G-stable pieces and partial flag varieties

Xuhua He

ABSTRACT. We will use the combinatorics of the G-stable pieces to describe the closure relation of the partition of partial flag varieties in [L3, section 4].

Introduction

In 1977, Lusztig introduced a finite partition of a (partial) flag variety Y. In the case where Y is the full flag variety, this partition is the partition into Deligne-Lusztig varieties (see [**DL**]). In this case, it follows easily from the Bruhat decomposition that the closure of a Deligne-Lusztig variety is the union of some other Deligne-Lusztig varieties and the closure relation is given by the Bruhat order on the Weyl group.

In this paper, we will use some combinatorial technique in [H4] to study the partition on a partial flag variety. We show that the partition is a stratification and the closure relation is given by the partial order introduced in [H2, 5.4] and [H3, 3.8 & 3.9]. We also study some other properties of the locally closed subvarieties that appear in the partition.

1. Some combinatorics

1.1. Let **k** be an algebraic closure of the finite field \mathbf{F}_q and G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over \mathbf{F}_q with Frobenius map $F: G \to G$. We fix an F-stable Borel subgroup B of G and an F-stable maximal torus $T \subset B$. Let I be the set of simple roots determined by B and T. Then F induces an automorphism on the Weyl group W which we deonte by δ . The autmorphism restricts to a bijection on the set I of simple roots. By abusion notations, we also denote the bijection by δ .

For any $J \subset I$, let P_J be the standard parabolic subgroup corresponding to Jand \mathcal{P}_J be the set of parabolic subgroups that are G-conjugate to P_J . We simply write \mathcal{P}_{\emptyset} as \mathcal{B} . Let L_J be the Levi subgroup of P_J that contains T.

For any parabolic subgroup P, let U_P be the unipotent radical of P. We simply write U for U_B .

©0000 (copyright holder)

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14M15, 20G40.

The author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0700589.

XUHUA HE

For $J \subset I$, we denote by W_J the standard parabolic subgroup of W generated by J and by W^J (resp. JW) the set of minimal coset representatives in W/W_J (resp. $W_J \setminus W$). For $J, K \subset I$, we simply write $W^J \cap {}^KW$ as ${}^KW^J$.

For $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}_K$, we write pos(P,Q) = w if $w \in {}^JW^K$ and there exists $g \in G$ such that $P = gP_Jg^{-1}$, $Q = g\dot{w}P_K\dot{w}^{-1}g^{-1}$, where \dot{w} is a representative of w in N(T).

For $g \in G$ and $H \subset G$, we write ${}^{g}H$ for gHg^{-1} .

We first recall some combinatorial results.

1.2. For $J \subset I$, let $\mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ be the set of sequences $(J_n, w_n)_{n \ge 0}$ such that (a) $J_0 = J$,

(b) $J_n = J_{n-1} \cap \operatorname{Ad}(w_{n-1}) \delta(J_{n-1})$ for $n \ge 1$,

(c) $w_n \in {}^{J_n}W^{\delta(J_n)}$ for $n \ge 0$,

(d) $w_n \in W_{J_n} w_{n-1} W_{\delta(J_{n-1})}$ for $n \ge 1$.

Then for any sequence $(J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$, we have that $w_n = w_{n+1} = \cdots$ and $J_n = J_{n+1} = \cdots$ for $n \gg 0$. By [**Be**], the assignment $(J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0} \mapsto w_m^{-1}$ for $m \gg 0$ defines a bijection $\mathcal{T}(J, \delta) \to W^J$.

Now we prove some result that will be used in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

LEMMA 1.1. Let $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ be the element that corresponds to w. Then

(1) $w(L_J \cap U_{P_{J_1}})w^{-1} \subset U_{P_{\delta(J)}}.$ (2) $w(L_{J_i} \cap U_{P_{J_{i+1}}})w^{-1} \subset L_{\delta(J_{i-1})} \cap U_{P_{\delta(J_i)}}$ for $i \ge 1$.

PROOF. We only prove part (1). Part (2) can be proved in the same way.

Assume that part (1) is not true. Then there exists $\alpha \in \Phi_J^+ - \Phi_{J_1}^+$ such that $wa \in \Phi_{\delta(J)}^+$. Let $i \in J - J_1$ with $\alpha_i \leq \alpha$. Since $w \in W^J$, we have that $w\alpha_i \in \Phi_{\delta(J)}^+$. By definition, $w^{-1} = w_1 v$ for some $v \in W_{\delta(J)}$. Then $\alpha_i \in w^{-1} \Phi_{\delta(J)}^+ = w_1 v \Phi_{\delta(J)}^+ = w_1 \Phi_{\delta(J)}$. Since $w_1 \in W^{\delta(J)}$, we must have $\alpha_i = w_1 \alpha_j$ for some $j \in \delta(J)$. Hence $i \in J_1$, which is a contradiction. Part (1) is proved. \Box

1.3. Define a W_J -action on W by $x \cdot y = \delta(x)yx^{-1}$. For $w \in W^J$, set

$$I(J,\delta;w) = \max\{K \subset J; \operatorname{Ad}(w)(K) = \delta(K)\}$$

and $[w]_J = W_J \cdot (wW_{I(J,\delta;w)})$. Then $W = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} [w]_J$. See [H4, Corollary 2.6].

Given $w, w' \in W$ and $j \in J$, we write $w \xrightarrow{s_j} w'$ if $w' = s_{\delta(j)}ws_j$ and $l(w') \leq l(w)$. If $w = w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_n = w'$ is a sequence of elements in W such that for all k, we have $w_{k-1} \xrightarrow{s_j} w_k$ for some $j \in J$, then we write $w \to_{J,\delta} w'$.

We call $w, w' \in W$ elementarily strongly (J, δ) -conjugate if l(w) = l(w') and there exists $x \in W_J$ such that $w' = \delta(x)wx^{-1}$ and either $l(\delta(x)w) = l(x) + l(w)$ or $l(wx^{-1}) = l(x) + l(w)$. We call w, w' strongly (J, δ) -conjugate if there is a sequence $w = w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n = w'$ such that w_{i-1} is elementarily strongly (J, δ) -conjugate to w_i for all i. We will write $w \sim_{J,\delta} w'$ if w and w' are strongly (J, δ) -conjugate. If $w \sim_{J,\delta} w'$ and $w \to_{J,\delta} w'$, then we say that w and w' are in the same (J, δ) -cyclic shift and write $w \approx_{J,\delta} w'$. Then it is easy to see that $w \approx_{J,\delta} w'$ if and only if $w \to_{J,\delta} w'$ and $w' \to_{J,\delta} w$.

By [H4, Proposition 3.4], we have the following properties:

(a) for any $w \in W$, there exists $w_1 \in W^J$ and $v \in W_{I(J,\delta;w_1)}$ such that $w \to_{J,\delta} w_1 v$.

(b) if w, w' are in the same W_J -orbit \mathcal{O} of W and w, w' are of minimal length in \mathcal{O} , then $w \sim_{J,\delta} w'$. If moreover, $\mathcal{O} \cap W^J \neq \emptyset$, then $w \approx_{J,\delta} w'$.

1.4. By [H4, Corollary 4.5], for any W_J -orbit \mathcal{O} and $v \in \mathcal{O}$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) v is a minimal element in \mathcal{O} with respect to the restriction to \mathcal{O} of the Bruhat order on W.

(2) v is an element of minimal length in \mathcal{O} .

We denote by \mathcal{O}_{\min} the set of elements in \mathcal{O} satisfy the above conditions. The elements in $(W_J \cdot w)_{\min}$ for some $w \in W^J$ are called *distinguished elements* (with respect to J and δ).

As in [H4, 4.7], we have a natural partial order $\leq_{J,\delta}$ on W^J defined as follows: Let $w, w' \in W^J$. Then $w \leq_{J,\delta} w'$ if for some (or equivalently, any) $v' \in$ $(W_J \cdot w')_{\min}$, there exists $v \in (W_J \cdot w)_{\min}$ such that $v \leq v'$. In general, for $w \in W^J$ and $w' \in W$, we write $w \leq_{J,\delta} w'$ if there exists

 $v \in (W_J \cdot w)_{\min}$ such that $v \leq w'$.

2. G_F -stable pieces

2.1. For $J \subset I$, set $Z_J = \{(P, gU_P); P \in \mathcal{P}_J, g \in G\}$ with the $G \times G$ -action defined by

$$(g_1, g_2) \cdot (P, gU_P) = ({}^{g_2}P, g_1gU_Pg_2^{-1}).$$

Set $h_J = (P_J, U_{P_J})$. Then the isotropic subgroup R_J of h_J is $\{(lu_1, lu_2); l \in$ $L_J, u_1, u_2 \in U_{P_J}$. It is easy to see that

$$Z_J \cong (G \times G)/R_J.$$

Set $G_F = \{(g, F(g)); g \in G\} \subset G \times G.$ For $w \in W^J$, set
 $Z_{J,F;w} = G_F(B, BwB) \cdot h_J.$

We call $Z_{J,F;w}$ a G_F -stable piece of Z_J .

LEMMA 2.1. Let
$$w, w' \in W$$
.
(1) If $w \to_{J,\delta} w'$, then
 $G_F(B, BwB) \cdot h_J \subset G_F(B, Bw'B) \cdot h_J \cup \cup_{v < w} G_F(B, BvB) \cdot h_J$.

(2) If $w \approx_{I,\delta} w'$, then

$$G_F(B, BwB) \cdot h_J = G_F(B, Bw'B) \cdot h_J.$$

PROOF. It suffices to prove the case where $w \xrightarrow{s_j} \delta w'$ for some $j \in J$. Notice that $F(Bs_iB) = Bs_{\delta(i)}B$ for $i \in I$.

If
$$ws_j < w$$
, then

$$(B, BwB) \cdot h_J = (B, Bws_jB)(B, Bs_jB) \cdot h_J$$

= $(Bs_jB, Bws_jB) \cdot h_J$
 $\subset G_F(B, Bs_{\delta(j)}Bws_jB, B) \cdot h_J$
 $\subset G_F(B, Bw'B) \cdot h_J \cup G_F(B, Bws_jB) \cdot h_J.$

If moreover, l(w') = l(w), then $Bs_{\delta(i)}Bws_iB = Bw'B$ and $G_F(B, BwB) \cdot h_J =$ $G_F(B, Bw'B) \cdot h_J.$

If $s_{\delta(i)} w < w$, then

$$(B, BwB) \cdot h_J = (B, Bs_{\delta(j)}B)(B, Bs_{\delta(j)}wB) \cdot h_J$$

$$\subset G_F(Bs_jB, Bs_{\delta(j)}wB) \cdot h_J$$

$$= G_F(B, Bs_{\delta(j)}wBs_jB) \cdot h_J$$

$$\subset G_F(B, Bw'B) \cdot h_J \cup G_F(B, Bs_{\delta(j)}wB) \cdot h_J.$$

If moreover, l(w') = l(w), then $Bs_{\delta(j)}wBs_jB = Bw'B$ and $G_F(B, BwB) \cdot h_J = G_F(B, Bw'B) \cdot h_J$.

If $ws_{\delta(j)} > w$ and $s_j w > w$, then l(w') = l(w). By [L1, Proposition 1.10], w' = w. The statements automatically hold in this case.

LEMMA 2.2. We have that $Z_J = \bigcup_{w \in W^J} Z_{J,F;w}$.

REMARK. We will see in subsection 2.3 that Z_J is the disjoint union of $Z_{J,F;w}$ for $w \in W^J$.

PROOF. Let $z \in Z_J$. Since $G \times G$ acts transitively on Z_J , z is contained in the *G*-orbit of an element $(1,g) \cdot h_J$ for some $g \in G$. By the Bruhat decomposition of *G*, we have that $z \in G_F(1, Bw_1B) \cdot h_J$ for some $w_1 \in W$. We may assume furthermore that w_1 is of minimal length among all the Weyl group elements w'_1 with $z \in G_F(1, Bw'_1B) \cdot h_J$.

By part (1) of the previous lemma and 1.3 (a),

 $z \in G_F(B, BwvB) \cdot h_J \cup \bigcup_{l(w') < l(w_1)} G_F(B, Bw'B) \cdot h_J$

for some $w \in W^J$ and $v \in W_{I(J,\delta;w)}$. By our assumption on w_1 , we have that $z \in G_F(B, BwvB) \cdot h_J$ and $l(wv) = l(w_1)$. In particular, z is contained in the G_F -orbit of an element $(1, g'l) \cdot h_J$ for some $l \in L_K$ and $g' \in U_{P_{\delta(K)}} wU_{P_K}$, where $K = I(J, \delta; w)$.

Set $F': L_K \to L_K$ by $F'(l_1) = w^{-1}F(l_1)w$. By Lang's theorem for F', we can find $l_1 \in L_K$ such that $F'(l_1)l_1^{-1} = 1$. Then

$$(l_1, F(l_1))(1, g'l) \cdot h_J = (1, F(l_1)g'll_1^{-1}) \cdot h_J$$

$$\in (1, U_{P_{\delta(K)}}wF'(l_1)ll_1^{-1}U_{P_K}) \cdot h_J \subset (1, BwB) \cdot h_J$$

and $z \in Z_{J,F;w}$.

2.2. For any parabolic subgroups P and Q of G, we set $P^Q = (P \cap Q)U_P$. It is known that P^Q is a parabolic subgroup of G. The following properties are easy to check.

(1) For any $g \in G$, $({}^{g}P)^{({}^{g}Q)} = {}^{g}(P^{Q})$. (2) If $P \in \mathcal{B}$, then $P^{Q} = P$ for any parabolic subgroup Q.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $J, K \subset I$ and $w \in {}^{J}W$. Set $J_1 = J \cap \operatorname{Ad}(w_1)K$, where $w_1 = \min(wW_K)$. Then for $g \in BwB$, we have that $P_J^{(g_{P_K})} = P_{J_1}$.

PROOF. By 2.2 (1), it suffices to prove the case where $g = \dot{w}$. Now

$$(P_J)^{({}^{\psi}P_K)} = (P_J)^{({}^{\psi_1}P_K)} = (L_J \cap {}^{\psi_1}L_K)(L_J \cap {}^{\psi_1}U_{P_K})(U_{P_J} \cap {}^{\psi_1}P_K)U_{P_J} = (L_J \cap {}^{\psi_1}L_K)((L_J \cap U) \cap {}^{\psi_1}L_K)(L_J \cap {}^{\psi_1}U_{P_K})(U_{P_J} \cap {}^{\psi_1}P_K)U_{P_J}.$$

4

Since $w \in {}^{J}W$ and $w_1 = \min(wW_K)$, we have that $w_1 \in {}^{J}W^K$. Therefore $L_J \cap {}^{\dot{w}_1}L_K = L_{J_1}$ and

$$((L_J \cap U) \cap {}^{\dot{w}_1}L_K)(L_J \cap {}^{\dot{w}_1}U_{P_K})$$

= $((L_J \cap U) \cap {}^{\dot{w}_1}L_K)((L_J \cap U) \cap {}^{\dot{w}_1}U_{P_K})$
= $(L_J \cap U) \cap {}^{\dot{w}_1}P_K = L_J \cap U.$

So
$$(P_J)^{(w P_K)} = L_{J_1}(L_J \cap U)U_{P_J} = L_{J_1}U = P_{J_1}.$$

LEMMA 2.4. To each $(P, gU_P) \in Z_J$, we associate a sequence $(P^n, J_n, w_n)_{n \ge 0}$ as follows

$$P^{0} = P, \quad P^{n} = (P^{n-1})^{F({}^{g}P^{n-1})} \quad \text{for } n \ge 1,$$
$$J_{n} \subset I \text{ with } P^{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{J_{n}}, \quad w_{n} = \operatorname{pos}(P^{n}, F({}^{g}P^{n})) \quad \text{for } n \ge 0.$$

Let $w \in W^J$. Let $(P, gU_P) \in Z_{J,F;w}$ and $(P^n, J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence associated to (P, gU_P) . Then $(J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ and $w_m^{-1} = w$ for $m \gg 0$.

PROOF. Using 2.2 (1), it is easy to see by induction on n that the sequence associated to $(F^{(h)}P, hgU_PF(h)^{-1})$ is $(F^{(h)}P^n, J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0}$. Then it suffices to prove the case where $(P, gU_P) = (P_J, kU_{P_J})$ for some $k \in B\delta^{-1}(w)^{-1}B$.

Let $(J'_n, w'_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ be the element that corresponds to w. Then $w'_n = \min(w^{-1}W_{\delta(J_n)})$ for $n \geq 0$. By the previous lemma, we can show by induction on n that $P^n = P_{J'_n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then $J_n = J'_n$ for $n \geq 0$. Moreover, $w_n = \operatorname{pos}(P^n, F(^kP^n)) = \operatorname{pos}(P_{J_n}, ^{F(k)}P_{\delta(J_n)}) = w'_n$ since $k \in B\delta^{-1}(w)^{-1}B$. \Box

(A similar result with a similar proof appears in [H1, Lemma 2.3].)

2.3. We can now define a map $\beta : Z_J \to W^J$ by $\beta(P, gU_P) = w_m^{-1}$ for $m \gg 0$, where $(P^n, J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is the sequence associated to (P, gU_P) . Then $Z_J = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} \beta^{-1}(w)$ is a partition of Z_J into locally closed subvarieties. Since $Z_{J,F;w} \subset \beta^{-1}(w)$ and $Z_J = \bigcup_{w \in W^J} Z_{J,F;w}$, we have that $Z_{J,F;w} = \beta^{-1}(w)$ and

$$Z_J = \sqcup_{w \in W^J} Z_{J,F;w}.$$

Fix $w \in W^J$ and let $(J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the element in $\mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ that corresponds to w. Clearly, the map $(P, gU_P) \mapsto P^m$ for $m \gg 0$ is a morphism $\vartheta : Z_{J,F;w} \to \mathcal{P}_{I(J,\delta;w)}$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $w \in W^J$. Set $x = \delta^{-1}(w)^{-1}$ and $K = \delta^{-1}I(J, \delta; w)$. Then

$$(U_{P_K})_F(x,1) \cdot h_J = (U_{P_K}x, U_{P_{\delta(K)}}) \cdot h_J.$$

PROOF. Notice that

$$(U_{P_{K}}x, U_{P_{\delta(K)}}) \cdot h_{J} = (U_{P_{K}})_{F}(x, U_{P_{\delta(K)}}) \cdot h_{J}$$

= $(U_{P_{K}})_{F}(x, U_{P_{\delta(K)}} \cap L_{J}) \cdot h_{J}$
= $(U_{P_{K}})_{F}(x(U_{P_{\delta(K)}} \cap L_{J}), 1) \cdot h_{J}.$

So it suffices to show that for any $v \in U_{P_{\delta(K)}} \cap L_J$, there exists $u \in U_{P_K} \cap L_{\delta^{-1}(J)}$ such that $x^{-1}uxF(u)^{-1} \in vU_{P_J}$. Let $(J_n, w_n)_{n \ge 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ be the element that corresponds to w. By Lemma 1.1,

$$x^{-1}(L_{\delta^{-1}(J)} \cap U_{P_{\delta^{-1}(J_1)}})x \subset U_{P_J},$$
$$x^{-1}(L_{\delta^{-1}(J_i)} \cap U_{P_{\delta^{-1}(J_{i+1})}})x \subset L_{J_{i-1}} \cap U_{P_{J_i}} \text{ for } i \ge 1.$$

We have that $\delta(K) = J_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $v = v_m v_{m-1} \cdots v_0$ for some $v_i \in L_{J_i} \cap U_{P_{J_{i+1}}}$. We define $u_i \in L_{\delta^{-1}(J_i)} \cap U_{P_{\delta^{-1}(J_{i+1})}}$ as follows:

Let $u_m = 1$. Assume that k < m and that $u_i \in L_{\delta^{-1}(J_i)} \cap U_{P_{\delta^{-1}(J_{i+1})}}$ are already defined for $k < i \leq m$ and that

$$(x^{-1}(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_{k+2})^{-1}x)F(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_{k+1})^{-1} = v_m v_{m-1} \cdots v_{k+1}.$$

Let u_k be the element with

$$F(u_k)^{-1} = (x^{-1}(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_{k+1})x)v_m v_{m-1} \cdots v_k F(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_{k+1})$$

= $(x^{-1}u_{k+1}x)F(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_{k+1})^{-1}v_k F(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_{k+1})$
 $\in L_{J_K} \cap U_{P_{J_{k+1}}}.$

Thus $u_{k+1} \in L_{\delta^{-1}(J_k)} \cap U_{P_{\delta^{-1}(J_{k+1})}}$ and that

$$(x^{-1}(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_{k+1})x)F(u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_k)^{-1} = v_m v_{m-1} \cdots v_k.$$

This completes the inductive definition.

Now set $u = u_m u_{m-1} \cdots u_0$. Then

$$(x^{-1}ux)F(u)^{-1} = (x^{-1}(u_m u_{m-1}\cdots u_1)x)F(u)^{-1}(F(u)(x^{-1}u_0x)F(u)^{-1}) \in vU_{P_{\delta(J)}}.$$

The lemma is proved.

By the proof of Lemma 2.2,

$$Z_{J,F;w} = G_F(U_{P_{\delta^{-1}I(J,\delta;w)}}\delta^{-1}(w)^{-1}U_{P_{\delta(I(J,\delta;w))}}, 1) \cdot h_J.$$

Then we have the following consequence.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let $w \in W^J$. Then G_F acts transitively on $Z_{J,F;w}$.

REMARK. Therefore there are only finitely many G_F -orbits on Z_J and they are indexed by W^J . This is quite different from the set of G_{Δ} -orbits on Z_J .

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let $w \in W$. Then

$$\overline{G_F(B, Bw) \cdot h_J} = \sqcup_{w' \in W^J, w' \leq_{J,\delta} w} Z_{J,F;w'}.$$

REMARK. Similar results appear in [H3, Proposition 4.6], [H2, Corollary 5.5] and [H4, Proposition 5.8]. The following proof is similar to the proof of [H4, Proposition 5.8].

PROOF. We prove by induction on l(w).

Using the proper map $p: G_F \times_{B_F} Z_J \to Z_J$ defined by $(g, z) \mapsto (g, F(g)) \cdot z$, one can prove that

$$\overline{G_F(B, Bw) \cdot h_J} = G_F(\overline{B, Bw}) \cdot h_J = \bigcup_{v \le w} G_F(B, Bv) \cdot h_J$$

 $\mathbf{6}$

By 1.3 (a), $w \to_{J,\delta} w_1 v$ for some $w_1 \in W^J$ and $v \in W_{I(J,\delta;w)}$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\overline{G_F(B, Bw) \cdot h_J} = G_F(B, Bw) \cdot h_J \cup \bigcup_{w' < w} G_F(B, Bw') \cdot h_J$$
$$= G_F(B, Bw_1v) \cdot h_J \cup \bigcup_{w' < w} G_F(B, Bw') \cdot h_J.$$

By the proof of Lemma 2.2, $G_F(B, Bw_1v) \cdot h_J \subset G_F(B, Bw_1) \cdot h_J$. Thus by induction hypothesis,

$$\overline{G_F(B, Bw) \cdot h_J} \subset \cup_{w' \in W^J, w' \leq J, \delta w} Z_{J,F;w'}.$$

On the other hand, if $w' \in W^J$ with $w' \leq_{J,\delta} w$, then there exists $w'' \approx_{J,\delta} w'$ with $w'' \leq w$. Then by Lemma 2.1,

$$Z_{J,F;w'} = G_F(B, Bw'') \cdot h_J \subset \overline{G_F(B, Bw) \cdot h_J}.$$

Therefore $\overline{G_F(Bw, B) \cdot h_J} = \bigcup_{w' \in W^J, w' \leq J, \delta w} Z_{J,F;w'}$. By 2.3, $Z_{J,F;w_1} \cap Z_{J,F;w_2} = \emptyset$ if $w_1, w_2 \in W^J$ and $w_1 \neq w_2$. Thus $\overline{G_F(Bw, B) \cdot h_J} = \sqcup_{w' \in W^J, w' \leq J, \delta w} Z_{J,F;w'}$. The proposition is proved.

3. A stratification of partial flag varieties

3.1. It is easy to see that there is a canonical bijection between the G_F orbits on Z_J and the R_J -orbits on $(G \times G)/G_F$ which sends $G_F(1, w) \cdot h_J$ to $R_J(1, w^{-1})G_F/G_F$. Notice that the map $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_2F(g_1)^{-1}$ gives an isomorphism of R_J -varieties $(G \times G)/G_F \cong G$, where the R_J -action on G is defined by

$$(lu_1, lu_2) \cdot g = lu_2 g F(lu_1)^{-1}$$

Using the results of G_F -orbits on Z_J above, we have the following results.

(1) For $w \in {}^{J}W$, $R_J \cdot w = R_J \cdot (BwB)$. If moreover, $w' \in (W_J \cdot w)_{\min}$, then $R_J \cdot (Bw'B) = R_J \cdot (BwB)$.

(2) $G = \sqcup_{w \in {}^J W} R_J \cdot w.$

(3) For $w \in W$, $\overline{R_J \cdot w} = \sqcup_{w' \in {}^J W, (w')^{-1} \leq_{J,\delta} w^{-1}} R_J \cdot w'.$

Notice that if $J = \emptyset$, part (2) above follows easily from Bruhat decomposition. One may regard (2) as an extension of Bruhat's Lemma. We will also discuss a variation of (2) in section 4.

3.2. Now we review the partition on \mathcal{P}_J introduced by Lusztig in [L3, section 4].

To each $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$, we associate a sequence $(P^n, J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as follows

$$P^{0} = P, \quad P^{n} = (P^{n-1})^{F(P^{n-1})} \text{ for } n \ge 1,$$

$$J_{n} \subset I \text{ with } P^{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{J_{n}}, \quad w_{n} = \operatorname{pos}(P^{n}, F(P^{n})) \qquad \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$

By [L3, 4.2], $(J_n, w_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J)$. Thus we have a map $i : \mathcal{P}_J \to {}^JW$. For $w \in {}^JW$, let

$$\mathcal{P}_{J,w} = \{ P \in \mathcal{P}_J; w_m = w \text{ for } m \gg 0 \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_J = \sqcup_{w \in {}^J W} \mathcal{P}_{J,w}.$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}_{J,w} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_J; (P, U_P) \in Z_{J,F;w^{-1}}\}.$

Notice that Lie (G_{Δ}) + Lie (G_F) = Lie $(G) \oplus$ Lie (G). Then for any $x \in Z_J$, $G_{\Delta} \cdot x$ and $G_F \cdot x$ intersects transversally at x. In particular, $\mathcal{P}_{J,w}$ is the transversal intersection of $G_{\Delta} \cdot h_J$ and $Z_{J,F;w^{-1}}$.

We simply write $\mathcal{P}_{\emptyset,w}$ as \mathcal{B}_w . By 3.2 (3),

$$\mathcal{B}_w = \{ B_1 \in \mathcal{B}; \text{pos}(B_1, F(B_1)) = w \} = \{ {}^g B; g^{-1} F(g) \in B \dot{w} B \}.$$

Since the Lang isogeny $g^{-1}F(g)$ is an isomorphism $G^F \setminus G \to G$, we have that

(a)
$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_w} = \sqcup_{v \le w} \mathcal{B}_v$$

Now we can prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $p: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{P}_J$ be the morphism which sends a Borel subgroup B' to the unique parabolic subgroup in \mathcal{P}_J that contains B'. Then

(1) For $w \in {}^{J}W$, $p(\mathcal{B}_w) = \mathcal{P}_{J,w}$. If moreover, $v \in (W_J \cdot w)_{\min}$, then $p(\mathcal{B}_v) = p(\mathcal{B}_w) = \mathcal{P}_{J,w}$.

(2) For
$$w \in W$$
, $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{J,w}} = p(\overline{\mathcal{B}_w}) = \sqcup_{w' \in {}^J W, (w')^{-1} \leq_{J,\delta} w^{-1}} \mathcal{P}_{J,w'}$

REMARK. The closure relation of $\mathcal{P}_{J,w}$ was conjectured by G. Lusztig in private conversation.

PROOF. (1) Let $w \in {}^{J}W$ and $g \in G$ with ${}^{g}B \in \mathcal{B}_{w}$. Then $g^{-1}F(g) \in BwB$. Thus

$$({}^{g}P_{J}, U_{P_{J}}) = (g, g) \cdot h_{J} = (g, F(g))(1, F(g)^{-1}g) \cdot h_{J}$$

 $\in G_{F}(B, Bw^{-1}) \cdot h_{J} = Z_{J,F;w^{-1}}$

and $p(\mathcal{B}_w) \subset \mathcal{P}_{J,w}$.

By 3.1, for any $g \in G$, there exists $l \in L_J$ such that $(gl)^{-1}F(gl) \in B\dot{w}'B$ for some $w' \in {}^JW$. Hence

(a)
$$p(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{g \in G} p({}^{g}B) = \bigcup_{w' \in {}^{J}W} \bigcup_{g^{-1}F(g) \in B\dot{w}'B} p({}^{g}B)$$
$$= \bigcup_{w' \in {}^{J}W} p(\mathcal{B}_{w'}) \subset \bigsqcup_{w' \in {}^{J}W} \mathcal{P}_{J,w} = \mathcal{P}_{J}.$$

Since p is proper, we have that $p(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{P}_J$. Thus the inequality in (a) is actually an equality and $p(\mathcal{B}_{w'}) = \mathcal{P}_{J,w'}$ for all $w' \in {}^JW$.

If moreover, $v \in (W_J \cdot w)_{\min}$, then by 3.1, there exists $l \in L_J$ such that $(gl)^{-1}F(gl) = l^{-1}g^{-1}F(g)F(l) \in B\dot{w}B$. Thus $p(^gB) = {}^gP_J = {}^{gl}P_J \in p(\mathcal{B}_w)$ and $p(\mathcal{B}_v) \subset p(\mathcal{B}_w)$. Similarly, we have that $p(\mathcal{B}_w) \subset p(\mathcal{B}_v)$. Then $p(\mathcal{B}_v) = p(\mathcal{B}_w)$. Part (1) is proved

Part (1) is proved.

(2) Since p is proper, we have that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{J,w}} = p(\overline{\mathcal{B}_w})$. By 3.2 (a), $\overline{\mathcal{B}_w} = \sqcup_{v \leq w} \mathcal{B}_v$ and $p(\overline{\mathcal{B}_w}) = \bigcup_{v \leq w} p(\mathcal{B}_v) = \bigcup_{g \in G, g^{-1}F(g) \in \overline{BvB}} p({}^gB)$. By 3.1 (3),

$$p(\overline{\mathcal{B}_w}) = \bigcup_{w' \in ^J W, (w')^{-1} \leq_{J,\delta} w^{-1}} \bigcup_{g^{-1} F(g) \in B \dot{w}' B} p(^g B)$$
$$= \bigcup_{w' \in ^J W, (w')^{-1} \leq_{J,\delta} w^{-1}} p(\mathcal{B}'_w)$$
$$= \bigcup_{w' \in ^J W, (w')^{-1} \leq_{J,\delta} w^{-1}} \mathcal{P}_{J,w'}.$$

Part (2) is proved.

Let us discuss some other properties of $\mathcal{P}_{J,w}$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Assume that G is quasi-simple and $J \neq I$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{J,w}$ is irreducible if and only if $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) = I$.

PROOF. By [L3, 4.2 (d)], $\mathcal{P}_{J,w}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{K,w}$, where $K = I(J, \delta; w)$. By [BR, Theorem 2], $\mathcal{P}_{K,w}$ is irreducible if and only if wW_K is not contained in $W_{J'}$ for any δ -stable proper subset J' of I.

Let J' be the minimal δ -stable subset of I with $wW_K \subset W_{J'}$. It is easy to see that if $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) = I$, then J' = I. On the other hand, suppose that $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) \neq I$ and J' = I. Then for any $i \in K - \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$, we have that $w\alpha_i \in \delta(K)$. Since

 $w\alpha_i \in \alpha_i + \sum_{j \in \operatorname{supp}(w)} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j$, we must have that $w\alpha_i = \alpha_i$ and $i \in \delta(K)$. In particular, $K - \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$ is δ -stable, $w\alpha_i = \alpha_i$ for all $i \in K - \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$ and $K - \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) = I - \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$. Since G is quasi-simple, there exists $i \in K - \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$ such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^{\vee}) < 0$ for some $j \in \operatorname{supp}(w)$. Now assume that $w = s_{j_1}s_{j_2}\cdots s_{j_m}$ is a reduced expression and $m' = \max\{n; (\alpha_i, \alpha_{j_n}^{\vee}) \neq 0\}$. Then $s_{j_1}s_{j_2}\cdots s_{j_{m'}}\alpha_i = s_{j_1}s_{j_2}\cdots s_{j_m}\alpha_i = \alpha_i$. Thus

$$0 > (\alpha_i, \alpha_{j_{m'}}^{\vee}) = (s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{m'}} \alpha_i, s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_m}, \alpha_{j_{m'}}^{\vee}) = (\alpha_i, s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{m'}} \alpha_{j_{m'}}^{\vee}).$$

However, $s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{m'}} \alpha_{j_{m'}}^{\vee}$ is a negative coroot. Thus

$$(\alpha_i, s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{m'}} \alpha_{j_{m'}}^{\vee}) \ge 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore if $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) \neq I$, then $J' \neq I$. The proposition is proved.

3.3. By [L3, 4.2 (d)], $\mathcal{P}_{J,w}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{K,w}$, where $K = I(J, \delta; w)$. Similar to [DL, 1.11], we have that

$$\mathcal{P}_{K,w} = \{g \in G; g^{-1}F(g) \in P_K \dot{w} P_K\} / P_K$$

= $\{g \in G; g^{-1}F(g) \in \dot{w} P_K\} / P_K \cap {}^{\dot{w}} P_K$
= $\{g \in G; g^{-1}F(g) \in \dot{w} U_{P_K}\} / L_K^{\mathrm{Ad}(\dot{w}) \circ F}(U_{P_K} \cap {}^{\dot{w}} U_{P_K}).$

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{K,w}$ such that there exists a *F*-stable Levi subgroup *L* of *P*. Then similar to [**DL**, 1.17], we have that

$$\mathcal{P}_{K,w} = \{g \in G; g^{-1}F(g) \in PF(P)\}/P$$

= $\{g \in G; g^{-1}F(G) \in F(P)\}/P \cap F(P)$
= $\{g \in G; g^{-1}F(g) \in F(U_P)\}/L^F(U_P \cap F(U_P)).$

4. An extension of Bruhat decomposition

After the paper was submitted, I learned from A. Vasiu about his conjecture in [Va, 2.2.1]. We state it in the following slightly stronger version.

COROLLARY 4.1. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G of type J with a Levi subgroup L. Let $R = \{(lu, lu'); l \in L, u, u' \in U_P\}$ and define the action of R on G by $(lu, lu') \cdot g = lugF(lu')^{-1}$. Then

(1) There are only finitely many R-orbits on G, indexed by ${}^{J}W$.

(2) If moreover, there exists a maximal torus $T' \subset P$ such that F(T') = T', then each R-orbit contains an element in $N_G(T')$.

PROOF. We may assume that $P = {}^{g}P_{J}$ and $L = {}^{g}L_{J}$. For any $w \in {}^{J}W$, set $w^{*} = gwF(g)^{-1}$. Then it is to see that $R \cdot w^{*} = g(R_{J} \cdot w)F(g)^{-1}$. Now part (1) follows from 3.1 (2).

If moreover, $T' = {}^{g}T \subset P$ is *F*-stable, then we have that $g^{-1}F(g) \in N_G(T)$. Thus $w^* = gwF(g)^{-1} = g(wF(g)^{-1}g)g^{-1}$ and $wF(g)^{-1}g \in N_G(T)$. So $w^* \in N_G(T')$ and part (2) is proved.

XUHUA HE

Acknowledgements

We thank G. Lusztig for suggesting the problem and some helpful discussions. We also thank Z. Lin for explaining to me a conjecture by A. Vasiu and some helpful discussions. After the paper was submitted, I learned from T. A. Springer that he also obtained some similar results about the G_F -stable pieces in a different way using the approach in [**Sp**].

References

- [Be] R. Bédard, On the Brauer liftings for modular representations, J. Algebra 93 (1985), no. 2, 332-353.
- [BR] C. Bonnafé and R. Rouquier, On the irreducibility of Deligne-Lusztig varieties, Comptes Rendus Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 343 (2006), 37–39.
- [DL] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, Representations of reductive groups over finite fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 103 (1976), no. 1, 103–161.
- [H1] X. He, Unipotent variety in the group compactification, Adv. in Math. 203 (2006), 109-131.
- [H2] X. He, The character sheaves on the group compactification, Adv. in Math., 207 (2006), 805-827.
- [H3] X. He, The G-stable pieces of the wonderful compactification, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359 (2007), 3005-3024.
- [H4] X. He, Minimal length elements in some double cosets of Coxeter groups, Adv. in Math. 215 (2007), 469-503.
- [L1] G. Lusztig, Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, CRM Monograph Series 18, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [L2] G. Lusztig, Parabolic character sheaves, I, II, Mosc. Math. J. 4 (2004), no. 1, 153–179; no. 4, 869–896.
- [L3] G. Lusztig, A class of perverse sheaves on a partial flag manifold, Represent. Theory 11 (2007), 122-171.
- [Sp] T. A. Springer, An extension of Bruhat's Lemma, J. Alg. 313 (2007), 417-427.
- [Va] A. Vasiu, Mod p classification of Shimura F-crystals, arXiv:math/0304030.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, STONY BROOK, NY 11794 *E-mail address:* hugo@math.sunysb.edu