

K-THEORY, LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND TANGENT SPACES TO HILBERT SCHEMES

SEN YANG

ABSTRACT. By using K-theory, we construct a map from the tangent space to the Hilbert scheme at a point Y to the local cohomology group: $\pi : T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X) \rightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1})$. We use this map π to answer (after slight modification) a question by Mark Green and Phillip Griffiths on constructing a map from the tangent space $T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ to the Hilbert scheme at a point Y to the tangent space to the cycle group $TZ^p(X)$.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	K-theory and tangent spaces to Hilbert schemes	3
3.	Chern character	5
4.	The map π	8
	References	15

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and let $Y \subset X$ be a subvariety of codimension p . Considering Y as an element of $\text{Hilb}^p(X)$, it is well known that the Zariski tangent space $T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ can be identified with $H^0(Y, \mathcal{N}_{Y/X})$, where $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is the normal sheaf.

Y also defines an element of the cycle group $Z^p(X)$ and we are interested in defining the tangent space $TZ^p(X)$ to the cycle group $Z^p(X)$. In [8], Mark Green and Phillip Griffiths define $TZ^p(X)$ for $p = 1$ (divisors) and $p = \dim(X)$ (0-cycles) and leave the general case as an open question. Much of their theory was extended by Benjamin Dribus, Jerome W. Hoffman and the author in [6, 12]. In [12], we define $TZ^p(X)$ for any integer p satisfying $1 \leq p \leq \dim(X)$, generalizing

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C25.

Green and Griffiths' definitions. We recall the following fact from [12] for our purpose, and refer to [8, 12] for definition of $TZ^p(X)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.8 in [12]). *For X a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0, for any integer $p \geq 1$, the tangent space $TZ^p(X)$ is identified with $\text{Ker}(\partial_1^{p,-p})$:*

$$TZ^p(X) \cong \text{Ker}(\partial_1^{p,-p}),$$

where $\partial_1^{p,-p}$ is the differential of the Cousin complex [10] of $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}$ in position p :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{k(X)/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in X^{(p)}} H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1^{p,-p}} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p+1)}} H_x^{p+1}(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Now, we want to study the relation between $T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ and $TZ^p(X)$. The following question is suggested by Mark Green and Phillip Griffiths in [8](see page 18 and page 87-89):

Question 1.2. [8] *For X a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0, for any integer $p \geq 1$, is it possible to define a map from the tangent space $T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ to the Hilbert scheme at a point Y to the tangent space to the cycle group $TZ^p(X)$:*

$$T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X) \rightarrow TZ^p(X)?$$

For $p = \dim(X)$, this has been answered affirmatively by Green and Griffiths in [8], see Section 7.2 for details:

Theorem 1.3. [8] *For $p = d := \dim(X)$, there exists a map from the tangent space to the Hilbert scheme at a point Y to the tangent space to the cycle group*

$$T_Y \text{Hilb}^d(X) \rightarrow TZ^d(X).$$

The main result of this short note is to construct a map in Definition 4.1

$$\pi : T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X) \rightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}),$$

and use this map to study the above Question 1.2 by Mark Green and Phillip Griffiths.

In Example 4.4, we show, for general $Y \subset X$ of codimension p and $Y' \in T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$, $\pi(Y')$ may not lie in $TZ^p(X)$ (the kernel of $\partial_1^{p,-p}$). However, we will show that, in Theorem 4.6, there exists $Z \subset X$ of codimension p and exists $Z' \in T_Z \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ such that $\pi(Y') + \pi(Z') \in TZ^p(X)$.

As an application, we show how to find Milnor K-theoretic cycles in Theorem 4.7. In [13], we will apply these techniques to eliminate obstructions to deforming curves on a three-fold.

Acknowledgements This short note is a follow-up of [12]. The author is very grateful to Mark Green and Phillip Griffiths for asking interesting questions. He is also very grateful to Spencer Bloch [4] and Christophe Soulé (Remark 4.3) for sharing their ideas.

The author thanks the following professors for discussions: Benjamin Dribus, David Eisenbud, Jerome Hoffman, Luc Illusie, Chao Zhang. He also thanks the anonymous referee(s) for professional suggestions that improved this note a lot.

Notations and conventions.

- (1.) K-theory used in this note will be Thomason-Trobaugh non-connective K-theory, if not stated otherwise.
- (2.) For any abelian group M , $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the image of M in $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.
- (3.) $X[\varepsilon]$ denote the first order trivial deformation of X , i.e., $X[\varepsilon] = X \times_k \text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$, where $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ is the ring of dual numbers.

2. K-theory and tangent spaces to Hilbert schemes

For X a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and $Y \subset X$ a subvariety of codimension p , let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion, then i_*O_Y is a coherent O_X -module and can be resolved by a bounded complex of vector bundles on X . Let Y' be a first order deformation of Y , that is, $Y' \subset X[\varepsilon]$ such that Y' is flat over $\text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$ and $Y' \otimes_{k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)} k \cong Y$. Then $i_*O_{Y'}$ can be resolved by a bounded complex of vector bundles on $X[\varepsilon]$, where $i : Y' \rightarrow X[\varepsilon]$.

Let $D^{\text{perf}}(X[\varepsilon])$ denote the derived category of perfect complexes of $O_X[\varepsilon]$ -modules, and let $\mathcal{L}_{(i)}(X[\varepsilon]) \subset D^{\text{perf}}(X[\varepsilon])$ be defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{(i)}(X[\varepsilon]) := \{E \in D^{\text{perf}}(X[\varepsilon]) \mid \text{codim}_{\text{Kfull}}(\text{supph}(E)) \geq -i\},$$

where the closed subset $\text{supph}(E) \subset X$ is the support of the total homology of the perfect complex E .

The resolution of $i_*O_{Y'}$, which is a perfect complex of $O_X[\varepsilon]$ -module supported on Y , defines an element of the Verdier quotient $\mathcal{L}_{(-p)}(X[\varepsilon])/\mathcal{L}_{(-p-1)}(X[\varepsilon])$, denoted $[i_*O_{Y'}]$.

In general, the length of the perfect complex $[i_*O_{Y'}]$ may not be equal to p . Since $Y \subset X$ is of codimension p , for our purpose, we expect the perfect complex $[i_*O_{Y'}]$ is of length p . To achieve this, instead of considering $[i_*O_{Y'}]$ as an element of the Verdier quotient $\mathcal{L}_{(-p)}(X[\varepsilon])/\mathcal{L}_{(-p-1)}(X[\varepsilon])$, we consider its image in the idempotent completion $(\mathcal{L}_{(-p)}(X[\varepsilon])/\mathcal{L}_{(-p-1)}(X[\varepsilon]))^{\#}$, denoted $[i_*O_{Y'}]^{\#}$, where the idempotent completion is in the sense of Balmer-Schlichting [3]. And we have the following result:

Theorem 2.1. [2] *For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, localization induces an equivalence*

$$(\mathcal{L}_{(i)}(X[\varepsilon])/\mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}(X[\varepsilon]))^\# \simeq \bigsqcup_{x[\varepsilon] \in X[\varepsilon]^{(-i)}} D_{x[\varepsilon]}^{\text{perf}}(X[\varepsilon])$$

between the idempotent completion of the Verdier quotient $\mathcal{L}_{(i)}(X[\varepsilon])/\mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}(X[\varepsilon])$ and the coproduct over $x[\varepsilon] \in X[\varepsilon]^{(-i)}$ of the derived category of perfect complexes of $O_{X[\varepsilon], x[\varepsilon]}$ -modules with homology supported on the closed point $x[\varepsilon] \in \text{Spec}(O_{X[\varepsilon], x[\varepsilon]})$. And consequently, one has

$$K_0((\mathcal{L}_{(i)}(X[\varepsilon])/\mathcal{L}_{(i-1)}(X[\varepsilon]))^\#) \simeq \bigoplus_{x[\varepsilon] \in X[\varepsilon]^{(-i)}} K_0(D_{x[\varepsilon]}^{\text{perf}}(X[\varepsilon])).$$

Let y be the generic point of Y and let \mathcal{I}_Y be the ideal sheaf of Y . Then there exists the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow O_X \rightarrow i_*O_Y \rightarrow 0,$$

whose localization at y is the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}_Y)_y \rightarrow O_{X,y} \rightarrow (i_*O_Y)_y \rightarrow 0.$$

We have $O_{Y,y} = O_{X,y}/(\mathcal{I}_Y)_y$. Since $O_{Y,y}$ is a field, $(\mathcal{I}_Y)_y$ is the maximal ideal of the regular local ring (of dimension p) $O_{X,y}$. So the maximal ideal $(\mathcal{I}_Y)_y$ is generated by a regular sequence of length p : f_1, \dots, f_p .

Let $\mathcal{I}_{Y'}$ be the ideal sheaf of Y' , then $\mathcal{I}_{Y'}/(\varepsilon)\mathcal{I}_{Y'} = \mathcal{I}_Y$ because of flatness. So we have $(\mathcal{I}_{Y'})_y/(\varepsilon)(\mathcal{I}_{Y'})_y = (\mathcal{I}_Y)_y$. Lift f_1, \dots, f_p to $f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p$ in $(\mathcal{I}_{Y'})_y$, where $g_1, \dots, g_p \in O_{X,y}$, then $f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p$ generates $(\mathcal{I}_{Y'})_y$ because of Nakayama's lemma:

$$(\mathcal{I}_{Y'})_y = (f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p).$$

Moreover, $f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p$ is a regular sequence which can be checked directly.

We see that Y is generically defined by a regular sequence of length p : f_1, \dots, f_p , where $f_1, \dots, f_p \in O_{X,y}$. Y' is generically given by lifting f_1, \dots, f_p to $f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p$, where $g_1, \dots, g_p \in O_{X,y}$. We use $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$ to denote the Koszul complex associated to the regular sequence $f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p$, which is a resolution of $O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]/(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{A_p} F_{p-1} \xrightarrow{A_{p-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{A_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} F_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each $F_i = \bigwedge^i(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon])^{\oplus p}$ and $A_i : \bigwedge^i(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon])^{\oplus p} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{i-1}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon])^{\oplus p}$ are defined as usual.

Under the equivalence in Theorem 2.1, the localization at the generic point y sends $[i_*O_{Y'}]^\#$ to the Koszul complex $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$:

$$[i_*O_{Y'}]^\# \rightarrow F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p).$$

Recall that Milnor K-groups with support are rationally defined in terms of eigenspaces of Adams operations in [11].

Definition 2.2 (Definition 3.2 in [11]). *Let X be a finite equi-dimensional noetherian scheme and $x \in X^{(j)}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, Milnor K-group with support $K_m^M(O_{X,x}$ on x) is rationally defined to be*

$$K_m^M(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) := K_m^{(m+j)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_\mathbb{Q},$$

where $K_m^{(m+j)}$ is the eigenspace of $\psi^k = k^{m+j}$ and ψ^k are the Adams operations.

Theorem 2.3 (Prop 4.12 of [7]). *The Adams operations ψ^k defined on perfect complexes, defined by Gillet-Soulé in [7], satisfy $\psi^k(F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)) = k^p F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$.*

Hence, $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$ is of eigenweight p and can be considered as an element of $K_0^{(p)}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon])_\mathbb{Q}$:

$$F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p) \in K_0^{(p)}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon])_\mathbb{Q} = K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]).$$

Definition 2.4. *We define a map $\mu : \mathrm{T}_Y \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X) \rightarrow K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon])$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \mathrm{T}_Y \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X) &\rightarrow K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \\ Y' &\longrightarrow F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p). \end{aligned}$$

3. Chern character

For any integer m , let $K_m^{(i)}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_\mathbb{Q}$ denote the weight i eigenspace of the relative K-group, that is, the kernel of the natural projection

$$K_m^{(i)}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon])_\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon=0} K_m^{(i)}(O_{X,y} \text{ on } y)_\mathbb{Q}.$$

Recall that we have proved the following isomorphisms in [6, 11]:

Theorem 3.1 (Corollary 9.5 in [6], Corollary 3.11 in [11]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and let $y \in X^{(p)}$. Chern character (from K-theory to negative cyclic homology) induces the following isomorphisms between relative K-groups and local cohomology groups:*

$$K_m^{(i)}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_\mathbb{Q} \cong H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet, (i)}),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet,(i)} &= \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{2i-(m+p)-1}, \text{ for } \frac{m+p}{2} < i \leq m+p, \\ \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet,(i)} &= 0, \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

The main tool for proving these isomorphisms is the space-level versions of Goodwillie's and Cathelineau's isomorphisms, proved in the appendix B of [5].

Let $K_m^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)$ denote the relative K-group, that is, the kernel of the natural projection

$$K_m^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon=0} K_m^M(O_{X,y} \text{ on } y).$$

In other words, $K_m^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)$ is $K_m^{(m+p)}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. In particular, by taking $i = p$ and $m = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following formula:

Corollary 3.2.

$$K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}).$$

Definition 3.3. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and let $y \in X^{(p)}$. There exists the following natural surjective map*

$$\text{Ch} : K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}),$$

which is defined to be the composition of the natural projection

$$K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon),$$

and the following isomorphism

$$K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}).$$

Now, We recall a beautiful construction of Angéniol and Lejeune-Jalabert which describes the map in Definition 3.3

$$\text{Ch} : K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}).$$

An element $M \in K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \subset K_0(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon])_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is represented by a strict perfect complex L_{\bullet} supported at $y[\varepsilon]$:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{M_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{M_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{M_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{M_1} F_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each $F_i = O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]^{r_i}$ and M_i 's are matrices with entries in $O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]$.

Definition 3.4 (Page 24 in [1]). *The local fundamental class attached to this perfect complex is defined to be the following collection:*

$$[L_{\bullet}]_{loc} = \left\{ \frac{1}{p!} dM_i \circ dM_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ dM_{i+p-1} \right\}, i = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $d = d_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and each dM_i is the matrix of absolute differentials. In other words,

$$dM_i \in \text{Hom}(F_i, F_{i-1} \otimes \Omega_{O_{X,y}^1[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Theorem 3.5 (Lemme 3.1.1 on page 24, Def 3.4 on page 29 in [1]). $[L_{\bullet}]_{loc}$ defined above is a cycle in $\mathcal{H}om(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet})$, and the image of $[L_{\bullet}]_{loc}$ in $H^p(\mathcal{H}om(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet}))$ does not depend on the choice of the basis of L_{\bullet} .

Since

$$H^p(\mathcal{H}om(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet})) = \mathcal{E}XT^p(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet}),$$

the above local fundamental class $[L_{\bullet}]_{loc}$ defines an element in $\mathcal{E}XT^p(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet})$:

$$[L_{\bullet}]_{loc} \in \mathcal{E}XT^p(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet}).$$

Noting L_{\bullet} is supported on y (same underlying space as $y[\varepsilon]$), there exists the following trace map, see page 98-99 in [1] for details,

$$\text{Tr} : \mathcal{E}XT^p(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p).$$

Definition 3.6 (Definition 2.3.2 on page 99 in [1]). The image of $[L_{\bullet}]_{loc}$ under the above trace map, denoted $\mathcal{V}_{L_{\bullet}}^p$, is called Newton class.

$K_0(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]$ on $y[\varepsilon])$ is the Grothendieck group of the triangulated category $D^b(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]$ on $y[\varepsilon])$, which is the derived category of perfect complexes of $O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]$ -modules with homology supported on the closed point $y[\varepsilon] \in \text{Spec}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon])$. Recall that the Grothendieck group of a triangulated category is the monoid of isomorphism objects modulo the submonoid formed from distinguished triangles.

Theorem 3.7 (Proposition 4.3.1 on page 113 in [1]). The Newton class $\mathcal{V}_{L_{\bullet}}^p$ is well-defined on $K_0(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]$ on $y[\varepsilon])$.

The truncation map $\rfloor \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \rfloor_{\varepsilon=0} : \Omega_{X[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \rightarrow \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}$ induces a map,

$$\rfloor \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \rfloor_{\varepsilon=0} : H_y^p(\Omega_{X[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p) \longrightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}).$$

Lemma 3.8. The map (in Definition 3.3)

$$\text{Ch} : K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1})$$

can be described as a composition:

$$K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}XT^p(L_{\bullet}, \Omega_{O_{X,y}^p[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p \otimes L_{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p) \rightarrow H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1})$$

$$L_{\bullet} \longrightarrow [L_{\bullet}]_{loc} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{L_{\bullet}}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{L_{\bullet}}^p \rfloor \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \rfloor_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

In particular, for the Koszul complex $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$ in Definition 2.4, the Ch map can be described as follows. The following diagram,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p) \longrightarrow O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]/(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p) \\ F_p(\cong O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{[F_\bullet]_{loc}} F_0 \otimes \Omega_{O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p (\cong \Omega_{O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p), \end{array} \right.$$

where $[F_\bullet]_{loc}$ is short for the local fundamental class attached to $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$, gives an element in $Ext_{O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]}^p(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]/(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p), \Omega_{X[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p)$. This further gives an element in $H_y^p(\Omega_{X[\varepsilon]/\mathbb{Q}}^p)$, denoted \mathcal{V}_F^p .

We use $F_\bullet(f_1, \dots, f_p)$ to denote the Koszul complex associated to the regular sequence f_1, \dots, f_p , which is a resolution of $O_{X,y}/(f_1, \dots, f_p)$. The truncation of \mathcal{V}_F^p in ε produces an element in $H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1})$, which can be represented by the following diagram

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_\bullet(f_1, \dots, f_p) \longrightarrow O_{X,y}/(f_1, \dots, f_p) \\ F_p(\cong O_{X,y}) \xrightarrow{[F_\bullet]_{loc} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} |_{\varepsilon=0}} F_0 \otimes \Omega_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{array} \right.$$

For simplicity, assuming $g_2 = \dots = g_p = 0$, we see that $[F_\bullet]_{loc} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} |_{\varepsilon=0} = g_1 df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p$ and the truncation of \mathcal{V}_F^p in ε is represented by the following diagram

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_\bullet(f_1, \dots, f_p) \longrightarrow O_{X,y}/(f_1, \dots, f_p) \\ F_p(\cong O_{X,y}) \xrightarrow{g_1 df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p} F_0 \otimes \Omega_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{array} \right.$$

Further concrete examples can be found in Chapter 7 of [8](page 90-91).

4. The map π

Definition 4.1. We define a map from $\mathrm{T}_Y \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$ to $H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1})$ by composing Ch in Definition 3.3 with μ in Definition 2.4:

$$\pi : \mathrm{T}_Y \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X) \xrightarrow{\mu} K_0^M(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ch}} H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}).$$

Recall that the Cousin complex of $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}$ is of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{k(X)/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in X^{(p)}} H_y^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1^{p,-p}} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p+1)}} H_x^{p+1}(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

and the tangent space $TZ^p(X)$ is identified with $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial_1^{p,-p})$, see Theorem 1.1.

For $p = d := \dim(X)$, $\partial_1^{d,-d} = 0$ because of dimensional reason. So $TZ^d(X) = \text{Ker}(\partial_1^{d,-d}) = \bigoplus_{y \in X^{(d)}} H_y^d(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{d-1})$.

Corollary 4.2. *For $p = d := \dim(X)$, the map π defines a map from $T_Y \text{Hilb}^d(X)$ to $TZ^d(X)$ and it agrees with the map by Green and Griffiths in Theorem 1.3.*

We want to know, for general p , whether this map π defines a map from $T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ to $TZ^p(X)$, as Green and Griffiths asked in Question 1.2.

Remark 4.3. *In an email to the author, Christophe Soulé suggested that he consider the image of suitable Koszul complexes under the Ch map in Definition 3.3. This leads us to the following example, showing that π does not define a map from $T_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ to $TZ^p(X)$ in general. The Koszul technique is also used in Theorem 4.6.*

The author sincerely thanks Christophe Soulé for very helpful suggestions.

Example 4.4. *For X be a smooth projective three-fold over a field k of characteristic 0, let $Y \subset X$ be a curve with generic point y . We assume a point $x \in Y \subset X$ is defined by (f, g, h) and Y is generically defined by (f, g) , then $O_{X,y} = (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}$.*

We consider the infinitesimal deformation Y' of Y which is generically given by $(f + \varepsilon \frac{1}{h}, g)$, where $\frac{1}{h} \in O_{X,y} = (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}$ (Note $\frac{1}{h} \notin O_{X,x}$), whose Koszul complex is of the form

$$0 \rightarrow (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}[\varepsilon] \xrightarrow{(g, -f - \varepsilon \frac{1}{h})^T} (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}^{\oplus 2}[\varepsilon] \xrightarrow{(f + \varepsilon \frac{1}{h}, g)} (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}[\varepsilon] \rightarrow 0,$$

where $(-, -)^T$ denotes transpose.

$\pi(Y') \in H_y^2(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^1)$ is represented by the following diagram,

$$\begin{cases} (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)} \longrightarrow (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)} \longrightarrow (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}/(f, g) \longrightarrow 0 \\ (O_{X,x})_{(f,g)} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{h} dg} \Omega_{(O_{X,x})_{(f,g)}/\mathbb{Q}}^1 \end{cases}$$

Let $F_\bullet(f, g, h)$ be the Koszul complex of f, g, h :

$$0 \rightarrow O_{X,x} \rightarrow O_{X,x}^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow O_{X,x}^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow O_{X,x} \rightarrow 0,$$

$\partial_1^{2,-2}(\pi(Y'))$ in $H_x^3(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^1)$ is represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{cases} F_\bullet(f, g, h) \longrightarrow O_{X,x}/(f, g, h) \\ O_{X,x} \xrightarrow{1dg} \Omega_{O_{X,x}/\mathbb{Q}}^1 \end{cases}$$

which is not zero.

This example shows that, in general, the image of π may not lie in $TZ^p(X)$ (the kernel of $\partial_1^{p,-p}$). However, we will show that, in Theorem 4.6 below, given $Y \subset X$ of codimension p and $Y' \in \mathrm{T}_Y \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$, there exists $Z \subset X$ of codimension p and $Z' \in \mathrm{T}_Z \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$ such that $\pi(Y') + \pi(Z')$ is a nontrivial element of $TZ^p(X)$.

To fix notations, let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and let $Y \subset X$ be a subvariety of codimension p , with generic point y . Let $W \subset Y$ be a subvariety of codimension 1 in Y , with generic point w . One assumes W is generically defined by $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}$ and Y is generically defined by f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p . So one has $O_{X,y} = (O_{X,w})_P$, where P is the ideal $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) \subset O_{X,w}$.

Y' is generically given by $(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2 + \varepsilon g_2, \dots, f_p + \varepsilon g_p)$, where $g_1, \dots, g_p \in O_{X,y}$. We assume $g_2 = \dots = g_p = 0$ in the following. Since $O_{X,y} = (O_{X,w})_P$, we write $g_1 = \frac{a}{b}$, where $a, b \in O_{X,w}$ and $b \notin P$. b is either in or not in the maximal ideal $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}) \subset O_{X,w}$.

Lemma 4.5. *If $b \notin (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, then $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y')) = 0$.*

Proof. If $b \notin (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, then b is a unit in $O_{X,w}$, this says $g_1 = \frac{a}{b} \in O_{X,w}$. Then $\pi(Y')$ is represented by the following diagram

$$\begin{cases} F_\bullet(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) & \longrightarrow & (O_{X,w})_P / (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) \\ F_p(\cong (O_{X,w})_P) & \xrightarrow{g_1 df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p} & F_0 \otimes \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_P/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_P/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{cases}$$

Here, $F_\bullet(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{A_p} F_{p-1} \xrightarrow{A_{p-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{A_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} F_0,$$

where each $F_i = \bigwedge^i ((O_{X,w})_P)^{\oplus p}$. Since $f_{p+1} \notin P$, f_{p+1}^{-1} exists in $(O_{X,w})_P$, we can write $g_1 df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p = \frac{g_1 f_{p+1}}{f_{p+1}} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p$.

$\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y'))$ is represented by the following diagram

$$\begin{cases} F_\bullet(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}) & \longrightarrow & O_{X,w} / (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}) \\ F_{p+1}(\cong O_{X,w}) & \xrightarrow{g_1 f_{p+1} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p} & F_0 \otimes \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{cases}$$

The complex $F_\bullet(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$ is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p+1} (O_{X,w})^{\oplus p+1} \xrightarrow{A_{p+1}} \bigwedge^p (O_{X,w})^{\oplus p+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{p+1}\}$ be a basis of $(O_{X,w})^{\oplus p+1}$, the map A_{p+1} is

$$e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{p+1} \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^j f_j e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_j \wedge \dots \wedge e_{p+1},$$

where \hat{e}_j means to omit the j^{th} term.

Since f_{p+1} appears in A_{p+1} ,

$$g_1 f_{p+1} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p \equiv 0 \in \text{Ext}_{O_{X,w}}^{p+1}(O_{X,w}/(f_1, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}), \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}),$$

$$\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y')) = 0.$$

□

This lemma doesn't contradict Example 4.4 above, where $h \in (f, g, h) \subset O_{X,x}$.

Theorem 4.6. *For $Y' \in \text{T}_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ which is generically defined by $(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$, where $g_1 = \frac{a}{b} \in O_{X,y} = (O_{X,w})_P$,*

- *Case 1: if $b \notin (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, then $\pi(Y') \in TZ^p(X)$, i.e., $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y')) = 0$.*
- *Case 2: if $b \in (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, there exists $Z \subset X$ of codimension p and exists $Z' \in \text{T}_Z \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ such that $\pi(Y') + \pi(Z') \in TZ^p(X)$, i.e., $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y') + \pi(Z')) = 0$.*

Proof. Case 1 is Lemma 4.5. Now, we consider the case $b \in (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$. Since $b \notin (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$, we can write $b = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i f_i^{n_i} + a_{p+1} f_{p+1}^{n_{p+1}}$, where a_{p+1} is a unit in $O_{X,w}$ and each n_j is some integer. For simplicity, we assume each $n_j = 1$ and $a_{p+1} = 1$.

Since Y' is generically given by $(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$, then $\pi(Y')$ is represented by the following diagram ($g_1 = \frac{a}{b}$):

$$\begin{cases} F_\bullet(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) & \longrightarrow & (O_{X,w})_P / (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) \\ & & \downarrow \frac{a}{b} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p \\ F_p(\cong (O_{X,w})_P) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_0 \otimes \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_P/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_P/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{cases}$$

Here, $F_\bullet(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{A_p} F_{p-1} \xrightarrow{A_{p-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{A_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} F_0,$$

where each $F_i = \bigwedge^i ((O_{X,w})_P)^{\oplus p}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ be a basis of $(O_{X,w})^{\oplus p}$, the map A_p is

$$e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_p \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j f_j e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_j \wedge \dots \wedge e_p,$$

where \hat{e}_j means to omit the j^{th} term.

Noting $\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{f_{p+1}} = \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^p a_i f_i}{b f_{p+1}}$ and each $f_i (i = 1, \dots, p)$ appears in A_p , the above diagram representing $\pi(Y')$ can be replaced by the following one:

$$\begin{cases} F_{\bullet}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) & \longrightarrow & (O_{X,w})_P / (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) \\ & \searrow \frac{a}{f_{p+1}} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p & \\ F_p(\cong (O_{X,w})_P) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_0 \otimes \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_P/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_P/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{cases}$$

Then $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y'))$ is represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{cases} F_{\bullet}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}) & \longrightarrow & O_{X,w} / (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}) \\ & \searrow \frac{a}{f_1} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p & \\ F_{p+1}(\cong O_{X,w}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_0 \otimes \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{cases}$$

Let P' denote the prime $(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p) \subset O_{X,w}$, then P' defines a generic point $z \in X^{(p)}$ and one has $O_{X,z} = (O_{X,w})_{P'}$. We define the subscheme

$$Z := \overline{\{z\}}.$$

Let Z' be a first order infinitesimal deformation of Z , which is generically given by $(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)$. $\pi(Z')$ is represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{cases} F_{\bullet}(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p) & \longrightarrow & (O_{X,w})_{P'} / (f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p) \\ & \searrow \frac{a}{f_1} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p & \\ F_p(\cong (O_{X,w})_{P'}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_0 \otimes \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_{P'}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{(O_{X,w})_{P'}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}), \end{cases}$$

and $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Z'))$ is represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{cases} F_{\bullet}(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_1) & \longrightarrow & O_{X,w} / (f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_1) \\ & \searrow \frac{a}{f_1} df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p & \\ F_{p+1}(\cong O_{X,w}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_0 \otimes \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1} (\cong \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}). \end{cases}$$

Here, $F_{\bullet}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$ and $F_{\bullet}(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_1)$ are Koszul resolutions of $O_{X,w}/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$ and $O_{X,w}/(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_1)$ respectively.

These two Koszul complexes $F_{\bullet}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$ and $F_{\bullet}(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_1)$ are related by the following commutative diagram, see page 691 of [9],

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} O_{X,w} & \xrightarrow{D_{p+1}} & \wedge^p O_{X,w}^{\oplus p+1} & \xrightarrow{D_p} \dots & \longrightarrow & O_{X,w}^{\oplus p+1} & \xrightarrow{D_1} & O_{X,w} \\ \det A_1 \downarrow & & \wedge^p A_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow & A_1 \downarrow & & = \downarrow \\ O_{X,w} & \xrightarrow{E_{p+1}} & \wedge^p O_{X,w}^{\oplus p+1} & \xrightarrow{E_p} \dots & \longrightarrow & O_{X,w}^{\oplus p+1} & \xrightarrow{E_1} & O_{X,w}, \end{array}$$

where each D_i and E_i are defined as usual. In particular, $D_1 = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, $E_1 = (f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_1)$, and A_1 is the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\det A_1 = -1$, one has

$$\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Z')) = -\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y')) \in \text{Ext}_{O_{X,w}}^{p+1}(O_{X,w}/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1}), \Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}),$$

consequently, $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Z') + \pi(Y')) = 0 \in H_w^{p+1}(\Omega_{O_{X,w}/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1})$. In other words,

$$\pi(Z') + \pi(Y') \in TZ^p(X).$$

□

There exists the following commutative diagram, which is part of the commutative diagram of Theorem 3.14 in [11](taking $j=1$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} H_x^p(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}) & \xleftarrow{\text{Ch}} & \bigoplus_{x[\varepsilon] \in X[\varepsilon]^{(p)}} K_0^M(O_{X,x}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } x[\varepsilon]) \\ \partial_1^{p,-p} \downarrow & & d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p} \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p+1)}} H_x^{p+1}(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^{p-1}) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\text{Ch}} & \bigoplus_{x[\varepsilon] \in X[\varepsilon]^{(p+1)}} K_{-1}^M(O_{X,x}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } x[\varepsilon]). \end{array}$$

For $Y' \in \text{T}_Y \text{Hilb}^p(X)$ which is generically defined by $(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$, where $g_1 = \frac{a}{b} \in O_{X,y} = (O_{X,w})_P$, we use $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ to denote the Koszul complex associated to $f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p$. Theorem 4.6 implies the following.

Case 1: if $b \notin (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y')) = 0$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi(Y') & \xleftarrow{\text{Ch}} & F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) \\ \partial_1^{p,-p} \downarrow & & d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p} \downarrow \\ 0 & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\text{Ch}} & d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p}(F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)). \end{array}$$

says $d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p}(F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)) = 0$.

Case 2: if $b \in (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, we are reduced to considering $b = f_{p+1}$. Then there exists $Z \subset X$ which is generically defined by

$(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ and exists $Z' \in \mathrm{T}_Z \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$ which is generically defined by $(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ such that $\partial_1^{p,-p}(\pi(Y') + \pi(Z')) = 0$. We use $F_\bullet(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ to denote the Koszul complex associated to $f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p$.

The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi(Y') + \pi(Z') & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Ch}} & F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_{p+1}}, f_2, \dots, f_p) + F_\bullet(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p) \\ \partial_1^{p,-p} \downarrow & & d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p} \downarrow \\ 0 & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\mathrm{Ch}} & d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p}(F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_{p+1}}, f_2, \dots, f_p) + F_\bullet(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)). \end{array}$$

says $d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p}(F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_{p+1}}, f_2, \dots, f_p) + F_\bullet(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)) = 0$.

Recall that, in Definition 3.4 and Corollary 3.15 in [11], the p -th Milnor K-theoretic cycles is defined as

$$Z_p^M(D^{\mathrm{Perf}}(X[\varepsilon])) := \mathrm{Ker}(d_{1,X[\varepsilon]}^{p,-p}).$$

The above can be summarized as:

Theorem 4.7. *For $Y' \in \mathrm{T}_Y \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$ which is generically defined by $(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$, where $g_1 = \frac{a}{b} \in O_{X,y} = (O_{X,w})_P$, we use $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ to denote the Koszul complex associated to $f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p$.*

- *Case 1: if $b \notin (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, then $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon g_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) \in Z_p^M(D^{\mathrm{Perf}}(X[\varepsilon]))$.*
- *Case 2: if $b \in (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p, f_{p+1})$, we are reduced to considering $b = f_{p+1}$. Then there exists $Z \subset X$ which is generically defined by $(f_{p+1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ and there exists $Z' \in \mathrm{T}_Z \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$ which is generically defined by $(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p)$ such that $F_\bullet(f_1 + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_{p+1}}, f_2, \dots, f_p) + F_\bullet(f_{p+1} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{f_1}, f_2, \dots, f_p) \in Z_p^M(D^{\mathrm{Perf}}(X[\varepsilon]))$.*

The existence of Z and $Z' \in \mathrm{T}_Z \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$ has applications in deformation of cycles, see [13] for a concrete example of eliminating obstructions to deforming curves on a three-fold.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Angéniol and M. Lejeune-Jalabert, *Calcul différentiel et classes caractéristiques en géométrie algébrique*, (French) [Differential calculus and characteristic classes in algebraic geometry] With an English summary, Travaux en Cours [Works in Progress], 38. Hermann, Paris, 1989.
- [2] P. Balmer, *Supports and filtrations in algebraic geometry and modular representation theory*, Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), no. 5, 1227-1250.
- [3] P. Balmer and M. Schlichting, *Idempotent completion of triangulated categories*, J. Algebra 236 (2001), no. 2, 819-834.
- [4] S. Bloch, *Private discussions at Tsinghua University*, Beijing, Spring semester (2015) and Fall semester(2016).
- [5] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer and C. Weibel, *Infinitesimal cohomology and the Chern character to negative cyclic homology*, Math. Annalen 344 (2009), 891-922
- [6] B. Dribus, J.W. Hoffman and S. Yang, *Tangents to Chow groups: on a question of Green-Griffiths*, Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, available online, DOI: 10.1007/s40574-017-0123-3.
- [7] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Intersection theory using Adams operations*, Invent. Math. 90 (1987), no. 2, 243-277.
- [8] M. Green and P. Griffiths, *On the tangent space to the space of algebraic cycles on a smooth algebraic variety*, Annals of Math Studies, 157. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005, vi+200 pp. ISBN: 0-681-12044-7.
- [9] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. xii+813 pp. ISBN: 0-471-32792-1.
- [10] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1966 vii+423 pp.
- [11] S. Yang, *On extending Soulé's variant of Bloch-Quillen identification*, accepted by Asian Journal of Mathematics(July 14 2017), arXiv:1604.04046.
- [12] S. Yang, *Deformation of K-theoretic cycles*, submitted, arXiv:1603.01008.
- [13] S. Yang, *Eliminating obstructions: curves on a 3-fold*, arXiv:1611.07279.

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA

E-mail address: syang@math.tsinghua.edu.cn; senyangmath@gmail.com