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#### Abstract

We investigate topological properties of Calabi-Yau fourfolds and consider a wide class of explicit constructions in weighted projective spaces and, more generally, toric varieties. Divisors which lead to a non-perturbative superpotential in the effective theory have a very simple description in the toric construction. Relevant properties of them follow just by counting lattice points and can be also used to construct examples with negative Euler number. We study nets of transitions between cases with generically smooth elliptic fibres and cases with ADE gauge symmetries in the $\mathrm{N}=1$ theory due to degenerations of the fibre over codimension one loci in the base. Finally we investigate the quantum cohomology ring of this fourfolds using Frobenius algebras.
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## 1. Introduction

Geometrizing the expected symmetries in the moduli space of supersymmetric theories has proven to be a simple and successful tool in the investigation of their non perturbative behaviour. Especially the geometric interpretation of the non perturbative $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of type $I I_{B}$ string as coming really from a two torus of an (elliptically fibred) compactification of $F$-theory has helped to uncover many non perturbative properties of string compactifications to dimensions greater then five [1] [2] [3] [4] [5].

Elliptically fibred complex four dimensional Kähler manifolds $X$ with $S U(4)$ holomony, Calabi-Yau fourfolds for short, are the geometry relevant for $N=1$ compactifications of $F$-theory to four dimensions [1]. Orbifold constructions [6] [7] [8] (9] of $M$ and $F$ theory are particular useful to get a fast view on the spectrum and the symmetries. Using results of [10] they were considered to compactify $F$ ( $M$, type II) theory to four (three, two) dimensions in [11]. However in order to study the moduli space and in particular transitions, one wishes to have a deformation family and the knowledge about the enhanced symmetry points®. To get some overview of the possible deformation families of Calabi-Yau fourfolds, especially the elliptically fibred ones, is the first objective of this paper. We will therefore consider a rich class of hypersurfaces and complete intersections in weighted projective spaces and toric varieties. For the hypersurfaces we obtain a large scan (104 021 configurations) over possible Hodge numbers by classifying all Fermat type configurations and generic hypersurfaces up to degree 400. The Euler number ranges between $-240 \leq \chi \leq 1820$ 448. Examples with negative Euler number could eventually lead to supersymmetry breaking in three dimensions by anti-branes, which have to be included to cancel the tadpoles if $\chi<0$ and the fourform background flux vanishes [13] [14]. A hyperkähler fourfold with $\chi<0$ was constructed in [13] and and Kähler examples appear in [10], both orbifold constructions. Here we find the first Kähler manifolds with $\chi<0$ realized as deformation families.

The properties of elliptically fibred fourfolds can be understood from properties of the bases and the degeneration of the fibres. The most basic properties, like the triviality of the canonical bundle, are decided from the degeneration over codimension one. For instance if the singularity here is as mild as possible ( $I_{1}$ fibres only, compare A.1) one can express the Euler number for the fourfold $X$ by formulas, which refer only to properties of
${ }^{1}$ There are elegant ways of finding such symmetric configurations in the deformation families, see e.g. 12].
the bases and the generic type of fibre. Likewise physically the most basic properties like the unbroken gauge group ${ }^{2}$ are decided from the degeneration on codimension one. We therefore aim for examples in which we can control the degeneration at codimension one in a simple way.

We will first study examples, which are simplest in two respects, namely the fibres degenerates homogeneously on a subspace $B^{\prime}$ of codimension one in the base to an $A D E$ singularity and it does so for generic values of the moduli. In this situation we find formulas for the Euler number, which depend on the cohomology of $B^{\prime}$ and the invariants of the gauge group. The manifolds provide a realizations of $N=1$ gauge theories, discussed recently in [15] [16].
$F$-theory on the fourfolds has beside the complex and the Kähler moduli of the manifold, also the moduli associated with three branes, which live in space-time and intersect the base in points, as well as a choice of discrete back ground fluxes which take (half)integer values in the unimodular selfdual lattice $H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, which is even if $\chi=0 \bmod 24$. About the global moduli space of the first two types of moduli, we can learn by Kodaira \& Spencer deformation theory and mirror symmetry (see e.g. [17] for recent results on dimension>3). On the moduli space of the three branes one can learn locally in non generic situations with orbifold symmetries [77 [18] or more generically in situations as above [16] and at the transitions points which connect the $F$-theory vacua. Using Batyrev and Watanabes classification of toric Fano threefolds we can construct systematically a rather dense net of such fourfold transitions (fig 1), which are again very simple in that they keep the elliptic fibre structure and the generic degeneration type ${ }^{3}$. These extremal transitions correspond to shrinking $E_{8}, E_{7}, E_{6}, D_{5}$ Del Pezzo surfaces along one dimensional (T-stable) subsets in the base or generalized elliptic threefolds to (T-fixed) points in the base.

Of course physically one would like to understand perturbative or non-perturbative enhancements of the gauge symmetries, which correspond to codimension one degenerations, which occur only for specific values of the moduli and the meaning of the codimension two (and three) degenerations. A good guidance to these more complicated situations can be obtained by considering those three dimensional elliptic fibrations over Hirzebruch surfaces $F_{n}$, for which the degeneration on codimension one and two has been studied in
${ }^{2}$ More exotic theories could also arise from degeneration on codimension one.
${ }^{3}$ The geometrically interesting fourfold transitions considered in 19 are not particularly useful for F-theories, because they behave randomly w.r.t. to the fibre structure (if any).
[2] [3] [4] [5] and [20] and replacing the base $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of $F_{n}$ by a rational surface. In easy cases this can be done so that part of the singularity structure at codimension one and two essentially carries over to fourfolds. Here we can also obtain systematically chains of now more complicated extremal fourfold transitions, which keep the elliptic fibre structure, but frequently violates the evenness of $H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

### 1.1. Divisors which lead to a non-perturbative superpotential in three dimensions.

Some aspects of the four dimensional theory can be investigated more easily by compactifying first $M$-theory or type IIB on $X$ to three dimensions or two dimensions and considering decompactification limits to learn about four dimensions. Eleven dimensional M-theory compactifications, on not necessarily elliptically fibred, Calabi-Yau fourfolds $X$, leads to $N=2$ supersymmetric theories in three dimensions [21] [22]. There is a general mechanism to generate a non-perturbative superpotential in the three-dimensional theory from supersymmetric instantons, which arise from wrapping the 5 -branes of the M-theory around complex divisors $D$ of $X$.
i.) Under the assumption that $D$ is smooth, the following necessary condition on the arithmetic genus of $D$ for the occurrence of instanton induced terms in the superpotential was derived from the anomaly vanishing requirement in [21]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{3} h^{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii.) If $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=1$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=h^{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=0$ a non-perturbative contribution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d \theta e^{-\left(V_{D}+i \phi_{D}\right)} T\left(m_{i}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be generated in the superpotential, as no cancellation from extra fermionic zero modes can occur. Here $V_{D}$ is the volume of $D$ measured in units of the 5 -brane tension, $\left(V_{D}+i \phi_{D}\right)$ are real and complex moduli components of a chiral superfield and $T\left(m_{i}\right)$ is a non-vanishing section of a holomorphic line bundle over the moduli space of the theory on $X$.

Using the fact that $h^{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$ describes the dimension of the deformation space of $D$ it was shown in [21 that divisors given by a polynomial constraints in a Calabi-Yau fourfolds defined as hypersurfaces or complete intersections in (products) of ordinary projective spaces have $\chi\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)<1$. The reason is basically that such polynomials have too many
possible deformations. These divisors will therefore not lead to nontrivial contributions to the superpotentials. Using the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch index formula (23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=\int\left(1-e^{-[D]}\right) t d(X) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the explicit expansion of the Todd polynomials $T_{0}=1, T_{1}=\frac{1}{2} c_{1}(X) T_{2}=\frac{1}{12}\left(c_{2}(X)+\right.$ $\left.c_{1}(X)^{2}\right)$ and the fact that $c_{1}(X)=0$ for manifolds of $S U(4)$ holonomy we can rewrite (1.1) in the more useful form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[D]^{4}+c_{2}(X)[D]^{2}=-24 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this topological formula the above statement follows from the fact that all intersection numbers on the left of (1.4) come from semi ample divisors in projective spaces and are hence positive. On the other hand the fact that the left hand side of (1.4) has to be negative suggests that $D$ 's with the desired properties occurs preferably as exceptional divisors or in situations where the deformation space is for some reasons small. For instance because we make an orbifoldisation and thereby killing most of the deformation space or we work with weighted projective spaces, where the possible deformations are restricted by the weights. This hints that weighted projective space and more generally toric varieties will lead to interesting configurations of such divisors. In fact we will see that the intersection of the $T$-invariant orbits of the toric ambient space with the Calabi-Yau fourfold will lead under very simple combinatorial conditions, which are explained in section 4 , to such divisors. A special situation where one can construct infinitly many divisors, which contribute to the superpotential, was described in 24].

### 1.2. Preferred physical situations, additional geometrical data and dualities

If the Calabi-Yau manifold $X$ admits an elliptic fibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\pi} B \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then a compactification of $M$ theory on $X$ is equivalent to $F$-theory [1] on $X \times S^{1}$, which in turn is equivalent to Type IIB on $B \times S^{1}$. If $\varepsilon$ is the area of $\mathcal{E}$ one can use for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the
${ }^{4}$ Other interesting compactifications are on manifolds with $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ holonomy, the so-called Joyce manifolds. They lead to $N=1$ supersymmetry for $M$-theory compactifications to three dimensions (see [1] [25).
fiberwise equivalence of M theory compactification on $R^{9} \times T^{2}$ with Type IIB on $R^{8} \times S^{1}$. This means that $M$ theory compactification to three dimensions on $X$ has the same moduli as Type IIB compactified to three dimensions on $B \times S^{1}$. Denoting the radius of the $S^{1}$ by $R$ one has $\varepsilon \propto 1 / R$ such that the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit is the decompactification limit for the type IIB theory.
W.r.t. this limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ one has two principally different situations for the location of the divisor $D$ on $X$ to distinguish
a.) $\pi\left(D_{a}\right)=B$, i.e. $D_{a}$ is a section or multisection. $D_{a}$ is called horizontal.
b.) $D_{b}=\pi^{-1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ with $B^{\prime}$ a divisor in $B . D_{b}$ is called vertical.

As was explained in [21 for generic fixed geometry of the base non perturbative superpotentials in the four dimensional Type IIB theory will only occur in case b.). The reason is that the action of the non perturbative configuration in $F$-theory units is proportional to the volume of the divisors, which for the two types of divisors goes like $D_{a} \sim 1 / \epsilon D_{b}$ in the $\operatorname{limit} \sqrt{5}^{5} \epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

For phenomenology it might be more useful to think about the situation in terms of the heterotic $N=1$ string. This is possible if $B$ admits a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ fibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}^{1} \longrightarrow B \stackrel{\pi^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} B^{\prime} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then one can consider an elliptic fibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime \prime}} B^{\prime} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $B^{\prime}$ and get, by fiberwise application of type IIB/heterotic string duality, a description of the heterotic string on the Calabi-Yau threefold $Z$. The effect of a divisor of type b.) can be interpreted in the heterotic string theory description [21] as worldsheet or as spacetime instanton effect depending of whether $D_{b}$ maps in $Z$ to a vertical or horizontal divisor w.r.t. $\pi^{\prime \prime}$. Both types can occur as $T$-invariant toric divisors as discussed in section 5 and 6.

The organization of the material is as follows. In section two we will summarize the basic topological properties of Calabi-Yau fourfolds. Then we give in section three some overview of the class of complete intersections in weighted projective spaces. In section
${ }^{5}$ Of course one can enhance the contribution of the $D_{a}$ divisors by going to a singular point in $B$.
four we explain the toric construction of elliptically fibred toric fourfolds. We extend the formulas of Batyrev and give a characterisation of the divisors on $X$, which come from the divisors of the ambient space, which are invariant under the torus action. This gives a very easy criterium, when such a divisor contributes to the superpotential. Section five contains a complete list of elliptically fibred Calabi-Yau manifolds over toric Fano bases and the transitions among them. In section six we also discuss degenerations of the fibre, which lead to gauge symmetry in four dimensions. Sections seven and eight contains proofs for the formulas of the Euler number of the fourfolds in terms of the topological properties of the base and the the type of the fibre. Some cases have been already discussed in [13]. In section nine we discuss the quantum cohomology of fourfolds using Frobenius algebras. Especially we give the generalization of the formulas for quantum cohomology ring obtained for threefolds in [26] [27] to the n-fold case. In section (9.6) we discuss in some details examples which are connected by transitions.
Acknowledgements. We would like to thank P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, S. Katz and C. Vafa for very helpful discussions. We also like to thank S. Hosono for his help and frequent correspondences.

## 2. General topological properties of Calabi-Yau fourfolds

We will first employ Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch index theorems to derive some relations and general divisiblity conditions among the topological invariants of Calabi-Yau fourfolds. If $W$ is a vector bundle over $X, \chi(X, W)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} H^{i}(X, W)$ and $c_{0}[X], \ldots, c_{n}[X]$, Chern classes of $X$ and $d_{0}[W], \ldots, d_{r}[W]$ Chern classes of $W$ one has 23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(X, W)=\kappa_{n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{q} e^{\delta_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{i}}{1-e^{-\gamma_{i}}}\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{n}[]$ means taking the coefficient of the n'th homogeneous form degree, the $\gamma_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}$ are the formal roots of the total Chern classes: $\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i}[X]=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\gamma_{i}\right)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{q} d_{i}[X]=\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)$. We want to use the index formula to compute the arithmetic genera $\chi_{q}=\sum_{p}(-1)^{p} \operatorname{dim} H^{p}\left(X, \Omega^{q}\right)$. First we will evaluate (2.1) for $W=T_{X}$, the tangent bundle of $X$. One way of to do so is to express the formal roots, via symmetric polynomial, in terms of the Chern classes $c_{i}$. This yields for the two, three and four dimensional cases the following formulas for $\chi_{q}=\sum_{p=1}^{\operatorname{dim}(X)}(-1)^{p} h^{p, q}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}(X)=2: \quad \chi_{0}=\frac{1}{12} \int_{X}\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { a.) } \chi_{0}=\frac{1}{24} \int_{X}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right) \\
& \operatorname{dim}(X)=3:  \tag{2.3}\\
& \text { b.) } \chi_{1}=\frac{1}{24} \int_{X}\left(c_{1} c_{2}-12 c_{3}\right), \\
& \text { a.) } \quad \chi_{0}=\frac{1}{720} \int_{X}\left(-c_{4}+c_{1} c_{3}+3 c_{2}^{2}+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}-c_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& \operatorname{dim}(X)=4: \quad \text { b. }) \quad \chi_{1}=\frac{1}{180} \int_{X}\left(-31 c_{4}-14 c_{1} c_{3}+3 c_{2}^{2}+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}-c_{1}^{4}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \text { c.) } \chi_{2}=\frac{1}{120} \int_{X}\left(79 c_{4}-19 c_{1} c_{3}+3 c_{2}^{2}+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}-c_{1}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We are mainly interested in Kähler fourfolds with $c_{1}[X]=0$. This is equivalent to the statement that a Ricci flat Kähler metric exists and the manifold has holonomy inside $S U(4)$. In the following, by a Calabi-Yau manifold, we mean a manifold for which the holonomy is strictly $\sqrt{6} S U(4)$. In this case there is a unique holomorphic four-form and no continuous isomorphisms, i.e. $h_{0,0}=1, h_{1,0}=h_{2,0}=h_{3,0}=0, h_{4,0}=1$. Hodge $*$-duality and complex conjugation reduces the independent Hodge numbers in the Hodge square

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $h^{3,1}$ | $h^{3,2}$ | $h^{3,3}$ | 0 |
| 0 | $h^{2,1}$ | $h^{2,2}$ | $h^{2,3}$ | 0 |
| 0 | $h^{1,1}$ | $h^{1,2}$ | $h^{1,3}$ | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

to four, say $h^{1,1}=h^{3,3}, h^{3,1}=h^{1,3}, h^{2,1}=h^{3,2}$ and $h^{2,2}$. For Calabi-Yau manifolds in the sense above we have $c_{1}=0, \chi_{0}=2$. Using this in (2.4) implies a further relation among the Hodge numbers say

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2,2}=2\left(22+2 h^{1,1}+2 h^{3,1}-h^{2,1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Euler number can thus be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(X)=6\left(8+h^{1,1}+h^{3,1}-h^{2,1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The middle cohomology splits into a selfdual $(* \omega=\omega) B_{+}(X)$ subspace and an antiselfdual $(* \omega=-\omega)$ subspace $B_{-}(X)$

$$
H^{4}(X)=B_{+}(X) \oplus B_{-}(X)
$$

[^0]whose dimensions are determined by the Hirzebruch signature as
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau(X) & =\operatorname{dim} B_{+}(X)-\operatorname{dim} B_{-}(X)=\int_{X} L_{2}=\frac{1}{45} \int_{X}\left(7 p_{2}-p_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\frac{\chi}{3}+32
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The symmetric inner product $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\int_{X} \omega_{1} \wedge * \omega_{2}$ is positive definite on $H^{4}(X)$ and $H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is by Poincare duality unimodular. The symmetric quadratic form $Q\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=$ $\int_{X} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}$ is positive definite on $B_{+}(X)$ and negative on $B_{-}(X)$. Beside this we expect a split of $H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ from mirror symmetry, see section 4 .

We note furthermore that from the definition of the Pontryagin classes $p_{i} \in H^{4 i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ in terms of the Chern classes

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =\sum_{i=0}^{[\operatorname{dim}(X) / 2]}(-1)^{i} p_{i}
\end{aligned}=\sum_{i, j}(-1)^{i} c_{i} \wedge c_{j}, \quad \text { hence } \quad \begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}, \quad p_{2}=c_{2}^{2}-2 c_{1} c_{3}+2 c_{4}, \ldots \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

one has, using the Gauss-Bonnet formula, for Calabi-Yau fourfolds ${ }^{8}$ always $\chi=\frac{1}{8} \int_{X}\left(4 p_{2}-\right.$ $p_{1}^{2}$ ).

It was shown in [13] that $I(R)=-\int_{X} X_{8}(R)=\int_{X}\left(4 p_{2}-p_{1}^{2}\right) / 192=\chi / 24 \neq 0$ gives rise to a non vanishing contribution one-point function for the two, three or four form in $I I_{A}$, M- or F-theory compactification on $X$. Assuming that there are no further non integral contributions to the one-point functions it was argued in 13 that these compactification are unstable if the one-point functions cannot be canceled by introducing integer quanta of string, twobrane or threebrane charge in these theories, that is ${ }^{9} \chi=0 \bmod 24$.

In [14] it was argued that there is a flux quantization $[G]-\frac{p_{1}}{4} \in H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where $G$ is the four form field strength to which the twobrane of $M$ theory couples [22]. If $G$ is zero that means that $p_{1} / 4$ has to be an integral class $\left(c_{2}=2 y\right.$ with $\left.y \in H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ and as explained in [14] this implies by the formula of $\mathrm{Wu} x^{2}=0 \bmod 2$ for any $x \in H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. That means especially by $(2.7)$ that $H^{4}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an even selfdual lattice with signature $10 \tau$ and implies by (2.4) a.) again that $\chi=0 \bmod 24$. On the other hand if $p_{1} / 4$ is half integral

[^1]then $[G]$ has to be half integral and potentially non-integral contributions to the one point function $I(R, G)=-\int_{X} X_{8}-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{X} G^{2}=\frac{1}{8} \int_{X} c_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{X} G^{2}-60$ can be canceled also for Calabi-Yau's for which $\chi \neq 0 \bmod 24$.

We will find in chapter three and six various chains of geometrically possible transition between elliptically fibred fourfolds in which the Euler number is divisible by 24 in an element of the chain, while it is not divisible after the transition (see especially table 6.5). This is somewhat disturbing as the flux $G$ would have to jump by one half unit if one tries to follow this transition in $M$ - or $F$-theory, suggesting that these transitions are impossible in these theories.

Beside the three brane source terms there are contributions from the fivebranes [29] which can cancel $I(R, G)$. In [13] it has been also suggested to calculate the Euler number of an elliptic fibration by counting locally the three-brane charge which is induced from the seven branes whose world volume $W$ is the discriminant locus $\tilde{\Delta}$ of the projection map $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ times the uncompactified space-time. This three brane charge is $Q=\frac{1}{48} \int_{W} p_{1}(W)=\frac{1}{48} \int_{\tilde{\Delta}} p_{1}(\tilde{\Delta})$. It might be that such induced three brane charges can explain the occurrence of three brane charge quanta in $\mathbb{Z} / 4$ if one tries to follow the transition.

## 3. Constructions of Calabi-Yau fourfolds

The classification of Calabi-Yau manifolds with dimension $d \geq 3$ is an open problem 11 . The purpose of this section is to get a preliminary overview over Calabi-Yau fourfolds by investigating simple classes: namely hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces, LandauGinzburg models and some complete intersections in toric varieties. Some examples of Calabi-Yau fourfolds appear in [10] (orbifolds) [21] [19] (hypersurfaces and complete intersections) [29] (toric hypersurfaces).

### 3.1. Hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces

There is well studied connection between $N=2$ (gauged) Landau-Ginzburg theories and conformal $\sigma$-models on Calabi-Yau complete intersections in weighted projective spaces [32], [33]. For example consider a Landau-Ginzburg models which flows in the infrared to
${ }^{11}$ It was shown in (30] that there are, up to birational equivalence, only a finite number of families of elliptically fibred Calabi-Yau threefolds.
a conformal theory with $c=3 \cdot d$. If such a model has a transversal quasi-homogeneous superpotential of degree $m$, and $r=d+2$ chiral super-fields with positive charges (w.r.t. the $U(1)$ of the $N=2$ algebra) $q_{i}=w_{i} / m$ subject to the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-2 q_{i}\right)=d \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it corresponds to a $\sigma$-models on the Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces $X_{m}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right)$ of degree $m$ in a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right)$. Due to fixed sets of the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ action of the weighted projective space, the Calabi-Yau hypersurface $X_{m}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right)$ is in general singular. The Hodge numbers of the resolved Calabi-Yau hypersurface can be obtained from the Landau-Ginzburg model formula for the Poincarè polynomial of the canonical twisted LG model [32], i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} t^{m J_{0}} \bar{t}^{m \bar{J}_{0}}=\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \prod_{l \frac{w_{i}}{m}} \frac{1-(t \bar{t})^{m-w_{i}}}{1-(t \bar{t})^{w_{i}}} \prod_{l \frac{w_{i}}{d} \bmod =\mathbb{Z} \neq 0}(t \bar{t})^{m / 2-w_{i}}\left(\frac{t}{\bar{t}}\right)^{m\left(l \frac{w_{i}}{d} \bmod \mathbb{Z}-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the Hodge numbers $h^{p, q}$ are given simply by the degeneracy of states with $\left(J_{0}, \bar{J}_{0}\right)$ charges $(d-p, q)$. For $d \leq 3$ there is always a geometrical desingularization of theses singularities [34]. For $d \geq 4$ there need not be such a geometrical resolution. However we note that for all Landau-Ginzburg models described in the following the relation derived from the index theorem (2.5) (2.6) holds, independent of whether a geometrical resolution exist or not. This is a hint that the index theorem (and many other apparently geometrical aspects relevant to $M$ and $F$-theory compactifications) could be stated in terms of an internal $N=2$ topological field theory.

To get some overview of this class of Calabi-Yau fourfolds we classify first the Fermat type constraints. In these cases, all weights divide the degree. It is easy to see that the maximal allowed degree of these configurations growth with $m_{d}=m_{d-1}\left(m_{d-1}+1\right)(m=6$ for tori, $m=42$ for $K_{3}$ etc. ) much faster then factorial in the dimension. In fact the maximal configuration in dimension $d$ is a fibration with maximal number of branch points over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ as base, whose fibre is in turn the maximal configuration in dimension $d-1$. The extreme Calabi-Yau fourfold ${ }^{12}$ with degree $m=326548$ is hence the top of the following

[^2]vertical chain of self mirrors ${ }^{13}\left(h^{d-1,1}=h^{1,1}\right)$ in $d=1, \ldots, 4$

and has $\chi=1820448=24 \cdot 75852$. It is the Calabi-Yau fourfold with the highest Euler number in this class. There are in total 3462 Fermat type fourfolds 44 (to be compared with $147,14,3$ in $d=3,2,1$ ). The bounds on the topological numbers for the Fermat Calabi-Yau fourfold hypersurfaces are
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
288 \leq \chi \leq 1820448, \quad 1 \leq h^{1,1} \leq 151700, \quad 0 \leq h^{2,1} \leq 1008 \\
284 \leq h^{2,2} \leq 1213644 & 60 \leq h^{3,1} \leq 303148 .
\end{array}
$$
\]

Note that all upper bounds up to the last one are saturated by the $X_{3265248}$ case, while the configuration with maximal $h^{n-1,1}$

$$
X_{3612}(1,1,84,516,1204,1806)_{0}^{252,303148}
$$

is constructed by taking the minimal number of branch points over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ for the top fibration in (3.3). Configurations for which $\delta=h^{n-1,1}-h^{1,1}$ is maxima ${ }^{15}$ fit as branches in the chain of $d$-fold fibrations over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ as indicated in (3.3). Among the 3462 Fermat cases there are $59(7)$ for which the Euler number is not divisible by $24(12)$.

Using the transversality conditions [36] [37] one can show similarly as in [37] that the number of all quasi homegenous hypersurface fourfolds is finite. It is straightforward but
${ }^{13}$ For the $K 3$ case in the chain the statement is that the Picard lattice of $X_{42}$ can be identified with the Picard lattice of the mirror. Especially half of the Picard-lattice has to be invariant under automorphismus by which the mirror $K_{3}$ is constructed see e.g. [35].
${ }^{14} N=2$ Landau-Ginzburg models with $c=3 \cdot d$ can have maximally $3 \cdot d$ nontrivial ( $q_{i}<\frac{1}{2}$ ) fields. For $d=4$ one has $157,43,14,10,2,1$ Fermat examples for $r=7, \ldots, 12$.
15 For $K^{3}$ the statement is that the invariant part of the Picard Lattice under the mirror automorphism is maximal [35].
very time consuming to enumerate all of them. To get an estimate on the number of these configurations we note that there are 100559 configurations with ${ }^{16} m \leq 400$, which exhibits topological numbers in the range

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -240 \leq \chi \leq 239232, \quad 1 \leq h^{1,1} \leq 173 \quad 0 \leq h^{2,1} \leq 716 \\
& 82 \leq h^{2,2} \leq 159506, \quad 6 \leq h^{3,1} \leq 39840
\end{aligned}
$$

Among them there are 21641 (9654) cases for which the Euler number is not divisible by 24 (12).

Furthermore a small fraction of it, 138 cases, are examples of Calabi-Yau fourfolds with negative Euler number, which give the possibility to break supersymmetry at least for the $M$-theory compactification to three dimensions. E.g. the hypersurface

$$
X_{180}(10,17,36,36,36,45)_{78}^{30,36}
$$

has Euler number $\chi=-24$. Because of (2.6) for $\chi$ to be negative $h^{2,1}$ has to be large. Elements in $H^{2,1}$, or by the Hodge $*$ and Poincare duality we may actually count elements of $H_{3,2}$, are generally generated if we have a singular curve $C$ of genus $g$ in the unresolved space $X_{\text {sing }}$. In this example we have a genus 6 singular curve $X_{5}(1,1,1)$ living in the $x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ stratum of the weighted projective space with a $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ action on its transversal space in $X_{\text {sing }}$. Putting the curve in the origin the singularity in the transverse direction is a $\mathbb{C}^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{36}$, where the $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ acts by phase multiplication by $\exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{10}{36}\right), \exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{17}{36}\right), \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i 45}{36}\right)$ on the $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ coordinates. The resolution of this singularity can be described easily torically (see section below). It gives rise to a 2 dimensional toric variety $E$ whose fan $\Sigma_{E}$ is spanned by $\nu_{1}^{*}=(-1 ;-1,-1) \nu_{2}^{*}=(-1 ; 1,-1), \nu_{3}^{*}(-1 ; 11,19)$ from the orign. The triangle in the $(-1 ; 0,0)$ plane contains 13 points in the interior which correspond to rational surfaces with an intersection form which will depend on the triangulation of $\Sigma_{E}$. Thus $X$ contains a divisor which has the fibre structure of a fibre bundle $E \rightarrow Y \rightarrow C$ which contributes $13 \cdot 6$ independent $H_{2,3}$-cycles, all of them made up from a $(1,0)$-cycles of the base and the $(2,2)$-cycles of the rational surfaces in the fibre. This reasoning will be generalized in the toric description to yield formula (4.9). Further examples with $\chi \leq 0$ appear in table B.2. For many cases constructed in the literature as orbifold examples we obtain candidates of deformation families ${ }^{177}$. For example the Hodge numbers of the model discussed in (11] (38] coincide with the deformation family $X_{47}(3,5,7,8,11,13)_{0}^{100,4}$.

[^3]
### 3.2. Elliptic fibrations with sections and multisections as complete intersection CY

We will describe here a method for constructing elliptic fibred Calabi-Yau spaces as hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces. The starting point are the elliptic curves

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{6}: & X_{3}(1,1,1)=\left\{x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}-s x y z=0 \mid(x, y, z) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}(1,1,1)\right\} \\
E_{7}: & X_{4}(1,1,2)=\left\{x^{4}+y^{4}+z^{2}-s x y z=0 \mid(x, y, z) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}(1,1,2)\right\} \\
E_{8}: & X_{6}(1,2,3)=\left\{x^{6}+y^{3}+z^{2}-s x y z=0 \mid(x, y, z) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}(1,2,3)\right\}  \tag{3.4}\\
D_{5}: & X_{2,2}(1,1,1,1)=\left\{\left.\begin{array}{r}
x^{2}+y^{2}-s z w=0 \\
z^{2}+w^{2}-s x y=0
\end{array} \right\rvert\,(x, y, z, w) \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}(1,1,1,1)\right\},
\end{array}
$$

which will appear as the generic fibers. Here we included the complete intersection case $D_{5}$. We will focus in the following mainly on the first three cases.

In the third case there are birational equivalent representations, which give rise to additional possibilities to construct the fibration space. To find them, consider the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ action $\sigma:\left(x \rightarrow \rho x, y \rightarrow \rho^{2} y, x \rightarrow \rho^{3} x\right)$, with $\rho^{6}=1$ and construct the possible fractional transformations, which are well defined under this action. There are two series of fractional transformations,

$$
(1): \begin{align*}
& x=\xi^{\frac{2}{3}+k}  \tag{3.5}\\
& y=\xi^{\frac{1}{3}} \eta, \\
& z=\zeta
\end{aligned} \quad(2): \begin{aligned}
& x=\xi^{\frac{1}{2}+k} \\
& y=\eta \\
& z=\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta
\end{align*}
$$

which identify $X_{6}(1,2,3)$ with the following representations

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
E_{8}^{\prime}: & X_{4+6 k}(1,1+2 k, 2+3 k)= \\
& \left\{\xi^{4+6 k}+\xi \eta^{3}+\zeta^{2}-s \xi^{k} \eta \zeta=0 \mid(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}(1,1+2 k, 2+3 k)\right\}  \tag{3.6}\\
E_{8}^{\prime \prime}: & X_{3+6 k}(1,1+2 k, 1+3 k)= \\
& \left\{\xi^{3+6 k}+\eta^{3}+\xi \zeta^{2}-s \xi^{k} \eta \zeta=0 \mid(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}(1,1+2 k, 1+3 k)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Our construction of elliptic fibred Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces (complete intersections) will proceed by the following general process

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}}^{(0)}\left(w_{1}^{(0)}, w_{2}^{(0)}, \ldots w_{r^{(0)}}^{(0)}\right) \rightarrow X_{p d_{1}, \ldots, p d_{k}}^{(1)}\left(w_{1}^{(1)}, w_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, w_{r^{(1)}}^{(1)}, p w_{2}^{(0)}, \ldots, p w_{r^{(0)}}^{(0)}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{r^{(1)}} w_{i}^{(1)}+p \sum_{i=2} w_{i}^{(0)}=p \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}$. In this cases the base is given by
This construction is a simple generalisation of the one used in [39] to get threefolds with $K_{3}$ fibre. It was used in [40] to produce more such examples and in [31] to get some
fourfold configurations. Iteration of this process, with say $r^{(i)}=2 i>0$, lead to sequences, e.g. for the $X_{3}$ case,

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} 
& & & X_{24}(1,1,2,4,8,8) \\
& \rightarrow \ldots \\
& X_{12}(1,1,2,4,4) & \rightarrow & X_{24}(1,2,3,6,12,12) \\
& X_{18}(1,2,3,6,6) & & \vdots \\
X_{6}(1,1,2,2) & \rightarrow & X_{24}(1,3,4,8,8) & \\
X_{9}(1,2,3,3) & X_{30}(1,4,6,6,6) & & \\
X_{12}(1,3,4,4) & \vdots & & \\
X_{15}(2,3,5,5) & & &
\end{array}
$$

in which fiber of the threefold is itself an elliptic fibered $K_{3}$ and so on. The birational equivalent cases (3.6) can be treated similarly. The table B. 3 contains a complete list of all $K_{3}$ hypersurfaces which are obtained in the first step from this process.

Let us investigate some general properties of these types of fibrations. The condition for triviality of the canonical bundle of $X$ follows from the analysis in [41]. As summarized in [2] one can choose a birational model to get a Calabi-Yau with $K_{X}=0$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{B}=-\sum a_{i}\left[B_{i}^{\prime}\right], \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i}^{\prime}$ is a divisor in the base $B$ and $a_{i}$ follows from the type of singularity of the fibre over $B_{i}^{\prime}$ according to Kodaira's list of singular fibres for Weierstrass models in table A.1.

Our first aim is to relate the Euler number of the total space to topological data of the base. In the following we first concentrate on cases which have a section (or multisection) and for which the fibre degenerates no worse than with the $I_{1}$ fibre over codimension one in the base. That means that the discriminant $\Delta$ of the normal form of the elliptic fibre vanishes with ord $\Delta=1$, while the coefficient functions $e, f, g$ are generic (see section 5.1). Proofs of the formulas for the Euler numbers can be found in section 7,8. The $d=4 X_{6}$ case was first treated in [13].

If the dimension of the total space $X$ is $d=3$ we have the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(X)=-2 \cdot C_{(G)} \cdot \int_{B} c_{1}^{2}(B), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int_{B} c_{1}^{2}(B)$ is the integral of the square of the first Chern class over the base and $C_{(G)}$ is the dual Coxeter number of the group associated with the elliptic fibre (3.4),

$$
C_{\left(E_{8}\right)}=30, \quad C_{\left(E_{7}\right)}=18, \quad C_{\left(E_{6}\right)}=12, \quad C_{\left(D_{5}\right)}=8 .
$$

Using (3.7), with $r^{(1)}=3$, we can provide examples with $B=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ for these cases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{18}(1,1,1,6,9)^{2(0), 272}, \quad X_{12}(1,1,1,3,6)^{3(1), 165}, \quad X_{9}(1,1,1,3,3)^{4(2), 112} \\
& X_{6,6}(1,1,1,3,3,3)^{5(3), 77 .}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the index theorem (2.2) and $\chi\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)=c_{2}^{B}=3$ we conclude $\int_{B} c_{1}^{2}(B)=9$ and application of (2.3) gives $\chi=2\left(h^{1,1}-h^{2,1}\right)$. We can represent these manifolds torically as described in the next section. $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is then encoded in the fan spanned by $(1,0),(0,1),(-1,-1)$ and the blow up can be represented torically by adding the successively the vectors $(-1,0),(0,-1)$ and $(1,1)$ to the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ fan. This enhances $h^{1,1}(B)$ and hence the Euler number of the bases by 1 , but does not introduce singularities of the fibre in higher codimension therefore $h^{1,1}(X) \rightarrow h^{1,1}(X)+1$ and by (3.9) we get chains of models with $\left(h_{(i+1)}^{1,1}(X), h_{(i+1)}^{2,1}(X)\right)=$ $\left(h_{(i)}^{1,1}(X)+1, h_{(i)}^{2,1}(X)-C_{(G)}+1\right)$. Transitions of this type involve the vanishing of real 2 (d-1)-cycles and for $d=3$ they have been analysed in [34 434 and we generalise this situation to $d=4$ in section 5 .

For the general dimension $d$ of $X$ we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(X)=a \sum_{r=1}^{d-1}(-1)^{r-1} b^{r} \int_{B} c_{1}^{r}(B) c_{d-r-1}(B) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a=2,3,4, b=6,4,3$ for the $E_{8}, E_{7}, E_{6}$ fibre respectively. For $D_{5}$ the Euler number likewise only depends on the Chern classes of the base. Let us summarize the formulas for $d=4$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{8}: \chi(X)=12 \int_{B} c_{1} c_{2}+360 \int_{B} c_{1}^{3}, & E_{7}: \chi(X)=12 \int_{B} c_{1} c_{2}+144 \int_{B} c_{1}^{3},  \tag{3.11}\\
E_{6}: \chi(X)=12 \int_{B} c_{1} c_{2}+72 \int_{B} c_{1}^{3}, & D_{6}: \chi(X)=12 \int_{B} c_{1} c_{2}+36 \int_{B} c_{1}^{3} .
\end{array}
$$

The study of examples with low Picard numbers has helped a lot to establish the $N=2$ Type II/hetetoric duality in four dimensions. Fourfold cases with low Picard numbers are expected to play a role in the investigation of the dynamics of $M$ theory compactifications to three dimensions and $N=1 F$-theory/heterotic duality in four dimensions. For the general LG-models we found respectively $31,108,255,411,508,800$ configurations with $h^{1,1}=1,2,3,4,5,6$. The ones which have an elliptic fibration of type $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, E_{8}^{\prime}, E_{8}^{\prime \prime}$, which is apparent in the patches of the weighted projective space are collected in table B.4.

It is clear from table B. 4 and (3.11) that the cases in which the fibre degenerates only to $I_{1}$ are very rare. Such cases are for instance $(5,9,27)$, where the base is $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} c_{1}^{3}=64$. Let us check for these manifolds (3.8) and the fact that the fibre degenerates with $I_{1}$ over a generic point of the codimension one locus. $c_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)=n[H]$, where $[H]$ is the hyperplane class. So $K_{B}=-n[H]$ and from section (5.1) we see that the discriminant $\tilde{\Delta}=0$ is a singular degree $12 n$ polynomial in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, i.e. $[\tilde{\Delta}]=-12 K_{B}$. However $f, g, h$ are are generic such that over codimension one the fibre degenerates to $I_{1}$. As $d \tilde{\Delta}=3 f d f+2 g d g$ (e.t.c) $\tilde{\Delta}$ will degenerate in codimension two at $f=g=0$ to a cusp, but this does not contribute to (3.8). So $a=12$ and hence $[\tilde{\Delta}]=-K_{B}$. Similar cases are $(23,41,79)$ where the base is a $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)\right) \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ bundle with $\int_{B} c_{1}^{3}=72$ and case (109) where the base has a $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(4)\right) \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ structure with $\int_{B} c_{1}^{3}=86$ etc.

The $E_{8}^{\prime}, E_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ cases are very interesting because the Weierstrass form degenerates for them over codimension one in the base. For example for the $E_{8}^{\prime}$ case (60) in table B. 4 the Weierstrass form degenerates to a conic bundle for $x_{4}=0$, which splits over codimension two in the base into pairs of lines. In this respect it is very similar to the case $X_{20}(1,1,2,6,10)$ described in [20]. Similar as in [20] it is part of a chain of transitions $(110) \rightarrow(60) \rightarrow(23)$, which is analogous to the $X_{18}(1,1,2,6,8) \rightarrow X_{20}(1,1,2,6,10) \rightarrow$ $X_{24}(1,1,2,8,12)$ transitions. Note that the Euler number of (110) and (23) is divisible by 24 while the one of (60) only by six. We will discuss such chains further in the toric setup in section 6.

Most of the time the models of table B. 4 have a much more intricate singularity structure over the base. As these give rise to gauge groups, matter spectrum and more exotic physics in the low energy field theory, it is very important to investigate these cases. It turns out however that the realisation of simple generalisations e.g. to gauge groups without matter are easier to engineer in the toric framework, which we will do in the next section.

## 4. Toric construction and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau Fourfolds

Next we consider a generalization of the previous construction namely a $d$-dimensional hypersurfaces $X$ in a compact toric variety $18 \mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}$. This hypersurface is defined by the zero set of the Laurent polynomial 45]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{\nu^{(i)}} a_{i} U_{i}=0, \text { where } U_{i}=\prod_{k=1}^{d+1} X^{\nu_{k}^{(i)}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

18 See e.g. 44 and section 2.6 for the construction of $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta *}$.
and $\nu^{(i)}$ are the integral points in $M \sim \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, whose convex hull defines the polyhedron $\Delta$. The hypersurface (4.1) defines a Calabi-Yau space if $\Delta$ contains the origin as the only interior point [45]. The polar polyhedron $\Delta^{*}=\left\{y \in M^{*} \mid\langle x, y\rangle=-1, \forall x \in \Delta\right\}$ is likewise the convex hull of integral points $\nu^{*(i)} \in M^{*}$ with this property. Such a pair of polyhedra $\left(\Delta, \Delta^{*}\right)$ is called reflexive pair. Note that $\left(\Delta^{*}\right)^{*}=\Delta$.

In [45] Batyrev has given the following combinatorial formulas for $h^{1,1}$ and $h^{d-1,1}$ in terms of the numbers of points in $\left(\Delta, \Delta^{*}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{1,1}\left(X_{\Delta}\right) & =h^{d-1,1}\left(X_{\Delta^{*}}\right) \\
& \left.=l\left(\Delta^{*}\right)-(d+2)-\sum_{\operatorname{dim} \Theta^{*}=d} l^{\prime}\left(\Theta^{*}\right)+\sum_{\operatorname{codim} \Theta_{i}^{*}=2} l^{\prime}\left(\Theta_{i}^{*}\right) l^{\prime}\left(\Theta_{i}\right), \quad a .\right) \\
h^{d-1,1}\left(X_{\Delta}\right) & =h^{1,1}\left(X_{\Delta^{*}}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \left.=l(\Delta)-(d+2)-\sum_{\operatorname{dim} \Theta=d} l^{\prime}(\Theta)+\sum_{\operatorname{codim} \Theta_{i}=2} l^{\prime}\left(\Theta_{i}\right) l^{\prime}\left(\Theta_{i}^{*}\right), \quad b .\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Theta\left(\Theta^{*}\right)$ denotes faces of $\Delta\left(\Delta^{*}\right), l(\Theta)$ is the number of all points of a face $\Theta$ and $l^{\prime}(\Theta)$ is the number of points inside that face. In the last term the sum is over dual pairs $\left(\Theta_{i}, \Theta_{i}^{*}\right)$ of faces. The fan $\Sigma\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ over $\Delta^{*}$ defines in the standard way [44] a toric variety $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}\left(\Sigma\left(\Delta^{*}\right)\right)=\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}$ in which $X$ is embedded.

The following facts are relevant for the discussion of the divisors
i.) Divisors and sub-manifolds in $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}$ : Every ray $\tau_{k}$ through a point $P_{k}$ in $\Delta^{*}$ (or more generally a cone in $\Sigma\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ ) defines a Q-Cartier divisor (or more generally a sub-manifold) in $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}$, denoted $D_{k}^{\prime}:=V\left(\tau_{k}\right)$, which by itself has a very simple toric description. Take all cones $\mathcal{S}_{k}=\left\{\sigma_{k_{i}}\right\}$ for which $\tau_{k}$ is a face and consider the image of $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ in $M^{*}(\tau)=M^{*} / M_{\tau_{k}}^{*}$, where $M_{\tau_{k}}^{*}$ is the sub-lattice of $M^{*}$ generated by vectors in $\tau_{k}$. This image is called $\operatorname{star}\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ and can be visualized as the projection of the $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ along $\tau_{k}$ on the hyperplane perpendicular to $\tau_{k}$. Now $V\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ is the toric variety constructed from the fan over $\operatorname{star}\left(\tau_{k}\right)$. Especially all these divisors in $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}$ have $h^{0,0}=h^{d, d}=1$ and $h^{i, j}=0$ for $i \neq j$ and one can construct $l\left(\Delta^{*}\right)-(d+2)$ independent divisors classes which are a basis for $H^{d}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}\right)$.
ii.) Divisors and sub-manifolds in $X$ : The intersections $D_{K}=D_{K}^{\prime} \cap X$ leads to divisors in $X$. In fact the divisors classes $\left[D_{K}\right]$ obtained this way generate $H^{d-1}(X)$. The manifold $X$ can be thought as being constructed from a singular variety $X_{\text {sing }}$ with quotient singularities along subsets $R_{K}$ of codim $>1$, which are induced from quotient singularities of the ambient space $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}$. The divisors $D_{K}$ will therefore be bundles of exceptional components
$E_{k}$ coming from the desingularisation of the ambient space over the regular component $R_{k}$. The dimension of the regular and singular components depend simply on the dimension of the face of $\Delta^{*}$ on which the point $P_{i}$ lies. The real dimension $d_{\Theta_{k}^{*}}$ of the face $\Theta_{k}^{*}$ is the complex dimension $d_{E_{k}}$ of the exceptional component of $D_{k}$, while the complex dimension of $R_{k}$ is $d_{R_{k}}=d-1-d_{E_{k}}$. In fact $E_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ have a very simple toric description. If $\Theta_{k}^{*}$ is a face of the $d+1$ dimensional polyhedron $\Delta_{i}^{*}$ then the dual face $\Theta_{k}$, of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(\Theta)=d-\operatorname{dim}\left(\Theta_{k}^{*}\right)$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{k}=\left\{u \in \Delta \mid\langle u, v\rangle=-1, \forall v \in \Theta_{k}^{*}\right\} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sets $R_{i}$ can be viewed as $D_{i}^{\prime} \cap X_{\text {sing }}$ and are constructed as follows. Remember that the coordinate ring of the singular ambient space is generated by the corners $E_{i}$ of $\Delta^{*}$, especially $X_{\text {sing }}$ is given in this coordinates by the vanishing of

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\sum_{i=1}^{l(\Delta)} a_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{\# E} x_{j}^{\left\langle\nu^{i}, E_{j}\right\rangle} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from the construction of $D_{i}^{\prime}$ as above it is clear that $D_{k} \cap X_{\text {sing }}$ is given by the vanishing of

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)} a_{k_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{\# E\left(\Theta_{k}^{*}\right)} x_{j}^{\left\langle\nu^{i}, E_{j}\left(\Theta_{k}^{*}\right)\right\rangle}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{j}\left(\Theta_{k}^{*}\right)$ are the corners of the face $\Theta_{k}^{*}$. The structure of the exceptional component of $D_{k}$ is given by the toric variety constructed $\operatorname{from} \operatorname{star}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)$; the projection of $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ on $\Theta_{k}^{*}$ along $\tau_{k}$. This implies especially that $h^{0,0}=h^{d_{\theta_{k}^{*}}, d_{\theta_{k}^{*}}}=1$ and $h_{i, j}=0$ if $j \neq j$ [44]. Particularly useful is the fact that number of parameters by which we can move $R_{k}$ in $X$ namely $l\left(\Theta_{K}\right)$ is also the dimension of $H^{d_{R_{k}}, 0}\left(R_{k}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{d_{R_{k}}, 0}\left(R_{k}\right)=l\left(\Theta_{k}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This structure gives a useful classification of the divisors in $X$ in types (a-d) below just according to the dimension of the face on which $\tau_{k}$ lies.
o.) $d_{\Theta_{k}^{*}}=d$, then $\Theta_{k}$ is a point and $R_{k}=\left\{p_{k}=0\right\}=\emptyset$. Therefore divisors associated with these points have no intersection with $X$ and the corresponding points are therefore subtracted in the third term in (4.2) a).
a.) $d_{\Theta_{k}}^{*}=d-1$, then $\Theta^{k}$ is one dimensional and $R_{k}=\left\{Q_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(p_{k}\right)\right\}$ are points in $X$ whose number is given by the the degree of $p_{k}$ or equivalently by $l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)+1$. The
fact that one has $l\left(\theta_{k}\right)+1$ divisor components $D_{k}^{i}$ of the type $p_{i} \times E_{k}$ explains addition of the fourth term in (4.2) a). That $E_{k}$ is toric variety implies $h^{i, j}\left(D_{k}^{i}\right)=0$ if $i \neq j$ and in particular $\chi\left(D_{k}^{i}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{k}^{i}}\right)=1$. So this case leads to divisors for which a non-perturbative superpotential due to five fivebrane wrappings is generated.
b.) $d_{\Theta_{k}^{*}}=2, E_{k}$ are rational surfaces, while $R_{k}$ are Riemann surfaces whose genus $g$ is by (4.6) the number of points inside $\Theta_{k}$ i.e. $l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)$. In this case we get $l\left(\Theta_{k}^{*}\right) \cdot l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)(3,2)$-forms from the pairing of the $(1,0)$-forms on $R_{k}$ with the $(2,2)$-forms of the $E_{k}$, which leads to the generalization of (4.2) given below. Especially we have for the irreducible component of the divisor $h^{0,0}\left(D_{k}\right)=1, h^{1,0}\left(D_{k}\right)=l\left(\Theta_{k}\right), h^{2,0}\left(D_{k}\right)=0, h^{3,0}\left(D_{k}\right)=0$.
c.) $d_{\Theta_{k}^{*}}=1 E_{k}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ (in general in a Hirzebruch Sphere three) and $R_{k}$ is a hypersurface in a three dimensional toric variety with $h^{2,0}\left(R_{k}\right)=l\left(\theta_{k}\right)$, moreover we can use the Lefschetz theorem to conclude $h^{1,0}\left(R_{k}\right)=0$. In this case we get additional $(3,1)$ forms form the pairing of $(2,0)$-forms of $R_{k}$ with the $(1,1)$-forms of $E_{k}$, which gives rise to the fourth term in (4.2) b). A superpotential is generated if $l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)=0$.
d.) $d_{\Theta_{k}^{*}}=0$ in this case $D_{k}=R_{k}$. Similar as in 21] one can argue with the Lefschetz theorem that $h^{1}(D)=h^{2}(D)$ is zero, so that $\chi\left(D_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{k}}\right)=1-l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)$. Usually $h^{3}\left(D_{k}\right)$ is expected to be very positive so that $D$ is movable and $\chi\left(D_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{k}}\right) \leq 1$. However in toric varieties due to conditions imposed by the weights this deformation space can be actually very restricted so that one can easily construct cases in which $h^{3}(D)=0$ for divisors of type d.), i.e. this divisors can lead to a non-perturbative superpotential. To summarize we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(D_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{k}}\right)=1-(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}\left(\Theta_{k}\right)} l\left(\Theta_{k}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be clear by the above that $\chi\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=1$ divisors classes can be made abundant in the toric constructions of CY-manifolds. To illustrate this point take the mirror of any fourfold with small Picard number, e.g. the mirror of the sixtic in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$. $\Delta^{*}$ is now the Newton polyhedron of the sixtic which has $6,75,200,150,30,1$ points on dimension $0,1,2,3,4,5$ faces, which lead, as the $\Delta$ has only 6 corners and the inner point such that $l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)=0$, all to $\chi\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=1$ divisors. Some examples of this type of divisors have been considered in [21] 19] 29]. Very frequently one encounters the situation were $l\left(\Theta_{k}\right)=1$, which means $\Theta_{K}$ is a reflexive polyhedron of lower dimension and $c_{1}\left(R_{k}\right)=0$. The compactification of the fivebrane on such a divisor could lead to a sub-sector in the $N=1$ theory with enhanced supersymmetry.

Mirror symmetry implies for the Hodge diamonds of a mirror pair $X, X^{*}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{p, q}(X)=h^{d-p, q}\left(X^{*}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For threefolds this property follows from (4.2) as $h^{2,1}(X)$ and $h^{1,1}(X)$ are the only independent Hodge numbers, if we construct $X^{*}=X_{\Delta^{*}}$ from $\Delta^{*}$ in the same way as $X=X_{\Delta}$ is constructed from $\Delta$.

For fourfolds we have from (4.2) $h^{3,1}(X)=h^{1,1}\left(X^{*}\right)$ but since we have one more independent Hodge number we also have to establish $h^{2,1}(X)=h^{2,1}\left(X^{*}\right)$. This follows from the discussion of c .) above, which gives the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{d-r, 1}(X)=h^{r, 1}\left(X^{*}\right)=\sum_{\operatorname{codim} \Theta_{i}=r+1} l^{\prime}\left(\Theta_{i}\right) \cdot l^{\prime}\left(\Theta_{i}^{*}\right), \text { for } d-1>r>1 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (2.5) it shows for four-folds that $X, X^{*}$ as constructed from $\Delta, \Delta^{*}$ have indeed the mirror Hodge diamond.

It is somewhat more complicated to obtain $h^{2,2}(X)=h^{2,2}\left(X^{*}\right)$ directly from the polyhedron. If mirror symmetry is true however, then one expects to have very good control over $H^{2,2}(X)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2,2}(X)=H_{\text {prim }}^{2,2}(X) \oplus H_{\text {prim }}^{2,2}\left(X^{*}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

were $H_{\text {prim }}^{2,2}($.$) denotes the primitive part of the cohomology. This gives of course also a$ way of counting $h^{2,2}$ directly 119 .

To every quasi homogeneous polynomial $p$ in $d+2$ variables, like the one discussed in the last section, we can associate a Newton polyhedron $\Delta_{p}$ by considering the ( $d+2$ )-tuples of the exponents of the monomials of $p$ as coordinates of points in $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ and building the convex hull of them. Quasi homogeneity of $p$ implies that $\Delta_{p}$ lies in a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$, while (3.1) implies that $(1, \ldots, 1)$ is always an interior point of $\Delta_{p}$, which we shift in the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. For $d \leq 3$ transversality of $p$ implies reflexivity of $\Delta_{p}$. That was actually shown by construction [46] (see also [47]). For $d \geq 4$ this property does not hold. A simple counter example is the manifold $X_{7}(1,1,1,1,1,2)$.

[^4]
## 5. Toric four-folds over Fano Bases.

Fano varieties of dimension two, so called del Pezzo surfaces, are $\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ blown up in up to eight points. There are five toric del Pezzo surfaces classified in 48]. $\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, the Hirzebruch surface $F_{1}$, the equivariant blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at two points $B_{2}$, and the equivariant blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at three points $B_{3}$.

There are 84 Fano varieties of dimension three which were classified by Iskovskih and Mori-Mukai [49]. From these we will consider the 18 which can be represented in toric varieties (see [50] for a review). From [48] [51] we have
(1) $\mathbb{P}^{3}$
(2) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$
(3) The $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ over $B^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{2}$
(4) The $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ over $B^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{2}$
(5) The $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ over $B^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{1}$
(6) $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}$
(7) The $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)\right)$ over $B^{\prime}=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{2}$, where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are fibres of the two projections from $B^{\prime}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$
(8) The $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}}\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right)\right)$ bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
(9) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times F_{1}$ where $F_{1}$ is the Hirzebruch surface.
(10) The $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(s+f)\right)$ over $F_{1}$, where $f$ is the fibre from $F_{1}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, while s is the minimal cross section for the projection with -1 as self-intersection number.
(13) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times B_{2}$ with $B_{2}$ as above
(17) $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times B_{3}$ with $B_{3}$ as above

The other cases are equivariant blow ups of the ones mentioned. This can be seen from the concrete fans below and is depicted in figure 1 . Let us denote by $e_{1}=(1,0,0)$, $e_{2}=(0,1,0), e_{3}=(0,0,1)$ unit vectors which span a rectangular lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then we can represent the toric varieties by the complete fans spanned by the following vectors
(1) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}\right)$, (2) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1}-e_{2},-e_{3}\right)$,
(3) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{2},-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}\right)$, (4) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{2},-e_{1}-2 e_{2}-e_{3}\right)$,
(5) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3},-e_{1}-e_{3}\right)$ (6) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1},-e_{2},-e_{3}\right)$,
(7) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1}-e_{3},-e_{2}-e_{3},-e_{3}\right)$, (8) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1}-e_{3}, e_{3}-e_{2},-e_{3}\right)$,
(9) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{2}, e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{3}\right)$, (10) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1}-e_{3}, e_{1}-e_{2},-e_{3}\right)$,
(11) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{3}-e_{2},-e_{2},-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}\right)$, (12) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{3}-e_{2},-e_{1}-e_{3},-e_{2}\right)$,
(13) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{2},-e_{3}\right)$, (14) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}\right)$
(15) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{2},-e_{2}-e_{3}\right)$, (16) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{3}\right)$,
(17) $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{1},-e_{2},-e_{3}, e_{1}-e_{2}, e_{2}-e_{1}\right)(18)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}-e_{1},-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{1}-e_{2},-e_{1}-e_{3}\right)$


## Fig.1:

The net of equivariant blow ups (downs) among the fano bases as in [51]. The blow ups are either at points $\downarrow$ or along one dimensional closed irreducible subvarities $\downarrow$, which are stable under the torus action. By the construction below, they will be promoted to transitions between elliptically fibred CY fourfolds.

To construct $d$-dimensional elliptic fibration Calabi-Yau manifolds $X$ over this base spaces $B$ we consider polyhedra which are obtained from the toric description of the base spaces as follows. We define the vectors in the rectangular $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ latticed in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

$$
v_{A}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{d-1}, 2,3), \quad v_{B}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{d-1}, 1,2), \quad v_{C}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{d+1}, 1,1)
$$

$$
\text { as well as } e_{d}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{d-1}, 1,0) \text { and } e_{d+1}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{d+1}, 0,1) \text {. }
$$

Let $\nu^{(i)} i=1, \ldots, r$ be the vectors of the complete fan of the base space embedded in the $1, \ldots, d$ - 1-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, and $\nu^{(r+1)}=(0, \ldots, 0)$ the origin. Then we can define for any given base space $B(1)-(18)$ three reflexive polyhedra $\Delta_{I}^{*}, I=A, B, C$ with vertices

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\nu^{(i) *}=\nu^{(i)}+v_{I} \cdot\left(\sum_{j} \nu_{j}^{(i)}-1\right), i=1, \ldots, r+1 ; e_{d}, e_{d+1}\right\} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hypersurfaces as defined by (4.1) in $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}^{d+1}$ correspond to elliptic fibrations over the base space $\Sigma$ with generic fibre of the type $X_{6}(1,2,3), X_{4}(1,1,2)$ and $X_{3}(1,1,1)$. The topological data of these manifolds are summarized in table 6.1:

|  | Bases |  |  |  | $X_{3}$-fibrations |  |  |  | $X_{4}$-fibrations |  |  |  | $X_{6}$-fibrations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | $\chi^{B}$ | $h_{11}^{B}$ | $\left(c_{1}^{3}\right)_{B}$ | $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)_{B}$ | $\chi^{X}$ | $\left(c_{2}^{X}\right)^{2}$ | $h_{11}^{X}$ | $h_{31}^{X}$ | $\chi^{X}$ | $\left(c_{2}^{X}\right)^{2}$ | $h_{11}^{X}$ | $h_{31}^{X}$ | $\chi^{X}$ | $\left(c_{2}^{X}\right)^{2}$ | $h_{11}^{X}$ | $h_{31}^{X}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}^{3}$ | 4 | 1 | 64 | 24 | 4896 | 2112 | 4(2) | 804 | 9504 | 3648 | 3(1) | 1573 | 23328 | 8256 | 2 | 3878 |
| $F_{0}^{(2)}$ | 6 | 2 | 54 | 24 | 4176 | 1872 | 5(2) | 683 | 8064 | 3178 | 4(1) | 1332 | 19728 | 7056 | 3 | 3277 |
| $F_{1}^{(2)}$ | 6 | 2 | 56 | 24 | 4320 | 1920 | 5(2) | 701 | 8352 | 3264 | 4(1) | 1380 | 20448 | 7296 | 3 | 3397 |
| $F_{2}^{(2)}$ | 6 | 2 | 62 | 24 | 4752 | 2064 | 5(2) | 779 | 9216 | 3552 | 4(1) | 1524 | 22608 | 8016 | 3 | 3757 |
| (5) | 6 | 2 | 54 | 24 | 4176 | 1728 | 5(2) | 683 | 8064 | 3168 | 4(1) | 1332 | 19728 | 7056 | 3 | 3277 |
| $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}$ | 8 | 3 | 48 | 24 | 3744 | 1728 | $6(2)$ | 610 | 7200 | 2880 | 5(1) | 1187 | 17568 | 6336 | 4 | 2916 |
| (7) | 8 | 3 | 52 | 24 | 4032 | 1824 | 6(2) | 658 | 7776 | 3072 | 5(1) | 1283 | 19008 | 6816 | 4 | 3156 |
| (8) | 8 | 3 | 44 | 24 | 3456 | 1632 | $6(2)$ | 562 | 6624 | 2688 | 5(1) | 1091 | 16128 | 5856 | 4 | 2676 |
| $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times F_{1}^{(1)}$ | 8 | 3 | 48 | 24 | 3744 | 1728 | 6(2) | 610 | 7200 | 2880 | 5(1) | 1187 | 17568 | 6336 | 4 | 2916 |
| (10) | 8 | 3 | 50 | 24 | 3888 | 1776 | $6(2)$ | 634 | 7488 | 2976 | $5(1)$ | 1235 | 18288 | 6576 | 4 | 3036 |
| (11) | 8 | 3 | 50 | 24 | 3888 | 1776 | 6(2) | 634 | 7488 | 2976 | 5(1) | 1235 | 18288 | 6576 | 4 | 3036 |
| (12) | 8 | 3 | 46 | 24 | 3600 | 1680 | $6(2)$ | 586 | 6912 | 2784 | $5(1)$ | 1139 | 16848 | 6048 | 4 | 2796 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{1} \times B_{2}$ | 10 | 4 | 42 | 24 | 3312 | 1584 | 7(2) | 537 | 6336 | 2592 | 6(1) | 1042 | 15408 | 5616 | 5 | 2555 |
| (14) | 10 | 4 | 44 | 24 | 3456 | 1632 | 7 (2) | 561 | 6624 | 2688 | 6(1) | 1090 | 16128 | 5856 | 5 | 2675 |
| (15) | 10 | 4 | 40 | 24 | 3168 | 1536 | 7 (2) | 513 | 6048 | 2496 | 6(1) | 994 | 14688 | 5376 | 5 | 2435 |
| (16) | 10 | 4 | 46 | 24 | 3600 | 1680 | 7 (2) | 585 | 6912 | 2784 | 6(1) | 1138 | 16848 | 6096 | 5 | 2795 |
| $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times B_{3}$ | 12 | 5 | 36 | 24 | 2880 | 1440 | 8(2) | 464 | 5472 | 2302 | $7(1)$ | 897 | 13248 | 4896 | 6 | 2194 |
| (18) | 12 | 5 | 36 | 24 | 2880 | 1440 | 8(2) | 464 | 5472 | 2302 | $7(1)$ | 897 | 13248 | 4896 | 6 | 2194 |

Tab. 6.1: Elliptic fibred fourfolds over toric Fano bases with fibre $X_{3}, X_{4}$ and $X_{6}$. All topological numbers ${ }^{20}$ are calculated independently. From (2.2) and $\chi_{0}=1$ for Fano $d$ folds, follows $\int_{B} c_{1} c_{2}=24$. As further checks serve (2.4) a.) and (2.6). $h_{21}^{X}=0$ for all fibre types and all bases.

By construction $\Delta^{*}$ has a prominent reflexive face $\Theta_{B}^{*}$, which is the convex hull of $\nu^{*(i)}$, with $\tau=\nu_{r+1}^{*}=(0,0,0,-2,-3)$ as the only interior point. $B=V(\tau) \cap X$ gives divisors of type a.), which describes sections of the fibration in $X$. The two endpoints of $\Theta_{B}$ are $\nu^{ \pm}=\left(-1,-1,-1, a^{ \pm}, b^{ \pm}\right)$, with $\left(a^{+}, b^{+}\right)=(2,-1),(3,-1),(2,-1) ;\left(a^{-}, b^{-}\right)=$ $(-1,2),(-1,1),(-1,-2)$ for the $X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{6}$ fibres, i.e. $l\left(\Theta_{B}\right)+1=3,2,1$ reflecting the fact that the fibrations have $3,2,1$ sections. The discussion of the other divisors is equally simple. For instance for the model $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times B_{3}(13)$ we see that all divisors $D_{i}=V\left(\nu_{i}^{*}\right) \cap X$, (up to $D_{r+1}=B$ ) with $\nu_{i}^{*}$ from (5.1) are of type d.), with $\chi\left(D_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}\right)=1,1,1,0,0,0,0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $\chi\left(D_{e_{4}}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{e_{4}}}\right)=-109, \chi\left(D_{e_{5}}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{E_{5}}}\right)=-324$. Especially $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{3}$
are divisors which lead to superpotentials while $D_{4}, \ldots, D_{7}$ correspond to embeddings of Calabi-Yau threefolds in $X$.

Let us finally comment on the transitions. Model (1)-(3) and (5)-(12) above are connected by the blow up of a fixed point under the torus action. We can blow up $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ in generic points by adding successively the vertices $-e_{1},-e_{2},-e_{3}$ and $e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}$ to the $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ polyhedron. If $\hat{B}$ is obtained from $B$ by blowing up such fixed points then for the canonical bundles one has $(n=d-1=\operatorname{dim}(B))$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{K}=\pi^{*} K+(n-1)[E] \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $[E]\left([E]^{2}=-1\right)$ does not intersect with classes of $B$ one has $c_{1}^{n}(\hat{K})=c_{1}^{n}(K)+$ $(n-1)^{n}[E]^{n}$ so that $\int_{\hat{B}} c_{1}^{n}(\hat{B})=\int_{B} c_{1}^{n}(B)-(n-1)^{n}$. In our case the effect of the transition is $\chi(\hat{B})=\chi(B)+2, h^{1,1}(\hat{B})=h^{1,1}(B)+1, \int_{\hat{B}} c_{1}^{3}(\hat{B})=\int_{B} c_{1}^{3}(B)-8$ and since $\int_{B} c_{1} c_{2}$ is invariant one has $\chi(\hat{X})=\chi(X)-8 \cdot 360$ for the $X_{6}$ fibre (360 has to be replaced by $144,72,36$ for the other fibres). As $h^{1,1}(\hat{X})=h^{1,1}(X)+1$ and $h^{2,1}(\hat{X})=h^{2,1}(X)$ this means by the index theorem (2.6) especially that $h^{3,1}(\hat{B})=h^{3,1}(B)-471$ for the $X_{6}$ fibre ( 471 has to be replaced by $183,87,39$ for the other fibres). The seven branes at the discrimante induce a three brane charge (comp. section 2 and [13]) . The contribution from the generic member in the class $-12[\tilde{\Delta}]$ is for $\mathbb{P}^{3} Q(X)=-2300$ and each blow up changes this number by $Q(\hat{X})=Q(X)+286$.

For generic moduli values in the above examples we have no codimension three enhancements of the elliptic fibre singularities over the base. However if we restrict the complex 471 moduli as we must do in order to follow a transition, then enhanced singularities at codimension three emerge, which should roughly localize the induced negative threebrane charges to points in the base were they annihilate with the positive threebranes. Figure 1 also shows that the $F$-theory vacua under consideration are multiple connected by paths in the moduli space. The associated fourfold polyhedra are embedded into each other, which implies that there are (extremal) transitions among them 21 [52]. We will discuss the geometrical aspects of the $(5) \leftrightarrow(1) \leftarrow(3)$ transitions in more detail in (9.6).

One might wonder what are in general the allowed modifications of the three dimensional fan $\Sigma_{B}$ of the base for which the property of the elliptic fibration $K_{B}=-\frac{1}{12}[\tilde{\Delta}]$ is kept. From the Weierstrass form and $K_{B}=-\sum_{i} D_{i}$ for reflexive polyhedra we expect this to be the case when $\Sigma_{B}$ comes from a reflexive polyhedron, which would mean that any $K 3$ polyhedron can be used in this construction.

21 Such embeddings are expected to connect all fourfolds constructed by reflexive polyhedra.

### 5.1. The Weierstrass form of $X$

To study the elliptic fibration and it's possible degeneration let us first describe the Weierstrass forms of $X$. Recall that the toric variety $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}^{d+1}$ is defined as follows. We associate to every integral point $\nu_{i} \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$ in $\Delta^{*}$ a coordinate $x_{i} i=1, \ldots, q=l\left(\Delta^{*}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{q}$. Next we choose a complete triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\Delta^{*}$ in $d+1$-dimensional simplices, whose vertices are the $\nu_{i}$ points. The Stanley-Reisner ideal is defined as the common zero of all those coordinate sets $\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{p}}\right\}$, for which every subset $S$ of points $S \subset\left\{\nu_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \nu_{i_{p}}\right\}$ does not lie on a common $k$ dimensional simplex, we denote these zero sets as $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{(k)}$. Linear relations between points in $\Delta^{*}$, like $\sum l_{i}^{(k)} \nu_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0)$ define $(q-d-2)$ independent $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-actions on the coordinates $x_{i}:\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\right) \sim\left(\lambda_{(k)}^{l_{1}^{(k)}} x_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{(k)}^{l_{q}^{(k)}} x_{q}\right)$, with $\lambda_{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. The toric variety is then $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta^{*}}^{d+1}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{q}-\cup_{k, j} \mathcal{S}_{j}^{(k)}\right) /\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{q-d-2}$. For every regular triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ there is a canonical choice of $l^{(k)}$ such that all $l_{i}^{(k)}$ are semi-positive. Given such a choice we can write the hypersurface $p=0$ as the polynomial in the $x_{i}$ which scales homogeneously and with the minimal integers $\sum_{i} l_{i}^{(k)}$ with respect to all the $k=1, \ldots, q-d-1 \mathbb{C}^{*}$-actions. Suppose such $\hat{l}^{(k)} k=1, \ldots, s$ have been constructed for a triangulation of the fan of $B$, then there exist always a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\Delta^{*}$ such that the following scaling vectors $l^{(k)}$ appear among the $l^{(k)}$ for $\left(\Delta^{*}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
l^{(1)} & =\left(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1, n_{1}, n_{2}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
l^{(k+1)} & =\left(\hat{l}^{(k)} ; 0, n_{1} \sum_{I} l_{i}^{(k)}, n_{2} \sum_{I} l_{i}^{(k)}\right), \quad\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(2,3) \text { for the } E_{8} \text {-fibre } \\
(1,2) \text { for the } E_{7}-\text { fibre } \\
(1,1) \text { for the } E_{6}-\text { fibre }
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ runs from 1 to $s$.
This implies that $p$ can be written, at least in a certain patch, in the following Weierstrass 22 form $\left(y:=x_{q}, x:=x_{q-1}\right.$ and $\left.z:=x_{q-2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+x z^{4} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q-3}\right)+z^{6} g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q-3}\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with discriminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=27 g^{2}+4 f^{3} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As one can see from the table 6.1 the Euler number of $X$ fulfills always (3.11), so one expects that the elliptic fibre does not degenerate over codimension one or two in the base. This can in fact easily be checked in the Weierstrass models.

22 Here one omits the first sub-leading terms in $x$ and $y$ to avoid redundant deformations of the equation as it is familiar in singularity theory. Writing down normal forms compatible with (5.3) for the other cases is straightforward: $E_{7}: y^{2}=x^{4}+x^{2} z^{2} e\left(x_{i}\right)+x z^{3} f\left(x_{i}\right)+z^{4} g\left(x_{i}\right)$ with $\Delta=2^{8} g^{3}-2^{7} e^{2} g^{2}+2^{4} 3^{2} e g f^{2}+2^{4} e^{3} f^{2}-3^{3} f^{4}$ and $E_{6}: y^{3}+x^{3}=y z^{2} e\left(x_{i}\right)+x z^{2} f\left(x_{i}\right)+z g^{3}\left(x_{i}\right)$ with $\Delta=2^{4}\left(f^{6}+e^{6}\right)-2^{3} 3^{3} g^{2}\left(e^{3}+f^{3}\right)-2^{5} e^{3} f^{3}+3^{6} g^{4}$.

## 6. Gauge groups in four dimensions and more general degeneration of the elliptic fibres

The degenerations of the fibre are described by Kodaira (table A.1) and a practical way to identify or construct such degenerations from the functions $f$ and $g$ of the Weierstrass form is Tate's alogarithm [53]. This was used in [4], to analyze the physics associated to the degenerations of the elliptic fibre for $F$-theory compactifications to six dimensions. Here we will be interested in the simplest situation were the fibre degenerates homogeneously over a codimension one locus $B^{\prime}$ in the base. In this situation the enhancement of the gauge group in four dimensions can be, at least for $A_{n}$ singular fibres, explained with parallel 7-branes whose world-volume fills $B^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}^{4}$. We will study situations in which $B$ admits a itself a fibration $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, such that we get a $N=1$ heterotic theory on $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow B^{\prime}$.

Let us consider for this purpose a generalization of the models (2)-(3), i.e. we consider as base $B$ the fibration $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}(n)\right) \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$, which we denote as $F_{n}^{(r)}$, such that $F_{n}^{(1)}$ are the ordinary Hirzebruch surfaces $F_{n}$. The fan $\Sigma_{B}$ for $F_{n}^{(r)}$ is spanned by $\left(e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r+1 ;-e_{r+1},-e_{1}-\ldots-e_{r}-n e_{k+1}\right)$. For the relevant case $r=2$ we have the following topological properties of the base

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(F_{n}^{(2)}\right)=6, \quad \int_{F_{n}^{(2)}} c_{1} c_{2}=24, \quad \int_{F_{n}^{(2)}} c_{1}^{3}=54+2 n^{2} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.3) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{l}^{(1)}=(1, \ldots, 1, n, 0) \\
& \hat{l}^{(2)}=(1, \ldots, 0,1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

follows $\left(y:=x_{q}, x:=x_{q-1}, z:=x_{q-2}\right)$

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+x z^{4} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{4(n+r+1)}{n}\right]} v^{l} u^{8-l} f_{4(n+r+1)-n l}+z^{6} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{6(n+r+1)}{n}\right]} v^{l} u^{12-l} g_{6(n+r+1)-n l}
$$

where $u=x_{r+3}, v=x_{r+2}$ are the coordinates of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}\right)$ fibre, $[a / b]$ denotes the integer part of $a / b$ and $f_{k}$ and $g_{k}$ are polynomials homogeneous of degree $k$ in the coordinates of the $\mathbb{P}^{r}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r+1}\right)$. To discuss the simplest degenerations of the the fibres, which lead to generic gauge groups in space time, we have now just to look at the leading behavior of the Weierstrass form near $(z, u)=(0,0)$. The basic behavior is
determined by the divisibility properties of $4(n+r+1), 6(n+r+1)$ by $n$; the leading singularity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x f_{(4(n+r+1) \bmod n)} u^{8-\left[\frac{4(n+r+1)}{n}\right]}+g_{(6(n+r+1) \bmod n)} u^{12-\left[\frac{6(n+r+1)}{n}\right]} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general discussion is exactly as in [53] [3] for $r=1$ apart from the fact that one gets for the four-folds much richer singularity structure if the functions $f_{k}, g_{k}$ are not forced to be constant over the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ for the leading term in $u$. Let us focus on the simple cases with pure gauge group and no additional matter. As it is obvious from (6.2) the pure $S O(8), E_{6}, E_{7}$ and $E_{8}$ singularities which occur for $r=1$ over the base $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ in $F_{n^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ for $n^{(1)}=4,6,8,12$, will occur in general over the base $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ of $F_{(r+1) n^{(1)} / 2}^{(r)}$. Especially in four dimensions $r=2$ this gives the following examples

| $B$ |  | $B^{\prime}$ |  | $X_{6}$-fibrations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | $\int_{B} c_{1}(B)^{3}$ | $B^{\prime}$ | $\int_{B^{\prime}} c_{1}^{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ | $G$ | $\chi(X)$ | $h_{11}^{X}$ | $h_{21}^{X}$ | $h_{31}^{X}$ |
| $F_{6}^{(2)}$ | 126 | $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ | 9 | $D_{4}$ | 44136 | $7(2)$ | 0 | $7341(0)$ |
| $F_{9}^{(2)}$ | 216 | $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ | 9 | $E_{6}$ | 69624 | $9(2)$ | 0 | $11587(0)$ |
| $F_{12}^{(2)}$ | 342 | $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ | 9 | $E_{7}$ | 101862 | $10(0)$ | 0 | $16959(0)$ |
| $F_{18}^{(2)}$ | 702 | $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ | 9 | $E_{8}$ | 186048 | $11(0)$ | 0 | $30989(0)$ |

Tab. 6.3: Elliptic fibrations over $F_{n}^{(2)}$, with pure gauge groups. Note that $\chi=24 \cdot 4244+\frac{1}{4}$ for the $E_{7}$ case.

The enhancement of the gauge group can easily studied in detail if we recognize that this cases are closely related to the hypersurfaces $X_{6(n+3)}(1,1,1, n, 2(n+3), 3(n+3))$ which in turn are $K_{3}$ fibrations with generic fibre $X_{2(n+3)}(1, n / 3,2(n+3) / 3,(n+3))$ over $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of the type discussed in section (2.3). That is the intersection form, which lead to the gauge symmetry enhancement comes from vanishing of the corresponding cycles in the $K_{3}$. We can also see this from the embedding of the polyhedra. Notice that the points in the $3,4,5$ plane cutting $\Delta^{*}$

$$
\nu_{1}^{*}=(0,0,-n / 3,-2(n+3) / 3,-(n+3)), \nu_{2}^{*}=(0,0,1,0,0), \nu_{3}^{*}(0,0,0,1,0), \nu_{4}^{*}(0,0,0,0,1)
$$

span the $K_{3}$ polyhedron. E.g. in the case of the $E_{8} K 3(n=7)$ one has six points on the edge between $\nu_{1}^{*}$ and $\nu_{2}^{*}$, two between $\nu_{1}^{*}$ and $\nu_{3}^{*}$ and one between $\nu_{1}^{*}$ and $\nu_{4}^{*}$. Together with the hyperplane class the $V(\tau) \cap K_{3}$ the divisors associated to these points make up

Pic of the $K_{3}$ in question and have the intersection form $E_{8} \times U$ [54] (for the other cases see Kondos's list [55] [54]). The nine points on the edges of the $K_{3}$ gives rise divisors $D=V(\tau) \cap X$ of the four fold of type b.) in addition the point $\nu_{1}^{*}$ gives rise to a divisor of type c.). All of them have $\chi\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=1$ from (4.7). One is horizontal w.r.t. $\pi$ of (1.5) the other are are $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundles over $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and vertical w.r.t. $\pi$ and but horizontal w.r.t. $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ of (1.7), i.e. they will lead to a non-perturbative superpotential of the heterotic string. In fact we have here a realization of the situation described in [15 [16] for the $E_{8}$ group.

As a further simple generalization ${ }^{23}$ of (7), we chose $B$ such that is it is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(b f_{1} \otimes c f_{2}\right)_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ over $B^{\prime}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}(a)_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\right) \rightarrow B^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. This base $B$, say $F_{k, m, n}^{(2)}$ has as fan $\left(-e_{1}-k e_{2}-m e_{3},-e_{2}-n e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3},-e_{3}\right)$ with coordinates $(p, s, q, t, v, u)$ and the topological properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(F_{k, m, n}^{(2)}\right)=8, \quad \int_{F_{a, b, c}^{(2)}} c_{1} c_{2}=24, \quad \int_{F_{n}^{(2)}} c_{1}^{3}=48+4 m n-2 m^{2} k . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular if $k=0\left(B^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ and $m=n$ the elliptic fibre degenerates homogeneously over $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ as can be seen from the Weierstrass form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+x z^{4} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{4(n+2)}{n}\right]} v^{l} u^{8-l} f_{4(n+2)-n l ; 4(n+2)-n l}^{(s, t ; p, q)}+z^{6} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{6(n+2)}{n}\right]} v^{l} u^{12-l} g_{6(n+2)-n l ; 6(n+2)-n l}^{(s, t ; p, q)}, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that we get as before the matter free degenerations, but this time at $n=3,4,6,8,12$. The case $n=8$ leads however not to reflexive polyhedra hence not to a model with a geometrical resolution.

| $B$ |  | $B^{\prime}$ |  | $X_{6}$-fibrations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | $\int_{B} c_{1}(B)^{3}$ | $B^{\prime}$ | $\int_{B^{\prime}} c_{1}^{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ | $G$ | $\chi(X)$ | $h_{11}^{X}$ | $h_{21}^{X}$ | $h_{31}^{X}$ |
| $F_{0,3,3}^{(2)}$ | 84 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $A_{2}$ | 30336 | $6(1)$ | 0 | $5042(0)$ |
| $F_{0,4,4}^{(2)}$ | 112 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $D_{4}$ | 39264 | $8(2)$ | 0 | $6528(0)$ |
| $F_{0,6,6}^{(2)}$ | 192 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $E_{6}$ | 61920 | $10(2)$ | 0 | $10302(0)$ |
| $F_{0,12,12}^{(2)}$ | 624 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $E_{8}$ | 165498 | $12(0)$ | 0 | $27548(0)$ |

Tab. 6.3: Elliptic fibrations over $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, with pure gauge groups.
${ }^{23}$ The generation of a superpotential in ten examples of this kind with generically $I_{1}$ degeneration are discussed in great detail in [29].

Again the $X_{3(n+2)}(1, n / 2,(n+2),(n / 2+1) 3) K_{3}$ is embedded in the $(2,3,4)$ plane and the divisors of $X$ leading to the enhanced gauge symmetry have very similar properties to the ones discussed before.

The general formula for the Euler number for the elliptic fibred four fold $X$ for which the $X_{6}$-fibration degenerates to a singularity of type $G$ over a codimension one subspace $B^{\prime}$ in the base $B$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi(X) & =12 \int_{B} c_{1}(B) c_{2}(B)+360 \int_{B} c_{1}^{3}(B)-\delta^{d=4}\left(B^{\prime}, G\right), \\
\delta^{d=4}\left(B^{\prime}, G\right) & =r_{(G)} c_{(G)}\left(c_{(G)} \int_{B^{\prime}} c_{1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(6-\int_{B^{\prime}} c_{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right) \int_{B^{\prime}} c_{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For $d=3$ the correction term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{d=3}=r_{(G)} c_{(G)} \int_{B^{\prime}} c_{1}\left(B^{\prime}\right), \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $d=5$ we observe for $B^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{d=5}=r_{(G)}\left(c_{(G)}^{3} \int_{B^{\prime}} c_{1}^{3}\left(B^{\prime}\right)+3 c_{(G)}^{2} \int_{B^{\prime}} c_{1}\left(B^{\prime}\right) c_{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)+2\left(3 c_{(G)}-c_{(G)}^{2}\right) \int_{B^{\prime}} c_{3}\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

e.g. the elliptic fivefold fibration over the four dimensional base $F_{18}^{(3)}$ for which the generic fibre $X_{6}$ degenerates over a $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ has by ( 3.10 ), (6.7) the Euler number $\chi=-55556832$.

If the degeneration of the fibre is not of the same type over a subspace of codimension one in the base, but there are codimension two loci where the degeneration increases, a positive correction to the Euler number (3.10) is expected. As example we consider $F_{0,0, n}^{(2)}$. Now the functions $f_{8}^{\prime}(p, q), g_{12}^{\prime}(p, q)$ do not become constants, when we consider the leading singularity around $(x, u)=(0,0)$ and we get extra singularities when these functions vanish. Application of (3.10) gives $\chi_{s}=17568$, while the actual data are

| $B$ |  | $B^{\prime}$ |  |  | $X_{6}$-fibrations |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | $\int_{B} c_{1}(B)^{3}$ |  | $B^{\prime}$ | $\int_{B^{\prime}} c_{1}^{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ | $G$ | $\chi(X)$ | $h_{11}^{X}$ | $h_{21}^{X}$ | $h_{31}^{X}$ |
| $F_{0,0,3}^{(2)}$ | 48 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $A_{2}$ | 18240 | $5(0)$ | 5 | $3032(0)$ |  |
| $F_{0,0,4}^{(2)}$ | 48 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $D_{4}$ | 19680 | $6(0)$ | 10 | $3276(0)$ |  |
| $F_{0,0,6}^{(2)}$ | 48 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $E_{6}$ | 23328 | $8(0)$ | 10 | $3882(0)$ |  |
| $F_{0,0,12}^{(2)}$ | 48 | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | 8 | $E_{8}$ | 35808 | $24(11)$ | 0 | $5936(0)$ |  |

Tab. 6.4: Elliptic fibrations over $F_{0,0, n}^{(2)}$.

In $F_{n}^{(2)}$ and the $F_{0, n, n}^{(2)}$ cases we considered a specific point $p=(u=0, v=1)$ in the rational fibre over $B^{\prime}$ and configurations such that the degeneration of the elliptic fibre was homogeneous over $B^{\prime}$. $B^{\prime}$ is of course just one component of the discriminant locus and away from $p$ the fibre will degenerate over codimension one to $I_{1}$, but more complicated in higher codimensions. If we allow for special values of the moduli, there will be also more complicated degenerations over codimension one surfaces in the base, which will lead to non generic gauge group enhancement. In particular one can design examples with $A D E$ sphere tree's over a $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in the base in which non generic gauge groups arise in $M$ theory compactifications to three dimensions similarly as in [56].

Let us discuss in extension of the last examples in table 3.3 situations where we have a generic $A D E$ fibre over $B^{\prime}$, but additional enhancements over lines and points in $B^{\prime}$. These cases can be designed, by "upgrading" the corresponding $F_{n}$ fibrations in three dimensions, which were studied in great detail in [4] 57 to four dimensions.

These three dimensional Calabi-Yau spaces $Y$ are elliptic fibration over $F_{n}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow$ $Y \rightarrow F_{n}$ and $K_{3}$ fibrations $K_{3} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Furthermore the the $K_{3}$ is itself a elliptic fibration over the fibre $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of $F_{n}$, i.e. $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow K_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. These fibration structure ${ }^{24}$ are reflected in the geometry of the four dimensional polyhedron $\Delta^{*}$ (cf. [57]). It has the polar polyhedron of the Newton polyhedron of $X_{6}(1,2,3)$ in the (say) $(4,5)$ plane, which is augmented to a $K_{3}$ polyhedron in the $(3,4,5)$ plane. Now in the threefold polyhedron there are two points $p_{1}=(0,-1,0,2,3)$ and $p_{2}=(0,1,2 n, 2,3)$ outside the $(3,4,5)$ plane such that a corner of the $K_{3}$ polyhedron $c=(0,0, n, 2,3)$ is in the middle of the line $\overline{p_{1} p_{2}}$. The coordinates associated to $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are the homogeneous coordinates of the base $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. It is now very easy to replace the base $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ by a rational surface $S$. E.g. we can replace it by $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by adding instead of $p_{1}, p_{2}$ the points $p_{0}=(-1,0,0,2,3), p_{1}=(0,-1,0,2,3)$ and $p_{2}=(1,1,3 n, 2,3)$ so that $e$ represents the canonical class of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (or $S$ ). It is important that the only modification in the scaling relations (5.3) from the three to the four dimensional case is that the Mori generator with the two 1 's on the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ coordinates $l=(1,1, n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is replaced by $l=(1,1,1, n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with three 1 's on the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ coordinates, all other linear relations between the points $K 3$-plane are obviously the same. This implies that the Weierstrass form is essentially the same but $f$ and $g$ depend now homogeneously on three coordinates. That is the generic codimension one singularity at ( $u=1, v=0$ ) is as
${ }^{24}$ The complete process is the generalization of (3.7) with $X_{6}^{(0)}(1,2,3), r^{(1)}=2, r^{(2)}=2$, and $r^{(3)}=3$ to the polyheder description.
analysed in [4] and indicated in table (6.5), while the additional singularities which give matter in the six dimensional compactification are now at codimension one in the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let us "upgrade" a couple of examples from table 3.2 of [57] to four dimensions in order to demonstrate the effect of "unhiggsing" of the ( $u=1, v=0$ ) locus in the fibre of $F_{n}^{(2)}$.

| $B^{0}$ | $S U(1)$ | $S U(2)$ | $S U(3)$ | $S U(4)$ | $S U(5)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $F_{3}^{(2)}$ | $\left({ }^{0} 26208 ; 3,1\right)$ | $\left({ }^{3} 17082 ; 4,1\right)$ | $\left({ }^{0} 13032 ; 5,1\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2} 10116 ; 6,1\right)$ | $\left({ }^{3} 7578 ; 7,1\right)$ |
| $F_{6}^{(2)}$ | $\left({ }^{0} 44136 ; 7,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{3} 24642 ; 8,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{0} 16704 ; 9,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{0} 11520 ; 10,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{0} 7416 ; 11,0\right)$ |
| $F_{9}^{(2)}$ | $\left({ }^{0} 69624 ; 9,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{3} 35874 ; 10,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{0} 22752 ; 11,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2} 14652 ; 12,0\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2} 8604 ; 13,0\right)$ |

Tab. 6.5: Topological invariants $\left(\chi ; h^{1,1}, h^{1,2}\right)$ of the chains of elliptic fibrations over $F_{n}^{(2)}$.
We indicate by the prefix ${ }^{n}$ on the Euler number it's divisibility $6 n=\chi \bmod 24$.

We will discuss in section (9.6) in detail how the aspects of the discussion of the transitions [20] carries over.

## 7. Euler number of Elliptic CY manifolds

For a complex manifold $M$, we denote the tangent bundle, canonical bundle, the total Chern class of $M$ by $T_{M}, K_{M}$ and $c(M)$ respectively.
Lemma 1. Let $M$ be a $m$-dimensional compact complex manifold, and $D$ be an irreducible smooth divisor of $M$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{M}(D)$ is the $d$-th power of the canonical sheaf of $M$ for some rational number $d, \mathcal{O}_{M}(D)=\omega_{M}^{d}$. Then

$$
\chi(D)=-\sum_{k=1}^{m} d^{k} c_{1}^{k} c_{m-k}
$$

where $c_{j}$ is the $j$-th Chern class of $M$.
Let $N$ be the normal bundle of $D$ in $M$. It is known that the Chern class of $D, 1+c_{1}(D)+$ $\cdots+c_{m-1}(D)$, is related to $c_{1}(N)$ and $c_{j}$ 's bt he following relations:

$$
c_{j}(D)+c_{1}(N) c_{j-1}(D)=c_{j \mid D}
$$

hence

$$
c_{j}(D)=\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{k} c_{1}(N)^{k} c_{j-k \mid D}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. By $c_{1}(N)=-d c_{1 \mid D}$, the result follows from the above relation for $j=m-1$.
Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a $n$-dimensional CY manifold, which is a $l$-fold cyclic cover of a manifold $Y$ for $l \geq 2$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{l-1} \chi(X)=\frac{l}{l-1} \chi(Y)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{-l}{l-1}\right)^{k} c_{1}(Y)^{k} c_{n-k}(Y)
$$

Proof. Let $D$ be the branched locus for the double cover of $X$ over $Y . D$ is a smooth divisor with $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(D)=\omega_{Y}^{\frac{-L}{L-1}}$. The result follows immediately from Lemma 1 .
Let $E$ be a vector bundle over a complex manifold $M$ of $\operatorname{rank} r$, and $\mathbb{P}$ be the associated projective bundle,

$$
\pi: \mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow M
$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}(E \otimes L)$ for any line bundle $L$ over $M$. We have the exact sequence of vector bundles over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \pi^{*} E(1) \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \pi^{*}\left(T_{M}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\left(\pi^{*} E\right)(1)$ is the tensor bundle $\pi^{*} E \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$ with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the inverse of the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{P}$ for the bundle $E$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathbb{P}}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{M} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(E^{*}\right)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the relation

$$
c(\mathbb{P})=c(M) c\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)
$$

Consider the cohomology ring $\mathrm{H}^{*}(M)$ as a subring of $\mathrm{H}^{*}(\mathbb{P}) . \mathrm{H}^{*}(\mathbb{P})$ is a $\mathrm{H}^{*}(M)$-algebra generated by the Chern class

$$
\eta=c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(1))
$$

with the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{d}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{r} c_{k}(E) \eta^{r-k}=0 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $c\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)$ is a projective invariant in $\mathrm{H}^{*}(\mathbb{P})$,( i.e. an invariant under changing $E$ to $E \otimes L)$, one can in principal derive all the projective invariants of $E$ in $\mathrm{H}^{*}(M)$. For later purpose, let us work out the cases for $r=2,3$. For $r=2$, we have

$$
c_{1}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)=c_{1}(E)+2 \eta .
$$

Using (7.2) to eliminate $\eta$, we have the well-known projective invariant $E$ in $\mathrm{H}^{*}(M)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(E):=c_{1}(E)^{2}-c_{2}(E)=c_{1}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)^{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{*}(M) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r=3$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)=c_{1}(E)+3 \eta, \quad c_{2}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)=c_{2}(E)+2 c_{1}(E) \eta+3 \eta^{2} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the the projective invariant of $E$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{2}(E):=c_{1}(E)^{2}-3 c_{2}(E)=c_{1}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)^{2}-3 c_{2}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right) \\
& i_{3}(E):=2 c_{1}(E)^{3}-9 c_{1}(E) c_{2}(E)+27 c_{3}(E)=2 c_{1}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)^{3}-9 c_{1}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right) c_{2}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right) \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

One can always express the Chern numbers of $\mathbb{P}$ in terms of those of $M$ and projective invariants of $E$. We are going to derive the relations for $r=2,3$. For $r=2$, we have

$$
c_{i}(\mathbb{P})=c_{i}(M)+c_{i-1}(M)\left(c_{1}(E)+2 \eta\right)
$$

which implies $\chi(\mathbb{P})=2 \chi(M)$ for $i=m+1$. Using (7.3), we have

$$
c_{k}(\mathbb{P}) c_{m+1-k}(\mathbb{P})=2 c_{k}(M) c_{m-k}(M)+2 c_{k-1}(M) c_{m+1-k}(M) \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq m
$$

All the relations of Chern numbers for $r=2, m=2,3$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& m=2: \begin{cases}c_{2}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})= & 2 c_{2}(M)+2 c_{1}(M)^{2} \\
c_{1}^{3}(\mathbb{P})= & 6 c_{1}(M)^{2}+2 i(E)\end{cases} \\
& m=3: \begin{cases}c_{3}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})= & 2 c_{3}(M)+2 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M) \\
c_{2}(\mathbb{P})^{2}= & 4 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M) \\
c_{2}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})^{2}= & 4 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)+2 c_{1}(M)^{3}+2 c_{1}(M) i(E) \\
c_{1}(\mathbb{P})^{4}= & 8 c_{1}(M)^{3}+8 c_{1}(M) i(E)\end{cases} \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

For $r=3$, we have

$$
c_{i}(\mathbb{P})=c_{i}(M)+c_{i-1}(M) c_{1}\left(\pi^{*} E(1)\right)+c_{i-2}(M) c_{2}\left(\left(\pi^{*} E\right)(1)\right)
$$

which implies $\chi(\mathbb{P})=3 \chi(M)$ for $i=m+1$. By (7.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k}(\mathbb{P}) c_{m+2-k}(\mathbb{P})= & 3 c_{k}(M) c_{m-k}(M)+3 c_{k-2}(M) c_{m+2-k}(M)+9 c_{k-1}(M) c_{m+1-k}(M) \\
& +c_{k-2}(M) c_{m-k}(M) i_{2}(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

The relations of Chern numbers for $r=3, m=2,3$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m=2:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{3}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})=9 c_{2}(M)+3 c_{1}(M)^{2} \\
c_{2}(\mathbb{P})^{2}= \\
c_{2}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})^{2}=6 c_{2}(M)+9 c_{2}(M)+21 c_{1}(M)^{2}+i_{2}(E), 6 i_{2}(E), \\
c_{1}(\mathbb{P})^{4}= \\
m=3: \begin{cases}c_{1}(M)^{2}+27 i_{2}(E)\end{cases} \\
c_{4}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})=9 c_{3}(M)+3 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M), \\
c_{3}(\mathbb{P}) c_{2}(\mathbb{P})=9 c_{3}(M)+12 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)+c_{1}(M) i_{2}(E), \\
c_{3}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})^{2}=9 c_{3}(M)+18 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)+3 c_{1}(M)^{3}+6 c_{1}(M) i_{2}(E), \\
c_{2}(\mathbb{P})^{2} c_{1}(\mathbb{P})=9 c_{1}(M)^{3}+24 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)+13 c_{1}(M) i_{2}(E)-i_{3}(E) \\
c_{2}(\mathbb{P}) c_{1}(\mathbb{P})^{3}= \\
c_{1}(\mathbb{P})^{5}= \\
\hline 1 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)+30 c_{1}(M)^{3}+45 c_{1}(M) i_{2}(E)-3 i_{3}(E),
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

We now discuss the $n$-dimensional CY manifolds $X$ which is either a hypersurface or a cyclic branched cover of a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$ over a complex manifold $M$. Such $X$ is always an elliptic fibration over $M$. By Lemma 1 and 2 , the Euler number $\chi(X)$ can be expressed by the Chern numbers of $M$ and the projective invariants of $E$. By (7.6) and (7.7), we have the following results for $n=3,4$ :

Proposition 1. Let $X$ be a $n$-dimensional CY manifold.
(I) If $X$ is a double cover of a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}$ associated to a rank 2 bundle $E$ over a ( $n-1$ )-dimensional complex manifold $M$ for $n=3,4$, then

$$
\chi(X)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-28 c_{1}(M)^{2}-8 i(E) & \text { for } n=3 \\
12 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)+72 c_{1}(M)^{3}+72 c_{1}(M) i(E) & \text { for } n=4
\end{array}\right.
$$

(II) If $X$ is a hypersurface of a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}$ associated to a rank 3 bundle $E$ over a $(n-1)$-dimensional complex manifold $M$ for $n=3,4$, then

$$
\chi(X)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-18 c_{1}(M)^{2}-6 i_{2}(E) & \text { for } n=3, \\
12 c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)+27 c_{1}(M)^{3}+39 c_{1}(M) i_{2}(E)-3 i_{3}(E) & \text { for } n=4
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remarks. (1) For $n=4$ in (I), by $12 \mid c_{2}(M) c_{1}(M)$, we have

$$
72 \mid \chi(X) .
$$

When $E=K_{M}^{-2} \oplus 1$, one obtains the formula (2.12) in [13].
(2) For $n=4$ and $E=$ the trivial bundle in (II), we have the following criterion for the integral property of $\frac{\chi(X)}{24}$ :

$$
24|\chi(X) \Longleftrightarrow 8| c_{1}(M)^{3}
$$

Note that above condition do not hold for $M=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, in which case, $c_{1}(M)^{3}=54$ and $X$ is an elliptic CY 4 -fold in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $\chi(X)=1746$

## 8. Elliptic CY manifolds with sections

In this section we consider the structure of elliptic CY $n$-fold $\pi: X \longrightarrow M$ with an involution $\sigma$, and a (holomorphic) section $s: M \longrightarrow X$. Here the involution $\sigma$ means an order 2 automorphism of $X$ commuting with $\pi$ having the non-empty fixed points on the general fiber of $\pi$, and the section $s$ will always assume its image $s(M)$ lying outside the critical points of $\pi$.

For a line bundle $L$ over $M$, we shall denote $\bar{L}$ the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}(L \oplus 1)$ over $M$,

$$
\pi_{0}: \bar{L} \longrightarrow M,
$$

$M_{0}$ the zero-divisor $\mathbb{P}(0 \oplus 1)$ and $M_{\infty}$ the infinity-divisor $\mathbb{P}(L \oplus 0)$ in $\bar{L}$. As $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\pi_{0}^{*} L^{-1}$ are the line bundles associated to the divisor $M_{\infty}$ and $-M_{0}+M_{\infty}$ respectively, by (7.1) we have

$$
K_{\bar{L}}=\pi_{0}^{*} K_{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(-M_{0}-M_{\infty}\right) .
$$

Now set $L=K_{M}^{-2}$, and consider a smooth divisor $D$ contained in $L$ such that the restriction of $\pi_{0}$ defines a 3 -fold branched covering over $M$. Then $D$ is defined by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{3}+a_{1} \xi^{2}+a_{2} \xi+a_{3}=0, \quad \xi \in L, \quad a_{i} \in \Gamma\left(M, L^{i}\right) \text { for } i=1,2,3 \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $D$ is linearly equivalent to $3 M_{0}$ in $\bar{L}$ and we have

$$
K_{\bar{L}}^{-2}=\mathcal{O}\left(D+M_{\infty}\right)
$$

The double cover of $\bar{L}$ branched at $D+M_{\infty}$ becomes an elliptic CY $n$-fold over $M$, denoted by $Z(2)$, with the involution $\sigma$ and the projection given by the following diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(2) & \longrightarrow \bar{L}=Z(2) /<\sigma> \\
\pi \downarrow & \downarrow \pi_{0} \\
M & =M .
\end{aligned}
$$

The infinity-section of $\bar{L}$ over $M$ induces a section of the fibration $Z(2)$ over $M$ fixed by $\sigma$. Proposition 2. The Euler number of $Z(2)$ is given by

$$
\chi(Z(2))=2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{k-1} 6^{k} c_{1}(M)^{k} c_{n-1-k}(M)
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\chi(Z(2))=2 \chi\left(\overline{K_{M}^{-2}}\right)-\chi\left(M_{\infty}\right)-\chi(D)=3 \chi(M)-\chi(D)
$$

We may assume $a_{1}=a_{2}=0, a_{3} \neq 0$ in the equation (8.1) of $D$. Hence $D$ is a 3 -fold cyclic cover of $M$ branched at the zeros of $a_{3}$, which is a divisor in $M$ for $K_{M}^{-6}$. By Lemma 1,

$$
\chi(D)=3 \chi(M)+2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(-6)^{k} c_{1}(M)^{k} c_{n-1-k}(M)
$$

hence the result follows immediately
For $L=K_{M}^{-1}$, and a smooth divisor $D$ contained in $L$ with the restriction of $\pi_{0}$ defining a 4 -fold branched covering over $M$. Then $D$ is linearly equivalent to $4 M_{0}$ in $\bar{L}$, and

$$
K_{\bar{L}}^{-2}=\mathcal{O}(D)
$$

Denote $Z(1)$ the double cover of $\bar{L}$ branched at $D$, and $\sigma$ the involution. $Z(1)$ is an elliptic CY $n$-fold over $M$ with the projection

$$
\pi: Z(1) \longrightarrow M
$$

induced by $\pi_{0}$. Since the infinity-section $M_{\infty}$ of $\bar{L}$ does not intersect $D$, it gives rise to two disjoint sections of $Z(1)$ over $M$ permuted by $\sigma$. With the similar argument in Propostion 2, we have the following result:
Proposition 3. The Euler number of $Z(1)$ is given by

$$
\chi(Z(1))=3 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{k-1} 4^{k} c_{1}(M)^{k} c_{n-1-k}(M)
$$

## Remarks

(1) The formulas of $\chi(Z(i))$ for small $N$ are as follows:

$$
\chi(Z(2))= \begin{cases}-60 c_{1}^{2}(M) & \text { for } \mathrm{n}=3 \\ 12 c_{1}(M) c_{2}(M)+360 c_{1}^{3}(M) & \text { for } \mathrm{n}=4 \\ 12 c_{1}(M) c_{3}(M)-72 c_{1}(M)^{2} c_{2}(M)-2160 c_{1}^{4}(M) & \text { for } \mathrm{n}=5\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\chi(Z(1))= \begin{cases}-36 c_{1}^{2}(M) & \text { for } \mathrm{n}=3 \\ 12 c_{1}(M) c_{2}(M)+144 c_{1}^{3}(M) & \text { for } \mathrm{n}=4 \\ 12 c_{1}(M) c_{3}(M)-48 c_{1}(M)^{2} c_{2}(M)-576 c_{1}^{4}(M) & \text { for } \mathrm{n}=5\end{cases}
$$

The above $\chi(Z(2)$ for $n=4$ is the formula in [13].
(2) When $M=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, Z(2), Z(1)$ are the CY manifolds for the hypersurface $X_{6 n}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{n}, 2 n, 3 n)$
and $X_{4 n}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{n}, n, 2 n)$ respectively. In general, a CY $n$-fold $X_{6 k}\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n+2}\right)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}=k$ and $w_{n+1}=2 k, w_{n+2}=3 k$ has the above $Z(2)$ structure with $M$ as a non-singular toric variety dominating $\mathbb{P}\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}\right)$. Similarly the CY $n$-fold $X_{4 k}\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n+2}\right)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}=k$ and $w_{n+1}=k, w_{n+2}=2 k$ for $Z(1)$. However the construction of $Z(2)$ can also be applied to a non-toric variety $M$, e.g. a del Pezzo surface.

The above elliptic CY fibration $Z(i)$ has the following characterization:
Proposition 4. Let X be an elliptic CY fibration over a complex manifold $M$ with an involution $\sigma$ such that all the fibers are irreducible.
(I) If there is a section of $X$ over $M$ fixed by $\sigma$, and $H^{1}\left(M, K_{M}^{-2}\right)=0$, then $X$ isomorphic to $Z(2)$ over $M$.
(II) If there exist two disjoint sections of $X$ over $M$ permuted by $\sigma$, and $H^{1}\left(M, K_{M}^{-1}\right)=0$, then $X$ isomorphic to $Z(1)$ over $M$.

Proof. Let $\pi$ be the projection of $X$ onto $M$, and $s$ a section fixed by $\sigma$. The image $s(M)$ is a smooth divisor of $X$ isomorphic to $M$. By the irreducibility of fibers of $\pi, \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}(2 s(M))$ is a rank 2 vector bundle $M$, and denote its dual bundle by $E$. The section of $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}(2 s(M))$ determined by $2 s(M)$ gives rise the trivial line sub-bundle of $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}(2 s(M))$, hence one has the extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $L$ is a line bundle over $M$. The ratio of values of local sections of $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}(2 s(M))$ induces a double cover of $X$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$, in which $\mathbb{P}(L)$ lies as a component of the branched locus corresponding to $s(M)$. As the normal bundle of $s(M)$ in $X$ is equal to $s^{*} K_{M}$, one obtains $L=K_{M}^{-2}$. By $H^{1}\left(M, K_{M}^{-2}\right)=0$, the above extension of $E$ splits and we have $E=K_{M}^{-2} \oplus 1$, hence (I) follows immediately. By the same argument one obtains (II)

## 9. Topological correlation functions and mirror symmetry

The $A$ and the $B$ models are topological $N=2$ supersymmetric $\sigma$-models with a Calabi-Yau d-fold $X$ as their target space. They correspond to two possibilities to twist the $N=2, c=3 \cdot$ d superconformal $\sigma$-model on the world-sheet [58]. The algebra of observable
(BRST invariants) of the $A$ model is identified with the quantum deformation of the classical intersection algebra on $\mathcal{A}=\oplus_{p=0}^{n} H^{p}\left(X, \wedge^{p} T^{*}\right)$. More precisely the corresponding cubic forms has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(a, b, c)=\int_{X} a \wedge b \wedge c+\sum N_{d}(a, b, c) \frac{q^{d}}{1-q^{d}} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{d}(a, b, c)$ can be defined as certain intersection numbers on a moduli space of mappings. Here $q^{d}=q_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots q_{m}^{d_{m}}, m=h^{1,1}(X)$, where $q_{1}, . ., q_{m}$ are some local coordinates on the complexified Kähler cone of $X$. The series above is expected to converge for small $\left|q_{i}\right|$. The algebra of observables of the $B$ model is identified with an algebra on 25 $\mathcal{B}=\oplus_{p=0}^{n} H^{p}\left(X, \wedge^{p} T\right) \sim \oplus_{p=0}^{n} H^{p}\left(X, \wedge^{d-p} T^{*}\right)$, whose structure constants can be analyzed using Griffith's transversality of the Gauss-Manin connection on the middle dimensional cohomology of $X$. Especially the marginal operators of the $A$ and $B$ model are identified with elements of $H^{1,1}(X)$ and $H^{d-1,1}(X)$ respectively. All correlations functions of the topological theories can be obtained from these structure constants or equivalently from the 2 - and 3 -point correlators. The 2-point correlator in a topological field theory is purely topological: in the present cases it is simply the Poincaré pairing on $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Relative to any given base, we denote the matrix value of this inner product $\langle\rangle:, H^{p}(X) \otimes H^{d-p}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $\eta_{(p)}^{\alpha \beta}$. It's inverse is denoted $\eta_{\alpha \beta}^{(p)}$. By the identification of the marginal operators, the 3-point correlators will depend on $h^{1,1}(X)$ complexified Kähler moduli in the $A$ model and $h^{d-1,1}(X)$ complex structure moduli in the $B$ model. Our goal here is to show all 3-point correlators of the $B$ model can be written explicitly in terms of the periods for the middle dimensional cohomology of the Calabi-Yau d-fold $X$. We give explicit expression for the periods and 3-point correlators containing two marginal operators, which are a direct generalisation of the formulas in [26]. Using further properties of the Frobenius algebra one can derive explicit expressions for all correlators of the B-model on $X$ from them. By mirror symmetry the formalism can therefore be used to obtain the $A$-model correlation functions on $X$, after suitable identification, from the $B$-model correlation functions on the mirror manifold $X^{*}$. This is in fact the main application we have in mind. For one moduli Calabi-Yau of arbitrary dimensions this was discussed in [59]. Some aspects of the generalization to multimoduli cases can be found in 60] 61] 62], [29]. Here we generalize the $d=4$ case treated in [29] to $d$-folds.

25 The equivalence is due to the unique holomorphic ( $d, 0$ )-form $\Omega$ present on every Calabi-Yau $d$-fold.

### 9.1. The B-model algebra

Let $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a family whose generic fiber is a Calabi-Yau $n$-fold $X_{z}$. One writes now the 3 -point correlators as a cubic form on the groups $H^{p}\left(X_{z}, \wedge^{p} T\right)$. Put $\mathcal{B}_{z}=$ $\oplus H^{p}\left(X_{z}, \wedge^{p} T\right)$. The cubic forms are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(a, b, c)=\int \Omega(a \wedge b \wedge c) \wedge \Omega \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega(a \wedge b \wedge c)$ is the contraction along the tangent direction producing an $n$-form on $X_{z}$.

Mirror symmetry provides a vector space isomorphism $\phi_{z}: \mathcal{B}_{z} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, a mapping $z \mapsto z(q)$ and a normalization $\frac{1}{f}$ such that near the large radius limit $q=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f} C\left(\phi_{z} a, \phi_{z} b, \phi_{z} c\right)=Q(a, b, c)(q(z)) \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the quantum corrected cubic form on $\mathcal{A}$. It's clear that $Q$ should be independent of the choice of $\Omega$. But $C$ depends on $\Omega$ quadratically. Thus we expect that $\frac{1}{f}$ must be a holomorphic function near $q=0$ which cancels this dependence. Near the large radius limit, there is a unique holomorphic period $\omega_{0}(z)=\int_{\gamma} \Omega(z)$. The choice $\frac{1}{f}=\frac{1}{\omega_{0}^{2}}$ therefore provides a natural resolution to this cancellation problem. Equivalently we can replace $\Omega$ by $\frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \Omega$ and set $f=1$. This is what we shall do. We shall first fix a base point $0 \in S$, a topological base of homology cycles and the dual base $\gamma_{a}^{(p)}$ on $H^{n}\left(X_{0}\right)$ with the property that $\left\langle\gamma_{a}^{(p)}, \gamma_{b}^{(q)}\right\rangle=0$ for $p+q \leq n$. For fixed $p$, the label $a$ in $\gamma_{a}^{(p)}$ takes $h^{n-p, p}\left(X_{0}\right)$ different values. Due to mirror symmetry such a base will be the image of a base on $\mathcal{A}$ under $\phi_{0}$. In fact in practice, there is usually a canonical choice of such a base on the A-model side.

There is a filtration of holomorphic vector bundles over $S: F_{(0)} \subset F_{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset F_{(n)}$, where the fiber over $z \in S$ of $F_{(k)}$ is the vector space $\oplus_{p=0}^{k} H^{p}\left(X_{z}, \wedge^{p} T\right)$. We now provide a set of frames for the these bundles. We shall express these frames as linear combinations in the base $\gamma_{a}^{(p)}$ with holomorphically varying coefficients. We shall see that these coefficients completely determine the cubic form $C$. For each $k$, let $\left\{\alpha^{(0)}:=\Omega, \alpha_{a}^{(1)}, . ., \alpha_{b}^{(k)}\right\}$ be a frame of $F_{(k)}$ having the following upper-triangular property with respect to the $\gamma_{a}^{(p)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{a}^{(k)}=\gamma_{a}^{(k)}+\sum_{p>k} g_{a}^{(p) c} \gamma_{c}^{(p)} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The $g^{(p)}$ actually depends on $k$, which we have suppressed in the notation above.) These frames can be obtained by row reduction on a given arbitrary base of sections. (See
[59].) Note that for $k=0$ the coefficients $g^{(p)}$ are exactly the periods of the above given homology cycles. These periods are solutions to the Picard-Fuchs equations (in an appropriate gauge). We will give explicit formulas later for these periods for CalabiYau complete intersections in a toric variety. Note that in $\alpha^{(0)}$ the coefficients $t_{a}:=g_{a}^{(1)}$ are regarded as local coordinates on $S$. These are the so-called flat coordinates. In these coordinates the Gauss Manin connection $\nabla_{a}$ becomes $\partial_{t_{a}}$, and the cubic form of type $(1, k, d-k-1)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a, b, c}^{(1, k, d-k-1)}=\int_{X} \alpha_{a}^{(d-k-1)} \wedge \partial_{t_{a}} \alpha_{b}^{(k)}=:\left\langle\partial_{t_{a}} \alpha_{b}^{(k)}, \alpha_{c}^{(n-k-1)}\right\rangle \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the upper-triangular property of the $\alpha_{a}^{(k)}$ and the topological basis $\gamma^{(k)}$, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{a b}^{(k)}:=\left\langle\alpha_{a}^{(k)}, \alpha_{b}^{(d-k)}\right\rangle=\left\langle\gamma_{a}^{(k)}, \gamma_{b}^{(n-k)}\right\rangle \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular these matrix coefficients are independent of $t$. Furthermore we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t_{a}} \alpha_{b}^{(k)}=C_{a, b, c}^{(1, k, d-k-1)} \eta_{(d-k-1)}^{c d} \alpha_{d}^{(k+1)} \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Griffith's transversality, we have $\partial_{t_{a}} \alpha_{b}^{(k)} \in F_{(k+1)}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\alpha^{(0)}, . ., \alpha_{a}^{(k+1)}\right\}$. But because of the upper triangular form of $\alpha_{b}^{(k)}, \partial_{t_{a}} \alpha_{b}^{(k)}$ has zero component along $\gamma^{(0)}, . ., \gamma_{a}^{(k)}$. Thus it can be expressed as a linear combination (with holomorphically varying coefficients) of the $\alpha_{b}^{(k+1)}$. To determine the coefficients, we take its inner product with $\alpha_{c}^{(n-k-1)}$ and apply eqns (9.5), (9.6). The claim above then follows.

To summarize, our strategy for computing the A-model cubic form $Q$ on $X$ by mirror symmetry is as follows. Actually we will only do it for a Frobenius subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ (see below) of the A-model algebra. First we fix a topological basis on $\mathcal{A}$ (In the case of toric hypersurfaces, this basis will come from toric geometry). We define our isomorphism $\phi_{z}$ so that it sends this basis to the holomorphically varying basis $\alpha_{a}^{(k)}$ of the B-model with $1 \mapsto \alpha^{(0)}$. Then we shall use eqns (9.5), (9.6) and (9.7) as our crucial ingredients for computing the B-model cubic forms $C$ explicitly. For this we shall need some elementary theory of Frobenius algebras which we now discuss.

### 9.2. Frobenius algebras

In this section, all vector spaces are finite dimensional. A Frobenius algebra is a commutative graded algebra $A=\oplus_{i=0}^{n} A_{(i)}$, generated by $A_{(1)}$, has $A_{(0)}=\mathbf{C} \cdot 1$, and a nondegenerate degree $n$ bilinear symmetric invariant pairing $\langle\rangle:, A \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Note that because we require generation by $A_{(1)}$, this notion is slightly stronger than the usual notion of a Frobenius algebra. We give some well-known examples from geometry. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a complete toric variety, and $A^{*}(\mathbf{P})$ be its Chow ring. Then $A^{*}(\mathbf{P}) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ is a Frobenius algebra. The pairing here is the Poincaré pairing. If $X$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}$, then it can be shown that the ring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}^{*}(X):=\operatorname{Im}\left(A^{*}(\mathbf{P}) \rightarrow A^{*}(X)\right)=A^{*}(\mathbf{P}) / \operatorname{Ann}([X]) \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

tensored with $\mathbf{C}$ is a Frobenius algebra. More generally, if $A$ is a Frobenius algebra, and $x \in A_{(1)}$ is a nonzero element, then $\tilde{A}:=A / A n n(x)$ is a Frobenius algebra with the induced pairing $\langle a+\operatorname{Ann}(x), b+\operatorname{Ann}(x)\rangle:=\langle a, b \cdot x\rangle$ having degree $n-1$.

Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}$ be vector spaces, and $C: V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \otimes V_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a cubic form. It is call $V_{1}$-nondegenerate if that $C_{(a, b, c)}=0$ for all $b, c$ implies that $a=0$. Similar notion of $V_{i}$-nondegeneracy applies. We call the form nondegenerate if it is $V_{i}$-nondegenerate for all $i$. Now suppose $C$ is $V_{3}$-nondegenerate. Then we have the following invertibility property. Let $D: V_{3}^{*} \otimes V_{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be any bilinear form. Then the knowledge of the 3-form $E_{(a, b, d)}:=$ $C_{\left(a, b, c_{i}\right)} D_{\left(\gamma^{i}, d\right)}\left(\left\{c_{i}\right\},\left\{\gamma^{i}\right\}\right.$ being dual bases), allows us to determine $D$ completely. In fact, there exists (in general not unique) a 3-form $F$ such that $D_{(\gamma, d)}=F_{\left(\gamma, \alpha^{i}, \beta^{j}\right)} E_{\left(a_{i}, b_{j}, d\right)}$. This is just the statement that the $V_{3}$-nondegenerate cubic form $C$ defines an onto map $V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \rightarrow V_{3}^{*}$, hence choosing a section gives us a left inverse $F$ to this map.

We now return to a Frobenius algebra $A$. it determines a collection of cubic forms $C^{(i j k)}: A_{(i)} \otimes A_{(j)} \otimes A_{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $i, j, k \geq 0, i+j+k=n$. These cubic forms are $A_{(i)}$-nondegenerate whenever either $j=1$ or $k=1$ because $A_{(1)} \cdot A_{(i)}=A_{(i+1)}$.

### 9.3. Reconstruction

Let $A=\oplus_{i=0}^{n} A_{(i)}$ be a graded space with $A_{(0)}=\mathbf{C}$ and equipped with a degree $n$ nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\eta$. Suppose we are given cubic forms: $C^{(i j k)}$ : $A_{(i)} \otimes A_{(j)} \otimes A_{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, i, j, k \geq 0$ with the following properties:
(a) (Degree) $C^{(i j k)}=0$ unless $i+j+k=d$.
(b) (Unit) $C_{(1, b, c)}^{(0 i j)}=\eta_{b, c}^{(i)}$.
(c) (Nondegeneracy) $C^{(1 i j)}$ is nondegenerate in the second slot.
(d) (Symmetry) For any permutation $\sigma$ of 3 letters, $C_{(a, b, c)}^{(i j k)}=C_{\sigma(a, b, c)}^{\sigma(i j k)}$.
(e) (Associativity)

$$
C_{\left(a, b, c_{p}\right)}^{(i, j, n-i-j)} \eta_{(n-i-j)}^{p q} C_{\left(d_{q}, e, f\right)}^{(i+j, k, n-i-j-k)}=C_{\left(a, e, c_{p}^{\prime}\right)}^{(i, k, n-i-k)} \eta_{(n-i-k)}^{p q} C_{\left(d_{j}^{\prime}, b, f\right)}^{(i+k, j, n-i-j-k)}
$$

where the $c$ and the $d$ are bases of the appropriate spaces.
Then $A$ is a Frobenius algebra with the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \cdot b=C_{\left(a, b, c_{p}\right)} \eta^{p q} d_{q} \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rules above are known as fusion rules. One can also build a $k$-form by fusing together 2 - and 3 -forms. The associativity law says that there will often be many ways to build a given $k$-form. Similarly the 3 -forms are not independent. We claim that the forms of type $(i, j, n-i-j)$ for $i, j>1$ are determined by the those of type $(1, r, n-r-1)$. To see this without loss of generality, we can assume $1<n-i-j \leq i, j$. Now by the associativity law above with $k=n-i-j-1$ and the invertibility property of $C^{(i+j, k, n-i-j-k)}=C^{(i+j, k, 1)}$, it follows that $C^{(i, j, n-i-j)}$ are determined in terms of forms of type $(i, n-i-j-1, j+1)$ and $(i+k, j, 1)$. By the symmetry property, $(i, n-i-j-1, j+1)$ is equivalent to $(i, j+1, n-i-j-1)$. Thus we have reduced the value of $n-i-j$ by 1 . By induction, we see that all $(i, j, n-i-j)$ can be expressed in terms of those of type ( $1, r, n-r-1$ ). In terms of the algebra $A$ itself, an alternative way to state the result is that all the products $A_{(i)} \otimes A_{(j)} \rightarrow A_{(i+j)}$ is determined by those of the form $A_{(1)} \otimes A_{(r)} \rightarrow A_{(r+1)}$ because $A$ is generated by $A_{(1)}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{i}\right)\left(a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+j}\right)=a_{1}\left(a_{2} \cdots a_{i+j}\right) . \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.4. Application

Let $X$ be a Calabi-Yau $n$-fold, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Frobenius subalgebra of $\oplus_{p=0}^{n} H^{p}\left(X, \wedge^{p} T^{*}\right)$. Suppose mirror symmetry holds: there is a mirror family $X^{*}$ whose B-model algebra coincides with the A-model algebra of $X$. We shall now compute the Frobenius subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of the B-model algebra corresponding to $\mathcal{A}$. From our general discussion of Frobenius algebras, it is enough to compute the cubic forms $C$ of types $(1, r, n-r-1)$ which come with $\mathcal{B}$. Once we have a period expansion in the topological base (9.4) these can be easily obtained using eqns (9.5), (9.6) and (9.7). To obtain the coefficients in (9.4) we will use
the fact 63] [27] that the universal structure of the solution of the Picard-Fuchs equation on $X^{*}$ at the large radius point mirrors the primitive part of the vertical cohomology of $X$ and the leading structure of logarithm enables us to associate this solutions with the expansion of the periods in a topological base. This leads to a direct generalisation of the formulas of [63] to some correlation functions on $d$-folds.

More precisely there are $h_{\text {prim }}^{r, r}(X)$ solutions $0<r<d$ with leading degree $r$ in the $\log \left(z_{i}\right)$, which have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Pi}_{k}^{(r)}=\sum_{\Pi}{ }^{0} C_{k, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}^{d-r, 1 \ldots 1}\left(\frac{1}{r!} l_{i_{1}} \ldots l_{i_{r}} S_{0}+\frac{1}{(r-1)!} l_{i_{1}} \ldots l_{i_{r-1}} S_{i_{r}}+\ldots+S_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}\right), \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

here we defined $l_{i}:=\log \left(z_{i}\right)$ and the $S_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{r}}$ are holomorphic series in the $z_{i}$, whose explicit form are given below. The map to an specific element of the cohomology $H^{d-r, d-r}$ of $X$ can be made precise by noting that the ${ }^{0} C_{k, i_{1}, \ldots i_{r}}^{d-r, 1}$ are given by the classical intersection of that specific element with the intersection of divisors $J_{i_{1}} \ldots \ldots J_{i_{r}}$. We discuss the primitive part of the (co)homology generated by $J_{1} \ldots J_{h^{1,1}}$ only and by Poincare duality, this data fix the element in $H^{d-r, d-r}$ completely.

As mentioned above the covariant derivative $\nabla_{a}$ in [59] becomes the ordinary derivative in the flat complexified Kähler structure coordinates $t_{k}$. The coordinate change from the natural complex structure coordinates $z_{a}$ to the $t_{k}$ variables is given by the mirror $\operatorname{map} t_{k}=\frac{\tilde{\Pi}_{k}^{(1)}\left(z_{i}\right)}{\Pi^{(0)}\left(z_{i}\right)}=\log \left(z_{k}\right)+\frac{S_{k}}{S_{0}}$. If we substitute this coordinate transformation in the normalized periods $\Pi_{i}^{(r)}=\frac{\tilde{\Pi}_{i}^{(r)}}{\tilde{\Pi}^{(0)}}$ some simplifications occur as the first subleading terms in the $t_{i}$ cancel out:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{k}^{(r)}=\sum_{\Pi}{ }^{0} C_{k, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}^{d-r, 1, \ldots 1}\left(\frac{1}{r!} t_{i_{1}} \ldots t_{i_{r}}+\frac{1}{(r-2)!} t_{i_{1}} \ldots t_{i_{r-2}} \hat{S}_{i_{r-1}} \hat{S}_{i_{r}}+\ldots+\hat{S}_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}\right) . \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we notice from the monodromy around $z_{i}=0\left(t_{i} \rightarrow t_{i}+1\right)$ that the periods $\Pi_{k}^{(r)}$ correspond to a expansion of $\alpha^{(0)}=\Omega$ in terms of the topological basis ${ }^{26} \gamma_{(r)}^{k}$ of (9.4) $\alpha^{(0)}=$ $\sum_{k, r} \Pi_{k}^{(r)} \gamma_{(r)}^{k}$.

The coupling $C_{a, b, c}^{(1,1, d-2)}: H^{1,1} \times H^{1,1} \times H^{d-2, d-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is especially simple to obtain. Applying (9.7) in the case $k=0$ we have $\partial_{t_{a}} \alpha^{(0)}=\alpha_{a}^{(1)}$. This determines $\alpha_{a}^{(1)}$, hence

26 This is actually only true up to the addition of solutions with subleading logarithms, which however does not affect the holomorphic couplings discussed below. It will affect however the non-holomorphic Weil-Peterson metric.
all its coefficients. Now using (9.5) for $k=1$, (9.4) for $k=1, d-2$, and the fact that $\left\langle\gamma_{a}^{(k)}, \gamma_{b}^{(l)}\right\rangle=0$ for $k+l>d$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a, b, c}^{(1,1, d-2)}=\partial_{t_{a}} g_{b}^{(2) d} \eta_{d c}^{(2)}=\partial_{t_{b}} \partial_{t_{b}} \Pi_{c}^{(2)} \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $g^{(2)}$ are the coefficients of the $\gamma^{(2)}$ in the $\alpha^{(1)}$. Note that the last equation follows from the fact that $\Pi_{a}^{(r)}$ is an expansion in the dual base $\gamma_{(r)}^{a}$ and that the associativity of the classical parts in (9.13) is manifest. Eqs. (9.12) (9.13) are direct generalizations of eqs. (4.9) and (4.18) to the $d$-fold case. For $d=4$ an equivalent description has been given in [29]. For $H^{1,1}$ we have always a canonical choice of the basis say $J_{1} \ldots J_{h^{1,1}}$, as there is a canonical basis for the tangent space of the moduli space corresponding to elements $H^{d-1,1}\left(X^{*}\right)$, which is mapped by the monomial divisor mirror map to $H^{1,1}(X)$ and (9.13) reduces for $d=3$ to the expressions given in [63]. For $d>3$ there is a priori no canonical choice for the basis of $H^{d-2, d-2}$. However toric geometry can be used as in [27] to show that the graded ring

$$
\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{C}\left[\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{h^{1}, 1}\right] / \mathcal{J}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is the ideal generated by the leading $\theta$-terms of Picard-Fuchs equations, gives, by the identification $\theta_{i} \rightarrow J_{i}$, a presentation of the primitive part of $H^{*, *}$. Because of Poincare duality it is of course sufficient to pick a basis of half of $H^{*, *}$ and as mentioned above the choice of the basis in $H^{1,1}$ is canonical. It was shown in 63] 27] that any element of $\mathcal{R}$ can be mapped to a solution (9.11), i.e. the ${ }^{0} C_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}^{d-r, \ldots 1}$ are determined by the principal part of the Picard-Fuchs equation. This can be viewed as a proof of mirror symmetry at the level of the classical intersections, which readily generalizes to $d$-folds.

Now proceed by induction. Suppose we know (the coefficients of) the $\alpha_{(i)}$ and the cubic forms of types $(1, i, n-i-1)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$. Then by the invertibility property of a cubic form of type ( $1, k, n-k-1$ ) in a Frobenius algebra, we can solve for the $\alpha_{(k+1)}$ using (9.7). Thus the $\alpha^{(k+1)}$ are determined. By (9.4), we can write $\partial_{t_{a}} \alpha_{b}^{(k+1)}=\partial_{t_{a}} g_{b}^{(k+2) d} \gamma_{d}^{(k+2)}+\cdots$ (which is now known), arguing as before using (9.5) with $k$ replaced by $k+1$, and using the inner product property of the $\gamma$, we find that $C_{a b c}^{(1, k+1, n-k-2)}=\partial_{t_{a}} g_{b}^{(k+2) d} \eta_{d c}^{(k+2, n-k-2)}$. Thus the cubic form of type $(1, k+1, n-k-2)$ is also determined. This shows that all cubic forms of type $(1, k, n-k-1)$ for $k=1,2, . ., n-1$ can be expressed in terms of the coefficients of $\alpha_{(0)}$ alone.

### 9.5. Explicit expressions for periods and instanton sums for complete intersections in toric varieties

Following [63] we can determine the holomorphic series $S_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}$ from the generators of the Mori cone. Consider a Calabi-Yau $d$-fold defined as complete intersection with $p$ polynomial constraints in a toric variety of dimension $d+p$. The generators of the Mori cone will be of the form

$$
l^{(i)}=\left(\hat{l}_{0}^{(i)}, \ldots, \hat{l}_{p-1}^{(i)} ; l_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, l_{q}^{(i)}\right)
$$

where $q=d+p+h^{d-1,1}$. The series $S_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}$ are obtained by the Frobenius method from the coefficients of the holomorphic function $\omega(\vec{z}, \vec{\rho})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(z, \vec{\rho}) & =\sum c(\vec{n}, \vec{\rho}) \prod_{j=1}^{h^{1, D-1}} z_{j}^{n_{j}+\rho_{j}} \\
c(\vec{n}, \vec{\rho}) & =\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{h^{1, D-1}} \hat{l}_{k}^{(i)}\left(n_{i}+\rho_{i}\right)\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{q} \Gamma\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{h^{1, D-1}} l_{k}^{(i)}\left(n_{i}+\rho_{i}\right)\right)} \\
S_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}} & =\left.\partial_{\rho_{i_{1}}} \ldots \partial_{\rho_{i_{r}}} \omega(\vec{z}, \vec{\rho})\right|_{\vec{\rho}=\overrightarrow{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notably with leading behavior $S_{0}=1+\ldots, S_{i}=z_{i}+\ldots$.
This gives the explicit expansion of $C_{A, b, c}^{(d-2,1,1)}={ }^{0} C_{A, b, c}^{(d-2,1,1)}+\mathcal{O}\left(q_{i}\right)$, with $q_{i}=e^{t_{i}}$. The latter has a conjectural interpretation as being the counting function for invariants of maps from the two sphere into $X$. These maps are defined such that two fixed points $P_{b}$, $P_{c}$ are mapped to the divisors $\mathcal{D}_{b}, \mathcal{D}_{c}$, while one point $P_{A}$ is mapped to the codimension $r$ subvariety $A$ in a class of $H^{r, r}(X)$. And the invariant is the Euler class of the moduli space of that curve, weighted by $(-1)^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}}$. From the definition of the degree a generic rational curves of degree $d_{l}$ will pass through the divisor $\mathcal{D}_{l}$ in $d_{l}$ points, but a generic curve does not pass through the submanifold $A$ of higher codimension then one. If we require the latter this imposes a restriction and the invariants of that specific curves will be labeled by the class of $A$. Moreover in the path integral definition of $C_{A, b, c}^{(d-2,1,1)}$ one integrates over the points $P_{i}$ and has accordingly to divide by a combinatorial factor of $d_{b} d_{c}$ in order to extract the invariant for the elementary rational curves $n_{\vec{d}}^{(A)}$ from the three-point function. By a similar reasoning as in [64] is was described in [59] how to subtract the multiple wrapping contributions from the lower degree curves in order to get
the invariants of the elementary curves at given multidegree $\vec{d}$. Taking both effects into account the expansion of the three-point function in terms of invariants $n_{\vec{d}}$ is as follows ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{A, b, c}^{(d-2,1,1)}={ }^{0} C_{A, b, c}^{(d-2,1,1)}+\sum_{\vec{d}} \frac{d_{a} d_{b} n_{\vec{d}}^{(A)}}{1-\prod_{i=1}^{h^{1,1}} q_{i}^{d_{i}}} \prod_{i=1}^{h^{1,1}} q_{i}^{d_{i}} \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.6. Examples of the quantum cohomology rings and transitions

Let us discuss as the simplest example case (1) of chapter 5 , the elliptic fibration with $X_{6}(1,2,3)$ fibre over $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and its transition by the blow up at an equivariant fix point in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ to model (3) and along the irreducible subvariety to model (5). Evaluation of the explicit quantum cohomology in other cases can be found in [29.

The toric representation of the mirror of (1) is defined by (4.1) were $\Delta^{*}$, is given by (5.1) as the convex hull of the following points

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nu_{0}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0, & 0, & 0, & 0,
\end{array}\right) \\
& \nu_{1}^{*}
\end{align*}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1, & 0, & 0, & 0,
\end{array}\right)
$$

The manifold itself can be described by considering the vanishing of the Newtonpolynom of the polar polyhedron $\Delta$ in $P_{\Delta}^{*}$. It turns out to be a degree 24 Fermat hypersurface in a weighted projective space $X_{24}(1,1,1,1,8,12)$.

There is a unique triangulation of the polyhedron $\Delta^{*}$ from its origin $\nu_{0}^{*}=(0,0,0,0,0)$. Note that the points $\nu_{1}^{*}, \nu_{2}^{*}, \nu_{3}^{*}, \nu_{4}^{*}, \nu_{7}^{*}$ all lie on a codim 2 face of $\Delta^{*}$, with $\nu_{7}$ the interior point of that face, while the points $\nu_{5}^{*}, \nu_{6}^{*}, \nu_{7}^{*}$ and $\nu_{0}^{*}$ lie on a codim 3 plane, which cuts the polyhedron. The two linear relation implied by this lead to the two generators of the Mori cone.

27 For all toric varieties these invariants can be calculated with a updated version of the program INSTANTON (which is available on request) from the Mori generators and the classical intersections.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{(1)}=(0 ; 1,1,1,1,0,0,-4) \\
& l^{(2)}=(-6 ; 0,0,0,0,2,3,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The two Kähler classes $J_{1}, J_{2}$ dual to this Mori generators measure classically the volume of the base $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and the size of the fiber respectively. While the the divisor $D_{1}$ associated to the first Mori cone represents the section and is horizontally, $D_{2}$ is a vertical divisor, which intersects the base $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ in codim 2 . Since three planes do not intersect generically in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ the classical 4-point coupling $\mathcal{D}_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{2}=\int J_{1}^{3} J_{2}$ is zero. The other classical 4-point couplings $\int J_{i} J_{k} J_{l} J_{m}$ and the evaluation $\int c_{2} J_{i} J_{k}$, and $\int c_{3} J_{i}$ are summarized by the coefficients in the following formal polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}_{0}=J_{2} J_{1}^{3}+4 J_{2}^{2} J_{1}^{2}+16 J_{2}^{3} J_{1}+64 J_{2}^{4} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}=48 J_{1}^{2}+182 J_{1} J_{2}+728 J_{2}^{2} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{3}=-960 J_{1}-3860 J_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Picard-Fuchs equations for the mirror manifold are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{1}=\theta_{1}^{4}-\left(4 \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-4\right)\left(4 \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-3\right)\left(4 \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-2\right)\left(4 \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-1\right) z_{1} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2}=\theta_{2}\left(\theta_{2}-4 \theta_{1}\right)-12\left(6 \theta_{2}-5\right)\left(6 \theta_{2}-1\right) z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

have the following discriminant

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{1} & =\left(1-256 z_{1}\right) \\
\Delta_{2} & =\left(1-432 z_{2}\right)^{4}-z_{1} z_{2}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The mirror map $z_{2}\left(q_{1}=0, q_{2}\right)=P\left(J\left(t_{2}\right)\right)$ is defined by the ratio of two periods of holomorphic 1-form on the elliptic curve $X_{6}(1,2,3)$, while mirror map $z_{1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}=0\right)$ is described by the ratio of periods over a meromorphic differential on the $K_{3}$ surface $X_{4}(1,1,1,1)$.

The basis of $H^{1,1}$ are denoted by $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{r}$. We choose then a basis of $H^{2,2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}^{(2)}=J_{1}^{2} \\
& b_{2}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{2}+4 J_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The intersection matrix between elements of $H^{2,2}$ in this basis is

$$
\eta_{(2,2)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 17 \\
17 & 1156
\end{array}\right)
$$

If we determine the basis of $H^{(3,3)}$ by the requirement that Poincarè bilinear pairing takes the simplest form $\eta_{(1,3)}^{i, j}=\delta^{i, h^{1,1}-i+1}$ with the canonical basis of $H^{1,1}$, then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}^{(3)}=J_{1}^{3} \\
& b_{2}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{273}\left(J_{1}^{2} J_{2}+4 J_{1} J_{2}^{2}+16 J_{2}^{3}\right)-4 J_{1}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The basis for $H^{4,4}$ is fixed up to a volume normalization of the d-fold, which we choose so that $\eta_{0, d}^{1,1}=1$. In our case above $b^{(4)}=\frac{1}{75} \mathcal{C}_{0}$.

The leading order logarithms in the periods are according to (9.11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{1}^{(2)}=S_{0}\left(l_{1} l_{2}+2 l_{1}^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}(l) \\
& \Pi_{2}^{(2)}=S_{0}\left(\frac{17}{2} l_{1}^{2}+68 l_{1} l_{2}+136 l_{2}^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}(l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The invariants for the genus zero curves from the normalized three-point functions listed in the two tables below
$b_{1}^{(2)}=J_{1}^{2}, \frac{1}{20} C_{1, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}$ :

| $m$ | $n_{0, m}^{(1)}$ | $n_{1, m}^{(1)}$ | $n_{2, m}^{(1)}$ | $n^{(1)} 1_{3, m}$ | $n_{4, m}^{(1)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | -1 | 384 | -90000 | 13919744 | 31152804996 |
| 2 | -41 | 24576 | -7990080 | 1785169920 | -301991420880 |
| 3 | -3403 | 2812800 | -1230118560 | 369021660288 | -84154079407488 |
| 4 | -374322 | 397171200 | -219729224832 | 83117668597760 | -23932769831261760 |
| 5 | -48251945 | 62575303680 | -41951914533360 | 19174105171468800 | -6670224866876828160 |

$$
b_{2}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{2}+4 J_{2}^{2}, \frac{1}{16320} C_{2, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}:
$$

| $m$ | $n_{0, m}^{2}$ | $n_{1, m}^{2}$ | $n_{2, m}^{2}$ | $n_{3, m}^{2}$ | $n+3$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 0 | 6 | -1893 | 439256 | 2661669198 |
| 2 | 0 | 189 | -102750 | 31221300 | -6618229812 |
| 3 | 0 | 14366 | -11162250 | 4632513522 | -1326773710832 |
| 4 | 0 | 1518750 | -1537867338 | 816075268892 | -297124091742240 |
| 5 | 0 | 191238192 | -238866784083 | 154724059936392 | -68479975849390752 |

Adding of the point $\nu_{5}^{*}=(0,-1,-1,-6,-9)$ correspond to an blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ along an $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and leads to model (5). This transition has a close similarity to the transition by shrinking (blowing) a Del Pezzo surface studied in [3] [42] as in the fourfold a six-cycle shrinks along the $E_{8}$ Del Pezzo ${ }^{28}$ surface to $T$ invariant orbit in the base. In fact we will see the $E_{8}$ partition function

$$
\hat{\Lambda}_{E_{8}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=\text { even }} \frac{\theta_{\alpha}^{8}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{12}}=1+252 q+5130 q^{2}+\ldots
$$

[^5] fibrations types.
appearing as counting functional of the instantons in the appropriate normalized threepoint functions, marked by the $*$ in the table below (as well as the higher degree invariants of the shrinking Del Pezzo, marked with the $\diamond)$. This model has two phases and in the first the Stanley Reisner ideal is given by $\mathcal{S}=\left\{x_{2} x_{5}, x_{1} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}, x_{6} x_{7} x_{8}\right\}$. The Mori generators below correspond to the classes of the curve in the $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)$ bundle (2), a section of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ base in this bundle (1) and the class of the elliptic fibre over $B(3)$ :
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& l^{(1)}=(0 ; 0,1,0,-1,1,0,0,-1), \\
& l^{(2)}=(0 ; 1,0,1, \quad 1,0,0,0,-3),  \tag{9.16}\\
& l^{(3)}=(-6 ; 0,0,0, \quad 0,0,2,3, \quad 1)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The classical couplings are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{C}_{0}=J_{1} J_{2}^{2} J_{3}+J_{2}^{3} J_{3}+3 J_{1} J_{2} J_{3}^{2}+4 J_{2}^{2} J_{3}^{2}+ \\
& \quad 9 J_{1} J_{3}^{3}+15 J_{2} J_{3}^{3}+54 J_{3}^{4}  \tag{9.17}\\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}=36 J_{1} J_{2}+102 J_{1} J_{3}+48 J_{2}^{2}+172 J_{2} J_{3}+618 J_{3}^{2} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{3}=-540 J_{1}-900 J_{2}-3258 J_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Analogous as in [3] one has to flop the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ in $B$ first. As such flops were not discussed in the fourfolds context let us give the data of this transition to the second phase whose Mori generators are $l^{\prime(1)}=-l(1), l^{\prime(2)}=l^{(1)}+l^{(2)}$ and $l^{\prime(3)}=l^{(1)}+l^{(3)}$. The Stanley Reisner Ideal changes to $\mathcal{S}=\left\{x_{4} x_{8}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}, x_{6} x_{7} x_{8}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7}\right\}$ while the classical couplings become

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{0} & =J_{3}^{\prime} J_{2}^{\prime 3}+4 J_{2}^{\prime 2} J_{3}^{\prime 2}+15 J_{3}^{\prime 3} J_{2}^{\prime}+54 J_{3}^{\prime 4}+J_{1}^{\prime} J_{2}^{\prime 3}+4 J_{1}^{\prime} J_{2}^{\prime 2} J_{3}^{\prime}+16 J_{1}^{\prime} J_{3}^{\prime 2} J_{2}^{\prime} \\
& +60 J_{1}^{\prime} J_{3}^{\prime 3}+4 J_{1}^{\prime 2} J_{2}^{\prime 2}+16 J_{2}^{\prime} J_{3}^{\prime} J_{1}^{\prime 2}+64 J_{1}^{\prime 2} J_{3}^{\prime 2}+16 J_{1}^{\prime 3} J_{2}^{\prime}+64 J_{1}^{\prime 3} J_{3}^{\prime}+64 J_{1}^{\prime 4}  \tag{9.18}\\
\mathcal{C}_{2} & =48 J_{2}^{\prime 2}+172 J_{2}^{\prime} J_{3}^{\prime}+182 J_{2}^{\prime} J_{1}^{\prime}+618 J_{3}^{\prime 2}+688 J_{3}^{\prime} J_{1}^{\prime}+728 J_{1}^{\prime 2} \\
\mathcal{C}_{3} & =-900 J_{2}^{\prime}-3258 J_{3}^{\prime}-3620 J_{1}^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

The positive scaling relations on the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-18 ; 1,0,1,1,0,6,9,0) \\
& (-24 ; 1,1,1,0,1,8,12,0)  \tag{9.19}\\
& (-6 ; 0,0,0,0,0,2,3,1)
\end{align*}
$$

and the Weierstrass form

$$
x_{7}^{2}=x_{6}^{3}+x_{6} x_{8}^{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu, \rho} x_{1}^{\mu} x_{3}^{\rho} x_{2}^{\nu} x_{5}^{16-\mu-\nu-\rho} x_{4}^{12-\mu-\rho}+x_{8}^{6} \sum_{\mu, \nu, \rho} x_{1}^{\mu} x_{3}^{\rho} x_{2}^{\nu} x_{5}^{24-\mu-\nu-\rho} x_{4}^{18-\mu-\rho} .
$$

The singularity at $D_{4}$, near $x_{2}=x_{5}=0$ and along $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$ is recognized as the canonical singularity with crepant blowup which signals the collapse of the $E_{8}$ Del Pezzo surface [3] and is smoothed to a generic member of the family $X_{24}(1,1,1,1,8,12)$ by perturbing with those terms, which were forbidden by the first scaling relation. This completes the transition to the fibration over $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.
With the choice of basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{2}, \quad b_{2}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{3}+J_{3}^{2}, \quad b_{3}^{(2)}=J_{2}^{2}, \quad b_{4}^{(2)}=J_{2} J_{3}+3 J_{3}^{2}, \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the following data for the quantum cohomology ring

$$
\eta_{2,2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 3 & 0 & 10 \\
3 & 72 & 5 & 207 \\
0 & 5 & 0 & 13 \\
10 & 207 & 13 & 580
\end{array}\right)
$$

$b_{1}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{2}, C_{1, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}:$

| $m$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 0,3}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 0,4}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(1)} n_{n}^{(1)} 1_{m, 2,0}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 2,2}^{(1)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | -1080 | 143370 | -12 |  |
| 1 | $1^{*}$ | $252^{*}$ | $5130^{*}$ | $54760^{*}$ | $419895^{*}$ | -19 | 6840 | -1578960 | -1149120 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | $-2 \cdot 9252^{\diamond}$ | $-2 \cdot 673760^{\diamond}$ | $-2 \cdot 20534040^{\diamond}$ | 1 | -360 | 156060 | 344 | -182520 |

$b_{2}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{3}+J_{3}^{2}, \frac{1}{12} C_{(2, i, j)}^{(2,1,1)}:$

| $m$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 2,0}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{(2)}$ | $n$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 315 | 630 | 945 | 0 | -630 | 167265 | 0 | 1575 | -670320 |
| 1 | 0 | 249 | 9495 | 0 | 1890 | -577485 | 0 | 34020 | 16320375 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | -17268 | 0 | 0 | 56970 | 0 | 59535 | -31350510 |

$b_{3}^{(2)}=J_{2}^{2}, \frac{1}{2} C_{3, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}:$

| $m^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 2,0}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{3}$ | $n_{m, 2,2}^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -1260 | 236520 | -19 | 7920 | -1624950 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10 | 3600 | -831600 | 256 | -133560 | 38111040 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0520 | -410 | 230400 | -72511020 |

$$
b_{4}^{(2)}=J_{2} J_{3}+3 J_{3}^{2}, \frac{1}{12} C_{4, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}:
$$

| $m$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 2,0}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{(4)}$ | $n \underset{m, 2,2}{(4)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 885 | 1770 | 0 | -1770 | 469935 | 0 | 4425 | -1883280 |
| 1 | 0 | 489 | 18945 | 0 | -5310 | -1606995 | 0 | -95580 | 45813825 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | -34383 | 0 | 0 | 113670 | 0 | 167265 | -87245010 |

The blow up to (3), which is the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ over $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is described torically by adding the point $\nu_{5}^{*}=(0,-1,0,-4,-6)$ to (9.15). For this case we have the Mori generators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& l^{(1)}=(0 ; 1,0,1,-1,1,0,0,-2), \\
& l^{(2)}=(0 ; 0,1,0, \quad 1,0,0,0,-2),  \tag{9.21}\\
& l^{(3)}=(-6 ; 0,0,0, \quad 0,0,2,3, \quad 1),
\end{align*}
$$

The associated Kähler classes control the volume of the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, the volume of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ fibre and the volume of the elliptic fibre. The Picard-Fuchs equations are :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{1}=-\theta_{1}^{3}-\left(-1+\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\left(-2+2 \theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right)\left(-1+2 \theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right) z_{1} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2}=\theta_{2}\left(-\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)-\left(-2+2 \theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right)\left(-1+2 \theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right) z_{2}  \tag{9.22}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{3}=\theta_{3}\left(-2 \theta_{1}-2 \theta_{2}+\theta_{3}\right)-12\left(-5+6 \theta_{3}\right)\left(-1+6 \theta_{3}\right) z_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The classical couplings

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{0} & =J_{3} J_{1}^{2} J_{2}+J_{3} J_{2}^{2} J_{1}+J_{3} J_{2}^{3}+2 J_{3}^{2} J_{1}^{2}+4 J_{2} J_{1} J_{3}^{2} \\
& +4 J_{3}^{2} J_{2}^{2}+12 J_{3}^{3} J_{1}+16 J_{3}^{3} J_{2}+56 J_{3}^{4} \\
\mathcal{C}_{2} & =24 J_{1}^{2}+48 J_{1} J_{2}+138 J_{1} J_{3}+48 J_{2}^{2}+182 J_{2} J_{3}+640 J_{3}^{2}  \tag{9.23}\\
\mathcal{C}_{3} & =-720 J_{1}-960 J_{2}-3378 J_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

show that there is also a $K_{3}$ fibration over the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Basis of $H^{2,2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{(2)}=J_{1}^{2}, \quad b_{2}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{2}+J_{2}^{2}, \quad b_{3}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{3}+2 J_{3}^{2}, \quad b_{4}^{(2)}=J_{2} J_{3}+2 J_{3}^{2} \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\eta_{2,2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\
0 & 0 & 18 & 18 \\
4 & 18 & 274 & 284 \\
5 & 18 & 284 & 292
\end{array}\right)
$$

The following invariants are read off from the normalized threepoint functions $b_{1}^{(2)}=J_{1}^{2}, C_{1, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}$ :

| $m$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(1)}$ | $n^{(1)} 1_{m, 2,0}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{(1)}$ | $n_{m, 2,2}^{(1)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | -1 | 240 | 141444 | -14 | 5040 | -1096200 | 51 | 22800 | -5263920 |
| 2 | 1 | -240 | 28200 | -6 | 2640 | -703800 | -616 | 356160 | -110457000 |

$b_{2}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{2}+J_{2}^{2}, \frac{1}{2} C_{(2, i, j)}^{(2,1,1)}:$

| $m$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 2,0}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{(2)}$ | $n_{m, 2,2}^{(2)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 720 | 424332 | 0 | 0 | 1440 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -20 | 7680 | -1716840 | -138 | -62400 | -15292440 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -820 | 491520 | -155976240 |

$b_{3}^{(2)}=J_{1} J_{3}+2 J_{3}^{2}, \frac{1}{24} C_{3, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}:$

| $m$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 2,0}^{(3)}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{3}$ | $n_{m, 2,2}^{(3)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 310 | 620 | 0 | 310 | 501273 | 0 | 0 | 620 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 64710 | 0 | 1860 | -586830 | 0 | 9300 | -3818580 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58590 | -31852500 |

$b_{4}^{(2)}=J_{2} J_{3}+2 J_{3}^{2}, \frac{1}{24} C_{4, i, j}^{(2,1,1)}:$

| $m$ | $n_{m, 0,0}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 0,1}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 0,2}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 1,0}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 1,1}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 1,2}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 2,0}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 2,1}^{(4)}$ | $n_{m, 2,2}^{(4)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 130 | 260 | 0 | 130 | 235266 | 0 | 0 | 260 |
| 1 | 0 | -260 | 69030 | 0 | -2080 | 761670 | 0 | -7020 | 3118050 |
| 2 | 0 | 320 | 640 | 0 | 320 | 547029 | 0 | 0 | 640 |

Let us finally discuss the transition between the first two models in table (6.5). The four parameter model has as polyhedron the convex hull of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nu_{1}^{*}=(-1,0,0,2,3), \nu_{2}^{*}=(0,-1,0,2,3), \nu_{3}^{*}=(0,0,0,0,-1), \nu_{4}^{*}=(0,0,0,-1,0) \\
& \nu_{5}^{*}=(0,0,0,2,3), \nu_{6}^{*}=(0,0,1,2,3), \nu_{7}^{*}=(1,1,3,2,3), \nu_{8}^{*}=(0,0,-1,2,3) \\
& \nu_{9}^{*}=(0,0,-1,1,2) \tag{9.25}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& l^{(1)}=(-2 ; 0,0,1,0, \quad 1, \quad 0,0,-2, \quad 2), \\
& l^{(2)}=(0 ; 1,1,0,0,0,-3,1, \quad 0,0),  \tag{9.26}\\
& l^{(3)}=(0 ; 0,0,0,0,-2,1,0, \quad 1,0), \\
& l^{(4)}=(-2 ; 0,0,1,1, \quad 0, \quad 0,0, \quad 1,-1) . \\
& \mathcal{C}_{0}=12 J_{2} J_{1}^{3}+6 J_{2}^{2} J_{4}^{2}+18 J_{1}^{2} J_{3}^{2}+324 J_{1} J_{4}^{3}+9 J_{1} J_{3}^{3}+18 J_{4} J_{3}^{3}+ \\
& 54 J_{4}^{2} J_{3}^{2}+162 J_{3} J_{4}^{3}+72 J_{1}^{4}+54 J_{2} J_{4}^{3}+216 J_{1}^{2} J_{4}^{2}+36 J_{3} J_{1}^{3}+ \\
& 144 J_{4} J_{1}^{3}+2 J_{2}^{2} J_{1}^{2}+6 J_{2} J_{4} J_{3}^{2}+3 J_{2} J_{1} J_{3}^{2}+36 J_{2} J_{1} J_{4}^{2}+6 J_{2} J_{3} J_{1}^{2}+ \\
& 24 J_{2} J_{4} J_{1}^{2}+18 J_{2} J_{3} J_{4}^{2}+108 J_{1} J_{3} J_{4}^{2}+2 J_{2}^{2} J_{3} J_{4}+J_{2}^{2} J_{3} J_{1}+4 J_{2}^{2} J_{1} J_{4}+  \tag{9.27}\\
& 36 J_{1} J_{4} J_{3}^{2}+72 J_{4} J_{3} J_{1}^{2}+486 J_{4}^{4}+12 J_{2} J_{4} J_{3} J_{1} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}=216 J_{3}^{2}+582 J_{3} J_{4}+408 J_{3} J_{1}+72 J_{3} J_{2}+1746 J_{4}^{2} \\
& +1164 J_{4} J_{1}+198 J_{4} J_{2}+816 J_{1}^{2}+138 J_{1} J_{2}+24 J_{2}^{2} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{3}=-1674 J_{3}-5076 J_{4}-3366 J_{1}-558 J_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The transition to the three parameter model is described by the omission of the point $\nu_{9}^{*}$ from the polyhedron (9.25). The Mori generators of the three parameter model are $l^{\left(1^{\prime}\right)}=2 l^{(4)}+l^{(1)}, l^{\left(2^{\prime}\right)}=l^{(2)}$ and $l^{\left(3^{\prime}\right)}=l^{(3)}$. We have adapted our notation to [20], so that the indices of $x_{i}$ are shifted by one to make place for the additional coordinate of the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (instead of $\left.\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ at $x_{1}$. The elliptic fibre has again type $(1,0,0,2)$. The conic bundle at $D_{9}=0$ is $x_{3}^{2} f_{8}+x_{4}^{2}+x_{8}^{2} f_{20}+x_{3} x_{4} f_{4}+x_{3} x_{8} f_{14}+x_{4} x_{8} f_{1} 0$ over $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{6}$ coordinates degenerates over a curve of genus 351. The contraction of the conic bundle to a singular form of the parameter model is given by the map $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{9}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} x_{9}, x_{4} x_{9}, x_{5}, x_{6} x_{7}, x_{8} x_{9}\right)$.

The classical couplings of the three parameter model are essentially obtained by restricting (9.27) to $J_{4}=0$, only $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ changes to $\mathcal{C}_{3}=-4338 J_{1}-720 J_{2}-2160 J_{3}$.

Appendix A: Kodaira's classification of elliptic fibre singularities.

| ord $(f)$ | ord $(g)$ | ord $(\Delta)$ | fibre | singularity | $a_{i}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\geq 0$ | $\geq 0$ | 0 | smooth | none | - |
| 0 | 0 | $n$ | $I_{n}$ | $A_{n-1}$ | $\frac{n}{12}$ |
| $\geq 1$ | 1 | 2 | $I I$ | none | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| $\geq 1$ | $\geq 2$ | 3 | $I I I$ | $A_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| $\geq 2$ | 2 | 4 | $I V$ | $A_{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 2 | $\geq 3$ | $n+6$ | $I_{n}^{*}$ | $D_{n+4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{12}$ |
| $\geq 2$ | 3 | $n+6$ | $I_{n}^{*}$ | $D_{n+4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{12}$ |
| $\geq 3$ | 4 | 8 | $I V^{*}$ | $E_{6}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ |
| 3 | $\geq 5$ | 9 | $I I I^{*}$ | $E_{7}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
| $\geq 4$ | 5 | 10 | $I I^{*}$ | $E_{8}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |

Tab. 1 Classification of the singular fibres occurring in an non-singular elliptic surface with section [65] [2]. The last entry is the Euler number of the singular fibre divided by 12. For $\operatorname{ord}(\Delta>10)$ there exist no resolution with trivial canonical bundle.

## Table B. 1 CY - Fourfolds with negative Euler number

* indicates that no reflexive polyhedron exists.


Table B. 2 CY - Fourfolds with vanishing Euler number

* indicates that no reflexive polyhedron exists.

| $N^{o}$ | $\chi$ | $h_{11}$ | $h_{21}$ | $h_{22}$ | $h_{31}$ | $w_{1}$ | $w_{2}$ | $w_{3}$ | $w_{4}$ | $w_{5}$ | $w_{6}$ | $d$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | 0 | 21 | 75 | 162 | 46 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 21 | 77 |  |  |
| 111 | 0 | 24 | 126 | 264 | 94 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 23 | 41 | 105 |  |  |
| $112^{*}$ | 0 | 24 | 80 | 172 | 48 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 29 | 110 |  |  |
| 113 | 0 | 24 | 126 | 264 | 94 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 16 | 30 | 45 | 120 |  |  |
| 114 | 0 | 24 | 126 | 264 | 94 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 26 | 47 | 120 |  |  |
| $115^{*}$ | 0 | 24 | 80 | 172 | 48 | 12 | 12 | 22 | 24 | 27 | 35 | 132 |  |  |
| $116^{*}$ | 0 | 24 | 80 | 172 | 48 | 15 | 15 | 23 | 27 | 30 | 55 | 165 |  |  |
| 117 | 0 | 24 | 126 | 264 | 94 | 14 | 14 | 23 | 26 | 28 | 105 | 210 |  |  |
| $118^{*}$ | 0 | 25 | 72 | 156 | 39 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 117 |  |  |
| 119 | 0 | 25 | 111 | 234 | 78 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 24 | 36 | 66 | 168 |  |  |
| 120 | 0 | 26 | 74 | 160 | 40 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 40 | 136 |  |  |
| 121 | 0 | 27 | 84 | 180 | 49 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 24 | 35 | 120 |  |  |
| 122 | 0 | 27 | 96 | 204 | 61 | 11 | 18 | 22 | 30 | 33 | 84 | 198 |  |  |
| $123^{*}$ | 0 | 30 | 72 | 156 | 34 | 16 | 16 | 23 | 28 | 29 | 32 | 144 |  |  |
| $124^{*}$ | 0 | 30 | 72 | 156 | 34 | 20 | 20 | 27 | 28 | 40 | 45 | 180 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $N^{o}$ | $\chi$ | $h_{11}$ | $h_{21}$ | $h_{22}$ | $h_{31}$ | $w_{1}$ | $w_{2}$ | $w_{3}$ | $w_{4}$ | $w_{5}$ | $w_{6}$ | $d$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $125^{*}$ | 0 | 30 | 72 | 156 | 34 | 20 | 20 | 29 | 35 | 36 | 40 | 180 |
| 126 | 0 | 31 | 64 | 140 | 25 | 19 | 30 | 36 | 38 | 48 | 57 | 228 |
| 127 | 0 | 33 | 108 | 228 | 67 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 29 | 39 | 52 | 168 |
| 128 | 0 | 34 | 84 | 180 | 42 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 24 | 60 | 68 | 204 |
| 129 | 0 | 37 | 108 | 228 | 63 | 9 | 18 | 36 | 49 | 56 | 84 | 252 |
| 130 | 0 | 40 | 120 | 252 | 72 | 12 | 12 | 36 | 37 | 51 | 68 | 216 |
| 131 | 0 | 41 | 108 | 228 | 59 | 12 | 12 | 38 | 57 | 60 | 61 | 240 |
| 132 | 0 | 49 | 84 | 180 | 27 | 28 | 32 | 38 | 49 | 49 | 98 | 294 |
| 133 | 0 | 53 | 84 | 180 | 23 | 35 | 37 | 40 | 56 | 56 | 112 | 336 |
| 134 | 0 | 56 | 108 | 228 | 44 | 14 | 18 | 55 | 55 | 78 | 110 | 330 |
| 135 | 0 | 62 | 168 | 348 | 98 | 12 | 12 | 61 | 72 | 87 | 116 | 360 |
| 136 | 0 | 63 | 108 | 228 | 37 | 12 | 25 | 41 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 312 |
| 137 | 0 | 63 | 108 | 228 | 37 | 14 | 25 | 52 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 364 |
| 138 | 0 | 64 | 114 | 240 | 42 | 9 | 20 | 48 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 308 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B. 3 Elliptic fibred K3

| $d$ | $w_{1}$ | 2 | ${ }_{3}$ | $w_{4}$ | $P$ | $\mathcal{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | $x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}+x_{3}^{3}+x_{4}^{3}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| 9 |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | $x_{1}^{9}+x_{2}^{4} x_{1}+x_{3}^{3}+x_{4}^{3}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| 12 |  | 3 |  | 4 | $x_{1}^{12}+x_{2}^{4}+x_{3}^{3}+x_{4}^{3}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| 15 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | $x_{1}^{6} x_{2}+x_{2}^{5}+x_{3}^{3}+x_{4}^{3}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| 8 |  |  |  | 4 | $x_{1}^{8}+x_{2}^{8}+x_{3}^{4}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| 12 |  | 2 | 3 | 6 | $x_{1}^{12}+x_{2}^{6}+x_{3}^{4}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{8} E_{7}$ |
| 16 |  | 3 |  | 8 | $x_{1}^{16}+x_{2}^{5} x_{1}+x_{3}^{4}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| 20 |  | 3 |  | 10 | $x_{1}^{10}+x_{2}^{6} x_{1}+x_{3}^{4}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| 20 |  | 4 | 5 | 10 | $x_{1}^{20}+x_{2}^{5}+x_{3}^{4}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| 28 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 14 | $x_{1}^{8} x_{2}+x_{2}^{7}+x_{3}^{4}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | $x_{1}^{9}+x_{2}^{9}+x_{3}^{3}+x_{4}^{2} x_{1}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime}$ |
| 15 |  | 2 | 5 | 7 | $x_{1}^{15}+x_{2}^{4} x_{4}+x_{3}^{3}+x_{4}^{2} x_{1}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime}$ |
| 21 |  | 3 | 7 | 10 | $x_{1}^{21}+x_{2}^{7}+x_{3}^{3}+x_{4}^{2} x_{1}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime}$ |
| 10 |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | $x_{1}^{10}+x_{2}^{10}+x_{3}^{3} x_{1}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 16 |  | 2 | 5 | 8 | $x_{1}^{16}+x_{2}^{8}+x_{3}^{3} x_{1}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 18 |  | 3 | 5 | 9 | $x_{1}^{18}+x_{2}^{6}+x_{3}^{3} x_{2}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 22 |  | 3 | 7 | 11 | $x_{1}^{22}+x_{2}^{5} x_{3}+x_{3}^{3} x_{1}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 28 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 14 | $x_{1}^{28}+x_{2}^{7}+x_{3}^{3} x_{1}+x_{4}^{2}$ | $E_{8}^{\prime \prime}$ |



Table B. 4 Elliptic fibred CY - Fourfolds with small Picard number

* indicates that $\chi$ not divisible by 24 .

| $N^{O}$ | $F$ | $\chi h$ | $h_{11} h$ | ${ }_{21} \quad h_{22} \quad h_{31}$ | $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} w_{6}$ | d | $N^{O} \quad F$ | $\chi h_{1}$ | 11 h | ${ }_{21} \quad h_{22} \quad h_{31}$ | $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} w_{6}$ | $d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | E8 | ${ }^{*} 13362$ | 2 | $0 \quad 89202217$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 26 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 | $61 E 8^{\prime}$ | 17184 | 4 | 0114682852 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 11 & 17\end{array}$ | 34 |
| 2 | E8 | 76 | 2 | 0107962686 | $12 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 3 & 5 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 | $62 E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 17328 | 4 | 0115642876 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 13 & 19\end{array}$ | 39 |
| 2 | 8 | 20832 | 2 | 01390 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 14 & 21\end{array}$ | 42 | 63 E8 | 17328 | 4 | 0115642876 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 26 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 |
| 4 | E8 | 22776 | 2 | 0151963786 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 10 & 15\end{array}$ | 30 | $64 E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 17544 | 4 | 0117082912 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 9 & 13\end{array}$ | 27 |
| 5 | 8 | 23328 | 2 | 0155643878 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 8 & 12\end{array}$ | 24 | E8 | 17544 | 4 | 0117082912 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 18 & 27\end{array}$ | 54 |
| 6 | E8 | 8424 | 3 | 056281393 | $2 \begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 28 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 | 66 E8' | 20208 | 4 | 0134843356 |  | 52 |
| 7 | E7 | * 8484 | 3 | 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 14\end{array}$ | 28 | 67 E8 | 20688 | 4 | 7138183443 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 16 & 24\end{array}$ | 48 |
| 8 | 7 | *92 | 3 | $0 \quad 61961535$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 10\end{array}$ | 20 | 68 E8' | * 22854 | 4 | 0152483797 | $1 \begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 11 & 17\end{array}$ | 34 |
| 9 | E7 | 950 | 3 | $0 \quad 63481573$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 8\end{array}$ | 16 | 69 E8 | 23328 | 4 | 1155663877 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 16 & 24\end{array}$ | 48 |
| 10 | $E 8$ | 10992 | 3 | $0 \quad 73401821$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 17 & 28\end{array}$ | 56 | 0 E8 | * 24234 | 4 | 0161684027 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 |
| 11 | E8 | * 1 | 3 | 0 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 34 & 51\end{array}$ | 102 | 1 E8 | 24264 | 4 | 2161924034 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 20 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 |
| 12 | E8 | 142 | 3 | $0 \quad 95002361$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 3248\end{array}$ | 96 | $72 \quad E 8$ | * 3119 | 4 | 0208085187 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 14 & 21\end{array}$ | 42 |
| 13 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 1 | 3 | $0 \quad 96682403$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 18\end{array}$ | 36 | 73 E6 | 3240 | 5 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 2172 & 527\end{array}$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 10 & 10\end{array}$ | 30 |
| 14 | E8 | 15 | 3 | 0101722529 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 20 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 | E6 | 333 | 5 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 2236 & 543\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | 24 |
| 15 | E8 | 15 | 3 | 0104282593 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 16 & 24\end{array}$ | 48 | $75 \quad E 6$ | 3408 | 5 | 02284555 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 11 & 11\end{array}$ | 33 |
| 16 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 16242 | 3 | 0108402696 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 13\end{array}$ | 26 | $76 \quad$ E7 | * 3516 | 5 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 2356 & 573\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 17 & 34\end{array}$ | 68 |
| 17 | $E 8$ | 18528 | 3 | 01236 | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 13 & 20\end{array}$ | 40 | $77 \quad E 6$ | 417 | 5 | $0 \quad 2796 \quad 683$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | 18 |
| 18 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 1895 | 3 | 0126483148 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 11\end{array}$ | 22 | 78 E8 | * 493 | 5 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 3304 & 810\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}4 & 5 & 7 & 13 & 58 & 87\end{array}$ | 174 |
| 19 | E8 | 19056 | 3 | 0127163165 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 26 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 | $79 \quad E 6$ | 547 | 5 | $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 3662 & 900\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | 18 |
| 20 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 19 | 3 | 12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 9 & 14\end{array}$ | 28 | $80 \quad E 7$ | 597 | 5 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 3998 & 984\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 15 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 |
| 21 | E8 | 19728 | 3 | 13164327 | $2 \begin{array}{lllll}2 & 2 & 1218\end{array}$ | 36 | E7 | * 61 | 5 | 040841005 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 9 & 18\end{array}$ | 36 |
| 22 | E8 | * 22122 | 3 | 1147623677 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 18 & 27\end{array}$ | 54 | $82 E 8^{\prime}$ | 7 | 5 | $0 \quad 49561223$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 31 & 48\end{array}$ | 96 |
| 23 | E8 | 26208 | 3 | 174864358 | 1218 | 36 | $83 E 8^{\prime}$ | 74 | 5 | $0 \quad 49721227$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 19 & 31\end{array}$ | 62 |
| 24 | E6 | 43 | 4 | 24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | 21 | $84 E 8{ }^{\prime}$ | 751 | 5 | $0 \quad 50201239$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 26 & 40\end{array}$ | 80 |
| 25 | E8 | 47 | 4 | 03148 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 44 & 66\end{array}$ | 132 | 7 | 77 | 5 | 051881281 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 13 & 26\end{array}$ | 52 |
| 26 | E6 | 4776 | 4 | 03196 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 5\end{array}$ | 15 | 8 | 832 | 5 | $7 \quad 55781382$ | $2 \begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 32 & 48\end{array}$ | 96 |
| 27 | E6 | 48 | 4 | 0 3276 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 4\end{array}$ | 12 | E8 | 87 | 5 | $0 \quad 58521447$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 7 & 8 & 40 & 60\end{array}$ | 120 |
| 28 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 6 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 23 & 37\end{array}$ | 74 | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 885 | 5 | $0 \quad 59161463$ | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 16 & 22\end{array}$ | 48 |
| 29 | E7 | 6240 | 4 | 0 | 122341020 | 40 | $89 E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | * 88 | 5 | $0 \quad 59281466$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 22 & 31\end{array}$ | 66 |
| 30 | E7 | 64 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 16\end{array}$ | 32 | $90 \mathrm{E} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 892 | 5 | $0 \quad 59641475$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 19 & 25\end{array}$ | 57 |
| 31 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 6 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 29 & 46\end{array}$ | 92 | 1 E8 | 8928 | 5 | $0 \quad 59641475$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 38 & 57\end{array}$ | 114 |
| 32 | E7 |  | 4 | 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 12\end{array}$ | 24 | $92 \quad E 7$ | 9504 | 5 | 3501572 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 16\end{array}$ | 32 |
| 33 | E8 | 8640 | 4 | 0 | $3 \quad 51723$ | 51 | E7 | 98 | 5 | 265921633 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 10 & 20\end{array}$ | 40 |
| 34 | E8 | 8640 | 4 | $0 \quad 57721428$ | 1 | 102 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 10032 | 5 | $0 \quad 67001659$ | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 29 & 46\end{array}$ | 22 |
| 35 | E8 | 88 | 4 | 12 | 9 | 78 | 8 | 10176 | 5 | 9668141692 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 20 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 |
| 36 | E8 | * 8 | 4 | 1 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 36 & 54\end{array}$ | 108 | E8 | 10464 | 5 | $0 \quad 69881731$ | $2 \begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 3 & 7 & 13 & 50 & 75\end{array}$ | 150 |
| 37 | $E 7$ |  | 4 | 160141489 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 18\end{array}$ | 36 | 97 E8' | 10 | 5 | $9 \quad 71661780$ | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 19 & 30\end{array}$ | 0 |
| 3 | E8 | 10 | 4 | 168461697 | 114263 | 126 | E7 | * 1 | 5 | 072041785 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 9 & 18\end{array}$ | 36 |
| 39 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 10344 | 4 | 0 | 452235 | 70 | ' | * 1 | 5 | 074081836 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 19 & 31\end{array}$ | 62 |
| 40 | E8 | 1 | 4 | 170861757 | $2 \begin{array}{llllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 24 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 | 100 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 11256 | 5 | $0 \quad 75161863$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 14 & 20\end{array}$ | 42 |
| 4 | E7 | 10 | 4 | 171181765 | 1 | 24 | E8' | 12 | 5 | 075161863 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 15 & 24\end{array}$ | 48 |
| 42 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 10 | 4 | 072681802 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 16 & 26\end{array}$ | 52 | 102 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 112 | 5 | $0 \quad 75321867$ | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 19 & 27\end{array}$ | 57 |
| 3 | E8 | 11 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 13 & 21\end{array}$ | 42 | E8 | 112 | 5 | 075321867 | $2 \begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 10 & 38 & 57\end{array}$ | 114 |
|  | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 4 | 0 | $2 \begin{array}{llll}2 & 1115\end{array}$ | 33 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 11 | 5 | $0 \quad 78441945$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 26 & 41\end{array}$ | 82 |
| 45 | E8 | 115 | 4 | $0 \quad 77241916$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | * 11 | 5 | 080081986 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 14 & 19\end{array}$ | 42 |
| 46 | $E 8$ | * 118 | 4 | $0 \quad 791$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 17 & 27\end{array}$ | 54 | 106 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1214 | 5 | $0 \quad 81082011$ | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 14 & 23\end{array}$ | 46 |
| 47 | $E 8^{\prime}$ |  | 4 | 0 | 32 | 64 | E8 | 24 | 5 | 1983382078 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 28 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 |
| 48 | E8 | 124 | 4 | 183342069 | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 32\end{array}$ | 96 | E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 126 | 5 | $0 \quad 84282091$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 14\end{array}$ | 30 |
| 49 | E8 | * 127 | 4 | $0 \quad 84842106$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 14\end{array}$ | 44 | $E 7$ | 126 | 5 | $0 \quad 84602099$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 7 & 14\end{array}$ | 28 |
| 50 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 129 | 4 | 086122138 | 51930 | 60 | 110 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 30 | 5 | 187022160 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 9 & 12\end{array}$ | 27 |
| 51 | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 1320 |  | 088122188 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 11\end{array}$ | 24 | 8 | 130 | 5 | 187022160 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 18 & 27\end{array}$ | 54 |
| 52 | E8 | * 13 | 4 | $10 \quad 89882237$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 3 & 4 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 | E8 | 13248 | 5 | 1288682207 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 36 & 54\end{array}$ | 108 |
| 53 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 1 | 4 | 093642326 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 19 & 29\end{array}$ | 58 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 1332 | 5 | 088922207 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 12 & 19\end{array}$ | 38 |
| 54 | E8 | 148 | 4 | 099162464 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 18\end{array}$ | 54 | E8 | 138 | 5 | 692882309 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 28 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 |
| 55 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 14 | 4 | $0 \quad 99642476$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 16\end{array}$ | 32 | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 1425 | 5 | 095162363 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 12 & 17\end{array}$ | 36 |
| 56 | E8 | 1516 | 4 | 12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 14 & 23\end{array}$ | 46 | E8' | * 150 | 5 | 00762506 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 13 & 21\end{array}$ | 42 |
| 57 | E8 | 157 | 4 | 1105422621 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 4 & 5 & 24 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 1521 | 5 | 1582524 |  | 40 |
| 58 | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 16776 | 4 | 0111962784 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 10\end{array}$ | 21 | 18 E8 | * 1535 | 5 | 0102482546 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 4 & 5 & 11 & 42 & 63\end{array}$ | 126 |
| 59 | E8 | 16776 | 4 | 0111962784 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 2 & 2 & 14 & 21\end{array}$ | 42 | 119 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1574 | 5 | 0105082611 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 23 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 |
| 60 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | * 17082 | 4 | 1114022836 | 1 1 3 9 15 | 30 | 120 E8 | *16554 | 5 | 5110582751 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 34 & 51\end{array}$ | 102 |

Table B. 4 (continued) CY - Fourfolds with small Picard number

| $N^{O} \quad F \mid$ | $\chi h_{11} h_{21} \quad h_{22} \quad h_{31}$ |  |  | $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} w_{6}$ |  | $N^{O} \quad F \mid$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 E8' | 16728 | 5 | 1111662776 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 15 & 24\end{array}$ | $48$ |  | $\chi h^{\prime}$ | 11 h | $21 . h_{22} \quad h_{31}$ | $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} w_{6}$ | $d$ |
| 122 E8 | 18288 | 5 | 2083037 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 5 & 20 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 | 182 E8 | 9888 | 6 | 066041634 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 8 & 10 & 46 & 69\end{array}$ | 138 |
| 123 E8 | * 18930 | 5 | 1263 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 11 & 38 & 57\end{array}$ | 114 | 183 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | * 10050 | 6 | $0 \quad 67121661$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 20 & 31\end{array}$ | 62 |
| 124 E8' | 18960 | 5 | 126523147 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 14 & 22\end{array}$ | 44 | E8 | 10128 | 6 | 0 67641674 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 |
| 125 E8' | * 19044 | 5 | 127083161 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 10 & 17\end{array}$ | 34 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 10152 | 6 | 167821679 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 28 & 44\end{array}$ | 88 |
| 126 E8 | * 2 | 5 | 139083461 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 28 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 | 186 E8' | 10512 | 6 | $4 \quad 70281742$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 25 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 |
| 127 E8' | * 210 | 5 | 483496 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 15 & 23\end{array}$ | 46 | 187 E8 | 10800 | 6 | 572221791 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 12 & 44 & 66\end{array}$ | 132 |
| 128 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 21120 | 5 | 0140923507 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 11 & 16\end{array}$ | 33 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 109 | 6 | 073401818 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 16 & 25\end{array}$ | 50 |
| 129 E8 | 21120 | 5 | 0140923507 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 11616 | 6 | 177581923 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 12 & 16\end{array}$ | 36 |
| 130 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | * 223 | 5 | 0149283716 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 19 & 29\end{array}$ | 58 | $8^{\prime}$ | *11628 | 6 | $6 \quad 77761930$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 25 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 |
| 131 E8 | 227 | 5 | 151743784 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 20 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 11640 | 6 | $0 \quad 77721926$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 5 & 6 & 22 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 |
| 132 E8' | * 24 | 5 | 0161644025 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 17 & 26\end{array}$ | 52 | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 11760 | 6 | $0 \quad 78521946$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 18 & 26\end{array}$ | 54 |
| 133 E8 | 268 | 5 | 179424472 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 | $8^{\prime}$ | 1178 | 6 | 078681950 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 20 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 |
| 134 E8 | 27072 | 5 | 0180604499 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 34 & 51\end{array}$ | 102 | $E 8^{\prime}$ | 1190 | 6 | 279521972 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 5 & 15 & 25\end{array}$ | 0 |
| 135 E8 | * 2855 | 5 | 46 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 26 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 | E8 | 1202 | 6 | 380341993 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 28 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 |
| 136 E6 | 184 | 6 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1244 & 294\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}5 & 14 & 14\end{array}$ | 42 | E8 | 121 | 6 | 281442020 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 40 & 60\end{array}$ | 120 |
| 137 E6 | 2832 | 6 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1900 & 458\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 13 & 13\end{array}$ | 39 | $E 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 1243 | 6 | $6 \quad 83122064$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 13 & 18\end{array}$ | 39 |
| 138 E6 | 319 | 6 | 02140 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 9 & 9\end{array}$ | 27 | E8 | 124 | 6 | 688122064 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 26 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 |
| 139 E7 | 36 | 6 | 12462599 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 18 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 | E8' | 1243 | 6 | 183022059 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 27 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 |
| $140 \quad E 7$ | 4392 | 6 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 2942 & 719\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 12 & 24\end{array}$ | 48 | 200 E8' | 12 | 6 | 085082110 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 20 & 34\end{array}$ | 68 |
| 141 E7 | 4 | 6 | 0 2972 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 11 & 22\end{array}$ | 44 | 201 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 12792 | 6 | $0 \quad 85402118$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 20 & 29\end{array}$ | 60 |
| 142 E6 | 4632 | 6 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 3102 & 759\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 9\end{array}$ | 27 | E8 | 1324 | 6 | 188462195 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 24 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 |
| 143 E6 | 4 | 6 | 78803 | 8 | 24 | $203 E 8^{\prime}$ | 13344 | 6 | 089082210 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 16 & 27\end{array}$ | 54 |
| 144 E6 | 50 | 6 | $2 \begin{array}{lll}2 & 3392 & 832\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 5 & 10 & 10\end{array}$ | 30 | E8 | 133 | 6 | 6889202216 | 8125278 | 156 |
| 145 E7 | 5112 | 6 | 13422 | 32 | 64 | E8 | * 1 | 6 | 389582224 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 4 & 7 & 9 & 42 & 63\end{array}$ | 126 |
| $146 \mathrm{E} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | 58 | 6 | 03884 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 29 & 41\end{array}$ | 87 | 20 | 13464 | 6 | 089882230 | 462235 | 0 |
| 147 E8 | 58 | 6 | 03884 | $\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 5 & 6 & 14 & 58 & 87\end{array}$ | 174 | E8 | 1375 | 6 | 291842280 | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 5 & 6 & 30 & 45\end{array}$ | 0 |
| 148 E8 | 6048 | 6 | 46 | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 36 & 54\end{array}$ | 108 | 208 E8 | * 138 | 6 | $0 \quad 92402293$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 10 & 13\end{array}$ | 30 |
| 149 E8 | 6048 | 6 | 24048996 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}3 & 4 & 7 & 10 & 48 & 72\end{array}$ | 144 | 8' | 138 | 6 | 092762302 | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 4 & 7 & 29 & 44\end{array}$ | 88 |
| 150 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 61 | 6 | 041081010 | 46 | 92 | E8 | 141 | 6 | 194382343 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 15 & 21\end{array}$ | 45 |
| 151 E8 | * 6 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 4 & 7 & 11 & 50 & 75\end{array}$ | 150 | E8 | 14136 | 6 | 194382343 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 30 & 45\end{array}$ | 90 |
| 152 E7 | * 6 | 6 | $0 \quad 44201088$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 14\end{array}$ | 28 | E8' | 14424 |  | 096282390 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 2 & 2 & 13 & 20\end{array}$ | 40 |
| 153 E7 |  | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 14 & 28\end{array}$ | 56 | 213 E8 | 14 | 6 | 096282390 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 20 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 |
| 154 E7 | 69 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 9 & 18\end{array}$ | 36 | 8 | 14424 | 6 | 0 | $3 \quad 52131$ | 63 |
| 155 E8 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 45 | 90 | E8 | 14424 | 6 | 0 | 6104263 | 126 |
| 156 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 70 | 6 | $0 \quad 47001158$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 26 & 38\end{array}$ | 78 | 216 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1466 | 6 | 097882430 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 2 & 4 & 16 & 25\end{array}$ | 50 |
| 157 E8' | 7080 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 31 & 49\end{array}$ | 98 | $8^{\prime}$ | * 147 | 6 | $0 \quad 98482445$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 4 & 5 & 23 & 35\end{array}$ | 70 |
| 158 E8 | 7 | 6 | 3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}6 & 9 & 44 & 66\end{array}$ | 132 | 21 | 154 | 6 | 284255 | 3112340 | 80 |
| 159 E8' | 73 | 6 | 1 | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 3 & 5 & 23 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 | E8 | 15408 | 6 | 02962560 | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 7 & 9 & 40 & 60\end{array}$ | 120 |
| $160 \quad E 8$ | * 7 | 6 | 049321216 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 52 & 78\end{array}$ | 156 | 220 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 15528 | 6 | 03642574 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 5 & 18 & 28\end{array}$ | 56 |
| 161 E7 | 7488 | 6 | 250081236 | $\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 5 & 10 & 20\end{array}$ | 40 | 221 E8' | 15600 | 6 | 0104122586 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 17 & 26\end{array}$ | 52 |
| 162 E8 |  | 6 | $0 \quad 52081285$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 32 & 49\end{array}$ | 98 | E8 | 15 | 6 | 4122586 | $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 3 & 6 & 26 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 |
| 163 E8' | 7 | 6 | $8 \quad 52921310$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 17 & 27\end{array}$ | 54 | 223 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 15 | 6 | 105882630 | $2 \quad 2 \begin{array}{llll}2 & 116\end{array}$ | 33 |
| 164 E8 |  | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 4 & 5 & 21 & 29\end{array}$ | 63 | E8 | 158 | 6 | 105882630 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 22 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 |
| 165 E8 |  | 6 | $0 \quad 53081310$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 42 & 63\end{array}$ | 126 | 225 E8 | 1612 | 6 | 7842684 | $4 \quad 5124466$ | 132 |
| 166 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 816 | 6 | 054521346 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 34 & 52\end{array}$ | 104 | 226 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 16176 | 6 | 12108202694 | $4 \quad 41626$ | 52 |
| 167 E7 | 849 | 6 | $0 \quad 56761402$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 8 & 14 & 28\end{array}$ | 56 | 227 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 164 | 6 | 09882730 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 4 & 5 & 17 & 28\end{array}$ | 56 |
| 168 E8' | 859 | 6 | 1 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 5 & 21 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 | 228 E8' | * 16806 | 6 | 112242791 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 6 & 16 & 27\end{array}$ | 54 |
| 169 E8' | 866 | 6 | $0 \quad 57881430$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 19 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 | 229 E8 | 17568 | 6 | 17262915 | $2 \begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 6 & 24 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 |
| 170 E8 | * 87 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}3 & 4 & 5 & 17 & 58 & 87\end{array}$ | 174 | 230 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | * 178 | 6 | 119082960 | 1829 | 58 |
| 171 E8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | $\begin{array}{lll}4 & 24 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 | 231 E8 | 1790 | 6 | 119542973 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 4 & 7 & 28 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 |
| 172 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 912 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{ll}6 & 42\end{array}$ | 84 | 232 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | * 179 | 6 | 20082985 | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 5 & 6 & 20 & 33\end{array}$ | 66 |
| 173 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | *917 | 6 | $0 \quad 61281515$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}5 & 6 & 7 & 32 & 51\end{array}$ | 102 | 233 E8 | 18672 | 6 | 3124663101 |  | 96 |
| 174 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | *927 | 6 | 061961532 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 22 & 34\end{array}$ | 68 | $8^{\prime \prime}$ | 1920 | 6 | 128123186 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 17 & 25\end{array}$ | 51 |
| 175 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | *93 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 2 & 3 & 13 & 18\end{array}$ | 39 | 235 E8 | 19200 | 6 | 128123186 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 6 & 8 & 34 & 51\end{array}$ | 102 |
| 176 E8 | *93 | 6 | $0 \quad 62561547$ | $\begin{array}{lll}4 & 26 & 39\end{array}$ | 78 | 236 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | * 2207 | 6 | 147283665 | $2 \quad 51625$ | 50 |
| 177 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 952 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 45 | 237 E8 | 2256 | 6 | 150523746 |  | 72 |
| 178 E8 | 9528 | 6 | $0 \quad 63641574$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 4 & 6 & 30 & 45\end{array}$ | 90 | 238 E8 | 26208 | 6 | 3174904357 | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 4 & 6 & 24 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 |
| 179 E8' | 967 | 6 | $5 \quad 64701603$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 4 & 6 & 22 & 36\end{array}$ | 72 | 239 E8' | 2774 | 6 | 185084610 | $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 4 & 13 & 20\end{array}$ | 40 |
| $180 \quad E 7$ | 986 | 6 | $0 \quad 65881630$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}4 & 5 & 11 & 22\end{array}$ | 44 | 240 E8 | 30336 | 6 | 0202365042 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 20 & 30\end{array}$ | 60 |
| 181 E8 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 9888 | 6 | $0 \quad 66041634$ | 1 3 4 5 23 33 | 69 | 241 E8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | * 33594 | 6 | 0224085585 | $1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 15 & 23\end{array}$ | 46 |
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[^0]:    6 Which excludes 4-tori $T^{8}, K 3 \times T^{4}, T^{2} \times C Y$-3-folds etc. Note however that there are Hyperkähler fourfolds with $h^{2,0} \neq 0$, which are not of of this simple product type.

    7 Beside this it implies $\int_{X} c_{2}^{2}$ is even. It also seems that $c_{2}^{2} \geq 0$, indicating that $\chi \geq-1440$.

[^1]:    8 The later condition was found in 28] requiring the existence of a nowhere vanishing eight dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinor in the $8_{c}$ representation of $S O(8)$.

    9 We have collected in table B. 2 a couple of non-trivial examples, in which $\chi$ is actually zero.
    10 Note that $\tau=0 \bmod 8$ as it must be for even selfdual lattices if $\chi=0 \bmod 24$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{12}$ Let us use the notation $X_{m}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right)_{h^{2,1}}^{h^{1,1}, h^{d-1,1}}$ to summarize the three independent Hodge numbers of a fourfold

[^3]:    ${ }^{16}$ Nine examples appear in 31]. Some Other examples of complete intersections in products of projective spaces are considered in (19].
    17 A list containing admissible weights and the dimensions of $H^{*, *}$ is available on request.

[^4]:    ${ }^{19}$ E.g. for the sixtic in $\mathbb{P}^{5}\left(h^{1,1}=1, h^{2,1}=0, h^{3,1}=426\right)$ it is easy to see that $h^{2,2}(X)=$ $h_{\text {prim }}^{2,2}(X)+h_{\text {prim }}^{2,2}\left(X^{*}\right)=1+\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right] /\left.\partial P\right|_{\text {deg }=12}\right)=1752$, as it also follows from the index theorem. Here $P$ is a degree 6 polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{28}$ Similarly one can observe the shrinking of $E_{7}, E_{6},\left(D_{5}\right)$ Del Pezzo surface in the corresponding

