Linear Algebra and its Applications 436 (2012) 4285-4295



A conjecture based on Somos-4 sequence and its extension

Xiang-Ke Chang^{a,b,*}, Xing-Biao Hu^a

^a LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific Engineering Computing, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2719, Beijing 100190, PR China

^b Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, PR China

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 19 October 2011 Accepted 23 January 2012 Available online 21 February 2012

Submitted by P. Šemrl

AMS classification: 37J35 11B37 11B83

Keywords: Somos sequence Block–Hankel determinant Jacobi identity Conjecture

ABSTRACT

In two recent papers by Barry (2010) [29] and (2011) [30], it is conjectured that Somos-4 admits a solution expressed in terms of Hankel determinant with its elements satisfying a convolution recursion relation. In this paper, Barry's conjecture on Somos-4 is firstly confirmed. Actually, we present a more generalized result. The proof is mainly based on new findings on properties for so-called Block–Hankel determinants. The method can also be used to prove another conjecture proposed by Michael Somos, which has been solved by Guoce Xin.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A Somos-k ($k \ge 4$) sequence originally introduced by Michael Somos is a sequence of numbers defined by a recurrence as follows:

$$S_n S_{n-k} = \sum_{i=1}^{[k/2]} x_i S_{n-i} S_{n-k+i},$$
(1)

where the x_i are given integers. In recent years, such sequences have attracted a great deal of interest of researchers in number theory, algebraic combinatorics, statistical mechanics, as well as discrete integrable systems.

^{*} Corresponding author at: LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific Engineering Computing, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2719, Beijing 100190, PR China.

E-mail addresses: changxk@lsec.cc.ac.cn (X.-K. Chang), hxb@lsec.cc.ac.cn (X.-B. Hu).

^{0024-3795/\$ -} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2012.01.016

For example, combinatorial interpretations for Somos-4 (A006720) and Somos-5 (A006721) have been found by Speyer [1] and for Somos-6 (A006722) and Somos-7 (A006723) by Carroll and Speyer [2]. For the case of k = 4, 5, 6, 7, if the coefficients are $x_i = 1$ ($1 \le i \le \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$) and the initial values are given by $S_i = 1$ ($0 \le i \le k - 1$), then quadratic relation (1) can produce a sequence of integers [3–5], which is a property of what we call integrality. Such a property was first found by Michael Somos. It was realized that the deeper reason behind this lay in the fact that the recurrence (1) satisfies Laurent phenomenon, meaning that the iterates are polynomials in the four initial data, and their inverses, and these Laurent polynomials have integer coefficients. The Gale–Robinson conjecture[6,7] confirmed by Fomin and Zelevinsky [8] indicates that Somos-k (k = 4, 5, 6, 7) sequences exhibit the Laurent property, which is an essential feature of Fomin and Zelevinsky's theory of cluster algebras [9,10]. For the case k = 4 and k = 5, Hone constructed explicit solutions to the bilinear recurrence (1) with complex numbers x_i in terms of the Weierstrass sigma function in [11,12], respectively. He also indicated that Somos-4 can be thought of as an integrable symplectic map [13,14] by making a change of variables. But, unfortunately, it is not obvious from the form of the Weierstrass sigma function that sequences have the Laurent phenomenon. For more details, please consult [15–24].

Furthermore, there also exist several conjectures about Somos-4 or Somos-5. For instance, Michael Somos [25] observed that y(z) given by $y - y^2 = z - z^3$ yields the Somos-4 sequence, which has been proved by Xin [26]. Gosper and Schroeppel [27] made two *near-addition formulas* for Somos-4 and Somos-5, which has been solved by Ma [28]. More recently, Barry proposed another conjecture about Somos-4 in the paper [29,30], which is still open. We restate it as the following:

Conjecture 1.1. Assume that *a_n* satisfies a convolution recursion relation:

$$a_{n} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ \alpha, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ \alpha a_{n-1} + \beta a_{n-2} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_{i} a_{n-2-i}, & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Then the Hankel determinant $H_n = det(a_{i+j})_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}$ is a $(\alpha^2 \gamma^2, \gamma^2 (\beta + \gamma)^2 - \alpha^2 \gamma^3)$ Somos-4 sequence.

Here we use the notation $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor})$ Somos-*k* sequence, which represents that the sequence satisfies recursion relation (1).

The main purpose of this paper is to show the following result:

Theorem 1.2. For a fixed positive integer p, let $a_n^{(p)}$ be computed via the following convolution recurrence:

$$a_{n}^{(p)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n < 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ \alpha a_{n-1}^{(p)} + \beta a_{n-2}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{p} \right\rfloor} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n-2-pi}^{(p)}, & \text{if } n = kp + 1, k \ge 0 \\ \alpha a_{n-1}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{p} \right\rfloor} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n-2-pi}^{(p)}, & \text{if } n = kp + j, k \ge 0, 2 \le j \le p. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Then we have

(i)
$$h_n^{(1)}$$
 is a $(\alpha^2 \gamma^2, \gamma^2 (\beta + \gamma)^2 - \alpha^2 \gamma^3)$ Somos-4 sequence.
(ii) $h_n^{(2)}$ is a $(\alpha^6 \gamma^3 - \alpha^4 \gamma^3 (\beta + \gamma), \alpha^6 \gamma^4 (\beta + \gamma) (\beta + 2\gamma) - \alpha^8 \gamma^5)$ Somos-5 sequence.

(iii) If $\beta + \gamma = 0$, then $h_n^{(p)}$ satisfies the relation:

$$h_{n+p+3}^{(p)}h_n^{(p)} = (\alpha^p \gamma)^{p+1}h_{n+p+2}^{(p)}h_{n+1}^{(p)} - \frac{(\alpha^p \gamma)^{2p+1}}{\alpha^p}h_{n+p+1}^{(p)}h_{n+2}^{(p)}$$

where $h_n^{(p)} = det \left(a_{ip+j}^{(p)}\right)_{0 \leq i,j \leq n-1}$.

Obviously, conclusion (i) confirms the conjecture due to Barry. Besides, conclusion (ii) indicates that a Block–Hankel determinant solution to Somos-5 recurrences is obtained while conclusion (iii) yields a solution for a special case of the Gale–Robinson recurrence.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the so-called Block–Hankel determinants are investigated. As a result, several new results on Block–Hankel determinants are achieved. We present the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3. Finally conclusions and discussions are given in Section 4.

2. Block-Hankel determinants and their properties

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, it is necessary to investigate the properties of determinant $h_n^{(p)}$.

As we know, Hankel determinants [31] have widely appeared in the theory of orthogonal polynomials, Padé approximation, continued fractions and combinatorial mathematics. (See [32–36] for more details.) In order to interpret a graph, Shingu and Kamioka [37] introduce the notion of Block–Hankel determinant, which is an extension of Hankel determinant. Now we give the definition of *Block–Hankel determinant*.

An $n \times n$ Block–Hankel determinant $(p \ge 1, q \ge 1)$ is defined by $H_n(a_r^{(p,q)}) \equiv det(a_{r+ip+jq}^{(p,q)})_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}$. When p = 1 and q = 1,

$$H_{n}(a_{r}^{(1,1)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} a_{r}^{(1,1)} & a_{r+1}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & a_{r+n-1}^{(1,1)} \\ a_{r+1}^{(1,1)} & a_{r+2}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & a_{r+n}^{(1,1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{r+n-1}^{(1,1)} & a_{r+n}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & a_{r+2n-1}^{(1,1)} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$(4)$$

which is a conventional Hankel determinant. The following are two other examples:

$$H_{n}(a_{r}^{(2,1)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} a_{r}^{(2,1)} & a_{r+1}^{(2,1)} & \cdots & a_{r+n-1}^{(2,1)} \\ a_{r+2}^{(2,1)} & a_{r+3}^{(2,1)} & \cdots & a_{r+n+1}^{(2,1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{r+2(n-1)}^{(2,1)} & a_{r+2n-1}^{(2,1)} & \cdots & a_{r+3(n-1)}^{(2,1)} \end{vmatrix}$$
(5)

and

$$H_{n}(a_{r}^{(4,2)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} a_{r}^{(4,2)} & a_{r+2}^{(4,2)} & \cdots & a_{r+2(n-1)}^{(4,2)} \\ a_{r+4}^{(4,2)} & a_{r+6}^{(4,2)} & \cdots & a_{r+2n+2}^{(4,2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{r+4(n-1)}^{(4,2)} & a_{r+4n-2}^{(4,2)} & \cdots & a_{r+6(n-1)}^{(4,2)} \end{vmatrix}.$$
(6)

Obviously, $h_n^{(p)}$ in Theorem 1.2 have the same structure as $H_n(a_0^{(p,1)})$. Thus, we concentrate on the study of *Block–Hankel determinants* with q = 1, namely, $H_n(a_r^{(p,1)})$. For simplicity, we abbreviate this as $H_n(a_r^{(p)})$.

In the sequel, we investigate some properties of *Block–Hankel determinants* $H_n(a_r^{(p)})$ with the elements in (3). The proofs of the following lemmas can be completed by the same steps:

- Step 1: Perform column operations recursively.
- Step 2: If necessary, decompose the determinant obtained by Step 1 to two parts.
- Step 3: Perform row operations recursively in order to get a determinant in a simple expression.

Lemma 2.1. For $n \ge 1$, we have

$$H_n(a_0^{(p)}) = (\alpha^p \gamma)^{n-1} H_{n-1}(a_{-1}^{(p)}) + (\beta + \gamma)(\alpha^p \gamma)^{n-2} J_{n-1}(a_0^{(p)}),$$
(7)

where

$$J_{n}(a_{0}^{(p)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} A_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{0}^{(p)} & a_{1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n-2}^{(p)} \\ A_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p}^{(p)} & a_{p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-2}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & a_{(n-1)p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+(n-1)p-2}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix}$$
(8)

and

$$H_n(a_{-1}^{(p)}) = -(\alpha^p \gamma)^{n-2} K_{n-1}(a_{-1}^{(p)}),$$
(9)

where

$$K_{n}(a_{-1}^{(p)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} A_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{-1}^{(p)} & a_{0}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n-3}^{(p)} \\ A_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-3}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{(n-1)p-1}^{(p)} & a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+(n-1)p-3}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} .$$
(10)

Here $A_{kp-1}^{(p)}$ *is defined by*

$$A_{kp-1}^{(p)} = a_{kp-1}^{(p)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{ip-1}^{(p)} \frac{a_{(k+1-i)p}^{(p)}}{a_p^{(p)}}, \quad A_{p-1}^{(p)} = a_{p-1}^{(p)}.$$
(11)

Proof. Here we only give the proof of Eq. (7). By making the appropriate substitutions, Eq. (9) can be obtained in a similar manner without *Step 2*.

Step 1: We rewrite

$$H_{n}(a_{0}^{(p)}) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{0}^{(p)} & a_{1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{(p)} \\ a_{p}^{(p)} & a_{p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-1}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & a_{(n-1)p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+(n-1)p-1}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix}$$
(12)

by using the recursion relation (3) to obtain Eq. (7). We first subtract the (ip + 1)th column multiplied by $\gamma a_{n-3-ip}^{(p)}$ from the *n*th column for $i = 1, 2, ..., [\frac{n-3}{p}]$. Next, if n = kp + 2, k = 1, 2, ..., subtract the (n - 2)th column multiplied by $(\beta + \gamma)$ from the *n*th column. And then, subtracting the (n - 1)th column multiplied by α , we have

$$H_{n}\left(a_{0}^{(p)}\right) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{0}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n-2}^{(p)} & 0 \\ a_{p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-2}^{(p)} & \gamma a_{p}^{(p)} a_{n-3}^{(p)} \\ a_{2p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+2p-2}^{(p)} & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n+2p-3-pi}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+(n-1)p-2}^{(p)} & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n+(n-1)p-3-pi}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} .$$
(13)

Applying a similar procedure to the (n - 1)th, . . ., 2nd columns, we obtain

$$H_{n}\left(a_{0}^{(p)}\right) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{0}^{(p)} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ a_{p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma a_{p}^{(p)}a_{-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma a_{p}^{(p)}a_{n-3}^{(p)} \\ a_{2p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{2p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} \gamma a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{2p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{n+2p-3-pi}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{(n-1)p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{(n-1)p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{n+(n-1)p-3-pi}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} .$$

$$(14)$$

Step 2: Decompose $H_n(a_0^{(p)})$ into two parts, namely,

$$\tilde{H}_{n}(a_{0}^{(p)}) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{0}^{(p)} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ a_{p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{p-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma a_{p}^{(p)}a_{n-3}^{(p)} \\ a_{2p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{2p-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{n+2p-3-pi}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{(n-1)p-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{n+(n-1)p-3-pi}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{n}(a_{0}^{(p)}) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{0}^{(p)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{p}^{(p)} & \gamma a_{p}^{(p)} a_{-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma a_{p}^{(p)} a_{n-3}^{(p)} \\ a_{2p}^{(p)} & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{2p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n+2p-3-pi}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{(n-1)p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n+(n-1)p-3-pi}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} .$$

$$(15)$$

Step 3: Lastly, we perform the same row operations to both the determinants $\tilde{H}_n(a_0^{(p)})$ and $\hat{H}_n(a_0^{(p)})$. For $k = 3, 4, \ldots$, we subtract the *i*th multiplied by $a_{(k-i+1)p}^{(p)}/a_p^{(p)}$ for $i = 2, \ldots, k-1$. Then the result in (7) obviously holds. \Box

In addition, $H_n(a_{-1}^{(p)})$ and $H_n(a_0^{(p)})$ respectively have another expression.

Lemma 2.2. For $n \ge 1$, we have

$$H_n(a_0^{(p)}) = \gamma^{n-1} H_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) - \beta \gamma^{n-2} L_{n-1}(a_p^{(p)}),$$
(16)

where

$$L_{n}(a_{p}^{(p)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} B_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p}^{(p)} & a_{p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-2}^{(p)} \\ B_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{2p}^{(p)} & a_{2p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+2p-2}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{np}^{(p)} & a_{np+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+np-2}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(17)$$

and

$$H_n(a_{-1}^{(p)}) = -\gamma^{n-2} L_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}), \tag{18}$$

where

$$L_{n}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} B_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-3}^{(p)} \\ B_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{2p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+2p-3}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{np}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+np-3}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} .$$

$$(19)$$

Here $B_{kp-1}^{(p)}(k \ge 1)$ is defined by

$$B_{kp-1}^{(p)} = a_{kp-1}^{(p)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_{ip-1}^{(p)} \frac{a_{(k-i)p}^{(p)}}{a_0^{(p)}}, \quad B_{p-1}^{(p)} = a_{p-1}^{(p)}.$$
(20)

Proof. Step 1: We start by performing column operations. Firstly, subtract the (ip + 1)th column multiplied by $a_{n-3-ip}^{(p)}$ from the *n*th column for $i = 0, 1, ..., [\frac{n-3}{p}]$. Next, if n = kp + 2, k = 1, 2, ..., subtract the (n-2)th column multiplied by β from the *n*th column. And then, subtracting the (n-1)th column multiplied by $\gamma a_0^{(p)}$, we obtain

$$H_{n}(a_{0}^{(p)}) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{0}^{(p)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{p}^{(p)} & \beta a_{p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma a_{0}^{(p)} a_{p-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma a_{0}^{(p)} a_{n+p-3}^{(p)} \\ a_{2p}^{(p)} & \beta a_{2p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{1} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{2p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{1} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n+2p-3-pi}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & \beta a_{(n-1)p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{(n-1)p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_{pi}^{(p)} a_{n+(n-1)p-3-pi}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix}$$

Next, following Step 2 and 3, we can easily obtain the result in (16).

4290

The proof of Eq. (18) is similar, the details of which is omitted here. \Box

Employing the similar procedure in the proof of Lemma 2.1 to $H_n(a_r^{(p)})(1 \le r \le p-1)$ without *Step 2*, we also have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. For $n \ge 1$, we have

$$H_n(a_r^{(p)}) = \alpha^r (\alpha^p \gamma)^{n-1} H_{n-1}(a_{r-1}^{(p)}), \ 1 \leqslant r \leqslant p-1.$$
(21)

At last, we analyze the term $H_n(a_p^{(p)})$ and another expression of $H_n(a_{p-1}^{(p)})$.

Lemma 2.4. For $n \ge 1$, we have

$$H_n(a_p^{(p)}) = \alpha^p (\alpha^p \gamma)^{n-1} H_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) + \alpha^p (\beta + \gamma) (\alpha^p \gamma)^{n-2} J_{n-1}(a_p^{(p)}),$$
(22)

where

$$J_{n}(a_{p}^{(p)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} A_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p}^{(p)} & a_{p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-2}^{(p)} \\ A_{3p-1}^{(p)} & a_{2p}^{(p)} & a_{2p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+2p-2}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{(n+1)p-1}^{(p)} & a_{np}^{(p)} & a_{np+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+np-2}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(23)$$

and

$$H_n(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) = -\alpha^p (\alpha^p \gamma)^{n-2} K_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}),$$
(24)

where

$$K_{n}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} A_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-3}^{(p)} \\ A_{3p-1}^{(p)} & a_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{2p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+2p-3}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{(n+1)p-1}^{(p)} & a_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{np}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+np-3}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} .$$

$$(25)$$

Proof. Likewise, we just give the detailed proof of Eq. (22), as Eq. (24) can be proved in a similar way without *Step 2*.

Step 1: We start by performing column operations. Firstly, subtract the (ip+1)th column multiplied by $a_{n-3-ip}^{(p)}$ from the *n*th column for $i = 1, 2, ..., [\frac{n-3}{p}]$. Next, if n = kp + 2, k = 1, 2, ..., subtract the (n-2)th column multiplied by $(\beta + \gamma)$. And then, subtracting the (n-1)th column multiplied by α , we get

$$H_{n}(a_{p}^{(p)}) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma a_{p}^{(p)}a_{-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma a_{p}^{(p)}a_{n-3}^{(p)} \\ a_{2p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{2p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} \gamma a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{2p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{n+2p-3-pi}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1)p}^{(p)} & (\beta + \gamma)a_{(n-1)p-1}^{(p)} + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{(n-1)p-1-pi}^{(p)} & \cdots & \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{pi}^{(p)}a_{n+(n-1)p-3-pi}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} \right|.$$

Then the result in (22) can be obtained by following *Step 2* and *Step 3*. \Box

3. The proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. The following derivations hold for any positive integer p as long as the expressions make sense.

Proof. We begin with four determinant identities. Define

$$D_{1} \equiv K_{n}(a_{-1}^{(p)}),$$

$$D_{2} \equiv J_{n}(a_{0}^{(p)}),$$

$$D_{3} \equiv H_{n}(a_{-1}^{(p)}),$$

$$D_{4} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+p-3}^{(p)} \\ B_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{2p-1}^{(p)} & a_{p+1}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+2p-3}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{np-1}^{(p)} & a_{np}^{(p)} & \cdots & a_{n+np-3}^{(p)} \end{vmatrix} .$$

Employing the Jacobi determinant identity [38,39], we have four relations:

$$D_i D_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 1 & n \end{pmatrix} = D_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} D_i \begin{pmatrix} n \\ n \end{pmatrix} - D_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{pmatrix} D_i \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

which are equivalent to

$$K_{n}(a_{-1}^{(p)})H_{n-2}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) = K_{n-1}(a_{-1}^{(p)})H_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) - K_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)})H_{n-1}(a_{-1}^{(p)}),$$
(26)

$$J_n(a_0^{(p)})H_{n-2}(a_p^{(p)}) = J_{n-1}(a_0^{(p)})H_{n-1}(a_p^{(p)}) - J_{n-1}(a_p^{(p)})H_{n-1}(a_0^{(p)}),$$
(27)

$$H_{n}(a_{-1}^{(p)})H_{n-2}(a_{p}^{(p)}) = H_{n-1}(a_{-1}^{(p)})H_{n-1}(a_{p}^{(p)}) - H_{n-1}(a_{0}^{(p)})H_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}),$$
(28)

$$L_{n-1}(a_p^{(p)})H_{n-2}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) = L_{n-2}(a_p^{(p)})H_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)}) + L_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)})H_{n-2}(a_p^{(p)}),$$
(29)

respectively.

Actually, from Lemmas 2.1–2.4, we can conclude that terms $K_n(a_{-1}^{(p)})$, $K_n(a_{p-1}^{(p)})$, $J_n(a_0^{(p)})$, $J_n(a_p^{(p)})$, $L_{n-1}(a_p^{(p)})$, $L_{n-1}(a_{p-1}^{(p)})$ and $H_n(a_{p-1}^{(p)})$ are all linear combinations of the variables $H_n(a_{-1}^{(p)})$, $H_n(a_0^{(p)})$ and $H_n(a_p^{(p)})$. When these substitutions are applied to relations (26)–(29), it results in four equations (for simplicity, here we shall abbreviate $H_n(a_{-1}^{(p)})$, $H_n(a_0^{(p)})$ and $H_n(a_p^{(p)})$ to $e_n^{(p)}$, $h_n^{(p)}$ and $g_n^{(p)}$, respectively):

$$e_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)} = (a_p^{(p)}\gamma)^p e_n^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)} - \gamma (a_p^{(p)}\gamma)^{2p-2} e_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p+1}^{(p)},$$
(30)

$$h_{n+1}^{(p)}g_{n-2}^{(p)} = (a_p^{(p)}\gamma)h_n^{(p)}g_{n-1}^{(p)} - \gamma h_{n-1}^{(p)}g_n^{(p)},$$
(31)

X.-K. Chang, X.-B. Hu / Linear Algebra and its Applications 436 (2012) 4285-4295

$$e_{n}^{(p)}g_{n-2}^{(p)} = e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_{n-1}^{(p)} - \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} [a_{i}^{(p)}(a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{n-1-i}]h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)},$$
(32)

$$e_{n}^{(p)}g_{n-2}^{(p)} = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\gamma \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left[a_{i}^{(p)}(a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{n-1-i} \right] h_{n-1}^{(p)} h_{n-p}^{(p)} - \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left[a_{i}^{(p)}(a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{n-2-i} \right] h_{n}^{(p)} h_{n-p-1}^{(p)} \right].$$

$$(33)$$

Now, we proceed to eliminate $e_n^{(p)}$ and $g_n^{(p)}$ from the equations above. From Eqs. (32) and (33), we immediately obtain:

$$e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_{n-1}^{(p)} = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} [a_i^{(p)}(a_p^{(p)}\gamma)^{n-1-i}]h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)} - \frac{1}{\beta}\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} [a_i^{(p)}(a_p^{(p)}\gamma)^{n-2-i}]h_n^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)}.$$
(34)

Additionally, according to relations (33) and (34), multiplying $g_{n-1}^{(p)}$ on both sides of Eq. (30), we get

$$e_{n}^{(p)}g_{n-1}^{(p)} = \gamma (a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{p-2} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} [a_{i}^{(p)}(a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{n-1-i}]h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p+1}^{(p)} - \frac{1}{\beta} (a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{-p} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} [a_{i}^{(p)}(a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{n-1-i}]h_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)}.$$
(35)

In order to eliminate $e_n^{(p)}$ and $g_n^{(p)}$, we need another two equations. One is obtained by multiplying $g_n^{(p)}$ on both sides of Eq. (30), namely,

$$e_{n+1}^{(p)}g_n^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)} = (a_p^{(p)}\gamma)^p e_n^{(p)}g_n^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)} - \gamma (a_p^{(p)}\gamma)^{2p-2}e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_n^{(p)}h_{n-p+1}^{(p)},$$
(36)

the other is obtained by multiplying $e_{n-1}^{(p)}$ on both sides of Eq. (31), namely,

$$h_{n+1}^{(p)}e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_{n-2}^{(p)} = (a_p^{(p)}\gamma)h_n^{(p)}e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_{n-1}^{(p)} - \gamma h_{n-1}^{(p)}e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_n^{(p)}.$$
(37)

Note that $e_n^{(p)}g_{n-2}^{(p)}$, $e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_{n-1}^{(p)}$ and $e_n^{(p)}g_{n-1}^{(p)}$ can be expressed in terms of $h_n^{(p)}$ in Eqs. (33), (34) and (35), therefore, according to combining Eqs. (36) and (37) and eliminating $e_{n-1}^{(p)}g_n^{(p)}$, we obtain

$$\gamma(\beta + \gamma)(a_{p}^{(p)})^{2p-2}h_{n}^{(p)}h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p+2}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)}$$

$$-h_{n+2}^{(p)}h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)} + (a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{p+1}h_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)}^{2}$$

$$= \gamma(\beta + \gamma)(a_{p}^{(p)})^{2p-2}h_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-2}^{(p)}h_{n-p+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)}$$

$$-h_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p-2}^{(p)} + (a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{p+1}h_{n}^{(p)}h_{n-p+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)}.$$
(38)

4293

Dividing by $h_n^{(p)} h_{n-1}^{(p)} h_{n-p+1}^{(p)} h_{n-p}^{(p)}$ on both sides and rearranging the relation above, for any positive integer p, we have

$$\gamma(\beta+\gamma)(a_{p}^{(p)})^{2p-2} \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{h_{n-p+2+i}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1+i}^{(p)}}{h_{n-p+1+i}^{(p)}h_{n-p+i}^{(p)}} + \frac{h_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p-2}^{(p)}-(a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{p+1}h_{n}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)}}{h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)}} \\ = \gamma(\beta+\gamma)(a_{p}^{(p)})^{2p-2} \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{h_{n-p+3+i}^{(p)}h_{n-p+i}^{(p)}}{h_{n-p+2+i}^{(p)}h_{n-p+1+i}^{(p)}} + \frac{h_{n+2}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)}-(a_{p}^{(p)}\gamma)^{p+1}h_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)}}{h_{n-p+1}^{(p)}},$$
(39)

where $\sum_{m=1}^{n} t_i$ means an empty sum, when m > n. This yields a quantity independent of n, namely,

$$\gamma(\beta+\gamma)(\alpha^{p}\gamma)^{2p-2}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\frac{h_{n-p+2+i}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1+i}^{(p)}}{h_{n-p+1+i}^{(p)}h_{n-p+i}^{(p)}}+\frac{h_{n+1}^{(p)}h_{n-p-2}^{(p)}-(\alpha^{p}\gamma)^{p+1}h_{n}^{(p)}h_{n-p-1}^{(p)}}{h_{n-1}^{(p)}h_{n-p}^{(p)}}=C(p).$$
(40)

As a result, (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.2 can be obtained by putting p = 1 and p = 2, respectively, where C(p) can be determined by initial values.

When $\beta + \gamma = 0$, from Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4, we conclude that $H_n(a_{-1}^{(p)})$, $H_n(a_{p-1}^{(p)})$ and $H_n(a_p^{(p)})$ all can be linearly expressed in terms of $H_n(a_0^{(p)})$. Then, substituting $H_n(a_{-1}^{(p)})$, $H_n(a_{p-1}^{(p)})$ and $H_n(a_p^{(p)})$ into Eq. (28), we immediately obtain conclusion (iii). \Box

4. Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we have presented Block–Hankel determinant solutions to a series of specific Somos recurrences. The main results are summarized as follows:

- (i) Conjecture 1.1 is confirmed.
- (ii) A Block-Hankel determinant solution to Somos-5 is constructed.
- (iii) Block-Hankel determinant solutions to other specified Somos recurrences are also given.

Noting that in our main result the elements $a_n^{(p)}$ of the Block–Hankel determinant solutions to Somos recurrences satisfy recursion relation (3), it is natural to ask whether there exist Block–Hankel determinant solutions, whose elements satisfy other recursion relations. These problems are still needed to be considered in the future.

Actually, as for Somos-5 sequence, we have the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.1. Let $a_n^{(2)}$ be computed via the following convolution recurrence:

$$a_n^{(2)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n < 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ \alpha a_{n-1}^{(2)} + \beta a_{n-2}^{(2)} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor} a_{2i}^{(2)} a_{n-2-2i}^{(2)} & \text{if } n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(41)

Then $h_n^{(2)} = det(a_{2i+j}^{(2)})_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}$ is a $(\alpha^6 \gamma^3 + \alpha^2 \gamma^2 (\beta + \gamma) [\alpha^2 \gamma + (\beta + \gamma)^2], -\alpha^8 \gamma^5 - \alpha^4 \gamma^3 (\beta + \gamma) [3\beta(\beta + \gamma)^2 + \gamma^2(\beta + \gamma) + \alpha^2\beta(\beta - \gamma))]$ Somos-5 sequence.

Numerical experiments indicate that the above conjecture holds, however, a rigorous proof still remains open.

Additionally, as for Somos-4 sequence, there exists another conjecture made by Michael Somos [25]. In [26], Xin solved it by use of continued fraction method. It is remarked that we can also give an alternative proof to this conjecture by similar determinant technique in this paper. Here we omit the details.

4294

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Yi He for her helpful discussions. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11071241) and the knowledge innovation program of LSEC and the Institute of Computational Mathematics, AMSS, CAS.

References

- [1] D.E. Speyer, Perfect matchings and the octahedron recurrence, J. Algebraic Combin. 25 (3) (2007) 309–348.
- [2] G. Carroll, D. Speyer, The cube recurrence, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (1) (2004) 31
- [3] J.L. Malouf, An integer sequence from a rational recursion, Discrete Math. 110 (1-3) (1992) 257-261.
- [4] N.J.A. Sloane, The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. Available from: http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences>.
- [5] M. Somos, Problem 1470, Crux Mathematicorum 15 (1989) 208
- [6] D. Gale, The strange and surprising saga of the Somos sequences, Math. Intelligencer 13 (1) (1991) 40-42.
- [7] R.K. Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, vol. 1, Springer Verlag, 2004.
- [8] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, The Laurent phenomenon * 1, Adv. Appl. Math. 28 (2) (2002) 119-144.
- [9] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: foundations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2) (2002) 497-529.
- [10] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras IV: coefficients, Compositio Math. 143 (1) (2007) 112-164.
- [11] A.N.W. Hone, Elliptic curves and quadratic recurrence sequences, Bull. London Math. Soc. 37 (02) (2005) 161–171.
- [12] A.N.W. Hone, Sigma function solution of the initial value problem for Somos 5 sequences, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (10) (2007) 5019.
- [13] M. Bruschi, O. Ragnisco, P.M. Santini, T. Gui-Zhang, Integrable symplectic maps, Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom. 49 (3) (1991) 273–294.
- [14] A.P. Veselov, Integrable maps, Russian Math. Surveys 46 (1991) 1-51.
- [15] H.W. Braden, V.Z. Enolskii, A.N.W. Hone, Bilinear recurrences and addition formulae for hyperelliptic sigma functions, ArXiv preprint: math/0501162, 2005.
- [16] G. Everest, S. Stevens, D. Tamsett, T. Ward, Primes generated by recurrence sequences, Amer. Math. Monthly 114 (5) (2007) 417–431.
- [17] G. Everest, T. Ward, An Introduction to Number Theory, Springer Verlag, 2005.
- [18] A.N.W. Hone, Diophantine non-integrability of a third-order recurrence with the Laurent property, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 39 (2006) L171
- [19] A.N.W. Hone, Singularity confinement for maps with the Laurent property, Phys. Lett. A 361 (4–5) (2007) 341–345.
- [20] A.N.W. Hone, Analytic solutions and integrability for bilinear of order six, Appl. Anal. 89 (4) (2010) 473-492.
- [21] R. Robinson, Periodicity of Somos sequences, in: Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 116, 1992, pp. 613-619.
- [22] C.S. Swart, Elliptic curves and related sequences, Ph.D. thesis, University of London, 2003.
- [23] A.J. Van Der Poorten, C.S. Swart, Recurrence relations for elliptic sequences: every Somos 4 is a Somos k, Bull. London Math. Soc. 38 (4) (2006) 546.
- [24] M. Ward, Memoir on elliptic divisibility sequences, Amer. J. Math. 70 (1) (1948) 31-74.
- [25] M. Somos. Available from: <http://grail.cba.csuohio.edu/~somos/nwic.html>.
- [26] G. Xin, Proof of the Somos-4 Hankel determinants conjecture, Adv. Appl. Math. 42 (2) (2009) 152-156.
- [27] R.W. Gosper, R. Schroeppel, Somos sequence near-addition formulas and modular theta functions, ArXiv preprint: math.NT/0703470, 15, 2007.
- [28] X. Ma, Magic determinants of Somos sequences and theta functions, Discrete Math. 310 (1) (2010) 1-5.
- [29] P. Barry, Generalized Catalan numbers, Hankel transforms and Somos-4 sequences, J. Integer Seq. 13 (2) (2010) 3.
- [30] P. Barry, Invariant number triangles, eigentriangles and Somos-4 sequences, ArXiv preprint: 1107.5490, 2011.
- [31] R. Vein, P. Dale, Determinants and their Applications in Mathematical Physics, vol. 134, Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [32] G.A. Baker, P.R. Graves-Morris, Padé Approximants, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [33] C. Brezinski, Padé-type Approximation and General Orthogonal Polynomials, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1980.
- [34] R.A. Sulanke, G. Xin, Hankel determinants for some common lattice paths, Adv. Appl. Math. 40 (2) (2008) 149-167.
- [35] U. Tamm, Some aspects of Hankel matrices in coding theory and combinatorics, Electron, J. Combin. 8 (1) (2001) 44.
- [36] H.S. Wall, Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions, vol. 207, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [37] F. Shingu, S. Kamioka, Reports of RIAM Symposium No. 21ME-S7: Current and Future Research on Nonlinear Waves— Perspectives for the Next Decade, 21(26), 2010 (in Japanese).
- [38] A.C. Aitken, Determinants and Matrices, Edinburgh, 1959.
- [39] R.A. Brualdi, H. Schneider, Determinantal identities: Gauss, Schur, Cauchy, Sylvester, Kronecker, Jacobi, Binet, Laplace, Muir, and Cayley, Linear Algebra Appl. 52/53 (1983) 769–791.