

On a borderline class of non-positively curved compact Kähler manifolds

S.-T. Yau¹ and F. Zheng^{2, *}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

² Department of Mathematics, Duke University, Durham, NC 27706, USA

Received November 26, 1991; in final form June 16, 1992

Contents

- 0 Introduction and statement of results
- 1 Decomposition of the holomorphic bisectional curvature
- 2 Curvature decomposition in the degenerate case
- 3 Proof of Theorem A
- 4 The conformal relations of holomorphic bisectional curvature
- 5 Proof of Theorem B
- 6 An example

0 Introduction and statement of results

Let M be a compact complex manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the space of all Kähler metrics on M with non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. Since the summation of two such metrics still has the same curvature property, $\mathcal{F}(M)$ forms a convex subset in $\mathcal{C}(M)$, the linear span of the space of all Kähler metrics on M .

Definition. M is said to be *semi-rigidly non-positively curved*, or simply *semi-rigid*, if $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is not empty, and its linear span in $\mathcal{C}(M)$ is finite dimensional.

It is not hard to see that for a finite unbranched cover $\pi: M \rightarrow N$, M is semi-rigid if and only if N is so; and for a product manifold $M = M_1 \times M_2$, M is semi-rigid if and only if both M_1 and M_2 are so.

Apparently, if there is a metric g on M which has strictly negative holomorphic bisectional curvature at a point $x \in M$, then any small perturbation of g near x is also in $\mathcal{F}(M)$, so M cannot be semi-rigid. In other words, semi-rigidity is likely to occur only when the cotangent bundle T_M^* is semi-ample but not ample in certain strong way, which could give lots of flat directions for the curvature of any g in $\mathcal{F}(M)$. This would tie the elements of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ together.

* Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-91-05185 and Duke University

For example, any complex torus $M = \mathbb{T}^n$ is semi-rigid, since each $g \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ must be flat, and the flat metrics on M are contained in a finite dimensional linear space.

But certainly it is more interesting to consider the case when M is of general type. The first result in this direction was obtained by Mok in [M]. Let us state the following special case of his main theorem:

Theorem (Mok) *Let $M = D/\Gamma$ be a smooth compact quotient of an irreducible bounded symmetric domain of rank bigger than one. Then $\mathcal{F}(M) = \mathbb{R}^+ \{g_0\}$, i.e., the non-positively curved metric on M is unique up to a constant multiple.*

In this paper, we study a class of general type manifolds which are semi-rigid (but not rigid in general). Our main results can be stated as the following.

Theorem A. *Let (M, g) be a n -dimensional compact Kähler manifold with:*

- (1) $n \geq 2$, and $(c_1^2 - c_2) \cdot [\omega_h]^{n-2} = 0$ for a Kähler metric h ;
- (2) $g \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, i.e., the holomorphic bisectional curvature is non-positive;
- (3) $\{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^n(x) \neq 0\}$ is dense in M , and $\{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^{n-1}(x) \neq 0\}$ is a Zariski open subset in M .

Then there exists an isometric holomorphic immersion $f: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0)$ from the universal covering space of (M, g) into the complex euclidean space, and for each $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$, there is a rigid motion ϕ_γ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that $f \circ \gamma = \phi_\gamma \circ f$.

Theorem B. *Let (M, g) be as in Theorem A. Then for any $h \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, (M, h) also satisfies the condition (3); and for any two isometric holomorphic immersions $f: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0)$ and $f^{(h)}: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0)$, there always exists an affine transformation ϕ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that $f^{(h)} = \phi \circ f$.*

In particular, M is semi-rigid.

Note that by the beautiful theorem of Fulton and Lazarsfeld [F-L], the semi-ampleness of the cotangent bundle T_M^* gives a bunch of inequalities on the Chern classes, where $c_1^2 - c_2 \geq 0$ is among the first a few ones; and all these inequalities become sharp when T_M^* is ample. Therefore, the condition (1) in Theorem A says in a strong tone that T_M^* is not ample. The third condition guarantees that M is of general type, and it is satisfied by any smooth theta divisor in a complex torus \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

From the differential-geometric point of view, Theorem A says that locally (M, g) looks like a piece of hypersurface in the complex euclidean space; while Theorem B implies that for any two metrics in $\mathcal{F}(M)$, their holomorphic bisectional curvature tensors are conformal to each other.

1 Decomposition of the holomorphic bisectional curvature

Through out this section, let us assume that (M, g) is a fixed Kähler manifold satisfying the conditions in Theorem A.

Under any tangent frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, let θ , Θ and g be the $n \times n$ matrices of the connection, curvature, and the metric itself. Let $\Omega = \Theta \cdot g$ be the matrix of holomorphic bisectional curvature. Also write $e = {}^t(e_1, \dots, e_n)$, $\varphi = {}^t(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ as column vectors, where $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ is the coframe dual to e . Let U_g denote the dense open subset $\{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^n(x) \neq 0\} = \{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g(x) < 0\}$.

Proposition 1 For any $x \in M$ and any $v \in T_x M$, $(\Omega_{v\bar{v}})^2 = 0$.

Proof. Let $\pi: P = \mathbf{P}(T_M) \rightarrow M$ be the projectified tangent bundle and L the dual of the tautological line bundle on P . For any $(x, [v]) \in P$, let (z_1, \dots, z_n) be a holomorphic coordinate centered at x , and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ a holomorphic tangent frame near x which is normal at x and $[e_1(0)] = [v]$. Then $(z, t) = (z_1, \dots, z_n; t_2, \dots, t_n)$ gives the holomorphic coordinates of the point $\left(z, \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_i \bar{t}_j g_{i\bar{j}}\right]\right)$ near $(x, [v])$. Here $t_1 \equiv 1$.

Let \hat{g} be the metric on L induced by g , and ω be the Kähler form of h in (1) of Theorem A, then at $(x, [v])$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(L, \hat{g})|_{(x, [v])} &= \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_i \bar{t}_j g_{i\bar{j}}(z) \right) \Big|_{(0,0)} \\ &= -\frac{\Omega_{v\bar{v}}}{g_{v\bar{v}}} + dt_2 \wedge d\bar{t}_2 + \dots + dt_n \wedge d\bar{t}_n \\ &\quad \cdot (c_1(L, \hat{g}))^{n+1} \wedge \pi^*(\omega^{n-2})|_{(x, [v])} \\ &= c \left(-\frac{\Omega_{v\bar{v}}}{g_{v\bar{v}}} \right)^2 \wedge \pi^*(\omega^{n-2}) \wedge (dt_2 \wedge d\bar{t}_2 + \dots + dt_n \wedge d\bar{t}_n)^{n+1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1^2 - c_2 \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} = 0$ and $L^n - L^{n-1} \cdot \pi^* C_1 + \dots + \pi^* c_n = 0$ as cohomology classes, we get the pointwise identity:

$$(\Omega_{v\bar{v}})^2 \wedge (\omega)^{n-2} = 0$$

hence $(\Omega_{v\bar{v}})^2 = 0$ as $\Omega_{v\bar{v}} \leq 0$. QED

Lemma 1 For any i, j, k in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{i\bar{i}} \wedge \Omega_{j\bar{j}} &= \Omega_{j\bar{j}} \wedge \Omega_{i\bar{i}} = 0 \\ (\Omega_{ij})^2 &= \Omega_{i\bar{i}} \wedge \Omega_{j\bar{k}} + \Omega_{j\bar{k}} \wedge \Omega_{i\bar{i}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $v = e_i + te_j$. Then $\Omega_{v\bar{v}} = \Omega_{i\bar{i}} + \bar{t}\Omega_{i\bar{j}} + t\Omega_{j\bar{i}} + t\bar{t}\Omega_{i\bar{i}}$. Since $(\Omega_{v\bar{v}})^2 = 0$ for arbitrary t , one gets $\Omega_{i\bar{i}} \wedge \Omega_{i\bar{j}} = \Omega_{j\bar{j}} \wedge (\Omega_{ij})^2 = 0$. Similarly, let $v = e_i + te_j + se_k$ and consider the $t\bar{s}$ terms in $(\Omega_{v\bar{v}})^2 = 0$, one gets $\Omega_{i\bar{i}} \wedge \Omega_{j\bar{k}} + \Omega_{i\bar{k}} \wedge \Omega_{j\bar{i}} = 0$. QED

Proposition 2 For any $x \in U_g$, and any tangent frame e near x , there exist $(1, 0)$ -forms ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n in a neighbourhood of x , such that

$$\Omega = -\psi \wedge \psi^*$$

where $\psi = {}^t(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$, and $\psi^* = {}^t\bar{\psi}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that e is an unitary frame. For each i between 1 and n , write $\Omega_{i\bar{i}} = {}^t\varphi A \bar{\varphi}$. Then $\text{rank}(A) \leq 1$ by Proposition 1, and $A(x) \neq 0$ as $x \in U_g$, hence $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ in a small neighbourhood of x . Since A is Hermitian and semi-negative definite, we get $\Omega_{i\bar{i}} = -\psi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_i$ for some $(1, 0)$ -form ψ_i . By $\text{Ric}_x < 0$, ψ forms a coframe near x .

For any $i < j$, write $\Omega_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n c_{k\bar{l}} \psi_k \wedge \bar{\psi}_l$. Then by Lemma 1, $\Omega_{ij} = c_{i\bar{j}} \psi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_j + c_{j\bar{i}} \psi_j \wedge \bar{\psi}_i$, and $c_{i\bar{j}} \cdot c_{j\bar{i}} = 0$, $|c_{i\bar{j}}|^2 + |c_{j\bar{i}}|^2 = 1$. By the first Bianchi identity $\iota_\varphi \wedge \Theta = 0$, here $\Omega = \Theta$ as e is unitary, we know that $c_{j\bar{i}}$ must vanish. Therefore, $\Omega_{ij} = c_{i\bar{j}} \psi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_j$, $c_{i\bar{i}} = 1$, and $|c_{i\bar{j}}|^2 = 1$.

Let $C = (c_{i\bar{j}})$. Then C is a nowhere zero Hermitian matrix. By the last equality in Lemma 1, $\text{rank}(C) \leq 1$, hence $C = b \cdot b^*$ for a column vector b . Replace ψ_i by $b_i \psi_i$, we get the desired decomposition of Ω . QED

Proposition 3 *For any $x \in U_g$, and any tangent frame e near x , let ψ be a coframe near x satisfying $\Omega = -\psi \wedge \psi^*$ as in Proposition 2. Then there exists a 1-form λ near x such that $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$, $d\psi = \theta \wedge \psi - \lambda \wedge \psi$ and $d\lambda = -\text{Ric}_g$ (θ is the connection matrix under e).*

Proof. Again we may assume that e is unitary. Since $d\varphi = -\iota_\theta \wedge \varphi$, and ψ forms a coframe, one can write $d\psi = \theta \wedge \psi + \xi \wedge \psi$ for some $n \times n$ matrix of 1-forms ξ . Plug it into the second Bianchi identity $d\Theta = \theta \wedge \Theta - \Theta \wedge \theta$, and $\Theta = \Omega = -\psi \wedge \psi^*$, one gets:

$$\xi \wedge \psi \wedge \psi^* + \psi \wedge \psi^* \wedge \xi^* = 0.$$

Its (2, 1)-parts gives:

$$\xi^{(1,0)} \wedge \psi \wedge \psi^* + \psi \wedge \psi^* \wedge \xi^{(0,1)*} = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{(0,1)*} &= \alpha I \\ \xi^{(1,0)} \wedge \psi &= -\alpha \wedge \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\xi \wedge \psi = -(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \wedge \psi.$$

Let $\lambda = \alpha - \bar{\alpha}$, then

$$\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda; \quad d\psi = \theta \wedge \psi - \lambda \wedge \psi.$$

Differentiate the last equality, one gets $d\lambda = -\text{Ric}_g$. QED

2 Curvature decomposition in the degenerate case

Let V_g be the Zariski open set $\{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^{n-1}(x) \neq 0\}$. In this section, we shall consider the decomposition of Ω in V_g , since it will be needed later in the proof of Theorem A.

Let us fix a point $x \in V_g \setminus U_g$. Choose an unitary frame e with the dual frame φ such that

$$-\text{Ric}_g = \lambda_1 \varphi_1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \varphi_n \wedge \bar{\varphi}_n$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} > \lambda_n \geq 0$ in a neighbourhood V of x . Write $U = V \cap U_g$, then $\lambda_n > 0$ in U and $= 0$ along $V \setminus U$.

Since $\Omega_{i\bar{i}} \leq 0$, and $\text{tr}_\omega \Omega_{i\bar{i}}(x) = \text{Ric}(e_i, \bar{e}_i)|_x = -\lambda_i(x)$, hence $\Omega_{i\bar{i}}(x) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\Omega_{n\bar{n}}(x) = 0$.

Therefore, there exist (1, 0)-forms $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}$ in V such that $\Omega_{i\bar{i}} = -\psi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_i$ for each $i \leq n-1$, and $\psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_{n-1} \neq 0$ in V .

Write $\psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}\varphi_j + b_i\varphi_n$, and $A = (a_{ij})$. Then $\Omega_{n\bar{n}}(x) = 0$ gives ${}^tA\bar{A}(x) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_{n-1}(x)) > 0$, hence $\det A(x) \neq 0$. Thus by shrinking V if necessary, we have $\psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_{n-1} \wedge \varphi_n \neq 0$ in V .

For any $y \in U$, Proposition 2 gives that $\Omega = -\psi' \wedge {}^t\bar{\psi}'$ for some coframe $\psi' = B\varphi$ near y . Then for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\psi'_i = \alpha_i\psi_i$ near y for some $|\alpha_i| = 1$. By the first Bianchi identity: ${}^t\varphi \wedge \Theta = 0$, hence ${}^tB = B$.

Write:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} H & b \\ {}^tb & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\text{Ric}_g = \text{tr}(\Omega) = -{}^t\psi' \wedge \bar{\psi}' = -{}^t\varphi(B\bar{B})\bar{\varphi}$$

we have

$$H\bar{H} + b{}^tb = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$$

$$H\bar{b} + b\bar{c} = 0$$

$${}^tb\bar{b} + c\bar{c} = \lambda_n$$

therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i |b_i|^2 = \lambda_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |b_i|^2.$$

This together with the fact that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} > \lambda_n \geq 0$ implies that near y :

$$b = 0; \quad \Omega_{n\bar{n}} = -c\bar{c}\varphi_n \wedge \bar{\varphi}_n.$$

Now if we write $\Omega_{n\bar{n}} = -{}^t\varphi E \bar{\varphi}$ in V , where

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} F & h \\ {}^th & a \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Then $h = 0$ in U , hence in V . Since $\text{rank}(E) \leq 1$, while in U , $a = |c|^2 > 0$, therefore $F = 0$ in U , hence in V . Namely we have

$$\Omega_{n\bar{n}} = -\psi_n \wedge \bar{\psi}_n; \quad \psi_n = \tau\varphi_n$$

in the whole neighbourhood V .

Use the denseness of U and $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}, \varphi_n\}$ as the coframe, a little modification of the proofs of Propositions 2 and 3 gives the following:

Proposition 4 *For any $x \in V_g$ and any frame e near x , there exist $(1, 0)$ -forms ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n and 1-form λ in a neighbourhood of x with $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ such that*

$$\Omega = -\psi \wedge {}^t\bar{\psi}; \quad d\psi = \theta \wedge \psi - \lambda \wedge 4; \quad d\lambda = -\text{Ric}_g.$$

3 Proof of Theorem A

First let us recall the fundamental theorem for complex hypersurfaces.

Suppose (X, g) is a n -dimensional Kähler manifold. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a tangent frame, with $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ its dual frame. Still denote by θ, Ω the matrix of connection and holomorphic bisectional curvature.

For a covariant 2-tensor A of type $(2, 0)$, write $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \varphi_i \otimes \varphi_j$. Let $\psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \varphi_j$. Then A can be written as ${}^t\varphi \otimes \psi$ and A is symmetric if and only

if ${}^t\varphi \wedge \psi = 0$, where $\varphi = ({}^t(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n))$, $\psi = ({}^t(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n))$ are the column vectors. Let us call ψ the associated (column of) $(1, 0)$ -forms of A under the frame e .

Definition. A covariant 2-tensor A is called a *second fundamental tensor*, if A is symmetric, of type $(2, 0)$, and there exists a 1-form λ with $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$, such that the associated $(1, 0)$ -forms ψ of A satisfies:

$$\Omega = -\psi \wedge {}^t\bar{\psi}; \quad d\psi = \theta \wedge \psi - \lambda \wedge \psi; \quad d\lambda = -\text{Ric}_g.$$

Note that λ (once exists) is uniquely determined by A (or ψ) while the above conditions are independent of the choices of the frames. Now we can state a weak version of the fundamental theorem for complex hypersurfaces as the following:

Theorem. Let (X, g) be a n -dimensional Kähler manifold with Ric_g being negative definite in a dense open subset. Assume that for any $x \in X$, there exists a second fundamental tensor near x . Then:

(1) For any $x \in X$, there exists a neighborhood U of x and an isometric holomorphic immersion from U into \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , the $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex euclidean space; and such map is unique up to a rigid motion in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

(2) If furthermore X is simply-connected, then there is a global isometric holomorphic immersion $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, which is also unique up to a rigid motion. (In particular, for any isometry γ on X , there exists a rigid motion ϕ_γ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that $f \circ \gamma = \phi_\gamma \circ f$.)

The proof is standard. Its key point is that the following system of linear equations has local existence and uniqueness for any initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \xi - \rho A &= 0 \\ d\rho - \rho \lambda + g(\xi, \bar{A}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where ρ is a function, ξ is a $(1, 0)$ -form, and $g(\xi, \bar{A})$ denote the 1-form $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \xi_i g^{i\bar{j}} \bar{\psi}_j$ under a frame e (with φ its dual frame and $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \varphi_i$).

For any point $x \in X$ and any unitary coframe $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ at x , let (ξ^ν, ρ^ν) be the unique solution near x of the above system together with the initial condition

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi^\nu(x), \rho^\nu(x)) &= (\sigma_\nu, 0); & \nu &= 1, \dots, n \\ (\xi^\nu(x), \rho^\nu(x)) &= (0, 1); & \nu &= n+1 \end{aligned}$$

then the symmetry property $\psi \wedge \psi = 0$ will imply that ξ^v 's are exact forms. Write $\xi^v = df^v$, then $f = (f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$ is an isometric holomorphic immersion into \mathbb{C}^{n+1} (with $(\rho^1, \dots, \rho^{n+1})$ a unit normal vector field along its image).

When Ric_g is negatively definite in a dense subset, A (or equivalently, ψ) is determined by the curvature Ω up to a multiple function τ with $|\tau| = 1$. While when A is replaced by τA , λ will be changed to $\lambda - \tau^{-1} d\tau$. In this case the ξ -part of the solution remains the same, that is to say, different second fundamental tensors on (X, g) will change the isometric immersions from X into \mathbb{C}^{n+1} only by the composition of a rigid motion.

Now let us turn to the proof of Theorem A, but first we need the following:

Lemma 2 *Suppose that (M, g) satisfies the conditions in Theorem A. Then M is projective, with canonical line bundle K_M ample, and the analytic subset $M \setminus V_g = \{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^{n-1}(x) = 0\}$ is of codimension at least two.*

Proof. Since $U_g = \{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^n \neq 0\}$ is not empty, so $K_M^n = (-c_1)^n > 0$. By the Riemann-Roch Theorem,

$$\chi(mK_M) = \frac{K_M^n}{n!} m^n + O(m^{n-1}).$$

On the other hand, since $\text{Ric} \leq 0$ and < 0 in a non-empty set, a generalized version of the Kodaira vanishing theorem (see Theorem 2.27 in [S-S], for example) says that $h^q(mK_M) = 0$ for any $q > 0$ and $m \geq 2$. So M is of general type, hence projective as it is Kählerian.

By a result of Kawamata [K], if the canonical line bundle K_M of a general type projective manifold M is not ample, the M will contain a rational curve. So in our case, K_M must be ample.

Now if $\{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^{n-1} = 0\}$ contains a divisor D , then $K_M^{n-1} \cdot D = 0$ which contradicts the ampleness of K_M , therefore this set is of codimension at least two. QED

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\pi: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$ be the universal covering space. Then $\pi^{-1}V_g$ is still simply connected as its complement is of codimension at least two. Now by Proposition 4 and the fundamental theorem for complex hypersurfaces we get an isometric holomorphic immersion $f: (\pi^{-1}V_g, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0)$, which is unique up to a rigid motion in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . The Hartogs' extension theorem then gives us the map that we want. QED

Remark. One can replace the condition (3) in Theorem A by the following:

(3') M is of general type, and g is real analytic.

In this case U_g is not empty (otherwise $K_M^n = 0$, so the numerical Kodaira dimension of M is less than n). The above argument shows that any simply-connected open subset in U_g can be isometrically immersed into (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0) . By the result of Calabi [C] one has the global isometric immersion $f: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$.

4 The conformal relations of holomorphic bisectional curvature

Throughout this section, let us assume that (M, g) satisfies the conditions in Theorem A, and $h \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is another metric on M with non-positive holomor-

phic bisectional curvature. Let $S = \nabla^h - \nabla^g$, and $s = \theta(h) - \theta(g)$ be the matrix of S under a frame e .

Lemma 3 *In U_g , s can be locally written as $s = \psi^t \alpha$, where ψ is as in Proposition 2 and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a column vector of smooth functions.*

Proof. Since $h + g$ also belongs to $\mathcal{F}(M)$, by Proposition 1, we have $(\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h + g))^2 = (\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h))^2 = 0$. Let $\eta = \Omega(g) + \Omega(h) - \Omega(h + g)$, then it is easy to verify that:

$$\eta = sg(h + g)^{-1} h^t \bar{s}$$

under any frame e . This implies $\eta \geq 0$, therefore the vanishing of the square of

$$(-\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h + g)) = (-\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(g)) + (-\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h)) + \eta_{v\bar{v}}$$

gives:

$$\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(g) \wedge \eta_{v\bar{v}} = \Omega_{v\bar{v}}(g) \wedge \Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h) = 0$$

for any v .

For any $x \in U_g$, let e be a g -unitary frame near x such that the matrix of h under e (also denote by h) is diagonal:

$$h = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

By Proposition 2, there exist ψ such that $\Omega(g) = -\psi \wedge^t \bar{\psi}$. Write $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i e_i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{v\bar{v}} &= -\psi_v \wedge \bar{\psi}_v; & \psi_v &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \psi_i \\ \eta_{v\bar{v}} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{1 + a_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n v_j s_{jk} \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{j=1}^n v_j \overline{s_{jk}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\psi_v \wedge \sum_{j=1}^n v_j s_{jk} = 0$ for each k . This implies $s_{ij} = \psi_i \alpha_j$ for each i, j . QED

Next we prove the following:

Proposition 5 *There is a positive constant c such that:*

$$\Omega(h) \cdot \det(h) = c \cdot \Omega(g) \cdot \det(g).$$

Proof. We need only to prove this identity in the dense open subset U_g . For any direction v at a point $x \in U_g$, by the fact $(\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h))^2 = \Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h) \wedge \Omega_{v\bar{v}}(g) = 0$ and $\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(g) = -\psi_v \wedge \bar{\psi}_v$, we know that

$$\Omega_{v\bar{v}}(h) = \rho_v \Omega_{v\bar{v}}(g)$$

where ρ_v depends (continuously) on the direction v . Since both g and h are Kählerian, we get:

$$(\rho_v - \rho_u) R_{v\bar{v}u\bar{u}}(g) = 0$$

for any two tangent directions u, v at x . As $\text{Ric}_g(x) < 0$, $R_{v\bar{v}u\bar{u}}(g) \neq 0$ for generic u and v . Hence $\rho = \rho(x)$ is independent of the directions.

Write $\Omega(h) \cdot \det(h) = f(x) \cdot \Omega(g) \cdot \det(g)$. f is a non-negative smooth function in U_g which is independent of the choices of the frames. We want to show that $df \equiv 0$.

Fix a point $x \in U_g$, and let e be a holomorphic tangent frame near x which is g -normal at x , i.e., $g(x) = I, \theta_g(x) = 0$. Write $\xi = hg^{-1}, \beta = \frac{\det(h)}{\det(g)}$, then:

$$\Theta(h) \cdot \xi \cdot \beta = f \cdot \Theta(g)$$

(recall that $\Theta_g \cdot g = \Omega_g$). Differentiate the above equality and take the trace, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial f \wedge \text{Ric}_g &= \text{tr } \partial(\Theta_h \xi \beta) \\ &= \text{tr } \partial(\Theta_h \xi) \beta + \text{tr } (\Theta_h \xi) \wedge \partial \beta \\ &= \text{tr } (s \wedge \Theta_h \xi) \beta + \text{tr } (\Theta_h \xi) \wedge \beta \text{tr } (s) \\ &= f \{ \text{tr } (s) \wedge \text{tr } (\Omega_g) + \text{tr } (s \wedge \Omega_g) \} \\ &= -f \{ \text{tr } (\psi' \alpha) \wedge \text{tr } (\psi \wedge \bar{\psi}) + \text{tr } (\psi' \alpha \wedge \psi \wedge \bar{\psi}) \} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\partial f = 0$, hence $df = 0$ in U_g as f is real-valued. This implies that f is constant in each connected component of U_g . Since (M, h) cannot be flat, and V_g is connected, it is not hard to see that these constants must be equal. QED

Corollary 1 *If (M, g) satisfies the conditions in Theorem A, then any $h \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ also satisfies the same conditions, and $U_h = U_g; V_h = V_g$.*

5 Proof of Theorem B

Again let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold satisfying the conditions in Theorem A, and $h \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ be another Kähler metric on M with non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. By Corollary 1, (M, h) also satisfies those conditions, so Theorem A gives isometric holomorphic immersions:

$$f: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0); \quad f^{(h)}: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0)$$

from the universal covering spaces into the complex euclidean space. In this section we are going to show that there exists an affine transformation ϕ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that $f^{(h)} = \phi \circ f$.

First of all let us recall that in the fundamental theorem for complex hypersurfaces, a second fundamental tensor A for (M, g) with associated 1-form λ gives a linear system $(*_g)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \xi - \rho A &= 0 \\ d\rho - \rho \lambda + g(\xi, \bar{A}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and if $\{(\xi^v, \rho^v); v = 1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ is a basis of solutions of $(*_g)$ under suitable initial conditions, then ξ^v 's are all exact: $\xi^v = df^v$, and $f = (f^1, \dots, f^n)$ gives the local isometric immersion. For (M, h) , one has parallel situations and the corresponding system $(*_h)$. So for our purpose it would be sufficient to show that

the ξ -part solutions of $(*_g)$ and $(*_h)$ are the same. In order to do this, first let us fix some notations.

Locally near a point $x \in U_g = U_h$, let ψ, λ be as in Proposition 4 for (M, g) , and ψ', λ' for (M, h) . Then ψ, ψ' both give local coframes near x . By Proposition 5 we have

$$\psi' = e^{a+ib}\psi; \quad \Omega_h = e^{2a}\Omega_g$$

where both a and b are real. Also by Lemma 3, we can write $s \equiv \theta_h - \theta_g = \psi'\alpha$.

Lemma 4 *Under the above notations,*

$$\lambda' = \lambda - idb + \partial a - \bar{\partial} a; \quad 2\partial a = -{}^t\psi\alpha.$$

Proof. Plug $\psi' = e^{a+bi}\psi$ and $d\psi = \theta \wedge \psi - \lambda \wedge \psi$ into $d\psi' = \theta' \wedge \psi' - \lambda' \wedge \psi'$, we get:

$$(\lambda' - \lambda - s + da + idb) \wedge \psi' = 0.$$

Since ψ' is a coframe, the $(0, 1)$ -part of the braces must vanish, while s is of type $(1, 0)$, hence

$$\lambda' = \lambda - idb + \partial a - \bar{\partial} a.$$

Next, since $e^{2a} = c \frac{\det g}{\det h}$, so (when e is a holomorphic frame):

$$2\partial a = \partial \log \det g - \partial \log \det h = -\text{tr } s = -{}^t\psi\alpha.$$

Note that the two very end terms are independent of the choice of the frame, therefore this equality holds for any frame e . QED

Lemma 5 *For any $(1, 0)$ -form $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \varphi_i$, write $\sigma(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \xi_i$. Then:*

$$\nabla' \xi - \nabla \xi = -\sigma(\xi) \cdot A.$$

Proof. By $s = \psi \wedge {}^t\alpha$ and $A = {}^t\varphi \otimes \psi = {}^t\psi \otimes \varphi$, a straight calculation shows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \xi - \nabla \xi &= S(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i S(\varphi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i (-s_{ji} \otimes \varphi_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \xi_i \psi_j \alpha_i \otimes \varphi_j = -\sigma(\xi) \cdot A. \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6 *If (ξ, ρ) is a solution of $(*_g)$, let $\rho' = e^{-a-ib}(\rho - \sigma(\xi))$. Then (ξ, ρ') is a solution for $(*_h)$.*

Proof. Consider the system $(*_h)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \xi - \rho' A' &= 0 \\ d\rho' - \rho' \lambda' + h(\xi, \bar{A}') &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since (ξ, ρ) satisfies $(*_g)$ and $\rho' = e^{-a-ib}(\rho - \sigma(\xi))$, the first equation of $(*_h)$ is immediate by Lemma 5:

$$\nabla' \xi = \nabla \xi - \sigma(\xi)A = \rho A - \sigma(\xi)A = \rho' A'.$$

For the second equation, let B denote the value of its right hand side multiply by e^{a+ib} . Then by Lemma 4 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= e^{a+ib} \{d\rho' - \rho' \lambda' + h(\xi, \bar{A}')\} \\ &= d(\rho - \sigma(\xi)) - (\rho - \sigma(\xi))(da + idb + \lambda - idb + \partial a - \bar{\partial} a) + e^{2a} h(\xi, \bar{A}) \\ &= d(\rho - \sigma(\xi)) - (\rho - \sigma(\xi))(\lambda - {}^t\psi\alpha) + e^{2a} h(\xi, \bar{A}) \\ &= (d\rho - \rho\lambda) - d\sigma(\xi) + \sigma(\xi)\lambda + (\rho - \sigma(\xi)){}^t\psi\alpha + e^{2a} h(\xi, \bar{A}) \\ &= e^{2a} h(\xi, \bar{A}) - g(\xi, \bar{A}) - d\sigma(\xi) + \sigma(\xi)\lambda + (\rho - \sigma(\xi)){}^t\psi\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Write $\xi = ({}^i\xi_1, \dots, {}^i\xi_n)$ also as the column vector of its coefficients under the coframe φ , then as it satisfies $(*_g)$, one has: $\nabla({}^i\xi\varphi) = d{}^i\xi \otimes \varphi - {}^i\xi{}^t\theta \otimes \varphi = \rho A = \rho{}^t\psi \otimes \varphi$. Hence $d{}^i\xi{}^t\theta + \rho{}^t\psi$ and:

$$d\sigma(\xi) = d({}^i\xi\alpha) = {}^i\xi{}^t\theta\alpha + {}^i\xi d\alpha + \rho{}^t\psi\alpha$$

while

$$e^{2a} h(\xi, \bar{A}) - g(\xi, \bar{A}) = {}^i\xi(e^{2a}{}^t h^{-1} - {}^t g^{-1})\bar{\psi}$$

therefore

$$B = {}^i\xi \{ (e^{2a}{}^t h^{-1} - {}^t g^{-1})\bar{\psi} - {}^t\theta\alpha - d\alpha + \alpha\lambda - \alpha{}^t\psi\alpha \}.$$

Let us denote the $\{ \}$ term by η , which is a column vector of 1-forms. In order to show that η vanishes, it suffices to check it under a special frame. For any point x , let e be a holomorphic frame which is g -normal at x , i.e., $g(x) = I$, $dg(x) = 0$. So at x , $\theta = 0$, $\theta' = s = \psi{}^t\alpha$, and:

$$ds = d(\theta' - \theta) = \Theta' + \theta' \wedge \theta' - \Theta = \Omega' h^{-1} - \Omega g^{-1} + s \wedge s.$$

By Proposition 5, and take trace, we have that at x :

$$d{}^t s = (e^{2a}{}^t h^{-1} - {}^t g^{-1})\bar{\psi} \wedge {}^t\psi - {}^t s \wedge \alpha{}^t\psi.$$

On the other hand,

$$d{}^t s = d(\alpha{}^t\psi) = d\alpha \wedge {}^t\psi - \alpha\lambda \wedge {}^t\psi$$

therefore at the point x ,

$$\{ (e^{2a}{}^t h^{-1} - {}^t g^{-1})\bar{\psi} - {}^t s\alpha - d\alpha + \alpha\lambda \} \wedge {}^t\psi = 0$$

hence $\eta(x) = 0$. Since x is arbitrary, we proved that $\eta \equiv 0$, so $B = 0$ as we wanted. QED

Proof of Theorem B. Let (M, g) and $h \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ be as in Theorem B. By Corollary 1, (M, h) also satisfies the same conditions. Hence there are isometric holomorphic immersions:

$$\begin{aligned} f: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, g_0) \\ f^{(h)}: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, g_0) \end{aligned}$$

from the universal covering spaces into the complex euclidean space. By Proposition 6, we know that locally f and $f^{(h)}$ differ only by an affine transformation in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , that is, there is an open covering $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$ of M' and affine transformations ϕ_α in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with $f^{(h)}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} = \phi_\alpha \circ f|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$ for each α . For any α, β with $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \neq \emptyset$, one has $\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta = \text{id}$ on $f(\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta)$. Since the fixed point set of any non-trivial affine transformation is an proper affine subspace (or empty), while $f(\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta)$ can not be flat as $\text{Ric}_g < 0$ in a dense subset. Therefore $\phi_\alpha = \phi_\beta$ whenever $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \neq \emptyset$, hence all the ϕ_α 's are the same, and Theorem B is proved. QED

In the following, let us give an alternative and shorter proof of Theorem B, by using the conformal relation Proposition 5 and Theorem A (for both g and h). This proof is suggested to us by the referee of this paper:

Proposition 6' *Suppose f, f' are two holomorphic embeddings from a small piece of complex n -manifold X into the complex Euclidean space (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, g_0) . Let A, A' be any second fundamental tensor corresponds to f and f' , respectively. Suppose $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ is a biholomorphism such that $A(u, v) = 0$ if and only if $A'(\sigma_* u, \sigma_* v) = 0$. If A or A' is of rank ≥ 2 somewhere, then σ is induced by an affine transformation on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let us assume that σ is the identity map, and locally the two hypersurfaces are given by graphs $z_{n+1} = F(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $z_{n+1} = G(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, respectively. Under the coordinate $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, a straightforward calculation shows that the bisectonal curvature tensors are $\Omega = (1 + |F_1|^2 + \dots + |f_n|^2)^{-1} (\partial F_1, \dots, \partial F_n) \wedge (\bar{\partial} F_1, \dots, \bar{\partial} F_n)$ and similarly for Ω' . Now the condition in Proposition 6' says that A and A' are proportional to each other, therefore Ω and Ω' are also proportional. Hence there is a holomorphic function b on X such that $G_{ij} = b \cdot F_{ij}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. From this one gets $b_k F_{ij} - b_j F_{ik} = 0$ for any i, j, k . It follows that $b_k \equiv 0$ for all k , since the rank of the $n \times n$ matrix (F_{ij}) is ≥ 2 by the assumption. Therefore b is a constant. So by the relation $G_{ij} = b \cdot F_{ij}$ we know that f and f' (or rather $f' \circ \sigma$) differ by an affine transformation of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . QED

6 An example

In this final section, let us consider the following class of manifolds which satisfies the conditions of Theorem A.

Assume that M is a n -dimensional compact complex manifold of general type such that there is a holomorphic immersion $\gamma: M \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n+1}$ from M into a complex $(n+1)$ -torus.

Lemma 6 *For any flat metric g_0 on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} , let $g = \gamma^*(g_0)$. Then (M, g) satisfies the conditions in Theorem A.*

Proof. Certainly (M, g) is a compact Kähler manifold with non-positive holomorphic bisectonal curvature, and the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T_M \rightarrow \gamma^* T_{\mathbb{T}^{n+1}} = \mathcal{O}_M^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$$

implies that $\mathcal{N} \cong K_M$, and $c_1^2(M) - c_2(M) = 0$.

Let $D_k = \{x \in M : \text{Ric}_g^k(x) = 0\}$. Then $V_g = M \setminus D_{n-1} \supseteq U_g = M \setminus D_n$. Since M does not contain any rational curve, by [K], $K_M^n > 0$, hence $D_n \neq M$, or $U_g \neq \emptyset$. Now it suffices to show that each D_k is an analytic subset in M . In order to see this, locally let (z, \dots, z_{n+1}) be natural coordinates of \mathbf{T}^{n+1} such that a small piece (say, U) of $\gamma(M)$ is defined by a holomorphic function $z_{n+1} = f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Then it is a straight calculation to verify that $D_k \cap U = \{x \in U : \text{rank}(F) < k\}$, where F is the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $F_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}$ which are holomorphic functions. QED

Corollary 2 *Suppose that $\gamma: M \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{n+1}$ is a holomorphic immersion from a n -dimensional general type manifold into a complex $(n + 1)$ -torus. Then $\mathcal{F}(M)$ consists of the pull-backs of the flat metrics on \mathbf{T}^{n+1} . In particular, M is semi-rigid.*

Proof. Let $g = \gamma^*(g_0)$ be the pull-back of a flat metric on \mathbf{T}^{n+1} , and suppose that h is an arbitrary metric in $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Let $f: (M', g') \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, g_0)$ be the lift of γ to the universal covering spaces. By Lemma 6 and Theorems A and B, there is also an isometric holomorphic immersion

$$f^{(h)}: (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, g_0)$$

for h , and an affine transformation ϕ in \mathbf{C}^{n+1} such that $f^{(h)} = \phi \circ f$. Furthermore, for any deck transformation $\sigma \in \pi_1(M)$, there are rigid motions $\phi_\sigma, \phi'_\sigma$ in \mathbf{C}^{n+1} such that

$$f \circ \sigma = \phi_\sigma \circ f; \quad f^{(h)} \circ \sigma = \phi'_\sigma \circ f^{(h)}.$$

Combine these two identities we get $\phi'_\sigma = \phi \circ \phi_\sigma \circ \phi^{-1}$ for each $\sigma \in \pi_1(M)$.

Since f is the lift of γ to the universal covers, all ϕ_σ 's are translations, hence the conjugations ϕ'_σ are also translations, which preserve any flat metric on \mathbf{C}^{n+1} , hence $h = \gamma^*(h_0)$ for a flat metric h_0 on \mathbf{T}^{n+1} . QED

Remark. In Theorem A, if one assume that $\pi_1(M)$ contains an abelian subgroup of finite index, then it is easy to show that there exists an holomorphic isometric immersion from a finite cover of M into an abelian variety \mathbf{T}^{n+1} . However, we do not know if this should be the case in general.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Professors R. Bryant, L. Saper, M. Stern and G. Tian for very helpful conversations from which we benefited a lot. We are also very grateful to the referee of this paper for several helpful suggestions.

References

[C] Calabi, E.: Isometric imbedding of complex manifolds. *Ann. Math.* **58**, 1–23 (1953)
 [F-L] Fulton, W., Lazarsfeld, R.: Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles. *Ann. Math.* **118**, 35–60 (1983)
 [K] Kawamata, Y.: On the length of an extremal rational curve. *Invent. Math.* **105**, 609–611 (1991)
 [M] Mok, N.: Uniqueness of the Hermitian metrics of seminegative curvature on quotients of bounded symmetric domains. *Ann. Math.* **125**, 105–152 (1987)
 [S-S] Shiffman, B., Sommese, A.: *Vanishing theorems on complex manifolds.* (Prog. Math., vol. 56) Boston: Birkhäuser 1985