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#### Abstract

We introduce a new model for elliptic fibrations endowed with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one. We call it a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model. It naturally generalizes several previous models of elliptic fibrations popular in the F-theory literature. The model is also explicitly smooth, thus relevant physical quantities can be computed in terms of topological invariants in straight manner. Since the general fiber is defined by a cubic curve, basic arithmetic operations on the curve can be done using the chord-tangent group law. We will use this model to determine the spectrum of singular fibers of an elliptic fibration of rank one and compute a generating function for its Euler characteristic. With a view toward string theory, we determine a semi-stable degeneration which is understood as a weak coupling limit in F-theory. We show that it satisfies a non-trivial topological relation at the level of homological Chern classes. This identity ensures that the D3 charge in F-theory is the same as the one in the weak coupling limit.
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## 1. Introduction and summary

In the third section of Enumeratio Linearum Tertii Ordinis (The Enumeration of Cubics), Sir Isaac Newton finds that all cubic curves can be put in one of the following four canonical forms $[1-4$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
y x^{2}+A x & =B y^{3}+C y^{2}+D y+E,  \tag{1.1}\\
x y & =A x^{3}+B x^{2}+C x+D,  \tag{1.2}\\
y^{2} & =A x^{3}+B x^{2}+C x+D,  \tag{1.3}\\
y & =A x^{3}+B x^{2}+C x+D . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The second and fourth forms are curves of genus zero as the variable $y$ is a rational function of $x$. The first and third forms are curves of genus one. Since they have rational points, they are actually elliptic curves. The third canonical form was called a cubic hyperbola by Newton. Today, it is universally known as a Weierstrass equation. Its Newton's polygon is a reflexive triangle with six lattice points on its boundary. Its Mordell-Weil group is generically trivial. On the other hand, the first canonical form has two rational points along the line of infinity. This indicates that its Mordell-Weil group is non-trivial. The first canonical form is not as famous as the Weierstrass model. But as we shall see in this paper, it corresponds to the general form of a cubic curve with a non-trivial Mordell-Weil group. This remark is particularly powerful when this curve is used as a model for a fibration. The Newton's polygon of this curve is a reflexive quadrilateral with seven lattice points on its boundary. If we interpret the coefficients of this equation as parameters defined over a base space $B$, the first canonical form describes an elliptic fibration with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one. This fibration is generically smooth and can be used as a Jacobian for any elliptic fibration with a non-trivial Mordell-Weil group.

The aim of this paper is to introduce a new model for elliptic fibrations endowed with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one over a variety $B$. We call this model $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$. It is given by a smooth hypersurface in a projective bundle characterized by two line bundles $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{S}$. Its general fiber is modeled by a plane cubic whose Newton's polygon is a reflexive quadrilateral with seven lattice points on its boundary. It generalizes both the $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ elliptic fibration and the elliptic introduced recently by Cacciatori, Cattaneo, and Van Geemen [5]. Ultimately, it can be traced back to Newton's first form of cubic curves.

The $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model allows for a particularly friendly derivation of several geometric, topological and arithmetic properties. We provide a classification of its singular fibers. Following $[20-22$, we derive a generalized Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula for these elliptic fibrations. This is a generating function for the Euler characteristic over a base of arbitrary dimension. We also explicitly construct a semi-stable degeneration of the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model. This degeneration will be understood in F-theory as a weak coupling limit mapping the elliptic fibration to an orientifold theory $\sqrt{16-19}$. Inspired by string dualities, we prove a topological relation in the Chow ring of such elliptic fibrations, connecting their homological total Chern class with that of several sub-varieties naturally produced by the semi-stable degeneration. In the context of F-theory, we show that this relation induces the non-trivial fact that the D3 charge is the same in F-theory and its orientifold weak coupling limit. For previous works on elliptic fibrations with non-trivial Mordell-Weil groups in F-theory, see [21, 22, 35 52, 54].
1.1. Structure of the paper. In the rest of this introduction, we introduce some basic notions and notation before to give the formal definition of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration. We then summarize the results of the paper. In section 2 , we collect some basic properties of the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration. In particular, we study some limits that recovered well known elliptic fibrations

[^0]such as the $E_{6}$ and the $E_{7}$ models. We also classify the singular fibers of a non-singular fibration of type $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$. Then, We prove the theorem on its Euler characteristic. In section 3, we quickly review the notion of weak coupling limits of an elliptic fibration and its connection with F-theory on elliptic fourfolds. In section 4, we consider the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model in the context of F-theory on elliptic fourfolds and prove the existence of a weak coupling limit. We establish that this weak coupling gives an orientifold theory for which the tadpole matching condition is satisfied. Then, We compute the spectrum of branes and see that it corresponds to an orientifold with a $S p(1)$ stack and a Whitney brane. We present our conclusions in section 5 .
1.2. Basic notions and convention. We summarize our convention and recall some basic definitions. Throughout this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero. For all purposes, the reader can assume that $k$ is the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.
1.2.1. Projective bundles. Given a vector bundle $V \rightarrow B$ defined over a variety $B$, we denote by $\pi: \mathbb{P}[V] \rightarrow B$ the projectivization of a vector bundle $V \rightarrow B$. This is extended to weighted projective bundles, for which we write $\mathbb{P}_{\vec{w}}[V]$ where $\vec{w}$ are the weights of characterizing the weighted projective bundle. There are two conventions for projective bundles. We use the classical (pre-Grothendieck) convention in which a projective space $\mathbb{P}[V]$ is the space of directions of a vector space $V$ in contrast to the space of hyperplanes. This is particularly important when dealing with Chern classes. Our convention on projective bundle matches Fulton's book on intersection theory. We denote by $\mathbb{P}[V]_{\mathscr{W}}$ the collection of hypersurfaces defined as the zero locus of a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{W}$ in the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}[V]$. We denote by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}[V]}(-1)$ the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}[V]$. Its dual is denoted $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}[V](1)$. We denote by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}[V]}(n)$ the $n$th tensor product of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}[V]}(1)$ (if $n>0$ ) and of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}[V]}(-1)$ if $n<0$. When the context is clear, we abuse notations and write $\mathscr{O}(n)$ for $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}[V]}(n)$.
1.2.2. Genus-one fibrations, rational sections. A genus-one fibration over a variety $B$ is a surjective morphism $\varphi: Y \rightarrow B$ onto $B$ such that the general fiber is a smooth projective curve of genus one. A rational section of the genus-one fibration is a rational map $\sigma: B \rightarrow Y$ such that the image of $\varphi \circ \sigma$ is dense in $B$ and restrict to the identity on the domain of definition of $\sigma$. In particular, a rational section can be ill-defined over a divisor of $B$. The image of the base under a rational section gives a divisor of $Y$.
1.2.3. Elliptic fibrations, Weierstrass models and Mordell-Weil group. When the genus-one fibration admits a rational section, we call it an elliptic fibration. An elliptic fibration is birational to a Weierstrass model [7,8]. A Weierstrass model over $B$ is an hypersurface cut out by a section of $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{6}$ in the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left[\mathscr{L}^{2} \oplus \mathscr{L}^{3} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right] \rightarrow B$, where $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle defined over $B$. The canonical form of a Weierstrass model in the notation of Tate is [8,9]:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
z y^{2}+a_{1} x y z+a_{3} y z^{2}=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2} z+a_{4} x z^{2}+a_{6} z^{3} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where a coefficient $a_{i}$ is a section of $\mathscr{L}^{i}$ for $i=1,2,3,4,6$. The rational section of the Weierstrass model is then $O: z=x=0$, which is a point of inflection on the generic fiber. The group of rational sections of the elliptic fibration $\varphi: Y \rightarrow B$ is called the Mordell-Weil group $M W(\varphi)$. It is a finitely generated Abelian group. Its rank and torsion group are birational invariants of the elliptic fibration. The definition of $M W(\varphi)$ assumes the choice of an identity element. For a Weierstrass equation, the canonical choice is the point of inflection $O: z=x=0$.
1.2.4. Quartic models of genus one curve and elliptic fibrations. We can think of an elliptic fibration as an elliptic curve over the function field of the base. From that point of view, the Mordell-Weil group is really just the group of rational points. Given a divisor of degree two on
a genus one curve, the Riemann-Roch theorem ensures that the curve can be embedded in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_{2,1,1}$ as a quartic curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}=q_{0} y^{4}+q_{1} y^{3} z+q_{2} y^{2} z^{2}+q_{3} y z^{3}+q_{4} z^{4} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For general values of the coefficients, this is a smooth genus one fibration. Genus one fibration of this type has been discussed recently by Braun and Morrison 43]. If we call $\mathscr{L}^{2}$ the line bundle over the base such that $u$ is a section of $\mathscr{O}(2) \otimes \pi_{*} \mathscr{L}^{2}$, then equation $\sqrt{1.6}$ is of type $\mathbb{P}_{2,1,1}\left[\mathscr{L}^{2} \oplus \mathscr{M} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]_{\mathscr{O}(4) \otimes \pi^{\star} \mathscr{L}^{4}}$ for some line bundle $\mathscr{M}$. Then $y$ is a section of $\mathscr{O}(1) \otimes \pi_{*} \mathscr{M}, z$ is a section of $\mathscr{O}(1)$, and the coefficient $q_{i}(i=0,1,2,3,4)$ is a section of $\mathscr{L}^{4} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{-i}$.
1.2.5. Fibrations with Mordell-Weil group of rank one. If we have a genus one curve endowed with a divisor of degree two that splits into two rational points, we can assume in equation 1.6 that $q_{4}$ is a perfect square and the quartic equation can be put in the following canonical form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(u+b_{2} z^{2}\right)+y\left(-c_{0} y^{3}+c_{1} z y^{2}+c_{2} y z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}\right)=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has double points singularities at $u=y=b_{2}=c_{3}=0$. These singularities can be resolved by blowing up the non-Cartier divisor $u=y=0$. This is a small and thus crepant resolution ${ }^{2}$, It follows that any elliptic fibration with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one has a model for which the general fiber is a quartic curve in $B l_{[0: 0: 1]} \mathbb{P}_{2,1,1}^{2}$.

Remark 1.1. If $b_{2}$ is a unit, we get an elliptic fibration of type $E_{7}$. This is a model of type $\mathbb{P}_{2,1,1}\left[\mathscr{L}^{2} \oplus \mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]_{\mathscr{O}(4) \otimes \pi^{\star} \mathscr{L}^{4}}$. Such an elliptic fibration has generically rank one. We can normalize the equation to have $q_{4}=1$. We can take the identity element of the Mordell-Weil group to be the rational point $y=u-z^{2}=0$ and the generator of the Mordell-Weil group to be $y=u+z^{2}=0$. These two sections do not intersect.

Computing the Jacobian of genus one quartic curves is a classical problem that can be solved by invariant theory as developed already in the 19th century by Cayley. In his famous memoir [11], Weil has even traced solution to this problem to Hermite and Euler. Nagell's algorithm, which is discussed for example in chapter 8 of Cassel's book $\sqrt[12]{ }$, provides a direct computation of the birational map to the Jacobian for a cubic with a rational point in characteristic different from two and three. More advanced tools are necessary when the characteristic is two or three. This problem has been treated in all generality in [14].

Recently, Morrison and Park have revisited the geometry of elliptic fibration with a MordellWeil group of rank one in the context of F-theory on Calabi-Yau threefolds [6]. In their treatment, they navigate between two models: the Jacobian and its resolution. The Jacobian (given by a Weierstrass model) is useful to compute arithmetic properties of the elliptic fibration. They also need an explicit resolution of singularities to evaluate intersection numbers necessary in the discussion of cancellations of anomalies. More generally, in F-theory on an elliptic threefold, a smooth model is useful to compute several physical quantities that are expressed in terms of topological invariants. For example, the Euler characteristic is used in the discussion of anomaly cancellations and intersection numbers are interpreted as physical charges. In F-theory on an elliptic fourfold, the D3 tadpole depends on the Euler characteristic of the fourfold. For all these reasons, it will be useful to have a smooth model for an elliptic fibration with Mordell Weil group of rank one. It would specially be useful if the the generic fiber is a cubic curve so that several arithmetic properties can be computed using the chord-tangent law without passing to the Jacobian.

[^1]1.3. Definition of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model. The model of elliptic fibrations with Mordell-Weil group of rank one that we introduced in this paper is an hypersurface cut by a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}$ in the projective bundle $\pi: \mathbb{P}\left[\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right] \rightarrow B$, where $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{S}$ are two line bundles over the base $B$. The defining equation can be put in the following canonical form:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S}): \quad y\left(x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}\right)+z\left(c_{1} y^{2}+b_{2} x z+c_{2} y z+c_{3} z^{2}\right)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The Newton's polygon of this cubic equation is a reflexive quadrilateral with seven lattice points on its boundary. For this reason, we denote this model $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$.


Figure 1. Newton polygon of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}$ reflexive polytope. A $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model is described by a section of a line bundle $O(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}$ in the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left[\mathscr{L}^{2} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]$. Its equation is automatically of type $\mathrm{Q}_{7}$.

The coefficients are sections of the following line bundles:

| Line bundles | $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-2}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-1}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sections | $c_{0}$ | $c_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | $c_{3}$ | $b_{2}^{2}$ |

The details of the projective bundle and the section characterizing the variety are enough to ensure that we get exactly the same Jacobian as the one of an elliptic fibration of rank one. In the defining equation, we denote the projective coordinates as $[x: y: z]$ :

| Line bundles | $\mathscr{O}(1) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}$ | $\mathscr{O}(1) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}$ | $\mathscr{O}(1)$ | $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sections | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ |

The special case of $\mathrm{Q}_{7}\left(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_{B}\right)$ gives the elliptic fibration recently introduced by Cacciatori, Cattaneo, and Van Geemen [5] while the case $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L})$ is the usual $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ elliptic fibration [20]. Two rational points of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}, \mathscr{S})$ model are

$$
\begin{equation*}
O: y=z=0 \quad \text { and } \quad O^{\prime}: y=b_{2} x+c_{3} z=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two points are on the line $y=0$ which is tangent to the elliptic curve at $O$. There is also a degree-two divisor on each fiber given by $z=x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}=0$, where $c_{0}$ is a section of $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-2}$. In the case of $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L}), c_{0}$ is just a constant and we get two additional rational sections, $z=x \pm \sqrt{c_{0}} y=0$, which are inverse of each other in the Mordell-Weil group.
1.4. The Jacobian fibration. Since $z=y=0$ defines a section for the elliptic fibration $Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$, we can define a birationally equivalent Weierstrass model. We interpret the Jacobian of the fibration as the relative Picard scheme $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(Y / B)$ following [14 (see chapter 9 of 15$]$ ). Using the formula for the Jacobian of a family of plane cubics over an arbitrary base scheme [14], we get the following Weierstrass equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z y\left(y-b_{2} c_{1} z\right)=x^{3}-c_{2} x^{2} z+\left(-c_{0} b_{2}^{2}+c_{3} c_{1}\right) x z^{2}+\left(c_{3}^{2}+b_{2}^{2} c_{2}\right) c_{0} z^{3} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which admits the rational point (see section $A$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=c_{2}+\frac{c_{3}^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}}, \quad y=\frac{2 c_{3}^{3}+2 c_{3} c_{0} b_{2}^{2}-b_{2}^{4} c_{1}}{2 b_{2}^{3}} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can rewrite the Weierstrass form in the short form [8,9]

$$
z y^{2}=x^{3}+F x z^{2}+G z^{3}
$$

with $F$ and $G$ exactly as in [6] modulo the redefinition $c_{2} \mapsto-c_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=-b_{2}^{2} c_{0}+c_{1} c_{3}-\frac{1}{3} c_{2}^{2}, \quad G=\frac{2}{3} b_{2}^{2} c_{0} c_{2}+\frac{1}{4} b_{2}^{2} c_{1}^{2}+c_{0} c_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{3} c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}-\frac{2}{27} c_{2}^{3} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to see that $F$ and $G$ are respectively sections of $\mathscr{L}^{4}$ and $\mathscr{L}^{6}$. In particular, the line bundle $\mathscr{S}$ has disappeared.
1.5. The spectrum of singular fibers. The $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model has all the types of singular cubics with the exception of the triple line as seen on figure 2 . In particular, there is a non-Kodaira fiber composed of two rational curves of multiplicity one and two intersecting transversely ${ }^{3}$. We call it a fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{(2)}$. Such a non-Kodaira fiber is very natural from the point of view of degeneration of genus-one curves modeled by cubic curves. It can be understood as a limiting case of a Kodaira fiber of type $I_{3}$ or of type IV. See figure 2 and table 2 .


Figure 2. Singular fibers of plane cubic curves. There are a total of 8 possible singular fibers including the 6 Kodaira fibers with at most 3 components $\left(I_{1}, I I, I_{2}, I I I, I_{3}, I V\right)$ and the two non-Kodaira fibers $I V^{(2)}$ and $I V^{(3)}$. All the fibers at the left of a given dotted vertical line are those of a smooth elliptic fibration of the type ( $E_{8}, E_{7}, E_{6}, Q_{7}$ ) specified at the bottom left of the dotted line.
1.6. Weak coupling limit, tadpole and flux matching condition. From the point of view of F-theory, elliptic fibrations with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one yield an Abelian $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. Depending on the dimension of the base, we are interesting at different geometric properties of the elliptic fibration. If the base is a surface, the compactification of F-theory models a six dimensional supersymmetric gauge theory in presence of gravity. In that case, anomalies cancellations with a $U(1)$ sector are particularly subtle and have a beautiful geometric formulation. If the base is a threefold, F-theory models a type IIB model with a non-trivial axiodilaton profile and an Abelian $U(1)$ symmetry. Duality with M-theory gives a simple description

[^2]| Description | Kodaira fiber | Symbols | $E_{8}$ | $E_{7}$ | $E_{6}$ | $Q_{7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| smooth genus one curve | $\checkmark$ | I $_{0}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| a nodal curve | $\checkmark$ | I $_{1}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| a cusp | $\checkmark$ | II | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| a conic and a secant line | $\checkmark$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| a conic and a tangent line | $\checkmark$ | III |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| three lines forming a triangle | $\checkmark$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| three lines meeting at a point | $\checkmark$ | IV |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| a line and a double line |  | IV $^{(2)}$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| a triple line | IV $^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 1. Planar cubic curves.
of the origin of this $U(1)$ symmetry using the three-form of M-theory. The full F-theory regime is usually strongly coupled and following Sen [16], we can explore the weak coupling limit of F-theory to make contact with well understood type IIB configurations.

The weak coupling limit has a purely geometric description as a degeneration of the elliptic fibration in which the general fiber becomes a semi-stable curve: the elliptic fibration is replaced by a ALE fibration [21, 55]. This description of the weak coupling limit was started in [21] and provides a purely geometric definition of the weak coupling limit that generalizes to any elliptic fibration regardless of the dimension of its base and independent of the Calabi-Yau condition. Interestingly, the topological relations that are expected to hold when F-theory is compared to its weak coupling limit are still true independently of all the string theory setups necessary to make sense of them. For example, the D3 charge in F-theory depends only on the Euler characteristic of the elliptic fibration and the $G_{4}$ flux. In a type IIB orientifold, the same D3 charge depends on the Euler characteristic of the orientifolds, the D7 branes, the DBI fluxes supported on these D7 branes and the fluxes coming from the type IIB three form field strengths.

The weak coupling limit of a Weierstrass model is an orientifold theory [16]. In absence of fluxes in weak and strong coupling, there is a perfect match between the D3 charge computed in type IIB and in F-theory [17, 20]. The same is true for other models of elliptic fibration such as the $\mathrm{E}_{7}, E_{6}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ elliptic fibrations [21, 22]. After classifying the singular fibers of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model, it is straightforward to identify its weak coupling limit using a semi-stable degeneration following [21]. The weak coupling limit is given by equation (4.1) which yields the following spectrum:
( $\star$ ) a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orientifold + a Whitney brane + an $S p(1)$ stack.
The orientifold, the $S p(1)$ stack, and the Whitney brane are respectively wrapping the divisors $O, D$, and $D_{w}$. The $S p(1)$ stack is composed of two smooth invariant branes intersecting the orientifold transversely. We prove that the tadpole matching condition is satisfied for this spectrum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi(Y)=4 \chi(O)+2 \chi(D)+\chi^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the G-flux and the type IIB brane fluxes match aswell [17, 18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y} G_{4} \wedge G_{4}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \int_{D_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{2}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tadpole matching is a by-product of a much more general relation valid at the level of the total homological Chern classes as summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 (Topological tadpole matching for $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibrations). A $Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration endowed with the weak coupling limit (4.1) satisfies the topological tadpole matching condition at the level of the total Chern class:

$$
2 \varphi_{*} c(Y)=4 \rho_{*} c(O)+2 \rho_{*} c(D)+\rho_{*} c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right)
$$

where the Chern class of the Whitney brane is understood as $\rho_{*} c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right)=\rho_{*} c\left(\bar{D}_{w}\right)-\rho_{*} c(S)$, with $\bar{D}_{w}$ the normalization of $D_{w}$ and $S$ the cuspidial locus of the Whitney brane.

## 2. Properties of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ Elliptic fibration

In the section, we will further explain our new model, $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$. It is similar to $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ model as it is a model for a cubic. But as we will establish in details, a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration has a much richer spectrum of singular fibers. It admits all possible types of singular cubics except for the triple line. In other words, its spectrum of singular fibers is ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$, III, $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, IV and $\mathrm{IV}^{(2)}$ ). In particular, it contains a non-Kodaira fiber, a fiber of type $I V^{(2)}$, which consists of two rational curves of multiplicity 1 and 2 intersecting transversely at a point. To appreciate the difference in the spectrum of singular fibers of smooth elliptic fibrations of type $\mathrm{E}_{8}, \mathrm{E}_{7}, \mathrm{E}_{6}$, and $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$, we review the singular fibers of cubic plane curves and the elliptic fibrations defined in table 1 and figure 2 .

The following lemma is a direct consequence of the use of the adjunction formula to compute the canonical class of an $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration:

Theorem 2.1 (Calabi-Yau condition). An $Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ fibration is Calabi-Yau if the line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ is the anti-canonical line bundle of the base $B$.
2.1. Mordell-Weil group. An $\mathrm{E}_{n}$ elliptic fibration $\left(n=8,7,6,5\right.$ with $\left.E_{5}=D_{5}\right)$ has $(9-n)$ marked points defined by a divisor of degree $(9-n)$ on each fibers and each of the points defined by such a divisor gives a section of the elliptic fibration as the divisor splits. The elliptic fibration $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ has a different structure: on each fiber, we have a rational divisor of degree three as it is the case for a $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ elliptic fibration. However, the divisor does not split into three rational points on every fiber but instead splits into a rational point and a divisor of degree two that does not factorize. This is very clear using the canonical form 1.8 ). The line at infinity $(z=0)$ cuts every elliptic fiber along the following degree three divisor

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=y\left(x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which splits into a closed point $z=y=0$ and a degree two divisor $z=x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}=0$. As we circle around the locus $c_{0}$ in the base, the two points defined by $z=x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}=0$ are exchanged. This monodromy is the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ discrete group corresponding to the Galois group of the field extension needed to properly define individually the two points $z=x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}=0$ over the base. $\mathrm{A}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration has an additional rational section. Consider the intersection with $y=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=0 \Longrightarrow z^{2}\left(b_{2} x+c_{3} z\right)=0 \Longrightarrow 2 O+O^{\prime} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
O: y=z=0, \quad O^{\prime}: y=b_{2} x+c_{3} z=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This indicates that $y=0$ is tangent to the elliptic curve at $O$ and intersects the elliptic curve at an additional point $O^{\prime}$. These two sections intersect over the divisor $b_{2}=0$.

Remark 2.2. If $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{L}^{-1}, b_{2}$ is a constant and thus the two sections do not intersect. In such a case, it is easier to start from the following projective bundle obtained by an overall factor of $\mathscr{S}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-1}\right) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B} \oplus \mathscr{S}^{-1}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation is a section of $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}^{-2}$, which gives for $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{L}^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\mathscr{L}^{2} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B} \oplus \mathscr{L}\right]_{\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{4}}\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3 (Mordell-Weil group). $A Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration has a Mordell-Weil group of rank one with generator $O^{\prime}$ and neutral element $O$.
2.2. A smooth hypersurface description of an elliptic fibration of rank one. The Jacobian obtained in equation (1.12) is exactly the one describing a general rank one elliptic fibration as discussed in Morrison-Park [6] modulo the following substitution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2} \mapsto-c_{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides an interesting opportunity to obtain a non-singular formulation of the general rank one elliptic fibration as an hypersurface in a projective bundle by generalizing the $\mathrm{E}_{6}^{\prime}$ fibration to have coefficients that are sections of different line bundles. It is useful to notice that the Jacobian (1.12) is invariant under the following scaling:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \cdot\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, b_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(\alpha^{2} c_{0}, \alpha c_{1}, c_{2}, \alpha^{-1} c_{3}, \alpha^{-2} b_{2}^{2}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we find that the coefficients are sections of the following line bundle 4 .

| $c_{0}$ | $c_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | $c_{3}$ | $b_{2}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-2}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-1}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{2}$ |

where $\mathscr{S}$ is also a line bundle over $B$. We can then define a projective bundle with coordinates $[x: y: z]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in \Gamma\left[\mathscr{O}(1) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}\right], \quad y \in \Gamma\left[\mathscr{O}(1) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}\right], \quad z \in \Gamma[\mathscr{O}(1)], \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the corresponding projective bundle is $\mathbb{P}\left[\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]$. With this choice, the defining equation (1.8) will be a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}$. Altogether we have a new family of elliptic fibration characterized by two line bundles $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{S}$ such that it is an hypersurface of degree $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}$ in the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left[\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]$. We call this model $Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S}): \quad \mathbb{P}\left[\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]_{\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

All elliptic fibrations of rank one can be put in this form since it was obtained form their common Jacobian. For general values of the coefficients, this is a smooth elliptic fibration. A quick calculation with the adjunction formula shows that the Calabi-Yau condition for the family $Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ is $c_{1}(B)=c_{1}(\mathscr{L})$.

Theorem 2.4. An elliptic fibration of rank one is always birational to a fibration of type $Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$. The fibration is Calabi-Yau when $\mathscr{L}$ is the anti-canonical line bundle of the base.
2.3. Special cases. The special case of $\mathrm{Q}_{7}\left(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_{B}\right)$ gives the elliptic fibration recently introduced by Cacciatori, Cattaneo, and Van Geemen [5] while the case $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L})$ is the usual $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ elliptic fibration [20]. The rational points of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model are

$$
\begin{equation*}
O: y=z=0 \quad \text { and } \quad O^{\prime}: y=b_{2} x+c_{3} z=0 \text {. } \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two points are on the line $y=0$ which is tangent to the elliptic curve at $O$. There is also a degree-two divisor on each fiber given by $z=x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}=0$, where $c_{0}$ is a section of $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-2}$. In the case of $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L}), c_{0}$ is just a constant and we get two additional rational sections, $z=x \pm \sqrt{c_{0}} y=0$, which are inverse of each other in the Mordell-Weil group.
If $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{L}^{-1}$, we start from the following projective bundle obtained by an overall factor of s馬

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-1}\right) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B} \oplus \mathscr{S}^{-1}\right], \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]and the equation is a section of $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}^{-2}$, which gives for $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{L}^{-1}$ :
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\mathscr{L}^{2} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B} \oplus \mathscr{L}\right]_{\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{4}}\right. \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

2.4. Birational map to a Jacobi quartic. We multiply the defining equation 1.8, by $y$ and we replace the variable $x$ by $u=x y$. This can be explained as a birational map in the ambient projective bundle to turn it into a weighted projective bundle:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right] & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{2,1,1}\left[\mathscr{M} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right], \quad \mathscr{M}=\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{S} \\
{[x: y: z] } & \mapsto[u: y: z]=[x y: y: z] . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The variable $u$ is a section of $\pi^{*} \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{O}(2)$. The defining equation is a section of $\pi^{*} \mathscr{M}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{O}(4)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(u+b_{2} z^{2}\right)+y\left(-c_{0} y^{3}+c_{1} z y^{2}+c_{2} y z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}\right)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines an elliptic fibration whose generic fiber is given by a quartic curve with the Newton's polygon given in figure 3. The rational sections are at $y=u=0$ and $y=u+b_{2} z^{2}=0$. The equation is singular at $u=y=b_{2}=c_{3}=0$. We can blow up the non-Cartier divisor $u=y=0$ to resolve with this singularity. The ambient space becomes the blow up of the weighted projective bundle $B l_{[0: 0: 1]} \mathbb{P}_{2,1,1}$.


Figure 3. Quartic $\mathrm{Q}_{7}$ : a reflexive quadrilateral with seven lattice points on its boundary. This is the Newton's polygon for the quartic in equation 1.7.
2.5. A double cover embedded in the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ fibration. Inside a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ fibration, we can use the divisor of degree 2 along each fiber to define a double cover the base $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: z=x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It admits the following involution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma: \quad x \mapsto-x, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $c_{0}=0$ is the locus of fixed point. In the definition of $X$, the divisor $z=0$ cuts $\mathbb{P}(E)$ along a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{S})$ with coordinates $[x: y]$. The variety $X$ naturally lives in that projective bundle with the defining equation $x^{2}+c_{0} y^{2}=0$. Along $X$, the projective coordinate $y$ never vanishes since otherwise we would have $z=y=x=0$, which is not possible because $[x: y: z]$ are projective coordinates of the projective bundle. It follows that we can just define $X$ in the affine patch $y \neq 0$ by taking the affine coordinate $\xi=x / y$, which is a section of $\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-1}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: \quad \xi^{2}=-c_{0}, \quad \text { with the involution } \xi \mapsto-\xi, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which expresses $X$ as the zero locus of a section of $\mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}^{-2}$. Note that this is not an orientifold. Using adjunction formula, it is easy to see that the elliptic fibration $Y \rightarrow B$ is a Calabi-Yau $(n+1)$-fold if and only if $c_{1}(B)=c_{1}(\mathscr{L})$ while the double cover $X \rightarrow B$ branched on $c_{0}$ is a Calabi-Yau $n$-fold if and only if $c_{1}(B)=c_{1}(\mathscr{L})-c_{1}(\mathscr{S})$. The two are compatible only when $S$ is trivial, that is for the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}\left(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_{B}\right)$ model.

Lemma 2.5. An elliptic fibration of type $Q_{7}\left(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_{B}\right)$ admits a divisor which is a double cover $X$ of the base. The $Q_{7}\left(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_{B}\right)$ elliptic fibration is Calabi-Yau if and only if the double cover $X$ of the base is also Calabi-Yau.
2.6. Spectrum of singular fibers of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration. A $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration admits up to 7 different types of singular fibers including the non-Kodaira fiber IV ${ }^{(2)}$ constituted of two rational curves of multiplicity one and two intersecting transversally. These 7 different types represent all the different types of singular fibers of a cubic curve with the exception of the triple line, which is the only multiple singular cubic curve.
2.6.1. General picture. The spectrum of singular fibers of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration can be easily obtained by a direct analysis of its defining equation. We can use the birationally equivalent Weierstrass model to characterize irreducible singular curves (nodal and cuspidial curves). Since the elliptic fibration is nonsingular, to classify reducible singular fibers, we analyze the conditions under which the defining equation factorizes. A cubic curve can factorize into a line and a conic. This corresponds to the fibers of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ if the line and the conic meet at two distinct points or of type III if the line is tangent to the conic. The conic can further splits into two lines so that the singular fiber has the structure of a triangle, Kodaira type $I_{3}$, or a star, Kodaira type IV. If the conic specializes to a double line, we have a non-Kodaira fiber that we call a type $\mathrm{IV}^{(2)}$. We summarize the condition to have all these fibers in table 2 and figure 2. It is also instructive to look at the spectrum of singular fibers of elliptic fibrations of type $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S}), \mathrm{E}_{7}, \mathrm{E}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ together since it reveals some beautiful patterns as illustrated in figure 2. In the next subsection, we will methodically derive table 2 .

| Fiber | $j$-invariant | Algebraic Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{1} \quad \alpha$ | $\infty$ | $\Delta=0$ |
| $\text { II }<$ | 0 | $F=G=0$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2} \quad \varnothing$ | $\infty$ | $b_{2}=c_{3}=0$ |
| III $\bigcirc$ | 1728 | $c_{0}=c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$ or $b_{2}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3} \quad \bigwedge$ | $\infty$ | $b_{2}=c_{3}=c_{1}^{2}+4 c_{0} c_{2}=0$ |
| IV X | 0 | $b_{2}=c_{2}=c_{1}=c_{3}=0$ |
| $\mathrm{IV}^{(2)} \quad \frac{2}{1}$ | undefined " 0 " | $b_{2}=c_{0}=c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$ |

TABLE 2. Singular fibers of the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration $x^{2} y+b_{2} x z^{2}-c_{0} y^{3}+$ $c_{1} z y^{2}+c_{2} z^{2} y+c_{3} z^{3}$. The fiber $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ and II (with $b_{2}=0$ ) are non-split when $c_{0}$ is not a perfect square. The fiber III (with $b_{2} \neq 0$ ) can also be non-split when $b_{2}$ is a not a perfect square.
2.6.2. Irreducible singular fibers. Using the Weierstrass moddel, we can easily determine the irreducible singular fibers or the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ fibration: we have a nodal curve at a general point of the discriminant locus and a cuspidial curve at a general point of $F=G=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{1}: \quad \Delta=0, \quad \mathrm{II}: \quad F=G=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.6.3. Reducible singular fibers. For reducible singular fibers, we can find the condition for factorizing the defining equation (1.8). Assuming that $b_{2} \neq 0$, the only factorization is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { III : } \quad x\left(x y+b_{2} z^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which requires $c_{i}=0$. The fiber is of type III since it is composed of two rational curves (a line and a conic) meeting at a double point. When $b_{2}=c_{i}=0$, the fiber III enhances to a non-Kodaira fiber of type $I V^{(2)}$ as the degree two:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IV}^{(2)}: \quad x^{2} y=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that $b_{2}=0$, we have much richer spectrum. In order to be able to factorize a linear term, we have to assume $b_{2}=c_{3}=0$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{2}: \quad y\left(x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}+c_{1} y z+c_{2} z^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This singular fiber is constituted of two rational curves ( a line and a conic) meeting transversally at two distinct points.

Remark 2.6. The section $O^{\prime}$ is now the full line $y=0$. The zero section $O$ intersect only that line and does not intersect the conic. We also note that the two points of intersection can be seen as the intersection of the conic with the section $O^{\prime}$.

We have an enhancement to a fiber of type III when the line becomes tangent to the conic. This happens when $b_{2}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$ and the line and the conic intersect at a double point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { III : } \quad y\left(x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}+c_{1} y z\right)=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fiber $I_{2}$ can enhance to a fiber $I_{3}$ when the conic degenerates into two lines. This requires the additional condition $c_{1}^{2}-4 c_{0} c_{2}=0$ so that all together we have a $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ fiber when $b_{2}=c_{3}=$ $c_{1}^{2}-4 c_{0} c_{2}=0\left(c_{1} \neq 0\right.$ or $\left.c_{2} \neq 0\right)$. The equation of the fiber is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{3}: \quad y\left(x^{2}-c_{0}\left(y-\frac{c_{1}}{2 c_{0}} z\right)^{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad y\left(x^{2}+c_{2}\left(z-\frac{c_{1}}{2 c_{2}} y\right)^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $I_{3}$ fiber is split if and only if $c_{0}$ is a perfect square, otherwise, we have a non-split fiber with a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ torsion. If $b_{2}=c_{2}=c_{1}=0$, we have a fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{n s}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IV}^{n s}: \quad y\left(x^{2}-c_{0} y^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fiber is split only if $c_{0}$ is a perfect square. Otherwise it is a non-split fiber with a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ torsion. Finally, if $b_{2}=c_{i}=0$, we have a fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{(2)}$.
2.7. Generalized Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula. The Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula gives the Euler characteristic of a Calabi-Yau fourfolds defined by a generic Weierstrass model [56]. In this section, we present a generating function for the Euler characteristic of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model over a base of arbitrary dimension. We also do not assume the Calabi-Yau condition. This is done using a push-forward formula following 21,22 .

Theorem 2.7 (Euler characteristic of $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})\right)$. Let $L=c_{1}(\mathscr{L}), S=c_{1}(\mathscr{S})$ and $c_{k}$ be the $k$-th Chern class $c_{k}(T B)$ of the base B. Then, the push-forward of the total homological Chern class of the elliptic fibration $Y$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{*} c(Y)= & \frac{6\left(2 L+2 L^{2}-L S+S^{2}\right)}{(1+2 L-2 S)(1+2 L+S)} c(B) \\
= & 12 L t+\left(12 c_{1} L-36 L^{2}+6 L S-6 S^{2}\right) t^{2}+  \tag{2.26}\\
& \left(12 c_{2} L-36 c_{1} L^{2}+96 L^{3}+6 c_{1} L S-36 L^{2} S-6 c_{1} S^{2}+54 L S^{2}-6 S^{3}\right) t^{3}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

This gives a generating function for the Euler characteristic: if the base is of dimension $d$, then the Euler characteristic of $Y$ is given by the coefficient of $t^{d}$. If $Y=Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ is a Calabi-Yau variety, we can simplify further the expression by using $L=c_{1}$.

Lemma 2.8. For Y a Calabi-Yau threefold or fourfold, we get the Euler characteristics respectively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(Y)=-6\left(4 c_{1}^{2}-c_{1} S+S^{2}\right), \quad \chi(Y)=6\left(10 c_{1}^{3}+2 c_{1} c_{2}-5 c_{1}^{2} S+8 c_{1} S^{2}-S^{3}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of theorem 2.7. The ambient space in which we define $Y$ is the following projective bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right) \rightarrow B \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We would like to compute the pushforward from its Chow's ring to the Chow's ring of the base. We use the fact that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*}\left(1+\zeta+\zeta^{2}+\cdots\right)=\frac{1}{(1+L)(1+S)} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(1+L t)(1+S t)}=\sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k} P_{k}(L, S) t^{k}, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have inserting a variable $t$ to track the other. We also have:

$$
P_{k}(L, S)=L^{k}+L^{k-1} S+\cdots+S^{k}=\frac{L^{k+1}-S^{k+1}}{L-S}
$$

Comparing terms of the same dimension, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} 1=\pi_{*} \zeta=0, \quad \pi_{*} \zeta^{2+k}=(-1)^{k} P_{k}(L, S) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} F(H)=\left.\frac{F(H)-F(0)-H \partial_{H} F(0)}{(S-L) H}\right|_{H=-L}-\left.\frac{F(H)-F(0)-H \partial_{H} F(0)}{(S-L) H}\right|_{H=-S} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply it to the total homological Chern class of the elliptic fibration $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(Y)=\frac{(1+H)(1+H+L)(1+H+S)}{(1+3 H+2 L+S)}(3 H+2 L+S) c(B) \tag{2.33a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} c(Y)=\frac{6\left(2 L+2 L^{2}-L S+S^{2}\right)}{(1+2 L-2 S)(1+2 L+S)} c(B) \tag{2.33b}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Weak coupling limit and tadpole matching: A quick Review

In a F-theory compactification on an elliptic fourfold $Y \rightarrow B$, the number of D 3 branes $\left(N_{D 3}\right)$ depends only on the Euler characteristic $\chi(Y)$ of the elliptic fibration $Y$ and the $G_{4}$-flux [32]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D3 tadpole in F-theory : } \quad N_{D 3}=\frac{1}{24} \chi(Y)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{Y} G_{4} \wedge G_{4} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is derived from the duality between M-theory and F-theory. For IIB $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orientifold compactifications, the D3 tadpole depends on fluxes and the Euler characteristics of the cycles wrapped by the orientifolds and the D7-branes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D3 tadpole in type IIB : } \quad 2 N_{D 3}=\frac{1}{6} \chi(O)+\frac{1}{24} \sum_{i} \chi\left(D_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \int_{D_{i}} t r\left(F^{2}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O$ is an orientifold; $D_{i}$ are surfaces wrapped by D7-branes; $\int_{D_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{2}\right)$ are fluxes localized on the D7-branes. The trace $t r$ is taken in the adjunct representation. Since the number of D3
branes is independent of the string coupling and invariant under $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, one would expect a matching between the computation of the number of D3 branes in F-theory and in type IIB:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi(Y)-24 \int_{Y} G_{4} \wedge G_{4}=4 \chi(O)+\sum_{i} \chi\left(D_{i}\right)+12 \sum_{i} \int_{D_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a matching condition was first introduced in $\sqrt[17]]{ }$. For configurations such that both $G$ fluxes and type IIB fluxes are zero, the matching of the D3 tadpole in type IIB and in F-theory will give a purely topological relation between Euler characteristics 17):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Tadpole matching condition : } \quad 2 \chi(Y)=4 \chi(O)+\sum_{i} \chi\left(D_{i}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When this topological condition holds, equation (3.3) also gives a relation between the fluxes in F-theory and in type IIB:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Flux matching condition : } \quad \int_{Y} G_{4} \wedge G_{4}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \int_{D_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{2}\right) \text {. } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the curvature contribution to the D3 tadpole in F-theory and type IIB theory do not have to match. For example, branes seen in the type IIB limit can recombine into a different configuration of branes plus fluxes [17].
3.1. Geometric definition of a weak coupling limit. Following the point of view of [21], the weak coupling limit of an elliptic fibration is a degeneration such that the generic fiber of the elliptic fibration becomes semi-stable as we reach $\epsilon=0$. A semi-stable elliptic curve is a singular elliptic curve of type $I_{n}$. Such a singular elliptic curve has an infinite j-invariant. This explains the name "weak coupling limit" as an infinite $j$-invariant means that the imaginary part of $\tau$ goes to zero which in the F-theory description of type IIB string theory essentially means the string coupling is weak: $g_{s} \rightarrow 0$. If the semi-stable fiber is just a nodal curve $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ as it is the case for a smooth Weierstrass model, we are in the case analyzed by Sen. In the case the degeneration gives a curve of type $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ with $n>1$, each irreducible components of the semi-stable curve $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ describes a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over the base and since two components intersects normally, all together they form a normal crossing variety $Z$. It follows that for a weak coupling limit defines with a generic fiber of type $I_{n}$ naturally leads to a semi-stable degeneration. We will see it explicitly here. It is important to realize that an elliptic fibration can admit many non-equivalent weak coupling limits with different semi-stable curves $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ as illustrated in 21, 22.
3.2. Brane geometry at weak coupling. When taking a weak coupling limit, the discriminant locus can split into different components that are wrapped by orientifolds and branes. These branes can be singular and can split further into brane-image-brane pairs in the double cover of the base. We quickly review the most familiar ones by considering the following discriminant and $j$-invariant:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta & =\epsilon^{2} h^{2+n} \prod_{i}\left(\eta_{i}^{2}-h \psi_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{j}\left(\eta_{j}^{2}-h \chi_{j}\right), \prod_{k} \phi_{k}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
j & \propto \frac{h^{4-n}}{\epsilon^{2} \prod_{i}\left(\eta_{i}^{2}-h \psi_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{j}\left(\eta_{j}^{2}-h \chi_{j}\right) \prod_{k} \phi_{k}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The locus $h=0$ is the orientifold locus as seen from the base of the elliptic fibration. As $\epsilon$ goes to zero, $j$ goes to infinity and the string couplings goes to zero.

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} j=\infty \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(\tau)=\infty \Longleftrightarrow g_{s}=0
$$

At weak coupling, we get an orientifold on the double cover of the base branched at $h=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: \quad \xi^{2}=h \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}^{2}$. The involution $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ which sends $\xi$ to $-\xi$ can be used to define a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orientifold symmetry $\sigma \Omega(-)^{F_{L}}$ and the branched locus is therefore interpret as a $O 7$ orientifold. The geometry of Sen's weak coupling limit can be summarized by the following diagram:

$$
\text { Sen's limit } \quad T^{2} \longrightarrow Y_{n+1}
$$

where $Y_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is an elliptic fibration and $X_{n} \rightarrow B$ is a double cover. The different terms of $\Delta$ determine different type of D7-branes. We summarize them in table 3 where we have also included the orientifold.

| Name | In the discriminant | In the double cover $X$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Orientifold | $h^{2}$ | $\xi=0$ |
| Whitney brane | $\eta^{2}-h \chi$ | $\eta^{2}-\xi^{2} \chi=0$ |
| Brane-image-brane pair | $\eta^{2}-h \psi^{2}$ | $(\eta+\xi \psi)(\eta-\xi \psi)=0$ |
| Invariant brane | $\eta$ | $\eta=0$ |

Table 3. Familiar types of brane found in Sen's weak coupling limit. The Whitney brane is the one observed in Sen's limit of a $E_{8}$ elliptic fibration. It can specialize into a brane-image-brane pair when $\chi$ is a perfect square and into two invariant branes on top of each other when $\chi=0$.
3.3. Generalized tadpole condition. The D3 tadpole matching condition presented in equation (3.4) is a topological condition that can be proven to hold in a much more general set up than anticipated from the assumptions of its string theory origin. It generalizes to a relation valid at the level of the total homological Chern classes for elliptic fibrations over bases of arbitrary dimension without even assuming the Calabi-Yau condition $20-22$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Generalized tadpole condition : } \quad 2 \varphi_{*} c(Y)=4 \rho_{*} c(O)+\sum \rho_{*} c\left(D_{i}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand-side of this relation involves objects seen in the type IIB weak coupling limit defined by taking a degeneration of the elliptic fibration while the left-hand-side is the elliptic fibration. In that respect, it requires both a choice of an elliptic fibration and a choice of a degeneration. The most interesting case is the one involving a Weierstrass model (an $E_{8}$ elliptic fibration). In that case, the degeneration is given by the original Sen's weak coupling limit and the corresponding generalized tadpole relation was proven in [20]. Sen's weak coupling limit was generalized geometrically in [21]. The method of [21] provides an easy way to define a weak coupling limit for families of elliptic fibrations that are not given by a Weierstrass model. It also gives a natural way to organize such limits using the fiber geometry of the elliptic fibration. A generalized tadpole relation is available for $E_{8}, E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ elliptic fibration [20, 21], and $D_{5}$ elliptic fibration [22].
3.4. Whitney branes and Orientifold Euler characteristic. Since Whitney branes are singular, we have to be careful how we define their Euler characteristic or more generally their total Chern class. The appropriate definition has been worked out in [17, 20]. For a Whitney brane $D_{w}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(D_{w}\right):=\rho_{*} c\left(\bar{D}_{w}\right)-c(S), \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{D}_{w}$ is the normalization of $D_{w}$ and $S$ is the locus of codimension-two singularities of the Whitney brane. The corresponding Euler characteristic is known as the orientifold Euler characteristic $\chi_{o}(D)=\chi(\bar{D})-\chi(S)$. The original weak coupling limit discussed by Sen will satisfy the F theory-type IIB tadpole matching condition only thanks to the presence of the singularities of the Whitney brane $[17,20]$. The orientifold Euler characteristic is also useful for certain weak coupling limits of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ elliptic fibrations 21,22.

## 4. Weak coupling limit of a $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ model

Following [21], we characterize geometrically a weak coupling limit by a transition from a semistable to an unstable fiber. The transition that we will consider is between a fiber of Kodaira type $I_{2}$ to a fiber of Kodaira type III. A fiber of type $I_{2}$ is composed of a conic intersecting a line at two distinct points. It specializes to a fiber of type III when the line is tangent to the conic, that is, when the two intersection points coincide. As reviewed in table 2, a fiber of type $I_{2}$ is characterized by $b_{2}=c_{3}=0$ and it specializes to a fiber of typer III when in addition $c_{2}=0$. We will use $\epsilon$ as our deformation parameter and the weak coupling limit $(j \rightarrow \infty)$ will be reached as $\epsilon$ approaches zero. We will also denote $h$ a section of $\mathscr{L}^{2}$. We will use it to define the double cover of the base $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ as $\xi^{2}=h$.
4.1. Choice of a weak coupling limit. We will impose the fiber $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in the weak coupling limit (that is at $\epsilon=0$ ) and the fiber III over the orientifold at $\epsilon=h=0$ :


This is done by the following choice:

$$
\text { Weak coupling limit: } \mathrm{I}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{III} \quad \begin{cases}b_{2}=\epsilon^{2} \rho,  \tag{4.1}\\ c_{0}=\chi, & c_{3}=\epsilon k \\ c_{1}=2 \eta, \quad c_{2}=h\end{cases}
$$

which leads to the following behavior at leading order in $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \propto \epsilon^{2} h^{2} k^{2}\left(\eta^{2}-h \chi\right), \quad j \propto \frac{h^{4}}{\epsilon^{2} k^{2}\left(\eta^{2}-h \chi\right)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then direct to see that we do have a weak coupling limit since $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} j=\infty$ as long as we are away from $h=0$. Over a general point of $h$, we have $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} j=0$. But at the intersection of $h=0$ with $k\left(\eta^{2}-\chi h\right)=0$, the $j$-invariant is not well-defined.
4.2. Brane spectrum at weak coupling. Defining the double cover $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ branched over the locus $h=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: \xi^{2}=h \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the weak coupling limit 4.1, we can identify the following spectrum at weak coupling:
(1) $O: \xi=0$ : the orientifold,
(2) $D: k=0$ : a stack of two invariant D7-branes,
(3) $D_{w}: \eta^{2}-\xi^{2} \chi=0$, a Whitney-brane.
4.3. Topological tadpole matching for $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ models. The weak coupling limit 4.1) constructed in the previous subsection naturally leads to the following relation

$$
2 \chi(Y)=4 \chi(O)+2 \chi(D)+\chi\left(D_{w}\right)
$$

which is a direct consequence of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1 (Topological tadpole matching for $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibrations). $A Q_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration endowed with the weak coupling limit (4.1) satisfies the topological tadpole matching condition at the level of the total Chern class:

$$
2 \varphi_{*} c(Y)=4 \rho_{*} c(O)+2 \rho_{*} c(D)+\rho_{*} c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right)
$$

where the Chern class of the Whitney brane is understood as $\rho_{*} c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right)=\rho_{*} c\left(\bar{D}_{w}\right)-\rho_{*} c(S)$, with $\bar{D}_{w}$ the normalization of $D_{w}$ and $S$ the cuspidial locus of the Whitney brane.

First we establish the following important lemma that gives the Chern class from which we compute the orientifold Euler characteristic of a Whitney brane.

Lemma 4.2. Consider $\underline{D}: \eta^{2}-h \chi=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{*} c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right)=\frac{4(2 L-S)}{(1+2 L)(1+2 L-2 S)} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is a direct calculation following 20]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{*} c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right) & =2 c_{S M}(\underline{D})-2 i^{*}(S) \quad \text { (by definition) } \\
& =\frac{4(2 L-S)}{(1+2 L)(1+2 L-2 S)} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We can now prove the theorem.
Proof. The Chern class of the Whitney brane is understood as $\rho_{*} c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right)=\rho_{*} c\left(\bar{D}_{w}\right)-\rho_{*} c(S)$, with $\bar{D}_{w}$ the normalization of $D_{w}$ and $S$ the cuspidial locus of the Whitney brane.

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{*} c(Y) & =\frac{6\left(2 L+2 L^{2}-L S+S^{2}\right)}{(1+2 L-2 S)(1+2 L+S)} c(B)  \tag{4.6}\\
\rho_{*} c(O) & =\frac{2 L}{1+2 L} c(B)  \tag{4.7}\\
\rho_{*} c(D) & =\frac{2(1+L)(2 L+S)}{(1+2 L)(1+2 L+S)} c(B)  \tag{4.8}\\
c^{\infty}\left(D_{w}\right) & =\frac{4(2 L-S)}{(1+2 L)(1+2 L-2 S)} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The generalized tadpole follows immediately form the following rational identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{12\left(2 L+2 L^{2}-L S-S^{2}\right)}{(1+2 L-2 S)(1+2 L+S)}-\frac{8 L(1+2 L)-4(1+L)(2 L+S)}{(1+2 L)(1+2 L+S)}-\frac{4(2 L-S)}{(1+2 L)(1+2 L-2 S)}=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.4. Weak coupling geometry: a second look. The weak coupling limit we have obtained previously for the elliptic fibration of type $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ is given by the following family over the $\epsilon$-line:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{(\epsilon)}: y\left(x^{2}+\chi y^{2}+2 \eta y z+h z^{2}\right)+\epsilon\left(k z^{3}+\epsilon \rho x z^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \neq 0, Y_{(\epsilon)}$ is a smooth elliptic fibration. When $\epsilon=0, Y_{(\epsilon)}$ degenerates into the normal crossing variety

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{(0)}: \quad y\left(x^{2}+\chi y^{2}+2 \eta y z+h z^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is composed of two smooth varieties $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}: y=0, \quad Z_{2}: x^{2}+\chi y^{2}+2 \eta y z+h z^{2}=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z_{1}$ is the bundle $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left[\mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]$ over the base $B$ while $Z_{2}$ is a fibration of conics realized as quadric in the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle in which the elliptic fibration is defined. The normal crossing variety $Y_{(0)}$ is a fibration of intersecting $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ s whose generic fiber is a fiber of Kodaira type $I_{2}$ realized by a line ( the fiber of $Z_{1}$ ) intersecting transversally a conic ( the fiber of $Z_{2}$ ).

Lemma 4.3. The intersection of the two irreducible components of $Y_{(0)}$ is a smooth variety $X$ which is a double cover $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ of the base $B$.

Indeed, the intersection is defined by the following complete intersection:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=Z_{1} \cap Z_{2}: y=x^{2}+h z^{2}=0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This intersection is completely included in the patch $z \neq 0$ as otherwise $x=y=z=0$, which is not allowed since $[x: y: z]$ are projective coordinates of a $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ projective bundle. In order to connect with notations familiar in F-theory, we put $y=z-1=0$ in the definition of $Z_{1} \cap Z_{2}$. We are left only with the affine coordinate $x$, which is a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}$. Introducing $\xi=i x$, the intersection can simply be expressed in the total space of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ by the canonical equation $\xi^{2}=h$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho: X \rightarrow B: \quad \xi^{2}=h \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a double cover of the base $B$ of the original elliptic fibration branched on the divisor $\underline{O}: h=0$ in $B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{O}: h=0 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This divisor of $B$ pulls back to the divisor $O=\rho^{\star} \underline{O}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
O: \xi=0, \quad \text { in } \quad X \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the divisor $O \subset X$ which is called the orientifold plane. One can see $X$ as a type IIB orientifold weak coupling limit of F-theory on $Y$. The discriminant locus of the conic fibration $Z_{2}$ :

$$
\underline{D}_{w}: \eta^{2}-h \chi=0
$$

which is singular at $\eta=h=\chi=0$. The variety $\underline{D}_{w}$ pull-back in the double cover $X$ as the Whitney brane $D_{w}=\rho^{\star} \underline{D}_{w}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{w}: \quad \eta^{2}-\xi^{2} \chi=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.5. Fiberwise description of the limit. The generic fiber at $\epsilon \neq 0$ is a smooth elliptic curve. At $\epsilon=0$, the fiber degenerates to a singular elliptic fiber of Kodaira type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. This $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ fiber is composed of a line and a conic meeting at two distinct points. The leading order in $\epsilon$ defines a family of elliptic fibration

$$
Y_{(\epsilon)}: x^{2} y+\chi y^{3}+2 \eta y^{2} z+h y z^{2}+\epsilon k z^{3}=0
$$

At leading order in $\epsilon$, the discriminant locus of $Y_{(0)}$ splits into three components

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{(\epsilon)} \propto \quad \epsilon^{2} h^{2} k^{2}\left(\eta^{2}-h \chi\right)=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first one is the branch locus of the orientifold $h=0$, the second one $\underline{D}: k=0$ is a stack of two branes transversal to the orientifold and the last one is a Whitney brane $\underline{D}: \eta^{2}-\chi h=0$. The fibers over $h=0$ are of type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$ and of type III for $\epsilon=0$. Over $k=0$, the fibers are of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. The fiber over the Whitney brane are of type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ when $\epsilon \neq 0$ and of type $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ when $\epsilon=0$. If we consider higher terms in $\epsilon$, the stack of branes and the Whitney brane recombine into a unique brane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{(\epsilon)} \propto \epsilon^{2} k^{2}\left(-4 h^{2} \eta^{2}+4 h^{3} \chi+32 k \epsilon \eta^{3}-36 h k \epsilon \eta \chi+27 k^{2} \epsilon^{2} \chi^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly, $Y_{(0)}$ is not an elliptic fibration: the generic fiber is not an elliptic curve but a fiber of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, composed of a line $y=0$ and a conic $x^{2}+\chi y^{2}+2 \eta y z+h z^{2}=0$. The fiber $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ specializes to a fiber of type III when the line becomes tangent to the conic. This happens when $h=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{O}: \quad h=0 \rightarrow \text { III. } \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fiber $I_{2}$ specializes to a triangle $I_{3}$ as the conic splits into two lines. This happens when the discriminant of the conic vanishes and corresponds to the Whitney brane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{D_{w}}: \quad \eta^{2}-\chi h=0 \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two lines coming from the conics are no individually well defined because of a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ monodromy. When $h=\eta=0$, the fiber specializes further to a star (a fiber of type IV):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{O} \cap \underline{D_{w}}: \quad h=\eta=0 \rightarrow \mathrm{IV} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, when $h=\eta=\chi=0$, the fiber specializes to a double line $x^{2}=0$ intersecting transversally the line $y=0$. This is a non-Kodaira fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{(2)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sing}\left(\underline{D_{w}}\right): \quad h=\eta=\chi=0 \rightarrow \mathrm{IV}^{(2)} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Conclusion and discussion

In this paper we introduce a new model for elliptic fibrations with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one using an hypersurface in a projective bundle. Global aspects of this elliptic fibration are controlled by two line bundles $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{S}$ that are used to define the ambient space $\pi: \mathbb{P}[\mathscr{L} \oplus$ $\left.\mathscr{S} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right] \rightarrow B$. The equation is then retrieved as a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{O}(3) \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{L}^{2} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathscr{S}$. The resulting defining equation is a cubic with a Newton's polygon which is a reflexive polytope in a quadrilateral shape with seven lattice points on its boundary. We call it an elliptic fibration of type $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$. This models generalize both the $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ elliptic fibration and the elliptic fibration introduced recently by Cacciatori, Cattaneo, and Van Geemen [5]. Using this smooth model we can easily determine the spectrum of singular fibers and compute basic topological invariants. We identify seven possible singular fibers: six Kodaira fibers (type $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{3}$, II, III and IV) and the non-Kodaira fiber of type IV ${ }^{(2)}$. We also get a pushforward formula for the total Chern class. This is a generalized Sethi-Vafa-Witten formula. Using the geometric description of the weak coupling limit developed in [21], we find a weak coupling limit for the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$ elliptic fibration. The weak coupling that we consider is based on a specialization to a fiber of type $I_{2}$ over a general point of the base. The fiber specialize further to a fiber of type III over the orientifold $h=0$ :
weak coupling limit:


It yields the following brane spectrum at weak coupling:
$(\star) \quad$ a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orientifold + a Whitney brane + an $S p(1)$ stack.
The $S p(1)$ stack is composed of two smooth and invariant branes intersecting the orientifold transversally. We prove that the tadpole matching condition is satisfied for this spectrum. The singularities of the Whitney brane play an essential role as they contribute to the D3-charge at weak coupling. Such a contribution is already necessary for the usual Sen's limit and for certain weak coupling limits of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ elliptic fibrations $17,20,21$. The Euler characteristic for the Whitney brane is defined using the orientifold Euler characteristic introduced in 17 and mathematically defined in 20 . The weak coupling limit that we have obtained is naturally an ALE degeneration of the elliptic fibration. As the coupling becomes weak, the generic fiber is no longer elliptic but
becomes a fiber of type $I_{2}$ composed of a conic and a line meeting at two distinct points. As we move over the locus of the orientifold, the conic becomes tangent to the line and we get a fiber of type III.

There are several interesting questions that are not discussed in this paper. For example, the weak coupling limit discussed here is not unique. But it is not clear that another one would satisfy the tadpole condition. The fibration discussed in $[5]$ does not satisfy the tadpole condition but seems to admit specializations describing orientifolds with surprising properties. An analysis of these particular cases will be the subject of a companion paper.
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## Appendix A. Alternative derivation of the Jacobian

The Jacobian of a genus one curve with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one can be easily obtained using the Riemann-Roch theorem as discussed for example in [6, 38]. In this section, we present a "quick and dirty trick" that reproduces the same result in an intuitive way. It also gives a simple arithmetic meaning to the section $\mathscr{S}$ that enters in the definition of the $\mathrm{Q}_{7}(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S})$.

Consider a Weierstrass model with a rational point $P$ other than the point at infinity $O: x=$ $z=0$. Putting $P$ at $y=0$, the cubic $x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{6}$ has to factorize. By an appropriate translation of $x$, we can put the Weierstrass model in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(y+a_{1} x+a_{3}\right)=\left(x+a_{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+a_{4}\right) . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We end up with a general Weierstrass model with the specialization $a_{6}=a_{2} a_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+a_{1} x y+a_{3} y=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{2} a_{4} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This specialization is too mild to factorize the discriminant but does gives two non-trivial rational sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y)=\left(-a_{2}, 0\right) \text { and }(x, y)=\left(-a_{2}, a_{1} a_{2}-a_{3}\right) \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two points are inverse of each other for the Mordell-Weil group with the neutral element $x=z=0$. Since we can write the Weierstrass model as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(y+a_{1} x+a_{3}\right)=\left(x+a_{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+a_{4}\right) \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have conifold-like points at $y=y+a_{1} x+a_{3}=x+a_{2}=x^{2}+a_{4}=0$. It corresponds to the point $y=x+a_{2}=0$ on each fiber over the codimension- 2 locus in the base:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-a_{1} a_{2}=a_{4}+a_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Over this locus, the elliptic fiber can be put in this suggestive form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-a_{1} a_{2}=a_{4}+a_{2}^{2}=0 \Longrightarrow\left(y+\frac{a_{1}}{2}\left(x+a_{2}\right)\right)^{2}=\left(x+a_{2}\right)^{2}\left(x-a_{2}+\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that the elliptic curve has a $A_{1}$ singularity over $a_{3}-a_{1} a_{2}=a_{4}+a_{2}^{2}=0$.
We now consider the case in which $a_{2}$ has an explicit rational part:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=c-\frac{p}{q} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is integral and $p / q$ is a reduced fraction. By taking appropriate choices for $\left(a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$, we can still ensure that when we complete the square in $y$, the coefficients $b_{2}, b_{4}$ and $b_{6}$ are all integral. Since $b_{2}=4 a_{2}+a_{1}^{2}$, we can get rid of the fractional part of $b_{2}$ due to $a_{2}$ by taking
$a_{1}=2 \sqrt{p / q}$. However, the point $(x, y)=\left(a_{2},-a_{1} a_{2}+a_{3}\right)$ will not be rational anymore because of the square root in $a_{1}$. We can solve this problem by requiring that $p / q$ to be a perfect square $\left(p / q=r^{2} / s^{2}\right)$. That is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=2 \frac{r}{s}, \quad a_{2}=c-\frac{r^{2}}{s^{2}} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can get rid of the fractional part of $b_{4}=2 a_{4}+a_{1} a_{3}$ by requiring $a_{3}$ to be proportional to $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=2 \frac{r}{s}, \quad a_{2}=c-\frac{r^{2}}{s^{2}}, \quad a_{3}=2 s t \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $b_{6}=4 a_{6}+a_{3}^{2}$ and $a_{6}=a_{2} a_{4}$, we can ensure that $b_{6}$ is integral by taking $a_{4}$ to be proportional to $s^{2}$. Using $a_{6}=a_{2} a_{4}$, we get our final form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=2 \frac{r}{s}, \quad a_{2}=c-\frac{r^{2}}{s^{2}}, \quad a_{3}=2 s t, \quad a_{4}=s^{2} u, \quad a_{6}=u\left(c s^{2}-r^{2}\right)  \tag{A.10}\\
E: \quad y^{2}+2 \frac{r}{s} x y+2 s t y=x^{3}+\left(c-\frac{r^{2}}{s^{2}}\right) x^{2}+s^{2} u x+u\left(c s^{2}-r^{2}\right) \tag{A.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

This has a rational point of type $(x, y)=\left(-a_{2}, 0\right)$ with $a_{2}=c-r^{2} / s^{2}$. This Weierstrass equation has coefficients that are rational expressions. But by construction, we can resolve this problem by completing the square in $y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E: \quad y(y+2 s t)=x^{3}+c x^{2}+\left(s^{2} u+2 r t\right) x+u\left(c s^{2}-r^{2}\right) . \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the rational point

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=-c+\frac{r^{2}}{s^{2}}, \quad y=\frac{r^{3}-r c s^{2}+s^{4} t}{s^{3}} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Completing the square in $y$ we get the canonical form of a Weierstrass model of rank 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E: \quad y^{2}=x^{3}+c x^{2}+\left(s^{2} u+2 r t\right) x+u c s^{2}-u r^{2}+s^{2} t^{2} \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding short Weierstrass form is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+\left(-\frac{1}{3} c^{2}+2 r t+s^{2} u\right) x+\left(\frac{2}{27} c^{3}+s^{2} t^{2}-r^{2} u-\frac{2}{3} c\left(r t-s^{2} u\right)\right) \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which depends only on the variable $\left(s^{2}, r, c, t, u\right)$. The reduced Weierstrass model is invariant under the involution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s^{2}, r, c, t, u\right) \leftrightarrow\left(-u, t, c, r,-s^{2}\right) \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also invariant under the scaling symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \cdot\left(s^{2}, r, c, t, u\right)=\left(\alpha^{2} s^{2}, \alpha r, c, \frac{t}{\alpha}, \frac{u}{\alpha^{2}}\right) . \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the Jacobian of the Jacobi quartic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=s^{2} x^{4}-2 r x^{3} z+c x^{2} z^{2}+t x z^{3}+\frac{1}{4} u z^{4} . \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=-u x^{4}-2 t x^{3} z+c x^{2} z^{2}+r x z^{3}-\frac{1}{4} s^{2} z^{4} . \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both Jacobi quartics A.18 and A.19 admit the same Jacobian.
In a Weierstrass model, each coefficient $a_{i}(i=1,2,3,4,6)$ is a section of $\mathscr{L}^{i}$, where $\mathscr{L}$ is the fundamental line bundle of the elliptic fibration. Assuming that $s$ is a section of a line bundle $\mathscr{M}$, then the different variables $r, c, t, u$ are sections of the following line bundles:

| Line bundle | $\mathscr{M}^{2}$ | $\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{M}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{2}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{3} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{-1}$ | $\mathscr{L}^{4} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{-2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | $s^{2}$ | $r$ | $c$ | $t$ | $u$ |

In this table, as we move to the right, we multiply the line bundle by $\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{-1}$. The dictionary to the notation in the main text is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=b_{2}, \quad r=c_{3}, \quad c=-c_{2}, \quad t=-\frac{1}{2} c_{1}, \quad u=-c_{0} \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the line bundle $\mathscr{S}$ corresponds to $\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{M}$. This shows that $\mathscr{S} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{-1}$ is a natural line to consider to discuss the arithmetic properties of the section.
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[^0]:    1 A reflexive polygon is a polygon with a unique lattice point in its interior. For a binary planar algebraic curve, the number of interior lattice points in their Newton's polygon gives the arithmetic genus of the curve.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Since we blow up a divisor, we cannot change the canonical class. Hence, a small resolution is always crepant.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ For non-Kodaira fibers in F-theory see 5.22 29.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ We recall that the Weierstrass model the coefficients $F$ and $G$ are respectively sections of $\mathscr{L}^{4}$ and $\mathscr{L}^{6}$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hartshorne Chap II, Lemma 7.9.

