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#### Abstract

Based on examples from superstring/D-brane theory since the work of Douglas and Moore on resolution of singularities of a superstring target-space $Y$ via a D-brane probe, the richness and the complexity of the stack of punctual D0-branes on a variety, and as a guiding question, we lay down a conjecture that any resolution $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ of a variety $Y$ over $\mathbb{C}$ can be factored through an embedding of $Y^{\prime}$ into the stack $\mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0^{0_{p}}{ }^{f}}(Y)$ of punctual D0-branes of rank $r$ on $Y$ for $r \geq r_{0}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, where $r_{0}$ depends on the germ of singularities of $Y$. We prove that this conjecture holds for the resolution $\rho: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ of a reduced singular curve $C$ over $\mathbb{C}$. In string-theoretical language, this says that the resolution $C^{\prime}$ of a singular curve $C$ always arises from an appropriate D0-brane aggregation on $C$ and that the rank of the Chan-Paton module of the D0-branes involved can be chosen to be arbitrarily large.
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## 0 . Introduction and outline.

The work [D-M] of Michael Douglas and Gregory Moore on resolution of singularities of a superstring target-space $Y$ via a D-brane probe (i.e., the realization of a resolution $Y^{\prime}$ of $Y$ as a space of vacua - namely, a moduli space in quantum-field-theoretical sense - of the worldvolume quantum field theory of the D-brane probe) has influenced many studies both on the mathematics and the string-theory side. (See also a related work [J-M] of Clifford Johnson and Robert Myers.) The attempt to understand the underlying geometry behind the setup of [D-M] is indeed part of the driving force that leads us to the current setting of D-branes in the project (cf. [L-Y1] and [L-Y2]). Based on examples ${ }^{1}$ from superstring/D-brane theory since [D-M], the richness and the complexity of the stack $\mathfrak{M}^{0_{p}^{A z f}}(Y)$ of punctual D0-branes on a variety $Y$, and as a guiding question, we lay down in this not ${ }^{2}$ a conjecture that any resolution $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ of a variety $Y$ over $\mathbb{C}$ can be factored through an embedding of $Y^{\prime}$ into the stack $\mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{p}^{A_{z} f}}(Y)$ of punctual D0-branes of rank $r$ on $Y$ for $r \geq r_{0}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, where $r_{0}$ depends on the germ of singularities of $Y$; cf. Sec. 1. For the one-dimensional case, we prove that this conjecture holds for the resolution $\rho: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ of a reduced singular curve $C$ over $\mathbb{C}$; cf. Sec. 2. In string-theoretical language, this says that the resolution $C^{\prime}$ of a singular curve $C$ always arises from an appropriate D0-brane aggregation on $C$ and that the rank of the Chan-Paton module of the D0-branes involved can be chosen to be arbitrarily large.

Remark 0.1. [another aspect]. It should be noted that there is another direction of D-brane resolutions of singularities (e.g. [As], [Br], [Ch]), from the point of view of (hard/massive/solitonic) D-branes (or more precisely B-branes) as objects in the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves. Conceptually that aspect and ours (for which D-branes are soft in terms of string tension) are in different regimes of a refined Wilson's theory-space of $d=2$ supersymmetric field theory-with-boundary on the open-string world-sheet $\sqrt[3]{ }$ Being so, there should be an interpolation between these two aspects. It would be very interesting to understand such details.

Convention. Standard notations, terminology, operations, facts in (1) algebraic geometry; (2) coherent sheaves; (3) resolution of singularities; (4) stacks can be found respectively in (1) [Ha]; (2) [H-L]; (3) [Hi], [Ko]; (4) [L-MB].

- All varieties, schemes and their products are over $\mathbb{C}$; a 'curve' means a 1-dimensional proper scheme over $\mathbb{C}$; a 'stack' means an Artin stack.
- The 'support' $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a scheme $Y$ means the scheme-theoretical support of $\mathcal{F} ; \mathcal{I}_{Z}$ denotes the ideal sheaf of a subscheme of $Z$ of a scheme $Y$.
- The current note continues the study in [L-Y1] (arXiv:0709.1515 [math.AG], D(1)), [LY2] (arXiv:0901.0342 [math.AG], D(3)), and [L-Y3] (arXiv:0907.0268 [math.AG], D(4)) with some background from [L-L-S-Y] (arXiv:0809.2121] [math.AG], (2)). A partial review of D-branes and Azumaya noncommutative geometry is given in [L-Y4] (arXiv:1003.1178 [math.SG], $\mathrm{D}(6)$ ). Notations and conventions follow these early works when applicable.
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## Outline.

0 . Introduction.

1. The stack of punctual D0-branes on a variety and an abundance conjecture.

- D-branes as morphisms from Azumaya noncommutative spaces with a fundamental module.
- The stack of punctual D0-branes on a variety and an abundance conjecture.

2. Realizations of resolution of singular curves via D0-branes.

- Basic setup and a criterion for nontrivial extensions of modules.
- Separation of points in $\rho^{-1}(p)$ via punctual D0-branes at $p$.
- Construction of embeddings $C^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{0^{A_{z}{ }^{f}}}(C)$ that descend to $\rho$.


## 1 The stack of punctual D0-branes on a variety and an abundance conjecture.

We collect a few most essential definitions and setups for this sub-line of the project. Readers are referred to [L-Y4] for a more thorough review of the first part of the project and stringytheoretical remarks on how inputs from [Po1], [Po2], and [Wi] lead to such a setting.

## D-branes as morphisms from Azumaya noncommutative spaces with a fundamental module.

Our starting point is the following prototypical definition of D-branes that comes from a mathematical understanding of [Po1], [Po2] from Joseph Polchinski and [Wi] from Edward Witten based on how Alexandre Grothendieck developed the theory of schemes in modern (commutative) algebraic geometry:

Definition 1.1. [D-brane]. Let $Y$ be a variety (over $\mathbb{C}$ ). A D-brane on $Y$ is a morphism $\varphi$ from an Azumaya noncommutative space-with-a-fundamental-module $\left(X^{A z}, \mathcal{E}\right):=\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{A z}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ to $Y$. Here, $X$ is a scheme over $\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{E}$ a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module, and $\mathcal{O}_{X}^{A z}=\mathcal{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{E})$; and $\varphi$ is defined through an equivalence class of gluing systems of ring homomorphisms given by $\varphi^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{A z}$. The rank of $\mathcal{E}$ is called the rank of the D-brane.

Similar to the fact that the data of a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between schemes can be encoded completely by its graph $\Gamma_{f}$ as a subscheme in $X \times Y$, the data of $\varphi$ is also encoded completely by its graph $\Gamma_{\varphi}$ :

Definition 1.2. [ $\varphi$ in terms of its graph $\Gamma_{\varphi}$ ]. The graph of a morphism in Definition 1.1 is given by an $\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}$-module $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ that is flat over $X$ and of relative dimension 0 . In detail, let $p r_{1}: X \times Y \rightarrow X, p r_{2}: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection map, and $f_{\varphi}: \operatorname{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow Y$ be the restriction of $p r_{2}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ defines a morphism $\varphi$ in Definition 1.1 as follows:

- $\mathcal{E}=p r_{1 *} \tilde{\mathcal{E}} ;$
- note that $\operatorname{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ is affine over $X$; thus, the gluing system of ring homomorphisms
$f_{\varphi}^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})}$ defines a gluing system of ring-homomorphisms
$\varphi^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{E})=\mathcal{O}_{X}^{A z}$, which defines $\varphi$.

It is worth emphasizing that, unlike the standard setting for a morphism between ringed topological spaces in commutative geometry, in general $\varphi$ specifies only a correspondence from $X$ to $Y$ via the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\varphi}:= & \operatorname{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \xrightarrow{f_{\varphi}} Y \\
& \pi_{\varphi} \\
& \downarrow \\
& X
\end{aligned}
$$

not a morphism from $X$ to $Y$.
Definition 1.2 suggests another equivalent description of $\varphi$.
Definition 1.3. [ $\varphi$ as morphism to stack of D0-branes]. Let $\mathfrak{M}^{0^{A z}}(Y)$ be the stack of 0-dimensional $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules. It follows from Definition 1.2 that this is precisely the stack of D0-branes on $Y$ in the sense of Definition 1.1 and, hence, the notation. Then, a morphism $\varphi$ in Definition 1.1 is specified by a morphism $\hat{\varphi}: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{0^{A z} f}(Y)$.

## The stack of punctual D0-branes on a variety and an abundance conjecture.

Definition 1.4. [stack of punctual D0-branes]. Let $Y$ be a variety. By a punctual 0dimensional $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module, we mean a 0 -dimensional $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ whose $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ is a single point (with structure sheaf an Artin local ring). By Definition $1.2, \mathcal{F}$ specifies a D0-brane on $Y$, which is called a punctual D0-brane. It is a morphism from an Azumaya point with a fundamental module to $Y$ that takes the fundamental module to a punctual 0-dimensional $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{p}^{A z}}(Y)$ be the stack of punctual D0-branes of rank $r$ on a variety $Y$. It has an Artin stack with atlas constructed from Quot-schemes. There is a morphism $\pi_{Y}: \mathfrak{M}^{0^{A z}{ }_{p}^{f}}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ that takes $\mathcal{F}$ to $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ with the reduced scheme structure. $\pi_{Y}$ is essentially the Hilbert-Chow/Quot-Chow morphism.

The following two conjectures are motivated by the various examples in string theory concerning D-brane resolution of singularities of a superstring target-space and the richness and the complexity of the stack $\mathfrak{M}^{0^{A z}{ }^{f}}(Y)$ :

Conjecture 1.5. [D0-brane resolution of singularity]. Any resolution $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ of a variety $Y$ can be factored through an embedding of $Y^{\prime}$ into the stack $\mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0^{A z}{ }^{f}}(Y)$ of punctual D0-branes of rank $r$ on $Y$ for any $r \geq r_{0}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, where $r_{0}$ depends only on the germ of singularities of $Y$.

Conjecture 1.5 is a weaker form of the following stronger form of an abundance conjecture:
Conjecture 1.6. [abundance]. Any birational morphism $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ between varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ can be factored through an embedding of $Y^{\prime}$ into the stack $\mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0^{A z_{z}^{f}}}(Y)$ of punctual D0-branes of rank $r$ on $Y$ for any $r \geq r_{0}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, where $r_{0}$ depends only on the germ of singularities of $Y$ and the germ of singularities of $Y^{\prime}$.

This says that all the birational models of and over $Y$ are already contained in the stack $\mathfrak{M}^{0^{A z}}{ }^{f}(Y)$ of punctual D0-branes on $Y$. All the birational transitions between birational models of and over $Y$ happens as correspondences inside $\mathfrak{M}^{0^{A z}{ }_{p}^{f}}(Y)$ (and hence the name of the conjecture) - an intrinsic stack over $Y$, locally of finite type, that is canonically associated to $Y$.

Remark 1.7. [string-theoretical remark]. A standard setting (cf. [D-M]) in D-brane resolution of singularities of a (complex) variety $Y$ (which is a singular Calabi-Yau space in the context of string theory) is to consider a super-string target-space-time of the form $\mathbb{R}^{(9-2 d)+1} \times Y$ and an (effective-space-time-filling) $\mathrm{D}(9-2 d)$-brane whose world-volume sits in the target space-time as a submanifold of the form $\mathbb{R}^{(9-2 d)+1} \times\{p\}$. Here, $d$ is the complex dimension of the variety $Y$ and $p \in Y$ is an isolated singularity of $Y$. When considering only the geometry of the internal part of this setting, one sees only a D0-brane on $Y$. This explains the role of D0-branes in the statement of Conjecture 1.5 and Conjecture 1.6. In the physics side, the exact dimension of the D-brane (rather than just the internal part) matters since supersymmetries and their superfield representations in different dimensions are not the same and, hence, dimension does play a role in writing down a supersymmetric quantum-field-theory action for the world-volume of the $\mathrm{D}(9-2 d)$-brane probe. In the above mathematical abstraction, these data are now reflected into the richness, complexity, and a master nature of the stack $\mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{p}^{\text {Az } f}}(Y)$ that is intrinsically associated to the internal geometry. The precise dimension of the D-brane as an object sitting in or mapped to the whole space-time becomes irrelevant.

## 2 Realizations of resolution of singular curves via D0-branes.

Let $C$ be a reduced singular curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$
\rho: C^{\prime} \longrightarrow C
$$

be the resolution of singularities of $C$. In the current 1-dimensional case, the singularities of $C$ are isolated and $\rho$ is realized by the normalization of $C$. In particular, $\rho$ is an affine morphism. The built-in $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}$-module homomorphism $\rho^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow \rho_{*} \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}$ determines a subsheaf $\mathcal{A}_{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}$ of $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebras with the induced morphism $C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{S p e c} \mathcal{A}_{C}$ identical to $\rho$. Let $p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ be a closed point, $p:=\rho\left(p^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{p^{\prime}}=(t)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ ) be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{C, p}$ ). Then $\rho^{\sharp}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{p}\right) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}=\left(t^{n_{p^{\prime}}}\right)$ for some $n_{p^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{N} . n_{p^{\prime}}>1$ if and only if $p \in C_{\text {sing }}:=$ the singular locus of $C$. We show in this section that:

Proposition 2.1. [one-dimensional case]. Conjecture 1.5 holds for $\rho: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$. Namely, there exists an $r_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on the tuple $\left(n_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{\rho\left(p^{\prime}\right) \in C_{\text {sing }}}$ and a (possibly empty) set $\left\{\right.$ b.i.i. $(p): p \in C_{\text {sing }}, C$ has multiple branches at $\left.p\right\}$ (cf. Definition 2.6), both associated to the germ of $C_{\text {sing }}$ in $C$, such that, for any $r \geq r_{0}$, there exists an embedding $\tilde{\rho}: C^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{r}^{A_{z} f}}{ }^{f}(C)$ that makes the following diagram commute:


## Basic setup and a criterion for nontrivial extensions of modules.

Consider the induced affine morphism $i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho: C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime} \times C$. Let $p r_{1}^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ (the first factor), $p r_{2}^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ (the second factor), $p r_{1}: C^{\prime} \times C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, and $p r_{2}: C^{\prime} \times C \rightarrow C$ be the projection maps. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$ be a coherent sheaf on $C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime}$ that is flat over $C^{\prime}$ under $p r_{1}^{\prime}$, with support in an infinitesimal neighborhood of the diagonal $\Delta_{C^{\prime}} \subset C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}:=\left(i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a coherent sheaf on $C^{\prime} \times C$ that is flat over $C^{\prime}$ under $p r_{1}$, with support in an infinitesimal neighborhood of the graph $\Gamma_{\rho}$ of $\rho$ in $C^{\prime} \times C$.

Lemma 2.2. [commutativity of push-forward and restriction]. Let $p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ be a closed point. Then $\left(i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho\right)_{*}\left(\left.\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}\right|_{\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} \times C^{\prime}}\right)=\left.\tilde{\mathcal{E}}\right|_{\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} \times C}$.

Proof. As $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$ is flat over $C^{\prime}$ under $p r_{1}^{\prime}$, one has the exact sequence

$$
\left.0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} \times C^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}\right|_{\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} \times C^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho$ is affine, $\left(i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho\right)_{*}: \mathcal{C o h}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C o h}(C)$ is exact and one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow\left(i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho\right)_{*}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} \times C^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow\left(i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho\right)_{*}\left(\left.\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}\right|_{\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} \times C^{\prime}}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\| \\
\mathcal{I}_{\left\{p^{\prime}\right\} \times C} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the top horizontal line is an exact sequence. This proves the lemma.

Remark/Notation 2.3. [general restriction over a base]. Lemma 2.2 holds more generally with $p^{\prime}$ replaced by a subscheme of $C^{\prime}$, by the same proof with the replacement. We'll denote the restriction of a coherent sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ) on $C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C^{\prime} \times C\right)$ over a subscheme $Z^{\prime}$ of the base $C^{\prime}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Z^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Z^{\prime}}\right)$.

Let $v_{p^{\prime}} \simeq \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$, where $\varepsilon^{2}=0$, be the subscheme of the base $C^{\prime}$ that corresponds to the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra quotient $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ with $t \mapsto \varepsilon$. Then the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$ over $v_{p^{\prime}}$ determines an element $\alpha_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}{ }_{C^{\prime}}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ over $v_{p^{\prime}}$ determines an element $\alpha_{p^{\prime}}=: \rho_{*} \alpha_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $p:=\rho\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ and recall $t \in \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$ and $n_{p^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{N}$ from the beginning of this section. Let us first state an elementary criterion for non-splitability of a short exact sequence, whose proof is immediate:

Lemma 2.4. [criterion of non-splitability]. Let $W$ be a scheme and

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

be an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{W}$-modules that represents a class $\beta \in \operatorname{Ext}{ }_{W}^{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)$. Suppose that there exist a point $w \in W$ and a local function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{W, w}$ such that, for the associated $\mathcal{O}_{W, w^{-}}$ modules (still denoted the same), $f \cdot \mathcal{F}_{1}=f \cdot \mathcal{F}_{2}=0$ while $f \cdot \mathcal{G} \neq 0$. Then, $\beta \neq 0$; namely, the above sequence doesn't split.

Corollary 2.5. [push-forward of jet]. Continuing the main-line discussions and notations. Let $\alpha^{\prime}$ be given by the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}$-modules. Denote the same for the associated exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$-modules. As such, suppose that there is an $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(t^{n_{p^{\prime}}}\right)^{l} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}=0$ while $\left(t^{n_{p^{\prime}}}\right)^{l+1} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then $\alpha \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}\right)$.

Proof. Note that the multiplication of $t$ by an invertible element in $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$ (i.e. by an element in $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}-\mathfrak{m}_{p^{\prime}}$ ) won't alter its nilpotency behavior on the modules in question. The corollary follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 and the observation that, up to a multiplication by an invertible element in $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$, one may assume that $t^{n_{p^{\prime}}} \in \rho^{\sharp}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C, p}\right)$.

## Separation of points in $\rho^{-1}(p)$ via punctual D0-branes at $p$.

Let $p \in C_{\text {sing }}$ and $\hat{C}$ be the formal neighborhood (as an ind-scheme) of $p$ in $C$. Then each irreducible component $\hat{C}_{i}, i=1, \cdots, k$, of $\hat{C}$ corresponds to a branch of the germ of $p$ in $C$. Assume that $k \geq 2$. Then the intersection of two distinct components $\hat{C}_{i}$ and $\hat{C}_{j}$ of $\hat{C}$ is represented by a punctual 0 -dimensional subscheme $Z_{i j}=Z_{j i}$ of $C$ at $p$ of finite length $l_{i j}=l_{j i}$.

Definition 2.6. [branch intersection index]. For $k \geq 2$, define the branch intersection index b.i.i. $(p)$ at $p \in C_{\text {sing }}$ to be

$$
\text { b.i.i. }(p):=\max \left\{l_{i j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq k ; i \neq j\right\} .
$$

Let $p \in C_{\text {sing }}, \rho^{-1}(p)=\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots p_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$, and $\hat{C}_{i}^{\prime}$ be the formal neighborhood of $p_{i}^{\prime}$ in $C^{\prime}$. Then $\rho: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ induces a morphism $\hat{\rho}_{i}: \hat{C}_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \hat{C}$ of ind-schemes, for $i=1, \ldots, k$. The image $\hat{\rho}_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is a branch of $\hat{C}$, which we may assume to be $\hat{C}_{i}$, after relabeling, since different $\hat{C}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ s are mapped to different branches of $\hat{C}$ under $\hat{\rho}_{i}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{p_{i}^{\prime}}=\left(u_{i}\right)$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p_{i}^{\prime}}$;

- $\mathcal{F}_{i ; l}^{\prime}$ be the 0-dimensional $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p_{i}^{\prime}} /\left(u_{i}^{n_{p_{i}^{\prime}} l}\right)$;
- $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{i ; l}^{\prime}$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{C}_{i}^{\prime}}$-module associated to $\mathcal{F}_{i ; l}^{\prime}$;
- $\mathcal{F}_{i ; l}$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-module $\rho_{*} \mathcal{F}_{i ; l}^{\prime} ;$
- $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{i ; l}$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{C}}$-module $\hat{\rho}_{i *} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{i ; l}^{\prime}=\widehat{\rho_{*} \mathcal{F}_{i ; l}^{\prime}}$.

Then, one has the following lemma:
Lemma 2.7. [separation by punctual modules]. length $\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathcal{F}_{i ; l}\right)\right) \geq l$ and $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{i ; l}\right) \subset$ $\hat{C}_{i}$. In particular, if $l>$ b.i.i. $(p)$, then $\mathcal{F}_{1 ; l}, \cdots, \mathcal{F}_{k ; l}$ are punctual 0 -dimensional $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-modules at $p$ that are non-isomorphic to each other.

Proof. As in the previous theme, we may assume that $u_{i}^{n_{p_{i}^{\prime}}}=\rho^{\sharp}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for some $f_{i} \in \mathfrak{m}_{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{C, p}$. Let $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be a polynomial in one variable. Then, by construction, $h\left(u_{i}^{n_{p_{i}^{\prime}}}\right) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{i: l}^{\prime}=0$ if and only if $h \in\left(x^{l}\right)$. In other words, $h\left(f_{i}\right) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{i ; l}=0$ if and only of $h \in\left(x^{l}\right)$. It follows that there exists a local section $m_{i ; l}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{i, l}$ such that $f_{i}^{l-1} \cdot m_{i ; l} \neq 0$. Consider the sub- $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{C} \cdot m_{i ; l} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C} / \operatorname{Ann}\left(m_{i ; l}\right)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{i ; l}$, where $\operatorname{Ann}\left(m_{i ; l}\right)$ is the annihilator of $m_{i ; l}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{C, p}$. Then,

$$
m_{i ; l}, f_{i} \cdot m_{i ; l}, \cdots, f_{i}^{l-1} \cdot m_{i, l}
$$

are $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent in $\mathcal{F}_{i ; l}$, which implies that

$$
1, f_{i}, \cdots, f_{i}^{l-1}
$$

are $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent in $\mathcal{O}_{C, p}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{1, f_{i}, \cdots, f_{i}^{l-1}\right\} \cap \operatorname{Ann}\left(m_{i ; l}\right)=0
$$

as $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspaces in $\mathcal{O}_{C, p}$, one has that length $\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C, p} / \operatorname{Ann}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)\right) \geq l$ and, hence, that length $\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathcal{F}_{i ; l}\right)\right) \geq l$. The rest of the lemma are immediate.

We say that $p_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, p_{k}^{\prime} \in \rho^{-1}(p) \subset C^{\prime}$ are separated by the punctual $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-modules $\mathcal{F}_{1 ; l}, \cdots, \mathcal{F}_{k ; l}$ at $p \in C$ when $\mathcal{F}_{1 ; l}, \cdots, \mathcal{F}_{k ; l}$ as constructed above are non-isomorphic to each other.

## Construction of embeddings $C^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{00_{p}^{A z} f}(C)$ that descend to $\rho$.

We now proceed to prove Proposition 2.1 in three steps.
Step (a): Examination of a local model.
Consider the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime},\left(p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)}=\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$. (For simplicity of phrasing, here we use ' $=$ ' to mean 'standard canonical isomorphism'.) Let $\mathfrak{m}_{p^{\prime}}=\left(t_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$ be the maximal ideal of the first factor and $\mathfrak{m}_{p^{\prime}}=\left(t_{2}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$ be the maximal ideal of the second factor. Given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, compare the following two quotient $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime},\left(p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \text {-modules: }}^{\text {- }}$

$$
M_{1}:=\frac{\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}}{\left(\left(t_{1} \otimes 1-1 \otimes t_{2}\right)^{r}, t_{1} \otimes 1\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{2}:=\frac{\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}}{\left(\left(t_{1} \otimes 1-1 \otimes t_{2}\right)^{r}, t_{1}^{2} \otimes 1\right)}
$$

$M_{1}$ corresponds to the restriction of the $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$ module $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} /\left(t_{1} \otimes 1-1 \otimes t_{2}\right)^{r}$, which is flat over $C^{\prime}$ (the first factor), to over $p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ (the first factor) while $M_{2}$ corresponds to the restriction of the same $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$-module to over $v_{p^{\prime}} \simeq \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t_{1}\right] /\left(t_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \subset C^{\prime}$ (the first factor). They fit into an exact sequence, representing a class in $\operatorname{Ext}{ }_{C^{\prime}}^{1}\left(M_{1}, M_{1}\right)$ (here $C^{\prime}=$ the second factor),

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{1} \xrightarrow{a} M_{2} \xrightarrow{b} M_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$-modules with

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & =\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{1 \otimes 1,1 \otimes t_{2}^{2}, \cdots, 1 \otimes t_{2}^{r-1}\right\} \\
M_{2} & =\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]}\left\{1 \otimes 1,1 \otimes t_{2}^{2}, \cdots, 1 \otimes t_{2}^{r-1}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{1 \otimes 1,1 \otimes t_{2}^{2}, \cdots, 1 \otimes t_{2}^{r-1}, \varepsilon \otimes 1, \varepsilon \otimes t_{2}^{2}, \cdots, \varepsilon \otimes t_{2}^{r-1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=$ multiplication by $\varepsilon$, and $b=$ quotient by $\varepsilon M_{1}$. As $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$-modules and with respect to the above bases (and with a vector identified as a column vector),

$$
t_{2} \text { on } M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & & & \\
1 & 0 & & & \\
& 1 & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & 0 & \\
& & & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{r \times r} \quad \text { and } \quad t_{2} \text { on } M_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & & & \\
1 & 0 & & & \\
& 1 & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & 0 & \\
& & & 1 & r \varepsilon
\end{array}\right]_{r \times r}
$$

Here all the missing entries in the $r \times r$-matrices are 0 . It follows that, as $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$ (the second factor) -modules,

$$
\text { - } t_{2}^{l} \cdot M_{1}=0 \text { if and only if } l \geq r \text { while } t_{2}^{l} \cdot M_{2}=0 \text { if and only if } l \geq r+1 \text {. }
$$

In particular, the above short exact sequence (of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}$-modules) doesn't split.
Step $(b)$ : Construction of a local embedding $C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{r_{0}}^{0_{p}^{A z} f}(C)$ that descend to $\rho$, for some $r_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$
l_{0}:=1+\max \left\{\text { b.i.i. }(p): p \in C_{\text {sing }}, \mathrm{C} \text { has multiple branches at } p\right\}
$$

(by convention, $l_{0}=1$ if $C$ has only single branch at each $p \in C_{\text {sing }}$ ) and

$$
r_{0}:=l_{0} \cdot \text { l.c. } m .\left\{n_{p^{\prime}}: p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}\right\} \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

(Here, l.c.m. $=$ the 'least common multiple' in $\mathbb{N}$.) Since $n_{p^{\prime}}=1$ except for $\rho\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ in the finite set $C_{\text {sing }}, r_{0}$ is well-defined. Furthermore, since $\left\{n_{p^{\prime}}\right\}_{\rho\left(p^{\prime}\right) \in C_{\text {sing }}}$ and $\left\{\right.$ b.i.i. $\left.(p): p \in C_{\text {sing }}\right\}$ (possibly
empty) depend only on the germ of $C_{\text {sing }}$ in $C, r_{0}$ depends only on the germ of $C_{\text {sing }}$ in $C$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime}}$-module

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime}} / \mathcal{I}_{\Delta_{C^{\prime}}}^{r_{0}}
$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}:=\left(i d_{C^{\prime}} \times \rho\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}\right)$ on $C^{\prime} \times C$. Then, it follows from the construction and Lemma 2.7 that the induced morphism

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{0}: C^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{r_{0}}^{\hat{A x}_{p}^{A_{z}} f}(C)
$$

descends to $\rho$ and sends distinct closed points of $C^{\prime}$ to distinct geometric points on $\mathfrak{M}_{r_{0}^{0_{p}}{ }^{A_{z} f}}$ (C) (i.e. $\tilde{\rho}$ separates points of $C^{\prime}$ ). Furthermore, it follow from the local study in Step (a) and Corollary 2.5 that all the extension classes $\alpha_{p^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Ext}{ }_{C}^{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}\right), p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ specifies are nonzero. This shows that $\tilde{\rho}_{0}$ separates also tangents of $C^{\prime}$ and hence is an embedding.

Step (c): Embeddings $C^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{p}^{\text {Az } f}}{ }^{f}(C)$ that descend to $\rho$, for all $r>r_{0}$.
Finally, to obtain an embedding $\tilde{\rho}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{p}^{A_{z}}{ }^{f}}(C)$ for $r>r_{0}$ that descends to $\rho$, observe that the $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime} \times C^{\prime}}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{\rho}}$ has the following properties:

- The corresponding extension class $\bar{\alpha}_{p^{\prime}}$ in $\operatorname{Ext}{ }_{C}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}, \mathcal{O}_{p}\right)$, where $p:=\rho\left(p^{\prime}\right)$, vanishes if and only if $p \in C_{\text {sing }}$.

This implies that all the extension classes $\hat{\alpha}_{p^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Ext}{ }_{C}^{1}\left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}, \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}\right), p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$, as specified by the direct sum

$$
\hat{\mathcal{E}}:=\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{\rho}}^{\oplus\left(r-r_{0}\right)}
$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime} \times C^{-}}$-modules, remain non-zero. Furthermore,

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_{\rho}}^{\oplus\left(r-r_{0}\right)}\right)\right|_{p^{\prime} \times C}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p^{\prime}}\right) \quad \text { for all } p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}
$$

It follows that the morphism $\tilde{\rho}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{p}^{A_{z}} f^{f}}(C)$ specified by $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ on $C^{\prime} \times C$ separates both points and tangents of $C^{\prime}$ and, hence, is an embedding that descend to $\rho$.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.1.
Remark 2.8. [non-uniqueness]. In general there can be other embeddings of $C^{\prime}$ into $\mathfrak{M}_{r}^{0_{p}^{A_{z}^{z} f}}(C)$ that descend also to $\rho$. Hence, the one constructed in the proof above is by no means unique.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unfamiliar readers are highly recommended to use keyword search to get a taste of the vast literature.
    ${ }^{2}$ In part, for a subsection of a talk under the title 'Azumaya noncommutative geometry and D-branes - an origin of the master nature of D-branes' to be delivered in the workshop Noncommutative algebraic geometry and D-branes, December 12 - 16, 2011, organized by Charlie Beil, Michael Douglas, and Peng Gao, at Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY.
    ${ }^{3}$ For mathematicians: See [W-K] for the origin of the notion of Wilson's theory-space and, for example, [H-I-V] and $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}]$ for the case of $d=2$ supersymmetric quantum field theories with boundary.

