, k \leq 2g - 4$.

Theorem 1.2. *For $g \geq 1$ and $k \geq 7g - 2$, the relations (1.2) with $\gamma = \emptyset$, $d_0 = 0$ provide an effective algorithm to evaluate $\Theta_{g,k}$, provided that $\{\Theta_{g,k'}\}_{k' < k}$ and $\{\Theta_{g',k'}\}_{g' < g, k' \leq k-2}$ are known.*

As a special case, in $g = 1$ all FJRW invariants $\Theta_{1,k}$ for the quintic singularity of $([\mathbb{C}^5/\mathbb{Z}_5], \mathfrak{w}_5)$ can be evaluated. (Recall that FJRW invariants $\Theta_{0,k}$ were calculated via GRR formula in [CR].)

The previous two theorems provide a symmetric form of the algorithms.

Corollary 1.3. *We let $g \geq 1$, and let $A_g = \{\Theta_{g,k}\}_{k \leq 2g-2}$, $P_g = \{\Theta_{g,k}\}_{2g-2 < k < 7g-2}$, and $N_g = \{N_{g,d}\}_{0 < d < g}$. Suppose all $N_{g',d'}$ and $\Theta_{g',k'}$ are known for $g' < g$. Then the algorithm based on (1.2) can*

- (i) determine all $N_{g,d}$ based on A_g and N_g , and
- (ii) determine all $\Theta_{g,k}$ based on A_g and P_g .

In the end, using relation (1.2) to determine all $N_{g,d}$ and $\Theta_{g,k}$ amounts to (1) find a way to evaluate N_g , A_g and P_g ; and (2) organize (package) the relation to solve the generating function of $N_{g,d}$ and $\Theta_{g,k}$, as explicitly as possible.

Towards (1), we want to reduce the initial value sets N_g , etc.. As $\Theta_{g,k}$ is defined only when $2g - 2 \equiv k(5)$, the sizes of the mentioned sets are $|N_g| = |P_g| = g - 1$, and $|A_g| = \lfloor 2(g - 1)/5 \rfloor + 1$. We conjecture that the relations in (1.2) will make the sets N_g and P_g equivalent.

Conjecture 1.4. *Let $g \geq 1$. Suppose all $N_{g' < g, d'}$, $\Theta_{g' < g, k'}$ are known, and A_g is also known. The relations (1.2), for $d_0, d_\infty > 0$, provide an effective algorithm to determine the set N_g from P_g , and vice versa.*

This work was inspired by the work of Fan-Jarvis-Ruan on their analytic construction of FJRW invariants [FJR1] and by Witten’s vision that “Calabi-Yau and Landau-Ginzburg (are) separated by a true phase transition” [Wi].

After introducing the GW invariants of stable maps with p -fields and proving their equivalence with the GW invariants of quintic CY threefolds by the first two

named authors [CL1], our goal is to search for a geometric theory of wall crossings envisioned by Witten. In [CLL], the first three named authors reconstructed the (narrow) FJRW invariants via cosection localized virtual cycles. In the first part of this project [CLLL], the authors introduced the theory of Mixed-Spin-P fields. It is a field theory with an added field ν , which is a “quantization” of Witten’s parameter in his phase transition between Calabi-Yau and Landau-Ginzburg theories; or in the setting of this paper, between GW invariants of stable maps with p -fields and FJRW invariants via cosection localized virtual cycles.

The current paper sets up the foundation for applying localization to MSP field theory, in order to study GW and FJRW invariants of Fermat quintics.

Around the time of the completion of the first draft of this paper, there were other works to study all genus GW invariants of quintics. Ciocan-Fontanine and Kim [CK](see also [CJR], [Zh]) provided wall crossings of GW invariants with other quasimap invariants, by varying ϵ -stabilities. Fan-Jarvis-Ruan [FJR3] proposed a version of GLSM theory. (See also the work of Tian-Xu [TX].) Choi and Kiem [ChK] introduced additional δ -stability to study wall-crossings.

There had been a few notable progress obtained by applying the localization of MSP relations (1.2) developed by this paper. In [GR1, GR2], Guo-Ross used Theorem 1.1 to package $g = 1$ FJRW invariants of the Fermat quintic, confirming the mirror symmetry prediction and verifying the $g = 1$ Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence. In [CGLZ18], Chang-Guo-Li-Zhou used Theorem 1.1 to obtain an explicit formula of $g = 1$ GW invariants of the quintic CY threefold, recovering Zinger’s formula [Zi] (also recovered by [KL18]).

Later, there appeared some other approaches toward determining quintic’s (and other CY’s) higher genus GW. Fan-Lee [FL] applied localization to a degeneration of \mathbb{P}^4 to the quintics, and obtained a recursion determining $N_{g,d}$ ’s up to initial conditions. Also, Chen-Janda-Ruan [CJRS] and Guo-Janda-Ruan [GJR17], and [GJR18] used log compactifications of p fields to approach the problem.

Recently, the MSP approach obtains fruitful results on quintic’s high genus GW invariants’ structures. In [NMSP1], a NMSP field is the same as an MSP field in (1.1) except $\nu \in H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N})$ replaced by $\nu \in H^0((\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N})^{\oplus N} \oplus \mathcal{N})$. The moduli space of NMSP fields has the same properties as this paper, along with an action by $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\times N}$. Its localization ([NMSP1]) follows from the arguments provided by this paper. In [NMSP2, NMSP3], by packaging the explicit form of Theorem 1.1, Chang-Guo-Li proved a sequence of results regarding quintic GW potentials $F_g := \sum N_{g,d} q^d$. They are the Yamaguchi-Yau [YY] finite generation conjecture, the Yamaguchi-Yau (functional) equations [YY, ASYZ]¹, the convergence of F_g with positive radius, and BCOV’s Feynman rules [BCOV] which determines every F_g diagrammatically and recursively, based on $3g - 3$ initial data. As a consequence, the same authors recover F_2 ’s closed formula in [NMSP3]. An analogous package of Theorem 1.2 should lead to Feynman structures of FJRW’s potentials.

¹also called Holomorphic Anomaly Equations(HAE) by Pandharipande and Lho [LP].

After these works, determining the initial data becomes one of the unsolved important questions on determining F_g 's. Corollary 1.3 and Conjecture 1.4 provide parts of the relations between the initial conditions (both GW and FJRW). We shall come back to this topic in the future.

The series of works on NMSP moduli rely on three results: (i) properness of degeneracy loci [CLLL], (ii) vanishing of irregular graphs' contribution to localization formula [CL2], and (iii) the fixed loci and localization formulas in this paper that can lead to packages via cohomological field theories.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, after reviewing the basic properties of MSP fields, we give the topological characterization of a partition of the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus of the moduli space. In §3, we work out the cosection localized virtual cycle of the fixed locus. The data in the virtual localization formula associated to the moving parts is worked out in §4. In §5, we derive a vanishing relation, and prove the main theorems of this paper using this relation.

2. MODULI OF T -INVARIANT MSP-FIELDS

In this section, we introduce MSP fields and a torus action on their moduli spaces. We analyze their \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus.

2.1. Definition of MSP fields. Let $\mu_5 \leq \mathbb{G}_m$ be the subgroup of 5th-roots of unity, generated by $\zeta_5 = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{5})$. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \mu_5^{\text{br}} = \{(1, \rho), (1, \varphi)\} \cup \mu_5 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_5^{\text{na}} = \mu_5^{\text{br}} - \{1\}.$$
²

Here $(1, \rho)$ and $(1, \varphi)$ are merely symbols, with the convention $\langle (1, \rho) \rangle = \langle (1, \varphi) \rangle = \{1\} \leq \mathbb{G}_m$. We call $\gamma \in (\mu_5^{\text{br}})^{\times \ell}$ broad, and call $\gamma \in (\mu_5^{\text{na}})^{\times \ell}$ narrow. We call an ℓ -pointed twisted curve $\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ γ -pointed if $\Sigma_i^{\mathbb{C}}$ is labeled by γ_i . Let

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log} = \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}), \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} = \coprod_{\gamma_i = \alpha} \Sigma_i^{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mu_5^{\text{na}} \text{ or } \mu_5^{\text{br}}.$$

Definition 2.1. A (g, γ, \mathbf{d}) MSP-field is a collection as in (1.1) such that

- (1) $\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \Sigma_i^{\mathbb{C}} = \Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is a genus g , γ -pointed twisted curve such that the i -th marking $\Sigma_i^{\mathbb{C}}$ is banded by the group $\langle \gamma_i \rangle \leq \mathbb{G}_m$;
- (2) \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{N} are invertible sheaves on \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ representable, $\deg \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} = d_0$, $\deg \mathcal{N} = d_{\infty}$, and the monodromy of \mathcal{L} along $\Sigma_i^{\mathbb{C}}$ is γ_i when $\langle \gamma_i \rangle \neq \langle 1 \rangle$;
- (3) $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2) \in H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N}) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{N})$, and (ν_1, ν_2) is nowhere zero;
- (4) $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5) \in H^0(\mathcal{L})^{\oplus 5}$, (φ, ν_1) is nowhere zero, and $\varphi|_{\Sigma_{(1, \varphi)}^{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$;
- (5) $\rho \in H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\log})$, (ρ, ν_2) is nowhere zero, and $\rho|_{\Sigma_{(1, \rho)}^{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$.

We call ξ broad if γ is broad ($\gamma \in (\mu_5^{\text{br}})^{\times \ell}$); we call ξ narrow if γ is narrow.

²Here the superscript “br” stands for broad, and “na” stands for narrow.

The distinction between $(1, \varphi)$ and $(1, \rho)$ lies in item (4) and (5). When $\gamma_i = (1, \varphi)$ or $(1, \rho)$, by the representable requirement, $\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}}$ is a scheme point.

Note that a $\frac{2}{5}$ -insertion is a marking with monodromy representation the multiplication by ζ_5^2 . A local example is as follows. Consider $\mathcal{C} = [\mathbb{A}^1/\mu_5]$, where μ_5 acts on $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x]$ via $\zeta_5 \cdot x = \zeta_5^{-1}x$. Then the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ -module $x^{-2}\mathbb{C}[x]$ has monodromy representation via multiplication by ζ_5^2 .

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise mentioned, for any closed point $\xi \in \mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}$ we understand that ξ is $(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \dots)$ given in (1.1).

2.2. Decorated graphs of torus fixed MSP fields. By the main theorem of [CLLL], the category $\mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}$ of families of MSP-fields of data (g, γ, \mathbf{d}) is a separated DM stack. Let $T = \mathbb{G}_m$, and endow $\mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}$ a T -structure, via

$$(2.2) \quad t \cdot (\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \varphi, \rho, \nu_1, \nu_2) = (\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \varphi, \rho, t\nu_1, \nu_2).$$

In this subsection, we study the T -fixed locus $(\mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}})^T \subset \mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}$.

In the following, we fix a (g, γ, \mathbf{d}) . For notational simplicity, whenever (g, γ, \mathbf{d}) is understood, we will adopt the convention

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}, \quad \mathcal{W}^- = \mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}^-, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}^T = (\mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}})^T.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$. Following the definition of T fixed points, and after a standard algebraic argument, we conclude that there are homomorphisms h and T -linearizations τ and τ' (satisfying the obvious relations) such that

$$(2.4) \quad h : T \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}); \quad \tau_t : h_{t*}\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau'_t : h_{t*}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N},$$

$$t \cdot (\varphi, \rho, \nu_1, \nu_2) = (\tau_t, \tau'_t)(h_{t*}\varphi, h_{t*}\rho, t \cdot h_{t*}\nu_1, h_{t*}\nu_2), \quad t \in T.^3$$

We call such T -actions and linearizations those induced from $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$. Using that $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$ is stable, one sees that such (h, τ_t, τ'_t) is unique.

We let \mathbf{L}_k be the one-dimensional weight k T -representation. We let $\mathcal{L}^{\log} = \mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})$, and $\mathcal{P}^{\log} = \mathcal{L}^{-5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})$. Then (2.4) can be rephrased as

$$(2.5) \quad (\varphi, \rho, \nu_1, \nu_2) \in H^0((\mathcal{L}^{\log})^{\oplus 5} \oplus \mathcal{P}^{\log} \oplus \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N})^T.$$

We now investigate the structure of any T -equivariant MSP fields $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$.

Definition 2.2. *Given $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$, let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C} \cap (\nu_1 = 0)_{\text{red}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\infty} = \mathcal{C} \cap (\nu_2 = 0)_{\text{red}}$, $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C} \cap (\rho = \varphi = 0)_{\text{red}}$, \mathcal{C}_{01} (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{1\infty}$) be the union of irreducible components of $\overline{\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_{\infty}}$ in $(\rho = 0)$ (resp. in $(\varphi = 0)$), and $\mathcal{C}_{0\infty}$ be the union of irreducible components of \mathcal{C} not contained in $\mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_{\infty} \cup \mathcal{C}_{01} \cup \mathcal{C}_{1\infty}$.*

As shown in [CLLL], \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_{∞} are mutually disjoint. By definition, all \mathcal{C}_{01} , $\mathcal{C}_{0\infty}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\infty}$ are pure one-dimensional, and are T -equivariant.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$. Then*

- (1) *the action $h : T \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}})$ acts trivially on \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_{∞} ;*

³For convenience we allow the T -acting on curves and etc. with rational weights.

- (2) every irreducible component $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_{01}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_{1\infty}$; resp. $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_{0\infty}$) is a smooth rational twisted curve with two T -fixed points lying on \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}_1 (resp. \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_∞ ; resp. \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}_∞).
- (3) if $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^-$, then $\mathcal{C}_{0\infty} = \emptyset$.

Proof. We prove that h fixes \mathcal{C}_0 . Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_0$ be an irreducible component over which $h|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is non-trivial. Then \mathcal{A} must be a rational curve. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be fixed by h . Since both $\varphi(x)$ and $\nu_2(x)$ are non-zero, $\mathcal{L}|_x = \mathcal{N}|_x = \mathbf{L}_0$; thus $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore the component \mathcal{A} makes ξ unstable, a contradiction. Thus h fixes \mathcal{A} . Likewise, h also fixes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_∞ .

We next fix an irreducible component $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_{01}$ and investigate the action $h|_{\mathcal{A}}$. First, by definition of \mathcal{C}_{01} , $\nu_2|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is nowhere vanishing, thus $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose $h|_{\mathcal{A}}$ fixes x . It is easy to see that one of $\varphi(x)$ and $\nu_1(x)$ must be zero. If x is a general point of \mathcal{A} , then by definition of \mathcal{C}_{01} , both $\varphi(x)$ and $\nu_1(x)$ are non-zero. This proves that $h|_{\mathcal{A}}$ acts non-trivially on \mathcal{A} , implying that \mathcal{A} is rational.

We claim that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_{01}$ must be smooth. Otherwise, let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be a node of \mathcal{A} , which is fixed by $h|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then apply Lemma 3.2 in [CLLL] and using that either $\nu_1(x) \neq 0$ or $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, we obtain $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ forcing ξ unstable, a contradiction.

As \mathcal{A} is smooth, $h|_{\mathcal{A}}$ has two fixed points, say $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. Like before, if both $\varphi(x_1)$ and $\varphi(x_2)$ are non-zero, then $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$, forcing ξ unstable, a contradiction; if both $\varphi(x_1)$ and $\varphi(x_2)$ are zero, then both $\nu_1(x_1)$ and $\nu_1(x_2)$ are non-zero, forcing $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\varphi|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$, implying that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_1$, impossible. This proves that one of x_1 and x_2 lies in \mathcal{C}_0 and the other lies in \mathcal{C}_1 .

A similar argument shows that the parallel conclusion holds for $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_{1\infty}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0\infty}$. Finally, (3) follows from that both ρ and φ are non-zero on every irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{0\infty}$. \square

We now associate a decorated graph to each $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$. For a graph Γ , besides its vertices $V(\Gamma)$, edges $E(\Gamma)$ and legs $L(\Gamma)$, the set of its flags is

$$F(\Gamma) = \{(e, v) \in E(\Gamma) \times V(\Gamma) : v \text{ incident to } e\}.$$

Given $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$, let $\pi : \mathcal{C}^{\text{nor}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be its normalization. For any $y \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{sing}})$, we denote by γ_y the monodromy of $\pi^*\mathcal{L}$ along y .

Definition 2.4. To each $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$ we associate a graph Γ_ξ as follows:

- (1) (vertex) let $V_0(\Gamma_\xi)$, $V_1(\Gamma_\xi)$, and $V_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ be the set of connected components of \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 , \mathcal{C}_∞ respectively, and let $V(\Gamma_\xi)$ be their union;
- (2) (edge) let $E_0(\Gamma_\xi)$, $E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ and $E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi)$ be the set of irreducible components of \mathcal{C}_{01} , $\mathcal{C}_{1\infty}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0\infty}$ respectively, and let $E(\Gamma_\xi)$ be their union;
- (3) (leg) let $L(\Gamma_\xi) \cong \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ be the ordered set of markings of $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}$, $\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}} \in L(\Gamma_\xi)$ is attached to $v \in V(\Gamma_\xi)$ if $\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}_v$;
- (4) (flag) $(e, v) \in F(\Gamma_\xi)$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_v \neq \emptyset$.

(Here \mathcal{C}_a is the curve associated to the symbol $a \in V(\Gamma_\xi) \cup E(\Gamma_\xi)$.) We call $v \in V(\Gamma_\xi)$ stable if $\mathcal{C}_v \subset \mathcal{C}$ is 1-dimensional, otherwise unstable.

In the following, let $V^S(\Gamma_\xi) \subset V(\Gamma_\xi)$ be the set of stable vertices and $V^U(\Gamma_\xi) \subset V(\Gamma_\xi)$ be that of unstable vertices. Given $v \in V(\Gamma_\xi)$, let $E_v = \{e \in E(\Gamma_\xi) : (e, v) \in F(\Gamma_\xi)\}$. For $v \in V^S(\Gamma_\xi)$, we define

$$\Sigma_{\text{inn}}^{\mathcal{C}_v} = \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{C}_v, \quad \Sigma_{\text{out}}^{\mathcal{C}_v} = \overline{(\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_v)} \cap \mathcal{C}_v, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}_v} = \Sigma_{\text{inn}}^{\mathcal{C}_v} \cup \Sigma_{\text{out}}^{\mathcal{C}_v},$$

called the inner, the outer, and the total markings of \mathcal{C}_v , respectively.

Definition 2.5. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$. We endow the graph Γ_ξ a decoration as follows:*

- (a) (*genus*) Define $\vec{g} : V(\Gamma_\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ via $\vec{g}(v) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v})$.
- (b) (*degree*) Define $\vec{d} : E(\Gamma_\xi) \cup V(\Gamma_\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus 2}$ via $\vec{d}(a) = (d_{0a}, d_{\infty a})$, where $d_{0a} = \deg \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_a}$ and $d_{\infty a} = \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_a}$.
- (c) (*marking*) Define $\vec{S} : V(\Gamma_\xi) \rightarrow 2^{L(\Gamma_\xi)}$ via $v \mapsto S_v \subset L(\Gamma_\xi)$, where S_v is the set of $\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}_v$.
- (d) (*monodromy*) Define $\vec{\gamma} : L(\Gamma_\xi) \rightarrow \mu_5$ via $\vec{\gamma}(\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}}) = \gamma_i$, which is the monodromy of \mathcal{L} along $\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}}$.

For convenience, we denote $d_a = d_{0a} - d_{\infty a}$, i.e., $d_a = \deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_a}$. For $c \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, let $V_c^S(\Gamma_\xi) = V_c(\Gamma_\xi) \cap V^S(\Gamma_\xi)$. For $v \in V(\Gamma_\xi)$, the valency of v is the cardinality of E_v : $\text{val}(v) = |E_v|$. Let $n_v = |E_v \cup S_v|$.

For $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma_\xi)$, we decorate elements in $E_v \cup S_v$ as follows. For $a \in S_v$, we decorate it by the same γ_a that decorates $a \in L(\Gamma)$; for $e \in E_v$, we decorate it by $\gamma_{(e,v)} := e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_e} \in \mu_5$, ($d_e = \deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}$) which is the monodromy of $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$ along $y_{(e,v)} = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_v$. Define

$$(2.6) \quad \gamma_v = \{\gamma_a : a \in S_v\} \cup \{\gamma_{(e,v)} : e \in E_v\}.$$

Elements in γ_v are indexed by $S_v \cup E_v$. We form

$$(2.7) \quad V^{a,b}(\Gamma_\xi) = \{v \in V(\Gamma_\xi) - V^S(\Gamma_\xi) \mid |S_v| = a, |E_v| = b\}.$$

We also abbreviate $V_c^{a,b}(\Gamma_\xi) = V_c(\Gamma_\xi) \cap V^{a,b}(\Gamma_\xi)$. Let

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}} = \{\Gamma_\xi \mid \xi \in \mathcal{W}^T\} / \cong, \quad \Delta = \coprod \Delta_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}},$$

where \cong is the equivalence induced by isomorphisms of graphs preserving datum in Definitions 2.4 and 2.5, including the partition $V(\Gamma) = V_0(\Gamma) \cup V_1(\Gamma) \cup V_\infty(\Gamma)$, etc.. The disjoint union is taken over all possible (g, γ, \mathbf{d}) .

2.3. Decompositions of torus fixed MSP-fields. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$. We will characterize the structure of \mathcal{C}_a and $\xi|_{\mathcal{C}_a}$ for each $a \in V^S(\Gamma_\xi) \cup E(\Gamma_\xi)$.

Remark 2.6. *If $p \in \mathcal{C}_0$, as $\varphi|_p$ and $\nu_2|_p$ are nonzero, then $\mathcal{L}|_p \cong \mathcal{N}|_p \cong \mathbf{L}_0$. If $p \in \mathcal{C}_1$, then $\nu_1|_p$ and $\nu_2|_p$ are nonzero and hence $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1|_p \cong \mathcal{N}|_p \cong \mathbf{L}_0$. If $p \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$, then $\rho|_p$ and $\nu_1|_p$ are nonzero and thus $\mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{log}}|_p \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1|_p \cong \mathbf{L}_0$.*

Example 2.7 (Stable maps with p -fields). *A stable MSP-field $\xi \in \mathcal{W}$ having $\nu_1 = 0$ will have $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\nu_2 = 1$, and then ξ is a pair of a stable map $f = [\varphi] : \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ and a p -field $\rho \in H^0(f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-5) \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}})$.*

Example 2.8 (5-spin curves with five p -fields). *A stable MSP-field $\xi \in \mathcal{W}$ having $\nu_2 = 0$ will have $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{L}^\vee$, $\nu_1 = 1$ and then ξ is a pair of a 5-spin curve $(\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{C}, \rho : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 5} \cong \omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\log})$ and five p -fields $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{L})^{\oplus 5}$, with $\varphi|_{\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$.*

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$, and suppose $E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi) = \emptyset$.*

- (a) *For $v \in V_0^S(\Gamma_\xi)$, the sections $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5)|_{\mathbb{C}_v}$ define a morphism $f_v : \mathbb{C}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$; together with the marking $\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}_v} = \Sigma_{\text{inn}}^{\mathbb{C}_v} \cup \Sigma_{\text{out}}^{\mathbb{C}_v}$ and $\rho_v = \rho|_{\mathbb{C}_v}$, they form an $E_v \cup S_v$ -pointed, genus g_v , degree d_v stable map to \mathbb{P}^4 with p -field.*
- (b) *For $v \in V_1^S(\Gamma)$, $\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}_v} \subset \mathbb{C}_v$ is an $E_v \cup S_v$ -pointed, genus g_v stable curve.*
- (c) *For $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$, let $\gamma_v = \{\gamma_i : i \in S_v\} \cup \{\gamma_{(e,v)} : e \in E_v\}$. Suppose all γ_i and $\gamma_{(e,v)}$ in γ_v lie in $\mu_5 - \{1\}$, then $(\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}_v}, \mathbb{C}_v)$ with $(\mathcal{L}, \rho, \varphi)|_{\mathbb{C}_v}$ is a 5-spin $E_v \cup S_v$ -pointed twisted curve with five p -fields.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward, and will be omitted. Note that $E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi) = \emptyset$ guarantees that $\varphi|_{y_{(e,v)}} = 0$ in case (c) and $\rho|_{y_{(e,v)}} = 0$ in case (a). \square

We remark that without assuming $E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi) = \emptyset$, then in case (a) we may not have $\rho_v|_{q_{(e,v)}} = 0$ when $e \in E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi)$ (here $q_{(e,v)}$ is the node associate to the flag (e, v)); and in case (c) we may not have $\varphi|_{q_{(e,v)}} = 0$, either.

We now move to $e \in E_0(\Gamma_\xi)$. By Lemma 2.3 we know $\mathbb{C}_e \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $v \in V_0(\Gamma_\xi)$ and $v' \in V_1(\Gamma_\xi)$ with (e, v) and $(e, v') \in F(\Gamma)$. Let $q = \mathbb{C}_e \cap \mathbb{C}_v$ and $q' = \mathbb{C}_e \cap \mathbb{C}_{v'}$. Give \mathbb{C}_e the coordinate $[x, y]$ so that $[1, 0] = q$ and $[0, 1] = q'$. Recall $d_e = d_{0e} - d_{\infty e}$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let the notations be as stated. Then $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathbb{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e)$, and for some $(c_1, \dots, c_5) \in \mathbb{C}^5 - 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$,*

$$(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5; \rho; \nu_1, \nu_2)|_{\mathbb{C}_e} = (c_1 x^{d_e}, \dots, c_5 x^{d_e}; 0; c y^{d_e}, 1).$$

Proof. Because $\deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{C}_e} = d_e$, $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e)$. Since $q \in \mathbb{C}_0$, the linearization $\tau_t|_q = \text{id}$. Thus the induced T -linearization on $H^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{C}_e}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e))$ is via $t \cdot x^{d_e - i} y^i = t^{ik} x^{d_e - i} y^i$, for some $k \neq 0$, and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e))^T = \mathbb{C} \cdot x^{d_e}$. Since $\varphi_i|_{\mathbb{C}_e}$ are T -invariant, and $\nu_1|_{\mathbb{C}_e}$ is T -equivariant (of certain weight) and non-vanishing at q' , there are $c, c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $\phi_i|_{\mathbb{C}_e} = c_i x^{d_e}$ and $\nu_1|_{\mathbb{C}_e} = c y^{d_e}$. As $\nu_1(q') \neq 0$, $c \neq 0$; as $\varphi(q) \neq 0$, $(c_1, \dots, c_5) \neq 0$. This proves the lemma. \square

We next consider $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$. By Lemma 2.10 we know that \mathbb{C}_e is a smooth rational curve. It is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 when $d_e \in \mathbb{Z}$, and isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(5, 1)$, and has $\mathbb{C}_e \cap \mathbb{C}_\infty$ its only stacky point when $d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\nu_1|_{\mathbb{C}_e}$ is nowhere vanishing, any linearization on $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathbb{C}_e}$ will induce a linearization on $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathbb{C}_e}$ keeping $\nu_1|_{\mathbb{C}_e}$ a T -invariant section of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N}|_{\mathbb{C}_e} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1$.

Convention on $\mathbb{P}(5, 1)$. *Let $(X, q, q') = (\mathbb{P}(5, 1), [1, 0], [0, 1])$, with coordinates $[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}]$, where $q = [1, 0]$ is its only stacky point. And $x = \tilde{x}$ and $y = \tilde{y}^5$ descend to its coarse moduli $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. For $c \in \frac{1}{5}\mathbb{Z}$, we agree $\mathcal{O}_X(c) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(5c, q)$ and $\deg \mathcal{O}_X(q) = 1/5$. Thus $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(c)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor c \rfloor))$. In the notation of \mathcal{M}_m before (2.18), near q $\mathcal{O}_X(c)$ is the module $\tilde{y}^{-m} \mathbb{C}[\tilde{y}]$, where $m = 5c - 5\lfloor c \rfloor$.*

Let $v \in V_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ and $v' \in V_1(\Gamma_\xi)$ be vertices so that (e, v) and $(e, v') \in F(\Gamma_\xi)$, and $q = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_v$ and $q' = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_{v'}$. We endow \mathcal{C}_e a coordinate $[x, y]$ (resp. $[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}]$) so that $q = [1, 0]$ and $q' = [0, 1]$ when $\mathcal{C}_e \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}(5, 1)$).

We set $\delta = -1$ when $\dim \mathcal{C}_v = 0$, $S_v = \emptyset$ and $|E_v| = 1$ (equivalently $v \in V_\infty^{0,1}(\Gamma_\xi)$), and $\delta = 0$ otherwise, and set $\delta' = -1$ when $v' \in V_1^{0,1}(\Gamma_\xi)$, and $\delta' = 0$ otherwise. Note that when $d_e = d_{0e} - d_{\infty e} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\delta = 0$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ be as stated. Then $\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = 0$, $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{L}^\vee|_{\mathcal{C}_e}$, and $\nu_1|_{\mathcal{C}_e}$ is never zero. Furthermore, $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\delta')$, $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(d_e)$, and $(\rho, \nu_2)|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = (cx^{-5d_e+\delta+\delta'}, c'y^{|-d_e|})$ for some $c, c' \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.*

Proof. The part $\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = 0$, etc., is merely restating the known facts. We now consider the case $\mathcal{C}_e \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Again, $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\delta + \delta')$ and $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e)$ follow from the definition. By Remark 2.6, we have $\mathcal{L}^{\vee 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_q \cong \mathbf{L}_0 \cong \mathcal{N}|_{q'}$ as T -representations. Thus

$$H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\vee 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e})^T = \mathbb{C} \cdot x^{-5d_e+\delta+\delta'} \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e})^T = \mathbb{C} \cdot y^{-d_e}.$$

This proves the furthermore part of the lemma in this case. The remaining part of the furthermore has the similar argument. \square

2.4. Flattening a graph. In this subsection, we investigate if the graph Γ_ξ remains unchanged when we deform $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$.

We begin with edges in $E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi)$. Let (e, v) and $(e, v') \in F(\Gamma_\xi)$ with $v \in V_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ and $v' \in V_0(\Gamma_\xi)$, thus $e \in E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi)$. Let $q = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_v$, and $q' = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_{v'}$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $e \in E_{0\infty}(\Gamma_\xi)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_e \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}$, and $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(d_{\infty e})$.*

Proof. Since $\varphi(q') \neq 0$, then $\deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \geq 0$. Since $\rho(q) \neq 0$, we have $\deg \mathcal{L}^{\vee 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \geq 0$. Furthermore, since $\deg \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \leq 0$, we have $\deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \deg \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = 0$. This proves the lemma. \square

We introduce the notion of T -balanced nodes.

Definition 2.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be a T -twisted curve and q be a node of \mathcal{C} . Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_2$ be the two branches of the formal completion of \mathcal{C} along q . We call q T -balanced if $T_q \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1 \otimes T_q \hat{\mathcal{C}}_2 \cong \mathbf{L}_0$ as T -representations.*

Let $v \in V_1(\Gamma_\xi)$ be an unstable vertex with two distinct (e, v) and $(e', v) \in F(\Gamma_\xi)$, and let $q_v = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_{e'}$ be the node of \mathcal{C} associated with v .

Lemma 2.14. *Let $v \in V_1(\Gamma_\xi)$ be an unstable vertex, etc., as stated. Then q_v is T -balanced if and only if $d_e + d_{e'} = 0$, and $(\mathcal{C}_e \cup \mathcal{C}_{e'}) \cap \mathcal{C}_\infty$ is a node or a marking.*

Proof. We first consider the case where $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ and $e' \in E_0(\Gamma_\xi)$. Let $q = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_\infty$ and $q' = \mathcal{C}_{e'} \cap \mathcal{C}_0$. By Remark 2.6,

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{L}|_{q'} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\vee 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}|_q \cong \mathbf{L}_0.$$

Let $\delta = 0$ when q is a node or a marking of \mathcal{C} , and $= -1$ otherwise. Then since q_v is a node, $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}|_{q_v} \cong \mathbf{L}_0$, and thus $\mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5}|_{q_v} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}|_{q_v}$ as T -representations. Since q_v is a scheme point, and since $\mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}$ is a pullback of an invertible sheaf on the coarse moduli of \mathcal{C}_e , combined with (2.9), we conclude that q_v is a T -balanced node if and only if

$$\deg \mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} + \deg \mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5}|_{\mathcal{C}_{e'}} = 0,$$

which is $-5d_e + \delta - 5d_{e'} = 0$. We claim that then $\delta = 0$ and $d_e + d_{e'} = 0$. Indeed, if $\delta = -1$, then \mathcal{C}_e is a scheme and $d_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and $d_{e'} \in \mathbb{Z}$), impossible. Thus $\delta = 0$ and the lemma follows.

The other cases where both e and e' lie in $E_0(\Gamma_\xi)$ or in $E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ can be ruled out by a similar argument. This proves the lemma. \square

Let

$$(2.10) \quad N(\Gamma_\xi) = V^{0,2}(\Gamma_\xi) \cup \{(e, v) \in F(\Gamma_\xi) \mid v \in V^S(\Gamma_\xi)\}.$$

Note that every $a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)$ has its associated node q_a of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 2.15. We call $a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)$ T -balanced if the associated node q_a is a T -balanced node in \mathcal{C} . Let $N(\Gamma_\xi)^{\text{un}} \subset N(\Gamma_\xi)$ be the subset of T -unbalanced elements.

Proposition 2.16. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$. Then q_a , for $a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)$, is T -balanced if and only if $a \in V_1^{0,2}(\Gamma_\xi)$ and satisfies the condition in Lemma 2.14. Furthermore, the set of T -unbalanced nodes of \mathcal{C} is $\{q_a \mid a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)^{\text{un}}\}$.

Proof. Let q_a be a node indexed by $a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)$. Then a repetition of the proof of Lemma 2.14 shows that q_a is T -balanced only if $a \in V_1^{0,2}(\Gamma_\xi)$, and satisfies the criterion in Lemma 2.14.

To complete the proof, we need to show that any node q of \mathcal{C} not listed in $\{q_a \mid a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)\}$, must be T -balanced. Indeed, such q must be a node of one of \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_∞ . Since T acts trivially on these curves, q must be a balanced node. This proves the proposition. \square

Although a T -balanced $a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)$ is characterized by q_a being T -balanced, by Lemma 2.14 it can also be characterized by the information of the (decorated) graph Γ_ξ . Thus for any $\Gamma \in \Delta$, we can talk about $N(\Gamma)^{\text{un}} \subset N(\Gamma)$.

Definition 2.17. A decorated graph Γ is called a flat graph if $N(\Gamma)^{\text{un}} = N(\Gamma)$.

For reasons to be clear in the next subsection, we will construct a flattening of Γ_ξ when $N(\Gamma_\xi)$ contains T -balanced nodes.

Construction 2.18 (Flattening). For each T -balanced $v \in N(\Gamma_\xi)$, which necessarily is an unstable vertex in $V_1(\Gamma_\xi)$, we eliminate the vertex v from Γ_ξ , replace the two edges $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ and $e' \in E_0(\Gamma_\xi)$ incident to v by a single edge \tilde{e} incident to the other two vertices that are incident to e and e' , and demand that \tilde{e} lies in $E_{0\infty}$. We decoration \bar{e} via $\vec{g}(\tilde{e}) = 0$, $(d_{0\bar{e}}, d_{\infty\bar{e}}) = (d_{\infty e}, d_{\infty e'})$, while keeping the rest unchanged. The resulting decorated graph is called the flattening of Γ_ξ at a .

Let Γ_ξ^{fl} be the graph after applying this to all T -balanced v in $N(\Gamma_\xi)$. We call it the flattening of Γ_ξ . As the result, $N(\Gamma_\xi^{\text{fl}}) = N(\Gamma_\xi)^{\text{un}}$. Define

$$\Delta_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}^{\text{fl}} := \{\Gamma^{\text{fl}} \mid \Gamma \in \Delta_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}\} / \cong, \quad \Delta^{\text{fl}} = \bigcup \Delta_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}^{\text{fl}}.$$

Here \cong is as that in (2.8).

2.5. Γ -framed MSP fields.

Definition 2.19. *Let S be a scheme and let \mathcal{C}_S be a flat S -family of twisted curves. We say that \mathcal{C}_S can be decomposed along nodes $\mathcal{Q}_S \subset \mathcal{C}_S$ if \mathcal{Q}_S is a closed substack of \mathcal{C}_S that is a gerbe over S and homeomorphic to S , so that there are a flat S -family of twisted curves $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_S$, an S -morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S$, and two disjoint closed S -embeddings $h_1, h_2 : \mathcal{Q}_S \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_S$ so that*

$$\text{hom}_S(\mathcal{C}_S, \cdot) = \{f \in \text{hom}_S(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_S, \cdot) \mid h_1 \circ f \approx h_2 \circ f\}.$$

(See [AGV, A.1] for precise formulation of \approx .) If \mathcal{C}_S can be decomposed along \mathcal{Q}_S , we call \mathcal{Q}_S an S -family of nodes.

If \mathcal{C} is a twisted curve with only one node $q \in \mathcal{C}$, then the decomposition of \mathcal{C} along q is the normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} , and $h_1, h_2 : q \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are the two preimages of q via the projection $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We can decompose along several disjoint S -families of nodes by applying this procedure repeatedly to each S -family of nodes.

We now assume that \mathcal{C}_S is an S -family of T -curves, meaning that T acts on \mathcal{C}_S and commutes with the trivial T -action on S . We have the following well-known existence of decomposition along families of nodes.

Lemma 2.20. *Let $s \in S$ be a closed point in a DM stack, let \mathcal{C}_S be a flat S -family of nodal twisted T -curves, and let $q \in \mathcal{C}_s$ be a T -unbalanced node of \mathcal{C}_s . Then there is an étale neighborhood $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$, $\tilde{s} \mapsto s$, so that we can extend $\tilde{q} = q \times_{\tilde{s}} \tilde{s} \in \mathcal{C}_s|_{\tilde{s}}$ to an \tilde{S} -family of nodes $\mathcal{Q}_{\tilde{s}} \subset \mathcal{C}_S|_{\tilde{s}}$.*

A simple observation shows that such decomposition may not exist for T -balanced nodes.

Definition 2.21 (Standard decomposition). *For any $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^T$ with the domain curve \mathcal{C} , we can decompose \mathcal{C} along its T -unbalanced nodes q_a , $a \in N(\Gamma_\xi)^{\text{un}} = N(\Gamma_\xi^{\text{fl}})$, to obtain connected subcurves \mathcal{C}_b indexed by*

$$b \in \Xi(\Gamma_\xi^{\text{fl}}) := V^S(\Gamma_\xi^{\text{fl}}) \cup E(\Gamma_\xi^{\text{fl}}).$$

Definition 2.22. *Let $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{fl}}$; let S be a scheme. A Γ -framed S -family in \mathcal{W}^T consists of a pair (ξ_S, ϵ_S) , where*

$$(2.11) \quad \xi_S \in \mathcal{W}^T(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_S = \{\epsilon_s : \Gamma \cong \Gamma_{\xi_s}^{\text{fl}} \mid s \in S \text{ a closed point}\},$$

such that if for each closed point $s \in S$ we let $q_{s,a} = q_{s,\epsilon_s(a)}$ for $a \in N(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{s,b} = \mathcal{C}_{s,\epsilon_s(b)}$ for $b \in \Xi(\Gamma)$ be the result of the standard decomposition of $\xi_S \times_S s = (\mathcal{C}_s, \dots)$, then the unions $\coprod_{s \in S} q_{s,a}$ and $\coprod_{s \in S} \mathcal{C}_{s,b}$ are closed substacks of the domain curve \mathcal{C}_S of ξ_S , and are flat over S_{red} .

Applying Lemma 2.20, we see that given a connected scheme and any Γ -framed S -family in \mathcal{W}^T with \mathcal{C}_S its total space of base curves, we have an S -family of nodes $\mathcal{Q}_{S,a} \subset \mathcal{C}_S$ indexed by $a \in N(\Gamma)$ so that they decompose \mathcal{C}_S into S -flat families of connected subcurves $\mathcal{C}_{S,b}$ indexed by $b \in \Xi(\Gamma)$. (Here $\Gamma = \Gamma^{\text{fl}}$ automatically when we speak of Γ -framed families.)

Let $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{fl}}$, and let \mathcal{W}_Γ be the groupoid of Γ -framed families in \mathcal{W}^T with obviously defined arrows. Then \mathcal{W}_Γ is a DM-stack, finite over \mathcal{W}^T via the forgetful morphism $\mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^T$.

Proposition 2.23. *Let $\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$ be the image of the forgetful morphism $\iota_\Gamma : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^T$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$ is an open and closed substack of \mathcal{W}^T . Let ι_Γ be factored as*

$$(2.12) \quad \iota_\Gamma : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\iota_\Gamma} \mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}_\Gamma} \mathcal{W}^T.$$

Then ι_Γ is an $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ -torsor.

Proof. That $\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$ is open and closed follows from lemma 2.20 and the definition of Γ -framed MSP fields, the other parts are straightforward to prove, and will be omitted. \square

We end this subsection with the notion of Γ -framed curves $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$. Recall that given a flat Γ and a $(\xi, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$, where $\xi = (\mathcal{C}, \dots)$, etc., we not only have an identification of the T -unbalanced nodes of \mathcal{C} with $N(\Gamma)$, but also have a tautological identification of branches of these T -unbalanced nodes: Let $q \in \mathcal{C}$ be a T -unbalanced node. Then we have

- (I) when q is identified with an $(e, v) \in N(\Gamma)$, $v \in V^S(\Gamma)$, then the two branches of \mathcal{C} along q are labeled by v and e ;
- (II) when q is identified with a $v \in V^U(\Gamma)$, then the two branches of \mathcal{C} along q are labeled by (e_1, v) and $(e_2, v) \in F(\Gamma)$.

Definition 2.24. *A Γ -framed curve consists of T -equivariant $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})^4$ such that*

- (1) $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}$ is labeled by legs in Γ ;
- (2) T -unbalanced nodes of \mathcal{C} are labeled by $N(\Gamma)$;
- (3) branches of T -unbalanced nodes of \mathcal{C} are labeled according to rule I and II.

Because the conditions in Definition 2.24 are open, we can speak of flat family of Γ -framed curves. Let \mathcal{D}_Γ be the stack of flat families of Γ -framed curves, where arrows are T -equivariant arrows in \mathcal{D} that preserve the labeling in Definition 2.24. Here \mathcal{D} is the stack of data $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$, where $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ are pointed twisted curves, and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{N} are invertible sheaves on \mathcal{C} . Clearly, \mathcal{D}_Γ is a smooth Artin stack, and admits a forgetful morphism $\mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\Gamma$.

⁴By T -equivariant we mean that $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ comes with a T -action, and both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{N} are T -linearized.

2.6. Decomposition via decoupling. ([HL] I think the part before lem 2.28 is useless) We introduce the operation *decoupling* to a flat graph Γ to simplify the stack \mathcal{W}_Γ .

Given an edge e in Γ , we say a vertex v of e is a connecting vertex if either v is stable or v is a two-valent vertex. We say a vertex v of e an end vertex if v is a one-valent unstable vertex. When e has an end vertex (and one connecting vertex), we call e a leaf edge of Γ .

Definition 2.25 (Decoupling). *Let $e \in E_0(\Gamma) \cup E_\infty(\Gamma)$ be a non-leaf edge and $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$ be the (connecting) vertex of e in $V_1(\Gamma)$. We define the decoupling of Γ along $a = (e, v)$ be the new graph Γ' after*

- (1) *adding a new vertex v' to Γ and replace the flag (e, v) by (e, v') ;*
- (2) *adding a leg l to v and a leg l' to v' , both decorated by $(1, \varphi)$. ([HL] I think $(1, \rho)$ is better, which does not destroy def theory and vdim)*

In geometric term, In case $\xi = (\mathcal{C}, \dots) \in \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$, then the decoupling corresponds to normalizing \mathcal{C} along the node associate to a , and adding two markings to the two new smooth points, as specified in the definition.

Let Γ be a flat graph. We apply decoupling repeatedly to all pairs (e, v) of non-leaves $e \in E_0(\Gamma) \cup E_\infty(\Gamma)$ and vertices v of e in $V_1(\Gamma)$. Let Γ^d be the resulting graph and denote by $(\Gamma^d)_{\text{conn}}$ the set of connected components of Γ^d .

For each $A \in (\Gamma^d)_{\text{conn}}$, we form the moduli \mathcal{W}_A of A -framed MSP fields. Let \mathcal{W}_{Γ^d} be the moduli of Γ^d -framed MSP fields. Note that though Γ^d could be disconnected, the construction \mathcal{W}_Γ applies and \mathcal{W}_{Γ^d} is well-defined, resulting a stack naturally isomorphic to the product of \mathcal{W}_A of all $A \in (\Gamma^d)_{\text{conn}}$.

Proposition 2.26. *For each $A \in (\Gamma^d)_{\text{conn}}$, the decoupling defines a natural morphism $\Phi_A : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_A$, whose product defines an isomorphism*

$$\Phi = \prod \Phi_A : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma^d} = \prod_{A \in (\Gamma^d)_{\text{conn}}} \mathcal{W}_A.$$

Proof. The proof is a direct verification. □

2.7. Decomposition via trimming. We will use the operation *trimming* to further simplify the graph Γ^d .

Definition 2.27 (Trimming). *Let $e \in E_0(\Gamma) \cup E_\infty(\Gamma)$ be a leaf edge so that its connecting vertex v is stable. We define the trimming of e from Γ to be the new graph Γ' after replacing the edge e by a leg l attached to the same vertex v , and decorating l via the rule;*

- (1) *when $v \in V_\infty(\Gamma)$ and $d_{e,0} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, decorating l by $\gamma_{(e,v)}^{-1}$;*
- (2) *when $v \in V_\infty(\Gamma)$ and $d_{e,0} \in \mathbb{Z}$, decorating l by $(1, \varphi)$;*
- (3) *when $v \in V_0(\Gamma)$, decorating l by $(1, \rho)$;*

- (4) when $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$, decorating l by $(1, \varphi)$; (*[HL] I think $(1, \rho)$ is better, which does not destroy def theory and vdim*)

We apply trimming to leaf edges in Γ^d whose connecting vertices are stable. We denote the resulting graph by Γ^{de} . We will have a similar morphism as in Proposition 2.26. For precise statement of such morphism, we need to investigate T -invariants MSP associated to $e \in E_0(\Gamma) \cup E_\infty(\Gamma)$.

Let $e \in E_0(\Gamma)$, and let \mathcal{W}_e be the stack parameterizing families of

$$(2.13) \quad (c_1 x^{d_e}, \dots, c_5 x^{d_e}, c y^{d_e}) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e))^{\oplus 6}, \quad (c_1, \dots, c_5) \neq 0, \quad c \neq 0,$$

where $[x, y]$ are coordinates of \mathbb{P}^1 . An arrow from $(c_i x^{d_e}, c y^{d_e})$ to $(c'_i x^{d_e}, c' y^{d_e})$ consists of an isomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ fixing $[1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$, and an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e) \cong \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e)$ that identifies $c_i x^{d_e}$ with $c'_i x^{d_e}$, and identifies $c y^{d_e}$ with $c' y^{d_e}$.

Lemma 2.28. *For $e \in E_0(\Gamma)$, we have the following morphism and isomorphism defined by Lemma 2.10:*

$$\Phi_e : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_e \cong \sqrt[d_e]{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)}/\mathbb{P}^4.$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove the isomorphism. We form $[(\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m]$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus 0$ by $\alpha \cdot (c_1, \dots, c_5) = (\alpha^{d_e} c_1, \dots, \alpha^{d_e} c_5)$. We first show that the map sending (2.13) to $[c^{-1} c_1, \dots, c^{-1} c_5] \in [(\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m]$ is well-defined. Firstly, it is independent of the choice of the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e)$. Indeed, different isomorphisms will scale both c and (c_1, \dots, c_5) by a non-zero constant leaving $(c^{-1} c_1, \dots, c^{-1} c_5)$ invariant. On the other hand, given an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 of the form $\alpha \cdot [x, y] = [\alpha^{-1} x, y]$, then

$$\alpha \cdot (c_1 x^{d_e}, \dots, c_5 x^{d_e}, c y^{d_e}) = (\alpha^{-d_e} c_1 x^{d_e}, \dots, \alpha^{-d_e} c_5 x^{d_e}, c y^{d_e}).$$

This proves that the map $\mathcal{W}_e \rightarrow [(\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m]$ is well-defined and is an isomorphism. Using

$$[(\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m] \cong \sqrt[d_e]{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)}/\mathbb{P}^4,$$

we prove the isomorphism part of the Lemma.

We now define the morphism Φ_e . Let (ξ_S, ϵ_S) be an S -family in \mathcal{W}_Γ , and let $s \in S$ be a closed point. Denote $\xi_S = (\mathcal{C}_S, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}_S}, \mathcal{L}_S, \dots)$. Following the notation developed before and in the proof of Lemma 2.10, let $q = \mathcal{C}_{s,e} \cap (\mathcal{C}_s)_0$ and $q' = \mathcal{C}_{s,e} \cap (\mathcal{C}_s)_1$. Consider the case where q and q' are the two nodes of \mathcal{C}_s in $\mathcal{C}_{s,e} (= (\mathcal{C}_s)_e)$. The proof for other cases is similar. As both q and q' are \mathbb{G}_m -unbalanced, and because (ξ_S, ϵ_S) is a Γ -framed MSP field, q and q' extend to S -families of nodes $\mathcal{Q}_S, \mathcal{Q}'_S \subset \mathcal{C}_S$ containing q and q' respectively, which decompose \mathcal{C}_S along \mathcal{Q}_S and \mathcal{Q}'_S into two families of curves, one of which is a family of rational curves $\mathcal{C}_{S,e}$ indexed by $e \in E_0(\Gamma)$ with two sections \mathcal{Q}_S and $\mathcal{Q}'_S \subset \mathcal{C}_{S,e}$. By shrinking S with $s \in S$ if necessary, we can find an S -isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \cong \mathcal{C}_{S,e}$ so that $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_S) = [1, 0] \times S$ and $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}'_S) = [0, 1] \times S$. We then fix an isomorphism $\phi^* \mathcal{L}_S|_{\mathcal{C}_{S,e}} \cong \pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e)$, and, by Lemma 2.10, express

$$(2.14) \quad \phi^* \varphi_{i,S}|_{\mathcal{C}_{S,e}} = c_i x^{d_e}, \quad \phi^* \nu_{1,S}|_{\mathcal{C}_{S,e}} = c y^{d_e},$$

where c_i, c are regular functions on S so that (c_1, \dots, c_5) and c are nowhere vanishing. The S -family (2.14) defines a morphism $S \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e$. Clearly, different choices of isomorphisms ϕ and isomorphism $\phi^* \mathcal{L}_S|_{\mathcal{C}_{S,e}} \cong \pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_e)$ produce another $S \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e$ that differs from the previous $S \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e$ by an arrow between them. Thus, we obtain a morphism $\Phi_e(\xi_S, \epsilon_S) : S \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e$, which patches to form a morphism $\Phi_e : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e$, as desired. \square

Let $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma)$. Recall the statement before Lemma 2.11, let $v \in V_\infty(\Gamma)$ and $v' \in V_1(\Gamma)$ be so that (e, v) and $(e, v') \in F(\Gamma)$. Set $\delta = -1$ when $v \in V_\infty^{0,1}(\Gamma)$, and $\delta = 0$ otherwise, set $\delta' = -1$ when $v' \in V_1^{0,1}(\Gamma)$ and $\delta' = 0$ otherwise. Note that when $d_e = d_{0e} - d_{\infty e} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\delta = 0$.

We introduce \mathcal{W}_e . In case $d_{\infty e} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, let $(\mathcal{X}, q, q') = (\mathbb{P}(5, 1), [1, 0], [0, 1])$, etc., be as in and before Lemma 2.11. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-d_e)$, and let $\omega = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\delta' q') \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\delta')$. (Note $\delta = 0$ in this case.) We define \mathcal{W}_e to be the stack parameterizing families of

$$(2.15) \quad (cx^{-5d_e+\delta+\delta'}, c'y^{[-d_e]}) \in H^0(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes 5} \otimes \omega \oplus \mathcal{N}), \quad c, c' \in \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

where an arrow from $(c_1x^{-5d_e+\delta'}, c'_1y^{[-d_e]})$ to $(c_2x^{-5d_e+\delta'}, c'_2y^{[-d_e]})$ consists of an isomorphism $\sigma_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ fixing q and q' , and an isomorphism $\sigma_2 : \mathcal{N} \cong \sigma_1^* \mathcal{N}$ which together with the tautological isomorphism⁵ $\sigma_1^* \omega \cong \omega$ identifies $(c_1x^{-5d_e+\delta'}, c'_1y^{[-d_e]})$ with $(c_2x^{-5d_e+\delta'}, c'_2y^{[-d_e]})$.

When $d_e \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $(X, q, q') = (\mathbb{P}^1, [1, 0], [0, 1])$ under coordinates $[x, y]$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_X(-d_e)$, and $\omega = \mathcal{O}_X(\delta q + \delta' q')$. Define \mathcal{W}_e to be the moduli stack parameterizing families of (2.15), where arrows between objects are defined similarly.

Lemma 2.29. *Let $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$. Then \mathcal{W}_e is a $\mu_{-5d_e+\delta}$ -gerbe over a point.*

Proof. First, by scaling the line bundle \mathcal{L} and applying automorphisms to X preserving (q, q') , we can make $(c, c') = (1, 1)$. Thus \mathcal{W}_e as a set consists of a single point.

Let G_e be the automorphism group of the single point in \mathcal{W}_e . Thus \mathcal{W}_e is a G_e -gerbe over a single point. We now prove that $G_e \cong \mu_{-5d_e+\delta}$. We only prove the case where $d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}$ while the other case is similar. Let $\sigma \in G_e$, let $\sigma_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the automorphism part of σ , and let $\sigma_2 : \sigma_1^* \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the linearization part of σ . Since $c'y^{[-d_e]}|_{q'} \neq 0$ and σ_1 fixes q' , $\sigma \in G_e$ implies that $\sigma_2|_{q'} : \mathcal{N}|_{q'} = \sigma_1^* \mathcal{N}|_{q'} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}|_{q'}$ is the identity map. In particular, the map $G_e \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ is injective. Furthermore, let $\sigma'_2 : \sigma_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the G_e -linearization leaving $1 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ invariant, then the linearization σ_2 is that induced by a G_e -isomorphism $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-5d_e q)$, the linearization σ'_2 on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$, and the tautological inclusion

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-5d_e q) \cong \mathcal{N}.$$

Then since the meromorphic section $x^{\delta'}$ of ω is the meromorphic section 1 in the footnote below (2.15), $x^{\delta'}$ is \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant. Thus $x^{-5d_e+\delta'} \in H^0(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes 5} \otimes \omega)$

⁵Here the tautological map $\sigma_1^* \omega \cong \omega$ is consistent with the tautological inclusion $\omega \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and the isomorphism $\sigma_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ fixing the global section 1.

is G_e -invariant if and only if $x^{-5d_e} \in H^0(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes 5})$ is G_e -invariant. Using the G_e -equivariant homomorphisms in (2.16), we see that $G_e = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{-5d_e} \leq \mathbb{C}^*$, which is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{-5d_e+\delta}$ as $\delta = 0$ in this case. \square

Corollary 2.30. *The families given in Lemma 2.11 induce a morphism*

$$\Phi_e : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_e \cong B\boldsymbol{\mu}_{-5d_e+\delta}.$$

We let $G_e = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{d_e}$ when $e \in E_0(\Gamma)$; let $G_e = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{-5d_e+\delta}$ when $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma)$.

Remark 2.31. *The proof of Lemma 2.29 shows that for $e \in E_\infty(\Gamma_\xi)$ with $d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}$, a generator of the automorphisms of $\xi|_{\mathcal{C}_e}$ takes the following form near its stacky point q : Let $[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}]$ be a homogeneous coordinate of \mathcal{C}_e with $q = [1, 0]$ and $q' = [0, 1]$, let $\mathcal{U}_e = \mathcal{C}_e - q'$, and let $b = \lceil -d_e \rceil$ and $m = 5b + 5d_e$. Then $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}_e} \cong \tilde{y}^{-m}\mathbb{C}[y]$ (compare with that before (2.18)), and a generator of $\text{Aut}(\xi|_{\mathcal{C}_e})$ acts on $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}_e}$ via*

$$(2.17) \quad [a, c] \mapsto [\zeta_l \cdot a, c] \in C_e \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \mapsto \zeta_l^b \cdot 1, \quad \text{where } \ell = -5d_e.$$

The remark can be seen as follows: The action on \mathcal{C}_e is the same as its action on the coarse moduli C_e as stated. Using $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}((m-5b)q)$, we see that $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}_e} \cong \tilde{y}^{-m}\mathbb{C}[y]$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-5bq)|_{\mathcal{U}_e} \cong \mathbb{C}[y]$. As $TC_e|_{[1,0]} \cong \mathbf{L}_{-1}$ ⁶, $TC_e|_{[0,1]} \cong \mathbf{L}_1$, and $\mathcal{L}|_{q'} \cong \mathbf{L}_0$ from the proof of Lemma 2.29, we get $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-5bq)|_q \cong \mathbf{L}_b$. As $1 \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ in the stated isomorphism spans $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-5bq)|_q$, we get $1 \mapsto \zeta_l^b \cdot 1$.

Proposition 2.32. *For each $A \in (\Gamma^{\text{de}})_{\text{conn}}$, the trimming defines a natural morphism $\Phi_A : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma^{\text{d}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_A$, whose product defines a morphism*

$$\Phi = \prod \Phi_A : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma^{\text{d}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma^{\text{de}}} = \prod_{A \in (\Gamma^{\text{d}})_{\text{conn}}} \mathcal{W}_A,$$

which is a G -gerbe, where G is the product of G_e of those $e \in E(\Gamma)$ that have been trimmed from Γ^{d} to obtain Γ^{de}

Before proving the proposition, we state simple facts on lifting automorphisms of a twisted curve to their invertible sheaves. We recall notations used in [CLLL, Subsect. 2.1] (drawn from [ACV, AGV, Cad].)

First a model of an index r balanced node is

$$\mathcal{V}_r := [\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[u, v]/(uv))/\boldsymbol{\mu}_r], \quad \zeta \cdot (u, v) = (\zeta u, \zeta^{-1}v).$$

An index r marking of a twisted curve looks like the model

$$\mathcal{U}_r := [\text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u]/\boldsymbol{\mu}_r], \quad \zeta \cdot u = \zeta u.$$

Invertible sheaves (representable) on the model \mathcal{V}_r is a $\boldsymbol{\mu}_r$ -module \mathcal{M}_m , $0 < m < r$:

$$\mathcal{M}_m := u^{-(r-m)}\mathbb{C}[u] \oplus_{[0]} v^{-m}\mathbb{C}[v] := \ker\{u^{-(r-m)}\mathbb{C}[u] \oplus v^{-m}\mathbb{C}[v] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_m\},$$

⁶By this we mean it is a weight -1 representation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_l$.

where the arrow is a homomorphism of μ_r -modules, μ_r leaves $1 \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ and $1 \in \mathbb{C}[v]$ fixed and acts on $1 \in \mathbb{C}_m \cong \mathbb{C}$ via $\zeta \cdot 1 = \zeta^m 1$, and both $u^{-(r-m)}\mathbb{C}[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_m$ and $v^{-m}\mathbb{C}[v] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_m$ are surjective. Under this convention,

$$(2.18) \quad \pi_{r*}\mathcal{M}_m = \mathbb{C}[x] \oplus \mathbb{C}[y],$$

where π_r is the projections to its coarse moduli space

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_r : \mathcal{V}_r &\rightarrow V_r := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy)), \\ \text{and } \pi_r : \mathcal{U}_r &\rightarrow U_r := \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x], \quad x = u^r, y = v^r. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will repeatedly use the fact that if we let $1_u \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ be the element $1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}[u]$, and let $1_v, 1_x$ and 1_y be similarly defined elements, then π_{r*} in (2.18) sends 1_u and 1_v to 1_x and 1_y , respectively.

Note that the relative automorphism groups $\text{Aut}_{U_r}(\mathcal{U}_r) \cong \{1\}$, $\text{Aut}_{V_r}(\mathcal{V}_r) \cong \mu_r$, where the latter is generated by $\zeta_r \cdot (u, v) = (u, \zeta_r^{-1}v)$. These groups are useful in our study of MSP fields due to the following lifting property. We assume r is an odd prime. We will follow the notations before and after (2.19).

Lemma 2.33. *Let $m \in [1, r-1]$. There is a unique $\text{Aut}_{V_r}(\mathcal{V}_r)$ -linearization of the module \mathcal{M}_m so that it leaves $1_u \in \mathcal{M}_m$ fixed.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{V_r}(\mathcal{V}_r)$ so that $\alpha \cdot (u, v) = (u, \zeta_r^{-1}v)$. To make \mathcal{M}_m an $\text{Aut}_{V_r}(\mathcal{V}_r)$ -module that leaves 1_u fixed, we only need to find $\alpha \cdot 1_v = \zeta_r^a 1_v$ so that α acts on $u^{-(r-m)}1_u$ and $v^{-m}1_v$ by identical factors. Since $\alpha \cdot (u^{-(r-m)}1_u) = u^{-(r-m)}1_u$ and $\alpha \cdot (v^{-m}1_v) = \zeta_r^m \zeta_r^a 1_v$, they are identical if and only if $1 = \zeta_r^m \zeta_r^a$. As $m \in [1, r-1]$, it is uniquely solvable (mod r) by $a = r - m$. \square

A simple corollary of this is that the only $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{V_r}(\mathcal{V}_r)$ that lifts to an automorphism \mathcal{M}_m fixing $(1_u, 1_v)$ is the trivial element $\alpha = 1 \in \text{Aut}_{V_r}(\mathcal{V}_r)$.

Lemma 2.34. *Let $b \geq 0$ and $m \in [1, r-1]$ be integers so that $(m, r) = 1$, and let $l = rb + m$. Given a μ_l -action on V_r via $\zeta_l \cdot (x, y) = (x, \zeta_l^{-1}y)$ and a μ_l -linearization on $\pi_{r*}\mathcal{M}_m = \mathbb{C}[x] \oplus \mathbb{C}[y]$ via $\zeta_l \cdot (1_x, 1_y) = (1_x, \zeta_l^b 1_y)$, there is a unique lifting of this μ_l -action to \mathcal{V}_r together with a μ_l -linearization of \mathcal{M}_m covering that of $\pi_{r*}\mathcal{M}_m$.*

Proof. A general extension of $\zeta_l \cdot (x, y) = (x, \zeta_l^{-1}y)$ is $\zeta_l \cdot (u, v) = (\zeta_r^c u, \zeta_r^{-1} \zeta_r^{c'} v)$ for some $c, c' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the μ_l -action on \mathcal{V}_r via $\zeta_r \cdot (u, v) = (\zeta_r^{c'} u, \zeta_r^{-c'} v)$ is the trivial action, by replacing c with $c + c'$, we can assume $c' = 0$. Then

$$\zeta_l \cdot (u^{-(r-m)}1_u, v^{-m}1_v) = (\zeta_r^{-c(r-m)}u^{-(r-m)}1_u, \zeta_r^m \zeta_r^b v^{-m}1_v).$$

It lifts to an action on \mathcal{M}_m if and only if $\zeta_r^{-c(r-m)} = \zeta_r^m \zeta_r^b = \zeta_r^{rb+m}$. Using $l = rb + m$, the latter identity is equivalent to $\zeta_r^{cm} = \zeta_r$. Because $(m, r) = 1$, it is uniquely solvable for an integer $c \in [1, r-1]$. This proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.32. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \varphi, \rho, \nu)$ (together with ϵ) be the universal family of \mathcal{W}_Γ . $a = (e, v)$ associates to a \mathcal{W}_Γ family of nodes $\mathcal{Q}_a \subset \mathcal{C}$ that

decomposes \mathcal{C} into a partial normalization along \mathcal{Q}_a :

$$p : \mathcal{C}' \cup \mathcal{C}_e \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

Since e is a leaf edge this partial normalization is a union of two S -families of subcurves $\eta : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_e \subset \mathcal{C}$, of which \mathcal{C}_e is the family of rational curves associated to e . We let $\mathcal{Q}'_a = \mathcal{C}' \cap p^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_a)$.

We let

$$\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}'} = \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \cup \mathcal{C}' \cup \mathcal{Q}'_a \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{N}', \varphi', \rho', \nu') = \eta^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \varphi, \rho, \nu).$$

It is direct to check that $(\mathcal{C}', \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}'}, \mathcal{L}', \dots)$ (together with that induced by ϵ) is an \mathcal{W}_Γ -family of Γ' -framed MSP fields, thus defines a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$,

On the other hand, the restriction of $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \varphi, \rho, \nu)$ to \mathcal{C}_e is a family in \mathcal{W}_e , thus defines a morphism $\phi_e : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e$. We prove that the map is an isomorphism:

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{\phi} := \phi \times \phi_e : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'} \times \mathcal{W}_e$$

We will prove in detail the most involved case where $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$ and $d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}$. The other cases are similar and will be omitted. In this case, it is easy to see that ϕ is one-one, onto and étale. Thus to prove that $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism we only need to show that for any $(\xi, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$,

$$(2.21) \quad \text{Aut}((\xi, \epsilon)) \cong \text{Aut}(\phi(\xi, \epsilon)) \times \text{Aut}(\phi_e(\xi, \epsilon)).$$

Let $(\xi', \epsilon') = \phi((\xi, \epsilon))$, $\xi_e = \phi_e((\xi, \epsilon))$, $\xi = (\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \dots)$, and $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}'$, and $\mathcal{C}_e = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}$. Then \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{C}_e are the domain curves of ξ' and ξ_e , respectively.

We now prove the proposition. First, any $\iota \in \text{Aut}((\xi, \epsilon))$ induces $\iota' \in \text{Aut}((\xi', \epsilon'))$ and $\iota_e \in \text{Aut}(\xi_e)$. We claim that if $\iota' = \iota_e = \text{id}$, then $\iota = \text{id}$. Indeed, let

$$\alpha_0 \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \alpha_1 : \alpha_{0*}\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}, \quad \alpha_2 : \alpha_{0*}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$$

be induced by ι . Assuming $\iota' = \iota_e = \text{id}$, then $\alpha_i|_{\mathcal{C}'} = \alpha_i|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \text{id}$ for all i . Therefore, α_0 induces the identity in $\text{Aut}(C)$, where C is the coarse moduli of \mathcal{C} . Suppose $\alpha_0 \neq \text{id}$, then $\alpha_0 \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathcal{C})$. Because ξ is representable (cf. Definition 2.1), by Lemma 2.33, α_0 can not be lifted to automorphisms of both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{N} so that their restrictions over the scheme part of \mathcal{C} are identities. Therefore, $\alpha_0 = \text{id}$. Then, applying the uniqueness part of Lemma 2.33, we see that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \text{id}$. This proves that $\iota' = \iota_e = \text{id}$ imply that $\iota = \text{id}$.

We now prove the converse. Let $(\kappa', \kappa_e) \in \text{Aut}((\xi', \epsilon')) \times \text{Aut}(\xi_e)$; we show that we can find an $\iota \in \text{Aut}((\xi, \epsilon))$ so that $\iota' = \kappa'$ and $\iota_e = \kappa_e$. We first prove that we can extend any pair $\kappa' \neq \text{id}$ and $\kappa_e = \text{id}$ to an $\iota \in \text{Aut}((\xi, \epsilon))$. Let

$$\alpha'_0 \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'), \quad \alpha'_1 : \alpha'_{0*}\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}'} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_2 : \alpha'_{0*}\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}'} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}'}$$

be that induced by κ' . Note that since $d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $q = \mathcal{C}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_e$ is a stack point. Without loss of generality, we can assume that there is an α'_0 -invariant étale chart $\mathcal{U}' = [U'/\mu_5] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ (covering q) so that $\alpha'_0|_{\mathcal{U}'}$ lifts to $\tilde{\alpha}_0 : U' \rightarrow U'$, and that there is an $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{U'})$ so that $\zeta_5 \cdot \tilde{x} = \zeta_5 \tilde{x}$ and $q = [(\tilde{x} = 0)/\mu_5]$. Because $\rho|_{\mathcal{C}'}$ is nonzero near q , we can assume that $\mathcal{L}|_{U'} \cong \tilde{x}^{-(5-m)}\mathbb{C}[\tilde{x}]$, for an $m \in [1, 4]$, so

that $\rho|_{U'} = (\tilde{x}^{-(5-m)}1)^5$. Since ρ is invariant under κ' , $\bar{\alpha}'_1 := \alpha'_1 \circ (\alpha'_0)_*$ fixes $(\tilde{x}^{-(5-m)}1)^5$. Thus there is a $c \in [0, 4]$ so that $\bar{\alpha}'_1$ sends $\tilde{x}^{-(5-m)}1$ to $\zeta_5^c \tilde{x}^{-(5-m)}1$.

Now let α_0 be an extension of α'_0 to $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}' \cup \mathcal{C}_e$ so that $\alpha_0|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \text{id}$. By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.33, we can extend α'_1 to an $\alpha_1 : \alpha_0^* \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}}$. Because $\bar{\alpha}'_1$ sends $\tilde{x}^{-(5-m)}1$ to $\zeta_5^c \tilde{x}^{-(5-m)}1$, by the proof of the same Lemma, we see that there is a $c' \in [0, 4]$ so that $\alpha_1|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \zeta_5^{c'}$ id.

When $c' = 0$, we are done. Otherwise, we apply Lemma 2.33 to pick a $\tau \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})$ so that it lifts to an action τ (the same letter for simplicity) on $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}}$ so that $\tau|_{\mathcal{C}'} = \text{id}$ and $\tau|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \zeta_5^{-c'}$ id. Let $\beta_0 = \tau \circ \alpha_0$. Then β_0 lifts to a $\beta_1 : \beta_0^* \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}}$ so that $\beta_1|_{\mathcal{C}'} = \alpha'_1$ and $\beta_1|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \text{id}$. As to \mathcal{N} , α'_2 extends to β_2 that is α'_2 and id when restricted to \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{C}_e , respectively. Because the field φ vanishes along \mathcal{C}_e , we see that $(\varphi, \rho, \nu_1, \nu_2)|_{\mathcal{C}}$ are invariant under $\iota := (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. Combined, we conclude that we can extend κ' to an $\iota \in \text{Aut}((\xi, \epsilon))$ so that $\iota_e = \text{id}$.

The other case to verify is that we can extend any $(\text{id}, \kappa_e) \in \text{Aut}((\xi', \epsilon')) \times \text{Aut}(\xi_e)$ to an $\iota \in \text{Aut}((\xi, \epsilon))$. The proof is similar and will be omitted. \square

2.8. Regular graphs. Regular graphs are flat graphs whose contribution to the localization formula are possibly non-zero.

We begin with more conventions. For a $v \in V_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ with $\gamma_v = \{\zeta_5^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta_5^{a_c}\}$, we abbreviate $\gamma_v = (0^{e_0} \dots 4^{e_4})$, where e_i is the number of i in $\{a_1, \dots, a_c\}$. Call a vertex $v \in V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma)$ exceptional if $g_v = 0$ and $\gamma_v = (1^{2+k}4)$ or $(1^{1+k}23)$ for some $k \geq 0$. Denote by $V_{\text{exc}}^S(\Gamma) \subset V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma)$ the set of all exceptional vertices.

Definition 2.35. *We call a $v \in V_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ regular if the followings hold:*

- (1) *In case v is stable, then either v is exceptional, or for every $a \in S_v$ and $e \in E_v$ we have γ_a and $\gamma_{(e,v)} \in \{\zeta_5, \zeta_5^2\}$.*
- (2) *In case v is unstable and \mathcal{C}_v is a scheme point, then \mathcal{C}_v is a non-marking smooth point of \mathcal{C} .*

We call Γ regular if it is flat, $E_{0\infty}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$, and that every $v \in V_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ is regular; otherwise we call it irregular.

Let $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}$; let $v \in V^S(\Gamma)$. We denote by $|v|$ the one vertex graph consisting of the vertex v and legs labeled by $E_v \cup S_v$. Thus $|v|$ has inherited legs indexed by S_v from Γ , and with new legs indexed by E_v . Further, v in $|v|$ is decorated by the same data as v in Γ ; legs inherited from Γ have the same decorations as those in Γ ; when $v \in V_0(\Gamma)$, all new legs in $|v|$ are decorated by $(1, \rho)$; when $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$, all new legs in $|v|$ are decorated by $(1, \varphi)$; when $v \in V_{\infty}(\Gamma)$, the new leg in $|v|$ indexed by $a \in E_v$ is decorated by γ_a . (Here γ_a is defined in the paragraph before (2.7). Since Γ is regular, all $\gamma_a \neq 1$.)

Let \mathcal{W}_v be the moduli space of $|v|$ -framed MSP fields.

Proposition 2.36. *We have canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{W}_v \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p, \quad \mathcal{W}_v \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_v \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, \gamma_v}^{1/5, 5p}$$

when $v \in V_0^S(\Gamma)$, $v \in V_1^S(\Gamma)$, and $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$, respectively. Here $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, \gamma_v}^{1/5, 5p}$ denotes the moduli of 5-spin γ_v -pointed twisted curves with five p -fields.

By $E_v \cup S_v$ -pointed we mean the markings labeled by elements in $E_v \cup S_v$.

Proof. We look at the case $v \in V_0^S(\Gamma)$. Let $(\mathcal{C}_v, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}_v}, \mathcal{L}_v, \dots)$ be the universal family on \mathcal{W}_v . Then $(\nu_1, \nu_2) = (0, 1)$; $\mathcal{N}_v \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$, and the sections $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5)$ defines a morphism

$$(2.22) \quad \phi_v : \mathcal{C}_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4.$$

Since ρ is a section in $\mathcal{L}^{\vee 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_v/\mathcal{W}_v}^{\log}(-\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\vee 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_v/\mathcal{W}_v}$, this morphism with the markings indexed by $E_v \cup S_v$ makes it a family in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p$, thus defines a morphism $\mathcal{W}_v \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p$. It is direct to check that it is an isomorphism. The other cases can be check similarly(c.f. Lemma 2.9), and will be omitted. \square

For an unstable $v \in V_0^U(\Gamma)$, we define $\mathcal{W}_v = \mathbb{P}^4$. For any $v \in V_0(\Gamma)$, we define

$$\mathcal{W}_{[v]} := \mathcal{W}_v \times_{(\mathbb{P}^4)^{E_v}} \prod_{e \in E_v} \mathcal{W}_e,$$

where $\mathcal{W}_v \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^4)^{|E_v|}$ is the product of the evaluation maps $\text{ev}_{(e,v)} : \mathcal{W}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. For $e \in E_v$, $\mathcal{W}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the coarse moduli morphism given by Lemma 2.28.

Corollary 2.37. *Let $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{W}_\Gamma \cong \prod_{v \in V_0(\Gamma)} \mathcal{W}_{[v]} \times \prod_{v \in V_1^S(\Gamma)} \mathcal{W}_v \times \prod_{e \in E_\infty(\Gamma)} \mathcal{W}_e \times \prod_{v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)} \mathcal{W}_v,$$

and the induced $\mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \prod_{v \in V_0 \cup V_1^S \cup V_\infty^S} \mathcal{W}_v$ is a G -gerbe, where $G = \prod_{e \in E} G_e$.

3. VIRTUAL LOCALIZATION, PART 1

Recall that for a DM T -stack W with a T -equivariant perfect obstruction theory $\phi_W^\vee : \mathbb{T}_W \rightarrow E_W$ and a T -invariant cosection $\sigma : \mathcal{O}b_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W$, by [GP], the T -invariant part of the perfect obstruction theory induces a perfect obstruction theory of the fixed locus W^T with the obstruction sheaf $(\mathcal{O}b_W|_{W^T})^T$ and the cosection (the invariant part of σ)

$$\sigma^T : \mathcal{O}b_{W^T} := (\mathcal{O}b_W|_{W^T})^T \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W^T}.$$

Let $\coprod_{a \in \Lambda} W_a = W^T$ be a decomposition into disjoint open and closed substacks. Let $\sigma_a = \sigma^T|_{W_a}$. Let $D(\sigma)$ be the degeneracy (non-surjective) part of σ , let $\iota_a : D(\sigma_a) \rightarrow D(\sigma)$ be the inclusion, and let

$$[W]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} \in A_*^T D(\sigma) \quad (\text{resp. } [W_a]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} \in A_*^T D(\sigma_a))$$

be the cosection localized equivariant virtual cycle of (W, σ) (resp. (W_a, σ_a)). The following virtual localization formula (analogous to [GP]) is addressed in [CKL].

Theorem 3.1 (Virtual localization formula). *Let the notations be as stated. Suppose each moving part $(E_W|_{W_a})^{\text{mv}}$ is quasi-isomorphic to a T -equivariant two-term complex of coherent locally free sheaves $[\mathcal{F}_{a,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{a,1}]$. Then after inverting (the generator) $\mathfrak{t} \in H^2(BT)$ and letting $e(N_a^{\text{vir}}) = e(\mathcal{F}_{a,0})/e(\mathcal{F}_{a,1})$, we have*

$$[W]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \sum_{a \in \Lambda} \iota_{a*} \left(\frac{[W_a]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}}{e(N_a^{\text{vir}})} \right) \in A_*^T D(\sigma)[\mathfrak{t}^{-1}].$$

3.1. Applying virtual localization formula. To apply the localization formula, we first lift a T -equivariant relative obstruction theory of $\mathcal{W}(= \mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}})$ to a T -equivariant perfect obstruction theory of \mathcal{W} .

We recall the relevant notations. Recall that \mathcal{D} is the stack of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$. By forgetting (φ, ρ, ν) , we get the forgetful morphism $q : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Let

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}, \varphi, \rho, \nu) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

be the universal family of \mathcal{W} . Following [CLLL], we have the relative perfect obstruction theory

$$(3.1) \quad \phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}} := R\pi_* \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{W}},$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{W}} = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\log})^{\oplus 5} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\log} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\log} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}})$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\log} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}/\mathcal{W}}^{\log}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}})$.

It comes with a cosection $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{O}_{b_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Following the constructions in [CL1], both the obstruction theory and the cosection are T -equivariant, and liftable to a cosection of $\mathcal{O}_{b_{\mathcal{W}}}$, thus provide a T -equivariant cycle $[\mathcal{W}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$.

To apply the virtual localization formula, we first construct a T -equivariant (absolute) obstruction theory $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}$. Let θ be the arrow in the distinguished triangle (d.t. for short) in the lower line in (3.3) induced by $q : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & q^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}}[-1] & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee} \circ \theta} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}} & \xrightarrow{+1} \longrightarrow \\ & \parallel & & \uparrow \phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee} & & \uparrow \phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee} & \\ \longrightarrow & q^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}}[-1] & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}} & \xrightarrow{+1} \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

Here $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the mapping cone of $\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee} \circ \theta$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}$ (shown above) is an arrow in $D^+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}})$ that makes above an arrow of d.t.'s. A standard argument⁷ shows that $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}$ is a perfect obstruction theory.

To proceed, we argue that we can make objects and arrows in (3.3) lie in $D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{[W/T]})$, where $D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{[W/T]})$ is the subcategory of $D(\mathcal{O}_{[W/T]})$ consisting of complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{[W/T]}$ -modules with quasi-coherent cohomologies [LM, page 126], in the *lisse-étale* site.

⁷Similar arguments can be found in Section 3. It will also be addressed in [CL2].

Applying [LM, Prop 13.2.6-iii] to the $[\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}/T] \rightarrow [\mathcal{W}/T]$ (induced by $\pi_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$), the construction of $\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}$ shows that $\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}$ can be represented as an arrow in $D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{[\mathcal{W}/T]})$ in the *lisse-étale* site.

By Illusie's construction of cotangent complexes, the θ in (3.3) is an arrow in $D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{[\mathcal{W}/T]})$. Thus $\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee} \circ \theta$ is an arrow in $D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{[\mathcal{W}/T]})$. By the mapping cone axiom of $D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{[\mathcal{W}/T]})$, we obtain a lift $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}$ that is an arrow in $D_{\text{qcoh}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{[\mathcal{W}/T]})$. This makes $\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}$ a T -equivariant obstruction theory of \mathcal{W} .

Next, we claim that the cosection $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}$ lifts to a cosection

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{W}} = H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

Indeed, that $H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}})$ is the absolute obstruction sheaf of \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D} , and that $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}$ lifts to a cosection of $H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}})$ shown in [CLLL, Lemma 2.10] allow us to define $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}}$ to be this lift, which is T -equivariant since $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}$ is. Thus the T -equivariant $(\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}, \sigma_{\mathcal{W}})$ defines a T -equivariant cosection localized virtual cycle of \mathcal{W} .

To apply virtual localization, we need to verify that for $\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)} \subset \mathcal{W}$, which is the image of $\iota_{\Gamma} : \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ (cf. Proposition 2.23), we can find a two-term complex of locally free sheaves $[\mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma),0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma),1}]$ on $[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}/T]$ so that the moving part is

$$(3.4) \quad (\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}})^{\text{mv}} \cong_{q.i.} [\mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma),0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma),1}].$$

First, as $\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)} \subset \mathcal{W}^T$ is an open and closed substack, we have the direct sum decomposition as complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on $[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}/T]$:

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}} = \bigoplus_k (\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}})^{(k)},$$

where the superscript (k) means the corresponding weight k part.

Since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}}$ is a complex of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma}}$ -modules with coherent cohomologies, the same is true for all $(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}})^{(k)}$. By the explicit description of \mathcal{W}_{Γ} , it is direct to check that \mathcal{W}_{Γ} has the resolution property and its coarse moduli is projective. Since $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$ is a finite quotient, the same is true for $\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$. Applying [Kr2, Prop 5.1] and [Huy, Prop 3.5 and Lem 3.6], and using that for any closed $\xi \in \mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$, $H^i(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\xi}) = 0$ for $i \notin [0, 1]$, we conclude that each $(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}})^{(k)} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-k}$ is quasi-isomorphic to a two-term complex of finite rank locally free sheaves of amplitude $[-1, 0]$ on $\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$. Finally, since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}}$ has coherent cohomologies, all but finitely many of $(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}})^{(k)}$'s are quasi-isomorphic to 0. This verifies the requirement to apply Theorem 3.1. Following the convention, we agree

$$e(N_{(\Gamma)}^{\text{vir}}) = e(\mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma),0})/e(\mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma),1}).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $[\mathcal{W}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ (resp. $[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$) be the cosection localized virtual cycle of $\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}$ (resp. of $(\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}|_{\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}})^T$), let $j_{(\Gamma)}^- : \mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^-$ be the inclusion. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad [\mathcal{W}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \sum_{(\Gamma)} j_{(\Gamma)*}^- \left(\frac{[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}}{e(N_{(\Gamma)}^{\text{vir}})} \right) \in A_*^T(\mathcal{W}^-)[\mathfrak{t}^{-1}].$$

Proof. By our construction of the T -equivariant $(\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}, \sigma_{\mathcal{W}})$, we can apply the virtual localization theorem Theorem 3.1 to get (3.6), with $[\mathcal{W}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ the cosection localized virtual cycle of $(\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}, \sigma_{\mathcal{W}})$, and $[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ as stated in the proposition. To complete the proof of the proposition, we need to verify that the cosection localized virtual cycle of $(\phi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\vee}, \sigma_{\mathcal{W}})$ and that of $(\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^{\vee}, \sigma_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}})$ are identical. The proof of this is parallel to that of Proposition 3.6, and will be omitted. \square

3.2. The fixed part. In this subsection, we determine the cycle $[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$.

Denote $\mathcal{W}^- \subset \mathcal{W}$ to be the set of closed points $\xi \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $(\varphi = 0) \cup (\rho = \sum \varphi_i^5 = 0) = \mathcal{C}$ (cf. [CLLL, Lemma 2.11]). We let

$$\mathcal{W}^{\sim} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{W} \mid (\varphi = 0) \cup (\rho = 0) = \mathcal{C}\}.$$

By [CLLL, Coro 3.23], \mathcal{W}^{\sim} is proper.

Definition 3.3. We say $\beta \in A_*^T(\mathcal{W}^-)^T$ is weakly zero, denoted by $\beta \sim 0$, if there is a closed proper substack $Z' \subset \mathcal{W}^T$ with $(\mathcal{W}^-)^T \subset Z'$ so that β is mapped to zero under the induced homomorphism $A_*^T(\mathcal{W}^-)^T \rightarrow A_*^T Z'$.

We quote a vanishing proved in [CL2].

Proposition 3.4 ([CL2]). *In case $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{fl}}$ is narrow and irregular, and not a loop, then $[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} \sim 0$.*

As a weakly zero class in localization formula can be treated as zero, in using (3.6) to get numerical relations, we only need to sum over all $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}$.

In the following, we fix a $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}$. As Γ is fixed throughout the remaining of this subsection, we skip Γ from the notation $V(\Gamma)$, etc., and use V and E to denote $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ respectively. Accordingly $V^S = V^S(\Gamma)$, and $V_0^{0,1} = V_0^{0,1}(\Gamma)$, etc..

Let $v \in V_0^S \cup V_{\infty}^S$. Since \mathcal{W}_v defined before Proposition 2.36 is the moduli of T -equivariant $|v|$ -framed stable MSP fields, applying [CLLL] we obtain its cosection localized virtual cycle, denoted by $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$. For $v \in V_1^S$, $\mathcal{W}_v \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, |E_v \cup S_v|}$, thus we set $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{W}_v]$. For unstable $v \in V_0^U$, we set $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = -[Q_5]$, where $Q_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is the Fermat quintic.

We use $\iota_{\Gamma} : \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}$ (cf. (2.12)) and use $\iota_{\Gamma}^- : \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}^-$ induced by ι_{Γ} .

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}$. Then*

$$[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \frac{1}{\prod_{e \in E} |G_e|} (\iota_{\Gamma}^-)^* \left(\prod_{v \in V_0 \cup V_1^S \cup V_{\infty}^S} [\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} \right).$$

The rest of this subsection is devoted to prove this proposition. Let $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{fl}}$, not necessarily regular. We first reconstruct $[\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$. Let \mathcal{D}_{Γ} be the smooth Artin stack of Γ -framed curves $(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$ as defined in and after Definition 2.24. Let

$$j : \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{\Gamma} : \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}$$

Then we have the Cartesian square via the tautological morphisms

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\iota_\Gamma} & \mathcal{W} \\ \downarrow q_\Gamma & & \downarrow q \\ \mathcal{D}_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\zeta_\Gamma} & \mathcal{D}. \end{array}$$

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \dots)$ with $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$ be the universal family of \mathcal{W}_Γ . Let \mathcal{L}^{\log} , \mathcal{P}^{\log} and \mathcal{V} be defined as in (3.2) with $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{W}$, etc., replaced by \mathcal{L} , etc.. Parallel to the construction of the relative obstruction theory $\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^\vee$ of $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, we obtain a T -equivariant relative obstruction theory

$$(3.8) \quad \phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}^\vee : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} = R\pi_{\Gamma*}^T \mathcal{V}.$$

We will show later that

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma} = \text{coker}\{q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}[-1] \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma})\} = (\iota_\Gamma^* \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{W}})^T.$$

Granting this, $(\iota_\Gamma^* \sigma_{\mathcal{W}})^T$ induces a cosection of $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}$, liftable to a cosection of its absolute obstruction sheaf.

Proposition 3.6. *The cosection localized T -equivariant cycle $[\mathcal{W}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ using the pairs $(\phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}^\vee, \sigma_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma})$ and $((\iota_\Gamma^* \phi_{\mathcal{W}}^\vee)^T, (\iota_\Gamma^* \sigma_{\mathcal{W}})^T)$ are identical.*

Lemma 3.7. *The tautological map $q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} \rightarrow (\iota_\Gamma^* q^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}})^T$ is an isomorphism in the derived category $D_{\text{cohom}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma})$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\zeta_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is canonically a T -complex, and the tautological map $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} \rightarrow \zeta_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}}$ factors through a T -equivariant isomorphism

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\zeta_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}})^T.$$

To this end, we transform any data $(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$ in \mathcal{D} to a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -pair $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{S}$:

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus 1), \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{S}, \quad (t_1, t_2) \cdot [a, b, 1] = [t_1 a, t_2 b, 1].$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the stack of such $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -pairs $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{S}$. Then the mentioned construction defines an equivalence of stacks $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, if we let \mathcal{S}_T be the stack of T -equivariant $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -pairs in \mathcal{S} , and let \mathcal{D}_T be the stack of T -equivariant $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$ in \mathcal{D} , then we have an equivalence of stacks $\mathcal{D}_T \cong \mathcal{S}_T$. Thus we have induced isomorphisms $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_T} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}_T}$.

Let $\eta : \mathcal{S}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the forgetful morphism. Since $\eta^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ has a canonical T -action, the isomorphism $\eta^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}} \cong \zeta_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}}$ provides the latter a T -action. Furthermore, as $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}_T} \rightarrow \eta^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ factors through an isomorphism $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}_T} \rightarrow (\eta^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}})^T$, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_T} \rightarrow \zeta_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}}$ factors through a T -equivariant isomorphism (3.10). This proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.6. We apply the construction of relative obstruction theory in [CL1] to the square (3.7) to obtain the following commutative diagrams

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{=} & (\iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}})^T & \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} & \iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}} \\ \uparrow \phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}^\vee & & \uparrow (\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^\vee)^T & & \uparrow \phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^\vee \\ \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{g}_1} & (\iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}})^T & \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} & \iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}, \end{array}$$

where the upper-left arrow is an isomorphism, which follows from the definition of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}$. We then take the T -invariant part of (3.3) to get the upper two lines of the following commutative diagram in $D_{qcoh}^+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma})$, where the lower two lines are induced by the square (3.7), and the lower-left isomorphism is due to Lemma 3.7:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & (\iota_\Gamma^* q^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}})^T[-1] & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (\iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}})^T & \longrightarrow & (\iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}})^T & \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & \parallel & & \uparrow (\phi_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}}^\vee)^T & & \uparrow & \\ \longrightarrow & (\iota_\Gamma^* q^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}})^T[-1] & \longrightarrow & (\iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{D}})^T & \longrightarrow & (\iota_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}})^T & \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \mathfrak{g}_1 & & \uparrow & \\ \longrightarrow & (q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma})[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{+1} . \end{array}$$

By (3.11), the composition of the middle vertical arrows is $\phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}^\vee$. As $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}$ is locally perfect of amplitude $[-1, 0]$, we have an exact sequence of cone-stacks induced from the upper and lower lines of (3.12) and [BF, Prop 2.7]:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} h^1/h^0(q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}[-1]) & \longrightarrow & h^1/h^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & h^1/h^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}) \\ \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow (\phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}^\vee)^* & & \uparrow (\phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}^\vee)^* \\ h^1/h^0(q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}[-1]) & \longrightarrow & h^1/h^0(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}) & \longrightarrow & h^1/h^0(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}). \end{array}$$

Applying the argument analogs to [CL1, Coro 2.9] (also see [KKP, Prop 3]), we conclude that the two intrinsic normal cones

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma} \subset h^1/h^0(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} \subset h^1/h^0(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma})$$

satisfy

$$\lambda^*(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}) = \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}.$$

Since the two cosections of $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}$ and of $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}$ are compatible under λ , we conclude that the localized virtual classes using the cone $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}$ and using the cone $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}$ are identical (also see [KKP, Prop 3]). This proves that the the cycles $[\mathcal{W}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ defined using $\phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}^\vee$ and using $(\iota_\Gamma^* \phi_{\mathcal{W}})^T$ are identical. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let the notation be as stated. Then*

$$[\mathcal{W}_{(\Gamma)}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} [\mathcal{W}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}.$$

We will prove Proposition 3.5 by proving a series of Lemmas. Let Γ be a flat graph (not necessary regular); let $e \in E_0 \cup E_\infty$ be a non-leaf edge of Γ , and let $v \in V_1$ be the vertex of e lying in V_1 . We let Γ' be the decoupling of Γ along $a = (e, v)$. Let $\phi : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$ be the isomorphism stated in (??).

Lemma 3.9. *We have identity $\phi^*[\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{W}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$.*

We let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \dots)$, with $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$ be the universal family of \mathcal{W}_Γ ; let $(\mathcal{C}', \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}'}, \mathcal{L}', \dots)$ with $\pi : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$ be the universal family of $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$. By identifying \mathcal{W}_Γ with $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$ using ϕ , we can view both \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' as families over the same stack. As argued before, \mathcal{C}' is derived from \mathcal{C} by partial resolution along the \mathcal{W}_Γ -family of nodes $\mathcal{Q}_a \subset \mathcal{C}$ associated to a . Let $\eta : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the partial resolution morphism.

We set $\mathcal{L}^{\text{log}} = \mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^\mathcal{C})$, $\mathcal{P}^{\text{log}} = \mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^{\text{log}}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^\mathcal{C})$, and set \mathcal{V} be as in (3.2) with subscript “ \mathcal{W} ” removed. We set similarly $\mathcal{L}'^{\text{log}}$, $\mathcal{P}'^{\text{log}}$, and \mathcal{V}' on \mathcal{C}' .

Lemma 3.10. *Let the notations be as stated. Then we have quasi-isomorphisms $R\pi_*^T \mathcal{L}^{\text{log}} \cong R\pi_*^T \mathcal{L}'^{\text{log}}$, $R\pi_*^T \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}} \cong R\pi_*^T \mathcal{P}'^{\text{log}}$, and a d.t.*

$$(3.14) \quad R\pi_*^T \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \phi^* R\pi_*^T \mathcal{V}' \longrightarrow \pi_*^T (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1|_{\mathcal{Q}_a} \oplus \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{Q}_a}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

where the last term is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}^{\oplus 2}$.

Proof. We first prove the two quasi-isomorphisms stated. Because in the case $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$, two sections \mathcal{Q}'_a and $\mathcal{Q}''_a \subset \mathcal{C}'$ (lie in the preimage of \mathcal{Q}_a under $\eta : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) are marking with $(1, \varphi)$, we have short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \eta_* \mathcal{L}'^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{Q}_a} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \eta_* \mathcal{P}'^{\text{log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{Q}_a} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here we used that $\mathcal{L}' = \eta^* \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{N}' = \eta^* \mathcal{N}$.

Since T acts non-trivially on $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{Q}_a}$, $R\pi_*^T (\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{Q}_a}) = R\pi_*^R (\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{Q}_a}) = 0$. Thus applying the functor $R\pi_*^T$ to the above two short exact sequences, we obtain the desired quasi-isomorphisms.

Finally, since $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{log} \oplus 5} \oplus \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}} \oplus \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}$, and since $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N})|_{\mathcal{Q}_a} \cong \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus 2}$ because ν_1 and ν_2 are nowhere vanishing T -equivariant sections, we obtain the d.t. stated. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.9. Obviously, we have the forgetting morphism $q_\Gamma : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\Gamma$, and $q_{\Gamma'} : \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}$.

We show that there is a tautological morphism $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}$ that fits into the commutative diagram of morphisms

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'} \\ \downarrow q_\Gamma & & \downarrow q_{\Gamma'} \\ \mathcal{D}_\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}. \end{array}$$

Given a Γ -framed curve $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$, following Definition 2.24, \mathcal{C} has a distinguished (T -unbalanced) node q_a , and the two branches of q_a are also labeled by data in Γ . Let $\pi : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the partial normalization of \mathcal{C} along q_a , and let

$\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}'} = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}) \cup \pi^{-1}(q_a)$, where we mark $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}})$ by the legs of Γ' that come from legs in Γ , and mark the two sections in $\pi^{-1}(q_a)$ via $(1, \varphi)$. As T -unbalanced nodes remain nodes in any family of T -equivariant curves, this association defines a morphism $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}$ that fits into the commutative square (3.15).

Without lose of generality, we can assume Γ is connected. Then Γ' either is connected or has two connected components. In case Γ' is connected, the lower horizontal line in (3.15) is a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -torsor, where the first factor of the group $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ acts on \mathcal{D}_Γ by scaling the gluing of $\eta_*\mathcal{L}'$ over the section of nodes $\mathcal{Q}_a \subset \mathcal{C}$, and the second factor acts by scaling the gluing of $\eta_*\mathcal{N}'$ over $\mathcal{Q}_a \subset \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}$ is smooth, and

$$(3.16) \quad q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}^{\oplus 2}.$$

In case Γ' has two connected components, then $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}$ is a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -gerbe. In this case, we also have isomorphism (3.16).

On the other hand, as $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$ is defined similar to \mathcal{W}_Γ , it comes with a tautological relative obstruction theory which takes the form

$$\phi_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}}^\vee : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}} = R\pi_*^T \mathcal{V}'.$$

We then form a morphism of d.t.s

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & \phi^* \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_*^T(\mathcal{V}^c|_{\mathcal{Q}_a}) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \uparrow \phi_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}^\vee & & \uparrow \phi^*(\phi_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}}^\vee) & & \uparrow \alpha \\ \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} & \phi^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}} & \longrightarrow & q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}} \xrightarrow{+1} \end{array} .$$

Here the top d.t. is (3.14), using that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} = R\pi_*^T \mathcal{V}$, etc.; the lower d.t. is from the functoriality of cotangent complexes using the arrows in (3.15). Because ϕ in (3.15) is an isomorphism, the construction of obstruction theories in [CL1] applied to this case ensures that the left square is commutative. Let α be so that it makes the above diagram a morphism between d.t.s.

We claim that α is an isomorphism. Indeed, by the discussion after (3.14), and by the isomorphism (3.16), both $q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}}$ and $\pi_*^T(\mathcal{V}^c|_{\mathcal{Q}_a})$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}^{\oplus 2}$. By the construction of γ_1 and the explicit knowledge of ϕ in (3.15), we conclude that

$$\text{coker } H^0(\gamma_1) \cong \text{coker } H^0(\gamma_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \ker H^1(\gamma_1) \cong \ker H^1(\gamma_2).$$

This implies that α is an isomorphism.

Finally, we check that the T -invariant cosection $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}}$ of the obstruction sheaf of \mathcal{W} lifts to a cosection σ_Γ of the obstruction sheaf of \mathcal{W}_Γ , identical to that using the formula (2.9) in [CLLL]. Let $\sigma_{\Gamma'}$ be the cosection of the obstruction sheaf of $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$, defined similarly. Then it is straightforward to see that σ_Γ and $\sigma_{\Gamma'}$ are consistent under α . Since $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}}$ is a locally free sheaf,

$$h^1/h^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}) \xrightarrow{h^1/h^0(\gamma_1)} h^1/h^0(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}})$$

is a smooth quotient. By the commutative diagram above, the intrinsic normal cone $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_\Gamma}$ is the pullback of the intrinsic normal cone $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}}$. Therefore, by the comparison of the two cosections σ_Γ and $\sigma_{\Gamma'}$, we prove the proposition. \square

We continue to let $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{fl}}$; let $e \in E_0 \cup E_\infty$ to be a leaf edge and v to be its connecting vertex. Let Γ' be the trimming of e from Γ , and $\phi : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$ be the tautological morphism in (2.20). By the proof of Prop. 2.32, it is a G_e -gerbe.

Lemma 3.11. *We have identity $\phi^*[\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{W}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$.*

Proof. We will prove the case $v \in V_0$. The other cases are similar. First recall the construction of the morphism ϕ . Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \dots)$ with $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$ be the universal family of \mathcal{W}_Γ ; let $(\mathcal{C}', \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}'}, \dots)$ with $\pi' : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$ be the universal family of $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$. Like the case of decoupling, we have a section of nodes $\mathcal{Q}_a \subset \mathcal{C}$ associated to the flag $a = (e, v)$, so that the decomposition of \mathcal{C} along \mathcal{Q}_a results two subfamilies: $\mathcal{C}' \times_{\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}} \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$, and \mathcal{C}_e , where \mathcal{C}_e is a family of rational curves associated with e . Let $\eta : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the tautological morphism. Then the pullback via η^* of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \cup \mathcal{Q}_a, \mathcal{L}, \dots)$ is the universal family of $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}^{\text{log}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}}$, etc., \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' be as defined before Lemma 3.10. We claim that we have the following d.t.

$$(3.18) \quad R\pi_*^T \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \phi^* R\pi_*^T \mathcal{V}' \longrightarrow \pi_*^T (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1|_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{Q}_a)) \xrightarrow{+1},$$

where the last term is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}$. Indeed, parallel to the proof of Lemma 3.10, we show that

$$R\pi_*^T \mathcal{L}^{\text{log}} \cong \phi^* R\pi_*^T \mathcal{L}'^{\text{log}}, \quad R\pi_*^T \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}} \cong \phi^* R\pi_*^T \mathcal{P}'^{\text{log}}, \quad R\pi_*^T \mathcal{N}_{[v]} \cong \phi^* R\pi_*^T \mathcal{N}'.$$

Next, let $\mathcal{Q}'_a \subset (\mathcal{C}_e)^T$ be the section of the T -fixed locus other than \mathcal{Q}_a . Since $\nu_2|_{\mathcal{Q}'_a}$ is T -invariant and nowhere vanishing, we have

$$R\pi_*^T (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1|_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{Q}_a)) \cong R\pi_*^T \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}'_a} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}.$$

Thus, (3.18) follows from applying $R\pi_*^T$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \rightarrow \eta_*(\mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{N}' \otimes \mathbf{L}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1|_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{Q}_a) \rightarrow 0.$$

We then form the commutative square (3.15); form the commutative diagram of d.t.s (3.17), where the upper line is (3.18). Then a direct calculation shows that $q_\Gamma^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma/\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma'}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}$, and α (in (3.17)) is an isomorphism. Repeating the same argument succeeding (3.17), we conclude that $\phi^*[\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma'}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{W}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Let Γ^{d} be the result from Γ after decoupling as done before Proposition 2.26. By Lemma 3.9,

$$(3.19) \quad [\mathcal{M}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma^{\text{d}}}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \prod_{A \in (\Gamma^{\text{d}})_{\text{conn}}} [\mathcal{W}_A]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}.$$

Since Γ is regular, $E_{0\infty} = \emptyset$. Thus any $A \in (\Gamma^{\text{d}})_{\text{conn}}$ takes the following form: it is a vertex $v \in V_0 \cup V_1^S \cup V_\infty$ with certain edges e attached. Like before, we denote

such A by $[v]$. Specifically, when $v \in V_0 \cup V_\infty$, $[v]$ is v union with all edges in E_v ; when $v \in V_1$, $[v]$ is v union with the leaf edges in E_v . Thus (3.19) takes the form

$$(3.20) \quad [\mathcal{M}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \prod_{v \in V_0 \cup V_1^S \cup V_\infty} [\mathcal{W}_{[v]}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}.$$

We analyze $[\mathcal{W}_{[v]}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$. In case $v \in V^S \cup V_0^U$, by Lemma 3.11 and that \mathcal{W}_e is a G_e -gerbe over a point,

$$[\mathcal{W}_{[v]}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{e \in E_{[v]}} |G_e|} [\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}.$$

In case $v \in V_\infty^U$, then a direct calculation shows that $[\mathcal{W}_{[v]}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{W}_{[v]}]$, which is $(\prod_{e \in E_{[v]}} |G_e|)^{-1} [pt]$. Combined, this proves Proposition 3.5. \square

For future references, we list explicitly the cycles $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ appear in Prop. 3.5.

Proposition 3.12 (cf. Prop. 2.36). *The cycles $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ are given by*

- (1) when $v \in V_0^S$, $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = (-1)^{5d_v+1-g_v} [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}(Q_5, d_v)]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ is the GW-invariants;
- (2) when $v \in V_1^S$, $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}]$;
- (3) when $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$, $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, \gamma_v}^{1/5, 5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ is the FJRW invariants.

Lemma 3.13. *Let either $v \in V_0^U$ or $v \in V_0^S$ so that $g_v = 0$ and $d_v = 0$. Then*

$$(3.21) \quad [\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [-Q_5] \times [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, E_v \cup S_v}].$$

When $|E_v \cup S_v| \leq 2$, we agree $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, E_v \cup S_v}] = [pt]$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\Gamma = [v]$. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \dots)$ with $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$ be the universal family of \mathcal{W}_Γ ; let $\mathcal{C}_v \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the subfamily associated with the vertex v : in case v is unstable, \mathcal{C}_v is a section of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$; in case v is stable, \mathcal{C}_v is a family of genus zero curves. In the following we assume $n_v = |E_v \cup S_v| \geq 3$. (The argument for the cases $n_v \leq 2$ can easily be modified.)

First, the sections $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5)|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$ define a morphism $\varphi_v : \mathcal{C}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. Because $d_v = 0$, φ_v is fiberwise constant along fibers of $\mathcal{C}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$, thus factors through a $\tilde{\varphi}_v : \mathcal{W}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. Following the proof of Lemma 2.28, we have $\varphi_v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \cong \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$. Because $g_v = 0$, $R^1 \pi_*^T \mathcal{L} = R^1 \pi_* (\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) = 0$.

Next, by (2) of Lemma 3.10, we get

$$R^1 \pi_*^T \mathcal{P}^{\log} = R^1 \pi_* ((\mathcal{L}_v|_{\mathcal{C}_v})^{-5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_v/\mathcal{W}_\Gamma}) = \pi_* \mathcal{L}_v^{-5} \cong \tilde{\varphi}_v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-5).$$

Thus \mathcal{W}_Γ has the obstruction sheaf $\tilde{\varphi}_v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-5)$. This proves (3.21). \square

Remark 3.14. *Let $v \in V_0^S$ be such that $g_v \geq 1$ and $d_v = 0$. Then $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = e(\mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes T_{Q_5})$, where \mathcal{H} is the Hodge bundle of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, E_v \cup S_v}$, and $e(\mathcal{H}^\vee \boxtimes T_{Q_5})$ is the Euler class of the pullback of \mathcal{H}^\vee and T_{Q_5} on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v} \times Q_5$.*

4. VIRTUAL LOCALIZATION, PART 2

In this section, we fix a $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}$ and will work out the contribution to the localization formula from the moving parts associated to Γ . We abbreviate $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{g,\gamma,\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$, thus $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\Gamma \times_{\mathcal{W}} \xi$, etc.. Denote $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_\Gamma}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$. We form

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{Ext}^0(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}), & B_2 &= \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\oplus 2}), \\ B_3 &= \text{Def}(\varphi, \rho, (\nu_1, \nu_2)) = H^0(\mathcal{V}), & B_4 &= \text{Def}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}), \\ B_5 &= \text{Def}(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \text{Def}(\mathcal{N}) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\oplus 2}), & B_6 &= \text{Obs}(\varphi, \rho, (\nu_1, \nu_2)) = H^1(\mathcal{V}), \end{aligned}$$

All B_i are T -spaces. Let B_i^{mv} be the moving parts of B_i . Then the virtual normal bundle N^{vir} to \mathcal{W}_Γ in \mathcal{W} restricted at ξ is

$$N^{\text{vir}}|_{\xi} = T_{\xi}^{\text{mv}} - \text{Ob}_{\xi}^{\text{mv}} = -B_1^{\text{mv}} - B_2^{\text{mv}} + B_3^{\text{mv}} + B_4^{\text{mv}} + B_5^{\text{mv}} - B_6^{\text{mv}}.$$

It will be clear later that the B_i^{mv} for $\xi \in \mathcal{W}_\Gamma$ forms a vector bundle over \mathcal{W}_Γ . By abuse of notation, we will view B_i^{mv} as such a vector bundle. Then T -equivariant Euler class $e_T(N^{\text{vir}})$ of the virtual normal bundle is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{e_T(N^{\text{vir}})} = \frac{e_T(B_1^{\text{mv}})e_T(B_2^{\text{mv}})e_T(B_6^{\text{mv}})}{e_T(B_3^{\text{mv}})e_T(B_4^{\text{mv}})e_T(B_5^{\text{mv}})}.$$

The goal of this section is to derive an explicit formula of $\frac{1}{e_T(N^{\text{vir}})}$.

4.1. The moving part of deforming $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$. In this subsection, we compute

$$e_T(B_1^{\text{mv}}) \cdot e_T(B_4^{\text{mv}})^{-1}.$$

Recall our conventions that $F = F(\Gamma)$, $V^{0,2} = V^{0,2}(\Gamma)$, etc., and on nodes:

$$\forall (e, v) \in F : y_{(e,v)} = \mathcal{C}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_e; \quad \forall v \in V^{0,2} \text{ and } E_v = \{e, e'\} : y_{(e,v)} = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_{e'}.$$

Set $F^{0,1} = \{(e, v) \in F : v \in V^{0,1}\}$ and $F^S = \{(e, v) \in F : v \in V^S\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{\text{mv}} &= \bigoplus_{(e,v) \in F^{0,1}} T_{\mathcal{C}_v} \mathcal{C}_e, \\ B_4^{\text{mv}} &= \bigoplus_{(e,v) \in F^S} T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_e \otimes T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_v \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in V^{0,2} \\ E_v = \{e, e'\}}} T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_e \otimes T_{y_{(e',v)}} \mathcal{C}_{e'}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(e, v) \in F$, then $T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_e$ forms a line bundle over \mathcal{W}_e . In case $v \in V^S$, then $T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_v$ forms a line bundle over \mathcal{W}_v . By abuse of notations, we will view $T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_e$, $T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_v$, and $T_{\mathcal{C}_v} \mathcal{C}_e$ as such line bundles. As T acts trivially on \mathcal{W}_e and \mathcal{W}_v ,

$$H_T^2(\mathcal{W}_e; \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(\mathcal{W}_e; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{t}, \quad H_T^2(\mathcal{W}_v; \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(\mathcal{W}_v; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{t}.$$

As T acts trivially on $T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_v$,

$$e_T(T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_v) = e(T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_v) = -\psi_{(e,v)},$$

where $\psi_{(e,v)} \in H^2(\mathcal{W}_v; \mathbb{Q})$ is the ψ -class associated to the pointed curves $y_{(e,v)} \in \mathcal{C}_v$. For $(e, v) \in F$, let $\mathbf{T}_{(e,v)}$ be the line bundle $\mathbf{T}_{(e,v)} = T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_e$ over \mathcal{W}_e with

$$w_{(e,v)} := e_T(\mathbf{T}_{(e,v)}) \in H_T^2(\mathcal{W}_e; \mathbb{Q}).$$

$$e_T(B_1^{\text{mv}}) = \prod_{(e,v) \in F^{0,1}} w_{(e,v)},$$

$$e_T(B_4^{\text{mv}}) = \prod_{(e,v) \in F^S} (w_{(e,v)} - \psi_{(e,v)}) \cdot \prod_{v \in V^{0,2}, E_v = \{e, e'\}} (w_{(e,v)} + w_{(e',v)}),$$

It remains to determine $w_{(e,v)}$ for $(e, v) \in F$. The formula of $w_{(e,v)}$ will be given in Lemma 4.1 below. To state Lemma 4.1, we introduce some definitions.

In case $e \in E_0$, let $\mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e = \sqrt[d_e]{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)}/\mathbb{P}^4$ be the tautological bundle such that $\mathcal{E}_e^{\otimes d_e} = \pi_e^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)$, where $\pi_e : \mathcal{W}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the projection to its coarse moduli space. Let $h \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^4; \mathbb{Q})$ be the hyperplane class, and let $h_e = \pi_e^* h \in H^2(\mathcal{W}_e; \mathbb{Q})$.

For $e \in E_0$, let $v \in V_0$ and $v' \in V_1$ be the two vertices of e . For $e \in E_\infty$, let $v \in V_\infty$ and $v' \in V_1$ be the two vertices of e . Set

$$(4.2) \quad \delta' = \begin{cases} -1, & v' \in V^{0,1}, \\ 0, & v' \in V \setminus V^{0,1}, \end{cases} \quad \delta = \begin{cases} -1, & v \in V^{0,1}, \\ 0, & v \in V \setminus V^{0,1}. \end{cases}$$

For $e \in E_\infty$, set

$$(4.3) \quad r_e = 1 \text{ when } d_e \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad \text{or } r_e = 5 \text{ when } d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_e \cong \mathbb{P}(r_e, 1)$. Because Γ is regular, if $v \in V_\infty^S$, then $d_e \neq \mathbb{Z}$ and $r_e = 5$. Note that if $v \in V_\infty^{0,1}$, then $d_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r_e = 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let v and v' be the two vertices of an edge e .*

- (1) *When $v \in V_0$, then $w_{(e,v)} = \frac{h_e + \mathfrak{t}}{d_e}$ and $w_{(e,v')} = -\frac{h_e + \mathfrak{t}}{d_e}$.*
- (2) *When $v \in V_\infty \setminus V_\infty^{0,1}$, then $w_{(e,v)} = \frac{\mathfrak{t}}{r_e d_e}$ and $w_{(e,v')} = -\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{d_e}$.*
- (3) *When $v \in V_\infty^{0,1}$, then $w_{(e,v)} = \frac{5\mathfrak{t}}{5d_e + 1}$ and $w_{(e,v')} = \frac{-5\mathfrak{t}}{5d_e + 1}$.*

Proof. We begin with the case $e \in E_0$. For \mathcal{E}_e and h_e , we have

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}_e) = h_e/d_e \in H^2(\mathcal{W}_e; \mathbb{Q}),$$

and $\mathbf{T}_{(e,v)} \cong \mathcal{E}_e \otimes \mathbf{L}_{1/d_e} \cong \mathbf{T}_{(e,v')}^\vee$ as T -equivariant line bundles over \mathcal{W}_e , where \mathcal{E}_e is equipped with the trivial T -equivariant structure. Therefore $w_{(e,v)} = e_T(\mathbf{T}_{(e,v)})$ and $w_{(e,v')}$ have the expressions stated in part (1) of the Lemma.

Let $e \in E_\infty$. The coarse moduli of \mathcal{W}_e is a point, so $H_T^2(\mathcal{W}_e; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{t}$. We have

$$w_{(e,v')} = e_T(T_{y_{(e,v')}} \mathcal{C}_e), \quad \text{and} \quad w_{(e,v)} = e_T(T_{y_{(e,v)}} \mathcal{C}_e) = -w_{(e,v')}/r_e,$$

where r_e is defined in (4.3). Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\log}$. By Remark 2.6,

$$\mathcal{P}|_{y_{(e,v)}} \cong (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1)|_{y_{(e,v)}} \cong (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1)|_{y_{(e,v')}} \cong \mathcal{N}|_{y_{(e,v')}} \cong \mathbf{L}_0.$$

Then for δ and δ' defined in (4.2), we have

$$\mathcal{P}|_{\mathfrak{c}_e} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5}|_{\mathfrak{c}_e} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}_e}(\delta y_{(e,v)} + \delta' y_{(e,v')}),$$

$$e_T(\mathcal{P}|_{y_{(e,v')}}) = -5e_T(\mathcal{L}|_{y_{(e,v')}}) + \delta' w_{(e,v')} = 5\mathfrak{t} + \delta' w_{(e,v')},$$

$$e_T(\mathcal{P}|_{y_{(e,v)}}) = e_T(\mathcal{P}|_{y_{(e,v)}}) + \deg(\mathcal{P}|_{\mathfrak{c}_e})w_{(e,v)} = 0 + (-5d_e + \delta/r_e + \delta')w_{(e,v)}.$$

Therefore $w_{(e,v')} = \frac{5\mathfrak{t}}{-5d_e + \delta/r_e} = \frac{5\mathfrak{t}}{-5d_e + \delta}$. (Since $\delta = -1$ implies $d_e \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow r_e = 1$.)

So when $v \in V_\infty \setminus V_\infty^{0,1}$, then $w_{(e,v')} = -\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{d_e}$ and $w_{(e,v)} = \frac{\mathfrak{t}}{r_e d_e}$; when $v \in V_\infty^{0,1}$, then $w_{(e,v')} = \frac{-5\mathfrak{t}}{5d_e+1}$ and $w_{(e,v)} = \frac{5\mathfrak{t}}{5d_e+1}$. This proves part (2) and part (3). \square

For references, if $v \in V_\infty$, then

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} e_T(\mathcal{L}|_{y_{(e,v')}}) = -\mathfrak{t}, & e_T(\mathcal{N}|_{y_{(e,v')}}) = 0, & e_T(\mathcal{P}|_{y_{(e,v')}}) = (5 - \frac{5\delta'}{5d_e - \delta})\mathfrak{t}, \\ e_T(\mathcal{L}|_{y_{(e,v)}}) = \frac{\delta\mathfrak{t}}{5d_e+1}, & e_T(\mathcal{N}|_{y_{(e,v)}}) = \frac{-5d_e\mathfrak{t}}{5d_e - \delta}, & e_T(\mathcal{P}|_{y_{(e,v)}}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

4.2. The moving part of deforming $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \varphi, \rho, \nu)$. We compute

$$(4.5) \quad e_T(B_2^{\text{mv}})e_T(B_6^{\text{mv}}) \cdot e_T(B_3^{\text{mv}})^{-1}e_T(B_5^{\text{mv}})^{-1}.$$

Because ξ is T -invariant, the spaces $H^i(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathfrak{c}}))$, $H^i(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathfrak{c}}))$, etc., are T -vector spaces. As T acts by scaling ν_1 , as T -vector space

$$H^i(\mathcal{V}) = H^i(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathfrak{c}}))^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^i(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathfrak{c}})) \oplus H^i(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus H^i(\mathcal{N}).$$

To compute (4.5), we need to study the moving parts:

$$(H^0(\mathcal{V}) - H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}} \quad \text{and} \quad (H^1(\mathcal{V}) - H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}}.$$

To achieve this, we use the two long exact sequences of T -representations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \longrightarrow H^i(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in V^S \cup E} H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathfrak{c}_v}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in F^S \cup V^{0,2}} H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{y_a}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow, \\ & \longrightarrow H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\oplus 2}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in V^S \cup E} H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}_v}^{\oplus 2}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in F^S \cup V^{0,2}} H^i(\mathcal{O}_{y_a}^{\oplus 2}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\oplus 2}) \longrightarrow. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{e_T(B_2^{\text{mv}})e_T(B_6^{\text{mv}})}{e_T(B_3^{\text{mv}})e_T(B_5^{\text{mv}})} = \prod_{v \in V^S} A'_v \prod_{e \in E} A'_e \prod_{a \in F^S \cup V^{0,2}} A'_a,$$

where A'_v , A'_e and A'_a are contributions from $(H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathfrak{c}_v}) - H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}_v}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}}$ ($i = 0, 1$), $(H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathfrak{c}_e}) - H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}_e}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}}$ ($i = 0, 1$), and $(H^0(\mathcal{V}|_{y_a}) - H^0(\mathcal{O}_{y_a}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}}$ respectively. We will derive formulae of A'_v , A'_e , and A'_a in §4.2.1, §4.2.2, and §4.2.3, respectively.

4.2.1. *Contribution from stable vertices.* We first introduce some notations:

- Given a stable vertex $v \in V^S$, let $\pi_v : \mathcal{C}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_v$ be the universal curve; let \mathcal{L}_v and \mathcal{N}_v be the universal line bundle over \mathcal{C}_v .
- Given $v \in V_0^S$, let $\phi_v : \mathcal{C}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ be defined as in (2.22).
- Given $v \in V_1^S$, let $\mathbb{E}_v := \pi_* \omega_{\pi_v}$ be the Hodge bundle, where $\omega_{\pi_v} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_v$ is the relative dualizing sheaf. Then $\mathbb{E}_v^\vee = R^1 \pi_{v*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}$.

The contribution A'_v from a stable vertex $v \in V^S$ is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2.

$$(4.7) \quad A'_v = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e_T(R\pi_{v*}\phi_v^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1)}, & v \in V_0^S; \\ \left(\frac{e_T(\mathbb{E}_v^\vee \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1})}{-t} \right)^5 \cdot \frac{5t}{e_T(\mathbb{E}_v \otimes \mathbf{L}_5)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{5t} \right)^{|E_v|} \left(\frac{-t^4}{5} \right)^{|S_v^{(1,\varphi)}|}, & v \in V_1^S; \\ \frac{1}{e_T(R\pi_{v*}\mathcal{L}_v^\vee \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1})}, & v \in V_\infty^S. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We claim $(H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) - H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}}$

$$(4.8) \quad = \begin{cases} H^i(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 = H^i(\mathcal{C}_v, f_v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1, & v \in V_0^S; \\ (H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1})^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^i(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_5, & v \in V_1^S; \\ H^i(\mathcal{L}^\vee|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1}, & v \in V_\infty^S. \end{cases}$$

We now derive these formulae.

(1.) In case $v \in V_0^S$, $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}_v} \subset \Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}}$ and $(\nu_1, \nu_2)|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = (0, 1)$. So $\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \cong \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$, $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}$, and $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = \omega_{\mathcal{C}}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$. Thus,

$$H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) = H^i(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v})^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^i(\mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \oplus H^i(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}).$$

Taking the moving parts, we obtain the formula (4.8) in case $v \in V_0^S$, which implies the formula of A'_v in case $v \in V_0^S$.

(2.) In case $v \in V_1^S$, $\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}_v} \subset \Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}} \cup \Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}}$ and $(\nu_1, \nu_2)|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = (1, 1)$. So $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N})|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}$ and $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}$, which implies $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1}$. We have $S_v = S_v^{(1,\rho)} \cup S_v^{(1,\varphi)}$ where $S_v^{(1,\rho)}$ and $S_v^{(1,\varphi)}$ consist of markings in $\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}_v} := \mathcal{C}_v \cap \Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_v} := \mathcal{C}_v \cap \Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}}$, respectively. Since $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$,

$$H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) = (H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_v})) \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1})^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^i(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_v})|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_5 \oplus H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v})^{\oplus 2}.$$

Taking the moving parts, we obtain the formula (4.8) in case $v \in V_1^S$. To obtain the formula of A'_v in case $v \in V_1^S$, we need further simplification.

Taking cohomologies of $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_v}} \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_v})) - H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}_v}))) \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1} = -H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1} - \mathbf{L}_{-1}^{\oplus (|S_v^{(1,\varphi)}| - 1)}.$$

By the similar argument as above, we also get

$$(H^0(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) - H^1(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v})) \otimes \mathbf{L}_5 = H^0(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_5 \oplus \mathbf{L}_5^{\oplus(|S_v^{(1,\varphi)}| + |E_v| - 1)}.$$

Combining (4.8) (in case $v \in V_1^S$) and the above two formulae, we obtain the formula of A'_v in case $v \in V_1^S$.

(3.) In case $v \in V_{\infty}^S$, $\Sigma^{c_v} \subset \Sigma_{\zeta_5}^{\mathcal{C}} \cap \Sigma_{\zeta_5^2}^{\mathcal{C}}$ since Γ is regular and $(\rho, \nu_1)|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = (1, 1)$, so $\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$, $\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = \mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}$, and $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N})|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}$, equivalent to $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\vee}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1}$. Thus

$$H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) = H^i(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v})^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \oplus H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \oplus H^i(\mathcal{L}^{\vee}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1}.$$

Taking the moving part, we get the formula (4.8) in case $v \in V_{\infty}^S$, which implies the formula of A'_v in case $v \in V_{\infty}^S$. \square

Remark 4.3. *The factors for $v \in V_0^S, V_1^S$ in (4.7) are used in [NMSP2, NMSP3] to construct local twisted CohFTs. In [NMSP2] the large N technique is introduced to reduce factors of $v \in V_0^S$ into scalars. And in [NMSP2, NMSP3] we compose Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch R matrices (of (4.7)'s factors of $v \in V_1^S$) into the localization R matrices, so as the total R matrices matches BCOV propagators.*

4.2.2. *Contribution A'_e from an edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$.* We use

$$H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = H^i(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e})^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^i(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) \oplus H^i(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 \oplus H^i(\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}).$$

Let v, v' and δ, δ' be that in (4.2), and $q = y_{(e,v)}$ and $q' = y_{(e,v')}$. We introduce

$$(4.9) \quad \delta'_{\varphi} = \begin{cases} -1, & v' \in V_1^{1,1}, q' \in \Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \delta_{\varphi} = \begin{cases} -1, & v \in V_{\infty}^{1,1}, q \in \Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \delta'_{\rho} = \begin{cases} -1, & v' \in V_1^{1,1}, q' \in \Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \delta_{\rho} = \begin{cases} -1, & v \in V_0^{1,1}, q \in \Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

With the above definition, we have $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\log}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\delta q + \delta' q')$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\delta_{\varphi} q + \delta'_{\varphi} q'), \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\delta_{\rho} q + \delta'_{\rho} q'),$$

$$\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\delta_{\varphi} q + \delta'_{\varphi} q'),$$

$$\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = (\mathcal{L}^{\vee \otimes 5})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}((\delta + \delta_{\rho})q + (\delta' + \delta'_{\rho})q').$$

Lemma 4.4 (Contribution from an edge in E_0). *Given an edge $e \in E_0$, let $v \in V_0$ and $v' \in V_1$ be the two ends of e . Then*

$$A'_e = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{5d_e - 1 - \delta' - \delta'_{\rho}} (-5h_e + \frac{j(h_e + t)}{d_e})}{\prod_{j=1}^{d_e + \delta'_{\varphi}} (h_e - \frac{j(h_e + t)}{d_e})^5 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d_e} \frac{j(h_e + t)}{d_e}}.$$

Note that δ' and δ'_{φ} can be both zero and cannot be both nonzero.

Proof. When $e \in E_0$, $(\rho, \nu_2)|_{\mathcal{C}_e} = (0, 1)$, so $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}$. Let $T^{1/d_e} = \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T = \mathbb{C}^*$ be defined by $\tilde{t} \mapsto \tilde{t}^{d_e}$. Then T^{1/d_e} acts on $\mathcal{C}_e = \mathbb{P}^1$ by $\tilde{t} \cdot [x, y] = [\tilde{t}x, y]$, and $H^2(B(T^{1/d_e}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}(t/d_e)$. Let $q = [0, 1]$ and $q' = [1, 0]$ be the two T^{1/d_e} -fixed points on \mathcal{C}_e . Any T^{1/d_e} -linearized line bundle on $\mathcal{C}_e \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(aq + bq')$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, characterized by

$$e_{T^{1/d_e}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(aq + bq')|_q) = \frac{at}{d_e}, \quad e_{T^{1/d_e}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(aq + bq')|_{q'}) = \frac{-bt}{d_e}.$$

We have the following isomorphisms of T^{1/d_e} -linearized line bundles on \mathcal{C}_e :

$$\begin{aligned} T\mathcal{C}_e &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(q + q'), & \mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}((d_e + \delta'_\varphi)q'), \\ \mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}((\delta + \delta_\rho)q + (-5d_e + \delta' + \delta'_\rho)q'). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\pi_e : \mathcal{W}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the projection to the coarse moduli space, and $\mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_e$ is the tautological line bundle such that $\mathcal{E}_e^{\otimes d_e} = \pi_e^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)$. By abuse of notations, we view $H^i(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e})$ and $H^i(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e})$ as T -equivariant vector bundles over \mathcal{W}_e . Then

$$H^0(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{d_e + \delta'_\varphi} \mathcal{E}_e^{\otimes(d_e - j)} \otimes (\mathbf{L}_{-1/d_e})^{\otimes j}, \quad H^1(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = 0;$$

$$H^0(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = 0, \quad H^1(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = \bigoplus_{j=1+\delta+\delta_\rho}^{5d_e-1-\delta'-\delta'_\rho} \mathcal{E}_e^{\otimes(-5d_e+j)} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{j/d_e},$$

and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e})^{\text{mv}} = H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e})^{\text{mv}} = 0$. So for $e \in E_0$, we have three equations

$$(H^0(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^1(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}))^{\text{mv}} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_e + \delta'_\varphi} \mathcal{E}_e^{\otimes(d_e - j)} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-j/d_e};$$

$$(H^0(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1 - H^1(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1)^{\text{mv}} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_e} \mathcal{E}_e^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{j/d_e};$$

$$(H^0(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^1(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}))^{\text{mv}} = - \bigoplus_{j=1}^{5d_e-1-\delta'-\delta'_\rho} \mathcal{E}_e^{\otimes(-5d_e+j)} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{j/d_e}.$$

The Lemma follows from the three formulae above. \square

Lemma 4.5 (Contribution from an edge in E_∞). *Given an edge $e \in E_\infty$, let $v \in V_\infty$ and $v' \in V_1$ be the two ends of e . Then*

$$A'_e = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_{j=1+\delta'_\varphi}^{\lceil -d_e \rceil - 1} (-\mathbf{t} - \frac{j\mathbf{t}}{d_e})^5}{\prod_{j=1}^{-5d_e + \delta' + \delta'_\rho} (-\frac{j\mathbf{t}}{d_e}) \prod_{j=1}^{\lceil -d_e \rceil} (\frac{j\mathbf{t}}{d_e})}, & v \in V \setminus V^{0,1}; \\ \frac{\prod_{j=1+\delta'_\varphi}^{-d_e-1} (-\mathbf{t} - \frac{5j\mathbf{t}}{5d_e+1})^5}{\prod_{j=1}^{-5d_e-1+\delta'+\delta'_\rho} (\frac{-5j\mathbf{t}}{5d_e+1}) \prod_{j=1}^{-d_e} (\frac{5j\mathbf{t}}{5d_e+1})}, & v \in V^{0,1}. \end{cases}$$

Note that δ' and δ'_ρ can be both zero and cannot be both nonzero.

Proof. We need to calculate $H^i(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\oplus 2})$, which is

$$H^i(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1})^{\oplus 5} + H^i(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) + H^i(\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}).$$

Let $r_e \in \{1, 5\}$ be defined as in (4.3).

Case 1: $v \in V \setminus V^{0,1}$. From (4.4), since $\delta = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_T(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{q'}) &= (-1 - \frac{\delta'_\varphi}{d_e})\mathbf{t}, & e_T(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_q) &= \frac{\delta_\varphi \mathbf{t}}{r_e d_e}; \\ e_T(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{q'}) &= (5 - \frac{\delta' + \delta'_\rho}{d_e})\mathbf{t}, & e_T(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_q) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These imply

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) &= 0, & H^1(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) &= \bigoplus_{j=1+\delta'_\varphi}^{\lceil -d_e \rceil - 1 - \delta_\varphi} \mathbf{L}_{-(1+j/d_e)}, \\ (H^0(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^1(\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}))^{\text{mv}} &= - \bigoplus_{j=1+\delta'_\varphi}^{\lceil -d_e \rceil - 1} \mathbf{L}_{-(1+j/d_e)}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(4.12) \quad H^0(\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\lceil -d_e \rceil} \mathbf{L}_{j/d_e}, \quad H^1(\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = 0$$

Finally, $H^0(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = \bigoplus_{j=5d_e - \delta' - \delta'_\rho}^0 \mathbf{L}_{j/d_e}$ and $H^1(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) = 0$. So

$$(4.13) \quad (H^0(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}) - H^1(\mathcal{P}(-\Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^{\mathcal{C}})|_{\mathcal{C}_e}))^{\text{mv}} = \bigoplus_{j=5d_e - \delta' - \delta'_\rho}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{j/d_e} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{-5d_e + \delta' + \delta'_\rho} \mathbf{L}_{-j/d_e}.$$

Combining (4.11), (4.12), and (4.13), we obtain the Lemma in case $v \in V \setminus V^{0,1}$.

Case 2: $v \in V^{0,1}$. In this case, $\delta = -1$, $\delta_\varphi = 0$, $-d_e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $r_e = 1$. Using the same computations as above, we get the required formula. \square

For later convenience, we rewrite the total contribution of all edges as follows.

Lemma 4.6 (Contribution from all edges). *We define*

$$A_e = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{5d_e-1} (-5h_e + \frac{j(h_e+t)}{d_e})}{\prod_{j=1}^{d_e} (h_e - \frac{j(h_e+t)}{d_e})^5 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d_e} \frac{j(h_e+t)}{d_e}}, & e \in E_0; \\ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\lceil -d_e \rceil - 1} (-t - \frac{jt}{d_e})^5}{\prod_{j=1}^{-5d_e} (-\frac{jt}{d_e}) \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor -d_e \rfloor} (\frac{jt}{d_e})}, & e \in E_\infty, (e, v) \in F, v \in V_\infty \setminus V_\infty^{0,1}; \\ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{-d_e-1} (-t - \frac{5jt}{5d_e+1})^5}{\prod_{j=1}^{-5d_e-1} (\frac{-5jt}{5d_e+1}) \prod_{j=1}^{-d_e} (\frac{5jt}{5d_e+1})}, & e \in E_\infty, (e, v) \in F, v \in V_\infty^{0,1}. \end{cases}$$

then

$$\prod_{e \in E} A'_e = \prod_{e \in E} A_e \prod_{v \in V_1^{0,1} \cup V_1^{1,1}} A'_v$$

where A'_v is as follows.

$$A'_v = \begin{cases} 5\mathbf{t}, & v \in V_1^{0,1}, \text{ or } v \in V_1^{1,1}, S_v \subset \Sigma_{(1,\rho)}^c; \\ -\mathbf{t}^5, & v \in V_1^{1,1}, S_v \subset \Sigma_{(1,\varphi)}^c. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from Lem. 4.4 and 4.5, and the definitions of δ' , δ'_φ , and δ'_ρ . \square

4.2.3. Contributions from nodes.

Lemma 4.7 (Contribution from a flag in F^S). *If $(e, v) \in F^S$ then*

$$A'_{(e,v)} = \begin{cases} h_e + \mathbf{t}, & v \in V_0; \\ -5\mathbf{t}^6, & v \in V_1; \\ 1, & v \in V_\infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $y_{(e,v)} = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_v$ be the node associated to the flag $(e, v) \in F^S$. It is a scheme point when $v \in V_0 \cup V_1$; when $v \in V_\infty$, it is a stacky point $B\mu_5$ because $d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}$ as Γ is regular. Note that $H^0(\mathcal{V}|_{y_{(e,v)}}) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{y_{(e,v)}}^{\oplus 2})$ when $y_{(e,v)} = B\mu_5$.

$$(4.14) \quad (H^0(\mathcal{V}|_{y_{(e,v)}}) - H^0(\mathcal{O}_{y_{(e,v)}}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}} = \begin{cases} \text{ev}_{(e,v)}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1, & v \in V_0; \\ \mathbf{L}_{-1}^{\oplus 5} \oplus \mathbf{L}_5, & (e, v) \in V_1; \\ 0, & (e, v) \in V_\infty. \end{cases}$$

When $v \in V_0$, \mathcal{W}_v is the moduli of stable maps to \mathbb{P}^4 with p -fields, and $ev_{(e,v)}$ is the evaluation map at the marking labeled by e . The Lemma follows from (4.14). \square

Lemma 4.8 (Contribution from a vertex in $V^{0,2}$). *If $v \in V^{0,2}$, then*

$$A'_v = \begin{cases} h_e + \mathbf{t} = h_{e'} + \mathbf{t}, & v \in V_0^{0,2} \text{ and } E_v = \{e, e'\}, \\ -5\mathbf{t}^6, & v \in V_1^{0,2}, \\ (-\mathbf{t})^{6\epsilon(d_e)}, & v \in V_\infty^{0,2} \text{ and } E_v = \{e, e'\}. \end{cases}$$

Here we define $\epsilon(x) = 1$ when $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\epsilon(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. If $v \in V^{0,2}(\Gamma)$ and $E_v = \{e, e'\}$, then $\mathcal{C}_v = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_{e'}$ is a node, which is a stacky point $B\mu_5$ if and only if $v \in V_\infty^{0,2}(\Gamma)$ and $d_e \neq \mathbb{Z}$. (The balance condition implies $d_e + d_{e'} \in \mathbb{Z}$.) We have

$$(H^0(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}) - H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}^{\oplus 2}))^{\text{mv}} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1, & v \in V_0^{0,2} \text{ (so that } \mathcal{W}_v = \mathbb{P}^4\text{);} \\ \mathbf{L}_{-1}^{\oplus 5} \oplus \mathbf{L}_5, & v \in V_1^{0,2}; \\ 0, & v \in V_\infty^{0,2}, d_e \notin \mathbb{Z}; \end{cases}$$

This proves the Lemma. \square

Theorem 4.9. *We define A'_v by (4.7) if $v \in V^S$. Let A'_v be given by Lemma 4.6 (resp. Lemma 4.8) if $v \in V_1^{0,1} \cup V_1^{1,1}$ (resp. $v \in V^{0,2}$). If $v \in V_0^{0,1} \cup V_0^{1,1} \cup V_\infty^{0,1} \cup V_\infty^{1,1}$, we define $A'_v = 1$. For $e \in E$, let A_e be as in Lemma 4.6. Then*

$$\frac{1}{e_T(N_\Gamma^{\text{vir}})} = \prod_{(e,v) \in FS} \frac{A'_{(e,v)}}{w_{(e,v)} - \psi_{(e,v)}} \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} A_v \prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} A_e,$$

where

$$A_v = \begin{cases} A'_v, & v \in V^S \text{ or } v \in V^{1,1}; \\ \frac{A'_v}{w_{(e,v)} + w_{(e',v)}}, & v \in V^{0,2}, E_v = \{e, e'\}; \\ A'_v \cdot w_{(e,v)}, & v \in V^{0,1}, E_v = \{e\}. \end{cases}$$

5. EFFECTIVE RELATIONS BETWEEN GW AND FJRW OF QUINTICS

We will show that for a regular graph $\Gamma \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}$, the contributions to $[\mathcal{W}_\Gamma]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ from vertices in $V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$ are (dual-twisted) FJRW invariants, and those from vertices in $V_0^S(\Gamma)$ are (twisted) GW invariants of the quintic. We then investigate the relations obtained from MSP field theory between GW invariants of the quintic threefold and FJRW invariants of $([\mathbb{C}^5/\mathbb{Z}_5], \mathfrak{w}_5)$.

5.1. FJRW invariants of $([\mathbb{C}^5/\mathbb{Z}_5], \mathfrak{w}_5)$. The group μ_5 acts on \mathbb{C}^5 by diagonal action. The function $x_1^5 + \cdots + x_5^5$ on \mathbb{C}^5 is invariant under such μ_5 action, and thus descends to $\mathfrak{w}_5 : [\mathbb{C}^5/\mathbb{Z}_5] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The FJRW invariants of $([\mathbb{C}^5/\mathbb{Z}_5], \mathfrak{w}_5)$ were constructed in [PV, Chi, Mo, FJR1, FJR2]. We follow the construction in [CLL].

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$ be the stack of families of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^c, \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 5} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{log}})$, of ℓ -marked genus- g twisted nodal curves together with a line bundle \mathcal{L} satisfying $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 5} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{log}}$ and having monodromy γ_i along the marking Σ_i^c . Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}$ be the moduli of 5-spin

twisted curves with five p -fields: i.e. its closed points are $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5)$ with $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{L}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$ and $\varphi_i \in H^0(\mathcal{L})$. The setup endows $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}$ a perfect obstruction theory relative to the (smooth and proper) $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$, along with a cosection. Then the cosection localization induces a cycle $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} \in A_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5})$.

We fix conventions that we will use throughout this section. We write

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma = (\zeta_5^{m_1}, \dots, \zeta_5^{m_\ell}) \in (\boldsymbol{\mu}_5^\times)^\ell, \quad m_i \in [1, 4].$$

We will also use the standard abbreviation $\gamma = (\zeta_5, \zeta_5, \zeta_5^2, \zeta_5^3) = (1123) = (1^223)$. We assume $2g + \ell > 3$, unless otherwise stated. The following two vanishings are known to experts (c.f. [CLL, Def. 2.7, Lem. 2.8, (3.3)]).

Proposition 5.1. *When $2g - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^\ell (m_i - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(G_5)^p = \emptyset$. When $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(G_5)^p$ is not empty, its virtual dimension is $\sum_{i=1}^\ell (2 - m_i)$.*

Proposition 5.2. *The cycle $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(G_5)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = 0$ unless (g, γ) is one of the following cases:*

- (1) $g \geq 0$ and $\gamma_i \in \{\zeta_5, \zeta_5^2\}$ for all i ;
- (2) $g = 0$ and $\gamma = (1^{1+k}23)$ or $(1^{2+k}4)$, $k \geq 0$.

Remark 5.3. *In case $g = 0$ and $\gamma = (123)$ or (1^24) , one easily checks that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5} \cong B\boldsymbol{\mu}_5$. Thus $\deg[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{5}$.*

5.2. Dual-twisted FJRW invariants. Let's recall FJRW invariants with descendants. Given $\gamma \in (\boldsymbol{\mu}_5^\times)^\ell$, and $2g - 2 + \ell > 0$, define

$$(5.2) \quad \langle \tau_{a_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{a_\ell}(\gamma_\ell) \rangle_g^{G_5} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}} \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_\ell^{a_\ell},$$

where ψ_i is the first Chern class of the relative cotangent bundle of the universal curve of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p} (= \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(G_5)^p)$ along its i -th marking. Later, we will use $\bar{\psi}$ to denote the ψ -class given by cotangent line bundle of the coarse marked point. In our case, as all $\gamma_i \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_5^\times$, $\bar{\psi}_i = 5\psi_i$.

Given $k \geq 0$ with $2g - 2 \equiv k \pmod{5}$ and $\gamma = (2^k)$, then $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ is zero dimensional. We define the primitive FJRW invariant to be

$$(5.3) \quad \Theta_{g,k} = \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}} 1 \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Note that all $\Theta_{0,k \geq 3}$ were calculated in [CR, Thm. 1.1.1].

Let $(\Sigma^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ with the universal family $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$. Set

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbf{e}_T(\mathcal{L}^\vee) := e_{\mathbb{G}_m}(R\pi_* \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1}) \in H_{\mathbb{G}_m}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}).$$

We define the dual-twisted FJRW invariants to be

$$(5.5) \quad \langle \tau_{a_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{a_\ell}(\gamma_\ell) \rangle_g^{\text{d.t., } G_5} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}} \mathbf{e}_T(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{-1} \cdot \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_\ell^{a_\ell}.$$

By Proposition 3.5, Theorem 4.9 and (4.7), they are the relevant contributions associated to $v \in V_0^S(\Gamma)$ in the localization formula (4.7). By Proposition 5.2, when $g \geq 1$, (5.2) and (5.5) vanish unless all $\gamma_i \in \{\zeta_5, \zeta_5^2\}$.

We now use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula to express (5.5) in terms of $\Theta_{g,k}$. We first calculate the Chern character of $R\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^\vee)$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$. Following the notations introduced in [Chi, Theo 1.1.1], let Υ be the stack of objects $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, z, \mathcal{U}_z)$, where $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$, z is a node of \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{U}_z is a branch of the formal completion $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_z$ of \mathcal{C} at z . Clearly, Υ is a smooth DM-stack.

Let $\psi_z \in A^1\Upsilon$ be the first Chern class (the ψ class) of the relative tangent bundle (i.e. $T_z\mathcal{U}_z$) of the distinguished branch along the distinguished node of the universal family of Υ . Let $\bar{\psi}_z$ be the coarse moduli analogue of ψ_z . Thus $\bar{\psi}_z$ equals $5\psi_z$ when the node is non-scheme, and equals ψ_z otherwise.

Let $j : \Upsilon \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$ be the forgetful morphism. The image $j(\Upsilon) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$ is the divisor of spin curves with singular domains, and $j : \Upsilon \rightarrow j(\Upsilon)$ is finite. Let $\Upsilon_l \subset \Upsilon$ be the open and close substack of objects $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, z, \mathcal{U}_z)$ so that the monodromy of $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}_z}$ along z is ζ_5^l , and let $j_l = j|_{\Upsilon_l} : \Upsilon_l \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$. Let $\sigma : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be the involution that sends $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, z, \mathcal{U}_z)$ to $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, z, \mathcal{U}'_z)$, where \mathcal{U}_z and \mathcal{U}'_z are the two branches of the distinguished node of \mathcal{C} .

For $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^c, \mathcal{L}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$, let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ be the twisted curve after taking the coarse moduli of \mathcal{C} at all its markings, and let $\bar{\Sigma}_i^c \subset \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ be the associated i -th (scheme) marking. We abbreviate $\bar{\mathcal{M}} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$. Then the collection of such curves $(\Sigma_i^c, \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ form a family of curves $\bar{\pi} : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}$, with $\bar{\Sigma}_i \subset \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ being the associated sections of markings. Let $B_m(x)$ be the Bernoulli polynomials. Let

$$(5.6) \quad \bar{\psi}_i = c_1(T_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}/\bar{\mathcal{M}}}|_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}), \quad \kappa_h = \pi_*(c_1(\omega_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}/\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{\log})^{h+1}) = \bar{\pi}_*(c_1(\omega_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}/\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{\log})^{h+1}).$$

As in (5.1), $\gamma_i = \zeta_5^{m_i}$ where m_i are integers in $[1, 4]$.

Lemma 5.4. *The h -th total Chern character*

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} ch_h(R\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\vee) &= \frac{B_{h+1}(-1/5)}{(h+1)!} \kappa_h - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{B_{h+1}((5-m_i)/5)}{(h+1)!} (\bar{\psi})_i^h + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^4 \frac{5B_{h+1}(k/5)}{(h+1)!} 5^{-\delta_{k,0}} (j_k)_* \left(\sum_{i+j=h-1} (-\bar{\psi})^i \sigma^*(\bar{\psi})^j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove this lemma by applying the formula in [Chi, Theo 1.1.1]. We first recall its setup. Following [Chi, Definition 2.1.1], a 5-stable ℓ -pointed curve $(\mathcal{A}, x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ is an ℓ -pointed twisted nodal curve with all of its markings being scheme points, all of its nodes being μ_5 -balanced, and its automorphisms group being finite. Following [Chi, Theorem 2.2.1], we form the groupoid $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ of $(\mathcal{A}, x_i, \mathcal{S})$, where (\mathcal{A}, x_i) is a 5-stable ℓ -pointed curve, and \mathcal{S} is an invertible sheaf on \mathcal{A} so that $\mathcal{S}^{\otimes 5} \cong (\omega_{\mathcal{A}}^{\log})^{-1}(-\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (5-m_i)x_i)$. The stack $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is a smooth proper DM

stack. Let $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{N}}$, $X_1, \dots, X_\ell \subset \mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{S} be the universal family of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$, which comes with the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}^{\otimes 5} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\omega_{\mathcal{A}/\overline{\mathcal{N}}}^{\log})^{-1} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (5 - m_i) X_i \right).$$

Let $\eta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be the tautological partial coarse moduli morphism, and let $\overline{\mathcal{S}} = \eta_*(\mathcal{L}^\vee)$ which is a line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Using $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 5} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{\log}$, we obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\otimes r} \cong (\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{\log})^{-1} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (5 - m_i) \overline{\Sigma}_i \right).$$

Thus the family $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \overline{\Sigma}_i, \overline{\mathcal{S}})$ would induce a morphism $\overline{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ if $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ were representable along all nodes of the base curves.

To remedy this, to each $(\mathcal{A}, x_i, \mathcal{S}) \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$, let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the twisted curve obtained from \mathcal{A} by forgetting the stacky structure at every node of \mathcal{A} where \mathcal{S} has trivial monodromy, and let $\zeta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the obvious morphism. Then $(\hat{\mathcal{A}}, x_i, \zeta_*\mathcal{S}) \cong (\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}})$ for a unique point $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma_i^{\mathcal{C}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. This association produces a morphism $f : \overline{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, isomorphic on a dense open subset, so that

$$(5.8) \quad R\hat{\pi}_*\mathcal{S} = f^*(R\overline{\pi}_*\overline{\mathcal{S}}) = f^*(R\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\vee).$$

We recall the notations in [Chi, page 3]. As the case for $\Upsilon \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, let $\Upsilon' = \cup_{k=0}^4 \Upsilon'_k$ be the smooth DM stack of objects $(\mathcal{A}, x_i, \mathcal{S}, z, \mathcal{U}_z)$, where $(\mathcal{A}, x_i, \mathcal{S}) \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$, and (z, \mathcal{U}_z) is the pair of a node and a branch of \mathcal{A} . Here $\Upsilon'_k \subset \Upsilon'$ is the substack characterized by the monodromy of $\mathcal{S}|_{\mathcal{U}_z}$ along z being ζ_5^k . Let $j : \Upsilon' \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ be the forgetful morphism. Also let $j_k = j|_{\Upsilon'_k}$, and let $\tilde{\sigma} : \Upsilon' \rightarrow \Upsilon'$ be the evolution.

By [Chi, Theo 1.1.1], the GRR formula takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} ch_h(R\hat{\pi}_*\mathcal{S}) &= \frac{B_{h+1}(-1/5)}{(h+1)!} \tilde{\kappa}_h - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{B_{h+1}((5-m_i)/5)}{(h+1)!} (\tilde{\psi}_i)^h + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^4 \frac{5B_{h+1}(k/5)}{(h+1)!} (j_k)_* \left(\sum_{i+j=h-1} (-\tilde{\psi}_z)^i \tilde{\sigma}^*(\tilde{\psi}_z)^j \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_i$ is the i -th ψ -class of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_z$ is the ψ -class of the distinguished node-branch of Υ' (cf. [Chi, page 3 line 20]). By our construction we have $\tilde{\psi}_i = f^*\bar{\psi}_i$, $\tilde{\psi}_z = \lambda_k^*\bar{\psi}_z$, where $\lambda_k : \Upsilon'_k \rightarrow \Upsilon_k$ is defined similar to that of $f : \overline{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. $\tilde{\kappa}_k$ is the standard κ -class of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$.

Applying f_* to the above formula, one checks that the first two terms on the right hand side of the identity coincide with that in the statement of the lemma. For the third term we use the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Upsilon'_k & \xrightarrow{j_k} & \overline{\mathcal{N}} \\ \downarrow \lambda_k & & \downarrow f \\ \Upsilon_k & \xrightarrow{j_k} & \overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}. \end{array}$$

For $k \neq 0$, λ_k is birational, one has

$$f_* j_{k*} \left((-\tilde{\psi})^i \sigma^* (\tilde{\psi})^j \right) = j_{k*} \lambda_{k*} \left((-\tilde{\psi})^i \sigma^* (\tilde{\psi})^j \right) = j_{k*} \left((-\bar{\psi})^i \sigma^* (\bar{\psi})^j \right).$$

In case $k = 0$, λ_0 is generically a μ_5 -gerbe (c.f. [Chi, Def 2.1.1], [ACV, Thm. 7.1.1]). Therefore

$$f_* j_{0*} \left((-\tilde{\psi})^i \sigma^* (\tilde{\psi})^j \right) = j_{0*} \lambda_{0*} \lambda_0^* \left((-\bar{\psi})^i \sigma^* (\bar{\psi})^j \right) = \frac{1}{5} j_{0*} \left((-\bar{\psi})^i \sigma^* (\bar{\psi})^j \right).$$

This gives the term $5^{-1} = 5^{-\delta_{0,0}}$. This completes the proof. \square

Using the GRR formula (5.7) we can reduce dual-twisted FJRW invariants to primitive FJRW invariants. We first need a simple fact for genus one case.

Lemma 5.5. *The moduli $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5}$ is a disjoint union of two one dimensional smooth DM-stacks M_0 and M_1 , characterized by that $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}) \in M_0$ (resp. $\in M_1$) if $\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma^c) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma^c)$ has no section). Furthermore,*

$$(5.9) \quad [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = -4^5 [M_0] + [M_1].$$

Proof. By the assumption on the monodromy, $\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma^c)$ has trivial monodromy along Σ^c , and $\mathcal{L}(-\Sigma^c)^{\otimes 5} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5}$ is isomorphic to the moduli of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \mathcal{L}')$ so that (\mathcal{C}, Σ) is a one-pointed genus 1 twisted curve and $\mathcal{L}'^{\otimes 5} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$. This gives $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5} = M_0 \cup M_1$ (cf. [AJ]).

For (5.9), we first note that since $H^0(\mathcal{L}') = 0$ for $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}') \in M_1$,

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = c[M_0] + [M_1], \quad c \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Since for $(\Sigma^c, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}') \in M_0$, $h^i(\mathcal{L}') = 1$ for $i = 0, 1$, [CLL, Prop 4.17] gives $c = -4^5$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Given $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell \in \{\zeta_5, \zeta_5^2\}$, letting $k = \#\{\gamma_i \mid \gamma_i = \zeta_5^2\}$, there is a $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$, depending on $\{g, \ell, k, a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and effectively calculable, such that*

$$(5.10) \quad \langle \tau_{a_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{a_\ell}(\gamma_\ell) \rangle_g^{\text{d.t., } G_5} = \Theta_{g,k} \cdot \mathbf{c}.$$

Proof. Assume $(g, k) \neq (1, 0)$. From [CLL, Theo 4.5], we have $\hat{\pi} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5}$ which forgets all ζ_5 -markings. By [CLL, Theo 4.10], we have $\hat{\pi}^* [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}$ is smooth, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}^*} & A_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}) \\ \downarrow \tilde{t}_* & & \downarrow t_* \\ H_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}^*} & H_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5}). \end{array}$$

Due to the dimension reason, $\tilde{\iota}_*[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \sum_j a_j[\xi_j]$, for $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\xi_j \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5}$ general such that $\sum a_j = \Theta_{g,k}$. Consequently,

$$\iota_*[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \hat{\pi}^* \tilde{\iota}_*[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \sum a_j[\hat{\pi}^{-1}(\xi_j)].$$

Hence using the definition (5.5), we obtain

$$\langle \tau_{a_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{a_\ell}(\gamma_\ell) \rangle_g^{\text{d.t.,}G_5} = \sum a_j \int_{[\hat{\pi}^{-1}(\xi_j)]} \mathbf{e}(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{-1} \cdot \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_\ell^{a_\ell}.$$

As $\mathbf{e}_T(\mathcal{L}^\vee)$ is a polynomial of $ch_h(R\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\vee)$'s, applying (5.7) we know that

$$\mathbf{c} := \int_{[\hat{\pi}^{-1}(\xi)]} \mathbf{e}_T(\mathcal{L}^\vee) \cdot \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_\ell^{a_\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}^{-1}]$$

is independent of the choice of the general $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(2^k)}^{1/5}$, and is effectively calculable. Finally applying $\Theta_{g,k} = \sum a_j$, we obtain (5.10).

It remains to look at the case when $(g, k) = (1, 0)$. By stability requirement, we have $\ell \geq 1$. Let $\hat{\pi}$ be the forgetful morphism forgetting all but the first marking. Using (5.7) and that the Hodge class κ is representable by ψ classes, the correlator (5.10) is a sum of multiples of $\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}} \psi$, and the boundary classes of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5}$, with the multiplicities explicitly calculable.

The boundary classes can be easily calculated. To calculate $\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5,5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}} \psi$, we apply Lemma 5.5. Because $M_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ is quasi-finite and generically a μ_5 -gerbe, $\int_{[M_0]} \psi = \frac{1}{5 \cdot 5 \cdot 24}$, where the additional 5 comes from that ψ is of μ_5 -markings.

To calculate the contribution from $[M_1]$, we need to determine the degree of $M_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\zeta_5}^{1/5} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ is flat, a μ_5 -gerbe generically, and has 5^2 pre-images over a general point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$, the degree we intend to calculate is $5^2 \cdot \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{5}$. Thus $\int_{[M_1]} \psi = \frac{25-1}{24 \cdot 5 \cdot 5} = \frac{1}{25}$. This proves the proposition. \square

Lemma 5.7. *For the case $g = 0$, and $\gamma = (1^{k+1}23)$ or $(1^{k+2}4)$ in Proposition 5.2, $\langle \tau_{a_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{a_\ell}(\gamma_\ell) \rangle_g^{\text{d.t.,}G_5}$ is calculable.*

Proof. First, for $\gamma_0 = (123)$ or (1^24) , the moduli $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\gamma_0}^{1/5} \cong B\mu_5$. For $k > 0$, we use the marking-forgetful morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\gamma}^{1/5} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\gamma_0}^{1/5} \cong B\mu_5$. Combined with the argument in the previous proof we obtain the lemma. \square

Remark 5.8. *We expect similar quantization formulae for dual-twisted FJRW potentials as that in [CG, CZ].*

Parallely, we need to treat the following term in the localization formula (4.7).

$$(5.11) \quad \int_{[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e_G(R\pi_{v*}ev_v^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n_v} \psi_i^{a_i} ev_i^*(h^{k_i}), \quad k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

where $h \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathbb{Z})$ is the positive generator, $v \in V_0^S(\Gamma)$, $(\pi_v, ev_v) : \mathcal{C}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_v \times \mathbb{P}^4$ is the universal family of \mathcal{W}_v , and $ev_i : \mathcal{W}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the evaluation at i -th markings.

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose $(g_v, d_v) \neq (0, 0), (1, 0)$. Let $\langle \dots \rangle_{g,d}^{GW}$ be the genus- g degree- d GW invariant of Q_5 . The integral (5.11) is a monomial in \mathfrak{t} , of the form*

$$(5.12) \quad (-1)^{d_v+1-g_v} \cdot \langle \tau_{a_1}(h^{k_1}) \dots \tau_{a_{n_v}}(h^{k_{n_v}}) \rangle_{g_v, d_v}^{GW} \cdot \mathfrak{t}^{d_v+1-g_v}.$$

Proof. After fixing an ordering of E_v , we have isomorphism $\mathcal{W}_v \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)^p$, and $[\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$. By [CL1],

$$(5.13) \quad [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = (-1)^{d_v+1-g_v} [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v}(Q_5, d_v)]^{\text{vir}} \in A_0 \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v}(Q_5, d_v).$$

Let $\theta : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}(Q_5, d_v) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v}(Q_5, d_v)$ be the forgetful map. Then we have

$$\theta^* [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}.$$

By zero dimensionality, we can assume $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \sum c_i [\xi_i]$, where ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$ are closed points in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p$, and $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $W_i = \theta^{-1}(\xi_i) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p$. Because W_i consists of a fixed stable map $\phi_i : C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ together with all possible marking $p_1, \dots, p_{n_v} \subset C$, we conclude $R\pi_{v*} ev_v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)|_{W_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{W_i}^{\oplus e}$, where $e = d_v + 1 - g_v$. Thus

$$e_G(R\pi_{v*} ev_v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \otimes \mathbf{L}_1)^{-1} \cap [\mathcal{W}_v]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = \mathfrak{t}^{-e} \sum c_i [W_i] = \mathfrak{t}^{-e} \cdot [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v}(\mathbb{P}^4, d_v)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}.$$

□

Remark 5.10. *Using string, dilaton and divisor equations, (5.12) can be calculated knowing the GW invariants of quintics $N_{g,d}$.*

5.3. From FJRW invariants to GW-invariants. We use MSP moduli spaces to produce an algorithm calculating the GW invariants of the quintic from the FJRW invariants.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove the case $g \geq 2$. Choose $\mathbf{d} = (d, 0)$ and $\ell = 0$, and consider the moduli of stable MSP fields $\mathcal{W}_{g,\mathbf{d}}$ of numerical data $(g, \emptyset, \mathbf{d})$. We apply the vanishing (1.2) to the equivariant cycle $[\mathcal{W}_{g,\mathbf{d}}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ to obtain

$$(5.14) \quad 0 = \sum_{\Gamma \in \Delta_{g,\mathbf{d}}^{\text{reg}}} \text{Contr}(\Gamma), \quad \text{Contr}(\Gamma) = \left[\mathfrak{t}^{\delta(g,\mathbf{d})} \cdot \frac{[(\mathcal{W}_{g,\mathbf{d}})_{(\Gamma)}^T]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}}{e(N_{(\mathcal{W}_{g,\mathbf{d}})_{(\Gamma)}^T/\mathcal{W}_{g,\mathbf{d}})}} \right]_0.$$

For $\Gamma \in \Delta_{g,\mathbf{d}}^{\text{reg}}$, applying Proposition 3.5 we see that $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$ is a polynomial expression in terms of the twisted GW invariants of Q_5 and the dual-twisted FJRW invariants of $([\mathbb{C}^5/\mathbb{Z}_5], \mathfrak{w}_5)$. By the previous subsections, they can all be expressed in terms of $N_{g',d'}$ and $\Theta_{g',k'}$.

We now investigate possible $N_{g',d'}$ and $\Theta_{g',k'}$ that appear in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$. By Proposition 3.5 and the previous discussion, we see that each $v \in V_0^S(\Gamma)$ contributes an entry N_{g_v, d_v} in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$, and each non-exceptional $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$ contributes an entry Θ_{g_v, k_v} in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$, where k_v is the number of edges $e \in E_v$ so that the monodromy of $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$ along $y_{(e,v)} = \mathcal{C}_e \cap \mathcal{C}_v$ is ζ_5^2 .

We first show that if $N_{g',d'}$ appears in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$, then $g' \leq g$ and $d' \leq d$, and if $N_{g,d}$ appears in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$, then Γ is a one vertex graph. Indeed, because the MSP fields all have domain curves genus g , and $g = \sum_{v \in V^S(\Gamma)} g_v + h^1(\Gamma)$, we have $g_v \leq g$ for all $v \in V^S(\Gamma)$. Furthermore when $g_v = g$ for one $v \in V_0$, then all other $g_{v'} = 0$ and $h^1(\Gamma) = 0$. For the degree bound, we recall that (cf. [CLLL]) by the non-vanishing properties of ν_1 and ν_2 , both $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_{1\infty} \cup \mathcal{C}_\infty}$ and $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_{01} \cup \mathcal{C}_1}$ are trivial line bundles. Thus using $\deg \mathcal{N} = d_\infty = 0$, we get $\deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_{01}} = d_0 = d$. Because $\deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_e} > 0$ for every $e \in E_0(\Gamma)$, we have $d_v = \deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} \leq d$. Finally, suppose $N_{g',d}$ appears in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$, then $E_0(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ and $V_0^S(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$. Since Γ is connected and regular, Γ must be a single vertex graph, say $\{v\} = V_0^S(\Gamma)$, and then $g_v = g$. For such graph, we have $\text{Contr}(\Gamma) = N_{g,d}$. This proves (1).

We now look at the possible $\Theta_{g',k'}$ appearing in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$. For $v \in V_\infty(\Gamma)$, we adopt the convention that

$$\mathcal{C}_{[v]} = \mathcal{C}_v \cup \left(\bigcup_{e \in E_v} \mathcal{C}_e \right),$$

which is a union of \mathcal{C}_v with all \mathcal{C}_e 's intersecting \mathcal{C}_v . As before, we let $V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)$ be the set of exceptional vertices (c.f. before Definition 2.35), namely $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$ with $g_v = 0$ and $\gamma_v = (1^{2+k}4)$ or $(1^{1+k}23)$. For each $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$,

$$(5.15) \quad \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_{[v]}} = -(d_v + \sum_{e \in E_v} d_e) \geq -\left(\frac{k+1}{5} - \frac{6+k}{5} \right) = 1.$$

For each v in $V_\infty^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)$, since Γ is regular, for every $e \in E_v$, $\gamma_{(e,v)} = \zeta_5$ or ζ_5^2 . If $\gamma_{(e,v)} = \zeta_5$, then $d_e \leq -1/5$, and if $\gamma_{(e,v)} = \zeta_5^2$, then $d_e \leq -2/5$. Let s_v (resp. k_v) be the number of $e \in E_v$ whose $\gamma_{(e,v)} = \zeta_5$ (resp. ζ_5^2). Adding that both $\nu_1|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$ and $\rho|_{\mathcal{C}_v}$ are nowhere vanishing, we have

$$\deg \mathcal{N}^{\vee \otimes 5}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = \deg \omega_{\mathcal{C}_v} \left(\sum_{e \in E_v} y_{(e,v)} \right) = (2g_v - 2 + |E_v|).$$

Therefore, $5 \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_v} = -(2g_v - 2) - |E_v|$.

As $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_{01} \cup \mathcal{C}_0}$ is trivial and $\deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_{[v]}} > 0$ for unstable $v \in V_\infty$, (5.15) implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = d_\infty &= \sum_{v \in V_\infty(\Gamma)} \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_{[v]}} \geq \sum_{v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)} \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_{[v]}} \\ &\geq \sum_{v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)} \frac{k_v - (2g_v - 2)}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$. First we have $g_v \leq g$. If $g_v = g$, then all other $g_{v'} = 0$. Thus the above inequality shows that $k_v \leq 2g_v - 2$. This proves the first part of (2).

We prove the second part of (2). If $g_v \leq g - 1$ for all $v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma)$, then $\sum_{v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)} (2g_v - 2) \leq 2g - 4$. Combined with the previous inequality, we obtain $k_v \leq 2g - 4$ for every $v \in v \in V_\infty^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)$. This proves (2).

Finally, the contribution from $v \in V_1^S(\Gamma)$ are integrals of ψ classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g',n'}$, which can be effectively calculated.

Combined, this shows that (5.14) is a polynomial expression of terms as stated in (1) and (2) with coefficients involving Hodge integrals on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g',n'}$ and other calculable terms. This proves the case $g \geq 2$.

In case $g = 1$, a similar argument using Proposition 5.6 shows that we can determine all $N_{1,d}$, knowing the terms specified in the theorem. \square

Remark 5.11. *The proof shows that (1.2) gives an algorithm to determine all genus g invariants $\{N_{g,d}\}_{d=0}^{\infty}$ from a finite set of initial data. For $g = 1$ no initial data is needed. For $g = 2$, the initial data are $N_{2,1}$ and $\Theta_{2,2}$. As $N_{2,1}$ is classical, only $\Theta_{2,2}$ is unknown. Similarly, for $g = 3$ we only need $\Theta_{2,2}$ and $\Theta_{3,4}$ since $N_{3,1}$ and $N_{3,2}$ are classical. (Recall that $\Theta_{g,k} = 0$ unless $k + 2 - 2g \equiv 0(5)$.)*

5.4. Algorithm for FJRW invariants. We let $\mathbf{d} = (0, d)$ so that $d + 1 - g > 0$; we choose $\gamma = \emptyset$, and look at the polynomial relation from the vanishing (1.2). Since $d_0 = 0$, no GW invariants $N_{g',d'>0}$ appear in this relation. Thus it provides a relation among FJRW invariants $\Theta_{g',k'}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We need to show that for $g \geq 1$ and $k \geq 7g - 2$, the relation (1.2) with $\gamma = \emptyset$, $d_0 = 0$ provides an effective algorithm to evaluate $\Theta_{g,k}$, provided that $\{\Theta_{g,k'}\}_{k' < k}$ and $\{\Theta_{g',k'}\}_{g' < g, k' \leq k-2}$ are known.

We use the same notations as in the prior proof. As $\Theta_{g,k} = 0$ when $5 \nmid k - 7g + 2$, we only need to look at $k = 7g - 2 + 5m$, $m \geq 0$. Pick $d_{\infty} = g + m$ and let $\mathbf{d} = (0, d_{\infty})$. Applying (1.2) to the cycle $[\mathcal{W}_{g,\mathbf{d}}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$, as in (5.14), we obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \sum_{\Gamma \in \Delta_{g,\mathbf{d}}^{\text{reg}}} \text{Contr}(\Gamma) = 0.$$

Here $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$ is a polynomial expression of a collection of $N_{g',d'}$ and $\Theta_{g',k'}$. Let $\xi = (\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}, \dots) \in \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma}$. Since $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_{1\infty} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\infty}}$ and $\mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_{01} \cup \mathcal{C}_1}$ are trivial line bundles, $\deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_{01}} = d_0$. As the degree of \mathcal{L} is nonnegative on each component of \mathcal{C}_0 and positive on each component of \mathcal{C}_{01} , $d_0 = 0$ implies that $V_0(\Gamma) = E_0(\Gamma) = \emptyset$. Therefore no $N_{g',d'}$ occurs in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$.

As argued before, only $\Theta_{g' \leq g, k'}$ can possibly appear in $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$. We now examine when does $\Theta_{g,k'}$ appear. Following the notation in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} g + m = d_{\infty} &= \sum_{v \in V_{\infty}(\Gamma)} \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_{[v]}} \geq \sum_{v \in V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)} \frac{k_v - (2g_v - 2)}{5} + \\ &+ \sum_{v \in V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)} \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_{[v]}} + \sum_{v \in V_{\infty}^U(\Gamma)} \deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_{[v]}} \geq \sum_{v \in V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)} \frac{k_v - (2g_v - 2)}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that for $v \in V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma) \cup V_{\infty}^U(\Gamma)$, $\deg \mathcal{N}|_{\mathfrak{e}_{[v]}} \geq 1$ (c.f. (5.15)). Thus, using $k = 7g - 2 + 5m$,

$$(5.18) \quad \sum_v k_v \leq 5g + 5m + \sum_v (2g_v - 2) = k - [2g - 2 - \sum_v (2g_v - 2)],$$

where the sum is taken over $v \in V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma) - V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma)$.

In case $g_v \leq g - 1$ for all $v \in V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma)$, then $\sum_v (2g_v - 2) \leq 2g - 4$; thus (5.18) implies that for every $v \in V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma)$, $k_v \leq k - 2$; and Θ_{g_v, k_v} appears in $\{\Theta_{g', k'}\}_{g' < g, k' \leq k-2}$. Now suppose there is a $v \in V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma)$ so that $g_v = g$. Then (5.18) implies $k_v \leq k$, thus either Θ_{g_v, k_v} appears in $\{\Theta_{g, k'}\}_{k' < k}$, or $k_v = k$.

We examine the remainder case $(g_v, k_v) = (g, k)$. Because $k = 7g - 2 + 5m$ and $d_{\infty} = g + m$, we conclude that $V_{\infty}(\Gamma) = V_{\infty}^S(\Gamma) = \{v\}$, $V_{\text{exc}}(\Gamma) = \emptyset = V_{\infty}^U(\Gamma)$, and $E_v = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ with $\deg \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{e}_{e_i}} = -2/5$. By Proposition 3.5, $[\mathcal{W}(\Gamma)]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$ is a $\frac{1}{2^k \cdot k!}$ multiple of $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, (2^k)}^{1/5, 5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}$. We calculate $\text{Contr}(\Gamma)$.

Recall $\mathbf{e}_T(\mathcal{L}^{\vee}) = e_{\mathbb{G}_m}(R\pi_* \mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{-1})$ (cf. (5.4)); applying Theorem 4.9 and the formulae in the previous section, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Contr}(\Gamma) &= \left[\mathfrak{t}^{\delta(g, \mathbf{d})} \cdot \frac{[(\mathcal{W}_{g, \mathbf{d}})_{\Gamma}^T]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}}{e(N_{(\mathcal{W}_{g, \mathbf{d}})_{\Gamma}^T} / \mathcal{W}_{g, \mathbf{d}})} \right]_0 \\ &= \left[\mathfrak{t}^{1+m} (5\mathfrak{t} \cdot \frac{5\mathfrak{t}}{2})^k \cdot (\frac{1}{\frac{5\mathfrak{t}}{2} \cdot 5\mathfrak{t}})^k \cdot \frac{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, \gamma}^{1/5, 5p}]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}}{k! 2^k \mathbf{e}_T(\mathcal{L}^{\vee})} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k (-\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{2} - \psi_i)} \right]_0 \\ &= \mathfrak{t}^{1+m} \frac{\Theta_{g, k}}{k! 2^k (-\mathfrak{t})^{3-4m-7g}} \cdot \frac{1}{(-\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{2})^k} = (-1)^{m+1} (k!)^{-1} \Theta_{g, k}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\text{vir. dim} [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, \gamma}(G_5)^p]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}} = 0$ and $\text{rank } R\pi_* \mathcal{L}^{\vee} = 3 - 4m - 7g$.

This proves that the relation (5.16) expresses $\Theta_{g, k}$ as a polynomial of terms indicated in the statement of the theorem. \square

As a corollary, the collection $\{\Theta_{1, k}\}_k$ (resp. $\{\Theta_{2, k}\}_k$; resp. $\{\Theta_{3, k}\}_k$) can be determined effectively (resp. once $\Theta_{g=2, 2}$ and $\Theta_{2, 7}$ are known; resp. once $\Theta_{g=3, 4}$, $\Theta_{3, 9}$, $\Theta_{3, 14}$, $\Theta_{2, 2}$ and $\Theta_{2, 7}$ are known).

5.5. After NMSP. In [NMSP1, NMSP2, NMSP3] a package of (N)MSP fields leads to Feynman graph structures of quintic's GW potentials F_g 's. In particular this provides a very short determination of F_1 and F_2 in [NMSP3]. There are two natural questions afterwards.

- (1) By Theorem 1.1 the primitive FJRW invariant $\Theta_{2, 2}$ determines F_2 . Does the information of F_2 determine $\Theta_{2, 2}$ backwards? Note that $\Theta_{2, 2}$ could be more difficult to be calculated, compared to GW invariants $N_{2, k}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ which admit interpretations as countings of maps. Similarly does F_3 determine $\Theta_{3, 4}$?

- (2) As the result in [NMSP1, NMSP2, NMSP3] is a package of Theorem 1.1, is there analogous package for Theorem 1.2? If so, there should be Feynman structure among FJRW potentials $F_g^{LG} := \sum_k \Theta_{g,k} q^k$ as well.

We expect the answers to both above questions are affirmative.

REFERENCES

- [ACV] D. Abramovich, A. Corti and A. Vistoli, *Twisted bundles and admissible covers*, Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman. *Comm. Algebra* **31**, no. 8, 3547-3618 (2003).
- [AGV] D. Abramovich; T. Graber and A. Vistoli, *Gromov-Witten theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks*. *Amer. J. Math.* **130**, no. 5, 1337-1398 (2008).
- [AJ] D. Abramovich and T. J. Jarvis, *Moduli of twisted spin curves*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131**, no. 3, 685-699 (2003).
- [ASYZ] M. Alim, E. Scheidegger, S.T. Yau and J. Zhou, *Special polynomial rings, quasi modular forms and duality of topological strings*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **18** (2014), 401-467.
- [BF] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, *Invent. Math.* **128**, no. 1, 45-88 (1997).
- [BCOV] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories*, *Nucl.Phys. B* **405** 279-304 (1993); *Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantumstring amplitudes*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* Volume **165**, no. 2, 311-427 (1994).
- [Cad] C. Cadman, *Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves*. *Amer. J. Math.* **129**, no. 2, 405-427 (2007).
- [CG] T. Coates and A. Givental, *Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre*, *Ann. of Math.* **165**(2007), no. 1, 15-53.
- [CGLZ18] H.-L. Chang, S. Guo, W.-P. Li and J. Zhou, *Genus one GW invariants of quintic three-folds via MSP localization*, *IMRN* (2018), arXiv:1711.10118.
- [NMSP1] H.L. Chang, S. Guo, J. Li, and W.P. Li, *The theory of N-Mixed-Spin-P fields*, arXiv:1809.08806.
- [NMSP2] H.L. Chang, S. Guo and J. Li, *Polynomial structure of Gromov-Witten potential of quintic 3-folds via NMSP*, arXiv:1809.11058.
- [NMSP3] H.L. Chang, S. Guo and J. Li, *BCOV's Feynman rule of quintic 3-folds via NMSP*, arXiv:1810.00394.
- [CJRS] Q.L. Chen, F. Janda, Y.B. Ruan, A. Sauvaget, *Towards a Theory of Logarithmic GLSM Moduli Spaces*, arXiv:1805.02304.
- [CKL] H.L. Chang, Y.H. Kiem and J. Li, *Torus localization and wall crossing for cosection localized virtual cycles*, *Adv. Math.* **308**, 964-986 (2017).
- [CL1] H.L. Chang and J. Li, *Gromov-Witten invariants of stable maps with fields*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **18**, 4163-4217 (2012).
- [CL2] H.-L. Chang and J. Li, *A vanishing associated with irregular MSP fields*, arXiv:1708.02902.
- [CLL] H.L. Chang, J. Li and W.P. Li, *Witten's top Chern classes via cosection localization*, *Invent. math.* **200**, no 3, 1015-1063 (2015).
- [CLLL] H.L. Chang, J. Li, W.P. Li and C.C. M. Liu, *Mixed-Spin-P fields of Fermat quintic polynomials*, arXiv:1505.07532.
- [Chi] A. Chiodo, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of twisted curves and r-th roots*, *Compos. Math.* **144**, no. 6, 1461-1496 (2008).
- [CZ] A. Chiodo and D. Zvonkine, *Twisted r-spin potential and Givental's quantization*, *Adv. in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **13**, no. 5, 1335-1369 (2009).

- [CR] A. Chiodo and Y.B. Ruan, *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence for quintic three-folds via symplectic transformations*. Invent. Math. **182**, no. **1**, 117-165 (2010).
- [ChK] J.W. Choi and Y.H. Kiem, *A new method toward the Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence via quasi-maps*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, arXiv:1103.0833.
- [CK] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Quasimap wall-crossings and mirror symmetry*, arXiv:1611.05023.
- [CJR] E. Clader, F. Janda and Y.B. Ruan, *Higher-genus quasimap wall-crossing via localization*, arXiv:1702.03427.
- [FJR1] H.J. Fan, T. J. Jarvis, Y.B. Ruan, *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory*, Ann. of Math (2) **178**, no. **1**, 1-106 (2013).
- [FJR2] H.J. Fan, T. J. Jarvis and Y.B. Ruan, *The Witten equation and its virtual fundamental cycle*, arXiv:0712.4025.
- [FJR3] H.J. Fan, T. J. Jarvis and Y.B. Ruan, *A mathematical theory of the gauged linear sigma model*, Geom.Topol. **22** (2018) 235-303.
- [FL] H.L. Fan, Y.P. Lee, *Towards a quantum Lefschetz hyperplane theorem in all genera*, Geom. Topol. **23**, no. **1** (2019), 493-512.
- [Gi] A. Givental, *Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **1996**, no. **13**, 613-663 (1996).
- [GP] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. **135**, no. **2**, 487-518 (1999).
- [GJR17] S. Guo, F. Janda, and Y. Ruan. *A mirror theorem for genus two Gromov Witten invariants of quintic threefolds*, arXiv:1709.07392.
- [GJR18] S. Guo, F. Janda, and Y. Ruan. *Structure of Higher Genus Gromov-Witten Invariants of Quintic 3-folds*, arXiv:1812.11908.
- [GR1] S. Guo and D. Ross, *Genus-one mirror symmetry in the Landau-Ginzburg model*, hep-th.arXiv:1611.08876, to appear on Algebraic Geometry.
- [GR2] S. Guo and D. Ross, *The genus-one global mirror theorem for the quintic threefold*, hep-th.arXiv:1703.06955, to appear on Compositio Mathematica.
- [HKQ] M.X. Huang, A. Klemm and S. Quackenbush, *Topological string theory on compact Calabi-Yau: modularity and boundary conditions*, LNP **757**, 45-102 (2009).
- [Huy] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).
- [KKP] B. Kim, A. Kresch and T. Pantev, *Functoriality in intersection theory and a conjecture of Cox, Katz, and Lee*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **179**, no. **1-2**, 127-136 (2003).
- [KL] Y.H. Kiem and J. Li, *Localized virtual cycle by cosections*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **26**, no. **4**, 1025-1050 (2013).
- [KL18] B. Kim and H. Lho, *Mirror theorem for elliptic quasimap invariants*, Geom. Topol. **22**, no. **3**, 1459-1481 (2018).
- [Kr2] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. **138**, no. **3**, 495-536 (1999).
- [LM] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. **39**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, (2000).
- [LP] H. Lho and R. Pandharipande, *Stable quotients and the holomorphic anomaly equation*, Adv. Math. **332**, 349-402 (2018).
- [LT] J. Li and G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11**, no. **1**, 119-174 (1998).
- [LLY] B. Lian, K.F. Liu and S.T. Yau, *Mirror principle. I*, Asian J. Math. **1**, no. **4**, 729-763 (1997).
- [Mo] T. Mochizuki, *The virtual class of the moduli stack of stable r -spin curves*. Comm. Math. Phys. **264**, no. **1**, 1-40 (2006).
- [PV] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob, *Algebraic construction of Witten's top Chern class*, Contemp. Math. **276**, 229-249, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2001).

- [TX] G. Tian and G.B. Xu, *Analysis of gauged Witten equation*, J. reine angew. Math. **740**, 187-274 (2018). *Correlation functions of gauged linear σ -model.*, (English summary) Sci. China Math. **59**, no. **5**, 823-838 (2016).
- [Wi] E. Witten, *Phases of $N = 2$ theories in two dimensions*, Nuclear Physics B **403**, no. **1-2**, 159-222 (1993).
- [YY] S. Yamaguchi and S.T. Yau, *Topological String Partition Functions as Polynomials*, JHEP **0407** 047 (2004).
- [Zi] A. Zinger, *The reduced genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22**, no. **3**, 691-737 (2009).
- [Zh] Y. Zhou, *Higher-genus wall-crossing in Landau-Ginzburg theory*, arXiv:1706.05109.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
HONG KONG

E-mail address: `mahlchang@ust.hk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, USA;
SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, CHINA

E-mail address: `jli@stanford.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
HONG KONG

E-mail address: `mawpli@ust.hk`

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: `ccliu@math.columbia.edu`