

A Sharp Comparison Theorem for Compact Manifolds with Mean Convex Boundary

Martin Man-chun Li

Received: 27 August 2012 / Published online: 14 December 2012
© Mathematica Josephina, Inc. 2012

Abstract Let M be a compact n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and mean convex boundary ∂M . Assume that the mean curvature H of the boundary ∂M satisfies $H \geq (n - 1)k > 0$ for some positive constant k . In this paper, we prove that the distance function d to the boundary ∂M is bounded from above by $\frac{1}{k}$ and the upper bound is achieved if and only if M is isometric to an n -dimensional Euclidean ball of radius $\frac{1}{k}$.

Keywords Comparison theorem · Ricci curvature · Mean convex boundary · Rigidity

1 Introduction

By a classical theorem of Bonnet and Myers, if a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M has Ricci curvature at least $(n - 1)k$, where $k > 0$ is a constant, then the diameter of M is at most $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$. Applying this result to the universal cover \tilde{M} , we see that such manifolds must be compact and have finite fundamental group. In [2], Cheng proved the rigidity theorem that if the diameter is equal to $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k}}$, then M is isometric to the n -sphere with constant sectional curvature k .

In this paper, we prove a similar result for compact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and mean convex boundary. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Let M^n be a complete n -dimensional ($n \geq 2$) Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and mean convex boundary ∂M . Assume the mean*

Communicated by Jiaping Wang.

M.M. Li (✉)

Mathematics Department, University of British Columbia, 1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada

e-mail: martinli@math.ubc.ca

curvature H of ∂M with respect to the inner unit normal satisfies $H \geq (n - 1)k > 0$ for some constant $k > 0$. Let d denote the distance function on M . Then,

$$\sup_{x \in M} d(x, \partial M) \leq \frac{1}{k}. \tag{1.1}$$

Furthermore, if we assume that ∂M is compact, then M is also compact and equality holds in (1.1) if and only if M^n is isometric to an n -dimensional Euclidean ball of radius $\frac{1}{k}$.

Remark 1.2 For any isometric embedding of a Riemannian m -manifold N into a metric space X , Gromov [5] defined the *filling radius*, $\text{Fill Rad}(N \subset X)$, to be the infimum of those numbers $\epsilon > 0$ for which N bounds in the ϵ -neighborhood $U_\epsilon(N) \subset X$, that is, the inclusion homomorphism of the m -th homology (over \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_2) $H_m(N) \rightarrow H_m(U_\epsilon(N))$ vanishes. Therefore, we can restate the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 as $\text{Fill Rad}(\partial M \subset M) \leq \frac{1}{k}$, and equality holds if and only if M is the Euclidean ball of radius $\frac{1}{k}$.

Note that under the curvature assumptions in Theorem 1.1, the complete manifold M may be *non-compact*. However, if we put a stronger convexity assumption on ∂M , then the boundary convexity could force ∂M to be compact and hence M would also be compact. In [6], Hamilton proved that any convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n with pinched second fundamental form is compact. We conjecture that the result can be generalized to manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

Conjecture 1.3 *Let M^n be a complete Riemannian n -manifold with nonempty boundary ∂M . Assume M has nonnegative Ricci curvature and ∂M is uniformly convex with respect to the inner unit normal, i.e., the second fundamental form h of ∂M satisfies $h \geq k > 0$ for some constant k . Then, M is compact and $\pi_1(M)$ is finite.*

Manifolds satisfying the assumptions in Conjecture 1.3 have been studied by several authors. Some rigidity results were obtained in [9] and [10]. In [4], J. Escobar gave upper and lower estimates for the first nonzero Steklov eigenvalue for these manifolds with boundary. However, all these results are proved under the assumption that M is compact. Conjecture 1.3 above would imply that this assumption is void and these manifolds have finite fundamental group.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some known facts which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let M be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary ∂M . We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the metric on M as well as that induced on ∂M . Suppose $\gamma : [0, \ell] \rightarrow M$ is a geodesic in M parameterized by arc length such that $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(\ell)$ lie on ∂M and $\gamma(s)$ lies in the interior of M for all $s \in (0, \ell)$. Assume that γ meets ∂M orthogonally, that is, $\gamma'(0) \perp T_{\gamma(0)}\partial M$ and $\gamma'(\ell) \perp T_{\gamma(\ell)}\partial M$. Hence, γ is a critical point of the length functional as a free boundary problem. We

call such γ a *free boundary geodesic*. For any normal vector field V along γ , the orthogonality condition implies that V is tangent to ∂M at $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(\ell)$, hence is an admissible variation to the free boundary problem. A direct calculation gives the second variation formula of arc length:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^s \gamma(V, V) &= \int_0^\ell (|V'(s)|^2 - |V(s)|^2 K(\gamma'(s), V(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V(\ell)} V(\ell), \gamma'(\ell) \rangle - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V(0)} V(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection on M , and $K(u, v)$ is the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by u and v in M .

Let N be the inner unit normal of ∂M with respect to M . The second fundamental form h of ∂M with respect to N is defined by $h(u, v) = \langle \bar{\nabla}_u v, N \rangle$ for u, v tangent to ∂M . The mean curvature H of ∂M with respect to N is defined as the trace of h , that is, $H = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(e_i, e_i)$ for any orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} of the tangent bundle $T\partial M$. The principal curvatures of ∂M are defined to be the eigenvalues of h . Using a Frankel-type argument as in [7], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let M be a compact, connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary ∂M . Suppose M has nonnegative Ricci curvature and the mean curvature H of ∂M with respect to the inner unit normal satisfies $H \geq (n - 1)k > 0$ for some positive constant k . Then, ∂M is connected and the map*

$$\pi_1(\partial M) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(M)$$

induced by inclusion is surjective, i.e., $\pi_1(M, \partial M) = 0$.

Proof We follow the argument given in [7]. We show under the curvature assumptions, any free boundary geodesic must be unstable as a free boundary solution. To see this, let $\gamma : [0, \ell] \rightarrow M$ be a free boundary geodesic. Fix an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} of $T_{\gamma(0)}\partial M$, let $V_i(s)$ be the normal vector field along γ obtained from e_i by parallel translation, and using the second variation formula (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta^2 \gamma(V_i, V_i) = - \int_0^\ell \text{Ric}(\gamma'(s), \gamma'(s)) ds - H_{\gamma(\ell)} - H_{\gamma(0)} < 0,$$

where Ric is the Ricci curvature of M . Therefore, $\delta^2 \gamma(V_i, V_i) < 0$ for some i , and therefore γ is unstable.

Suppose ∂M is not connected or $\pi_1(M, \partial M) \neq 0$. In either case, there exists a free boundary geodesic γ which minimizes length in its homotopy class in $\pi_1(M, \partial M)$, hence is stable. This contradicts the fact that there are no stable free boundary geodesics in M . □

We will use the following lemma, which is a special case of Theorem 1 in [8].

Lemma 2.2 *Let M be a compact n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary ∂M and nonnegative Ricci curvature. If the mean curvature H of ∂M with respect to the unit inner normal satisfies*

$$H \geq \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{|\partial M|}{|M|},$$

where $|\partial M|$ and $|M|$ denote the $(n-1)$ - and n -dimensional volume of ∂M and M , respectively, then M^n is isometric to a Euclidean ball.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove the upper bound in (1.1). Fix any point x in the interior of M ; there exists a geodesic $\gamma : [0, \ell] \rightarrow M$ parameterized by arc length such that $\ell = d(x, \partial M)$ (the existence of such geodesic follows from the completeness of M). Note that γ lies in the interior of M except at $\gamma(\ell)$. We want to prove that $\ell \leq \frac{1}{k}$. The first variation formula tells us that $\gamma'(\ell)$ is orthogonal to ∂M at $\gamma(\ell)$. Moreover, the second variation of γ for any normal vector field V along γ where $V(0) = 0$ is nonnegative:

$$\delta^2 \gamma(V, V) = \int_0^\ell (|V'(s)|^2 - |V(s)|^2 K(\gamma'(s), V(s))) ds + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V(\ell)} V(\ell), \gamma'(\ell) \rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Fix an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} for $T_{\gamma(\ell)} \partial M$, and let $E_i(s)$ be the parallel translate of e_i along γ . Define $V_i(s) = \frac{s}{\ell} E_i(s)$. Substitute into (3.1) and sum over i from 1 to $n-1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta^2 \gamma(V_i, V_i) = \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{n-1}{\ell^2} - \left(\frac{s}{\ell} \right)^2 \text{Ric}(\gamma'(s), \gamma'(s)) \right) ds - H_{\gamma(\ell)} \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ and $H \geq (n-1)k > 0$, (3.2) implies that $\frac{n-1}{\ell} \geq (n-1)k$. Therefore, $\ell \leq \frac{1}{k}$. Since the point x is arbitrary, we have proved inequality (1.1).

Assume now that ∂M is compact; then (1.1) implies that M is compact. Suppose equality holds in (1.1). By rescaling the metric of M , we can assume that $k = 1$. Then we want to prove that M^n is isometric to the n -dimensional Euclidean unit ball. Since M is compact, there exists some x_0 in the interior of M such that

$$d(x_0, \partial M) = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

The key step is to show that M is equal to the geodesic ball of radius 1 centered at x_0 , denoted by $B_1(x_0)$. From (3.3), it is clear that $B_1(x_0)$ is contained in M . Let $\rho = d(x_0, \cdot)$ denote the distance function from x_0 . Since M has nonnegative Ricci curvature, the Laplacian comparison theorem gives

$$\bar{\Delta} \rho \leq \frac{n-1}{\rho}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\bar{\Delta}$ is the Laplacian operator on M , and $d = d(x, \cdot)$ is the distance function in M from any point x .

Let $S = \{q \in \partial M : \rho(q) = 1\}$. We claim that $S = \partial M$. To prove the claim, it suffices to show that S is an open and closed subset of ∂M , since ∂M is connected by Lemma 2.1. Note that S is closed by the continuity of ρ . It remains to prove that S is open in ∂M . Pick any point $q \in S$; we will show that $\rho \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of q in ∂M . If q is not a conjugate point to x_0 in M , then the geodesic sphere $\partial B_1(x_0)$ is a smooth hypersurface near q in M , whose mean curvature with respect to the inner unit normal is at most $n - 1$ by the Laplacian comparison theorem (3.4). On the other hand, ∂M has mean curvature at least $n - 1$ with respect to the inner unit normal by assumption. The maximum principle for hypersurfaces in manifolds [3] implies that ∂M and $\partial B_1(x_0)$ coincide in a neighborhood of q . Hence, $\rho \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of q . Therefore, S is open near any q which is not a conjugate point to x_0 in M . If q is a conjugate point of x_0 , we want to show that $\Delta\rho \leq 0$ in the barrier sense [1] in a neighborhood q , where Δ is the Laplacian operator on ∂M . Since q is a minimum of ρ , we can then apply the strong maximum principle in [1] for a superharmonic function in the barrier sense to conclude that $\rho \equiv 1$ near q in ∂M . To see why ρ is superharmonic in ∂M , let $\epsilon > 0$ be any small constant and p be any point on ∂M near q . We have to find an upper barrier ρ_ϵ which is C^2 in a neighborhood of p in ∂M , i.e., $\rho_\epsilon(p) = \rho(p)$ and $\rho_\epsilon \geq \rho$ in a neighborhood of p in ∂M . Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a minimizing geodesic from x_0 to p parameterized by arc length. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small constant to be fixed later, and define

$$\rho_\delta(\cdot) = \delta + d(\gamma(\delta), \cdot),$$

which is smooth in a neighborhood of p . Notice that $\rho_\delta(p) = \rho(p)$ and $\rho_\delta \geq \rho$ in a neighborhood of p by the triangle inequality. By the Laplacian comparison theorem (3.4), we have

$$\bar{\Delta}\rho_\delta \leq \frac{n - 1}{d(\gamma(\delta), \cdot)} = \frac{n - 1}{\rho_\delta - \delta}. \tag{3.5}$$

On a neighborhood of p in ∂M , we have

$$\Delta\rho_\delta = \bar{\Delta}\rho_\delta + H \frac{\partial\rho_\delta}{\partial N} - \text{Hess } \rho_\delta(N, N), \tag{3.6}$$

where N is the inner unit normal of ∂M with respect to M , H is the mean curvature of ∂M with respect to N , and $\text{Hess } \rho_\delta$ is the Hessian of ρ_δ in M . Observe that

$$\rho_\delta(p) = \rho(p), \quad \frac{\partial\rho_\delta}{\partial N}(p) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hess } \rho_\delta(N, N)(p) = 0.$$

Choose a neighborhood $U \subset \partial M$ of q such that for any $p \in U$ and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$\rho_\delta \geq \rho \geq 1, \quad \frac{\partial\rho_\delta}{\partial N} \geq -1 + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hess } \rho_\delta(N, N) \geq -\delta \tag{3.7}$$

on the neighborhood U . By assumption, $H \geq n - 1$. We see from (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7) that in the neighborhood U around p ,

$$\Delta\rho_\delta \leq \frac{n-1}{1-\delta} - (1-\delta)(n-1) + \delta \leq \epsilon$$

if δ is sufficiently small. Since ϵ is arbitrary, this shows that ρ is superharmonic near q in the barrier sense and attains a local minimum at q . Therefore, ρ is constant near q by the maximum principle of [1]. This proves the claim that $S = \partial M$.

Now, we have shown that $M = B_1(x_0)$, the geodesic ball of radius 1 centered at x_0 in M . We first note that ρ is smooth up to the boundary ∂M . This is true since any $q \in \partial M$ can be joined by a minimizing geodesic γ of unit length from x_0 to q . As $\partial M = \partial B_1(x_0)$, γ is orthogonal to ∂M at q , hence is uniquely determined by q . Therefore, q is not in the cut locus of x_0 . Since M has nonnegative Ricci curvature, the Laplacian comparison (3.4) for $\rho = d(x_0, \cdot)$ holds in the classical sense, that is,

$$\rho \bar{\Delta} \rho \leq n - 1. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $|\bar{\nabla} \rho| = 1$ on M , $\rho \equiv 1$ and $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} = 1$ on ∂M , where $\nu = -N$ is the outer unit normal of ∂M , integrating (3.8) over the whole manifold M and applying Stokes' theorem, we get

$$|\partial M| - |M| = \int_{\partial M} \rho \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} - \int_M |\bar{\nabla} \rho|^2 = \int_M \rho \bar{\Delta} \rho \leq \int_M (n-1) = (n-1)|M|.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{|\partial M|}{|M|} \leq 1.$$

Since the mean curvature of ∂M satisfies $H \geq n - 1$, by Lemma 2.2, M is isometric to a Euclidean ball of radius r . It is clear that $r = 1$ as $M = B_1(x_0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

1. Calabi, E.: An extension of E. Hopf's maximum principle with an application to Riemannian geometry. *Duke Math. J.* **25**, 45–56 (1958). MR 0092069 (19, 1056e)
2. Cheng, S.-Y.: Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications. *Math. Z.* **143**(3), 289–297 (1975). MR 0378001 (51 #14170)
3. Eschenburg, J.-H.: Maximum principle for hypersurfaces. *Manuscr. Math.* **64**(1), 55–75 (1989). MR 994381 (90c:53134)
4. Escobar, J.F.: An isoperimetric inequality and the first Steklov eigenvalue. *J. Funct. Anal.* **165**(1), 101–116 (1999). MR 1696453 (2000h:58056)
5. Gromov, M.: Filling Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differ. Geom.* **18**(1), 1–147 (1983). MR 697984 (85h:53029)
6. Hamilton, R.S.: Convex hypersurfaces with pinched second fundamental form. *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **2**(1), 167–172 (1994). MR 1312684 (95m:53078)
7. Blaine Lawson, H. Jr.: The unknottedness of minimal embeddings. *Invent. Math.* **11**, 183–187 (1970). MR 0287447 (44 #4651)

8. Ros, A.: Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvatures. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **3**(3–4), 447–453 (1987). MR 996826 (90c:53160)
9. Schroeder, V., Strake, M.: Rigidity of convex domains in manifolds with nonnegative Ricci and sectional curvature. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **64**(2), 173–186 (1989). MR 997359 (90h:53042)
10. Xia, C.: Rigidity of compact manifolds with boundary and nonnegative Ricci curvature. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **125**(6), 1801–1806 (1997). MR 1415343 (97i:53043)