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For any nonsimply laced Lie group $G$ and elliptic curve $\Sigma$, we show that the moduli space of flat $G$ bundles over $\Sigma$ can be identified with the moduli space of rational surfaces with $G$-configurations which contain $\Sigma$ as an anticanonical curve. We also construct Lie( $G$ )-bundles over these surfaces. The corresponding results for simply laced groups were obtained by the authors in another paper. Thus, we have established a natural identification for these two kinds of moduli spaces for any Lie group $G$.

## 1 Introduction

There is a classical identification for the moduli space of flat $E_{n}$ bundles over a fixed elliptic curve $\Sigma$ and the moduli space of del Pezzo surfaces of degree $9-n$ containing $\Sigma$ as an anticanonical curve (see [3-6]). For other simply laced Lie group $G$, that is, Lie group of ADE type, we also obtained in [13] an identification for the moduli space of flat $G$ bundles over a fixed elliptic curve $\Sigma$ and the moduli space of the pairs $(S, \Sigma)$, where $S$ is a rational surface (called $A D E$-surface in [13]) containing $\Sigma$ as an anticanonical curve. In this paper, we extend the above identification to nonsimply laced cases. Therefore, we establish
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a one-to-one correspondence between flat $G$ bundles over a fixed elliptic curve $\Sigma$ and rational surfaces with $\Sigma$ as an anticanonical curve for Lie groups of all types.

A nonsimply laced Lie group $G$ is uniquely determined by a simply laced Lie group $G^{\prime}$ and its outer automorphism group. Hence, it is natural to apply the previous results for the simply laced cases in [13] to the current situation. Similar to simply laced cases, we can define $G$-surfaces and rational surfaces with $G$-configurations (see Definitions $3.3,3.8,3.13,3.19)$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Sigma$ be a fixed elliptic curve with identity $0 \in \Sigma, G$ be any simple, compact, simply connected and nonsimply laced Lie group. Denote $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$ the moduli space of the pairs $(S, \Sigma)$, where $S$ is a $G$-surface such that $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{S}\right|$. Denote $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ the moduli space of flat $G$-bundles over $\Sigma$. Then we have
(i) $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$ can be embedded into $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ as an open dense subset.
(ii) This embedding can be extended to an isomorphism from $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)}$ onto $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ by including all rational surfaces with $G$-configurations, and this gives us a natural and explicit compactification $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)}$ of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$.

This paper is motivated by some duality in physics. When $G=E_{n}$ is a simple subgroup of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$, these $G$ bundles are related to the duality between $F$-theory and string theory. Among other things, this duality predicts that the moduli of flat $E_{n}$ bundles over a fixed elliptic curve $\Sigma$ can be identified with the moduli of del Pezzo surfaces with fixed anticanonical curve $\Sigma$. For details, one can consult [3, 4, 6]. Our result can be considered as a test of the above duality for other Lie groups.

As an application, this identification provides us with an intuitive explanation for $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$. We also provide an interesting geometric realization of root system theory, and we can see very clearly how the Weyl group acts on the (marked) moduli space of flat $G$-bundles over $\Sigma$.

In the following, we illustrate briefly via pictures what $G$-configurations and $G$ surfaces are in each case and compare it with the corresponding case where $G^{\prime}$ is simply laced.

## $1.1 \quad B_{n}$-configurations as special $D_{n+1}$-configurations

In these cases we consider rational surfaces with fibration structure and a fixed smooth anticanonical curve $\Sigma$. A $B_{n}$-configuration comes from a $D_{n+1}$-configuration. Roughly speaking, by saying a rational surface $S$ has a $D_{n+1}$-configuration ( $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}$ ), we mean that $S$ can be considered as a blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ (a Hirzebruch surface) at $n+1$ points on


Fig. 1. A surface with a $D_{n+1}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}\right)$.


Fig. 2. A surface with a $B_{n}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n+1}\right)$, where $x_{1}=l_{1} \cap \Sigma=0$.
$\Sigma \in\left|-K_{\mathbb{F}_{1}}\right|$, such that $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}$ are the corresponding exceptional classes [13]. When these blown-up points are in general position, $S$ is called a $G=D_{n+1}$-surface. See Figure 1 for a surface with a $D_{n+1}$-configuration.

Given a surface $S$ with a $D_{n+1}$-configuration $\zeta=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}\right)$, if it satisfies the condition $x_{1}=l_{1} \cap \Sigma$, which is the identity element zero of the elliptic curve $\Sigma$, then $\zeta$ is a $B_{n}$-configuration on $S$ (Definition 3.3). If all blown-up points but $x_{1}$ are in general position, $S$ is called a $B_{n}$-surface. See Figure 2 for a surface with a $B_{n}$-configuration.

## $1.2 C_{n}$-configurations as special $A_{2 n-1}$-configurations

In these cases, we consider rational surfaces with fibration and section structure and a fixed smooth anticanonical curve $\Sigma$.


Fig. 3. A surface with an $A_{2 n-1}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}\right)$.


Fig. 4. A surface with a $C_{n}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}, l_{n}^{-}, \ldots, l_{1}^{-}\right)$.

A $C_{n}$-configuration comes from an $A_{2 n-1}$-configuration. By saying that a rational surface $S$ has an $A_{2 n-1}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}\right)$, we mean that $S$ can be considered as a blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $2 n$ points on $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{\mathbb{F}_{1}}\right|$ which sum to zero, such that $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}$ are the corresponding exceptional classes [13]. When these blown-up points are in general position, $S$ is called an $A_{2 n-1}$-surface. See Figure 3 for a surface with an $A_{2 n-1}$-configuration.

Given a surface $S$ with an $A_{2 n-1}$-configuration $\zeta=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}\right)$, if it satisfies the condition $x_{i}=-x_{2 n+1-i}$ with $x_{i}=l_{i} \cap \Sigma$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$, then $\zeta$ is called a $C_{n}$-configuration on $S$ (Definition 3.8). If all blown-up points are in general position, $S$ is called a $C_{n^{-}}$ surface. See Figure 4 for a surface with a $C_{n}$-configuration.

## $1.3 \quad G_{2}$-configurations as special $D_{4}$-configurations

In these cases we still consider rational surfaces with fibration structure and a fixed smooth anticanonical curve $\Sigma$.


Fig. 5. A surface with a $D_{4}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}\right)$.


Fig. 6. A surface with a $G_{2}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}\right)$, where $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$ with $x_{i}=l_{i} \cap \Sigma$.
A $G_{2}$-configuration comes from a $D_{4}$-configuration. We have seen what a $D_{4}$ configuration is from Section 1.1 of the Introduction. Roughly speaking, by saying that a rational surface $S$ has a $D_{4}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}\right)$, we mean that $S$ can be considered as a blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at four points on $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{\mathbb{F}_{1}}\right|$, such that $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}$ are the corresponding exceptional classes [13]. When these blown-up points are in general position, $S$ is called a $G=D_{4}$-surface. See Figure 5 for a surface with a $D_{4}$-configuration.

Given a surface $S$ with a $D_{4}$-configuration $\zeta=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}\right)$, if it satisfies two conditions $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$, where $x_{i}=l_{i} \cap \Sigma$, then $\zeta$ is called a $G_{2}$-configuration on $S$ (Definition 3.13). If all blown-up points but $x_{1}$ are in general position, $S$ is called a $G_{2}$-surface. See Figure 6 for a surface with a $G_{2}$-configuration.

## $1.4 \quad F_{4}$-configurations as special $E_{6}$-configurations

In these cases we consider rational surfaces which are blowups of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at six points in almost general position and which contain a fixed smooth anticanonical curve $\Sigma$ [13].


Fig. 7. A surface with an $E_{6}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}\right)$.


Fig. 8. A surface with an $F_{4}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}\right)$, where three lines $L_{16}, L_{25}, L_{34}$ meet at $p \in \Sigma$, or equivalently, $x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=x_{3}+x_{4}$ with $x_{i}=l_{i} \cap \Sigma$.

An $F_{4}$-configuration comes from an $E_{6}$-configuration. Recall that by saying a rational surface $S$ has an $E_{6}$-configuration $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}\right)$, we mean that $S$ can be considered as a blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at six points on $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right|$, such that $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}$ are the corresponding exceptional classes. When these blown-up points are in general position, $S$ is called an $E_{6}$-surface, which is in fact a cubic surface. See Figure 7 for a surface with an $E_{6}$ configuration.

Given a surface $S$ with an $E_{6}$-configuration $\zeta=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}\right)$, if it satisfies the condition $x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=x_{3}+x_{4}$, where $x_{i}=l_{i} \cap \Sigma$, then $\zeta$ is called an $F_{4}$-configuration on $S$ (Definition 3.19). If all blown-up points are in general position, $S$ is called an $F_{4}$-surface. See Figure 8 for a surface with an $F_{4}$-configuration.

Moreover, we can construct $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ bundles over $S$ with a $G$-configuration. By restriction, we obtain $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ bundles over $\Sigma$. And we can also construct some natural fundamental representation bundles over $\Sigma$, which have interesting geometric meanings, such that the Lie algebra bundles are the automorphism bundles of these representation bundles preserving certain algebraic structures.

In this paper, the notations are the same as those in [13]. Let $G$ be a compact, simple, and simply connected Lie group. We denote
$r(G)$ : the rank of $G ;$
$R(G)$ : the root system;
$R_{C}(G)$ : the coroot system;
$W(G)$ : the Weyl group;
$\Lambda(G)$ : the root lattice;
$\Lambda_{c}(G)$ : the coroot lattice;
$\Lambda_{w}(G)$ : the weight lattice;
$T(G)$ : a maximal torus;
$\operatorname{ad}(G)$ : the adjoint group of $G$, i.e. $G / C(G)$, where $C(G)$ is the center of $G$;
$\Delta(G)$ : a simple root system of $G$; and
$\operatorname{Out}(G)$ : the outer automorphism group of $G$, which is defined as the quotient of the automorphism group of $G$ by its inner automorphism group. It is well known that $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ is isomorphic to the diagram automorphism group of the Dynkin diagram of $G$.

When there is no confusion, we just ignore the letter $G$.

## 2 Reductions to Simply Laced Cases

Let $G$ be any simple, compact, and simply connected Lie group. Then $G$ is classified into the following seven types according to its Lie algebra:
(1) $A_{n}$-type, $G=S U(n+1)$;
(2) $B_{n}$-type, $G=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1)$;
(3) $C_{n}$-type, $G=S p(n)$;
(4) $D_{n}$-type, $G=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$;
(5) $\quad E_{n}$-type, $n=6,7,8$;
(6) $F_{4}$-type; and
(7) $\quad G_{2}$-type.

Among these, $A_{n}, D_{n}$, and $E_{n}$ are of simply laced type, while $B_{n}, C_{n}, F_{4}$, and $G_{2}$ are of nonsimply laced type. And $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}, D_{n}$ are called classical Lie groups, while $E_{n}, F_{4}$, and $G_{2}$ are called exceptional Lie groups.

From now on, we always assume that $G$ is a compact, simple, simply connected Lie group of nonsimply laced type, that is, of type $B_{n}, C_{n}, F_{4}, G_{2}$. There are two natural approaches to reduce situations to simply laced cases. One is embedding $G$ into a simply laced Lie group $G^{\prime}$ such that $G$ is the subgroup fixed by the outer automorphism group of $G^{\prime}$. Another is taking the simply laced subgroup $G^{\prime \prime}$ of maximal rank.

In the following, we explain the first reduction. The following result is well known.

Proposition 2.1. Let $G$ be a compact, nonsimply laced, simple, and simply connected Lie group. There exists a simple, simply connected, and simply laced Lie group $G^{\prime}$, s.t. $G \subset G^{\prime}$ and $G=\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{\rho}$, where $\rho$ is an outer automorphism of $G^{\prime}$ of order three for $G^{\prime}=D_{4}$, and of order two otherwise.

Proof. By the functorial property, we just need to prove it in the Lie algebra level. For the construction of $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Lie}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, one can see [10] for details, where the construction of Lie algebras is determined by the construction of root systems.

Remark. For later use, we list the construction of nonsimply laced root systems via simply laced root systems.

1. $G=C_{n}=\operatorname{Sp}(n), G^{\prime}=A_{2 n-1}=S U(2 n)$.
$\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 n-1\right\}$.
$\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\{1, \rho\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $\rho\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{2 n-i}, i=1, \ldots, n-1, \rho\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=\alpha_{n}$.
$\Delta(G)=\left\{\beta_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{2 n-i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n-1, \beta_{n}=\alpha_{n}\right\}$.
2. $G=B_{n}=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1), G^{\prime}=D_{n+1}=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+2)$.
$\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n+1\right\}$.
$\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\{1, \rho\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $\rho\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}, i=3, \ldots, n+1, \rho\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \rho\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}$.
$\Delta(G)=\left\{\beta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right), \beta_{i}=\alpha_{i+1}, i=2, \ldots, n\right\}$.
3. $G=F_{4}, G^{\prime}=E_{6}$.

$$
\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 6\right\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\{1, \rho\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \text { where } \rho\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{6-i}, i=1, \ldots, 5, \text { and } \rho\left(\alpha_{6}\right)=\alpha_{6} .
$$

$$
\Delta(G)=\left\{\beta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{5}\right), \beta_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right), \beta_{3}=\alpha_{3}, \beta_{4}=\alpha_{6}\right\}
$$

4. $G=G_{2}, G^{\prime}=D_{4}=\operatorname{Spin}(8)$.
$\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4\right\}$.
$\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\rangle \cong S_{3}$, where $\rho_{1}$ interchanges $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, and $\rho_{2}$ interchanges $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{4}$.

$$
\Delta(G)=\left\{\beta_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right), \beta_{2}=\alpha_{3}\right\}
$$

The Dynkin diagrams of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are given in Figure 9.

$G^{\prime}$



$D_{4}$


Fig. 9. Nonsimply laced $G$ reduced to simply laced $G^{\prime}$.

Remark. Note that $W(G)$ is the subgroup of $W\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ fixing the root system $R(G)$ and also the subgroup pointwise fixed by $\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. For a root $\alpha$, let $S_{\alpha} \in W(G)$ be the reflection with respect to $\alpha$, that is, $S_{\alpha}(x)=x+(x, \alpha) \alpha$. Thus, as a subgroup of $W\left(A_{2 n-1}\right), W\left(C_{n}\right)$ is generated by $S_{\alpha_{i}} \circ S_{\alpha_{2 n-i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $S_{\alpha_{n}}$. As a subgroup of $W\left(D_{n+1}\right), W\left(B_{n}\right)$ is generated by $S_{\alpha_{1}} \circ S_{\alpha_{2}}$ and $S_{\alpha_{i}}$ for $i=3, \ldots, n+1$. As a subgroup of $W\left(E_{6}\right), W\left(F_{4}\right)$ is generated by $S_{\alpha_{1}} \circ S_{\alpha_{5}}, S_{\alpha_{2}} \circ S_{\alpha_{4}}, S_{\alpha_{3}}$ and $S_{\alpha_{6}}$. As a subgroup of $W\left(D_{4}\right), W\left(G_{2}\right)$ is generated by $S_{\alpha_{1}} \circ S_{\alpha_{2}} \circ S_{\alpha_{4}}$ and $S_{\alpha_{3}}$.

In the following, let $\Sigma$ be a fixed elliptic curve with identity element 0 , and fix a primitive $d^{t h}$ root of $\operatorname{Jac}(\Sigma) \cong \Sigma$, where $d=2$ for $D_{n}$ case, $d=9-n$ for $E_{n}$ case, and $d=n+1$ for $A_{n}$ case, respectively (see [13]). Recall that for any compact, simple, and simply connected Lie group $H$, the moduli space of flat $H$ bundles over $\Sigma$ is

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{H} \cong\left(\Lambda_{c}(H) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W(H)
$$

For $G^{\prime}$, the group $\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ acts on

$$
\left(\Lambda_{c}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)
$$

naturally. Let $\left(\left(\Lambda_{c}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\text {out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ be the fixed part.

Then, we have a natural map

$$
\chi:\left(\Lambda_{C}(G) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W(G) \rightarrow\left(\left(\Lambda_{C}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\text {Out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}
$$

The image of $\chi$ is contained in a connected component of the fixed part.

Lemma 2.2. The map

$$
\chi:\left(\Lambda_{C}(G) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W(G) \rightarrow\left(\left(\Lambda_{C}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\text {out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}
$$

is injective.

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any $x, y \in \Lambda(G) \otimes \Sigma$, if $\exists w^{\prime} \in W\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, such that $w^{\prime}(x)=y$, then $\exists w \in W(G)$, such that $w(x)=y$. For $A_{n}$ and $D_{n}$ cases, this is obvious if we check the root lattices. For $E_{6}$ case, we can also check it directly with the help of computer. Of course, we can also check this case by hand following the discussion in Section 2.4.1.

Corollary 2.3. (i) The fixed part $\left(\left(\Lambda_{C}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\text {Out }}{ }^{\text {( }}$ ' $)$ is determined by the condition $\rho(x)=x$, up to $W\left(G^{\prime}\right)$-action, where $x \in \Lambda_{C}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma$, and $\rho$ is a generator of $O u t\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, of order three for $G^{\prime}=D_{4}$ and of order two for $G^{\prime}=A_{n}, E_{n}$.
(ii) The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G} \cong\left(\Lambda_{C}(G) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W(G)$ is a connected component of the fixed part

$$
\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{\text {out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \cong\left(\left(\Lambda_{C}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\text {out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}
$$

containing the trivial $G^{\prime}$ bundle.

Proof. (i) For any $x \in \Lambda_{C}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma$, denote by $\bar{x}$ the class in $\left(\Lambda_{c}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\rho(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$ if and only if there exists $w \in W\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, such that $\rho(x)=w(x)$. Thus, $w^{-1} \rho(x)=x$. But $w^{-1} \rho \in \operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ since $\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(G^{\prime}\right) / W\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, we can take a new simple root system such that $w^{-1} \rho$ is the generator of the diagram automorphism (the automorphism of order three for $D_{4}$ ).
(ii) By (i), $\left(\Lambda_{C}(G) \otimes \Sigma\right) / W(G)$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{O u t\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ are both orbifolds with the same dimension. Thus, the result follows from Lemma 2.2.

If we express the moduli space of flat $G$ bundles over $\Sigma$ as $(T \times T) / W(G)$, where $T$ is a maximal torus of $G$, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. If two elements of $T \times T$ are conjugate under $W\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then they are also conjugate under $W(G)$.

Another method is to reduce $G$ to its simply laced subgroup $G^{\prime \prime}$ of maximal rank, and apply the results for simply laced cases to current situation. In another occasion, we will discuss our moduli space of $G$-bundles from this aspect in detail. Here, we just mention the following well-known fact from Lie theory.

Proposition 2.5. There exists canonically a simply laced Lie subgroup $G^{\prime \prime}$ of $G$, which is of maximal rank, that is, $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $G$ share a common maximal torus. And there is a short exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow W\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow W(G) \rightarrow \text { Out }\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 1
$$

where $\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the outer automorphism group of $G^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, if we write the moduli space as $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}=(T \times T) / W$, then

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}=\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime \prime}} / \operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Remark. We give this construction of $G^{\prime \prime}$ in each case.
(1) For $G=S p(n), G^{\prime \prime}=S U(2)^{n}$. Out $\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the group $S_{n}$ of permutations of the $n$ copies of $S U(2)$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) For $G=G_{2}, G^{\prime \prime}=S U(3)$. Out $\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ that exchanges the threedimensional representation of $S U(3)$ with its dual.
(3) For $G=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1), G^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$. Out $\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ that exchanges the two spin representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$.
(4) For $G=F_{4}, G^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Spin}(8)$. Out $\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the triality group $S_{3}$ that permutes the three eight-dimensional representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(8)$.

## 3 Flat G Bundles over Elliptic Curves and Rational Surfaces: Nonsimply Laced Cases

In this section, we study case by case the $G$ bundles over elliptic curves and rational surfaces for a nonsimply laced Lie group $G$.

### 3.1 The $B_{n}(n \geq 2)$ bundles

According to the arguments of the previous section, for $G=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1)$ we can take $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+2)$, such that $G=\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{\text {Out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$.

Let $S=Y_{n+1}$ be a rational surface with a $D_{n+1}$-configuration [13] which contains $\Sigma$ as a smooth anticanonical curve. Recall [13] that $Y_{n+1}$ is a blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $n+1$ points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ on $\Sigma$, with corresponding exceptional classes $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}$. Let $f$ be the class
of fibers in $\mathbb{F}_{1}$, and $s$ be the section such that $0=s \cap \Sigma$ is the identity element of $\Sigma$. The Picard group of $Y_{n+1}$ is $H^{2}\left(Y_{n+1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, which is a lattice with basis $s, f, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n+1}$. The canonical line bundle $K=-\left(2 s+3 f-\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} l_{i}\right)$.

We know from [13] that

$$
P_{n+1}:=\left\{x \in H^{2}\left(Y_{n+1}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \mid x \cdot K=x \cdot f=0\right\}
$$

is a root lattice of $D_{n+1}$ type. We take a simple root system of $G^{\prime}$ as

$$
\Delta\left(D_{n+1}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}=l_{1}-l_{2}, \alpha_{2}=f-l_{1}-l_{2}, \alpha_{3}=l_{2}-l_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}=l_{n}-l_{n+1}\right\}
$$

Let $\rho$ be the generator of $\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, such that $\rho\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \rho\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}$ and $\rho\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}$ for $i=3, \ldots, n+1$.

From [13], we know that the pair $(S, \Sigma)$ determines a homomorphism

$$
u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right), \Sigma\right)
$$

which is given by the restriction map

$$
u(\alpha)=\left.\mathcal{O}(\alpha)\right|_{\Sigma}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right), \Sigma\right)$ correspond to a pair $(S, \Sigma)$, where $S$ is a surface with a $D_{n+1}$-configuration. Then $\rho \cdot u=u$ if and only if $2 x_{1}=0$.

Proof. Since $u$ is the restriction map: $\left.\alpha_{i} \mapsto \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}, u\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\left.\mathcal{O}\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}=x_{1}-x_{2}$, and $u\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\left.\mathcal{O}\left(f-l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}=-x_{1}-x_{2}$. Hence, $\quad \rho \cdot u=u \Leftrightarrow u\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=u\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow x_{1}-x_{2}=-x_{1}-$ $x_{2} \Leftrightarrow 2 x_{1}=0 \Leftrightarrow x_{1}$ is one of the four points of order two on the elliptic curve $\Sigma$.

As in [13], we denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$ the moduli space of $G^{\prime}=D_{n+1}$-surfaces with a fixed anticanonical curve $\Sigma$, and by $\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)}$ the natural compactification by including all rational surfaces with $D_{n+1}$-configurations (Figure 1). From [13], we know that $\phi$ : $\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 3.2. For $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G} \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{O u t\left(G^{\prime}\right)}, \phi^{-1}(u) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)}$ represents a class of surfaces $Y_{n+1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)$ with $x_{1}=0$, and such a surface corresponds to a boundary point in the moduli space, that is, $\phi^{-1}(u) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $u \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{O u t\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ if and only if $2 x_{1}=0$. There are four connected components corresponding to four points of order two on $\Sigma$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ is the component containing the trivial $G^{\prime}$ bundle, we have $x_{1}=0$. Recall (Section 4 of [13])
that $Y_{n+1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ are in general position, which implies in particular $x_{1} \neq 0$. Hence, $\phi^{-1}(u)$ corresponds to a boundary point.

Denote $S=Y_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}=0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)$ (or $Y_{n+1}^{\prime}$ for brevity) the blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $n+1$ points $x_{1}=0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ on $\Sigma$, with exceptional divisors $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n+1}$, where $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{S}\right|$. Similar to the simply laced cases, we give the following definition.

Definition 3.3. A $B_{n}$-exceptional system on $S$ is an $n$-tuple ( $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n+1}$ ), where $e_{i}$ 's are exceptional divisors such that $e_{i} \cdot e_{j}=0=e_{i} \cdot f, i \neq j$ and $y_{1}=e_{1} \cap \Sigma=0$ is the identity of $\Sigma$. A $B_{n}$-configuration on $S$ is a $B_{n}$-exceptional system $\zeta_{B_{n}}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n+1}\right)$ such that we can consider $S$ as a blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $n+1$ points $y_{1}=0, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ on $\Sigma$, that is $S=Y_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}=0, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n+1}\right)$, with corresponding exceptional divisors $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n+1}$. When $S$ has a $B_{n}$-configuration, we call $S$ a (rational) surface with a $B_{n}$-configuration (see Figure 2).

When $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in \Sigma$ with $x_{i} \neq 0$, for all $i$ are in general position (refer to Section 4 of [13] for definition), any $B_{n}$-exceptional system on $S$ consists of exceptional curves. Such a surface is called a $B_{n}$-surface. So a $B_{n}$-surface must have a $B_{n}$-configuration.

Lemma 3.4. (i) Let $S$ be a rational surface with a $B_{n}$-configuration. Then the Weyl group $W\left(B_{n}\right)$ acts on all $B_{n}$-exceptional systems on $S$ simply transitively.
(ii) Let $S$ be a $B_{n}$-surface. Then the Weyl group $W\left(B_{n}\right)$ acts on all $B_{n}$ configurations simply transitively.

Proof. It suffices to prove (i). Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n+1}\right)$ be a $B_{n}$-exceptional system on $S$. By Definition 3.3, $e_{i}=l_{\sigma(i)}$ or $f-l_{\sigma(i)}$ for $i \neq 1$, where $\sigma$ is a permutation of $\{2, \ldots, n+1\}$. Note that the Weyl group $W\left(B_{n}\right)$ acts as the group generated by permutations of the $n$ pairs $\left\{\left(l_{i}, f-l_{i}\right) \mid i=2, \ldots, n+1\right\}$ and interchanging of $l_{i}$ and $f-l_{i}$ in each pair $\left(l_{i}, f-l_{i}\right)_{i \geq 2}$. Then the result follows.

Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, B_{n}\right)$ be the moduli space of pairs $(S, \Sigma)$ where $S$ is a $B_{n}$-surface (so the blown-up points $x_{1}=0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ are in general position), and $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{S}\right|$. Denote $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{B_{n}}$ the moduli space of flat $B_{n}$ bundles over $\Sigma$. Then applying Corollary 3.2, we have the following identification.

Proposition 3.5. (i) $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, B_{n}\right)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{B_{n}}$ as an open dense subset.
(ii) Moreover, this embedding can be extended naturally to an isomorphism

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, B_{n}\right)} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{B_{n}},
$$

by including all rational surfaces with $B_{n}$-configurations.
Proof. The proof is similar to that in $A D E$ cases [13]. Firstly, we have $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{B_{n}} \cong \Lambda_{C}\left(B_{n}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$ $\Sigma / W\left(B_{n}\right)$, and $\Lambda_{c}\left(B_{n}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma / W\left(B_{n}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(B_{n}\right), \Sigma\right) / W\left(B_{n}\right)$ when we fixed the square root of unity of $\operatorname{JaC}(\Sigma) \cong \Sigma$. Refer to Section 3 of [13] for the detail.

Secondly, the restriction from $S$ to $\Sigma$ induces a map (again denoted by $\phi$ )

$$
\phi: \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, B_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(B_{n}\right), \Sigma\right) / W\left(B_{n}\right)
$$

This map is well defined; since by Lemma 3.4, choosing and fixing a $B_{n}$-configuration on $S$ is equivalent to choosing and fixing a system of simple roots $\Delta\left(B_{n}\right)$.

Thirdly, the map $\phi$ is injective. For this, we take a simple root system of $B_{n}$ as

$$
\beta_{1}=f-2 l_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{k}=2 \alpha_{k+1} \quad \text { for } \quad 2 \leq k \leq n
$$

Then the restriction induces an element $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(B_{n}\right), \Sigma\right)$, which satisfies the following system of linear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-2 x_{2}=p_{1}, \\
2\left(x_{k}-x_{k+1}\right)=p_{k}, \quad k=2, \ldots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p_{i}=u\left(\beta_{i}\right)$. Obviously, the solution of this system of linear equations exists uniquely for given $p_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Finally, statement (ii) comes from Corollary 3.2 and the existence of the solutions to the above system of linear equations.

Remark. The situation here is very similar to that in the compactification theory of the moduli space of (projective) $K 3$ surfaces. A natural question is how to extend the global Torelli theorem to the boundary components of a compactification (see, e.g. [11, 16]). If we consider the $\operatorname{map} \phi: \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ [13] for $G=A_{n}, D_{n}$, or $E_{n}$ as a type of period map, then the main result of [13] is a type of global Torelli theorem. And Proposition 3.5 implies that we can extend the theorem of Torelli type in $D_{n+1}$ case to a boundary component of the natural compactification.

In the following, we let $S=Y_{n+1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $n+1$ points. We can construct a Lie algebra bundle on $S$. Here we do not need the existence of
the anticanonical curve $\Sigma$. According to Section 2, we have a root system of $B_{n}$ type, consisting of divisors on $S$ :

$$
R\left(B_{n}\right) \triangleq\left\{ \pm\left(f-2 l_{i}\right), 2\left(l_{i}-l_{j}\right), \pm 2\left(f-l_{i}-l_{j}\right) \mid i \neq j, 2 \leq i, j \leq n+1\right\} .
$$

Thus, we can construct a Lie algebra bundle of $B_{n}$-type over $S$ :

$$
\mathscr{B}_{n} \triangleq \mathcal{O}^{\oplus} n \bigoplus_{D \in R\left(B_{n}\right)} \mathcal{O}(D)
$$

The fiberwise Lie algebra structure of $\mathscr{B}_{n}$ is defined as follows (the argument here is the same as that in [13]).

Fix the system of simple roots of $R_{n}$ as

$$
\Delta\left(B_{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}=f-2 l_{2}, \alpha_{2}=2\left(l_{2}-l_{3}\right), \ldots, \alpha_{n}=2\left(l_{n}-l_{n+1}\right)\right\},
$$

and take a trivialization of $\mathscr{B}_{n}$. Then over a trivializing open subset $U,\left.\mathscr{B}_{n}\right|_{U} \cong$ $U \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{\oplus n} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{n}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right)$. Take a Chevalley basis $\left\{X_{\alpha}^{U}, \alpha \in R_{n} ; h_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for $\left.\mathscr{B}_{n}\right|_{U}$ and define the Lie algebra structure by the following four relations, namely, Serre's relations on Chevalley basis (see [8], p. 147):
(a) $\left[h_{i} h_{j}\right]=0,1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
(b) $\left[h_{i} X_{\alpha}^{U}\right]=\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle X_{\alpha}^{U}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \alpha \in R_{n}$.
(c) $\left[x_{\alpha}^{U} x_{-\alpha}^{U}\right]=h_{\alpha}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly combination of $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$.
(d) If $\alpha, \beta$ are independent roots, and $\beta-r \alpha, \ldots, \beta+q \alpha$ are the $\alpha$-string through $\beta$, then $\left[x_{\alpha}^{U} x_{\beta}^{U}\right]=0$ if $q=0$, while $\left[x_{\alpha}^{U} x_{\beta}^{U}\right]= \pm(r+1) X_{\alpha+\beta}^{U}$ if $\alpha+\beta \in R_{n}$.

Note that $h_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are independent of any trivialization, so the relation (a) is always invariant under different trivializations. If $\left.\mathscr{B}_{n}\right|_{V} \cong V \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{\oplus n} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{n}}\right)$ is another trivialization and $f_{\alpha}^{U V}$ is the transition function for the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)\left(\alpha \in R_{n}\right)$, that is, $x_{\alpha}^{U}=f_{\alpha}^{U V} X_{\alpha}^{V}$, then the relation (b) is

$$
\left[h_{i}\left(f_{\alpha}^{U V} X_{\alpha}^{V}\right)\right]=\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle f_{\alpha}^{U V} X_{\alpha}^{V},
$$

that is,

$$
\left[h_{i} X_{\alpha}^{V}\right]=\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle X_{\alpha}^{V} .
$$

So (b) is also invariant. Relation (c) is also invariant since $\left(f_{\alpha}^{U V}\right)^{-1}$ is the transition function for $\mathcal{O}(-\alpha)\left(\alpha \in R_{n}\right)$. Finally, (d) is invariant since $f_{\alpha}^{U V} f_{\beta}^{U V}$ is the transition function for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha+\beta)\left(\alpha, \beta \in R_{n}\right)$.

Therefore, the Lie algebra structure is compatible with the trivialization. Hence, it is well defined.

When the surface $S$ contains $\Sigma$ as an anticanonical curve, restricting the above bundle to this anticanonical curve $\Sigma$, we obtain a Lie algebra bundle of $B_{n}$-type over $\Sigma$, which determines uniquely a flat $B_{n}$ bundle over $\Sigma$. On the other hand, when $x_{1}=0$, we can identify these two line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(l_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(f-l_{1}\right)$ when restricting them to $\Sigma$. Recall that the spinor bundles $S_{n+1}^{+}$and $S_{n+1}^{-}$of $D_{n+1}$ are defined as follows [12, 13] (here we omit the subscription $n+1$ for brevity):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}^{+}=\bigoplus_{D^{2}=D \cdot K=-1, D \cdot f=1} \mathcal{O}(D) \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{S}^{-}=\bigoplus_{T^{2}=-2, T \cdot K=0, T \cdot f=1} \mathcal{O}(T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The identification of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(l_{1}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(f-l_{1}\right)$ induces an identification of these two spinor bundles $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$, which is given by (of course, when restricted to $\Sigma$ )

$$
S^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(-l_{1}\right) \cong S^{-}
$$

From the representation theory, we know that this determines a flat $B_{n}$ bundle over $\Sigma$.
Conversely, if $\left.\left.\mathcal{S}^{+}\right|_{\Sigma} \cong \mathcal{S}^{-}\right|_{\Sigma}$, then we must have $x_{1}=0$ (up to renumbering). For example, we consider the $n=2$ case. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathcal{S}^{+}\right|_{\Sigma} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-(0))=\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(\left(-x_{1}-x_{2}\right)-(0)\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(\left(-x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-(0)\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(\left(-x_{2}-x_{3}\right)-(0)\right), \\
& \left.\mathcal{S}^{-}\right|_{\Sigma}=\mathcal{O}\left((0)-\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left((0)-\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left((0)-\left(x_{3}\right)\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(3(0)-\left(x_{1}\right)-\left(x_{2}\right)-\left(x_{3}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where for a point $x \in \Sigma,(x)$ means the divisor of degree one, and $\mathcal{O}((x))$ means the line bundle determined by this divisor. Thus, $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-(0))=\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{-}$implies that $x_{1}=0$ (up to renumbering). The general case follows from similar arguments.

### 3.2 The $C_{n}$ bundles

We take $G=C_{n} \subset G^{\prime}=A_{2 n-1}$, where $C_{n}=S p(n)$ and $A_{2 n-1}=S U(2 n)$. They satisfy the relation $G=\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{\text {out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$.

Let $S=Z_{2 n}$ be a rational surface with an $A_{2 n-1}$-configuration (see [13] or Figure 3) which contains $\Sigma$ as a smooth anticanonical curve. Recall [13] that $Z_{2 n}$ is a (successive) blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $2 n$ points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}$ on $\Sigma$, with corresponding exceptional classes $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}$. Let $f$ be the class of fibers in $\mathbb{F}_{1}$, and $s$ be the section such that $0=s \cap \Sigma$ is the
identity element of $\Sigma$. The Picard group of $Z_{2 n}$ is $H^{2}\left(Z_{2 n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, which is a lattice with basis $s, f, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}$. The canonical line bundle $K=-\left(2 s+3 f-\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} l_{i}\right)$.

Recall that

$$
P_{2 n-1}:=\left\{x \in H^{2}\left(Z_{2 n}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \mid x \cdot K=x \cdot f=x \cdot s=0\right\}
$$

is a root lattice of $A_{2 n-1}$ type. And we can take a simple root system of $A_{2 n-1}$ as

$$
\Delta\left(A_{2 n-1}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{i}=l_{i}-l_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1\right\} .
$$

Note that [13] we have used the convention that $\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} x_{i}=0$.
Let $\rho$ be the generator of $\operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, such that $\rho\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{2 n-i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 n-1$.
When the above simple root system is chosen, the pair $(S, \Sigma)$ determines a homomorphism $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right), \Sigma\right)$ which is given by the restriction map

$$
u(\alpha)=\left.\mathcal{O}(\alpha)\right|_{\Sigma}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right), \Sigma\right)$ be an element corresponding to a pair $(S, \Sigma)$, where $S$ is a surface with an $A_{2 n-1}$-configuration. Then $\rho \cdot u=u$ if and only if $n\left(x_{i}+x_{2 n+1-i}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Since $u$ is the restriction map: $\left.\alpha_{i} \mapsto \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}, u\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\left.\mathcal{O}\left(l_{i}-l_{i+1}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}=x_{i}-x_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 n-1$. Hence, $\rho \cdot u=u \Leftrightarrow u\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=u\left(\alpha_{2 n-i}\right) \Leftrightarrow x_{i}-x_{i+1}=x_{2 n-i}-x_{2 n-i+1} \Leftrightarrow$ $n\left(x_{i}+x_{2 n-i+1}\right)=0$ since $\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} x_{i}=0$.

As in [13], we denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$ the moduli space of $G^{\prime}=A_{2 n-1}$-surfaces with a fixed anticanonical curve $\Sigma$, and by $\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)}$ the natural compactification by including all rational surfaces with $A_{2 n-1}$-configurations. From [13], we know that there is an isomorphism $\phi: \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M} \bar{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}$.

Corollary 3.7. For $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G} \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{\text {out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}, \phi^{-1}(u) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)}$ represents a class of surfaces $Z_{2 n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ with $x_{i}+x_{2 n+1-i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and such a surface corresponds to a boundary point in the moduli space, that is, $\phi^{-1}(u) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, $u \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{\text {Out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ if and only if $n\left(x_{i}+x_{2 n+1-i}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. There are $n^{2}$ connected components corresponding to $n^{2}$ points of order $n$ on $\Sigma$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ is the component containing the trivial $G^{\prime}$ bundle, we have $x_{i}+x_{2 n+1-i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Recall (Section 4 in [13]) that $Z_{2 n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}$ are in
general position, which implies in particular $x_{i} \neq-x_{2 n+1-i}$. Hence, $\phi^{-1}(u)$ corresponds to a boundary point.

Denote $S=Z_{2 n}^{\prime}\left( \pm x_{1}, \ldots, \pm x_{n}\right)$ the blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $n$ pairs of points $\left(x_{1},-x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n},-x_{n}\right)$ on $\Sigma$, with $n$ pairs of corresponding exceptional divisors $\left(l_{1}, l_{1}^{-}\right), \ldots,\left(l_{n}, l_{n}^{-}\right)$, where $l_{i}$ (resp. $\left.l_{i}^{-}\right)$is the exceptional divisor corresponding to the blowing up at $x_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $-x_{i}$ ). Similar to the other cases, we give the following definitions.

Definition 3.8. A $C_{n}$-exceptional system on $S$ is an $n$-tuple of pairs

$$
\left(\left(e_{1}, e_{1}^{-}\right), \ldots,\left(e_{n}, e_{n}^{-}\right)\right)
$$

where $\left(e_{i}, e_{i}^{-}\right)=\left(l_{\sigma(i)}, l_{\sigma(i)}^{-}\right)$or $\left(l_{\sigma(i)}^{-}, l_{\sigma(i)}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, and $\sigma$ is a permutation of $1, \ldots, n$. A $C_{n}$-configuration on $S$ is a $C_{n}$-exceptional system $\zeta_{C_{n}}=\left(\left(e_{1}, e_{1}^{-}\right), \ldots,\left(e_{n}, e_{n}^{-}\right)\right)$such that we can blow down successively $e_{1}^{-}, \ldots, e_{n}^{-}, e_{n}, \ldots, e_{1}$ such that the resulting surface is $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ (see Figure 4).

We say that $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \Sigma \subset \mathbb{F}_{1}$ are $n$ points in general position, if they
(i) are distinct points, and
(ii) for any $i, j, x_{i}+x_{j} \neq 0$.

Equivalently, $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \Sigma \subset \mathbb{F}_{1}$ are in general position if and only if any $C_{n}$ exceptional system on $S=Z_{2 n}^{\prime}\left( \pm x_{1}, \ldots, \pm x_{n}\right)$ consists of smooth exceptional curves. Such a surface is called a $C_{n}$-surface. Thus, a $C_{n}$-surface must have a $C_{n}$-configuration.

Lemma 3.9. (i) Let $S$ be a surface with a $C_{n}$-configuration. Then the Weyl group $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ acts on all $C_{n}$-exceptional systems on $S$ simply transitively.
(ii) Let $S$ be a $C_{n}$-surface. Then the Weyl group $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ acts on all $C_{n}$ - configurations on $S$ simply transitively.

Proof. It suffices to prove (i). The Weyl group $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ acts as the group generated by permutations of the $n$ pairs $\left\{\left(l_{i}, l_{i}^{-}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ and interchanging of $l_{i}$ and $l_{i}^{-}$for each $i$. From this, we see that $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ acts on all $G$-configurations simply transitively.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, C_{n}\right)$ the moduli space of pairs $\left(Z_{2 n}^{\prime}, \Sigma\right)$, where $Z_{2 n}^{\prime}$ is a $C_{n}$-surface, that is, the blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at $2 n$ points $\pm x_{1}, \ldots, \pm x_{n}$ such that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are in general
position. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{C_{n}}$ the moduli space of flat $C_{n}$ bundles over $\Sigma$. By Corollary 3.7, we have the following identification.

Proposition 3.10. (i) $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, C_{n}\right)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{C_{n}}$ as an open dense subset.
(ii) Moreover, this embedding can be extended naturally to an isomorphism

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, C_{n}\right)} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{C_{n}}
$$

by including all rational surfaces with $C_{n}$-configurations.

Proof. The proof is basically the same as the one in $B_{n}$ case. We only need to replace the corresponding parts by the following two things. Firstly, according to Section 2, we can take a simple root system as

$$
\Delta\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{\beta_{k}=\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \beta_{n}=2 \varepsilon_{n}\right\}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{k}=l_{k}-l_{k}^{-}, 1 \leq k \leq n$.
Secondly, the restriction map gives us the following system of linear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 x_{n}=p_{n} \\
2\left(x_{k}-x_{k+1}\right)=p_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solution of this system exists uniquely.

Remark. As in $B_{n}$ case, the above proposition is also similar to extending the Torelli theorem to a certain boundary component.

Remark. Obviously, this description in Proposition 3.10 coincides with the well-known description of flat $C_{n}$ bundles over elliptic curves [6]. A flat $C_{n}=S p(n)$ bundle over $\Sigma$ corresponds to $n$ pairs (unordered) of points ( $x_{i},-x_{i}$ ), $i=1, \ldots, n$ on $\Sigma$, uniquely up to isomorphism. And one pair ( $x_{i},-x_{i}$ ) will determine exactly one point on $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, since the rational map determined by the linear system $|2(0)|$ induces a double covering from $\Sigma$ onto $\mathbb{C P} \mathbb{P}^{1}$. So the moduli space of flat $C_{n}$ bundles over $\Sigma$ is just isomorphic to $S^{n}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{n}$, the ordinary projective $n$ space.

As in $B_{n}$ case, we construct a Lie algebra bundle of $C_{n}$ type over $Z_{2 n}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\mathscr{C}_{n}=\mathcal{O}^{\oplus} n \bigoplus_{D \in R\left(C_{n}\right)} \mathcal{O}(D)
$$

where $R\left(C_{n}\right)$ is the root system of $C_{n}$ according to Section 2 :

$$
R\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{ \pm 2\left(l_{i}-l_{i}^{-}\right), \pm\left(\left(l_{i}-l_{i}^{-}\right) \pm\left(l_{j}-l_{j}^{-}\right)\right) \mid i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\} .
$$

Recall [13] that the first fundamental representation bundle of $\mathscr{A}_{2 n-1}$ is

$$
\mathcal{V}_{2 n-1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2 n} \mathcal{O}\left(l_{i}\right)
$$

The condition that $x_{i}+x_{2 n+1-i}=0,1 \leq i \leq n$ is equivalent to an identification of the following two fundamental representation bundles $\wedge^{i}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2 n-1}\right)$ and $\wedge^{2 n-i}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2 n-1}\right)$ with $i=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$, which is given by (of course, when restricted to $\Sigma$ )

$$
\left(\wedge^{i}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2 n-1}\right)\right)^{*} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2 n-1}\right) \cong \wedge^{2 n-i}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2 n-1}\right)
$$

Note that when restricted to $\Sigma$, the line bundle $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2 n-1}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(l_{1}+\cdots+l_{2 n}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left.\mathcal{O}(n f)\right|_{\Sigma}=\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(2 n(0))$, by our assumption that $\sum x_{i}=0$. This identification determines uniquely a flat $C_{n}$ bundle over $\Sigma$.

### 3.3 The $G_{2}$ bundles

For $G=G_{2}$, we take $G^{\prime}=D_{4}=\operatorname{Spin}(8)$ such that $G=\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{\text {out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$.
Let $S=Y_{4}$ be a rational surface with a $D_{4}$-configuration [13] which contains $\Sigma$ as a smooth anticanonical curve. Recall ([13] or Figure 5) that $Y_{4}$ is a (successive) blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at four points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ on $\Sigma$, with corresponding exceptional classes $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}$. Let $f$ be the class of fibers in $\mathbb{F}_{1}$, and $s$ be the section such that $0=s \cap \Sigma$ is the identity element of $\Sigma$. The Picard group of $Y_{4}$ is $H^{2}\left(Y_{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, which is a lattice with basis $s, f, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}$. The canonical line bundle $K=-\left(2 s+3 f-\sum_{i=1}^{4} l_{i}\right)$.

Recall that

$$
P_{4}:=\left\{X \in H^{2}\left(Y_{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \mid x \cdot K=x \cdot f=0\right\}
$$

is a root lattice of $D_{4}$-type. And we can take a simple root system of $D_{4}$ as

$$
\Delta\left(D_{4}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}=l_{1}-l_{2}, \alpha_{2}=f-l_{1}-l_{2}, \alpha_{3}=l_{2}-l_{3}, \alpha_{4}=l_{3}-l_{4}\right\}
$$

Let $\rho \in \operatorname{Out}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{3}$ (the permutation group of three letters ) be the triality automorphism of order three, such that $\rho\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \rho\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{4}, \rho\left(\alpha_{4}\right)=\alpha_{1}$, and $\rho\left(\alpha_{3}\right)=\alpha_{3}$.

When the above simple root system is chosen, the pair ( $S, \Sigma$ ) determines a homomorphism $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right), \Sigma\right)$ which is given by the restriction map

$$
u(\alpha)=\left.\mathcal{O}(\alpha)\right|_{\Sigma}
$$

Lemma 3.11. Let $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right), \Sigma\right)$ correspond to the pair $(S, \Sigma)$, where $S$ is a surface with a $D_{4}$-configuration. Then $\rho \cdot u=u$ if and only if $2 x_{1}=0$ and $x_{1}+x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$.

Proof. Since $u$ is the restriction map: $\left.\alpha_{i} \mapsto \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}, u\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\left.\mathcal{O}\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}=x_{1}-x_{2}, u\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=$ $-x_{1}-x_{2}, u\left(\alpha_{4}\right)=x_{3}-x_{4}$, and $u\left(\alpha_{3}\right)=x_{2}-x_{3}$. Hence, $\rho \cdot u=u \Leftrightarrow u\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=u\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=u\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \Leftrightarrow$ $x_{1}-x_{2}=-x_{1}-x_{2}=x_{3}-x_{4} \Leftrightarrow 2 x_{1}=0$ and $x_{1}+x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$ the moduli space of $G^{\prime}=D_{4}$-surfaces with a fixed anticanonical curve $\Sigma$, and by $\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)}$ the natural compactification by including all rational surfaces with $D_{4}$-configurations. From [13], we know that $\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}$. Let $\phi$ be the isomorphism.

Corollary 3.12. For $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G} \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{O u t\left(G^{\prime}\right)}, \phi^{-1}(u) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)}$ represents a class of surfaces $Y_{4}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)$ with $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$, and such a surface corresponds to a boundary point in the moduli space, that is, $\phi^{-1}(u) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)} \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.11, $u \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G^{\prime}}\right)^{\text {Out }\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ if and only if $2 x_{1}=0$ and $x_{1}+x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$. There are four connected components corresponding to four points of order two on $\Sigma$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ is the component containing the trivial $G^{\prime}$ bundle, we have $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$. Recall that $Y_{4}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ are in general position, which implies in particular $x_{1} \neq 0$. Hence $\phi^{-1}(u)$ corresponds to a boundary point.

Denote $S=Y_{4}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)$ the blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at four points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ on $\Sigma$, with $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$. Let $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}$ be the corresponding exceptional classes. We give the following definition.

Definition 3.13. A $G_{2}$-exceptional system on $S$ is an ordered triple ( $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ ) of exceptional divisors such that $e_{i} \cdot e_{j}=0=e_{i} \cdot f, i \neq j$ and $y_{1}=0, y_{4}=y_{2}+y_{3}$, where $Y_{i}=e_{i} \cdot \Sigma$. A $G_{2}$-configuration on $S$ is a $G_{2}$-exceptional system $\zeta_{G_{2}}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ such that we can consider $S$ as a blowup of $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ at these four points $Y_{1}=0, y_{2}, Y_{3}, y_{4}$ on $\Sigma$, that is $S=Y_{4}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}=0, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)$, with corresponding exceptional divisors $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$. When $S$ has a $G_{2}$-configuration (of course $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{S}\right|$ ), we call $S$ a (rational) surface with a $G_{2^{-}}$ configuration. For $S=Y_{4}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)$ with $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$, when $x_{1}, \pm x_{2}, \pm x_{3}, \pm x_{4}$ are distinct points on $\Sigma$, any $G_{2}$-exceptional system on $S$ consists of exceptional curves. Such a surface is called a $G_{2}$-surface. So a $G_{2}$-surface must have a $G_{2}$-configuration. These four points $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in \Sigma$ are said to be in general position.

A $G_{2}$-configuration is illustrated in Figure 6.

Lemma 3.14. (i) Let $S=Y_{4}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)$ with $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$ be a surface with a $G_{2}$-configuration. Then the Weyl group $W\left(G_{2}\right)$ acts on all $G_{2}$-exceptional systems on $S$ simply transitively.
(ii) Let $S$ be a $G_{2}$-surface. Then the Weyl group $W\left(G_{2}\right)$ acts on all $G_{2}$ configurations on $S$ simply transitively.

Proof. It suffices to prove (i). By an explicit computation, there are $12 G_{2}$-configurations $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}\right),\left(f-l_{1}, f-l_{2}, f-l_{3}, f-l_{4}\right),\left(f-l_{1}, f-l_{2}, l_{4}, l_{3}\right),\left(f-l_{1}, l_{4}, f-l_{2}, l_{3}\right)$, and so on. The rule is keeping the relation $x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{4}$ fixed. The Weyl group $W\left(G_{2}\right)$ is the automorphism group of the subroot system $A_{2}$ with simple roots $\left\{3\left(l_{2}-l_{3}\right), 3\left(l_{3}-\left(f-l_{4}\right)\right)\right\}$, so $W\left(G_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rtimes W\left(A_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \rtimes S_{3}$. We can also consider $W\left(G_{2}\right)$ as the subgroup of $W\left(D_{4}\right)$ generated by two elements $S_{\alpha_{1}} S_{\alpha_{2}} S_{\alpha_{4}}$ and $S_{\alpha_{3}}$, where $S_{\alpha}$ means the reflection with respect to a root $\alpha$ of $D_{4}$. Thus, we can directly check that $W\left(G_{2}\right)$ acts on all $G_{2}$-exceptional systems simply transitively.

Proposition 3.15. Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G_{2}\right)$ be the moduli space of pairs $\left(Y_{4}^{\prime}, \Sigma\right)$, where $Y_{4}^{\prime}$ is a $G_{2^{-}}$ surface, and $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G_{2}}$ be the moduli space of flat $G_{2}$ bundles over $\Sigma$. Then
(i) $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G_{2}\right)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G_{2}}$ as an open dense subset;
(ii) Moreover, this embedding can be extended naturally to an isomorphism

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, G_{2}\right)} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G_{2}},
$$

by including all rational surfaces with $G_{2}$-configurations.

Proof. We just noted that only the following two things are different from their counterparts of the proofs in $B_{n}, C_{n}$ cases.
(i) Take a simple root system of $G_{2}$ as

$$
\Delta\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{\beta_{1}=f-2 l_{2}+l_{3}-l_{4}, \beta_{2}=3\left(l_{2}-l_{3}\right)\right\} .
$$

(ii) Then the restriction to $\Sigma$ gives us the following system of linear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 x_{2}=-p_{1} \\
3\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)=p_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

As before, we construct a Lie algebra bundle of $G_{2}$-type over $S=Y_{4}^{\prime}$. For brevity, denote $\varepsilon_{1}=l_{2}, \varepsilon_{2}=l_{3}$, and $\varepsilon_{3}=f-l_{4}$. Then

$$
\mathscr{G}_{2}=\mathcal{O}^{\oplus}{ }^{2} \bigoplus_{D \in R\left(G_{2}\right)} \mathcal{O}(D)
$$

where $R\left(G_{2}\right)$ is the root system of $G_{2}$ :

$$
R\left(G_{2}\right)=\left\{ \pm 3\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}\right), \pm\left(2 \varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}-\varepsilon_{k}\right) \mid i \neq j \neq k, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3\right\}
$$

Recall [12] the three fundamental representation bundles of rank 8 of $D_{4}$ are defined as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{W}_{4}=\bigoplus_{C^{2}=C \cdot K=-1, C \cdot f=0} \mathcal{O}(C), \\
\mathcal{S}_{4}^{+}=\bigoplus_{D^{2}=D \cdot K=-1, D \cdot f=1} \mathcal{O}(D), \\
\mathcal{S}_{4}^{-}=\bigoplus_{T^{2}=-2, T \cdot K=0, T \cdot f=1} \mathcal{O}(T) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The conditions $x_{1}=0, x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$ enable us to identify $S_{4}^{+}, S_{4}^{-}$, and $\mathscr{W}_{4}$ when restricted to $\Sigma$, by

$$
S_{4}^{+} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(-l_{1}\right) \cong S_{4}^{-} \text {and } S_{4}^{+} \cong \mathscr{W}_{4} \otimes \mathcal{O}(s)
$$

Likewise, these identifications determine uniquely a flat $G_{2}$ bundle over $\Sigma$. Conversely, the identification of these three bundles restricted to $\Sigma$ implies the conditions $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$ (up to renumbering). Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathscr{W}_{4}\right|_{\Sigma}=\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(l_{i}\right) \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(f-l_{i}\right)=\bigoplus \mathcal{O}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \bigoplus \mathcal{O}\left(\left(-x_{i}\right)\right), \\
& \left.\mathcal{S}_{4}^{-}\right|_{\Sigma}=\bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{O}\left((0)-\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \bigoplus_{j} \mathcal{O}\left(3(0)-\sum_{i \neq j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right), \text { and } \\
& \left.\mathcal{S}_{4}^{+}\right|_{\Sigma}=\mathcal{O}((0)) \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(-x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\right) \bigoplus \mathcal{O}\left(\left(-\sum x_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left.\mathscr{W}_{4}\right|_{\Sigma}=\mathcal{S}_{4}^{-}$implies $x_{1}=0$, and $\left.\mathscr{W}_{4}\right|_{\Sigma}=\mathcal{S}_{4}^{+}$implies $x_{4}=x_{2}+x_{3}$.

### 3.4 The $F_{4}$ bundles

First we recall some fundamental facts on $E_{6}$ root systems and cubic surfaces, which are of independent interest.

### 3.4.1 The root system of $E_{6}$ revisited

The relation between the root system of $E_{6}$-type and smooth cubic surfaces in $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ has been studied for a very long time [2, 7, 15]. There are 27 lines on such a cubic surface $S$ (a curve on $S$ is a line if and only if it is an exceptional curve). And every $E_{6}$-exceptional system on $S$ is an ordered 6-tuples of lines $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right)$ which are pairwise disjoint. The

Weyl group $W\left(E_{6}\right)$ is the symmetry group of all $E_{6}$-exceptional systems, that is, $W\left(E_{6}\right)$ acts simply transitively on the set of all $E_{6}$-exceptional systems. Now we consider the unordered 6-tuple $L=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$. There are 72 such 6 -tuples. This corresponds to 36 Schläfli's double-sixes $\left\{L ; L^{\prime}\right\}$ [7]. In the following, we consider a cubic surface $S$ as the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at six points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ in general position, that is $S=X_{6}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$, with corresponding exceptional curves $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}$. Fix a simple root system of $E_{6}$ as

$$
\Delta\left(E_{6}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{6}\right\},
$$

where $\alpha_{1}=l_{1}-l_{2}, \alpha_{2}=l_{2}-l_{3}, \alpha_{3}=h-l_{1}-l_{2}-l_{3}$, and $\alpha_{i}=l_{i-1}-l_{i}$, for $i=4,5,6$ [13].

Lemma 3.16. One double-six $\left\{L^{\prime} ; L^{\prime}\right\}$ corresponds to exactly one positive root of $E_{6}$.

Proof. First take $L_{0}=\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}\right\}$, then $L_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{l_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, l_{6}^{\prime}\right\}=s_{\alpha_{0}}\left(L_{0}\right)$, where $\alpha_{0}=2 h-\sum l_{i}$ is a positive root and $l_{i}^{\prime}=s_{\alpha_{0}}\left(l_{i}\right)=2 h-\sum_{j \neq i} l_{j}$. $\left\{L_{0} ; L_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ forms a double-six and $\alpha_{0}(\succ 0)$ is uniquely determined by $\left\{L_{0} ; L_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$, since $W\left(E_{6}\right)$ acts simply and transitively. If $L=g\left(L_{0}\right)$ with $g \in W\left(E_{6}\right)$, then $\left\{g\left(L_{0}\right) ; g\left(L_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is also a double-six. Let $g\left(L_{0}^{\prime}\right)=S_{\alpha}\left(g\left(L_{0}\right)\right)$, then $L_{0}^{\prime}=$ $\left(g^{-1} S_{\alpha} g\right)\left(L_{0}\right)$. So $g^{-1} S_{\alpha} g=S_{\alpha_{0}}$. Then $S_{\alpha}=g S_{\alpha_{0}} g^{-1}=S_{g\left(\alpha_{0}\right)}$. This implies $\alpha= \pm g\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$. Take $\alpha \succ$ 0 . Now, if $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$, then by a result on page 44 of [9], $g \in S_{6}$, that is, $g$ is a permutation of the six lines $l_{i}$ 's. Thus $\left\{L ; L^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{L_{0} ; L_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ are the same one.

Remark. Let $\rho$ be an outer automorphism of $E_{6}$ of order two, such that $\rho\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{6}, \rho\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=$ $\alpha_{5}$ and $\rho$ fixes other simple roots. Consider $F_{4}$ as the fixed part of $E_{6}$ by $\rho$. Then the coroot lattice $\Lambda_{C}\left(F_{4}\right)$ of $F_{4}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{c}\left(F_{4}\right) & =\Lambda_{c}\left(E_{6}\right)^{\rho} \\
& =\Lambda\left(E_{6}\right)^{\rho} \\
& =\left\{a h+\sum a_{i} l_{i} \mid a_{1}+a_{6}=a_{2}+a_{5}=a_{3}+a_{4}=-a\right\} \\
& =\mathbb{Z}\left\langle h-l_{1}-l_{2}-l_{3}, l_{1}-l_{6}, l_{2}-l_{5}, l_{3}-l_{4}\right\rangle \\
& =\Lambda\left(D_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And the Weyl group of $F_{4}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(F_{4}\right) & =\left\{w \in W\left(E_{6}\right) \mid w \text { preserves } \Lambda_{c}\left(F_{4}\right)=\Lambda\left(D_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Lambda\left(D_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =S_{3} \rtimes W\left(D_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. If three lines $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ pairwise intersect, we say that they form a triangle. Denote by $\Delta=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ a (unordered) triangle, and by $\vec{\Delta}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ an ordered triangle. Every line belongs to five triangles, so there are $27 \times 5 / 3=45$ triangles. And if $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ is a triangle, then $-K=e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3} . W\left(E_{6}\right)$ acts on all these 45 triangles transitively, and $W\left(F_{4}\right)$ is the isotropy subgroup of the triangle $\Delta_{0}=\left\{h-l_{1}-l_{6}, h-l_{2}-l_{5}, h-l_{3}-l_{4}\right\}$. Moreover, $W\left(D_{4}\right)$ is the isotropy subgroup of the ordered triangle $\vec{\Delta}_{0}=\left(h-l_{1}-l_{6}, h-\right.$ $\left.l_{2}-l_{5}, h-l_{3}-l_{4}\right)$. The reason is the following.

Let $\Delta=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\}$ be any two triangles. Since $K^{2}=3$, the position of these two triangles must be one of the following two cases: (1) They have a common edge and other edges do not intersect. (2) Each edge of $\Delta$ intersects with exactly one edge of $\Delta^{\prime}$. So we just check two special triangles in the above cases. What remains to do is a direct checking.

From the above, we can easily write down the 45 (left or right) cosets of $W\left(F_{4}\right)$ in $W\left(E_{6}\right)$.

### 3.4.2 $\quad F_{4}$ bundles and rational surfaces

For $G=F_{4}$, we take $G^{\prime}=E_{6}$, such that $F_{4}=\left(E_{6}\right)^{\text {out }\left(E_{6}\right)}$.
Let $S=X_{6}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ be a surface with an $E_{6}$-configuration (Figure 7), that is, $S$ is a blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at six points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6} \in \Sigma$, where $\Sigma \in\left|-K_{S}\right|$. Take the simple root system $\Delta\left(E_{6}\right)$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Out}\left(E_{6}\right)$ just as in Section 2.4.1.

Once a simple root system is fixed, the restriction from $S$ to $\Sigma$ induces a homomorphism $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(E_{6}\right), \Sigma\right)$.

Lemma 3.17. Let $u \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda\left(E_{6}\right), \Sigma\right)$ be an element corresponding to a pair $(S, \Sigma)$, where $S$ is a surface with an $E_{6}$-configuration. Then $\rho \cdot u=u$ if and only if $x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=$ $x_{3}+x_{4}$.

Proof. Since $u$ is induced by the restriction to $\Sigma, u\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\left.\mathcal{O}\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}=x_{1}-x_{2}, u\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=$ $x_{2}-x_{3}, u\left(\alpha_{5}\right)=x_{4}-x_{5}, u\left(\alpha_{6}\right)=x_{5}-x_{6}$. Therefore, $\rho \cdot u=u \Leftrightarrow u\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=u\left(\alpha_{6}\right), u\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=u\left(\alpha_{5}\right)$ $\Leftrightarrow x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=x_{3}+x_{4}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, E_{6}\right)$ the moduli space of $G^{\prime}=E_{6}$-surfaces [13] with a fixed anticanonical curve $\Sigma$, and by $\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, E_{6}\right)}$ the natural compactification by including all
rational surfaces with $E_{6}$-configurations. From [13], we know that there is an isomorphism $\phi: \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, E_{6}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{E_{6}}$. Thus, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.18. For $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{F_{4}} \subset\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{E_{6}}\right)^{\text {Out }\left(E_{6}\right)}, \phi^{-1}(u) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, E_{6}\right)}$ represents a class of surfaces $X_{6}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ with $x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=x_{3}+x_{4}$.

Denote $S=X_{6}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at six points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ on $\Sigma$ which satisfies the condition $x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=x_{3}+x_{4}$, with corresponding exceptional classes $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{6}$. The condition $x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=x_{3}+x_{4}:=p$ implies that the three lines $L_{16}, L_{25}$, and $L_{34}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ intersect at one point $-p \in \Sigma$, where $L_{i j}$ means the line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ passing through two points $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$. So after blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at $x_{i} \in \Sigma, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, the three $(-1)$ curves $h-l_{1}-l_{6}, h-l_{2}-l_{5}$, and $h-l_{3}-l_{4}$ intersect at one point $-p \in \Sigma$. So they form a special triangle (see Section 2.4.1). As before, we give the following definition.

Definition 3.19. An $F_{4}$-exceptional system on $S=X_{6}^{\prime}$ is a 6-tuple ( $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$ ) consisting of six exceptional divisors which are pairwise disjoint, such that $y_{1}+y_{6}=y_{2}+y_{5}=$ $y_{3}+y_{4}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(y_{i}\right)=\left.\mathcal{O}\left(e_{i}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}$. And an $F_{4}$-configuration $\zeta_{F_{4}}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}\right)$ just means an $F_{4}$-exceptional system on $S$ such that we can consider $S$ as a blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at six points $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{6}$ with corresponding exceptional divisors $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{6}$. For $S=X_{6}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$, when $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ are in general position, any $F_{4}$-exceptional system on $S$ consists of exceptional curves. Such a surface is called an $F_{4}$-surface.

So an $F_{4}$-surface is automatically an $E_{6}$-surface (namely, a del Pezzo surface of degree three). And any $F_{4}$-exceptional system on an $F_{4}$-surface is always an $F_{4^{-}}$ configuration. See Figure 8 for an $F_{4}$-configuration.

According to the discussions in Section 2.4.1, the Weyl group $W\left(F_{4}\right)$ is the automorphism group of the subroot system of type $D_{4}$ with simple roots $\left\{l_{1}-l_{6}, l_{2}-l_{5}, l_{3}-\right.$ $\left.l_{4}, h-l_{1}-l_{2}-l_{3}\right\}$, and $W\left(F_{4}\right) \cong S_{3} \rtimes W\left(D_{4}\right)$. Therefore, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.20. (i) Let $S=X_{6}^{\prime}$ be a surface with an $F_{4}$-configuration. Then the Weyl group $W\left(F_{4}\right)$ acts on all $F_{4}$-exceptional systems on $S$ simply transitively.
(ii) Moreover, if $S$ is an $F_{4}$-surface, then the Weyl group $W\left(F_{4}\right)$ acts on all $F_{4}{ }^{-}$ configurations on $S$ simply transitively.

Proposition 3.21. Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, F_{4}\right)$ be the moduli space of pairs $\left(X_{6}^{\prime}, \Sigma\right)$, where $X_{6}^{\prime}$ is an $F_{4}{ }^{-}$ surface containing $\Sigma$ as an anticanonical curve, and $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{F_{4}}$ be the moduli space of flat $F_{4}$ bundles over $\Sigma$. Then
(i) $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, F_{4}\right)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{F_{4}}$ as an open dense subset.
(ii) Moreover, this embedding can be extended naturally to an isomorphism

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, F_{4}\right)} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{F_{4}},
$$

by including all rational surfaces with $F_{4}$-configurations.

Proof. Firstly, we can take the simple root system of $F_{4}$ as

$$
\Delta\left(F_{4}\right)=\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right\}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=l_{1}-l_{2}+l_{5}-l_{6}, \beta_{2}=l_{2}-l_{3}+l_{4}-l_{5}, \beta_{3}=2\left(h-l_{1}-l_{2}-l_{3}\right)$, and $\beta_{4}=2\left(l_{3}-l_{4}\right)$.
Secondly, the restriction to $\Sigma$ induces the following system of linear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{5}-x_{6}=p_{1}, \\
x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{4}-x_{5}=p_{2}, \\
2\left(-x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}\right)=p_{3}, \\
2\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)=p_{4}, \\
x_{1}+x_{6}=x_{2}+x_{5}=x_{3}+x_{4} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the determinant is nonzero, the result follows by the same argument as in $B_{n}$ case.

The Lie algebra bundle of type $F_{4}$ over $X_{6}^{\prime}$ can be constructed as (for brevity, we denote $\varepsilon_{1}=l_{2}-l_{3}+l_{4}-l_{5}, \varepsilon_{2}=l_{2}+l_{3}-l_{4}-l_{5}, \varepsilon_{3}=2 h-2 l_{1}-l_{2}-l_{3}-l_{4}-l_{5}$, and $\varepsilon_{4}=$ $\left.2 h-2 l_{6}-l_{2}-l_{3}-l_{4}-l_{5}\right)$

$$
\mathscr{F}_{4}=\mathcal{O}^{\oplus} 4 \bigoplus_{D \in R\left(F_{4}\right)} \mathcal{O}(D)
$$

where $R\left(F_{4}\right)$ is the root system of $F_{4}$ :

$$
R\left(F_{4}\right)=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i}, \pm\left(\varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}\right), \left. \pm \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{2} \pm \varepsilon_{3} \pm \varepsilon_{4}\right) \right\rvert\, i \neq j\right\}
$$

Remark. The 27 lines determine the 27-dimensional fundamental representation of $E_{6}$. Restricted to $\Sigma$, they give us a representation bundle of rank 27 (of $\mathscr{F}_{4}$ ) over $\Sigma$. The weights associated to the three special lines $h-l_{1}-l_{6}, h-l_{2}-l_{5}, h-l_{3}-l_{4}$ restrict to zero and these three weights add to zero before restriction (since ( $h-l_{1}-l_{6}$ ) $+\left(h-l_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left.l_{5}\right)+\left(h-l_{3}-l_{4}\right)=-K\right)$. The remaining 24 weights associated to other 24 lines restrict to the 24 short roots of $\mathscr{F}_{4}$. The 24 lines and a rank 2 bundle $V$ determine the 26-dimensional irreducible fundamental representation $U$ of $\mathscr{F}_{4}$. Here $V$ is determined as follows. Since
$\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(h-l_{1}-l_{6}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(h-l_{2}-l_{5}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\left(h-l_{3}-l_{4}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}((-p))$, taking the trace, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{tr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}((-p))^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}((-p)) \rightarrow 0
$$

Then we take $V=\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{tr})$.

For more details on the 26 -dimensional fundamental representation of $F_{4}$, refer to [1].

## 4 Conclusion

We summarize our results in [13] and this paper as follows. Let $\Sigma$ be a fixed elliptic curve with identity $0 \in \Sigma$. Let $G$ be any compact, simple, and simply connected Lie groups, simply laced or not. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$ the moduli space of $G$-surfaces containing a fixed anticanonical curve $\Sigma$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ the moduli space of flat $G$ bundles over $\Sigma$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. (i) We can construct Lie algebra $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$-bundles over each $G$-surface.
(ii) The restriction of these Lie algebra bundles to the anticanonical curve $\Sigma$ induces an embedding of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$ into $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$ as an open dense subset.
(iii) This embedding can be extended to an isomorphism from $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)}$ onto $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{G}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)}$ is a natural and explicit compactification of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$, by including all rational surfaces with $G$-configurations.

Remark. (i) The result is known for $G=E_{n}(n=6,7,8)$ case (see $[3,4,6]$ ).
(ii) We have mentioned in the beginning of Section 1 that there is another reduction of the nonsimply laced cases to simply laced cases. In fact, using this reduction, we will obtain the same result, just following the steps as above.

According to a theorem of [14], the moduli space $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$ is a weighted projective space. Thus, the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)}$ is a weighted projective space. Conversely, we believe that the above identification between $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, G)}$ will give us another proof for this theorem. This is already done in $E_{n}$ case by $[3,4,6]$ and so on.
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